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#### Abstract

In this paper we show an example of two differential graded algebras that have the same derivator $K$-theory but non-isomorphic Waldhausen $K$-theory. We also prove that Maltsiniotis's comparison and localization conjectures for derivator $K$-theory cannot be simultaneously true.


## 1. Introduction

Derivators were introduced by Grothendieck Gro90 as an intermediate step between a (suitable) category $\mathscr{W}$ with weak equivalences and its homotopy category $\operatorname{Ho}(\mathscr{W})$, obtained by formally inverting the weak equivalences. In addition to the homotopy category $\operatorname{Ho}(\mathscr{W})$, the derivator $\mathbb{D}(\mathscr{W})$ contains all the homotopy categories of diagrams $\operatorname{Ho}\left(\mathscr{W}^{I^{\mathrm{op}}}\right)$ indexed by the opposite of a finite direct category $I$ together with the data of the various derived functors associated to the change of indexing category. Formally, a derivator $\mathbb{D}$ is a 2 -functor

$$
\mathbb{D}: \mathbf{D i r}_{f}^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C a t}
$$

satisfying a set of axioms inspired by the example $\mathbb{D}(\mathscr{W})(I)=\operatorname{Ho}\left(\mathscr{W}^{I^{\text {op }}}\right)$. Here Cat is the 2-category of small categories and $\mathbf{D i r}{ }_{f} \subset$ Cat is the 2-full sub-2-category of finite direct categories, i.e. those categories whose nerve has a finite number of non-degenerate simplices.

Maltsiniotis, after Franke Fra96, added an extra axiom to define triangulated derivators Mal07. An important example that was worked out by Keller Kel07] is the derivator of the category $\mathscr{W}=C^{b}(\mathscr{E})$ of bounded complexes in an exact category $\mathscr{E}$, where the weak equivalences are the quasi-isomorphisms in the abelian envelope of $\mathscr{E}$. This derivator will be denoted $\mathbb{D}(\mathscr{E})$ instead of $\mathbb{D}\left(C^{b}(\mathscr{E})\right)$. Maltsiniotis defined the $K$-theory $K(\mathbb{D})$ of a triangulated derivator $\mathbb{D}$, and stated three conjectures: comparison, localization and additivity. The proof of the additivity conjecture by Cisinski and Neeman CN08 showed that Maltsiniotis's $K$-theory produces a $K$-theoretically sound construction. The combination of the other two conjectures claims that derivator $K$-theory, as a functor from a suitably defined category of small triangulated derivators to spaces, recovers Quillen's $K$-theory of exact categories and satisfies localization. Schlichting [Sch02] showed that there cannot exist a functor from small triangulated categories to spaces that has these two properties.

[^0]The comparison conjecture says that a certain natural map from Quillen's to Maltsiniotis's $K$-theory space,

$$
\rho: K(\mathscr{E}) \longrightarrow K(\mathbb{D}(\mathscr{E})),
$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence for every exact category $\mathscr{E}$, i.e., the induced homomorphisms $\rho_{n}=\pi_{n}(\rho)$,

$$
\rho_{n}: K_{n}(\mathscr{E}) \longrightarrow K_{n}(\mathbb{D}(\mathscr{E}))
$$

are isomorphisms for every $n \geq 0$. Maltsiniotis proved this for $n=0$ Mal07. The conjecture is also true for $n=1$ Mur08. Moreover, the following more general version holds. If $\mathscr{W}$ is a Waldhausen category with cylinders and a saturated class of weak equivalences, then $\mathbb{D}(\mathscr{W})$ is a right pointed derivator Cis10a. Garkusha Gar06] considered the derivator $K$-theory of this wider class of derivators. There is a natural comparison map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu: K(\mathscr{W}) \longrightarrow K(\mathbb{D}(\mathscr{W})) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

from Waldhausen's to Garkusha's $K$-theory, and so natural comparison homomorphisms $\mu_{n}=\pi_{n}(\mu), n \geq 0$,

$$
\mu_{n}: K_{n}(\mathscr{W}) \longrightarrow K_{n}(\mathbb{D}(\mathscr{W}))
$$

such that Maltsiniotis's comparison map is obtained by precomposing with the Gillet-Waldhausen equivalence Gil81, TT90, Cis02,

$$
\rho: K(\mathscr{E}) \xrightarrow{\sim} K\left(C^{b}(\mathscr{E})\right) \xrightarrow{\mu} K(\mathbb{D}(\mathscr{E}))
$$

The homomorphisms $\mu_{n}$ are isomorphisms for $n=0,1$ Mur08.
Toën and Vezzosi showed in TV04 that $\mu$ cannot be an equivalence for all $\mathscr{W}$ in all higher dimensions. More precisely, taking $\mathscr{W}=\mathcal{R}_{f}(X)$ to be the category of finite $C W$-complexes $Y$ relative to a space $X$ equipped with a retraction $Y \rightarrow X$ Wal85, 2.1], they noticed that the natural comparison map $K(\mathscr{W}) \rightarrow K(\mathbb{D}(\mathscr{W}))$ cannot be a weak homotopy equivalence in general, since the action of the topological monoid of self-equivalences of $X$ on $K(\mathscr{W})$ does not factor through an aspherical space. Despite the fact that the derivator encodes a lot of the homotopy theory of $\mathscr{W}$, it still forgets the higher homotopical information of the self-equivalences of $\mathscr{W}$, and in some sense that is exactly everything that it forgets Ren09.

Thus the failure of the generalized comparison conjecture in this case is due to the homotopical complexity of the algebraic $K$-theory of spaces. This could suggest that it may however be true if we restrict to categories of algebraic origin such as, for instance, the category $\mathscr{W}=\operatorname{Perf}(A)$ of perfect right modules over a differential graded algebra $A$. Note that $\mathbb{D}(\operatorname{Perf}(A))$ is a triangulated derivator while $\mathbb{D}\left(\mathcal{R}_{f}(X)\right)$ is not.

Our first theorem shows that the generalized comparison conjecture is false even in this algebraic context. Moreover, it shows that $K_{n}(\operatorname{Perf}(A))$ need not be isomorphic to $K_{n}(\mathbb{D}(\operatorname{Perf}(A)))$ even abstractly as abelian groups. This question was so far open.

Let $A=\mathbb{F}_{p}\left[x^{ \pm 1}\right]$ be the graded algebra of Laurent polynomials with a degree -1 indeterminate $x,|x|=-1$, over the field with $p$ elements, $p$ a prime number, endowed with the trivial differential $d=0$.

Let $B$ be the graded algebra over the integers $\mathbb{Z}$ generated by $e$ and $x^{ \pm 1}$ in degree $|e|=|x|=-1$ with the following additional relations,

$$
e^{2}=0, \quad e x+x e=x^{2},
$$

endowed with the degree 1 differential $d$ defined by

$$
d(e)=p, \quad d(x)=0 .
$$

Notice that $H^{*}(B)=A$.
Theorem 1.2. The differential graded algebras $A$ and $B$ have equivalent derivator $K$-theory,

$$
K(\mathbb{D}(\operatorname{Perf}(A))) \simeq K(\mathbb{D}(\operatorname{Perf}(B))),
$$

but different Waldhausen $K$-theory $K_{i}(\operatorname{Perf}(A)) \not \neq K_{i}(\operatorname{Perf}(B))$ in dimension $i=4$ if $p>3$, in dimension $i=5$ if $p=3$, and in dimension $i=3$ if $p=2$.

This is something close, although not actually a counterexample to Maltsiniotis's original comparison conjecture. Nevertheless, we succeed in showing that the comparison conjecture cannot be true if the localization conjecture holds. The localization conjecture says that any pair of composable pseudo-natural transformations between triangulated derivators

$$
\mathbb{D}^{\prime} \longrightarrow \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}^{\prime \prime}
$$

that yields a short exact sequence of triangulated categories $\mathbb{D}^{\prime}(I) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(I) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^{\prime \prime}(I)$ at any finite direct category $I$, induces a homotopy fibration in $K$-theory spaces,

$$
K\left(\mathbb{D}^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow K(\mathbb{D}) \longrightarrow K\left(\mathbb{D}^{\prime \prime}\right) .
$$

Theorem 1.3. Derivator $K$-theory does not satisfy both the comparison and localization conjectures.

## 2. The comparison homomorphisms

Let $\mathscr{M}$ be a stable pointed model category Hov99. An object $X$ in the triangulated category $\mathscr{T}=\operatorname{Ho}(\mathscr{M})$ is compact if the functor $\mathscr{T}(X,-)$ preserves coproducts. In most cases, the Waldhausen category $\mathscr{W}$ will essentially be the full subcategory of compact cofibrant objects in some $\mathscr{M}$. For any direct category $I$ we equip the diagram category $\mathscr{M}^{I}$ with the model structure where weak equivalences and fibrations are defined levelwise [Hov99, Theorem 5.1.3].

The poset $\mathbf{n}=\{0<1<\cdots<n\}$ is the free category generated by the following Dynkin quiver of type $A_{n+1}$,

$$
Q_{n}=0 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow n .
$$

A compact cofibrant object in $\mathscr{M}^{\mathbf{n}}$ is a sequence of cofibrations between compact cofibrant objects in $\mathscr{M}$,

$$
X_{0} \mapsto X_{1} \mapsto \cdots \mapsto X_{n}
$$

Objects in the category $S_{n+1} \mathscr{W}$ are such sequences together with choices of cofibers $X_{i j}=X_{j} / X_{i}, 0 \leq i \leq j \leq n$, such that $X_{i i}=0$ is the distinguished zero object of $\mathscr{W}$. The morphisms are defined as commutative diagrams in the obvious way. It is clear that $S_{n+1} \mathscr{W}$ is equivalent to the full subcategory of $\mathscr{M}^{\mathbf{n}}$ that consists of the compact cofibrant objects, the equivalence being the functor forgetting the choice of cofibers. The categories $\operatorname{Ho}\left(\mathscr{W}^{\mathbf{n}}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Ho}\left(S_{n+1} \mathscr{W}\right)$ are also equivalent. For $n=0, S_{0} \mathscr{W}$ is the category with one object 0 and one morphism (the identity).

These categories assemble to a simplicial Waldhausen category $S_{\bullet} \mathscr{W}$ where $d_{i+1}$ and $s_{i+1}$ are induced by the usual functors $d^{i}: \mathbf{n}-\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{n}$ and $s^{i}: \mathbf{n}+\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{n}$, $0 \leq i \leq n$, the degeneracy $s_{0}$ adds 0 at the beginning of the sequence,

$$
0 \mapsto X_{0} \mapsto X_{1} \mapsto \cdots \mapsto X_{n}
$$

and the face $d_{0}$ uses the choice of cofibers

$$
X_{1} / X_{0} \mapsto \cdots \mapsto X_{n} / X_{0}
$$

Waldhausen's $K$-theory space of $\mathscr{W}$ is defined to be the loop space of the geometric realization of the simplicial subcategory of weak equivalences Wal85,

$$
K(\mathscr{W})=\Omega\left|w S_{\bullet} \mathscr{W}\right| .
$$

In most cases of interest, the Waldhausen category $\mathscr{W}$ produces a right pointed derivator $\mathbb{D}(\mathscr{W})$ Cis10a. The $K$-theory space of $\mathbb{D}(\mathscr{W})$ is naturally weakly equivalent to

$$
K(\mathbb{D}(\mathscr{W})) \simeq \Omega\left|\operatorname{iso}\left(\operatorname{Ho}\left(S_{\bullet} \mathscr{W}\right)\right)\right|
$$

where iso(-) denotes the subcategory of isomorphisms, compare Gar05, Mur08. The natural comparison map (1.1) is induced by the canonical simplicial functor $S_{\bullet} \mathscr{W} \rightarrow \operatorname{Ho}\left(S_{\bullet} \mathscr{W}\right)$, which takes weak equivalences to isomorphisms, and therefore gives rise to a map

$$
\mu: \Omega\left|w S_{\bullet} \mathscr{W}\right| \longrightarrow \Omega\left|\operatorname{iso}\left(\operatorname{Ho}\left(S_{\bullet} \mathscr{W}\right)\right)\right|
$$

## 3. The proof of Theorem 1.2

For any commutative Frobenius ring $R$ we denote $\underline{\operatorname{Mod}(R)}$ the stable category of $R$-modules, i.e., the quotient of the category $\operatorname{Mod}(R)$ of $R$-modules by the ideal of morphisms which factor through a projective object. We denote $\mathscr{M}_{R}$ the stable pointed model category structure on $\operatorname{Mod}(R)$ where fibrations are the epimorphisms, cofibrations are the monomorphisms, and weak equivalences are those homomorphisms which project to an isomorphism in $\operatorname{Mod}(R)$ Hov99, Theorem 2.2.12]. The homotopy category is $\operatorname{Ho}\left(\mathscr{M}_{R}\right)=\underline{\operatorname{Mod}}(R)$ and the compact objects are essentially the finitely generated $R$-modules. We denote $\mathscr{W}_{R}$ the Waldhausen subcategory of finitely generated $R$-modules, whose underlying category is denoted by $\bmod (R)$. Its homotopy category $\operatorname{Ho}\left(\mathscr{W}_{R}\right)=\underline{\bmod }(R)$ is the full subcategory of $\underline{\operatorname{Mod}}(R)$ spanned by the finitely generated $R$-modules.

In this section we will compute $\operatorname{Ho}\left(\mathscr{W}_{R}^{\mathbf{n}}\right)$ for $R$ a commutative noetherian local ring with principal maximal ideal $(\alpha) \neq 0$ such that $\alpha^{2}=0$. We denote $k=R / \alpha$ the residue field. The ring $R$ is Frobenius by Lam99, Theorems 15.27 and 16.14], since the socle of $R$ is $(\alpha) \cong k$. The upshot of the computation will be that the category $\operatorname{Ho}\left(\mathscr{W}_{R}^{\mathbf{n}}\right)$ is determined up to equivalence by the field $k$.

The first important observation, for $n=0$, is that the full inclusion of the category of finite dimensional $k$-vector spaces $\bmod (k) \subset \bmod (R)$ induced by the unique ring homomorphism $q: R \rightarrow k$ gives rise to an equivalence of categories

$$
\bmod (k) \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\bmod }(R) .
$$

For any $n \geq 0$, this functor induces a section of the canonical functor $\operatorname{Ho}\left(\mathscr{W}_{R}^{\mathbf{n}}\right) \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{Ho}\left(\mathscr{W}_{R}\right)^{\mathbf{n}}$, since the following composite is an equivalence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bmod (k)^{\mathbf{n}} \subset \bmod (R)^{\mathbf{n}}=\mathscr{W}_{R}^{\mathbf{n}} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ho}\left(\mathscr{W}_{R}^{\mathbf{n}}\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ho}\left(\mathscr{W}_{R}\right)^{\mathbf{n}}=\underline{\bmod }(R)^{\mathbf{n}} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 3.2. The additive functor $\operatorname{Ho}\left(\mathscr{W}_{R}^{\mathbf{n}}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ho}\left(\mathscr{W}_{R}\right)^{\mathbf{n}}$ is full, essentially surjective, and reflects isomorphisms. In particular it induces a bijection between the corresponding monoids of isomorphism classes of objects.

Proof. By Cis10a, Proposition 2.15] the functor is full and essentially surjective. It reflects isomorphisms since weak equivalences in $\mathscr{W}_{R}$ satisfy the strong saturation property.

The category $\bmod (k)^{\mathbf{n}}$ is well known since it is the category of finite-dimensional representations of the quiver $Q_{n}$ over the field $k$. The monoid of isomorphism classes of objects is freely generated by the following set of indecomposable representations,

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{n} & =\left\{M_{i, j} ; 0 \leq i \leq j \leq n\right\}, \\
M_{i, j} & =\underbrace{0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 0}_{i} \rightarrow \underbrace{k \rightarrow \cdots}_{j-i+1} \rightarrow \vec{\rightarrow} \rightarrow \underbrace{0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 0}_{n-j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Given a category $\mathscr{C}$ and a set of objects $E$ in $\mathscr{C}$ we denote $\mathscr{C}_{E} \subset \mathscr{C}$ the full subcategory spanned by the objects in $E$. We now describe $\bmod (k)_{E_{n}}^{\mathbf{n}}$. Consider the poset

$$
P_{n}=\{(i, j) ; 0 \leq i \leq j \leq n\} \subset \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}
$$

and the corresponding $k$-linear category $k\left[P_{n}\right]$. We refer to Mit72] for the basics on the ring and module theory of linear categories. Then there is an isomorphism of $k$-linear categories

$$
k\left[P_{n}\right]^{\mathrm{op}} /((i-1, i-1) \leq(i, i))_{i=1}^{n} \xrightarrow{\cong} \bmod (k)_{E_{n}}^{\mathbf{n}}
$$

sending $(i, j)$ to $M_{i, j}$ and $\left(i_{1}, j_{1}\right) \leq\left(i_{2}, j_{2}\right)$ to the morphism $M_{i_{2}, j_{2}} \rightarrow M_{i_{1}, j_{1}}$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow k \xrightarrow{1} \cdots \xrightarrow{1} k \xrightarrow{1} k \xrightarrow{1} \cdots \xrightarrow{1} k \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Equivalently, $\bmod (k)_{E_{n}}^{\mathbf{n}}$ is isomorphic to the $k$-linear category of the following quiver $\Gamma_{Q_{n}}$

e.g. for $n=3$

with relations:


- The composite $(i, i) \quad(i-1, i-1)$ is trivial for $0<i \leq n$.

This category will be denoted by $k_{\tau} \Gamma_{Q_{n}}$ since it is (isomorphic to) the mesh category of the quiver $\Gamma_{Q_{n}}$ with translation $\tau(i, j)=(i+1, j+1), 0 \leq i \leq j<n$, which is the Auslander-Reiten quiver of $Q_{n}$, see BG82]. The arrows $\nearrow$ and $\searrow$ in $\Gamma_{Q_{n}}$ correspond to the following morphisms,

Recall that given a $k$-linear category $\mathscr{C}$ and a $\mathscr{C}$-bimodule $D$ the semidirect product $D \rtimes \mathscr{C}$ is the category with the same objects as $\mathscr{C}$, morphism $k$-modules

$$
(D \rtimes \mathscr{C})(X, Y)=D(X, Y) \oplus \mathscr{C}(X, Y)
$$

and composition defined by

$$
(b, g)(a, f)=(b \cdot f+g \cdot a, g f)
$$

Let $D_{n}$ be the $k_{\tau} \Gamma_{Q_{n}}$-bimodule with generators

$$
\varphi_{i} \in D_{n}((0, i-1),(i, n)), \quad 0<i \leq n
$$

and relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
((i+1, n) \rightarrow(i, n)) \cdot \varphi_{i+1} & =\varphi_{i} \cdot((0, i) \rightarrow(0, i-1)), \quad 0<i<n \\
\quad((1, n) \rightarrow(0, n)) \cdot \varphi_{1} & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

This $k_{\tau} \Gamma_{Q_{n}}$-bimodule is

$$
D_{n}\left(\left(i_{1}, j_{1}\right),\left(i_{2}, j_{2}\right)\right) \cong k, \quad i_{1}+1 \leq i_{2} \leq j_{1}+1 \leq j_{2}
$$

generated by

$$
\left(\left(i_{2}, n\right) \rightarrow\left(i_{2}, j_{2}\right)\right) \cdot \varphi_{i_{2}} \cdot\left(\left(i_{1}, j_{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(0, i_{2}-1\right)\right)
$$

and zero otherwise. It is a well-known fact from representation theory that, under the isomorphism $k_{\tau} \Gamma_{Q_{n}} \cong \bmod (k)_{E_{n}}^{\mathbf{n}}$, we have a bimodule isomorphism $D_{n} \cong$ $\operatorname{Ext}_{\bmod (k)^{\mathbf{n}}}^{1}$ sending $\varphi_{i}$ to the element represented by the following extension
compare [ARS95], hence

$$
D_{n} \rtimes k_{\tau} \Gamma_{Q_{n}} \cong \operatorname{Ext}_{\bmod (k)^{\mathbf{n}}}^{1} \rtimes \bmod (k)_{E_{n}}^{\mathbf{n}}
$$

For $0 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ we consider the cofibrant replacement $r_{i, j}: \tilde{M}_{i, j} \xrightarrow{\sim} M_{i, j}$ of $M_{i, j}$ in $\mathscr{W}_{R}^{\mathbf{n}}$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow k \xrightarrow{1} \cdots \xrightarrow{1} k \xrightarrow{\alpha} R \xrightarrow{1} \cdots \xrightarrow{1} R \\
& \downarrow \\
& \downarrow \\
& 0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow{ }^{\downarrow} \rightarrow k \xrightarrow{1} \cdots \xrightarrow{\downarrow} \stackrel{\downarrow}{\rightarrow} k \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that $r_{i, n}$ is the identity, $0 \leq i \leq n$.
Proposition 3.5. There is an isomorphism of categories

$$
D_{n} \rtimes k_{\tau} \Gamma_{Q_{n}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{Ho}\left(\mathscr{W}_{R}^{\mathbf{n}}\right)_{E_{n}}
$$

which is defined on the second factor by the isomorphism $k_{\tau} \Gamma_{Q_{n}} \cong \bmod (k)_{E_{n}}^{\mathbf{n}}$ and the splitting (3.1), and on the first factor it sends $\varphi_{i}, 0<i \leq n$, to the inverse of $r_{0, i-1}$ in the homotopy category composed with the morphism $\tilde{\varphi}_{i}: \tilde{M}_{0, i-1} \rightarrow M_{i, n}$ below,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k \xrightarrow{1} \cdots \xrightarrow{1} k \xrightarrow{\alpha} R \xrightarrow{1} \cdots \xrightarrow{1} R
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. The arrows $M_{i, j} \rightarrow M_{i-1, j}$ and $M_{i, j} \rightarrow M_{i, j-1}$ in (3.3) and (3.4) lift to the following two morphisms $\tilde{M}_{i, j} \rightarrow \tilde{M}_{i-1, j}$ and $\tilde{M}_{i, j} \rightarrow \tilde{M}_{i, j-1}$ between cofibrant replacements, respectively,

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow k \xrightarrow{1} \cdots \xrightarrow{1} k \xrightarrow{1} k \xrightarrow{\alpha} R \xrightarrow{1} \cdots \xrightarrow{1} R \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Given $\left(i_{1}, j_{1}\right) \geq\left(i_{2}, j_{2}\right)$ we denote

$$
\nu_{i_{1}, j_{1} ; i_{2}, j_{2}}: M_{i_{1}, j_{1}} \longrightarrow M_{i_{2}, j_{2}}, \quad \tilde{\nu}_{i_{1}, j_{1} ; i_{2}, j_{2}}: \tilde{M}_{i_{1}, j_{1}} \longrightarrow \tilde{M}_{i_{2}, j_{2}}
$$

the unique composite of (3.3's and 3.4's, and of 3.6's and 3.7's, respectively. We have $r_{i_{2}, j_{2}} \tilde{\nu}_{i_{1}, j_{1} ; i_{2}, j_{2}}=\nu_{i_{1}, j_{1} ; i_{2}, j_{2}} r_{i_{1}, j_{1}}$.

One can easily check by inspection that the epimorphism $r_{i_{1}, j_{1}}$ induces the monomorphism

$$
\bmod (R)^{\mathbf{n}}\left(M_{i_{1}, j_{1}}, M_{i_{2}, j_{2}}\right) \hookrightarrow \bmod (R)^{\mathbf{n}}\left(\tilde{M}_{i_{1}, j_{1}}, M_{i_{2}, j_{2}}\right)
$$

given by:

- $k \hookrightarrow k$, an isomorphism, if $i_{2} \leq i_{1} \leq j_{2} \leq j_{1}$;
- $0 \hookrightarrow k$ if $i_{2} \leq j_{1}+1 \leq j_{2}$, with target generated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu_{i_{2}, n ; i_{2}, j_{2}} \tilde{\varphi}_{i_{2}} \tilde{\nu}_{i_{1}, j_{1} ; 0, i_{2}-1} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $i_{1}+1 \leq i_{2}$; and if $i_{1} \geq i_{2}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow k \xrightarrow{1} \cdots \xrightarrow{1} k \xrightarrow{\alpha} R \xrightarrow{1} \cdots \xrightarrow{1} R \xrightarrow{1} R \xrightarrow{1} \cdots \xrightarrow{1} R \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

- $0 \hookrightarrow 0$ otherwise.

The morphism 3.9 is trivial in $\operatorname{Ho}\left(\mathscr{W}_{R}^{\mathbf{n}}\right)$ since it factors through a contractible object as follows,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow k \xrightarrow{1} \cdots \xrightarrow{1} k \xrightarrow{\alpha} R \xrightarrow{1} \cdots \xrightarrow{1} R \xrightarrow{1} R \xrightarrow{1} \cdots \xrightarrow{1} R
\end{aligned}
$$

On the contrary (3.8) cannot be trivial in $\operatorname{Ho}\left(\mathscr{W}_{R}^{\mathbf{n}}\right)$ since it contains a commutative square of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k \xrightarrow{\alpha} R \\
& \downarrow \\
& 0 \rightarrow k \\
& 0 \rightarrow k
\end{aligned}
$$

which induces the non-trivial morphism $1: k \rightarrow k$ in $\operatorname{Ho}\left(\mathscr{W}_{R}\right) \simeq \bmod (k)$ on cofibers (i.e. cokernels) of horizontal arrows (which are cofibrations).

These computations prove that the kernel of $\operatorname{Ho}\left(\mathscr{W}_{R}^{\mathbf{n}}\right)_{E_{n}} \rightarrow \operatorname{Ho}\left(\mathscr{W}_{R}\right)_{E_{n}}^{\mathbf{n}}$ is the ideal $I_{n}$ with

$$
I_{n}\left(M_{i_{1}, j_{1}}, M_{i_{2}, j_{2}}\right) \cong k, \quad i_{1}+1 \leq i_{2} \leq j_{1}+1 \leq j_{2}
$$

generated by the inverse of $r_{i_{1}, j_{1}}$ composed with (3.8); and zero otherwise.
In order to prove that the functor in the statement is well defined we only have to check that $I_{n}$ is a square-zero ideal. The only non-trivial cases to check are the composites of generators

$$
M_{i_{1}, j_{1}} \longrightarrow M_{i_{2}, j_{2}} \longrightarrow M_{i_{3}, j_{3}}, \quad i_{1}+3 \leq i_{2}+2 \leq i_{3}+1 \leq j_{1}+2 \leq j_{2}+1 \leq j_{3}
$$

Such a composite, precomposed with the isomorphism $r_{i_{1}, j_{1}}$ in the homotopy category, is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nu_{i_{3}, n ; i_{3}, j_{3}} \tilde{\varphi}_{i_{3}} \tilde{\nu}_{i_{2}, j_{2} ; 0, i_{3}-1} \tilde{\nu}_{i_{2}, n ; i_{2}, j_{2}} \tilde{\varphi}_{i_{2}} \tilde{\nu}_{i_{1}, j_{1} ; 0, i_{2}-1} \\
&=\nu_{i_{3}, n ; i_{3}, j_{3}} \tilde{\varphi}_{i_{3}} \tilde{\nu}_{i_{2}, n ; 0, i_{3}-1} \tilde{\varphi}_{i_{2}} \tilde{\nu}_{i_{1}, j_{1} ; 0, i_{2}-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

The morphism $\tilde{\nu}_{i_{2}, n ; 0, i_{3}-1}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow k \xrightarrow{1} \cdots \xrightarrow{1} k \xrightarrow{1} k \xrightarrow{1} \cdots \xrightarrow{1} k
\end{aligned}
$$

therefore $\tilde{\varphi}_{i_{3}} \tilde{\nu}_{i_{2}, n ; 0, i_{3}-1}=0$, and hence also the composite $M_{i_{1}, j_{1}} \rightarrow M_{i_{3}, j_{3}}$.
Now that we have proved that the functor is well defined, the previous computation of $I_{n}$ automatically shows that the functor is actually an isomorphism, see the descriptions of the bimodule $D_{n}$. Moreover, $\operatorname{Ho}\left(\mathscr{W}_{R}^{\mathbf{n}}\right)_{E_{n}} \rightarrow \operatorname{Ho}\left(\mathscr{W}_{R}\right)_{E_{n}}^{\mathbf{n}}$ and its splitting correspond to the projection and the inclusion of the second factor of $D_{n} \rtimes k_{\tau} \Gamma_{Q_{n}}$, respectively.

For any $k$-linear category $\mathscr{C}$ we denote $\mathscr{C}_{+}$the $k$-linear category obtained by formally adding a zero object 0 . This is the left adjoint of the forgetful functor from $k$-linear categories with zero object to $k$-linear categories.

We define the simplicial $k$-linear category with zero object $\mathcal{D}_{\bullet}(k)$ as $\mathcal{D}_{0}(k)=0$, $\mathcal{D}_{n+1}(k)=\left(D_{n} \rtimes k_{\tau} \Gamma_{Q_{n}}\right)_{+}, n \geq 0$, faces and degeneracies defined on objects by

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{t+1}(i, j) & = \begin{cases}(i-1, j-1), & 0 \leq t<i \leq j \leq n \\
(i, j-1), & 0 \leq i \leq t \leq j \leq n, i<j \\
0, & 0 \leq i=t=j \leq n \\
(i, j), & 0 \leq i \leq j<t \leq n\end{cases} \\
d_{0}(i, j) & = \begin{cases}(j+1, n-1), & 0=i \leq j<n \\
0, & 0=i \leq j=n \\
(i-1, j-1), & 0<i \leq j \leq n\end{cases} \\
s_{t+1}(i, j) & = \begin{cases}(i+1, j+1), & -1 \leq t<i \leq j \leq n \\
(i, j+1), & 0 \leq i \leq t \leq j \leq n \\
(i, j), & 0 \leq i \leq j<t \leq n\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

on morphisms in $k_{\tau} \Gamma_{Q_{n}}$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{t}\left(\left(i_{1}, j_{1}\right) \geq\left(i_{2}, j_{2}\right)\right)= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } d_{t}\left(i_{1}, j_{1}\right)=0 \text { or } d_{t}\left(i_{2}, j_{2}\right)=0 \\
d_{t}\left(i_{1}, j_{1}\right) \geq d_{t}\left(i_{2}, j_{2}\right), & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& s_{t}\left(\left(i_{1}, j_{1}\right) \geq\left(i_{2}, j_{2}\right)\right)=s_{t}\left(i_{1}, j_{1}\right) \geq s_{t}\left(i_{2}, j_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and on generators of $D_{n}$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{t+1}\left(\varphi_{i}\right)= \begin{cases}0, & t=0, i=1 \\
\varphi_{i-1}, & 0 \leq t<i \leq n, i>1 \\
\varphi_{i}, & 0<i \leq t \leq n\end{cases} \\
& d_{0}\left(\varphi_{i}\right)=1_{(i-1, n-1)}, \\
& 0<i \leq n
\end{aligned}, \begin{array}{ll}
\varphi_{i+1}, & 0 \leq t<i \leq n \\
\varphi_{i}, & 0<i \leq t \leq n
\end{array}, ~ \begin{array}{ll}
s_{t+1}\left(\varphi_{i}\right) & =\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { a }
\end{array}\right. \\
s_{0}\left(\varphi_{i}\right) & =\varphi_{i+1} \cdot((1, i) \rightarrow(0, i)), \quad 0<i \leq n
\end{array}
$$

We leave the reader the tedious but straightforward task to check that $\mathcal{D}_{\bullet}(k)$ is well defined. Notice that this simplicial category only depends on the field $k$. Actually, it is functorial in $k$. Moreover, the inclusion of the second factors

$$
\mathcal{E}_{n}(k):=\left(k_{\tau} \Gamma_{Q_{n}}\right)_{+} \subset \mathcal{D}_{n}(k)
$$

define a simplicial $k$-linear subcategory with zero object $\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}(k) \subset \mathcal{D}_{\bullet}(k)$, however the face $d_{0}$ does not take all elements in $D_{n}$ to elements in $D_{n-1}$.

Consider the following set of objects of $S_{n+1} \mathscr{W}_{R}$,

$$
\tilde{E}_{n}=\left\{\tilde{M}_{i, j} ; 0 \leq i \leq j \leq n\right\}, \quad n \geq 0 ; \quad \tilde{E}_{-1}=\emptyset
$$

with the choices of cofibers indicated in the following short exact sequences,

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
0 \hookrightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0, & 0 \hookrightarrow k \stackrel{1}{\rightarrow} k, & k \stackrel{1}{\hookrightarrow} k \rightarrow 0,  \tag{3.10}\\
0 \hookrightarrow R \stackrel{1}{\rightarrow} R, & k \stackrel{\alpha}{\hookrightarrow} R \stackrel{q}{\rightarrow} k, & R \stackrel{1}{\hookrightarrow} R \rightarrow 0 .
\end{array}
$$

Note that the full inclusions $\operatorname{Ho}\left(S_{n} \mathscr{W}_{R}\right)_{\tilde{E}_{n-1} \cup\{0\}} \subset \operatorname{Ho}\left(S_{n} \mathscr{W}_{R}\right)$ define a simplicial subcategory with zero object $\operatorname{Ho}\left(S_{\bullet} \mathscr{W}_{R}\right)_{\tilde{E}_{\bullet-1} \cup\{0\}} \subset \operatorname{Ho}\left(S_{\bullet} \mathscr{W}_{R}\right)$.
Lemma 3.11. There is a unique isomorphism

$$
\xi(R, \alpha): \mathcal{D}_{\bullet}(k) \cong \operatorname{Ho}\left(S_{\bullet} \mathscr{W}_{R}\right)_{\tilde{E}_{\bullet-1}}
$$

such that the cofibrant replacements $r_{i, j}: \tilde{M}_{i, j} \rightarrow M_{i, j}$ define natural isomorphisms indicated with double arrow in the following diagram, $0 \leq i \leq j \leq n$,


This lemma is just an observation. Actually, this observation motivated our definition of $\mathcal{D}_{\bullet}(k)$.

The additivization of a $k$-linear category $\mathscr{C}$ is the category $\mathscr{C}$ add whose objects are sequences $\left(C_{1}, \ldots, C_{n}\right)$ of finite length $n \geq 0$ of objects in $\mathscr{C}$, and whose morphisms are matrices,

$$
\mathscr{C}^{\text {add }}\left(\left(C_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{n},\left(C_{j}^{\prime}\right)_{j=1}^{m}\right)=\bigoplus_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ 1 \leq j \leq m}} \mathscr{C}\left(C_{i}, C_{j}^{\prime}\right)
$$

This is an additive $k$-linear category with zero object () the empty sequence (of legth $n=0$ ). The additivization is the left adjoint (in the weak 2-categorical sense) of the forgetful 2 -functor from small additive $k$-linear categories to small $k$-linear linear categories (weak because the counit is given by the choice of direct sums $C_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus C_{n}$ and zero object 0 in $\left.\mathscr{C}\right)$. Moreover, if $E$ is a set of generators of the monoid of isomorphism classes of objects of $\mathscr{C}$ then an adjoint $\mathscr{C}_{E}^{\text {add }} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathscr{C}$ of the full inclusion $\mathscr{C}_{E} \subset \mathscr{C}$ is an equivalence.

Corollary 3.12. The isomorphism $\xi(R, \alpha)$ in Lemma 3.11 induces a simplicial $k$-linear functor

$$
\tilde{\xi}(R, \alpha): \mathcal{D}_{\bullet}(k)^{\text {add }} \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Ho}\left(S \bullet \mathscr{W}_{R}\right)
$$

which is a levelwise equivalence. In particular, restricting to isomorphisms we obtain a simplicial functor which is a levelwise equivalence,

$$
\operatorname{iso}(\tilde{\xi}(R, \alpha)): \operatorname{iso}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\bullet}(k)^{\text {add }}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{iso}\left(\operatorname{Ho}\left(S \bullet \mathscr{W}_{R}\right)\right)
$$

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.2 .

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $p$ be a prime. The rings $\mathbb{F}_{p}[\varepsilon] / \varepsilon^{2}$ and $\mathbb{Z} / p^{2}$ are commutative noetherian local with non-trivial maximal ideal generated by $\alpha=\varepsilon$ and $p$, respectively, and such that $\alpha^{2}=0$, therefore they satisfy the assumptions of this section.

Dugger and Shipley proved that $\mathscr{M}_{\mathbb{F}_{p}[\varepsilon] / \varepsilon^{2}}$ and $\mathscr{M}_{\mathbb{Z} / p^{2}}$ are Quillen equivalent to the categories $\operatorname{Mod}(A)$ and $\operatorname{Mod}(B)$ of right modules over the differential graded algebras defined in the introduction, respectively, where weak equivalences are quasiisomorphisms and fibrations are levelwise surjections DS09, Corollary 4.4] (we here use cohomological degree, unlike Dugger and Shipley). This, together with the approximation theorem in $K$-theory (e.g. see Cis10b, Théorème 2.15], DS04, Corollary 3.10]) shows that we can replace everywhere $\operatorname{Perf}(A)$ and $\operatorname{Perf}(B)$ with $\mathscr{W}_{\mathbb{F}_{p}[\varepsilon] / \varepsilon^{2}}$ and $\mathscr{W}_{\mathbb{Z} / p^{2}}$, respectively.

Now the equivalence in the statement of the theorem is obtained by taking the loop space of the geometric realization of the second map in the previous corollary. The last claim for $p>3$ is a computation made in [Sch02, 1.6], see also [DS09, Remark 4.9].

For $p=2$ we can apply the computations $K_{3}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} / 3, K_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right)=0$ Qui72, Theorem 8], $K_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}[\varepsilon] / \varepsilon^{2}\right)=0$ vdK71, and $K_{2}(\mathbb{Z} / 4) \cong \mathbb{Z} / 2$ DS75, Corollary 4.4] to the long exact sequences arising from the homotopy fibration in [Sch02, 1.5] in order to obtain exact sequences,

$$
\mathbb{Z} / 3 \rightarrow K_{3}\left(\mathscr{W}_{\mathbb{F}_{2}[\varepsilon] / \varepsilon^{2}}\right) \rightarrow 0, \quad \mathbb{Z} / 3 \rightarrow K_{3}\left(\mathscr{W}_{\mathbb{Z} / 4}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / 2 \rightarrow 0
$$

Therefore $K_{3}\left(\mathscr{W}_{\mathbb{Z} / 4}\right)$ contains a cyclic group of order 2 unlike $K_{3}\left(\mathscr{W}_{\mathbb{F}_{2}[\varepsilon] / \varepsilon^{2}}\right)$, so they cannot be isomorphic.

For $p=3$ we apply the calculations $K_{4}\left(\mathbb{F}_{3}[\varepsilon] / \varepsilon^{2}\right)=0$ and $K_{4}(\mathbb{Z} / 9) \cong \mathbb{Z} / 3$ in Ang together with the well known $K_{5}\left(\mathbb{F}_{3}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} / 26$ and $K_{4}\left(\mathbb{F}_{3}\right)=0$ from Qui72, Theorem 8]. In this case we obtain the following exact sequences,

$$
\mathbb{Z} / 26 \rightarrow K_{5}\left(\mathscr{W}_{\mathbb{F}_{3}[\varepsilon] / \varepsilon^{2}}\right) \rightarrow 0, \quad \mathbb{Z} / 26 \rightarrow K_{5}\left(\mathscr{W}_{\mathbb{Z} / 9}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / 3 \rightarrow 0
$$

Hence $K_{5}\left(\mathscr{W}_{\mathbb{Z} / 9}\right)$ contains a cyclic group of order 3 and $K_{5}\left(\mathscr{W}_{\mathbb{F}_{2}}[\varepsilon] / \varepsilon^{2}\right)$ does not, so they are not isomorphic.

Remark 3.13. Note that the approximation theorem in $K$-theory implies that the stable model categories $\mathscr{M}_{\mathbb{F}_{p}[\varepsilon] / \varepsilon^{2}}$ and $\mathscr{M}_{\mathbb{Z} / p^{2}}$ cannot be connected by a zigzag of Quillen equivalences. By a result of Renaudin Ren09, Théorème 3.3.2], it follows that the associated triangulated derivators are not equivalent. However, by Corollary 3.12 their difference cannot be detected on the part that is involved in the definition of derivator $K$-theory.

## 4. The proof of Theorem 1.3

Given a commutative noetherian ring $R$, let $\bmod (R)$ be the abelian (in particular exact) category of finitely generated $R$-modules and $j: \operatorname{proj}(R) \rightarrow \bmod (R)$ the inclusion of the full exact subcategory of projectives. There is an induced morphism between the associated triangulated derivators,

$$
\mathbb{D}(j): \mathbb{D}(\operatorname{proj}(R)) \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}(\bmod (R)),
$$

which is given for every $I$ in $\mathbf{D i r}_{f}$ by the inclusion of a thick subcategory,

$$
\mathbb{D}(j)(I): D^{b}\left(\operatorname{proj}(R)^{I^{\mathrm{op}}}\right) \longrightarrow D^{b}\left(\bmod (R)^{I^{\mathrm{op}}}\right)
$$

The pointwise Verdier quotients define a prederivator,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\underline{\mathbb{D}}(R): \mathbf{D i r}_{f}^{\mathrm{op}} & \longrightarrow \mathbf{C a t} \\
I & \mapsto D^{b}\left(\bmod (R)^{I^{\mathrm{op}}}\right) / D^{b}\left(\operatorname{proj}(R)^{I^{\mathrm{op}}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $e$ denote the terminal category and, given $A$ in $\operatorname{Dir}_{f}$ and an object $a$ in $A$, let $i_{A, a}: e \rightarrow A$ be the inclusion of $a$.

Proposition 4.1. For every finite direct category $A$, the collection of functors $i_{A, a}^{*}: \underline{\mathbb{D}}(R)(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(R)(e)$, a in $A$, is conservative, i.e., a morphism $f$ in $\underline{\mathbb{D}}(R)(A)$ is an isomorphism if and only if $i_{A, a}^{*}(f)$ is an isomorphism for every a in $A$.

In the proof of this proposition we will use the following lemma.
Lemma 4.2. Let $P^{*}$ be a bounded above complex of projective $R$-modules which is quasi-isomorphic to a bounded complex of projective $R$-modules $Q^{*}$ with $Q^{m}=0$ for $m \leq N$. Then $\operatorname{Im}\left[d^{m}: P^{m-1} \rightarrow P^{m}\right]=\operatorname{Ker}\left[d^{m+1}: P^{m} \rightarrow P^{m+1}\right]$ is a projective $R$-module for all $m<N$.

Proof. Both $P^{*}$ and $Q^{*}$ are fibrant and cofibrant in the model category $C(\operatorname{Mod}(R))$ of complexes of $R$-modules Hov99, Lemma 2.3.6 and Theorem 2.3.11], therefore there exists a quasi-isomorphism $f: Q^{*} \xrightarrow{\sim} P^{*}$. The mapping cone $C_{f}^{*}$ of $f$ is a bounded above acyclic complex of projective $R$-modules, therefore $C_{f}^{*}$ is contractible Hov99, Lemma 2.3.8], in particular

$$
\operatorname{Im}\left[d^{m}: C_{f}^{m-1} \rightarrow C_{f}^{m}\right]=\operatorname{Ker}\left[d^{m+1}: C_{f}^{m} \rightarrow C_{f}^{m+1}\right]
$$

is a projective $R$-module for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. The complex $C_{f}^{*}$ coincides with $P^{*}$ in degrees $m<N$, hence we are done.

We can now prove the proposition.
Proof of Proposition 4.1. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism in $\underline{\mathbb{D}}(R)(A)$ such that $i_{A, a}^{*}(f)$ is an isomorphism for all objects $a$ in $A$. Let

$$
\tilde{f}: \tilde{X} \longrightarrow \tilde{Y}
$$

be a morphism in $\mathbb{D}(\bmod (R))(A)$ whose image under the localization functor

$$
S: \mathbb{D}(\bmod (R))(A) \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}(R)(A)
$$

is isomorphic to $f$. Since $S$ is a triangulated functor, the distinguished triangle

$$
\tilde{X} \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} \tilde{Y} \longrightarrow \tilde{Z} \longrightarrow \tilde{X}[1]
$$

in $\mathbb{D}\left(\bmod (R)^{A^{\mathrm{op}}}\right)$ maps to a distinguished triangle isomorphic to

$$
X \xrightarrow{f} Y \longrightarrow Z \longrightarrow X[1]
$$

and so, by the assumption, there is an object $P_{a}$ in $D^{b}(\operatorname{proj}(R))$ and an isomorphism $g_{a}: P_{a} \cong i_{A, a}^{*}(\tilde{Z})$ for every object $a$ in $A$. Let $N$ be an integer such that $P_{a}^{m}=0$ for every $m \leq N$ and $a$ in $A$.

We consider the closed model category, in the sense of Quillen Qui67, of bounded above complexes $C^{-}(\bmod (R))$ of finitely generated $R$-modules, where the weak equivalences are the quasi-isomorphisms and the fibrations are the levelwise surjective morphisms. In the derivable category $C^{-}(\bmod (R))^{A^{\mathrm{op}}}$ Cis10a, there is a cofibrant resolution given by a pointwise quasi-isomorphism $\tilde{Q} \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{Z}$ where $\tilde{Q}$ is a
diagram of bounded above complexes of finitely generated projective $R$-modules. By the previous lemma, replacing the complex

$$
\tilde{Q}_{a}=\cdots \rightarrow \tilde{Q}_{a}^{N-3} \xrightarrow{d^{N-2}} \tilde{Q}_{a}^{N-2} \xrightarrow{d^{N-1}} \tilde{Q}_{a}^{N-1} \xrightarrow{d^{N}} \cdots \rightarrow \tilde{Q}_{a}^{n-1} \xrightarrow{d^{n}} \tilde{Q}_{a}^{n} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots
$$

with its functorial truncation

$$
\tau_{\geq N-1} \tilde{Q}_{a}=\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker}\left(d^{N}\right) \rightarrow \tilde{Q}_{a}^{N-1} \xrightarrow{d^{N}} \cdots \rightarrow \tilde{Q}_{a}^{n-1} \xrightarrow{d^{n}} \tilde{Q}_{a}^{n} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots
$$

gives a diagram of bounded complexes of finitely generated projective $R$-modules such that the inclusion $\tau_{\geq N-1} \tilde{Q} \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{Q}$ is a pointwise quasi-isomorphism. Hence $\tilde{Z}$ is isomorphic in $D^{b}\left(\bmod (R)^{A^{\text {op }}}\right)$ to an object in $D^{b}\left(\operatorname{proj}(R)^{A^{\text {op }}}\right)$, so $S(\tilde{f})$ is an isomorphism, as required to show.

Let $\tilde{\mathscr{W}}_{R}$ be the Waldhausen category with cylinders with underlying category $C^{b}(\bmod (R))$ where cofibrations are the monomorphisms and weak equivalences are the morphisms whose mapping cone is quasi-isomorphic to a bounded complex of finitely generated projective $R$-modules. That is precisely the strongly saturated class of morphisms that map into isomorphisms under the functor $C^{b}(\bmod (R)) \rightarrow$ $D^{b}(\bmod (R)) / D^{b}(\operatorname{proj}(R))$.
Proposition 4.3. There is an isomorphism of prederivators $\mathbb{D}\left(\tilde{\mathscr{W}}_{R}\right) \cong \mathbb{D}(R)$. In particular, $\mathbb{D}(R)$ is a triangulated derivator.
Proof. Let $I$ be a finite direct category. The universal property of localizations implies that the dotted arrows can be uniquely filled in the following commutative diagram

$$
C^{b}\left(\bmod (R)^{I^{\mathrm{op} \mathrm{p}}}\right) \longrightarrow D^{b}\left(\bmod (R)^{I^{\mathrm{op}}}\right) \longrightarrow D^{b}\left(\bmod (R)^{I^{\mathrm{op}}}\right) / D^{b}\left(\operatorname{proj}(R)^{I^{\mathrm{op}}}\right) .
$$

Similarly in the following diagram, using Proposition 4.1,


By the universal property, the functors

$$
\operatorname{Ho}\left(\tilde{\mathscr{W}}_{R}^{I^{\mathrm{op}}}\right) \rightleftarrows D^{b}\left(\bmod (R)^{I^{\mathrm{op}}}\right) / D^{b}\left(\operatorname{proj}(R)^{I^{\mathrm{op}}}\right)
$$

are mutually inverse isomorphisms, natural in $I$, hence the result follows.
Proposition 4.4. Let $R$ be a commutative Frobenius ring. The full inclusion $G: \mathscr{W}_{R}=\bmod (R) \rightarrow C^{b}(\bmod (R))=\tilde{\mathscr{W}}_{R}$ of complexes concentrated in degree 0 induces an equivalence of triangulated derivators

$$
\mathbb{D}(G): \mathbb{D}\left(\mathscr{W}_{R}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{D}\left(\tilde{\mathscr{W}}_{R}\right)
$$

Proof. By Ric89, Theorem 2.1], $G$ induces an equivalence

$$
\operatorname{Ho}(G): \operatorname{Ho}\left(\mathscr{W}_{R}\right)=\underline{\bmod }(R) \xrightarrow{\sim} D^{b}(\bmod (R)) / D^{b}(\operatorname{proj}(R)) \cong \operatorname{Ho}\left(\tilde{\mathscr{W}}_{R}\right)
$$

The functor $G$ is a right exact functor between strongly saturated right derivable categories, therefore by [Cis10a, Théorèm 3.19] it induces an equivalence $\mathbb{D}(G)$ of triangulated derivators.

We are now ready to prove our second theorem.
Proof of Theorem 1.3. As in the previous section, let $R$ be a commutative noetherian local ring with principal maximal ideal $(\alpha) \neq 0$ such that $\alpha^{2}=0, k=R / \alpha$ the residue field, and $q: R \rightarrow k$ the natural projection. We consider the full simplicial subcategory $\mathcal{B}_{\bullet}(k) \subset \mathcal{E}_{\bullet}(k)$ spanned by the zero object and $(i, n), 0 \leq i \leq n$. The following set $E_{n}^{\prime}$ is a basis of the monoid of isomorphism classes of objects in $S_{n+1}(\bmod (k))$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{n}^{\prime} & =\left\{M_{i, n} ; 0 \leq i \leq n\right\}, \quad n \geq 0, \quad E_{-1}^{\prime}=\emptyset \\
M_{i, n} & =\underbrace{0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 0}_{i} \rightarrow \underbrace{k \rightarrow \cdots}_{n-i+1},
\end{aligned}
$$

with choices of cofibers as in 3.10. There is defined an isomorphism of simplicial categories preserving the zero object $\mathcal{B}_{\bullet}(k) \cong S_{\bullet}(\bmod (k))_{E_{\bullet-1}^{\prime} \cup\{0\}}:(i, n) \mapsto M_{i, n}$ sending $(i, n) \rightarrow(i-1, n)$ to


This isomorphism defines a levelwise equivalence of simplicial categories $\mathcal{B} \bullet(k)^{\text {add }} \xrightarrow{\sim}$ $S_{\bullet}(\bmod (k))$ fitting into a commutative diagram


Considering the subcategories of isomorphisms and taking the loop space of the geometric realizations in this diagram, we obtain the following commutative diagram,


Assume that derivator $K$-theory satisfies both the localization and comparison conjectures. Then $\rho$ is an equivalence and the short exact sequence of triangulated
derivators

$$
\mathbb{D}(\operatorname{proj}(R)) \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}(\bmod (R)) \longrightarrow \underline{\mathbb{D}}(R)
$$

induces a homotopy fibration of $K$-theory spaces

$$
K(\mathbb{D}(\operatorname{proj}(R))) \longrightarrow K(\mathbb{D}(\bmod (R))) \longrightarrow K(\underline{\mathbb{D}}(R))
$$

therefore $K(R)=K(\operatorname{proj}(R)) \stackrel{\rho}{\simeq} K(\mathbb{D}(\operatorname{proj}(R)))$ is the homotopy fiber of the map

$$
\Omega\left|\operatorname{iso}\left(\mathcal{B}_{\bullet}(k)^{\text {add }}\right)\right| \rightarrow \Omega\left|\operatorname{iso}\left(\mathcal{D} \bullet(k)^{\text {add }}\right)\right|
$$

which is independent of $R$ (it only depends on $k$ ), but this contradicts the fact that, for any prime number $p, \mathbb{F}_{p}[\varepsilon] / \varepsilon^{2}$ and $\mathbb{Z} / p^{2}$ have inequivalent Quillen $K$-theories. More precisely, if $p>3$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{3}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}[\varepsilon] / \varepsilon^{2}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} / p \oplus \mathbb{Z} / p \oplus \mathbb{Z} /\left(p^{2}-1\right) \\
& \nsupseteq \mathbb{Z} / p^{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z} /\left(p^{2}-1\right) \cong K_{3}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{2}\right), \\
& K_{4}\left(\mathbb{F}_{3}[\varepsilon] / \varepsilon^{2}\right) \cong 0 \nsupseteq \mathbb{Z} / 3 \cong K_{4}(\mathbb{Z} / 9), \\
& K_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}[\varepsilon] / \varepsilon^{2}\right) \cong 0 \nsubseteq \mathbb{Z} / 2 \cong K_{2}(\mathbb{Z} / 4), \text { ALPS85, Gei97, } \\
& \text { UdK71, DS75, }
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 4.5. Although the suspension functor of the triangulated category $\operatorname{Ho}\left(\mathscr{W}_{R}\right)$ can be easily seen to be naturally isomorphic to the identity functor (e.g. see Sch02]), this is not true in general for the triangulated categories $\operatorname{Ho}\left(\mathscr{W}_{R}^{\mathbf{n}}\right)$. For example, the suspension of the morphism in $\operatorname{Ho}\left(\mathscr{W}_{R}^{1}\right)$ defined by the square

is isomorphic to the morphism


These are isomorphic to the morphisms

and

respectively. Then note that the sum of the two morphisms represents the zero morphism from $k \xrightarrow{\alpha} R$ to $R \xrightarrow{q} k$ in $\mathrm{Ho}\left(\mathscr{W}_{R}^{\mathbf{1}}\right)$. Thus the argument of [Sch02, 2.3] does not apply to show that the additivity theorem for derivator $K$-theory [CN08] and the agreement on $K_{1}$ Mur08 contradict the localization conjecture.
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