



provided by idUS. Dep

## Optimization of the refrigerant capacity in multiphase magnetocaloric materials

R. Caballero-Flores, V. Franco, A. Conde, K. E. Knipling, and M. A. Willard

Citation: Applied Physics Letters **98**, 102505 (2011); doi: 10.1063/1.3560445 View online: http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3560445 View Table of Contents: http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/journal/apl/98/10?ver=pdfcov Published by the AIP Publishing

## Articles you may be interested in

Characterization of the magnetic interactions of multiphase magnetocaloric materials using first-order reversal curve analysis J. Appl. Phys. **117**, 17C124 (2015); 10.1063/1.4919121

Magnetocaloric effect in Fe-Zr-B-M (M=Ni, Co, Al, and Ti) amorphous alloys J. Appl. Phys. **116**, 093910 (2014); 10.1063/1.4895048

The effect of distributed exchange parameters on magnetocaloric refrigeration capacity in amorphous and nanocomposite materials J. Appl. Phys. **111**, 07A334 (2012); 10.1063/1.3679456

Large magnetocaloric effect and refrigerant capacity in Gd–Co–Ni metallic glasses J. Appl. Phys. **111**, 07A919 (2012); 10.1063/1.3673422

Enhanced refrigerant capacity and magnetic entropy flattening using a two-amorphous FeZrB(Cu) composite Appl. Phys. Lett. **99**, 232501 (2011); 10.1063/1.3665941



This article is copyrighted as indicated in the article. Reuse of AIP content is subject to the terms at: http://scitation.aip.org/termsconditions. Downloaded to IP: 150.214.182.17 On: Wed, 20 Jan 2016 18:12:21

## Optimization of the refrigerant capacity in multiphase magnetocaloric materials

R. Caballero-Flores,<sup>1</sup> V. Franco,<sup>1,a)</sup> A. Conde,<sup>1</sup> K. E. Knipling,<sup>2</sup> and M. A. Willard<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Dpto. Física de la Materia Condensada, ICMSE-CSIC, Universidad de Sevilla, P.O. Box 1065, 41080 Sevilla, Spain <sup>2</sup>Multifunctional Materials Branch, U.S. Naval Research Laboratory, 4555 Overlook Ave. SW, Washington, District of Columbia 20375, USA

(Received 27 December 2010; accepted 2 February 2011; published online 9 March 2011)

The refrigerant capacity (*RC*) of magnetocaloric materials can be enhanced using multiphase materials or composites, which expand the temperature range over which a significant magnetic entropy change can be obtained. Numerical simulations show that by controlling the parameters of the composite (the fraction of the different phases and their Curie temperatures) improvements of *RC* of ~83% are possible. The maximum applied field plays a crucial, nonmonotonic, role in the optimization. As a proof of concept, it is shown that the combination of two  $Fe_{88-2x}Co_xNi_xZr_7B_4Cu_1$  alloys produces an enhancement in *RC* of ~37%, making it ~92% larger than that of  $Gd_5Si_2Ge_{1.9}Fe_{0.1}$ . © 2011 American Institute of Physics. [doi:10.1063/1.3560445]

Ambient temperature magnetic refrigeration based on the magnetocaloric effect (MCE) has the potential for significantly improved energy efficiency compared with conventional refrigeration techniques based the compression/ expansion of gases.<sup>1</sup> The MCE arises from the reversible temperature change associated with the application or removal of an applied magnetic field, H, under adiabatic conditions<sup>2</sup> which is accompanied by a change in magnetic entropy,  $\Delta S_M$ , leading to a refrigerant capacity, RC, defined as:

$$RC(\delta T, H_{\max}) = \int_{T_{cold}}^{T_{hot}} \Delta S_M(T, H_{\max}) dT, \qquad (1)$$

where  $\delta T = T_{hot} - T_{cold}$  is the temperature span of the thermodynamic cycle and  $H_{max}$  is the maximum value of the applied field. There are three principle figures of merit used to evaluate potential refrigerant materials: the magnitude of *RC*, the peak magnetic entropy change  $(\Delta S_M^{pk})$ , and the adiabatic temperature change  $(\Delta T_{ad})$ . *RC* is frequently determined over the temperature range corresponding to the full width at half maximum of the  $\Delta S_M(T)$  curve,  $\delta T_{FWHM}$ , and may be estimated  $(RC_{AREA})$  as the numerical integration of Eq. (1) over  $\delta T_{FWHM}$ .

Optimizing *RC* involves increasing the magnitude of  $\Delta S_M^{pk}$  and broadening the temperature span,  $\delta T$ , thereby increasing the integrated value of  $\Delta S_M$ . The maximum  $\Delta T_{ad}$  experienced by the refrigerant material should not, however, exceed the working temperature span  $\delta T_{FWHM}$  of the cycle since, outside of this temperature range,  $\Delta S_M(T)$  vanishes and there is little additional contribution to *RC*.<sup>4</sup> For this reason, magnetic materials with tall and narrow  $\Delta S_M(T)$  peaks are generally not optimal magnetic refrigerants.

Single-phase materials exhibiting large *RC* values are rare, and there is considerable research toward expanding  $\delta T$  through various methods, including amorphization by ball milling,<sup>5</sup> modifying the structure by microalloying<sup>6</sup> and annealing,<sup>7,8</sup> nanostructuring,<sup>9</sup> developing composites<sup>10,11</sup> and nanocomposites,<sup>12–14</sup> and employing multilayered

materials.<sup>15,16</sup> All of these methods involve either the existence of a Curie temperature distribution in the magnetic material, or the presence of several phases that contribute to the total  $\Delta S_M$ .

The aim of this work is to study the enhancement of RC in multiphase magnetic systems with different Curie temperatures and analyze the conditions (i.e., fraction of each phase x, the difference in Curie temperature  $\Delta T_C$ , and the applied field H) under which the RC can be optimized. It will be shown that optimum values of these parameters can be found, which maximize the increment of RC (denoted as *RCI*) with respect to the pure constituent phases. Also, contrary to general assumptions, there is an optimum value of Hthat produces the largest RCI, above which RCI diminishes. Numerical simulations will show that *RCIs* of  $\sim 83\%$  are possible. As a proof of concept, a simulated two-phase magnetic material based on experimentally measured properties of two constituent Fe<sub>88-2x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>Ni<sub>x</sub>Zr<sub>7</sub>B<sub>4</sub>Cu<sub>1</sub> amorphous alloys produces an RCI~37%. These values are validated by experimental measurements of a mixture of both phases.

The relation between magnetization, M, field, H, and temperature, T, of a second-order magnetic phase transition near  $T_C$  is given by the Arrott–Noakes equation of state  $(AN-EOS)^{17}(H/M)^{1/\gamma}=a(T-T_C)+bM^{1/\beta}$ , where a and b are characteristic parameters of the material and  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  are the critical exponents. The T and Hdependence of the magnetic entropy change in such a material can be calculated as:<sup>18</sup>

$$\Delta S_M(T, H_{\max}) = -\int_{M_s}^{M_{\max}} a \,\gamma M(a(T - T_C) + bM^{1/\beta})^{\gamma - 1} dM, \quad (2)$$

where  $M_s(T, H=0)$  is the spontaneous magnetization and  $M_{\text{max}}(T, H=H_{\text{max}})$  is the magnetization at  $H_{\text{max}}$ .

For multiphase materials, Eq. (2) cannot be used since there is no analytical expression for the EOS of the composite. The magnetocaloric response can be calculated numerically, however, based on the magnetization behavior of each constituent material. We assume that the magnetic phases present in the multiphase composite are noninteracting, which allows the total magnetic entropy change to be expressed as a rule-of-mixtures sum of the entropy change in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a)</sup>Electronic mail: vfranco@us.es.

This a 0003-6951/2011/98(10)/102505/3/\$30.00 cle. Reuse of AIP conte 98 a 102505-10 the terms at: http://scitatio @ 2011 American Institute of Physics to IP



FIG. 1. (Color online) Temperature dependence of the magnetic entropy change of the two independent phases (dashed lines), and of the biphasic system with fraction x=0.6 (solid line).

the constituent materials. For a two-phase composite (containing phases A and B), the entropy change is: $\Delta S_M(x, T, H_{\text{max}}) = x \Delta S_{M,A} + (1-x) \Delta S_{M,B}$ , where x is the fraction of constituent A.

We initially consider a composite composed of two phases with the same AN parameters and critical exponents but with different Curie temperatures. We suppose a typical soft magnetic amorphous alloy, Fe77Cr8B15, where a =1.228 K<sup>-1</sup>, b=0.0077 (emu cm<sup>-3</sup>)<sup>-1/ $\beta$ </sup>,  $\beta$ =0.4579, and  $\gamma$ =1.5593.<sup>19</sup> The Curie temperature of phase A is  $T_{C,A}$ =370 K and that of phase B is variable, with  $T_{C,B} < T_{C,A}$ . The field and temperature ranges used in the numerical calculation are analogous to those explored experimentally (up to 6 T, in 50 mT increments and from 100 to 700 K, in 1 K increments). The entire phase fraction range,  $0 \le x \le 1$ , has been examined in increments of 0.01.

RCI is defined as the percentage difference of the refrigerant capacity of the composite with respect to the majority phase (either x=0 or x=1):

$$RCI(x, \Delta T_C, H_{\max}) = \begin{cases} \frac{RC(x) - RC(x=1)}{RC(x=1)} 100, & \text{if } x > 0.5\\ \frac{RC(x, \Delta T_C) - RC(x=0)}{RC(x=0)} 100, & \text{if } x < 0.5 \end{cases}$$
(3)

For the constituents chosen presently (a, b, and the criticalexponents are the same for both phases), both expressions in Eq. (3) give the same result. An alternative definition would be to compare with the phase with the largest RC; however, due to the phases chosen in this work, the results would not differ from those presented here.

Figure 1 shows  $\Delta S_M(T)$  curves of phases A and B that make up the composite (with  $T_{C,A}=370$  K and  $T_{C,B}$ =300 K), along with entropy change in the composite (x=0.6). The increased temperature span  $\delta T_{FWHM}$  of the composite is also shown, as compared with that of the pure constituent phases.

Three independent parameters (x,  $H_{\text{max}}$ , and  $\Delta T_C$ ) determine RCI. Figure 2(a) displays the surface  $RCI(x, \Delta T_C)$  for a maximum applied field of 1.5 T, while Fig. 2(b) shows the surface  $RCI(x, H_{max})$  for  $\Delta T_C = 130$  K. For a given value of  $H_{\text{max}}$  [Fig. 2(a)], there exist optimum values  $x_{opt}$  (for each  $\Delta T_C$  and  $\Delta T_C^{opt}$  (for each x) which maximize *RCI*. These values are indicated by the line overlaid on the surface in Fig. 2(a). Note that maximum *RCI* is always obtained for x>0.5, indicating that the minority phase should have a lower  $T_C$  than that of the majority phase in order to maximize the This a RC of the composite RCI is negative for large  $\Delta T_{CC}$  indicat-sub-peratures, a relatively large fraction of a second phase (with to provide the second phase with the provide the second phase in the second phase (with the provide the second phase in the second phase in the second phase is a second phase is a second phase in the second phase is a se



FIG. 2. (Color online) Influence of x,  $\Delta T_C$  and  $H_{\text{max}}$  on RCI. (a)  $RCI_{AREA}(x,\Delta T_C)$  surface for a maximum magnetic field of 1.5 T. For each  $\Delta T_C$  value, the maximum RCI is connected through the solid line. (b)  $RCI_{AREA}(x, H_{max})$  surface for  $\Delta T_C = 130$  K. The characteristic field values  $H_{\text{max}}^0$ ,  $H_{\text{max}}^{opt}$ , and  $H_{\text{max}}^{full}$  are marked.

ing that RC cannot be improved with a composite whose constituents have too dissimilar  $T_C$  values. The physical reason for this effect is the so-called "sum rule," which predicts smaller RC values when the  $\Delta S_M(T)$  of the two constituents are widely displaced.<sup>20</sup> The decrease in *RCI* for large  $\Delta T_C$ explained the fact that the partial nanocrystallization of a Finemet-type alloy<sup>21</sup> did not produce an increase in RC with respect to the amorphous precursor (the majority phase has the lower  $T_C$  and  $\Delta T_C$  is too large, so that the nanocrystalline phase hardly contributes to MCE). It should be noted that, for the parameters used in the simulation, an improvement of *RC* near 83% can be obtained. For  $H_{\text{max}}=1.5$  T,  $x_{opt}=0.6$ and  $\Delta T_C^{opt} = 195$  K. For different values of  $H_{\text{max}}$ , the peak in Fig. 2(a) occurs at different  $(\Delta T_C^{opt}, x_{opt})$  coordinates. The general rule, however, is that  $\Delta T_C^{opt}$  increases with increasing  $H_{\text{max}}$ , and the peak value of *RCI* does not change.

Figure 2(b) shows that, for a given pair of phases for which the optimization of *RC* is possible (a given value of  $\Delta T_C$  which is not too large), the value of  $H_{\text{max}}$  plays an important role in the optimization. There are three characteristic values of  $H_{\text{max}}$ :  $\hat{H}_{\text{max}}^0$  is the minimum necessary field to obtain an enhancement in RC (for lower field values, the  $\Delta S_M(T)$  curves of each phase are so narrow that the addition does not cause an enhancement of  $\delta T$ );  $H_{\text{max}}^{opt}$  corresponds to the field that produces the largest optimization in RC;  $H_{max}^{full}$  is the minimum field necessary to have a positive RCI for the whole compositional range. For  $H_{\text{max}} > H_{\text{max}}^{opt}$  the maximum RCI decreases monotonically. This figure shows again the strong asymmetry of RCI(x). This is primarily due to the asymmetry of the  $\Delta S_M(T)$  curves of the constituent phases. Since  $\Delta S_M(T)$  for each phase diminishes rapidly at high tem-



FIG. 3. (Color online) (a) Dependence of the characteristic field values with  $\Delta T_C$ . (b) Evolution of *RCI* with the fraction of phase x at  $\Delta T_C$ =130 K for the characteristic field values and for  $H_{max}^{opt} < H_{max}^{int} < H_{max}^{full}$ .

larger  $T_C$ ) is necessary for a broad (ideally tablelike)  $\Delta S_M(T)$  curve. Similar behavior has been found in these two surfaces when the critical exponents from the mean field and Heisenberg models are considered.

Figure 3(a) shows the variation in the three characteristic fields ( $H_{\text{max}}^0$ ,  $H_{\text{max}}^{opt}$ , and  $H_{\text{max}}^{full}$ ) with  $\Delta T_C$ .  $H_{\text{max}}^0$  and  $H_{\text{max}}^{opt}$  have a similar dependence, while  $H_{\text{max}}^{full}$  increases more rapidly with  $\Delta T_C$ . For magnetic phases with similar  $T_C$  values, the small value of  $H_{\text{max}}^0$  indicates that small fields are sufficient to produce an enhancement in RC, and the fact that  $H_{\text{max}}^{opt}$  and  $H_{\text{max}}^{full}$  have similar values indicates that the compositional range over which RC is increased grows quickly with  $H_{\text{max}}$ . However, the differences between these two characteristic fields increase rapidly with increasing  $\Delta T_C$ . Figure 3(b) shows the variation in RCI with x for  $\Delta T_C$ =130 K, for the three characteristic values of H, as well as for an intermediate field  $H_{\text{max}}^{opt} < H_{\text{max}}^{int} < H_{\text{max}}^{full}$ . Figure 3(b) clearly shows the decreasing value of the maximum RCI for  $H_{\text{max}} > H_{\text{max}}^{opt}$ .

These arguments can be used to understand the reason why the amorphous materials, usually with a Curie temperature distribution, typically have larger values of RC than crystalline phases (as shown above, a small  $\Delta T_C$  produces an enhancement of RC for almost any fraction of phases), and why ball milled alloys,<sup>5</sup> manganite-based composites,<sup>10,11</sup> clathrates-EuO composites,<sup>14</sup> which involve a combination of phases with different Curie temperatures, may improve RC.

We now consider a two-phase magnetic composite based on Fe<sub>88-x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>Ni<sub>x</sub>Zr<sub>7</sub>B<sub>4</sub>Cu<sub>1</sub> amorphous alloys.<sup>22,23</sup> The two phases (Fe<sub>66</sub>Co<sub>11</sub>Ni<sub>11</sub>Zr<sub>7</sub>B<sub>4</sub>Cu<sub>1</sub>, phase *A* and Fe<sub>71.5</sub>Co<sub>8.25</sub>Ni<sub>8.25</sub>Zr<sub>7</sub>B<sub>4</sub>Cu<sub>1</sub>, phase *B*) have the following magnetocaloric properties under an applied magnetic field of 1.5 T:  $T_{C,A}$ =626 K,  $\delta T_{FWHM,A}$ =74 K,  $RC_{AREA,A}$ =97.7 J kg<sup>-1</sup> and  $T_{C,B}$ =558 K,  $\delta T_{FWHM,B}$ =67 K,  $RC_{AREA,B}$ =97.4 J kg<sup>-1</sup>.

Maximum performance is achieved for x=0.65, where  $\delta T_{FWHM}=141$  K,  $RC_{AREA}=134$  J kg<sup>-1</sup>, and  $RCI_{AREA}=37\%$ . These calculated results were verified experimentally by preparing a laminate composite (layers of melt-spun ribbon pieces of the two compositions in intimate contact) corresponding to x=0.643. The experimental  $RCI_{AREA}$  is 36%, in excellent agreement with the predictions based on the simulated composite.<sup>24</sup> This validates the assumption of noninteracting phases. These results represent an improved RC of ~92% as compared with Gd<sub>5</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>1.9</sub>Fe<sub>0.1</sub>. Further studies to analyze the influence of magnetic interactions between the constituent phases of the composite material are being undertaken, both experimentally and numerically.

In conclusion, it has been shown that large enhancements of RC can be obtained by combining different phases in a composite. The parameters controlling this enhancement are the fraction of phases, the difference between their Curie temperatures, and, in contrast with what is usually assumed, the maximum magnetic field. A composite optimized for a particular field range might have diminished properties with respect to the constituent phases when operated at a different field. The increment of RC is asymmetric in the fraction of phases, being more beneficial to have a majority phase with the larger Curie temperature. Increments of RC as large as  $\sim 83\%$  can be envisioned. Numerical calculations experimental and results for a combination of Fe<sub>88-x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>Ni<sub>x</sub>Zr<sub>7</sub>B<sub>4</sub>Cu<sub>1</sub> alloys support the validity of this approach.

This work was supported by the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation and EU FEDER (Project MAT under Project No. 2010-20537), the PAI of the Regional Government of Andalucia, and by the United States Office of Naval Research (Contract No. N0001410WX30490). R.C.F. acknowledges a research fellowship from the Regional Government of Andalucia.

- <sup>3</sup>K. A. Gschneidner, Jr. and V. K. Pecharsky, Annu. Rev. Mater. Sci. **30**, 387 (2000).
- <sup>4</sup>V. I. Zverev, A. M. Tishin, and M. D. Kuz'min, J. Appl. Phys. **107**, 043907 (2010).
- <sup>5</sup>B. Chevalier, J.-L. Bobet, J. Sánchez Marcos, J. Rodriguez Fernandez, and J. C. Gómez Sal, Appl. Phys. A: Mater. Sci. Process. **80**, 601 (2005).
- <sup>6</sup>W. H. Wang, Prog. Mater. Sci. **52**, 540 (2007).
- <sup>7</sup>Q. Zhang, J. Du, Y. B. Li, N. K. Sun, W. B. Cui, D. Li, and Z. D. Zhang, J. Appl. Phys. **101**, 123911 (2007).
- <sup>8</sup>D. Wang, K. Peng, B. Gu, Z. Han, S. Tang, W. Qin, and Y. Du, J. Alloys Compd. **358**, 312 (2003).
- <sup>9</sup>P. Gorria, J. L. Sánchez Llamazares, P. Álvarez, M. J. Pérez, J. Sánchez Marcos, and J. A. Blanco, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. **41**, 192003 (2008).
- <sup>10</sup>A. L. L. Sharma, P. A. Sharma, S. K. McCall, S. B. Kim, and S. W. Cheong, Appl. Phys. Lett. **95**, 092506 (2009).
- <sup>11</sup>A. Biswas, T. Samanta, S. Banerjee, and I. Das, Appl. Phys. Lett. **92**, 012502 (2008).
- <sup>12</sup>Y. Shao, J. Zhang, J. K. L. Lai, and C. H. Shek, J. Appl. Phys. 80, 76 (1996).
- <sup>13</sup>F. Shir, L. Yanik, L. H. Bennett, E. Della Torre, and R. D. Shull, J. Appl. Phys. **93**, 8295 (2003).
- <sup>14</sup>M. H. Phan and H. Srikanth, private communication (17 November 2010).
   <sup>15</sup>M. A. Richard, A. M. Rowe, and R. Chahine, J. Appl. Phys. **95**, 2146 (2004).
- <sup>16</sup>C. E. Reid, J. A. Barclay, J. L. Hall, and S. Sarangi, J. Alloys Compd. 207–208, 366 (1994).
- <sup>17</sup>A. Arrott and J. E. Noakes, Phys. Rev. Lett. **19**, 786 (1967).
- <sup>18</sup>V. Franco and A. Conde, Int. J. Refrig. **33**, 465 (2010).
- <sup>19</sup>V. Franco, A. Conde, and L. F. Kiss, J. Appl. Phys. **104**, 033903 (2008).
- <sup>20</sup>R. D. McMichael, R. D. Shull, L. J. Swartzendruber, L. H. Bennet, and R. E. Watson, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **111**, 29 (1992).
- <sup>21</sup>V. Franco, J. S. Blázquez, C. F. Conde, and A. Conde, Appl. Phys. Lett. 88, 042505 (2006).
- <sup>22</sup>K. E. Knipling, M. Daniil, and M. A. Willard, Appl. Phys. Lett. **95**, 222516 (2009).
- <sup>23</sup>R. Caballero-Flores, V. Franco, A. Conde, K. E. Knipling, and M. A. Willard, Appl. Phys. Lett. **96**, 182506 (2010).
- <sup>24</sup>See supplementary material at http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3560445 for a figure including the comparison between model an experiment.

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>A. M. Tishin and Y. I. Spichkin, *The Magnetocaloric Effect and its Applications*, 1st ed. (Institute of Physics, Bristol, Philadelphia, 2003), p. 475.
 <sup>2</sup>K. A. Gschneidner, Jr., V. K. Pecharsky, and A. O. Tsokol, Rep. Prog. Phys. 68, 1479 (2005).