



Soft magnetic properties of high-temperature nanocrystalline alloys: Permeability and magnetoimpedance

J. S. Blázquez, V. Franco, A. Conde, and L. F. Kiss

Citation: Journal of Applied Physics **93**, 2172 (2003); doi: 10.1063/1.1539294 View online: http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1539294 View Table of Contents: http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/journal/jap/93/4?ver=pdfcov Published by the AIP Publishing

Articles you may be interested in Effect of magnetic field annealing methods on soft magnetic properties for nanocrystalline (Fe0.5Co0.5)73.5Si13.5B9Nb3Cu1 alloy J. Appl. Phys. **117**, 17B729 (2015); 10.1063/1.4917324

Investigation on high-temperature magnetic permeability of Si-rich nanocrystalline (Fe0.9Co0.1)74.5Nb2Si17.5B5Cu1 alloy J. Appl. Phys. **117**, 17B701 (2015); 10.1063/1.4906297

Magnetic permeability of Si-rich (FeCoNi)-based nanocrystalline alloy: Thermal stability in a wide temperature range J. Appl. Phys. **113**, 17A310 (2013); 10.1063/1.4794718

Effect of P addition on nanocrystallization and high temperature magnetic properties of low B and Nb containing FeCo nanocomposites

J. Appl. Phys. 111, 07A301 (2012); 10.1063/1.3670056

High-temperature giant magnetoimpedance in Fe-based nanocrystalline alloy J. Appl. Phys. **87**, 5263 (2000); 10.1063/1.373315



[This article is copyrighted as indicated in the article. Reuse of AIP content is subject to the terms at: http://scitation.aip.org/termsconditions. Downloaded to] IP: 150.214.182.17 On: Wed, 27 Jan 2016 09:39:13

Soft magnetic properties of high-temperature nanocrystalline alloys: Permeability and magnetoimpedance

J. S. Blázquez, V. Franco, and A. Conde^{a)}

Departamento de Física de la Materia Condensada, ICMSE-CSIC, Universidad de Sevilla, P. O. Box 1065, 41080 Sevilla, Spain

L. F. Kiss

Research Institute for Solid State Physics and Optics, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, P. O. Box 49, 1525 Budapest, Hungary

(Received 5 June 2002; accepted 21 November 2002)

The technological applicability of FeCoNbBCu alloys is suggested in terms of measurements of room temperature magnetoimpedance and temperature dependence of magnetic permeability μ_r . Results for the Fe_{78-x}Co_xNb₆B₁₅Cu₁ alloy series show that room temperature soft magnetic properties are enhanced in the lowest Co containing alloy ($\mu_r \sim 10500$ and magnetoimpedance ratio $\sim 60\%$ at 1 MHz). However, permeability exhibits a smoother thermal dependence in the alloys with medium and high Co content. A tradeoff between magnetic softness and its thermal stability reveals the alloy with 39 at. % Co as the most suitable composition among those studied, characterized by a temperature coefficient of $\sim 0.02\%/K$ from room temperature up to 900 K. This value is 1 order of magnitude smaller than those observed for FeSiBCuNb (FINEMET-type) alloys and Mn ferrites and extended over a much wider temperature range than in these materials. © 2003 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.1539294]

I. INTRODUCTION

Soft magnetic nanocrystalline alloys have been profusely studied since the development of FINEMET (FeSiBNbCu)¹ and NANOPERM alloys (FeMB and FeMBCu, M=Nb, Zr, Hf...).² These materials present a two-phase microstructure, in which ferromagnetic nanocrystals (α -FeSi or α -Fe, respectively) are embedded in a residual ferromagnetic amorphous phase. Their outstanding soft-magnetic properties at room temperature are widely covered in the literature.³ However, as the temperature increases, soft magnetic properties are lost due to the transition of the amorphous phase to the paramagnetic state,⁴ which limits the applicability of these materials at high temperatures. Searching for soft magnetic nanocrystalline alloys with high temperature applicability led to the development of HITPERM-type alloys (FeCoMBCu),⁵ in which partial substitution of Fe by Co was shown to enhance the Curie temperature of the amorphous phase.

The higher coercivity exhibited by HITPERM alloys, compared to FINEMET and NANOPERM, has been explained in terms of the different evolution of the saturation magnetostriction constant λ_s during nanocrystallization. Whereas in FINEMET and NANOPERM alloys the contributions to λ_s coming from the crystalline and residual amorphous phases are of different sign, giving an overall value close to zero, in HITPERM alloys both contributions are positive.³ In this case, the softness of the material is controlled by the relative variation of the magnetostriction from the amorphous phase to the crystalline one.⁶

There have been numerous studies concerning the microstructural characterization and magnetic phase transition in HITPERM-type alloys.^{3,5,7-10} However, there are still few results on magnetic properties relevant for possible applications of these materials, such as magnetic permeability μ_r and giant magnetoimpedance effect (GMI). The latter has been a field of intensive research in recent years;^{11–13} to date it is a new way for the application of soft magnetic materials.^{14–17} There are numerous studies of the different factors affecting the magnetoimpedance behavior of soft magnetic nanocrystalline alloys as FINEMET and NANOP-ERM, analyzing, for example, the influence of the thermal treatments,^{18–25} compositional effects,^{18–20} the influence of domain structure and induced anisotropies,^{21–23} the effect of the measuring frequency,^{19–22,24} and temperature,^{24,25} etc. However, there is a lack of data in the literature concerning the HITPERM family of alloys.

In this work the influence of the Co to Fe ratio on the room temperature magnetoimpedance and thermal dependence of magnetic permeability of HITPERM-type alloys has been studied. It will be shown that although Co addition deteriorates the room temperature soft magnetic properties of the alloy, a wider temperature range of stable magnetic properties is obtained.

II. EXPERIMENT

Amorphous ribbons, $\sim 20 \ \mu m$ thick and $\sim 5 \ mm$ wide, of nominal compositions $Fe_{78-x}Co_xNb_6B_{15}Cu_1$ (x = 18, 39, 60) were produced at Warsaw University of Technology by the single roller melt-spinning technique. The devitrification

^{a)}Author to whom correspondence should be addressed; electronic mail: conde@us.es

TABLE I. Thermal treatments of samples submitted to magnetoimpedance experiments. Initial nanocrystalline corresponds to samples with low crystalline volume fraction, while final nanocrystalline corresponds to samples annealed up to the end of the first crystallization stage.

Alloy	Sample	Heat treatment
Fe ₆₀ Co ₁₈ Nb ₆ B ₁₅ Cu ₁	Structurally relaxed	15 min at 600 K
	Final nanocrystalline	15 min at 800 K
Fe ₃₉ Co ₃₉ Nb ₆ B ₁₅ Cu ₁	Structurally relaxed Initial nanocrystalline Final nanocrystalline	15 min at 600 K 15 min at 725 K 15 min at 850 K
Fe ₁₈ Co ₆₀ Nb ₆ B ₁₅ Cu ₁	Structurally relaxed Initial nanocrystalline Final nanocrystalline	15 min at 600 K 15 min at 700 K 15 min at 800 K

process of the alloys has been studied by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC), transmission electron microscopy and x-ray diffraction techniques.

Permeability measurements were performed on toroidal samples at a frequency of 6 kHz and an applied field low enough to assure the measurement of initial permeability (\sim 0.5 A/m). The experiment consisted of several heating–cooling cycles and both the measurement and heat treatment of the samples were performed at the same time. For these measurements two coils were wounded around the sample. With the first coil an ac field is applied to the sample, whereas the signal induced in the second coil is detected using a lock-in technique. An impedance analyzer (Hewlett-Packard 4192A) was used to calibrate the values of permeability at room temperature.

For magnetoimpedance measurements the impedance analyzer was used. Two electrical contacts were spot welded to the samples at a distance of 5 cm. DSC experiments confirmed that this procedure did not affect the devitrification process of the alloys studied. Samples were annealed in a halogen-lamp furnace in vacuum at different stages of the devitrification process presented in Table I. For measuring the field dependence of the impedance, an ac intensity of 10 mA at frequencies ranging from 0.5 to 5 MHz was applied through the sample. The maximum applied field was 7.2 kA/m.

III. RESULTS

A. Devitrification process

The devitrification process of the as-cast samples occurs in two main stages.²⁶ During the first one, a nanocrystalline microstructure is formed, in which α -FeCo nanocrystals with an average grain size of ~5 nm are embedded in a residual amorphous matrix. The crystalline volume fraction at the end of the nanocrystallization process is ~55% for the alloys with 18 and 39 at. % Co, but it is lower in the alloy with 60 at. % Co (~ 45%).²⁶ At higher temperatures (> 900 K), recrystallization of a fraction of the α -FeCo crystallites and the residual amorphous matrix produces a fully crystalline alloy, with the appearance of boride phases, mainly (FeCo) (FeCo)₂₃B₆.²⁷



FIG. 1. Initial permeability vs temperature in successive heating-cooling cycles for: (a) $Fe_{60}Co_{18}Nb_6B_{15}Cu_1$ and (b) $Fe_{39}Co_{39}Nb_6B_{15}Cu_1$ alloys. Numbers indicate the sequence of heating-cooling cycles.

B. Initial permeability

Figure 1(a) shows the evolution of the initial permeability with temperature for Fe60Co18Nb6B15Cu1 as-cast sample submitted to continuous heating and cooling cycles. The characteristic features have been numbered in the figure. Initially (1) the relaxation of the internal stresses provokes a progressive increase of the room temperature permeability; cooling cycles (2) show that permeability remains constant for temperatures lower than 550 K at this stage. A characteristic Hopkinson peak is observed at the Curie temperature of the amorphous phase, which is due to a faster decrease of magnetic anisotropy than that of magnetization with increasing temperature, and thus the permeability diverges at the Curie point.²⁸ Above the Curie point of the amorphous phase, an abrupt fall in the permeability is observed (3), due to the transition of the system to the paramagnetic state. At higher temperatures, an increase of permeability at the onset of nanocrystallization occurs (4), which is connected with the appearance of the ferromagnetic nanocrystals of the α -FeCo phase.²⁹ When this microstructure is achieved, the system shows the highest room temperature permeability. However, no Hopkinson peak can be detected at the Curie point of the residual amorphous phase (T_C^{am}) , which can be due to the non-zero value of the effective magnetic anisotropy of the whole system: the nanocrystals present a much higher Curie temperature than the amorphous matrix and therefore, μ_r does not diverge at T_C^{am} . Also, the fall in μ_r at $T_C^{\rm am}$ is smoother for the nanocrystallized sample than for the fully amorphous one. In fact, the residual amorphous matrix is not homogeneous³⁰ and thus the Curie temperature is not well defined.³¹ For this alloy, room temperature permeability shows high values at this stage, but it depends strongly on temperature, which is a nondesirable effect for high tempera-



FIG. 2. Magnetoimpedance modulus of Fe60Co18Nb6B15Cu1 alloy: (a) ascast, (b) structurally relaxed, (c) initial-nanocrystalline, and (d) finalnanocrystalline samples.

ture applications of the material. As nanocrystallization progresses, permeability increases (5), but for temperatures above the second transformation stage permeability decreases (6), and the soft magnetic properties of the material are lost in all the explored temperature range (7) due to the presence of boride-type phases. The slight increase in μ_r as temperature decreases can be explained in terms of the Curie temperatures of the boride-type phases formed, especially the $(FeCo)_{23}B_6$ phase, with $T_C = 784$ K.³²

The behavior of the other alloys studied shows similar trends, however a wider temperature range of constant permeability can be observed for the nanocrystalline samples of the alloys with higher Co content [Fig. 1(b)]: In contrast with the 18 at. % Co alloy, where μ_r decreases at temperatures above 500 K, the alloys with 39% and 60% have a constant value of permeability up to 950 and 900 K, respectively. This can be ascribed to the higher Curie temperature of the amorphous matrix, which might allow the magnetic coupling between the nanocrystals through the matrix up to higher temperatures.4

C. Magnetoimpedance

Figure 2 shows the modulus of impedance (|Z|) versus dc applied magnetic field (H), obtained at room temperature at a frequency of 1 MHz, for samples of the 18 at. % Co alloy in the as-quenched state and after annealing. The value of |Z| at the maximum applied field decreases as the crystalline fraction increases, being the same for as-cast and relaxed samples, in agreement with the reduction of dc resistivity with the progress of crystallization.

Usually the GMI effect is described in terms of the magnetoimpedance ratio (MIR), calculated as:

$$MIR = 100 \cdot \frac{|Z(H)| - |Z(H_{max})|}{|Z(H_{max})|}$$

where $|Z(H_{\text{max}})|$ is the impedance modulus measured at maximum applied field (7200 A/m). Figure 3 shows MIR values versus H, for all the studied alloys in the as-cast, structurally relaxed, initial-nanocrystalline and finalnanocrystalline states. The maximum value of MIR versus annealing temperature is represented in Fig. 4. For the alloy



FIG. 3. Magnetoimpedance ratio for the different alloys studied: (a) as-cast, (b) structurally-relaxed, (c) initial-nanocrystalline, and (d) finalnanocrystalline samples

with 18 at. % Co the GMI effect increases for the relaxed sample with respect to the as-cast sample, reaches a maximum value for the initial-nanocrystalline sample ($\sim 60\%$) and decreases for the final-nanocrystalline sample. However, for the higher Co content alloys, the maximum GMI effect appears for the as-cast samples, decreasing as the annealing temperature increases.

The magnetoimpedance scans (Fig. 3) show a singlepeak structure for all the as-cast samples studied and for the initial-nanocrystalline sample of the 18 at. % Co alloy; however a double peak structure can be observed for all the other



FIG. 4. Influence of the microstructure on the maximum magnetoimpedance ratio.



FIG. 5. Initial permeability measured at 400 K vs maximum reached temperature in the previous treatment. Arrows indicate the crystallization processes detected by DSC.

samples studied. This kind of two-peak structure and the hysteretic behavior of magnetoimpedance are deleterious for the applicability of the alloys.

IV. DISCUSSION

The behavior of the permeability and magnetoimpedance is correlated with the previously reported behavior for coercivity and magnetostriction.^{6,26} As the factors that affect the magnetic properties depend strongly on the microstructure, it is suitable to divide the samples studied in amorphous samples (as-cast and structurally relaxed samples) and nanocrystalline samples (annealed at the beginning and at the end of the nanocrystallization).

A. Amorphous samples

Figure 5 shows the initial permeability measured at 400 K (maximum temperature below which μ_r remains approximately constant for all the alloys studied and not producing further structural transformation of the samples) versus maximum achieved temperature in the previous heat treatment of the continuous heating-cooling cycle experiments. In this figure it is possible to observe the evolution of μ_r with the microstructure. An increase in permeability with the structural relaxation phenomena can be found for all the studied alloys, being the effect larger as the Co content decreases in the alloy. This evolution differs from the one reported for coercivity²⁶ and from the observed evolution in MIR (Fig. 4). In fact, only for the 18 at. % Co alloy is a clear softening of the magnetic properties observed after structural relaxation, reflected in the magnetoimpedance results as an increase in the GMI effect in structurally relaxed samples.

This difference can be understood in terms of the previously proposed mechanism for the increase in room temperature coercivity: the domain wall stabilization phenomena.⁶ Permeability measurements and heat treatments of the samples were made simultaneously, thus the annealing was under the presence of an ac field. It has been reported that annealing under ac field diminishes (or even nulls) the domain wall stabilization effect,³³ which can explain the different evolution of the permeability and the coercivity with the structural relaxation.

As it has been pointed before, the behavior of MIR with the structural relaxation agrees with the behavior found for the coercivity.^{6,26} However, the domain wall stabilization phenomena would be a suitable explanation for the magnetic hardening of the higher Co content alloys only if it is possible to confirm that the domain wall motion is a contributing mechanism to the magnetization process at the frequency used in the magnetoimpedance experiments (1 MHz). The frequency evolution of MIR in nanocrystalline alloys shows that the maximum value of MIR increases up to a certain value of frequency, after which it decreases. This fall in the GMI effect has been associated with the disappearance of the contribution of the domain wall motion to the magnetization process at high frequencies.^{15,34} Therefore, the measuring frequency was changed from 0.5 to 5 MHz in the magnetoimpedance experiments. A continuous increase in MIR was observed as the applied frequency is increased, confirming that the domain wall motion is a contributing mechanism to the magnetization process at 1 MHz and, therefore, the domain wall stabilization is a suitable explanation for the magnetic hardening of the high Co content alloys.

The two-peak structure observed in the magnetoimpedance scans is related to the magnetic anisotropy field, H_K , being equal to the observed shift of the maximum value of MIR with respect to $H=0.^{34}$ In our case, this is true for the 18 at. % Co containing alloy, however, because the domain wall stabilization phenomena affect the position of the maximum value of MIR,³⁵ it is not possible to affirm the same for the 39 and 60 at. % Co alloys.

In amorphous samples, the main contribution to the anisotropy field is the magnetoelastic anisotropy, and H_K can be expressed as

$$H_K = \frac{2K}{\mu_0 M_S} = \frac{3\lambda_S \sigma}{\mu_0 M_S}$$

where $K = (3/2)\lambda_S \sigma$, is the magnetoelastic anisotropy constant, λ_S the saturation magnetostriction constant, σ the internal stresses, μ_0 the vacuum permeability, and M_S the saturation magnetization. For the structurally relaxed sample of the 18 at. % Co alloy H_K , obtained from the position of maximum value of MIR, is ~200 A/m. The values of λ_S and $\mu_0 M_S$ for this sample are 30 ppm and 1.1 T, respectively, which gives a value of ~ 1 MPa for the internal stresses and an anisotropy constant value comparable to those found in other metallic glasses with similar saturation magnetostriction constants.³⁶

B. Nanocrystalline samples

Both the permeability and magnetoimpedance results agree with the reported behavior of coercivity after the nanocrystallization process,²⁶ in which the coercivity decreases at the beginning of the nanocrystallization only for the alloy with 18 at. % Co. For this alloy, the initial permeability shows high values at low temperatures (< 500 K) in nanocrystallized samples (~ 10 500) but low values can be found in the other alloys (~ 1000). The very low values obtained for samples annealed after the second transforma-

[This article is copyrighted as indicated in the article. Reuse of AIP content is subject to the terms at: http://scitation.aip.org/termsconditions. Downloaded to] IF

tion stages are due to the formation of boride-type phases which drastically harden the magnetic properties.

For other HITPERM-type composition, $Fe_{44}Co_{447}$ B₄Cu₁,⁸ the maximum initial permeability measured at room temperature was 1800, obtained at 0.4 kHz. At 6 kHz (measuring frequency in our experiments) the value of μ_r is ~1000, similar to the obtained value for our nanocrystallized alloy Fe₃₉ Co₃₉Nb₆ B₁₅Cu₁, but 1 order of magnitude lower than the maximum value exhibited by the nanocrystalline samples of Fe₆₀ Co₁₈Nb₆ B₁₅Cu₁ (~10 500).

The evolution of permeability and the decrease of resistivity with nanocrystallization must affect the evolution of the GMI effect.³⁷ For samples of the different alloys at the same annealing stage (structurally relaxed, initial nanocrystalline and final nanocrystalline), the |Z| values at the maximum applied field are similar, indicating that resistivity does not differ considerably between these samples. Therefore, the compositional effect on GMI for the series studied might be ascribed to the different values of permeability.

The GMI effect increases at the beginning of the nanocrystallization only for the alloy with 18 at. % Co, as it has been previously observed for FINEMET and NANOPERM compositions.³⁷ For these alloys, both the enhancement of magnetic softness and the decrease of resistivity contribute to the increase in the GMI effect. However, for the 39 and 60 at. % Co alloys the GMI effect is lower in the nanocrystallized samples than in the amorphous samples, despite the decrease of the resistivity but in agreement with the observed behavior of the initial permeability and the reported evolution of the coercivity.²⁶ This kind of correlation between the evolution of resistivity and GMI effect has also been observed for other nanocrystalline alloys, where a decrease of resistivity at advanced stages of nanocrystallization cannot avoid the decrease of the GMI effect.³⁷

The different evolution of the magnetostriction constant of the different alloys can explain the different observed behavior. In fact, the nanocrystalline α -FeCo phase that appears in the 39 and 60 at. % Co alloys with compositions close to Fe₆₁ Co₃₉ and Fe₄₀ Co₆₀, respectively,³⁸ shows a higher λ_s value (~65 ppm)³⁹ than that corresponding to the amorphous alloy (~10-15 ppm)⁶ and this makes the net magnetostriction constant increase as the nanocrystallization progresses. However, for the alloy with 18 at. % Co, the magnetostriction constant is ~ 15 ppm for both the amorphous⁶ and α -Fe₈₂Co₁₈ crystalline phases.³⁹ For FINEMET and NANOPERM alloys, λ_s is negative in the nanocrystals and positive in the amorphous phase, producing a decrease of the net magnetostriction of the system and, consequently, an enhancement of the magnetic properties at room temperature.³

An important feature for possible applications of these materials is the temperature range of constant permeability. Studies on the temperature dependence of the initial permeability in nanocrystallized FINEMET-type alloys⁴⁰ show that μ_r abruptly decreases at temperatures higher than 575 K. To characterize quantitatively the temperature stability of μ_r , the temperature coefficient of permeability can be used as a parameter for comparison. Whereas in FINEMET alloys permeability decreases at a rate of ~ 0.3%/K in a temperature

range from 400 to 500 K,⁴⁰ in the Fe₃₉ Co₃₉Nb₆ B₁₅Cu₁ alloy the temperature coefficient is ~ 0.02%/K in a temperature range from 400 to 900 K, indicating an improvement in the high temperature applications of this alloy with respect to FINEMET. This parameter is very important for some technological applications as *LC* filters, where ferrites are usually used. A typical value of the temperature coefficient of permeability in Mn ferrites is ~ 0.3%/K but they are limited to low temperature applications due to their low Curie temperatures (~450 K).

V. CONCLUSIONS

Two characteristic properties that are interesting for soft magnetic applications of HITPERM-type alloys have been studied: permeability and magnetoimpedance. The behavior is in line with previous results on coercivity, and can be explained in terms of domain wall stabilization phenomenon, the difference between the magnetostriction constant of the constituting two phases and grain coarsening. The room temperature softness is enhanced in the alloy with the lowest Co content which exhibits $\mu_r \sim 10500$ and a maximum value of MIR \sim 60% (at 1 MHz) for nanocrystalline samples with low crystalline volume fraction. Although the obtained values for the other compositions (39 and 60 at. % Co) are $\mu_r \sim 1000$ and a maximum value of MIR<10% (at 1 MHz), high temperature applicability is clearly enhanced in these alloys, showing a wide temperature range with approximately constant permeability. This suitability for high temperature applications can be expressed through the temperature coefficient of the permeability ($\sim 0.02\%$ /K from room temperature up to 900 K for the alloy with 39 at. % Co), 1 order of magnitude lower than those found in FINEMET and Mn ferrites and extended over a much wider temperature range than in these materials.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Spanish Government and EU FEDER (Project No. MAT 2001-3175), the PAI of the Junta de Andalucía, the Hispano-Hungarian Bilateral Cooperation Program (Grant No. TÈT E-2/2001) and the Hungarian Scientific Research Fund (OTKA) through Grant No. T-30753. J.S.B. acknowledges a research fellowship of the DGES.

- ¹Y. Yoshizawa, S. Oguma, and K. Yamauchi, J. Appl. Phys. **64**, 6044 (1988).
- ²K. Suzuki, N. Kataoka, A. Inoue, A. Makino, and T. Masumoto, Mater. Trans., JIM **31**, 743 (1990).
- ³M. E. McHenry, M. A. Willard, and D. E. Laughlin, Prog. Mater. Sci. 44, 291 (1999).
- ⁴ A. Hernando, M. Vázquez, T. Kulik, and C. Prados, Phys. Rev. B **51**, 3581 (1995).
- ⁵M. A. Willard, D. E. Laughlin, M. E. McHenry, D. Thoma, K. Sickafus, J. O. Cross, and V. G. Harris, J. Appl. Phys. 84, 6773 (1998).
- ⁶J. S. Blázquez, V. Franco, A. Conde, M. R. J. Gibbs, H. A. Davies, and Z. C. Wang, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **250**, 260 (2002).
- ⁷S. He, K. He, B. Shen, H. Zhang, S. Zhang, and H. Guo, J. Appl. Phys. 86, 6301 (1999).
- ⁸M. A. Willard, M. Q. Huang, D. E. Laughlin, M. E. McHenry, J. O. Cross, and V. G. Harris, J. Appl. Phys. 85, 4421 (1999).

- ⁹M. A. Willard, D. E. Laughlin, and M. E. McHenry, J. Appl. Phys. **87**, 7091 (2000).
- ¹⁰T. Kemény, D. Kaptás, L. F. Kiss, J. Balogh, L. Bujdosó, J. Gubicza, T. Ungár, and I. Vincze, Appl. Phys. Lett. **76**, 2110 (2000).
- ¹¹R. S. Beach and A. E. Berkowitz, Appl. Phys. Lett. 64, 3652 (1994).
- ¹²L. V. Panina and K. Mohri, Appl. Phys. Lett. 65, 1189 (1994).
- ¹³M. Vázquez, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **226–230**, 693 (2001).
- ¹⁴ R. Valenzuela, J. J. Freijo, A. Salcedo, M. Vázquez, and A. Hernando, J. Appl. Phys. **81**, 4301 (1997).
- ¹⁵ M. Vázquez, M. Knobel, M. L. Sánchez, R. Valenzuela, and A. P. Zhukov, Sens. Actuators A 59, 20 (1997).
- ¹⁶M. Hauser, L. Kraus, and P. Ripka, *IEEE Instrumentation and Measure*ment Magazine (IEEE, Piscataway, NJ, 2001), Vol. 4, p. 28.
- ¹⁷Y. Honkura, M. Yamamoto, Y. Kohtani, and K. Mohri, Digest of INTER-MAG Europe 2002 Conference, Amsterdam, Netherlands, 2002, CD 10.
- ¹⁸H. Lee, Y. K. Kim, T. K. Kim, Y. H. Song, and S. C. Yu, J. Appl. Phys. 85, 5429 (1999).
- ¹⁹ H. Lee, Y. K. Kim, T. K. Kim, and S. C. Yu, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **215**, 307 (2000).
- ²⁰ Y. Y. Dai, Y. H. Liu, S. Q. Xiao, L. Zhang, L. Q. Yue, H. Z. Wu, and Y. Z. Zhang, Phys. Status Solidi A **181**, 413 (2000).
- ²¹H. Q. Guo et al., Mater. Sci. Eng., A 226, 550 (1997).
- ²²H. Q. Guo, H. Kronmuller, T. Dragon, C. Chen, and B. G. Shen, J. Appl. Phys. 84, 5673 (1998).
- ²³C. Appino, C. Beatrice, M. Coisson, P. Tiberto, and F. Vinai, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **226**, 1476 (2001).
- ²⁴ K. S. Kim, S. C. Yu, Y. M. Moon, and H. B. Lee, Phys. Status Solidi A 189, 871 (2002).
- ²⁵ H. S. Kwon, H. Lee, K. Kim, S. C. Yu, and Y. K. Kim, J. Alloys Compd. 326, 309 (2001).

- ²⁶J. S. Blázquez, V. Franco, C. F. Conde, and A. Conde, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **254-255**, 460 (2003).
- ²⁷J. S. Blázquez, C. F. Conde, and A. Conde, Appl. Phys. Lett. **79**, 2898 (2001).
- ²⁸M. Kersten, Z. Angew. Phys. 8, 313 (1956).
- ²⁹J. S. Blázquez, C. F. Conde, and A. Conde, J. Non-Cryst. Solids **287**, 187 (2001).
- ³⁰A. R. Yavari and O. Drbohlav, Mater. Trans., JIM **36**, 896 (1995).
- ³¹J. S. Garitaonandia, D. S. Schmool, and J. M. Barandiarń, Phys. Rev. B 58, 12147 (1998).
- ³²J. S. Blázquez, S. Lozano-Pérez, and A. Conde, Philos. Mag. Lett. 82, 409 (2002).
- ³³ V. V. Shulika and A. P. Potapov, J. Phys. IV 8, Pr2-147 (1998).
- ³⁴ B. Hernando, M. L. Sánchez, V. M. Prida, M. Tejedor, and M. Vázquez, J. Appl. Phys. **90**, 4783 (2001).
- ³⁵G. V. Kurlyandskaya, J. M. Barandiarán, J. Gutiérrez, D. Garcia, M. Vázquez, and V. O. Vas'kovskiy, J. Appl. Phys. 85, 5438 (1999).
- ³⁶J. Gutiérrez, V. Muto, and P. T. Squire, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 287, 417 (2001).
- ³⁷M. Knobel, J. Schoenmaker, J. P. Sinnecker, R. Sato Turtelli, R. Grössinger, W. Hofstetter, and H. Sassik, Mater. Sci. Eng., A 226–228, 546 (1997).
- ³⁸Y. Zhang, J. S. Blázquez, A. Conde, P. J. Warren, and A. Cerezo, Mater. Sci. Eng. A (accepted).
- ³⁹R. C. Oh'Handley, Modern Magnetic Materials: Principles and Applications (Wiley, New York, 1999).
- ⁴⁰ V. Franco, C. F. Conde, A. Conde, and L. F. Kiss, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 215–216, 400 (2000).