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#### Abstract

This paper deals with the maximal abelian dimension of the Lie algebra $h_{n}$, of $n \times n$ upper-triangular matrices. Regarding this, we obtain an algorithm which computes abelian subalgebras of $h_{n}$ as well as its implementation (and a computational study) by using the symbolic computation package MAPLE, where the order $n$ of the matrices in $h_{n}$ is the unique input needed. Let us note that the algorithm also allows us to obtain a maximal abelian subalgebra of $\mathrm{h}_{n}$.
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## INTRODUCTION

The maximal abelian dimension of a given finite-dimensional Lie algebra $g$ (i.e., the maximum among the dimensions of the abelian subalgebras of $g$ ) has been studied in previous papers. However, most of them (e.g. [5, 10]) consider abelian ideals instead of abelian subalgebras, being needed more restrictive hypotheses. As well as other papers like $[4,6]$, this does not assume such restrictions, but it considers all the subalgebras of the given Lie algebra $g$.

Jacobson [8] computed a classical bound for the dimension of any abelian subalgebra a of the matrix algebra $M_{n}(\mathbf{K})$, of $n \times n$ square matrices over a field $\mathbf{K}: \operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{a}) \leq\left[\frac{n^{2}}{4}\right]+1$, where $[x]$ denotes the integer part of $x$. Therefore the maximal abelian dimension $\mathscr{A}(\mathrm{g})$ of any given subalgebra g of $M_{n}(\mathbf{K})$ can be upper bounded by:

$$
\mathscr{M}(\mathrm{g}) \leq\left[\frac{n^{2}}{4}\right]+1= \begin{cases}k^{2}+1, & \text { if } n=2 k ; \\ k^{2}+k+1, & \text { if } n=2 k+1 .\end{cases}
$$

We have already studied the maximal abelian dimension of the Lie algebra $g_{n}$, of $n \times n$ strictly upper-triangular matrices, by using an algorithmic method which computed abelian subalgebras in [1,3]. Besides, the law of $\mathrm{g}_{n}$ was computed by means of another algorithmic procedure in [2]. Now we are studying the maximal abelian dimension of the Lie algebra $h_{n}$, of $n \times n$ upper-triangular matrices, by applying and adjusting the technics given in [3].

The Lie algebra $h_{n}$ is studied due to the following reasons: First, every finite-dimensional solvable Lie algebra is isomorphic to a subalgebra of some $h_{n}$ [11, Proposition 3.7.3]; and secondly, its applications to Physics are many and varied (e.g. [7, 9]).

## PRELIMINARIES

Some preliminary concepts are recalled here, bearing in mind that the reader can consult [11] for a general overview on Lie algebras. This paper only considers finite-dimensional Lie algebras over the complex number field $\mathbf{C}$.

A Lie algebra $g$ is to be said solvable if its commutator central series becomes zero eventually:

$$
\mathscr{C}_{1}(\mathrm{~g})=\mathrm{g}, \mathscr{C}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})=[\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{~g}], \ldots, \mathscr{C}_{k}(\mathrm{~g})=\left[\mathscr{C}_{k-1}(\mathrm{~g}), \mathscr{C}_{k-1}(\mathrm{~g})\right], \ldots, \mathscr{C}_{m}(\mathrm{~g}) \equiv\{0\}
$$

The maximal abelian dimension of a finite-dimensional Lie algebra $g$ is the maximum among the dimensions of its abelian subalgebras. This value will be denoted by $\mathscr{M}(\mathrm{g})$.

Given $n \in \mathbf{N}$, the complex solvable Lie algebra $h_{n}$ is that whose vectors are the $n \times n$ upper-triangular matrices:

$$
h_{n}\left(x_{r, s}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
x_{11} & x_{12} & \cdots & x_{1 n} \\
0 & x_{22} & \cdots & x_{2 n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & x_{n n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $x_{i j} \in \mathbf{C}$, for all $i, j \in \mathbf{N}$ with $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$.
It is easy to prove that a basis of $h_{n}$ is formed by the vectors $X_{i j}=h_{n}\left(x_{r, s}\right)$ with $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ and such that:

$$
x_{r, s}= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if }(r, s)=(i, j), \\ 0, & \text { if }(r, s) \neq(i, j) .\end{cases}
$$

So the dimension of $\mathrm{h}_{n}$ is $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$. Besides, the nonzero brackets with respect to this basis are the following:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
{\left[X_{i, j}, X_{j, k}\right]=X_{i, k},} & \forall i=1, \ldots, n-2, \quad \forall j=i+1, \ldots, n-1, \quad \forall k=j+1, \ldots, n ; \\
{\left[X_{i, i}, X_{i, j}\right]=X_{i, j},} & \forall i=1, \ldots, n-1, \quad \forall j=i+1, \ldots, n ; \\
{\left[X_{k, i}, X_{i, i}\right]=X_{k, i},} & \forall k=1, \ldots, n-1, \quad \forall i=k+1, \ldots, n .
\end{array}
$$

The center $Z\left(\mathrm{~h}_{n}\right)$ of $\mathrm{h}_{n}$ is generated by the vector $\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i, i}$. This vector has to belong to any abelian subalgebra which is not contained in another.

## ALGORITHM TO OBTAIN ABELIAN SUBALGEBRAS

Next, we show an algorithmic procedure to obtain abelian subalgebras of the Lie algebra $\mathrm{h}_{n}$. Before giving a general structure for this algorithm, we study the obtainment for $n<4$.

## Lie algebra $h_{n}$ with $n<4$

Case $n=2$ : The Lie algebra $h_{2}$ is generated by the basis $\left\{X_{1,1}, X_{1,2}, X_{2,2}\right\}$ and the nonzero brackets with respect to this basis are $\left[X_{1,1}, X_{1,2}\right]=X_{1,2}$ and $\left[X_{1,2}, X_{2,2}\right]=X_{1,2}$. In this case, it is easy to prove that the 2-dimensional subalgebra $\left\langle X_{1,1}, X_{2,2}\right\rangle$ is abelian (i.e. the two vectors coming from the main diagonal). Consequently, $\mathscr{M}\left(\mathrm{h}_{2}\right)=2$.
Case $n=3$ : $\left\{X_{1,1}, X_{1,2}, X_{1,3}, X_{2,2}, X_{2,3}, X_{3,3}\right\}$ is a basis of the Lie algebra $h_{3}$, whose nonzero brackets are the following:
$\left[X_{1,2}, X_{2,3}\right]=X_{1,3} ;\left[X_{1,1}, X_{1,2}\right]=X_{1,2} ;\left[X_{1,1}, X_{1,3}\right]=X_{1,3} ;\left[X_{2,2}, X_{2,3}\right]=X_{2,3} ;\left[X_{1,2}, X_{2,2}\right]=X_{1,2} ;\left[X_{1,3}, X_{3,3}\right]=X_{1,3} ;\left[X_{2,3}, X_{3,3}\right]=X_{2,3}$.
Step 1: Take the three vectors coming from the $3^{\text {rd }}$ column and remove the one coming from the $3^{\text {rd }}$ row. The abelian subalgebra $\left\langle X_{1,3}, X_{2,3}\right\rangle$ is obtained.
Step 2: Add the vectors coming from the $2^{\text {nd }}$ column and remove the ones coming from the $2^{\text {nd }}$ row (to avoid nonzero brackets). The dimension of the abelian subalgebra obtained here does not increase with respect to Step 1 .
Step 3: Add the vector $X_{1,1}+X_{2,2}+X_{3,3}$, coming from the main diagonal and the only generator of $Z\left(\mathrm{~h}_{3}\right)$. Hence, the 3-dimensional abelian subalgebra $\left(X_{1,2}, X_{1,3}, X_{1,1}+X_{2,2}+X_{3,3}\right\rangle$ is obtained.

## A general structure for the algorithm

Now we explain the algorithm to obtain abelian subalgebras. This depends on the parity of $n$, as it can be seen next. Hence, two possible cases have to be considered:

Case 1: $n$ is even and $n \geq 4$ (i.e., $n=2 k$, with $k \in \mathbf{N} \backslash\{1\}$ ). The general reasoning consists on considering the vectors in the basis of $h_{h}$. When a vector coming from the $i^{\text {th }}$ column is chosen, only the vectors coming from the $i^{\text {th }}$ row lead to nonzero brackets. To avoid nonzero brackets, the vectors coming from the $i^{\text {th }}$ row have to be removed.

Step 1: $(2 k)^{\text {th }}$ column. Add the $2 k$ vectors coming from the $(2 k)^{\text {th }}$ column and remove the unique vector coming from the $(2 k)^{\text {th }}$ row. In this way, the abelian subalgebra $\left\langle X_{1,2 k}, \ldots, X_{2 k-1,2 k}\right\rangle$ is obtained.
Step $2 k-i+1: i^{\text {th }}$ column, with $2 k>i>k+1$. Add the $i$ vectors coming from the $i^{\text {th }}$ column to the generators of the previous step and remove the $2 k-(i-1)$ vectors coming from the $i^{\text {th }}$ row. In this way, we obtain an abelian subalgebra whose dimension increases $2 i-2 k-1$ with respect to the already obtained in the previous step. The dimension really increases if and only if $2 i-2 k-1>0$. Since this inequality is equivalent to $i>k+1 / 2, k$ is the last step in which the dimension of the abelian subalgebra increases.
Step $k$ : $(k+1)^{\text {th }}$ column. Add the $k+1$ vectors coming from the $(k+1)^{\text {th }}$ column and remove the $k$ ones coming from the $(k+1)^{\text {th }}$ row.

Step $k+1$ : Add the vector $\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i, i}$, obtaining the $\left(k^{2}+1\right)$-dimensional abelian subalgebra generated by:

| $X_{1, k+1}$ | $\ldots$ | $X_{1,2 k}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| $X_{2, k+1}$ | $\ldots$ | $X_{2,2 k}$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\ddots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $X_{k, k+1}$ | $\ldots$ | $X_{k, 2 k}$ |$\quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i, i}$

Case 2: $n$ is odd and $n \geq 3$ (i.e., $n=2 k+1$, with $k \in \mathbf{N} \backslash\{1\}$ ). With a reasoning analogous to Case 1 , we can settle the following algorithm to obtain abelian subalgebras up to a dimension as large as possible.

Step 1: $(2 k+1)^{\text {th }}$ column. Add the $2 k+1$ vectors coming from the $(2 k+1)^{\text {th }}$ column and remove the unique vector coming from the $(2 k+1)^{\text {th }}$ row, obtaining the abelian subalgebra $\left\langle X_{1,2 k+1}, \ldots, X_{2 k, 2 k+1}\right\rangle$.
Step $2 k-i+2: i^{\text {th }}$ column, with $2 k+1>i>k+2$. Add the $i$ vectors coming from the $i^{\text {th }}$ column to the generators in the previous step and remove the $2 k-(i-1)$ vectors coming from the $i^{\text {th }}$ row. In this way, we obtain an abelian subalgebra whose dimension increases $2 i-2 k-2$ with respect to the obtained in the previous step. The dimension increases in each step if and only if $2 i-2 k-2>0$; which is equivalent to $i>k+1$. Hence, Step $k$ is the last step in this adding-and-removing procedure.
Step $k:(k+2)^{\text {th }}$ column. Add the $k+2$ vectors coming from the $(k+2)^{\text {th }}$ column and remove the $k$ ones coming from the $(k+2)^{\text {th }}$ row, obtaining a $\left(k^{2}+k\right)$-dimensional abelian subalgebra.
Step $k+1$ : Add the vector $\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i, i}$, obtaining the $\left(k^{2}+k+1\right)$-dimensional abelian subalgebra generated by:

| $X_{1, k+2}$ | $\ldots$ | $X_{1,2 k+1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $X_{2, k+2}$ | $\ldots$ | $X_{2,2 k+1}$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\ddots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $X_{k+1, k+2}$ | $\ldots$ | $X_{k+1,2 k+1}$ |$\quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i, i}$

Summarizing the whole section, abelian subalgebras of $h_{n}$ can be computed for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Besides, the maximal dimension of these subalgebras can be expressed depending on $n$ as follows:

$$
B_{n}= \begin{cases}k^{2}+1, & \text { if } n=2 k ; \\ k^{2}+k+1, & \text { if } n=2 k+1 .\end{cases}
$$

Hence, $B_{n}$ is a lower bound of the maximal abelian dimension $\mathscr{M}\left(\mathrm{h}_{n}\right)$ and is equal to Jacobson's upper bound (see [8]). So the algorithm computes abelian subalgebras, whose dimensions are increasing up to the value of $\mathscr{M}\left(\mathrm{h}_{n}\right)$.

## IMPLEMENTING THE ALGORITHM WITH MAPLE

Next, we explain a step-by-step implementation of the algorithm. The order $n$ of the matrices in $h_{n}$ is the unique input, whereas the outputs are both the maximal abelian dimension and a maximal abelian subalgebra of $\mathrm{h}_{n}$. The isomorphism classes of abelian subalgebras of $\mathrm{h}_{n}$ can be also obtained by removing vectors from the maximal abelian subalgebra.

To implement the algorithm, a routine with two subroutines has been programmed. Two libraries are loaded to activate additional commands: ListTools and numtheory. The routine mas (from maximal abelian subalgebra) receives the order $n$ of the matrices in $h_{n}$ and it returns a basis of a maximal abelian subalgebra. Moreover, an implicit list with all the isomorphism classes of abelian subalgebras can be obtained from this second output of the routine.

The first subroutine, add_mas, determines the vectors to be added in each step to the basis. The input is a natural number $j$ which corresponds to the column considered by the routine mas. A list $L$ is also defined as a local variable and its elements are the vectors to be added. This list is the output of the subroutine.

```
add_mas:=proc(j)
L:=[];
for k from 1 to j do L:=[op(L),X[k,j]];
```

local L; $>$ return op (L[1..nops (L)]);

```
end do;
end proc:
```

The second subroutine, remove_mas, computes the vectors which have to be removed in each step of the computation. By inputting two natural numbers, $i$ and $n$, the subroutine removes the vectors coming from the $i^{\text {th }}$ row in the matrices in $h_{n}$. To program this subroutine, a local variable $M$ is defined to store a list with the vectors to be removed. The list $M$ is the output of this subroutine.

```
remove_mas:=proc(i,n)
local M;
M:=[];
for k from i to n do
```

```
M:=[op(M),X[i,k]];
end do;
return op(M[1..nops(M)]);
end proc:
```

The routine mas computes both the basis of a maximal abelian subalgebra of $\mathrm{h}_{n}$ and the dimension of this subalgebra (i.e. the maximal abelian dimension). Its unique input is the natural number $n$, corresponding to the order of the matrices in $\mathrm{h}_{n}$. We have defined the local variables $L, M, P, Q$ and $i$, where $L, M, P$ and $Q$ are sets and $i$ is a natural number. $L$ and $M$ store the vectors to be added and removed, respectively. $P$ stores the set difference $L \backslash M$. Finally, $Q$ has a unique element: the generator of $Z\left(\mathrm{~h}_{n}\right)$. Hence, the routine computes these sets in each step, returning the union $P \cup Q$ (i.e. a maximal abelian subalgebra) and its cardinal (i.e. the maximal abelian dimension).

```
>mas:=proc(n)
> local L,M,P,i,Q;
LL:={};M:={};P:={};i:=n;Q:={X[1,1]};
>while i>iquo(n,2) do
> L:={op(L),add_mas(i)};M:={op(M),remove_mas(i,n)};i:=i-1;P:=L minus M;
> end do;
> for j from 2 to n do Q:={op (Q)+X[j,j]};
> end do;
>Q:=P union Q;
> return {Q,nops(Q)};
> end proc;
```


## COMPUTATIONAL STUDY

The algorithm was implemented by using MAPLE 9.5 and was run in an Intel Core 2 Duo T 5600 with a 1.83 GHz processor and 2.00 GB of RAM. Table 1 shows some computational data about this implementation for $n<2000$. Starting from $n=2$, the computational time is apparently double when the order $n$ is increased fifty units. In this way, for $n=1000$, the routine runs about 1 minute to compute a maximal abelian subalgebra of $h_{1000}$. For orders closer to 2000 , some problems arise in relation to an insufficient computational capacity. These problems are motivated by the need of a very high capacity of memory (almost 2 GB ). In any case, it does not suppose a serious problem because for this order the dimension of the Lie algebra would be about $2 \cdot 10^{6}$, which is not usually needed in practical situations.

TABLE 1. Computational time and used memory.

| Input <br> $($ order $n$ ) | Dimension <br> of $\mathbf{h}_{n}$ | Computational <br> time | Used <br> memory | Input <br> (order $\boldsymbol{n})$ | Dimension <br> of $\boldsymbol{h}_{n}$ | Computational <br> time | Used <br> memory |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 3 | 0 s | 0 MB | 400 | 80200 | 2.453 s | 18.75 MB |
| 50 | 1275 | 0 s | 0 MB | 500 | 125250 | 5.468 s | 40.99 MB |
| 100 | 5050 | 0.046 s | 3.31 MB | 600 | 180300 | 9.796 s | 63.55 MB |
| 150 | 11325 | 0.109 s | 5.69 MB | 800 | 320400 | 27 s | 157.04 MB |
| 200 | 20100 | 0.266 s | 7.56 MB | 1000 | 500500 | 59.266 s | 353.81 MB |
| 250 | 31375 | 0.562 s | 11.88 MB | 1250 | 781875 | 156.641 s | 645.26 MB |
| 300 | 45150 | 0.969 s | 15.19 MB | 1500 | 1125750 | 303.499 s | 1071.24 MB |
| 350 | 61425 | 1.609 s | 16.31 MB | 1750 | 1532125 | 522.061 s | 1701.19 MB |

After carrying out a brief statistical study about the relation between the computational time and the memory used to compute the maximal abelian dimension of $h_{n}$, we can assert that the memory used depends on the computational time and viceversa. Indeed, this dependence is expressed by a very strong positive linear correlation. Besides, both the memory used and the computational time seem to be exponentially related to the order $n$.
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