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#### Abstract

We consider an infinite chain of particles linearly coupled to their nearest neighbours and subject to an anharmonic local potential. The chain is assumed weakly inhomogeneous, i.e. coupling constants, particle masses and on-site potentials can have small variations along the chain. We look for small amplitude and time-periodic solutions, and in particular spatially localized ones (discrete breathers). The problem is reformulated as a nonautonomous recurrence in a space of time-periodic functions, where the dynamics is considered along the discrete spatial coordinate. Generalizing to nonautonomous maps a centre manifold theorem previously obtained for infinite-dimensional autonomous maps [Jam03], we show that small amplitude oscillations are determined by finite-dimensional nonautonomous mappings, whose dimension


[^0]depends on the solutions frequency. We consider the case of two-dimensional reduced mappings, which occurs for frequencies close to the edges of the phonon band (computed for the unperturbed homogeneous chain). For an homogeneous chain, the reduced map is autonomous and reversible, and bifurcations of reversible homoclinic orbits or heteroclinic solutions are found for appropriate parameter values. These orbits correspond respectively to discrete breathers for the infinite chain, or "dark" breathers superposed on a spatially extended standing wave. Breather existence is shown in some cases for any value of the coupling constant, which generalizes (for small amplitude solutions) an existence result obtained by MacKay and Aubry at small coupling [MA94]. For an inhomogeneous chain the study of the nonautonomous reduced map is in general far more involved. Here this problem is considered when the chain presents a finite number of defects. For the principal part of the reduced recurrence, using the assumption of weak inhomogeneity, we show that homoclinics to 0 exist when the image of the unstable manifold under a linear transformation (depending on the defect sequence) intersects the stable manifold. This provides a geometrical understanding of tangent bifurcations of discrete breathers commonly observed in classes of systems with impurities as defect strengths are varied. The case of a mass impurity is studied in detail, and our geometrical analysis is successfully compared with direct numerical simulations. In addition, a class of homoclinic orbits is shown to persist for the full reduced mapping and yields a family of discrete breathers with maximal amplitude at the impurity site.

## 1 Introduction

It is now well established that many nonlinear networks of interacting particles sustain time-periodic and spatially localized oscillations commonly denoted as discrete breathers. In spatially periodic systems, breathers are also called intrinsically localized modes [ST88] in distinction to Anderson modes of disordered linear systems [And58]. The properties of discrete breathers have been analyzed in an important number of numerical works (see the reviews [FW98, VMZ03, DLHMS04]) and their existence in periodic systems has been proved analytically in different contexts, see [MA94, Aub98, SM97, AS98, AKK01, Pan05, Fla95, Jam03] and references therein. In the context of numerical simulations or experiments discrete breathers often denote a larger class of spatially localized oscillations, such as metastable states, oscillations with a certain degree of periodicity, or even chaotic oscillations interacting with a noisy extended background [IKSF04, GLC05]. Nonlinear waves of this type are now actually detected in real materials [SS04, Swa99, SES99, EH02, Man06] and also generated in artificial systems such as Josephson junction arrays, micromechanical cantilever arrays and coupled optical waveguides (see references in [CFK04]).

They are thought to play a role in various physical processes such as the formation of local fluctuational openings in the DNA molecule [PB89, Pey04], which occurs in particular during thermal denaturation experiments.

Beyond spatially periodic systems, it is a fundamental and challenging problem to understand breather properties in nonlinear and inhomogeneous media, such as non-periodic or disordered crystals, amorphous solids and biological macromolecules. For example the interplay between nonlinearity and disorder can provide an alternative interpretation for slow relaxation processes in glasses [KA99-00]. In quasi-one-dimensional media, moving localized waves interacting with impurities [KBS94, CPAR02, FPM94], extended defects [TP96] or local bends of the lattice (see [CK04] and its references) can remain trapped and release vibrational energy at specific sites.

The modelling of thermal denaturation of DNA and the analysis of its local fluctuational openings, also known as denaturation bubbles, represents another problem where heterogeneity is important. In order to describe these phenomena, a nonlinear model at the scale of the DNA base pair has been introduced by Peyrard and Bishop [PB89] and further improved by Dauxois et al [DPB93]. The model describes the stretching $x_{n}(t)$ of the H-bonds between two bases, in the $n$th base pair along a DNA molecule (a large value of $x_{n}$ corresponding to a local opening). Each bond fluctuates in an effective anharmonic potential $V$ and interacts with its nearestneighbours. The model is described by an Hamiltonian system, and can be coupled with a thermostat to study the effect of thermal noise in denaturation experiments. This model accurately describes the thermal denaturation of certain real DNA segments provided their heterogeneity is taken into account [CG98]. In its simplest form, the model incorporates different dissociation energies for the adenine-thymine (AT) and guanine-cytosine (GC) base pairs. The Hamiltonian of the system reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{m}{2} \dot{x}_{n}^{2}+V_{s_{n}}\left(x_{n}\right)+\frac{k}{2}\left(1+\rho e^{-\beta\left(x_{n+1}+x_{n}\right)}\right)\left(x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right)^{2}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V_{s_{n}}(x)=D_{s_{n}}\left(1-e^{-a_{s_{n}} x}\right)^{2}$ is a Morse potential depending on the base pairs sequence $s_{n} \in\{A T, G C\}$. The case $\rho=0$ yields a particular case of a Klein-Gordon lattice, i.e. the model consists in a chain of anharmonic oscillators with harmonic nearest-neighbours coupling. For parameters corresponding to real DNA sequences, Langevin molecular dynamics of (1) have shown that some locations of discrete breathers heavily depend on the sequence and seem to coincide with functional sites in DNA [KRBCU04], but at the present time this topic remains controversial [vECLP06].
¿From a mathematical point of view, Albanese and Fröhlich have proved the existence of breathers for a class of random Hamiltonian systems describing an infinite array of coupled anharmonic oscillators [AF91] (see also the earlier work [FSW86] of

Fröhlich et al concerning quasiperiodic localized oscillations). These breather families can be parametrized by the solutions frequencies, which belong to fat Cantor sets (i.e. with nonzero Lebesgue measure) of asymptotically full relative measure in the limit of zero amplitude. These solutions are nonlinear "continuations" of a given Anderson mode from the limit of zero amplitude, and the gaps in their frequency values originate from a dense set of resonances present in the system. For disordered Klein-Gordon lattices, complementary numerical results on the continuation of breathers with respect to frequency or the transition between breathers to Anderson modes are available in [KA99-00, AMM99].

In addition, the existence of breathers in inhomogeneous Klein-Gordon lattices (with disordered on-site potentials) has been proved by Sepulchre and MacKay [SM98, SM97] for small coupling $k$. The proof is based on the continuation method previously introduced by MacKay and Aubry [MA94] for an homogeneous chain (method considerably generalized in [SM97]). For $k=0$ the system reduces to an array of uncoupled non-identical anharmonic oscillators, and the simplest type of discrete breather consists of a single particle oscillating while the others are at rest. Under a nonresonance condition [SM98, SM97], this solution can be continued to small values of $k$ (in most cases at fixed frequency) using the implicit function theorem, yielding a spatially localized solution.

In this paper we provide complementary mathematical tools for studying timeperiodic oscillations (not necessarily spatially localized) in inhomogeneous infinite lattices. The theory is developed in a very general framework, and applied to breather bifurcations in inhomogeneous Klein-Gordon lattices as lattice parameters and breather frequencies are varied. We start from a general Klein-Gordon lattice with Hamiltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{M_{n}}{2} \dot{x}_{n}^{2}+D_{n} V\left(A_{n} x_{n}\right)+\frac{K_{n}}{2}\left(x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right)^{2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(case $\rho=0$ of (1) with more general inhomogeneities). The potential $V$ is assumed sufficiently smooth in a neighbourhood of 0 with $V^{\prime}(0)=0, V^{\prime \prime}(0)=1$. The general theory is a priori valid for small inhomogeneities and small amplitude oscillations. In particular, in our application to system (2) we assume $M_{n}, D_{n}, A_{n}, K_{n}$ to be close (uniformly in $n$ ) to positive constants. However, considering an example of Klein-Gordon lattice with a mass defect, we check using numerical computations that our tools remain applicable up to strongly nonlinear regimes, and sometimes for a large inhomogeneity.

Our analysis is based on a centre manifold reduction and the concept of spatial dynamics. This concept was introduced by K. Kirchgässner [Kir82] for nonlinear elliptic PDE in infinite strips, considered as (ill-posed) evolution problems in the unbounded space coordinate, and locally reduced to a finite-dimensional ODE on
an invariant centre manifold. This idea was transposed to the context of travelling waves in homogeneous infinite oscillator chains by Iooss and Kirchgässner [IK00], and centre manifold reduction has been subsequently applied to the analysis of travelling waves and pulsating travelling waves in different one-dimensional homogeneous lattices [Ioo00, JS05, IJ05, Sir05, PR05, IP06]. Indeed, looking for travelling waves in an oscillator chain yields an advance-delay differential equation (a system of such equations in the case of pulsating travelling waves), which can be reformulated as an infinite-dimensional evolution problem in the moving frame coordinate, and locally reduced to a finite-dimensional ODE under appropriate spectral conditions.

In [Jam01], one of us has proved the existence of breathers in Fermi-PastaUlam (FPU) lattices using a similar technique in a discrete context. The dynamical equations for time-periodic solutions were reformulated as an infinite-dimensional recurrence relation in a space of time-periodic functions, and then locally reduced to a finite-dimensional mapping on a centre manifold, where breathers corresponded to homoclinic orbits to 0 . A general centre manifold theorem for infinite-dimensional maps with unbounded linearized operator has been proved subsequently [Jam03] and has been used to analyze breather bifurcations in diatomic FPU lattices [JN04, JK07] and spin lattices [Nob04]. More generally, the dynamical equations of many onedimensional lattices can be reformulated as infinite-dimensional maps in loop spaces as one looks for small amplitude time-periodic oscillations ([Jam03], section 6.1).

As shown in the present paper, the centre manifold reduction theorem readily applies to homogeneous Klein-Gordon lattices, where $M_{n}=m, D_{n}=d, A_{n}=a$, $K_{n}=k$ in (2) and $m, d, a, k>0$. This reduction result rigorously justifies (in the weakly nonlinear regime) a formal one-Fourier mode approximation previously introduced in [BCKRBW00]. The equations of motion read

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \frac{d^{2} x_{n}}{d t^{2}}+d a V^{\prime}\left(a x_{n}\right)=k\left(x_{n+1}-2 x_{n}+x_{n-1}\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Looking for time-periodic solutions (with frequency $\omega$ ) and setting $x_{n}(t)=\tilde{x}_{n}(\omega t)$, (3) can be formulated as an (ill-posed) recurrence relation $\left(\tilde{x}_{n+1}, \tilde{x}_{n}\right)=F\left(\tilde{x}_{n}, \tilde{x}_{n-1}\right)$ in a space of $2 \pi$-periodic functions. Using the theorem of [Jam03], one can locally reduce the problem to a finite-dimensional mapping on a centre manifold whose dimension depends on the frequency $\omega$. More precisely, equation (3) linearized at $x_{n}=0$ admits solutions in the form of linear waves (phonons) with $x_{n}(t)=$ $A \cos \left(q n-\omega_{q} t\right)$, whose frequency satisfies the dispersion relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \omega_{q}^{2}=a^{2} d+2 k(1-\cos q) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The frequencies $\omega_{q}$ lie in a band $\left[\omega_{\min }, \omega_{\max }\right]$ with $\omega_{\min }>0$. In the nonlinear case, the dimension of the centre manifold depends on how many multiples of $\omega$ belong to (or are close to) the phonon band. When $\omega \approx \omega_{\max }$ or $\omega \approx \omega_{\min }$ (with
no additional resonance), the centre manifold is two-dimensional if solutions are searched even in time, which reduces (3) locally to a two-dimensional reversible mapping on the centre manifold. For appropriate parameter values, this map admits small amplitude homoclinic solutions to 0 corresponding to breather solutions of (3). Breather solutions in this system have been proved to exist by MacKay and Aubry [MA94] for small values of the coupling parameter $k$. Known regions of breather existence are considerably extended here, since we prove the existence of small amplitude breathers for arbitrary values of $k$ in some cases and for frequencies close to the phonon band edges (see theorem 7 p.32). In addition we prove the existence of "dark breather" solutions, which converge towards a nonlinear standing wave as $n \rightarrow \pm \infty$ and have a much smaller amplitude at the centre of the chain. These solutions correspond to heteroclinic orbits of the reduced two-dimensional map.

Furthermore, we extend this analysis to the case when small lattice inhomogeneities are present. The dynamical equations of the inhomogeneous system (2) take the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{n} \frac{d^{2} x_{n}}{d t^{2}}+D_{n} A_{n} V^{\prime}\left(A_{n} x_{n}\right)=K_{n}\left(x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right)-K_{n-1}\left(x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and time-periodic solutions can be obtained as orbits of a nonautonomous map $\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right)=F\left(\lambda_{n}, x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right)$, where the nonconstant lattice parameters are embedded in a multicomponent parameter $\lambda_{n}$. Fixing $M_{n}=m+m_{n}, D_{n}=d+d_{n}$, $A_{n}=a+a_{n}, K_{n}=k+k_{n}$, we consider the case when constant lattice parameters $m, d, a, k>0$ are perturbed by uniformly small sequences $\left(m_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}},\left(d_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}},\left(a_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $\left(k_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$. We prove (see theorem 3 p.19) that small amplitude time-periodic solutions with frequencies close to $\omega_{\min }$ or $\omega_{\max }$ are determined by a two-dimensional nonautonomous map. Moreover, we generalize this reduction result in the case when several multiples of $\omega$ are close to the band $\left[\omega_{\min }, \omega_{\text {max }}\right.$ ], which yields a higherdimensional reduced problem (see theorem 4 p. 21 ).

In fact we prove this type of reduction result in a very general framework, for infinite-dimensional mappings with small nonautonomous perturbations, considered in a neighbourhood of a non-hyperbolic fixed point, or close to a bifurcation. The linear autonomous part of the map must satisfy a property of spectral separation (see theorem 1 p.15), but a large number of one-dimensional lattices with finite-range coupling fall within this category. We obtain a direct proof of the reduction result by observing that any nonautonomous mapping $u_{n+1}=F\left(\lambda_{n}, u_{n}\right)$ can be seen as a projection of an extended autonomous mapping, to which the centre manifold theorem of [Jam03] can be applied under appropriate assumptions. The centre manifold of the extended map is infinite-dimensional, but this case is also covered in [Jam03]. The reduced nonautonomous mapping for the original system can be interpreted as a projection on a finite-dimensional subspace of the extended autonomous mapping
restricted to the invariant centre manifold.
We use this reduction result to analyse the case when equation (5) presents a mass defect at a single site, all other lattice parameters being independent of $n$. In that case, the linearized problem admits a spatially localized mode (usually denoted as an impurity mode or defect mode), and a nonlinear continuation of this mode can be computed [FPM94], corresponding to a Lyapunov family of periodic orbits. Klein-Gordon systems with a coupling defect or a harmonic impurity in the on-site potential share similar characteristics [CPAR02], as well as nonlinear lattices with a different type of nonlinearity [KZK97]. In addition to this simple localization phenomenon, single impurities can have more complex effects in a nonlinear system. Indeed it is a common feature to observe a complex sequence of tangent bifurcations between (deformations of) site-centered and bond-centered breathers in some neighbourhood of the defect as the strength of an impurity is varied [CK04, KZK97]. Using numerical computations we show some examples of such bifurcations in the present paper, as one varies the strength of a mass defect in system (5). From a physical point of view it is quite important to understand how a local change in the lattice parameters modifies the set of spatially localized solutions. For example, this could contribute to explain how a mutation at a specific location of an homogeneous sequence of (artificial) DNA would modify the structure of fluctuational openings [KRBCU04].

This paper provides a qualitative explanation of such tangent bifurcations, which reveals also very precise quantitatively when compared with numerical simulations of the Klein-Gordon model. According to the previously described reduction theorem, for a small mass defect of size $\epsilon$, small amplitude breather solutions of (5) with frequencies below (and close to) $\omega_{\text {min }}$ are described by a two-dimensional nonautonomous mapping $v_{n+1}=f\left(v_{n}, \omega\right)+\epsilon g\left(n, v_{n}, \omega, \epsilon\right)$. Here we consider the principal part of the reduced mapping as $\left(v_{n}, \omega, \epsilon\right) \approx\left(0, \omega_{\text {min }}, 0\right)$. We show that this truncated reduced map admits an homoclinic orbit to 0 (corresponding to an approximate breather solution for the oscillator chain) if, for $\epsilon=0$, the image of the unstable manifold of 0 under a certain linear shear intersects its stable manifold. The linear shear is $O(\epsilon)$-close to the identity. When the on-site potential is soft (i.e. the period of small oscillations in this potential increases with amplitude), these manifolds have very complicated windings characteristic of homoclinic chaos, hence the set of their intersections changes in a complex way as the linear shear varies, or equivalently as one varies the mass defect. This phenomenon explains the existence of the above mentioned tangent bifurcations, at least for small defect sizes, and for small amplitude breathers with frequencies close to the phonon band. In addition, we show (by comparison with direct numerical simulations of the Klein-Gordon model) that this picture remains valid quite far from the weakly nonlinear regime.

Let us note that, to obtain an exact solution of (5) from an orbit of the truncated map, it would be necessary to control the effect of higher order terms (with respect
to $\left.v_{n}, \omega-\omega_{\text {min }}, \epsilon\right)$ present in the full reduced mapping and prove the persistence of this solution. This result is obtained for Lyapunov families of periodic orbits, which correspond here to discrete breathers with maximal amplitude at the impurity site (see theorem 8 in section 4.1.5). In that case, the corresponding orbits of the reduced mapping appear through a pitchfork bifurcation when $\omega$ reaches the linear defect mode frequency. The persistence of the above mentioned tangent bifurcations of discrete breathers is a much more complex problem, which study would require asymptotical techniques beyond all algebraic orders (more details in this respect are given in section 4.1.4). This problem is not examined here from the analytical side, but we compare instead numerically computed solutions of (5) with approximate solutions deduced from the truncated map. The very good agreement leads us to conjecture that most of the tangent bifurcations existing for the truncated problem persist for the full reduced system.

Lastly we consider the more general case when system (5) admits a finite number of defects, i.e. perturbations $m_{n}, d_{n}, a_{n}, k_{n}$ have a compact support (as above these perturbations are assumed to be small, of order $\epsilon$ ). We show that the approach developped for a single impurity can be extended to this case (see lemma 7 p.49), where the linear shear is replaced by a more general linear near-identity transformation $A_{\epsilon}$. The linear transformation $A_{\epsilon}$ provides a useful tool for studying breather bifurcations in Klein-Gordon lattices with a finite number of impurities, as for the single impurity case that we have analyzed in detail. By computing the principal part of $A_{\epsilon}$ as $\epsilon$ is small and frequencies are close to $\omega_{\text {min }}$, we show that the effect of the parameter sequence $\left(\lambda_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ on the set of small amplitude breather solutions should mainly depend on weighted averages of the defect values.

The outline of the paper is as follows. Section 2 presents the centre manifold reduction theory for time-periodic oscillations in weakly inhomogeneous nonlinear lattices. We treat the case of Klein-Gordon lattices in detail in sections 2.1 and 2.3, and formulate the reduction theory in a much more general setting in section 2.2. Section 3 concerns spatially homogeneous Klein-Gordon lattices. Existence theorems for small amplitude breather and dark breather solutions are deduced from the dynamics of two-dimensional reversible maps on invariant centre manifolds. The case of weakly inhomogeneous Klein-Gordon chains is considered in section 4, where the truncated reduced map is analyzed for a finite number of defects. A geometrical condition for the existence of homoclinic orbits to 0 is derived in section 4.2, and some homoclinic bifurcations are studied in detail in section 4.1 for a single mass defect. In the latter case, breather solutions are numerically computed in section 5 and the results are successfully compared with our analytical findings.

## 2 Reduction result for small inhomogeneities

In this section we consider system (5) in the limit of small inhomogeneities. We show that all small amplitude time-periodic solutions are determined by a finitedimensional nonautonomous map, whose dimension depends on the frequency domain under consideration. For this purpose we reformulate (5) as a map in a loop space, perturbed by a small nonautonomous term (section 2.1). Then we prove in section 2.2 a general centre manifold reduction theorem for infinite-dimensional maps with small nonautonomous perturbations. This result is based on the centre manifold theorem proved in [Jam03] for autonomous systems. Our general result is applied to the inhomogeneous Klein-Gordon lattice, which yields the above mentioned reduction result (section 2.3).

### 2.1 The Klein-Gordon system as a map in a loop space

We set $x_{n}(t)=y_{n}\left(\omega(k / m)^{1 / 2} t\right)$ in equation (5), where $y_{n}$ is $2 \pi$-periodic in $t$ (hence $x_{n}$ is time-periodic with frequency $\left.\omega(k / m)^{1 / 2}\right)$. The constant $a>0$ being fixed, we also define $\tilde{V}(x)=a^{-2} V(a x)$. Equation (5) becomes
$\omega^{2}\left(1+\epsilon_{n}\right) \frac{d^{2} y_{n}}{d t^{2}}+\Omega^{2}\left(1+\eta_{n}\right) \tilde{V}^{\prime}\left(\left(1+\gamma_{n}\right) y_{n}\right)=y_{n+1}-y_{n}-\left(1+\kappa_{n}\right)\left(y_{n}-y_{n-1}\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}$
where $\Omega^{2}=a^{2} d / k$ and $1+\epsilon_{n}=\left(1+\frac{m_{n}}{m}\right) /\left(1+\frac{k_{n}}{k}\right), 1+\eta_{n}=\left(1+\frac{d_{n}}{d}\right)\left(1+\frac{a_{n}}{a}\right) /\left(1+\frac{k_{n}}{k}\right)$, $\gamma_{n}=\frac{a_{n}}{a}, 1+\kappa_{n}=\left(1+\frac{k_{n-1}}{k}\right) /\left(1+\frac{k_{n}}{k}\right)$. The sequences $\left(\epsilon_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}},\left(\eta_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}},\left(\gamma_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ $\left(\kappa_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ will be assumed sufficiently small in $\ell_{\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$, where $\ell_{\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$ is the classical Banach space of bounded sequences on $\mathbb{Z}$, equiped with the supremum norm. To simplify the notations, we shall drop the tilde in the sequel when referring to the renormalized potential $\tilde{V}$. Moreover we shall use the shorter notations $\{\epsilon\}$ when referring to sequences $\left(\epsilon_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$.

To analyze system (6) we use the same approach as in [Jam03] for spatially homogeneous systems. We reformulate (6) as a (nonautonomous) recurrence relation in a space of $2 \pi$-periodic functions of $t$, and locally reduce the (spatial) dynamics to one on a finite-dimensional centre manifold. We restrict our attention to the case when $y_{n}$ is even in $t$ in order to deal with lower-dimensional problems. More precisely, we assume $y_{n} \in H_{\#}^{2}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $H_{\#}^{n}=\{y \in$ $H_{\text {per }}^{n}(0,2 \pi), y$ is even $\}$ and $H_{\text {per }}^{n}(0,2 \pi)$ denotes the classical Sobolev space of $2 \pi$ periodic functions $\left(H_{p e r}^{0}(0,2 \pi)=L_{p e r}^{2}(0,2 \pi)\right)$.

Since our analysis concerns small amplitude solutions and small inhomogeneities, the first step consists in studying the linearized system at $y_{n}=0$ when $\epsilon_{n}, \eta_{n}, \gamma_{n}, \kappa_{n}$
are fixed equal to 0 . In that case equation (6) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega^{2} \frac{d^{2} y_{n}}{d t^{2}}+\Omega^{2} y_{n}=y_{n+1}-2 y_{n}+y_{n-1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we rewrite the problem as an infinite-dimensional linear mapping. For this purpose we introduce $Y_{n}=\left(y_{n-1}, y_{n}\right) \in D$, where $D=H_{\#}^{2} \times H_{\#}^{2}$. Equation (7) can be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{n+1}=A_{\omega} Y_{n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\omega}(z, y)=\left(y, \omega^{2} \frac{d^{2} y}{d t^{2}}+\left(\Omega^{2}+2\right) y-z\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and equation (8) holds in $X=H_{\#}^{2} \times H_{\#}^{0}$. The operator $A_{\omega}: D \subset X \rightarrow X$ is unbounded in $X$ (of domain $D$ ) and closed (we omit the additional parameter $\Omega$ in the notation $A_{\omega}$ ).

The spectrum of $A_{\omega}$ consists in essential spectrum at the origin and an infinite number of eigenvalues $\sigma_{p}, \sigma_{p}^{-1}(p \geq 0)$ depending on $\omega, \Omega$, and satisfying the dispersion relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{2}+\left(\omega^{2} p^{2}-\Omega^{2}-2\right) \sigma+1=0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

(it follows that $\sigma_{p}$ is either real or has modulus one). Equation (10) is directly obtained by setting $y_{n}=\sigma^{n} \cos (p t)$ in equation (7). The invariance $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma^{-1}$ in (10) originates from the invariance $n \rightarrow-n$ in (7). In the sequel we shall note $\sigma_{p}$ the solution of (10) satisfying $\left|\sigma_{p}\right| \geq 1$ and $\operatorname{Im} \sigma_{p} \leq 0$. Clearly $\sigma_{p}$ is real negative for $p$ large enough and $\lim _{p \rightarrow+\infty} \sigma_{p}=-\infty$. Moreover $\sigma_{p}^{-1}$ accumulates at $\sigma=0$ as $p \rightarrow+\infty$. It follows that the number of eigenvalues of $A_{\omega}$ on the unit circle is finite for any value of the parameters $\omega, \Omega$.

In addition, the eigenvalues $\sigma_{p}, \sigma_{p}^{-1}$ defined by (10) lie on the unit circle when $\Omega \leq \omega p \leq\left(4+\Omega^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$. This property has a simple interpretation. Multiplying (10) by $\sigma^{-1}$, setting $\sigma=e^{i q}$ and $\omega_{q}=\omega p(k / m)^{1 / 2}$, one finds the usual dispersion relation (4). Consequently, if $\omega p(k / m)^{1 / 2}$ lies inside the phonon band $\left[\omega_{\min }, \omega_{\max }\right.$ ] for some $p \in \mathbb{N}$, then $A_{\omega}$ admits a pair of eigenvalues $e^{ \pm i q}$ on the unit circle determined by the dispersion relation (4). This condition on $\omega$ is equivalent to prescribing $\Omega \leq \omega p \leq\left(4+\Omega^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$.

Now let us describe the spectrum of $A_{\omega}$ near the unit circle when $\Omega>0$ is fixed and $\omega$ is varied. As we shall see, the number of eigenvalues of $A_{\omega}$ on the unit circle changes as $\omega$ crosses an infinite sequence of decreasing critical values $\omega_{1}>\omega_{2}>\ldots>0$. Small amplitude solutions of the nonlinear system bifurcating from $y_{n}=0$ will be found near these critical frequencies.

We begin by studying the evolution of each pair of eigenvalues $\sigma_{p}, \sigma_{p}^{-1}$ as $\omega$ varies. Firstly, one can easily check that $\sigma_{0}, \sigma_{0}^{-1}$ are independent of $\omega$, real positive and lie strictly off the unit circle.

Secondly we consider the case $p \geq 1$. For $\omega>\sqrt{4+\Omega^{2}} / p, \sigma_{p}, \sigma_{p}^{-1}$ are real negative and lie strictly off the unit circle. When $\omega$ decreases, they approach the unit circle and one has $\sigma_{p}=\sigma_{p}^{-1}=-1$ for $\omega=\sqrt{4+\Omega^{2}} / p$ (this corresponds to a frequency $\omega_{q}$ at the top of the phonon band, for a wavenumber $q=\pi$ ). At this critical parameter value, $\sigma_{p}=-1$ is a double non semi-simple eigenvalue of $A_{\omega}$. For $\Omega / p<\omega<\sqrt{4+\Omega^{2}} / p, \sigma_{p}, \sigma_{p}^{-1}$ lie on the unit circle, and approach +1 as $\omega$ decreases. One has $\sigma_{p}=\sigma_{p}^{-1}=1$ for $\omega=\Omega / p$, and then +1 is a double non semisimple eigenvalue of $A_{\omega}$ (this corresponds to a frequency $\omega_{q}$ at the bottom of the phonon band, for a wavenumber $q=0$ ). For $\omega<\Omega / p, \sigma_{p}, \sigma_{p}^{-1}$ are real positive and lie strictly off the unit circle.

Now let us qualitatively describe the evolution of the whole spectrum of $A_{\omega}$. When $\omega>\sqrt{4+\Omega^{2}}$ the spectrum of $A_{\omega}$ lies strictly off the unit circle (both inside and outside the unit disc). When $\omega$ decreases, the eigenvalues $\sigma_{p}$ approach the unit circle for all $p \geq 1$. As the first critical value $\omega_{1}=\sqrt{4+\Omega^{2}}$ is reached, the eigenvalues $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{1}^{-1}$ collide and yield a double (non semi-simple) eigenvalue $\sigma_{1}=-1$, while the remaining part of the spectrum is hyperbolic. When $\omega$ is further decreased, two different situations occur depending on the value of $\Omega$.

For $\Omega>2 / \sqrt{3}, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{1}^{-1}$ are the only eigenvalues on the unit circle for $\Omega \leq \omega \leq$ $\sqrt{4+\Omega^{2}}$. One has $\sigma_{1}=\sigma_{1}^{-1}=1$ at the second critical value $\omega_{2}=\Omega$. When $\omega$ is further decreased, $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{1}^{-1}$ are real positive and lie strictly off the unit circle. One has $\sigma_{2}=\sigma_{2}^{-1}=-1$ at the third critical value $\omega_{3}=\sqrt{4+\Omega^{2}} / 2<\Omega$. The situation is sketched in figure 1 .


Figure 1: Spectrum of $A_{\omega}$ near the unit circle as $\omega$ is varied, in the case $\Omega>2 / \sqrt{3}$. The unbounded part of the spectrum on the negative real axis is not shown. The arrows indicate how the eigenvalues have moved from their positions in the previous graph, after $\omega$ has been decreased.

The case $\Omega<2 / \sqrt{3}$ is different, since $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{1}^{-1}$ are the only eigenvalues on the unit circle in the smaller frequency range $\sqrt{4+\Omega^{2}} / 2<\omega \leq \sqrt{4+\Omega^{2}}$. Indeed one has $\sigma_{2}=\sigma_{2}^{-1}=-1$ at second critical value $\omega_{2}=\sqrt{4+\Omega^{2}} / 2>\Omega$. For $\omega<\sqrt{4+\Omega^{2}} / 2$ and $\omega \approx \sqrt{4+\Omega^{2}} / 2$ the spectrum of $A_{\omega}$ on the unit circle consists in two pairs of simple eigenvalues $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{1}^{-1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{2}^{-1}$. In the interval $\Omega<\omega<\sqrt{4+\Omega^{2}} / 2$ other
eigenvalues may collide at -1 depending on the value of $\Omega$. The situation is sketched in figure 2.


Figure 2: Spectrum of $A_{\omega}$ near the unit circle as $\omega$ is varied, in the case $\Omega<2 / \sqrt{3}$. The unbounded part of the spectrum on the negative real axis is not shown. The arrows indicate how the eigenvalues have moved from their positions in the previous graph, after $\omega$ has been decreased.

In what follows we restrict our attention to the neighbourhood of critical frequencies $\omega \approx \omega_{2}$ with $\Omega>2 / \sqrt{3}$, and $\omega \approx \omega_{1}$. This leads us to consider the small parameter $\mu$ defined by $\omega^{2}=\omega_{i}^{2}+\mu$. As $\omega$ equals one of the critical frequencies $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}$, the spectrum of $A_{\omega}$ on the unit circle only consists in a double eigenvalue -1 or +1 , isolated from the hyperbolic part of the spectrum. For $\omega \approx \omega_{1}$ and $\omega \approx \omega_{2}$, this splitting of the spectrum of $A_{\omega}$ will allow us to reduce (6) locally to a map on a two-dimensional invariant centre manifold (see section 2.2). In addition, the above spectral analysis shows that the fixed point $Y=0$ of (8) is hyperbolic when $\omega>\omega_{1}$ or $\omega<\omega_{2}$ and $\omega \approx \omega_{2}$. In this case, when nonlinear effects are taken into account, we shall see that the stable and unstable manifolds $W^{s}(0), W^{u}(0)$ may intersect depending on the local properties of the anharmonic potential $V$, leading to the existence of homoclinic orbits to $Y=0$.

Although we shall restrict to the cases $\omega \approx \sqrt{4+\Omega^{2}}$ and $\omega \approx \Omega$, it is interesting to give some comments on the situation when $\omega$ is close to the other critical values $\sqrt{4+\Omega^{2}} / p$ and $\Omega / p$, for an interger $p \geq 2$. Clearly if $y_{n}$ is a $2 \pi$-periodic solution of (6) for a given value of $\omega$, then so is $y_{n}(p t)$ when $\omega$ is replaced by $\omega / p$. Consequently, all solutions $y_{n}$ obtained for $\omega \approx \sqrt{4+\Omega^{2}}$ or $\omega \approx \Omega$ provide additional solutions $y_{n}(p t)$ for $\omega \approx \sqrt{4+\Omega^{2}} / p$ or $\omega \approx \Omega / p$. These additional solutions are "artificial", since they become equal to the previous ones if one goes back to the unscaled system (5). However, they should be embedded in larger families of small amplitude solutions if $A_{\omega}$ possesses additional pairs of eigenvalues on the unit circle (this is the case e.g. for $\Omega<2 / \sqrt{3}$ and $\omega \approx \omega_{2}=\sqrt{4+\Omega^{2}} / 2$, see figure 2 ). Another interesting remark can be made in the case when $\omega \approx \Omega$ and $\Omega<2 / \sqrt{3}$. The dimension of the centre manifold depends on $\Omega$ and is higher than 2 (at least 4). The bifurcations of homoclinic solutions become much harder to analyze because slow hyperbolic modes
coexist with fast oscillatory modes. In that case, subtle bifurcation phenomena beyond all algebraic orders can be expected, such as the existence of orbits homoclinic to exponentially small periodic or quasi-periodic orbits whose size could not be cancelled in general. Such phenomena have been analyzed e.g. in [Lom00] for reversible flows, when only one oscillatory mode coexists with hyperbolic modes close to bifurcation (i.e. for reversible solutions homoclinic to exponentially small periodic orbits). This situation occurs in particular for bifurcations of travelling waves and pulsating travelling waves (travelling breathers) in different one-dimensional lattices [IK00, JS05, IJ05, Sir05].

System (6) will be analyzed in the limit of small amplitude solutions and for small parameters $\mu,\{\epsilon\},\{\eta\},\{\gamma\},\{\kappa\}$. The parameter space will be denoted as $E=\mathbb{R} \times\left(\ell_{\infty}(\mathbb{Z})\right)^{4}$. All parameters are embedded in a multicomponent parameter $\{\lambda\}=(\mu,\{\epsilon\},\{\eta\},\{\gamma\},\{\kappa\}) \in E$. In addition we denote by $\tau_{n}$ the index shift in $\ell_{\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$, i.e. $\left\{\tau_{n}\{\epsilon\}\right\}_{k}=\epsilon_{n+k}$.

Equation (6) can be rewritten in the form of a nonautonomous mapping in a function space. More precisely we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{n+1}=L Y_{n}+N\left(Y_{n}, \lambda_{n}\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Y_{n}=\left(y_{n-1}, y_{n}\right)=\left(z_{n}, y_{n}\right) \in D, \lambda_{n}=\left(\mu, \epsilon_{n}, \eta_{n}, \gamma_{n}, \kappa_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{5}, L=A_{\omega_{i}}$ (for $i=1$ or 2$)$ and $N\left(z, y, \lambda_{n}\right)=\left(0, N_{2}\left(z, y, \lambda_{n}\right)\right)$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
N_{2}\left(z, y, \lambda_{n}\right)=\left(\omega_{i}^{2} \epsilon_{n}+\mu\left(1+\epsilon_{n}\right)\right) \frac{d^{2} y}{d t^{2}}+\Omega^{2}\left[\left(1+\eta_{n}\right)\left(1+\gamma_{n}\right)-1\right] y+\kappa_{n}(y-z)+W\left(y, \eta_{n}, \gamma_{n}\right) \\
W(y, \eta, \gamma)=\Omega^{2}(1+\eta)\left(V^{\prime}[(1+\gamma) y]-(1+\gamma) y\right) . \tag{12}
\end{gather*}
$$

Equation (11) holds in the Hilbert space $X$. The potential $V$ is assumed sufficiently smooth ( $C^{p+1}$, with $p \geq 5$ ) in a neighbourhood of 0 . It follows that $N: D \times \mathbb{R}^{5} \rightarrow X$ is $C^{k}(k=p-2 \geq 3)$ in a neighbourhood of $(Y, \lambda)=0$. The operator $N$ consists in higher order terms as $\left(Y, \lambda_{n}\right) \approx 0$, i.e. we have $N(0, \lambda)=0, D_{Y} N(0,0)=0$.

We note that (11) is invariant under the symmetry $T Y=Y(\cdot+\pi)$. Moreover the usual invariance under index shifts $\{Y\} \rightarrow \tau_{1}\{Y\}$ is broken by the inhomogeneity of the lattice, and replaced by the invariance $(\{Y\},\{\lambda\}) \rightarrow\left(\tau_{1}\{Y\}, \tau_{1}\{\lambda\}\right)$.

In the next section we prove a general centre manifold reduction theorem for maps having the form (11), under appropriate spectral conditions on $L$ and for small nonautonomous perturbations $\{\lambda\} \in E$. This analysis relies on the reduction results proved in [Jam03] for autonomous maps. To simplify the proof, problem (11) will be considered as a projection of a suitable autonomous mapping to which the centre manifold theorem can be directly applied.

### 2.2 Centre manifold reduction for nonautonomous perturbations of infinite-dimensional maps

In this section we reformulate the situation of section 2.1 in a general framework, and prove a local centre manifold reduction result for problems of this type. This level of generality is relevant for nonlinear lattices, because the dynamical equations of many one-dimensional lattices can be reformulated as infinite-dimensional maps in loop spaces as one looks for small amplitude time-periodic oscillations. Indeed, if the coupling between sites has finite range (i.e. $x_{n}$ is coupled to $x_{k}$ for $|n-k| \leq p$ ), then in general $x_{n+p}$ can be obtained locally as a function of $x_{n+p-1}, \ldots, x_{n-p}$ using the implicit function theorem (for some examples see e.g. [Jam03], section 6.1, or [JK07]).

To work in a general setting, let us consider a Hilbert space $X$ and a closed linear operator $L: D \subset X \rightarrow X$ of domain $D, L$ being in general unbounded. We equip $D$ with the scalar product $\langle u, v\rangle_{D}=\langle L u, L v\rangle_{X}+\langle u, v\rangle_{X}$, hence $D$ is a Hilbert space continuously embedded in $X$.

We denote by $\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{V}$ a neighbourhood of 0 in $D \times \mathbb{R}^{p}$ and consider a nonlinear $\operatorname{map} N \in C^{k}(\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{V}, X)(k \geq 2)$, where $N(Y, \lambda)$ satisfies $N(0, \lambda)=0, D_{Y} N(0,0)=0$. We look for sequences $\left(Y_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ in $\mathcal{U}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad Y_{n+1}=L Y_{n}+N\left(Y_{n}, \lambda_{n}\right) \quad \text { in } X \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\{\lambda\}=\left(\lambda_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a bounded sequence in $\mathcal{V}$ treated as a parameter. In what follows we shall note $E=\ell_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^{p}\right)$ the Banach space in which $\{\lambda\}$ lies. Notice that $Y=0$ is a fixed point of (13).

We assume that $L$ has the property of spectral separation, i.e. $L$ satisfies the assumption (H) described below (in what follows we note $\sigma(T)$ the spectrum of a linear operator $T$ ).
Assumption (H): The operator $L$ has nonempty hyperbolic $(|z| \neq 1)$ and central $(|z|=1)$ spectral parts. Moreover, there exists an annulus $\mathcal{A}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}, r \leq|z| \leq$ $R\}(r<1<R)$ such that the only part of the spectrum of $L$ in $\mathcal{A}$ lies on the unit circle.

The situation corresponding to assumption (H) is sketched in figure 3. Under assumption (H), the hyperbolic part $\sigma_{h}$ of $\sigma(L)$ is isolated from its central part $\sigma_{c}$. In particular this allows one to split $X$ into two subspaces $X_{c}, X_{h}$ invariant under $L$, corresponding to $\sigma_{c}, \sigma_{h}$ respectively. More precisely, $L_{h}=L_{\mid X_{h}}$ and $L_{c}=L_{\mid X_{c}}$ satisfy $\sigma\left(L_{h}\right)=\sigma_{h}$ and $\sigma\left(L_{c}\right)=\sigma_{c}$.

The invariant subspace $X_{c}$ is called centre subspace, and $X_{h}$ is the hyperbolic subspace. The subspace $X_{c}$ is finite-dimensional when the spectrum of $L$ on the unit circle consists in a finite number of eigenvalues with finite multiplicities (we do not need this assumption for the reduction theorem constructed here).

The spectral projection $\pi_{c}$ on the centre subspace can be defined in the following way (see e.g. [Kat66])

$$
\pi_{c}=\frac{1}{2 i \pi} \int_{\mathcal{C}(R)}(z I-L)^{-1} d z-\frac{1}{2 i \pi} \int_{\mathcal{C}(r)}(z I-L)^{-1} d z
$$

where $\mathcal{C}(r)$ denotes the circle of centre $z=0$ and radius $r$ (see figure 3). One has $\pi_{c} \in \mathcal{L}(X, D), X_{c}=\pi_{c} X \subset D$ and $\pi_{c} L=L \pi_{c}$, where $\mathcal{L}(X, D)$ denotes the set of bounded operators from $X$ into $D$. In the sequel we note $\pi_{h}=I-\pi_{c}$ and $D_{h}=\pi_{h} D$.


Figure 3: Spectrum of $L$ (dots), unit circle (dashed) and oriented $\operatorname{circles} \mathcal{C}(r), \mathcal{C}(R)$.

Remark 1 Let us consider the situation of section 2.1 and the linear operator $L$ of equation (11). In the case $\omega=\omega_{2}$ and $\Omega>2 / \sqrt{3}$, the spectrum of $L$ on the unit circle consists in a double non semi-simple eigenvalue +1 . Moreover, for $\omega=\omega_{1}$ the spectrum of $L$ on the unit circle consists in a double non semi-simple eigenvalue -1 . In both cases the associated invariant subspace $X_{c}$ is spanned by $V_{z}=(\cos t, 0)$, $V_{y}=(0, \cos t)$ and we have $\pi_{c} Y=\frac{1}{\pi}\left(\int_{0}^{2 \pi} Y(t) \cos t d t\right) \cos t$. In addition the unit circle is isolated from the remainder of the spectrum, since the latter is discrete and only accumulates at the origin and at $-\infty$ on the real axis. It follows that $L$ satisfies assumption (H).

Now we state the centre manifold reduction theorem in the general case. In the sequel we note $Y^{c}=\pi_{c} Y, Y^{h}=\pi_{h} Y$.

Theorem 1 Assume that $L$ has the property of spectral separation, i.e. satisfies assumption ( $H$ ). There exists a neighbourhood $\Omega \times \Lambda$ of 0 in $D \times E$ and a map $\psi \in C^{k}\left(X_{c} \times \Lambda, D_{h}\right)\left(\right.$ with $\left.\psi(0,\{\lambda\})=0, D_{Y^{c}} \psi(0,0)=0\right)$ such that for all $\{\lambda\} \in \Lambda$ the following holds.
i) If $\{Y\}$ is a solution of (13) such that $Y_{n} \in \Omega$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $Y_{n}^{h}=\psi\left(Y_{n}^{c}, \tau_{n}\{\lambda\}\right)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $Y_{n}^{c}$ satisfies the nonautonomous recurrence relation in $X_{c}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad Y_{n+1}^{c}=f_{n}\left(Y_{n}^{c},\{\lambda\}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{n} \in C^{k}\left(\left(X_{c} \cap \Omega\right) \times \Lambda, X_{c}\right)$ is defined by

$$
f_{n}(.,\{\lambda\})=\pi_{c}\left(L+N\left(., \lambda_{n}\right)\right) \circ\left(I+\psi\left(., \tau_{n}\{\lambda\}\right)\right) .
$$

ii) Conversely, if $\left\{Y^{c}\right\}$ is a solution of (14) such that $Y_{n}^{c} \in \Omega$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $Y_{n}=Y_{n}^{c}+\psi\left(Y_{n}^{c}, \tau_{n}\{\lambda\}\right)$ satisfies (13).
iii) If $L+N(., \lambda)$ commutes with a linear isometry $T \in \mathcal{L}(X) \cap \mathcal{L}(D)$ then $T \psi(.,\{\lambda\})=$ $\psi(.,\{\lambda\}) \circ T$ and $T f_{n}(.,\{\lambda\})=f_{n}(.,\{\lambda\}) \circ T$.

Properties i), ii) reduce the local study of (13) to that of the nonautonomous recurrence relation (14) in the subspace $X_{c}$. Note that the dependency of $\psi$ and the reduced map $f_{n}$ with respect to sequences $\{\lambda\}$ is nonlocal.

In what follows we give a simple proof of theorem 1 which relies on the fact that the nonautonomous mapping (13) can be seen as a projection of an extended autonomous mapping, to which the centre manifold theorem proved in [Jam03] can be applied. This procedure will explain why the result of theorem 1 can be seen as a centre manifold reduction, since the reduction function $\psi$ will appear as one component of the function having the centre manifold as its graph. The reduced nonautonomous mapping (14) will be interpreted as a projection of the extended autonomous mapping restricted to the invariant centre manifold.

Theorem 1 has been proved in [Jam03] in the case of an autonomous mapping, when the sequence $\{\lambda\}$ is absent or replaced by a simple parameter $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{p}$.

To recover this autonomous case we introduce the additional variable $S_{n}=$ $\tau_{n}\{\lambda\} \in E$. Note that for any fixed $n \in \mathbb{Z}, S_{n}$ denotes a bounded sequence in $\mathbb{R}^{p}$ (to simplify the notations we use the symbol $S_{n}$ instead of $\left\{S_{n}\right\}$ ). Given a sequence $\{\lambda\} \in E$ we also note $\delta_{0}\{\lambda\}=\lambda_{0}$. Equation (13) can be rewritten

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{n+1}=L Y_{n}+N\left(Y_{n}, \delta_{0} S_{n}\right), \quad S_{n+1}=\tau_{1} S_{n} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

which consists in an autonomous mapping in $X \times E$.
In what follows we apply the theory of [Jam03] to system (15). As we shall see the corresponding centre manifold will be infinite-dimensional due to the second component of (15). The case of infinite-dimensional centre manifolds has been treated in [Jam03], with the counterpart that theory is restricted to maps in Hilbert spaces. Consequently the first step is to search for $S_{n}$ in a suitable Hilbert space instead of the Banach space $E$. For this purpose we consider the space of sequences

$$
h_{-1}=\left\{\{u\} / u_{k} \in \mathbb{C}^{p},\|\{u\}\|_{-1}<+\infty\right\},
$$

where $\|\{u\}\|_{-1}^{2}=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\left(1+k^{2}\right)^{-1}\left\|u_{k}\right\|^{2}$. The space $h_{-1}$ defines a Hilbert space equiped with the scalar product $\langle\{u\},\{v\}\rangle=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\left(1+k^{2}\right)^{-1} u_{k} \cdot v_{k}$, where $\cdot$ denotes the usual scalar product on $\mathbb{C}^{p}$ and $\|\|$ the associated norm. For all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we
now search for $S_{n}$ in the space $H=h_{-1} \cap\left(\mathbb{R}^{p}\right)^{\mathbb{Z}}$ consisting of real sequences in $h_{-1}$. Note that $E \subset H$, the embedding being continuous.

Since sequences in $H$ may be unbounded and $N(Y,$.$) is defined on a neighbour-$ $\operatorname{hood} \mathcal{V}$ of $\lambda=0$ in $\mathbb{R}^{p}$, we replace (15) by a locally equivalent problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(Y_{n+1}, S_{n+1}\right)=F\left(Y_{n}, S_{n}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
F(Y, S)=\left(L Y+N\left(Y, \gamma\left(\delta_{0} S\right)\right), \tau_{1} S\right)
$$

$\gamma: \mathbb{R}^{p} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ is a $C^{\infty}$ cut-off function satisfying $\|\gamma(x)\| \leq\|x\|, \gamma(x)=x$ for $\|x\|<r$, $\gamma(x)=0$ for $\|x\|>2 r, r$ being chosen small enough (with $B(0,2 r) \subset \mathcal{V}$ ).

Problem (16) consists in an autonomous mapping in $X \times H$. In order to apply the centre manifold theorem of [Jam03] we need to study the spectrum of $D F(0)=$ $L \times \tau_{1}$. One has clearly $\sigma(D F(0))=\sigma(L) \cup \sigma\left(\tau_{1}\right)$, where $\sigma\left(\tau_{1}\right)$ is determined in the following lemma.

Lemma 1 The spectrum $\sigma\left(\tau_{1}\right)$ of $\tau_{1}: H \rightarrow H$ consists of the unit circle.

Proof. Consider the complexification $h_{-1}$ of $H$. Given a sequence $\{f\} \in h_{-1}$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$, we look for $\{u\} \in h_{-1}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(z I-\tau_{1}\right)\{u\}=\{f\} . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (17) can be solved in a simple manner using Fourier series. Recall that the periodic Sobolev space $H_{\text {per }}^{1}(0,2 \pi)$ can be defined as the set of functions in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R} / 2 \pi \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C}^{p}\right)$ whose Fourier coefficients form a sequence in $h_{1}$, where

$$
h_{1}=\left\{\{u\} / u_{k} \in \mathbb{C}^{p}, \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\left(1+k^{2}\right)\left\|u_{k}\right\|^{2}<+\infty\right\} .
$$

In the same way its dual space $H_{\text {per }}^{-1}(0,2 \pi)$ is isomorphic to $h_{-1}$, where the isomorphism $C: H_{\text {per }}^{-1}(0,2 \pi) \rightarrow h_{-1}$ is again given by $C_{n}(T)=\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left\langle T, e^{-i n t}\right\rangle$ for all $T \in H_{p e r}^{-1}(0,2 \pi)$. In addition one has the useful property $\tau_{1} C(T)=C\left(e^{-i t} T\right)$ for all $T \in H_{\text {per }}^{-1}(0,2 \pi)$. Now return to equation (17) and consider $T=C^{-1}(\{u\})$ and $S=C^{-1}(\{f\})$. One obtains the equivalent problem in $H_{\text {per }}^{-1}(0,2 \pi)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(z-e^{-i t}\right) T=S \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $|z| \neq 1$ then (18) has the unique solution $T=\left(z-e^{-i t}\right)^{-1} S$, hence $z \notin \sigma\left(\tau_{1}\right)$. If $z=e^{i \theta}$ is chosen on the unit circle, $T=2 \pi \delta_{-\theta}$ is a solution for $S=0$, corresponding to an eigenvector $\{u\}=\left\{e^{i n \theta}\right\}$ of $\tau_{1}$.

As it follows from lemma $1, \sigma(D F(0))$ consists of the union of $\sigma(L)$ with the unit circle. Consequently $D F(0)$ has the property of spectral separation, i.e. the hyperbolic part of its spectrum is isolated from the unit circle. Moreover the centre subspace of $D F(0)$ is simply $X_{c} \times H$. With these spectral properties at hand, we now apply the centre manifold theorem of [Jam03] which states the following.

Theorem 2 There exists a neighbourhood $\Omega \times \tilde{\Lambda}$ of $(Y, S)=0$ in $D \times H$ and a map $\psi \in C^{k}\left(X_{c} \times H, D_{h}\right)$ (with $\left.\psi(0,0)=0, D \psi(0,0)=0\right)$ such that the manifold

$$
\mathcal{M}=\left\{(Y, S) \in D \times H / Y=Y^{c}+\psi\left(Y^{c}, S\right), Y^{c} \in X_{c}\right\}
$$

has the following properties.
i) $\mathcal{M}$ is locally invariant under $F$, i.e. if $(Y, S) \in \mathcal{M} \cap(\Omega \times \tilde{\Lambda})$ then $F(Y, S) \in \mathcal{M}$. ii) If $\{(Y, S)\}$ is a solution of (16) such that $\left(Y_{n}, S_{n}\right) \in \Omega \times \tilde{\Lambda}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $\left(Y_{n}, S_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{M}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ (i.e. $\left.Y_{n}^{h}=\psi\left(Y_{n}^{c}, S_{n}\right)\right)$ and $\left(Y_{n}^{c}, S_{n}\right)$ satisfies the recurrence relation in $X_{c} \times H$

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{n+1}^{c}=\tilde{f}\left(Y_{n}^{c}, S_{n}\right), \quad S_{n+1}=\tau_{1} S_{n} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\tilde{f}\left(Y^{c}, S\right)=\pi_{c}\left[L+N\left(., \gamma\left(\delta_{0} S\right)\right)\right]\left(Y^{c}+\psi\left(Y^{c}, S\right)\right)
$$

iii) Conversely, given a solution $\left\{\left(Y^{c}, S\right)\right\}$ of (19) such that $\left(Y_{n}^{c}, S_{n}\right) \in \Omega \times \tilde{\Lambda}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, consider $Y_{n}=Y_{n}^{c}+\psi\left(Y_{n}^{c}, S_{n}\right)$. Then $\left(Y_{n}, S_{n}\right)$ defines a solution of (16) lying on $\mathcal{M}$.
iv) If $L+N(., \lambda)$ commutes with a linear isometry $T \in \mathcal{L}(X) \cap \mathcal{L}(D)$ then $T \psi\left(Y^{c}, S\right)=$ $\psi\left(T Y^{c}, S\right)$ and $T \tilde{f}\left(Y^{c}, S\right)=\tilde{f}\left(T Y^{c}, S\right)$.

The manifold $\mathcal{M}$ is called a local $C^{k}$ centre manifold for (16). It is locally invariant under $F$ (as stated by property i) ) and the linear isometries of (16). Property iv) expresses the invariance of $\mathcal{M}$ under the linear isometry $T \times I$ of (16).

Now the proof of theorem 1 follows directly from theorem 2 . Since $E$ is continuously embedded in $H, \psi$ defines a $C^{k}$ map from $X_{c} \times E$ into $D_{h}$. In theorem 1 we choose $\Lambda$ as a ball of centre 0 in $E$ such that $\Lambda \subset \tilde{\Lambda}$ and $\gamma=I$ on $\Lambda$. Then problems (13) and (16) are equivalent for all $\{\lambda\} \in \Lambda$, with $S_{n}=\tau_{n}\{\lambda\}$, and properties i)-ii)-iii) of theorem 1 are directly deduced from properties ii)-iii)-iv) of theorem 2. In addition, since $\left(0, \tau_{n}\{\lambda\}\right)$ is a solution of (16) for all $\{\lambda\} \in \Lambda$ it follows $\psi\left(0, \tau_{n}\{\lambda\}\right)=0$ (by property ii) of theorem 2 ), and consequently $\psi(0,\{\lambda\})=0$.

### 2.3 Application to the Klein-Gordon lattice

### 2.3.1 Reduction result

In this section we apply the reduction theorem 1 to the inhomogeneous Klein-Gordon lattice considered in section 2.1. We recall that the inhomogeneous system (6) has been reformulated as a nonautonomous map in a loop space given by expression (11). All parameters (sequences of heterogeneities and frequency shift $\mu$ ) are embedded in the multicomponent parameter $\{\lambda\}=(\mu,\{\epsilon\},\{\eta\},\{\gamma\},\{\kappa\}) \in E=\mathbb{R} \times\left(\ell_{\infty}(\mathbb{Z})\right)^{4}$. The problem has exactly the general form (13) (in the particular case in which the first component of $\{\lambda\}$ is constant) and consequently the reduction theorem 1 can be applied to (11). This yields the reduction result for the original system (6) stated below (theorem 3). It is straightforward to check that system (6) has the reduction properties i) and ii) described in this theorem since the equivalent system (11) satisfies properties i) and ii) of theorem 1 (see remark 1 p.15). However there remains to compute the explicit forms (21) and (24) of the recurrence relations given below. These expressions do not simply correspond to the two-dimensional mapping (14) rewritten as a second order recurrence relation. In addition we rewrite (14) in normal form, i.e. we perform a polynomial change of variables which simplifies (14) by keeping only its essential terms. These computations will be the object of the next three sections 2.3.2, 2.3.3 and 2.3.4. Property iii) below is equivalent to property iii) of theorem 1 , where the symmetry $T$ is the half period time shift which satisfies $T_{X_{c}}=-I$.

Theorem 3 Fix $\omega^{2}=\omega_{c}^{2}+\mu$ in equation (6), where $\omega_{c}=\sqrt{4+\Omega^{2}}$ or $\omega_{c}=\Omega$ (in that case we further assume $\Omega>2 / \sqrt{3}$ ). There exist neighbourhoods $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{W}$ of 0 in $H_{\#}^{2}, E$ and $\mathbb{R}$ respectively, and a $C^{k}$ map $\phi: \mathbb{R}^{2} \times E \rightarrow H_{\#}^{2}($ with $\phi(0,\{\lambda\})=0$, $D \phi(0,0)=0)$ such that the following holds for all $\{\lambda\} \in \mathcal{V}$.
i) All solutions of (6) such that $y_{n} \in \mathcal{U}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ have the form

$$
y_{n}(t)=\beta_{n} \cos t+\left[\phi\left(\beta_{n-1}, \beta_{n}, \tau_{n}\{\lambda\}\right)\right](t) .
$$

For $\omega_{c}=\Omega, \beta_{n}$ satisfies a recurrence relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{n+1}-2 \beta_{n}+\beta_{n-1}=R_{n}\left(\beta_{n-1}, \beta_{n},\{\lambda\}\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{n}: \mathcal{W}^{2} \times \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $C^{k}$. The principal part of $R_{n}$ reads

$$
R_{n}(\alpha, \beta,\{\lambda\})=\left(\Omega^{2} \eta_{n}\left(1+\gamma_{n}\right)-\left(\Omega^{2}+\mu\right) \epsilon_{n}+\Omega^{2} \gamma_{n}-\mu\right) \beta+\kappa_{n}(\beta-\alpha)+B \beta^{3}+\text { h.o.t., }
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=\frac{\Omega^{2}}{8}\left(V^{(4)}(0)-\frac{5}{3}\left(V^{(3)}(0)\right)^{2}\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\omega_{c}=\sqrt{4+\Omega^{2}}$ one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{n+1}+2 \beta_{n}+\beta_{n-1}=R_{n}\left(\beta_{n-1}, \beta_{n},\{\lambda\}\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n}(\alpha, \beta,\{\lambda\})=\left(\Omega^{2} \eta_{n}\left(1+\gamma_{n}\right)-\left(4+\Omega^{2}+\mu\right) \epsilon_{n}+\Omega^{2} \gamma_{n}-\mu\right) \beta+\kappa_{n}(\beta-\alpha)+\tilde{B} \beta^{3}+\text { h.o.t., } \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{B}=\frac{\Omega^{2}}{8}\left(V^{(4)}(0)+\left(V^{(3)}(0)\right)^{2}\left(\frac{\Omega^{2}}{16+3 \Omega^{2}}-2\right)\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

In both cases, higher order terms in $R_{n}$ are $O\left(\|(\alpha, \beta)\|^{3}\|\{\lambda\}\|_{E}+\|(\alpha, \beta)\|^{5}\right)$ and non-local in $\{\lambda\}$.
ii) If $\beta_{n}$ is a solution of problem (20) or (23) (respectively for $\omega_{c}=\Omega$ or $\omega_{c}=$ $\left.\sqrt{4+\Omega^{2}}\right)$, such that $\beta_{n} \in \mathcal{W}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $y_{n}(t)=\beta_{n} \cos t+\phi\left(\beta_{n-1}, \beta_{n}, \tau_{n}\{\lambda\}\right)$ satisfies equation (6).
iii) The functions $\phi$ and $R_{n}$ have the following symmetries

$$
\phi(-\alpha,-\beta,\{\lambda\})=T \phi(\alpha, \beta,\{\lambda\}), \quad R_{n}(-\alpha,-\beta,\{\lambda\})=-R_{n}(\alpha, \beta,\{\lambda\}),
$$

where $T$ denotes the half period time shift $[T \phi()].(t)=[\phi()].(t+\pi)$.
A possible way of computing the reduced recurrence relations (20) and (23) would be to consider the equivalent autonomous mapping (15) and use a classical computation scheme for centre manifolds of autonomous systems (see e.g. [Van89] for a description of the method). The first step consists in computing the Taylor expansion of the reduction function $\psi$ up to a given order. This can be done using a nonlocal equation for $Y_{n}$ (obtained by expressing $Y_{n}$ in (15) as a function of $\left.N\left(Y_{n}, \delta_{0} S_{n}\right)\right)$ and computing the Taylor coefficients of $\psi$ by induction (see [Van89]). The second step is to compute the reduced recurrence relation (19) which is completely determined by $\psi$.

In the next three sections we shall use a different method yielding simpler computations. Firstly we compute the expressions (21) and (24) in the autonomous case $\{\epsilon\}=\{\eta\}=\{\gamma\}=\{\kappa\}=0$, using the method of [Jam03]. Then, using a symmetry argument, we deduce how the leading order part of the reduced equation is modified by the nonautonomous terms of (11).

To end this section we point out a generalization of theorem 3. As it follows from the analysis of section 2.1, the dimension of the centre space $X_{c}$ of $A_{\omega}$ is twice the number of multiples of $\omega$ lying within the band $\left[\Omega,\left(4+\Omega^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right]$. More precisely, if $\Omega \leq \omega p \leq\left(4+\Omega^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ for all integers $p \in\left\{p_{0}, \ldots, p_{1}\right\}$, with no additional multiples entering the band, then the centre space is spanned by the corresponding Fourier modes $(\cos (p t), 0),(0, \cos (p t))$. As above the following reduction result follows from theorem 1.

Theorem 4 Consider $\omega_{c}>0$ such that $\omega_{c} p \in\left[\Omega,\left(4+\Omega^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right]$ for all integers $p \in$ $\left\{p_{0}, \ldots, p_{1}\right\}$, with no additional multiples in this interval. Fix $\omega^{2}=\omega_{c}^{2}+\mu$ in equation (6) and note $N=p_{1}-p_{0}+1$. Consider the subspace $H_{c}$ of $H_{\#}^{2}$ spanned by the $N$ Fourier modes $\cos \left(p_{0} t\right), \ldots, \cos \left(p_{1} t\right)$ and its complementary subspace $H_{c}^{\perp}$ consisting of orthogonal Fourier modes. There exist neighbourhoods $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}$ of 0 in $H_{\#}^{2}, E$ respectively, and a $C^{k} \operatorname{map} \phi: \mathbb{R}^{2 N} \times E \rightarrow H_{c}^{\perp}$ (with $\phi(0,\{\lambda\})=0$, $D \phi(0,0)=0)$, such that for all $\{\lambda\} \in \mathcal{V}$, all solutions of (6) such that $y_{n} \in \mathcal{U}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n}(t)=\sum_{p=p_{0}}^{p_{1}}\left[\beta_{n}^{(p)} \cos (p t)\right]+\phi\left(\beta_{n-1}^{\left(p_{0}\right)}, \beta_{n}^{\left(p_{0}\right)}, \ldots, \beta_{n-1}^{\left(p_{1}\right)}, \beta_{n}^{\left(p_{1}\right)}, \tau_{n}\{\lambda\}\right) . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, all small amplitude solutions of (6) are determined by a finite-dimensional recurrence relation obtained by projecting (6) on $H_{c}$ and using the ansatz (26).

In the following sections 2.3.2, 2.3.3 and 2.3.4, we compute the explicit forms of the reduced recurrence relations given in theorem 3 .

### 2.3.2 Homogeneous case near the lower phonon band edge

In this section we restrict our attention to the case when $\Omega>2 / \sqrt{3}$ and $\omega \approx \omega_{2}=\Omega$. We consider the autonomous case when $\{\epsilon\}=\{\eta\}=\{\gamma\}=\{\kappa\}=0$. Equation (11) now reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{n+1}=L Y_{n}+N\left(Y_{n}, \mu\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L=A_{\Omega}$ is given by (9) and

$$
N((z, y), \mu)=\left(0, \mu \frac{d^{2} y}{d t^{2}}+W(y)\right)
$$

with $W(y)=\Omega^{2}\left(V^{\prime}(y)-y\right)$. System (27) is a reformulation of the equations of motion for the homogenous Klein-Gordon lattice

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega^{2} \frac{d^{2} y_{n}}{d t^{2}}+\Omega^{2} V^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)=y_{n+1}-2 y_{n}+y_{n-1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in the nonautonomous case (11), system (27) is invariant under the symmetry $T Y=Y(\cdot+\pi)$. Moreover, the invariance $y_{n} \rightarrow y_{-n}$ of (28) implies that (27) is reversible with respect to the symmetry $R(z, y)=(y, z)$, i.e. if $Y_{n}$ is a solution then also $R Y_{-n}$. In other words, if $Y$ and $[L+N(., \mu)](R Y)$ are in some neighbourhood of 0 in $D$ one has $(L+N(., \mu) \circ R)^{2} Y=Y$. Lastly, due to the existence of the additional symmetry $T$, it is worthwhile to notice that $T R$ defines an other reversibility symmetry.

In what follows we use the notations introduced in section 2.2. We recall that the spectrum of $L=A_{\Omega}$ on the unit circle consists in a double non semi-simple eigenvalue +1 , and the associated two-dimensional invariant subspace $X_{c}$ is spanned by the vectors $V_{z}=(\cos t, 0), V_{y}=(0, \cos t)$, with

$$
L_{\mid X_{c}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 2
\end{array}\right)
$$

in the basis $\left(V_{z}, V_{y}\right)$. For $\mu$ in some neighbourhood $\Lambda$ of $0,(27)$ admits a $C^{k}$ twodimensional local centre manifold $\mathcal{M}_{\mu} \subset D$ (which can be written as a graph over $X_{c}$ ), locally invariant under $L+N(., \mu)$ (see [Jam03], theorem 1 p .32 ). One can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}_{\mu}=\left\{Y \in D / Y=a V_{z}+b V_{y}+\psi(a, b, \mu),(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}\right\} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\psi \in C^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \times \Lambda, D_{h}\right)$ and $\psi(a, b, \mu)=O\left(\|(a, b)\|^{2}+\|(a, b)\|\|\mu\|\right)$. Moreover, $\mathcal{M}_{\mu}$ is invariant under $T$ and $R$ (see [Jam03], theorem 2 p. 34 and section 5.2).

In the sequel we use the notations $P^{*}(y)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} y(t) \cos t d t, P_{c} y=P^{*}(y) \cos t$ and $H_{h}^{2}=\left\{y \in H_{\#}^{2} / P^{*}(y)=0\right\}$. The spectral projection $\pi_{c}$ on $X_{c}$ reads $\pi_{c}(z, y)=$ $\left(P_{c} z, P_{c} y\right)$ and we have $D_{h}=H_{h}^{2} \times H_{h}^{2}$.

Since $\mathcal{M}_{\mu}$ is invariant under $R$ and $V_{z}, V_{y}$ are exchanged by $R$, we have the symmetry property $R \psi(a, b, \mu)=\psi(b, a, \mu)$. Consequently, the function $\psi$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(a, b, \mu)=(\varphi(b, a, \mu), \varphi(a, b, \mu)) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\varphi \in C^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \times \Lambda, H_{h}^{2}\right)$. Since $\mathcal{M}_{\mu}$ is invariant under $T$ and $T_{\mid X_{c}}=-I$ we have in addition

$$
\begin{equation*}
T \varphi(a, b, \mu)=\varphi(-a,-b, \mu) . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\mu \approx 0$, the centre manifold $\mathcal{M}_{\mu}$ contains all solutions $Y_{n}$ of (27) staying in a sufficiently small neighbourhood of $Y=0$ in $D$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Their coordinates ( $a_{n}, b_{n}$ ) on $\mathcal{M}_{\mu}$ are thus given by a two-dimensional mapping which determines all small amplitude solutions when $\mu \approx 0$. The reduced mapping is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{a_{n+1}}{b_{n+1}}=f_{\mu}\binom{a_{n}}{b_{n}} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
f_{\mu}\binom{a}{b}=\binom{b,}{-a+2 b+r(a, b, \mu)}  \tag{33}\\
r(a, b, \mu)=-\mu b+P^{*} W(b \cos t+\varphi(a, b, \mu)) . \tag{34}
\end{gather*}
$$

One obtains equation (32) using the fact that

$$
z_{n}=a_{n} \cos t+\varphi\left(b_{n}, a_{n}, \mu\right), \quad y_{n}=b_{n} \cos t+\varphi\left(a_{n}, b_{n}, \mu\right)
$$

for $Y_{n}=\left(z_{n}, y_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{M}_{\mu}$ and applying $P^{*}$ to equation (27) (one has $P^{*} \varphi=0$ and $P^{*} \circ \frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}}=-P^{*}$ on $\left.H_{\#}^{2}\right)$.

Since the reduced mapping inherits the symmetries of (27) [Jam03], $f_{\mu}$ commutes with $T_{\mid X_{c}}=-I$ and thus $r(-a,-b, \mu)=-r(a, b, \mu)$. Moreover, $f_{\mu}$ is reversible with respect to the symmetry $R(a, b)=(b, a)$, i.e. $\left(f_{\mu} \circ R\right)^{2}=I$. This yields the identity

$$
r(a, b, \mu)=r(-a+2 b+r(a, b, \mu), b, \mu)
$$

This imposes the following structure for the Taylor expansion of $r$ at $(a, b, \mu)=0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(a, b, \mu)=-b \mu+c_{1} b^{3}+c_{2} a b^{2}-\frac{1}{2} c_{2} a^{2} b+O\left(|b|(|a|+|b|)^{4}+|b|(|a|+|b|)^{2}|\mu|\right), \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where coefficients $c_{1}, c_{2}$ have to be determined. Note that $r(a, 0, \mu)=0$ (see [Jam03] p. 53 for details).

For determining the unknown coefficients of (35), we first compute the leading order terms in the Taylor expansion of $\psi$ at $(a, b, \mu)=0$. This can be done using the fact that $\mathcal{M}_{\mu}$ is locally invariant under $L+N(., \mu)$ (see [Jam03], theorem 1 p . 32 ). For $(a, b) \approx 0$, this yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{h}[L+N(., \mu)]((a, b) \cos t+\psi(a, b, \mu))=\psi\left(f_{\mu}(a, b), \mu\right) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi(-a+2 b+r(a, b, \mu), b, \mu)=\varphi(a, b, \mu)  \tag{37}\\
& \varphi(b,-a+2 b+r(a, b, \mu), \mu)= \\
& \left(\Omega^{2} \frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}}+2+\Omega^{2}\right) \varphi(a, b, \mu)-\varphi(b, a, \mu)+\left(1-P_{c}\right)\left[\mu \frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}}+W\right](b \cos t+\varphi(a, b, \mu)) \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

Thanks to the symmetry property (37), the Taylor expansion of $\varphi$ at order 2 takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(a, b, \mu)=\varphi_{011} b \mu-\frac{1}{2} \varphi_{110} a^{2}+\varphi_{110} a b+\varphi_{020} b^{2}+\text { h.o.t. } \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

By an identification procedure we now compute the coefficients $\varphi_{p q r}$ in (39), using (38) and the expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(y)=\Omega^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} V^{(3)}(0) y^{2}+\frac{1}{6} V^{(4)}(0) y^{3}+O\left(y^{4}\right)\right) \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Identification at order $b \mu$ gives

$$
\left(\frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}}+1\right) \varphi_{011}=0
$$

hence $\varphi_{011}=0$ since $\varphi_{011} \in H_{h}^{2}$. Identification at order $a b$ leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{020}=-\frac{1}{4}\left(\Omega^{2} \frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}}+2+\Omega^{2}\right) \varphi_{110} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

and identification at order $b^{2}$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\varphi_{110}+\left(\Omega^{2} \frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}}-2+\Omega^{2}\right) \varphi_{020}=-\frac{1}{2} \Omega^{2} V^{(3)}(0) \cos ^{2} t \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Reporting (41) in (42) gives

$$
\left(\frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}}+1\right)^{2} \varphi_{110}=\frac{2}{\Omega^{2}} V^{(3)}(0) \cos ^{2} t
$$

and consequently
$\varphi_{110}=\frac{1}{\Omega^{2}} V^{(3)}(0)\left(1+\frac{1}{9} \cos (2 t)\right), \quad \varphi_{020}=\frac{1}{2} V^{(3)}(0)\left(-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\Omega^{2}}+\left(\frac{1}{6}-\frac{1}{9 \Omega^{2}}\right) \cos (2 t)\right)$.
As a conclusion, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi(a, b, \mu)= & \frac{1}{\Omega^{2}} V^{(3)}(0)\left(1+\frac{1}{9} \cos (2 t)\right)\left(a b-\frac{1}{2} a^{2}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} V^{(3)}(0)\left(-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\Omega^{2}}+\left(\frac{1}{6}-\frac{1}{9 \Omega^{2}}\right) \cos (2 t)\right) b^{2}+\text { h.o.t. } \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

We now compute the two-dimensional mapping giving the coordinates $\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right)$ of the solutions on $\mathcal{M}_{\mu}$. Equation (32) can be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n+1}=b_{n}, \quad b_{n+1}-2 b_{n}+b_{n-1}=r\left(b_{n-1}, b_{n}, \mu\right) . \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (34), (43) and (40) yields in equation (35)

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}=\frac{1}{8} \Omega^{2} V^{(4)}(0)-\left(\frac{19}{9}+\frac{5}{6} \Omega^{2}\right) \frac{1}{4}\left(V^{(3)}(0)\right)^{2}, \quad c_{2}=\frac{19}{18}\left(V^{(3)}(0)\right)^{2} . \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lastly, one can write (44) in normal form using the change of variables $b_{n}=$ $\beta_{n}-\frac{c_{2}}{12} \beta_{n}^{3}$. The normal form of (44) at order 3 reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{n+1}-2 \beta_{n}+\beta_{n-1}=-\mu \beta_{n}+B \beta_{n}^{3}+\text { h.o.t. } \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=c_{1}+\frac{c_{2}}{2}=\frac{\Omega^{2}}{8}\left(V^{(4)}(0)-\frac{5}{3}\left(V^{(3)}(0)\right)^{2}\right) . \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

This yields the explicit form (20) of the reduced recurrence relation in the autonomous case $\{\epsilon\}=\{\eta\}=\{\gamma\}=\{\kappa\}=0$.

### 2.3.3 Homogeneous case near the upper phonon band edge

In this section we consider the case $\omega \approx \omega_{1}=\sqrt{4+\Omega^{2}}$, in the autonomous case when $\{\epsilon\}=\{\eta\}=\{\gamma\}=\{\kappa\}=0$. Equation (11) takes the form (27), where $L=A_{\omega_{1}}$ is given by (9). The spectrum of $L$ on the unit circle consists in a double non semisimple eigenvalue -1 , and the centre space $X_{c}$ is again spanned by $V_{z}=(\cos t, 0)$, $V_{y}=(0, \cos t)$.

For $\mu=\omega^{2}-\omega_{1}^{2}$ in some neighbourhood $\Lambda$ of 0 , there exists a smooth twodimensional local centre manifold $\mathcal{M}_{\mu} \subset D$ locally invariant under $L+N(., \mu), T$, $R$ and having the form (29). The function $\psi$ having the centre manifold as its graph has the form (30) and shares the property (31). For $\mu \approx 0$, the centre manifold $\mathcal{M}_{\mu}$ contains all solutions $Y_{n}$ of (27) staying in a sufficiently small neighbourhood of $Y=0$ in $D$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Their coordinates $\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right)$ on $\mathcal{M}_{\mu}$ are then given by a two-dimensional mapping, which determines all small amplitude solutions when $\mu \approx 0$.

The operator $L$ has the following structure in the basis $\left(V_{z}, V_{y}\right)$

$$
L_{\mid X_{c}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & -2
\end{array}\right)
$$

and the reduced mapping is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{a_{n+1}}{b_{n+1}}=f_{\mu}\binom{a_{n}}{b_{n}} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\mu}\binom{a}{b}=\binom{b,}{-a-2 b+r(a, b, \mu)} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $r$ is defined by (34). Since the reduced mapping inherits the symmetries of (27), $f_{\mu}$ commutes with $T_{X_{c}}=-I$ hence $r(-a,-b, \mu)=-r(a, b, \mu)$. Moreover, (48) is reversible with respect to the symmetry $R(a, b)=(b, a)$, which yields the identity

$$
r(a, b, \mu)=r(-a-2 b+r(a, b, \mu), b, \mu) .
$$

This implies $r(a, 0, \mu)=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(a, b, \mu)=-b \mu+c_{1} b^{3}+c_{2} a b^{2}+\frac{1}{2} c_{2} a^{2} b+\text { h.o.t, } \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the coefficients $c_{1}, c_{2}$ have to be determined.
For this purpose, we first compute the leading order terms in the Taylor expansion of $\psi$ at $(a, b, \mu)=0$, using the fact that $\mathcal{M}_{\mu}$ is locally invariant under $L+N(., \mu)$. Equation (36) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(-a-2 b+r(a, b, \mu), b, \mu)=\varphi(a, b, \mu) \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi(b,-a-2 b+r(a, b, \mu), \mu)= \\
& \left(\omega_{1}^{2} \frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}}+2+\Omega^{2}\right) \varphi(a, b, \mu)-\varphi(b, a, \mu)+\left(1-P_{c}\right)\left[\mu \frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}}+W\right](b \cos t+\varphi(a, b, \mu)) . \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

The Taylor expansion of $\varphi$ at order 2 takes the following form (due to the symmetry property (51))

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(a, b, \mu)=\varphi_{011} b \mu+\frac{1}{2} \varphi_{110} a^{2}+\varphi_{110} a b+\varphi_{020} b^{2}+\text { h.o.t. } \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

By an identification procedure we now compute the coefficients $\varphi_{p q r}$ in (53), using (52) and the expansion (40). Identification at order $b \mu$ gives

$$
\left(\frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}}+1\right) \varphi_{011}=0
$$

hence $\varphi_{011}=0$ since $\varphi_{011} \in H_{h}^{2}$. Identification at order $a b$ leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{020}=\frac{1}{4}\left(\omega_{1}^{2} \frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}}+2+\Omega^{2}\right) \varphi_{110} \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

and identification at order $b^{2}$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{110}+\left(\omega_{1}^{2} \frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}}-2+\Omega^{2}\right) \varphi_{020}=-\frac{1}{2} \Omega^{2} V^{(3)}(0) \cos ^{2} t \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Reporting (54) in (55) gives

$$
\left(\omega_{1}^{2} \frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}}+\Omega^{2}\right)^{2} \varphi_{110}=-2 \Omega^{2} V^{(3)}(0) \cos ^{2} t
$$

and consequently

$$
\begin{gathered}
\varphi_{110}=-V^{(3)}(0)\left(\frac{1}{\Omega^{2}}+\frac{\Omega^{2}}{\left(16+3 \Omega^{2}\right)^{2}} \cos (2 t)\right), \\
\varphi_{020}=-\frac{1}{4} \Omega^{2} V^{(3)}(0)\left(\frac{1}{\Omega^{2}}+\frac{2}{\Omega^{4}}+\left(\frac{2}{\left(16+3 \Omega^{2}\right)^{2}}-\frac{1}{16+3 \Omega^{2}}\right) \cos (2 t)\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

As a conclusion, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi(a, b, \mu)= & -V^{(3)}(0)\left(\frac{1}{\Omega^{2}}+\frac{\Omega^{2}}{\left(16+3 \Omega^{2}\right)^{2}} \cos (2 t)\right)\left(a b+\frac{1}{2} a^{2}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{4} \Omega^{2} V^{(3)}(0)\left(\frac{1}{\Omega^{2}}+\frac{2}{\Omega^{4}}+\left(\frac{2}{\left(16+3 \Omega^{2}\right)^{2}}-\frac{1}{16+3 \Omega^{2}}\right) \cos (2 t)\right) b^{2}+\text { h.o.t. } \tag{56}
\end{align*}
$$

We now compute the two-dimensional mapping giving the coordinates $\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right)$ of the solutions on $\mathcal{M}_{\mu}$. Equation (48) can be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n+1}=b_{n}, \quad b_{n+1}+2 b_{n}+b_{n-1}=r\left(b_{n-1}, b_{n}, \mu\right) . \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (34), (56) and (40) yields in equation (50)

$$
\begin{gather*}
c_{1}=\frac{1}{8} \Omega^{2}\left[V^{(4)}(0)-\left(V^{(3)}(0)\right)^{2} \Omega^{2}\left(\frac{2}{\Omega^{2}}+\frac{4}{\Omega^{4}}+\frac{2}{\left(16+3 \Omega^{2}\right)^{2}}-\frac{1}{16+3 \Omega^{2}}\right)\right],  \tag{58}\\
c_{2}=-\Omega^{4}\left(V^{(3)}(0)\right)^{2}\left[\frac{1}{\Omega^{4}}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\left(16+3 \Omega^{2}\right)^{2}}\right] . \tag{59}
\end{gather*}
$$

The transformation $b_{n}=\beta_{n}-\frac{c_{2}}{12} \beta_{n}^{3}$ yields the normal form of (57) of order 3

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{n+1}+2 \beta_{n}+\beta_{n-1}=-\mu \beta_{n}+\tilde{B} \beta_{n}^{3}+\text { h.o.t. } \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\tilde{B}$ defined by (25). This yields the explicit form (23) of the reduced recurrence relation in the autonomous case $\{\epsilon\}=\{\eta\}=\{\gamma\}=\{\kappa\}=0$.

### 2.3.4 Inhomogeneous cases

Using the normal form computations performed in the above sections for the autonomous case, one can obtain by perturbation the principal part (20) (or (23)) of the normal form for $\omega \approx \omega_{2}$ (or $\omega \approx \omega_{1}$ ) in the nonautonomous case. In what follows this computation is described for $\omega \approx \omega_{2}$, the treatment for $\omega \approx \omega_{1}$ being completely similar.

Theorem 3 is obtained by applying the reduction theorem 1 to the first order system (11). According to theorem 1-i), small amplitude solutions $Y_{n}=\left(z_{n}, y_{n}\right)$ of (11) have the following form for small $\{\lambda\} \in E$

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{n}=\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right) \cos t+\Psi\left(a_{n}, b_{n}, \tau_{n}\{\lambda\}\right), \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Psi(a, b,\{\lambda\})=\psi((a, b) \cos t,\{\lambda\}) \in D_{h}$ and $\psi$ denotes the reduction function of theorem 1. In the sequel we shall note $\Psi=\left(\Psi_{1}, \Psi_{2}\right)$.

Let us compute the explicit form of the reduced map (14). For this purpose, one has to use the ansatz (61) in equation (11) and project the latter on the Fourier mode $\cos t$. Setting $F_{n}(a, b,\{\lambda\}) \cos t=f_{n}((a, b) \cos t,\{\lambda\})$, the reduced map (14) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\binom{a_{n+1}}{b_{n+1}} & =F_{n}(.,\{\lambda\})\binom{a_{n}}{b_{n}},  \tag{62}\\
F_{n}(.,\{\lambda\})\binom{a}{b} & =\binom{b,}{-a+2 b+r_{n}(a, b,\{\lambda\})}, \tag{63}
\end{align*}
$$

where $($ recall $\{\lambda\}=(\mu,\{\epsilon\},\{\eta\},\{\gamma\},\{\kappa\}))$

$$
\begin{align*}
r_{n}(a, b,\{\lambda\}) & =-\left(\Omega^{2} \epsilon_{n}+\mu\left(1+\epsilon_{n}\right)\right) b+\Omega^{2}\left[\left(1+\eta_{n}\right)\left(1+\gamma_{n}\right)-1\right] b+\kappa_{n}(b-a) \\
& +P^{*} W\left(b \cos t+\Psi_{2}\left(a, b, \tau_{n}\{\lambda\}\right), \eta_{n}, \gamma_{n}\right) \tag{64}
\end{align*}
$$

and the function $W$ is defined by (12).
Since $f_{n}(.,\{\lambda\})$ commutes with $T$ and $T_{\mid X_{c}}=-I$, the map $F_{n}(.,\{\lambda\})$ commutes with $-I$. We have consequently

$$
\begin{align*}
r_{n}(a, b,\{\lambda\}) & =-\left(\Omega^{2} \epsilon_{n}+\mu\left(1+\epsilon_{n}\right)\right) b+\Omega^{2}\left[\left(1+\eta_{n}\right)\left(1+\gamma_{n}\right)-1\right] b+\kappa_{n}(b-a) \\
& +c_{1} b^{3}+c_{2} a b^{2}+c_{3} a^{2} b \\
& +O\left(\|(a, b)\|^{3}\|\{\lambda\}\|_{E}+\|(a, b)\|^{5}\right) \tag{65}
\end{align*}
$$

where the coefficients $c_{i}$ need to be determined. Now, since $r_{n}[a, b,(\mu, 0,0,0,0)]=$ $r(a, b, \mu)$ in the homogeneous case (see section 2.3.2), we have $c_{3}=-\frac{1}{2} c_{2}$ and $c_{1}$, $c_{2}$ are defined by (45). Consequently we have computed the principal part of the reduced equation (62) in the nonautonomous case.

To obtain the normal form of (62) of order three we now define $P(\beta)=\beta-\frac{c_{2}}{12} \beta^{3}$ and consider as in section 2.3.2

$$
a_{n}=P\left(\alpha_{n}\right), \quad b_{n}=P\left(\beta_{n}\right) .
$$

This yields the normal form of (62) of order 3 given in equation (20).
Moreover, the small amplitude solutions of (6) have the form

$$
y_{n}=\left(\beta_{n}-\frac{c_{2}}{12} \beta_{n}^{3}\right) \cos t+\Psi_{2}\left(P\left(\beta_{n-1}\right), P\left(\beta_{n}\right), \tau_{n}\{\lambda\}\right),
$$

therefore the reduction function $\phi$ of theorem 3 is given by $\phi(\alpha, \beta,\{\lambda\})=-\frac{c_{2}}{12} \beta^{3} \cos t+$ $\Psi_{2}(P(\alpha), P(\beta),\{\lambda\})$. Note that the reduction function $\phi$ has a component along the Fourier mode $\cos t$ after the normal form transformation.

## 3 Exact periodic solutions for an homogeneous lattice

Here we consider the case of the homogeneous Klein-Gordon lattice (3), which leads us to system (6) with $\{\epsilon\}=\{\eta\}=\{\gamma\}=\{\kappa\}=0$. Breather solutions have been proved to exist by MacKay and Aubry [MA94] for system (3) with small values of the coupling parameter $k$ and nonresonant breather frequencies. Here we prove the existence of small amplitude breathers for arbitrary values of $k$ in some cases and frequencies close to the phonon band edges (see theorems 5-i), 6-i) and 7 below). We also prove the existence of dark breather solutions, which converge towards a nonlinear standing wave as $n \rightarrow \pm \infty$ and have a much smaller amplitude at the centre of the chain.

Let us start with the case $\omega \approx \Omega$ and $\Omega>2 / \sqrt{3}$ in (6). By theorem 3, small amplitude solutions of (6) in $H_{\#}^{2}$ are determined by the recurrence relation (20). This
recurrence becomes autonomous for an homogeneous lattice and takes the form (46). It is important to note that the invariance $n \rightarrow-n$ of (6) in the homogeneous case is inherited by (46) (see [Jam03], section 5.2 and theorem 2). This invariance implies that the two-dimensional map $\left(\beta_{n-1}, \beta_{n}\right) \mapsto\left(\beta_{n}, \beta_{n+1}\right)$ is reversible. Bifurcations of small amplitude homoclinic and heteroclinic solutions have been studied in [Jam03] (section 6.2.3) for this class of maps. This yields the following result for the recurrence relation (46).

Lemma 2 Assume $\Omega>2 / \sqrt{3}$ and $B=\frac{\Omega^{2}}{8}\left(V^{(4)}(0)-\frac{5}{3}\left(V^{(3)}(0)\right)^{2}\right) \neq 0$. For $\mu \approx 0$, the recurrence relation (46) has the following solutions.
i) For $\mu<0$ and $B<0$, (46) has at least two homoclinic solutions $\beta_{n}^{1}$, $\beta_{n}^{2}$ (and also $-\beta_{n}^{1},-\beta_{n}^{2}$ ) such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \pm \infty} \beta_{n}^{i}=0$. These solutions have the symmetries $\beta_{-n+1}^{1}=\beta_{n}^{1}, \beta_{-n}^{2}=\beta_{n}^{2}$ and satisfy $0<\beta_{n}^{i} \leq C|\mu|^{1 / 2} \sigma_{1}^{-|n|}$, with $\sigma_{1}=1+O\left(|\mu|^{1 / 2}\right)>$ 1.
ii) If $\mu$ and $B$ have the same sign, (46) has two symmetric fixed points $\pm \beta^{*}=$ $O\left(|\mu|^{1 / 2}\right)$.
iii) For $\mu>0$ and $B>0$, (46) has at least two heteroclinic solutions $\beta_{n}^{3}$, $\beta_{n}^{4}$ (and also $\left.-\beta_{n}^{3},-\beta_{n}^{4}\right)$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \pm \infty} \beta_{n}^{i}= \pm \beta^{*}$. These solutions have the symmetries $\beta_{-n+1}^{3}=-\beta_{n}^{3}$ and $\beta_{-n}^{4}=-\beta_{n}^{4}$. Moreover, $\beta_{n}^{3}, \beta_{n}^{4}$ are $O\left(\mu^{1 / 2}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \pm \infty$ and $O(\mu)$ for bounded values of $n$.

Note that for $B>0$ and $\mu<0(\mu \approx 0),(46)$ has no small amplitude homoclinic solution to 0 .

For $\mu<0$, typical plots of the stable and unstable manifolds of the fixed point $\beta_{n}=0$ are shown in figure 5 page 37 (nonintersecting case $B>0$ ) and in figures 7 and 12 pages 41 and 57 (intersecting case $B<0$ ).

Theorem 3 ensures that each solution $\beta_{n}^{i}$ in lemma 2 corresponds to a solution $y_{n}^{i}$ of (6) given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n}^{i}(t)=\beta_{n}^{i} \cos t+\phi\left(\beta_{n-1}^{i}, \beta_{n}^{i},(\mu, 0,0,0,0)\right) \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\omega^{2}=\Omega^{2}+\mu$ in (6). This yields the following result (the symmetries of $y_{n}^{i}$ are due to the symmetries of $\beta_{n}^{i}$ described in lemma 2).

Theorem 5 Fix $\{\epsilon\}=\{\eta\}=\{\gamma\}=\{\kappa\}=0$ in equation (6). Assume $\Omega>2 / \sqrt{3}$ and $b=V^{(4)}(0)-\frac{5}{3}\left(V^{(3)}(0)\right)^{2} \neq 0$. For $\omega \approx \Omega$, problem (6) has the following solutions with $y_{n} \in H_{\#}^{2}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.
i) For $\omega<\Omega$ and $b<0$, (6) has at least two homoclinic solutions $y_{n}^{1}$, $y_{n}^{2}$ (and also $\left.y_{n}^{1}(t+\pi), y_{n}^{2}(t+\pi)\right)$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \pm \infty}\left\|y_{n}^{i}\right\|_{H_{\#}^{2}}=0$. These solutions satisfy $y_{-n+1}^{1}=y_{n}^{1}, y_{-n}^{2}=y_{n}^{2}$ and have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n}^{i}=\beta_{n}^{i} \cos t+O(|\omega-\Omega|) \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0<\beta_{n}^{i} \leq C|\omega-\Omega|^{1 / 2} \sigma_{1}^{-|n|}$ and $\sigma_{1}=1+O\left(|\omega-\Omega|^{1 / 2}\right)>1$. Solutions $y_{n}^{1}$, $y_{n}^{2}$ correspond to small amplitude breathers with a slow exponential decay as $n \rightarrow \pm \infty$. ii) If $\omega-\Omega$ and $b$ have the same sign, (6) admits a solution $y^{0} \in H_{\#}^{2}$ independent of $n$, corresponding to collective in-phase oscillations. It has the form $y^{0}(t)=\beta^{*} \cos t+$ $O(|\omega-\Omega|)$ and $\beta^{*}=O\left(|\omega-\Omega|^{1 / 2}\right)$.
iii) For $\omega>\Omega$ and $b>0$, (6) has at least two heteroclinic solutions $y_{n}^{3}, y_{n}^{4}$ (and also $y_{n}^{3}(t+\pi)$, $y_{n}^{4}(t+\pi)$ ) such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow-\infty}\left\|y_{n}^{i}-y^{0}(t+\pi)\right\|_{H_{\#}^{2}}=0$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|y_{n}^{i}-y^{0}\right\|_{H_{\#}^{2}}=0$. These solutions satisfy $y_{-n+1}^{3}(t)=y_{n}^{3}(t+\pi)$ and $y_{-n}^{4}(t)=$ $y_{n}^{4}(t+\pi)$. Moreover, their norms $\left\|y_{n}^{3}\right\|_{H_{\#}^{2}},\left\|y_{n}^{4}\right\|_{H_{\#}^{2}}$ are $O\left((\omega-\Omega)^{1 / 2}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \pm \infty$ and $O((\omega-\Omega))$ for bounded values of $n$. Solutions $y_{n}^{3}, y_{n}^{4}$ correspond to small amplitude dark breathers.

In addition, note that for $b>0$ there exists no small amplitude discrete breather $y_{n} \in H_{\#}^{2}$ with $\omega<\Omega$ and $\omega \approx \Omega$ (since (46) has no small amplitude solution homoclinic to 0 ).

Now we consider the case $\omega \approx \omega_{c}$ with $\omega_{c}=\sqrt{4+\Omega^{2}}$. In that case, equation (6) can be locally reduced to the recurrence relation (23), which becomes again autonomous if $\{\epsilon\}=\{\eta\}=\{\gamma\}=\{\kappa\}=0$ and has the invariance $n \rightarrow-n$. This class of recurrence relations has been studied in [Jam03] (section 6.2.3, lemma 7) to which we refer for details. In addition one can note that the recurrence (60) can be recast in the form (46) by setting $\beta_{n}=(-1)^{n} \tilde{\beta}_{n}$. The following result for the recurrence relation (60) follows.

Lemma 3 Assume $\tilde{B}=\frac{\Omega^{2}}{8}\left(V^{(4)}(0)+\left(V^{(3)}(0)\right)^{2}\left(\frac{\Omega^{2}}{16+3 \Omega^{2}}-2\right)\right) \neq 0$. For $\mu \approx 0$, the recurrence relation (60) has the following solutions.
i) For $\mu>0$ and $\tilde{B}>0$, (60) has at least two homoclinic solutions $\beta_{n}^{1}$, $\beta_{n}^{2}$ (and also $-\beta_{n}^{1},-\beta_{n}^{2}$ ) such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \pm \infty} \beta_{n}^{i}=0$. These solutions have the symmetries $\beta_{-n+1}^{1}=-\beta_{n}^{1}, \beta_{-n}^{2}=\beta_{n}^{2}$ and satisfy $0<(-1)^{n} \beta_{n}^{i} \leq C \mu^{1 / 2}\left|\sigma_{1}\right|^{-|n|}$, with $\left|\sigma_{1}\right|=$ $1+O\left(|\mu|^{1 / 2}\right)>1$.
ii) If $\mu$ and $\tilde{B}$ have the same sign, (60) has a period 2 solution $\beta_{n}^{0}=(-1)^{n} \beta^{*}$, with $\beta^{*}=O\left(|\mu|^{1 / 2}\right)$.
iii) For $\mu<0$ and $\tilde{B}<0$, (60) has at least two heteroclinic solutions $\beta_{n}^{3}$, $\beta_{n}^{4}$ (and also $\left.-\beta_{n}^{3},-\beta_{n}^{4}\right)$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \pm \infty}\left|\beta_{n}^{i} \mp \beta_{n}^{0}\right|=0$. These solutions have the symmetries $\beta_{-n+1}^{3}=\beta_{n}^{3}$ and $\beta_{-n}^{4}=-\beta_{n}^{4}$. Moreover, $\beta_{n}^{3}$, $\beta_{n}^{4}$ are $O\left(|\mu|^{1 / 2}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \pm \infty$ and $O(|\mu|)$ for bounded values of $n$.

In addition, for $\tilde{B}<0$ and $\mu>0(\mu \approx 0)$ problem (60) has no small amplitude homoclinic solution to 0 . As above, the solutions of the reduced recurrence relation provided by lemma 3 yield the following solutions of (6).

Theorem 6 Fix $\{\epsilon\}=\{\eta\}=\{\gamma\}=\{\kappa\}=0$ in equation (6). Assume $\tilde{b}=$ $V^{(4)}(0)+\left(V^{(3)}(0)\right)^{2}\left(\frac{\Omega^{2}}{16+3 \Omega^{2}}-2\right) \neq 0$. For $\omega \approx \omega_{c}=\sqrt{4+\Omega^{2}}$, problem (6) has the following solutions with $y_{n} \in H_{\#}^{2}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.
i) For $\omega>\omega_{c}$ and $\tilde{b}>0$, (6) has at least two homoclinic solutions $y_{n}^{1}, y_{n}^{2}$ (and also $\left.y_{n}^{1}(t+\pi), y_{n}^{2}(t+\pi)\right)$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \pm \infty}\left\|y_{n}^{i}\right\|_{H_{\#}^{2}}=0$. These solutions satisfy $y_{-n+1}^{1}(t)=y_{n}^{1}(t+\pi), y_{-n}^{2}=y_{n}^{2}$ and have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n}^{i}=\beta_{n}^{i} \cos t+O\left(\left|\omega-\omega_{c}\right|\right), \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0<(-1)^{n} \beta_{n}^{i} \leq C\left(\omega-\omega_{c}\right)^{1 / 2}\left|\sigma_{1}\right|^{-|n|}$ and $\left|\sigma_{1}\right|=1+O\left(\left(\omega-\omega_{c}\right)^{1 / 2}\right)>1$. Solutions $y_{n}^{1}, y_{n}^{2}$ correspond to small amplitude breathers with a slow exponential decay as $n \rightarrow \pm \infty$.
ii) If $\omega-\omega_{c}$ and $\tilde{b}$ have the same sign, (6) admits a solution $y_{n}^{0}$ being 2-periodic in $n$, corresponding to out-of-phase oscillations. It has the form $y_{n}^{0}(t)=y(t+n \pi)$ with $y(t)=\beta^{*} \cos t+O\left(\left|\omega-\omega_{c}\right|\right)\left(y \in H_{\#}^{2}\right)$ and $\beta^{*}=O\left(\left|\omega-\omega_{c}\right|^{1 / 2}\right)$.
iii) For $\omega<\omega_{c}$ and $\tilde{b}<0$, (6) has at least two heteroclinic solutions $y_{n}^{3}, y_{n}^{4}$ (and also $y_{n}^{3}(t+\pi)$, $y_{n}^{4}(t+\pi)$ ) such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow-\infty}\left\|y_{n}^{i}-y^{0}(t+\pi)\right\|_{H_{\#}^{2}}=0$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|y_{n}^{i}-y^{0}\right\|_{H_{\#}^{2}}=0$. These solutions satisfy $y_{-n+1}^{3}=y_{n}^{3}$ and $y_{-n}^{4}(t)=$ $y_{n}^{4}(t+\pi)$. Moreover, their norms $\left\|y_{n}^{3}\right\|_{H_{\#}^{2}},\left\|y_{n}^{4}\right\|_{H_{\#}^{2}}$ are $O\left(\left|\omega-\omega_{c}\right|^{1 / 2}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \pm \infty$ and $O\left(\left|\omega-\omega_{c}\right|\right)$ for bounded values of $n$. Solutions $y_{n}^{3}, y_{n}^{4}$ correspond to small amplitude dark breathers.

In addition, for $\tilde{b}<0$ there exists no small amplitude discrete breather $y_{n} \in H_{\#}^{2}$ with $\omega>\omega_{c}$ and $\omega \approx \omega_{c}$.

It is worthwhile mentioning that approximate breather solutions of (6) can be obtained in the form of modulated plane waves, using multiscale expansions (see [GM04, GM06] and references therein), where the error can be controlled over finite time intervals. The envelope of a modulated wave satisfies the nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equation, and does not propagate along the chain when a plane wave with wavenumber $q=0$ or $q=\pi$ is modulated (its group velocity vanishes). In these two cases the NLS equation is focusing (i.e. time-periodic and spatially localized solutions exist) when $b<0$ and $\tilde{b}>0$ respectively, which coincides (according to theorems 5 and 6 ) with the parameter values for which exact breathers exist.

In addition, as shown in [Fla96] the condition $b<0$ leads to the instability of nonlinear standing waves with wavenumber $q=0$. If periodic boundary conditions are considered, these standing waves become unstable above a critical energy via a tangent bifurcation. When the lattice period tends to infinity, the energy threshold goes to 0 and bifurcating solutions are slowly spatially modulated. The same result has been obtained for standing waves with $q=\pi$ when $V$ is even and $\tilde{b}>0$.

In what follows we reformulate the results with respect to the unscaled original system (3). For conciseness we only describe breather bifurcations, but conditions for dark breather bifurcations are easily deduced from theorems 5 and 6 . We express the condition $\tilde{b}>0$ of theorem 6 in a different way using the relation

$$
\tilde{b}=b-\frac{16}{3} \frac{\left(V^{(3)}(0)\right)^{2}}{16+3 \Omega^{2}} .
$$

In addition, as the rescaled potential $\tilde{V}$ of (6) is replaced by the original potential $V$ of (3), coefficients $b$ and $\tilde{b}$ are simply replaced by $h=a^{-2} b$ and $\tilde{h}=a^{-2} \tilde{b}$.

Theorem 7 Consider the Klein-Gordon lattice (3), where the on-site potential V satisfies $V^{\prime}(0)=0, V^{\prime \prime}(0)=1$ and $m, d, a, k>0$. Assume $h=V^{(4)}(0)-\frac{5}{3}\left(V^{(3)}(0)\right)^{2} \neq$ 0 and note $\Omega^{2}=a^{2} d / k, \omega_{\min }^{2}=a^{2} d / m, \omega_{\max }^{2}=\left(a^{2} d+4 k\right) / m$ and $H=V^{(4)}(0)-$ $2\left(V^{(3)}(0)\right)^{2}$.
i) If $h<0$ and $\Omega^{2}>4 / 3$, system (3) admits two families of breather solutions $x_{n}^{1}, x_{n}^{2}$ parametrized by their frequency $\omega$ (in addition to phase shift), where $\omega \approx \omega_{\min }$ and $\omega<\omega_{\text {min }}$. These solutions satisfy $x_{-n+1}^{1}=x_{n}^{1}$ and $x_{-n}^{2}=x_{n}^{2}$ and decay exponentially as $n \rightarrow \pm \infty$. As $\omega \rightarrow \omega_{\text {min }}$, the amplitude of oscillations and the exponential rate of decay are $O\left(\left|\omega-\omega_{\min }\right|^{1 / 2}\right)$. The breather profile is a slow modulation of a linear mode with wavenumber $q=0$.
ii) If $h>0$ and $\Omega^{2}>-16 H /(3 h)$, system (3) admits two families of breather solutions $x_{n}^{1}, x_{n}^{2}$ parametrized by their frequency $\omega$ (in addition to phase shift), where $\omega \approx \omega_{\max }$ and $\omega>\omega_{\max }$. These solutions satisfy $x_{-n+1}^{1}(t)=x_{n}^{1}(t+\pi / \omega), x_{-n}^{2}=x_{n}^{2}$ and decay exponentially as $n \rightarrow \pm \infty$. As $\omega \rightarrow \omega_{\max }$, the amplitude of oscillations and the exponential rate of decay are $O\left(\left|\omega-\omega_{\max }\right|^{1 / 2}\right)$. The breather profile is a slow modulation of a linear mode with wavenumber $q=\pi$.

To interpret the conditions on the on-site potential $V$ in properties i) and ii), it is interesting to note that $V$ is soft for $h<0$ and hard for $h>0$ near the origin (i.e. the period of small oscillations in this potential respectively increases or decreases with amplitude). The condition on $\Omega$ in property i) corresponds to a nonresonance condition, i.e. it ensures that no multiple of $\omega$ lies in the phonon band $\left[\omega_{\min }, \omega_{\max }\right.$ ] for $\omega \approx \omega_{\min }$. The condition on $\Omega$ in property ii) is of different nature and is equivalent (with the condition $h>0$ ) to fixing $\tilde{h}>0$.

Discrete breathers were known to exist in Klein-Gordon lattices for small coupling $k$ after the work of MacKay and Aubry [MA94]. Theorem 7 considerably enlarges the domain of breather existence, with the limitation that it only provides small amplitude solutions. In particular, it is interesting to note that small amplitude breathers of property ii) exist for all values of $k$ if $H>0$.

## 4 Normal form analysis for inhomogeneous lattices

According to theorem 3, small amplitude solutions of (6) in $H_{\#}^{2}$ are described (for small inhomogeneities and frequencies close to the phonon band edges) by finitedimensional nonautonomous recurrence relations. In what follows we only consider the case $\omega \approx \Omega$, the situation when $\omega \approx \sqrt{4+\Omega^{2}}$ yielding similar phenomena. At leading order, the reduced recurrence relation (20) can be approximated by
$\beta_{n+1}-2 \beta_{n}+\beta_{n-1}=\left(\Omega^{2} \eta_{n}\left(1+\gamma_{n}\right)-\left(\Omega^{2}+\mu\right) \epsilon_{n}+\Omega^{2} \gamma_{n}-\mu\right) \beta_{n}+\kappa_{n}\left(\beta_{n}-\beta_{n-1}\right)+B \beta_{n}^{3}$.
Different kinds of techniques can be employed to obtain homoclinic solutions of (69). One can use variational methods for asymptotically periodic sequences [PZ01] (see also [Wei99] in the homogeneous case), or proceed by perturbation near an uncoupled limit (also denoted anti-continuous or anti-integrable limit) where $\Omega$ and $B$ are large (see [AA90, Aub95, ABK04] and section 9 of [MA94]). Existence results of localized solutions are also available in [AF88] for disordered defect sequences. Another approach is to start from a known uniformly hyperbolic homoclinic solution in the homogeneous case, which persists for small inhomogeneities by the implicit function theorem, and obtain estimates for defect sizes allowing persistence (see the technique developed by Bishnani and MacKay [BM03]). Interesting related results on the structural stability of discrete dynamical systems under nonautonomous perturbations can be found in [Fra74].

With a different point of view, we develop here a dynamical system technique, valid for a finite number of defects, which allows to analyze bifurcations of homoclinic solutions as defects are varied (see sections 4.1 and 4.2). For an isolated defect we highlight, near critical defect values, bifurcations of new homoclinic solutions (having no counterpart in the homogeneous system) or the disappearance of homoclinic solutions existing in the homogeneous case. Our method is also generalized to a finite number of defects, with the counterpart that (69) is modified by suitable higher order terms depending on the defect sequence (this procedure only provides approximate solutions of (69)). However this does not constitute a strong limitation since the full reduced equation (20) is itself a higher order perturbation of (69). Note that equation (69) is valid (according to theorem 3) for small defect sizes and $\mu \approx 0$, where the parameter $\mu$ determines for $\mu<0$ the (weak) degree of hyperbolicity of the fixed point $\beta_{n}=0$ in the homogeneous case. Our analysis does not impose conditions on the relative sizes of these parameters.

In order to obtain exact breather solutions of (6) via theorem 3, it would be necessary to proceed in two steps. The first step is the one described above, where exact or approximate homoclinic solutions are obtained for the truncated problem (69). The second step is to show that these solutions persist for the complete equation
(20) as higher order terms are added. For this purpose a typical procedure would be to solve (20) using the contraction mapping theorem in the neighbourhood of an exact or approximate solution of (69). However, in section 4.1.4 we analyze tangent bifurcations of homoclinic orbits for which the persistence problem would become extremely complex, since it requires asymptotical techniques beyond all algebraic orders (see section 4.1.4 for more details). We shall not analytically examine the persistence of such bifurcations for the complete equation (20). Instead we shall later compare approximate solutions $y_{n}(t) \approx \beta_{n} \cos t$ (deduced from (69) and theorem 3) to numerically computed solutions of the original problem (6) (see section 5). This will allow us to study the validity of approximation (69) far from the small amplitude limit and as inhomogeneities become larger.

The persistence of homoclinic solutions for the complete equation (20) will be shown for particular homoclinic orbits which appear through a pitchfork bifurcation at the origin when $\omega$ reaches a critical value. These orbits correspond to discrete breathers with maximal amplitude at the impurity site (see theorem 8 in section 4.1.5). This part involves standard bifurcation techniques, in contrast with the persistence problem of the above mentioned tangent bifurcations.

Note that other interesting bifurcations can exist when impurities act at a purely nonlinear level (see [SKRC01, KKK03] for some examples in spatially discrete or continuous systems). This would correspond to the situation when the on-site potential in (2) has an harmonic part independent on $n$, whereas higher order terms are inhomogeneous. The subsequent analysis of the reduced recurrence relation would be quite different, and in particular the method developed in sections 4.1 and 4.2 (based on a linear deformation of the unstable manifold) would not apply.

### 4.1 Case of a single mass defect

We start with the simplest case when the coefficients of (69) are constant, except at $n=0$ where their value changes. To fix the idea we consider the case of a single mass defect in equation (5), i.e. $D_{n}=d, K_{n}=k, A_{n}=a, M_{n}=1+m_{n}, m_{n}=m_{0} \delta_{n 0}$. The case when all lattice parameters are allowed to vary over a finite number of sites will be considered in section 4.2.

For equation (6) the above assumption yields $\eta_{n}=\gamma_{n}=\kappa_{n}=0$ and $\epsilon_{n}=m_{0} \delta_{n 0}$. Equation (69) reads (recall $\omega^{2}=\Omega^{2}+\mu$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{n+1}-2 \beta_{n}+\beta_{n-1}=-\left(\omega^{2} m_{0} \delta_{n 0}+\mu\right) \beta_{n}+B \beta_{n}^{3} . \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting $\beta_{n-1}=\alpha_{n}$ and $U_{n}=\left(\alpha_{n}, \beta_{n}\right)^{T}$, equation (70) can be rewritten

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{n+1}=G_{\omega}\left(U_{n}\right)-\omega^{2} m_{0} \delta_{n 0}\binom{0}{\beta_{n}} \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\omega}\left(U_{n}\right)=\binom{\beta_{n}}{-\alpha_{n}+2 \beta_{n}+\left(\Omega^{2}-\omega^{2}\right) \beta_{n}+B \beta_{n}^{3}} . \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

One has in particular

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{1}=A\left(\omega, m_{0}\right) G_{\omega}\left(U_{0}\right) \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the linear transformation

$$
A\left(\omega, m_{0}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0  \tag{74}\\
-\omega^{2} m_{0} & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

corresponds to a linear shear. Note that the axis $\alpha=0$ consists of fixed points of $A\left(\omega, m_{0}\right)$.

It is worthwhile to notice that the map $G_{\omega}$ is reversible under the symmetry $R:(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto(\beta, \alpha)$, i.e. $G_{\omega} \circ R=R G_{\omega}^{-1}$. In other words, if $U_{n}$ is a solution of (71) for $m_{0}=0$ then $R U_{-n}$ is also solution. This property is due to the fact that equation (70) with $m_{0}=0$ has the invariances $n \rightarrow n+1$ and $n \rightarrow-n$. Obviously the latter invariance still exists for $m_{0} \neq 0$. Consequently, for all $m_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$, if $U_{n}$ is a solution of (71) then $R U_{-n+1}$ is also solution.

Now we shall use a geometrical argument to find homoclinic orbits to 0 for equation (70). In the sequel we consider the stable manifold $W^{s}(0)$ of the fixed point $(\alpha, \beta)=0$ of $G_{\omega}$, and its unstable manifold $W^{u}(0)$, both existing for $\omega<\Omega$. The following result follows immediately.

Lemma 4 For $0<\omega<\Omega$, equation (70) possesses an homoclinic orbit to 0 if and only if $W^{s}(0)$ and $A\left(\omega, m_{0}\right) W^{u}(0)$ intersect.

In addition it is useful to notice that $W^{s}(0)$ and $W^{u}(0)$ are exchanged by the reversibility symmetry $R$.

### 4.1.1 Linear case

As a simple illustration, consider the linear case when $V$ is harmonic, in which $B=0$. Equation (70) reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{n+1}-2 \beta_{n}+\beta_{n-1}=-\left(\omega^{2} m_{0} \delta_{n 0}+\mu\right) \beta_{n} . \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

In that case, $W^{s}(0)$ and $W^{u}(0)$ correspond respectively to the stable and the unstable eigenspace of a linear mapping in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. The situation is sketched in figure 4 below. The corresponding stable eigenvalue $\sigma \in(0,1)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=1-\frac{\mu}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\mu^{2}-4 \mu\right)^{1 / 2}, \quad \mu=\omega^{2}-\Omega^{2}<0 \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $W^{s}(0)$ is the line $\beta=\sigma \alpha, W^{u}(0)$ being the line $\beta=\sigma^{-1} \alpha$. For fixed $\omega<\Omega$, $A\left(\omega, m_{0}\right)$ maps the unstable eigenspace on the stable eigenspace if and only if $m_{0}>0$ (mass is increased at the defect) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{0}=m_{l}(\omega) \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{l}(\omega)=\frac{1}{\omega^{2}}\left(\sigma^{-1}-\sigma\right) . \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 4: Linear case ( $B=0$ ). (Left panel) Stable manifold (in the half plane $\alpha>0)$ and images of the unstable manifold by $A\left(\omega, m_{0}\right)$ for $m_{0}=0.005, m_{0}=m_{l}$ and $m_{0}=m_{l}+0.005$. (Right panel) Homoclinic orbit to 0 for $m_{0}=m_{l}$. In both panels we have fixed $\Omega=10, \omega=9.99$, which implies $m_{l}=0.0092$.

Now keeping fixed $m_{0}>0$, condition (77) can be rewritten $\omega=\omega_{l}\left(m_{0}\right)$, where (for $\omega<\Omega$ )

$$
\begin{gather*}
\omega_{l}^{2}=\frac{1}{1-m_{0}^{2}}\left[\Omega^{2}+2-\left(4+m_{0}^{2} \Omega^{2}\left(\Omega^{2}+4\right)\right)^{1 / 2}\right], \quad m_{0} \neq 1,  \tag{79}\\
\omega_{l}^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\Omega^{2}+2\right)-\frac{2}{\Omega^{2}+2}, \quad m_{0}=1 .
\end{gather*}
$$

The solutions of (75) homoclinic to 0 are spanned by $\beta_{n}=\sigma^{|n|}$, and the corresponding solutions of (6) in the linear case read $y_{n}(t)=\beta_{n} \cos t$ with $\omega=\omega_{l}\left(m_{0}\right)$. One recovers a classical result, i.e. if mass is increased at the defect then the linear localized mode frequency lies below the phonon band and its frequency is given by $\omega_{l}$.

Now let us consider the effects of nonlinear terms. For this purpose we start with the simplest case of a hard potential, i.e. $B>0$. The situation when $B<0$ is far more complex and will be investigated later.

### 4.1.2 Nonlinear defect modes for hard on-site potentials

If $B>0, W^{s}(0)$ and $W^{u}(0)$ do not intersect (except at the origin) for $0<\omega<\Omega$. Indeed, one can show by induction that $\left|\beta_{n}\right|>\left|\beta_{n-1}\right|>0$ for any nontrivial orbit on $W^{u}(0)$, which implies $W^{u}(0)$ lies inside the sector formed by the lines $\alpha=\beta$ and $\alpha=0$. In the same way, $W^{s}(0)$ lies inside the sector formed by the lines $\alpha=\beta$ and $\beta=0$ hence it does not intersect $W^{u}(0)$. The above property also implies that $W^{u}(0)$ can be defined (globally) as the graph $\alpha=g(\beta)$ of an increasing function $g$, and the same holds true for $W^{s}(0)=R W^{u}(0)$ on which $\beta=g(\alpha)$.

For fixed $\omega \in(0, \Omega)$, the local unstable manifold can be approximated by $\alpha=g(\beta)=\sigma \beta+b \beta^{3}+O\left(|\beta|^{5}\right)$, with $b=\sigma^{2}\left(\sigma^{2}-\sigma^{-2}\right)^{-1} B<0$ (this coefficient can be computed by a classical identification procedure, using the fact that $W^{u}(0)$ is invariant under $\left.G_{\omega}\right)$. Consequently, $W^{s}(0)$ and $W^{u}(0)$ have the local shape represented in figure 5 . The same situation occurs in the limit $\omega \approx \Omega$ (one can locally approximate the map $G_{\omega}$ up to any order in $U, \mu$ using the time-one map of an integrable flow [AP90], which allows to determine the shape of $W^{s}(0)$ and $W^{u}(0)$ close to $U_{n}=0$ ).

In the case when $m_{0} \leq 0$, the curves $W^{s}(0)$ and $A\left(\omega, m_{0}\right) W^{u}(0)$ do not intersect $\left(A\left(\omega, m_{0}\right) W^{u}(0)\right.$ remains inside the sector formed by the lines $\alpha=\beta$ and $\left.\alpha=0\right)$. However, $W^{s}(0)$ and $A\left(\omega, m_{0}\right) W^{u}(0)$ intersect if $m_{0}>0$ provided

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{0}>m_{l}(\omega) \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

which means there exists an orbit homoclinic to 0 for equation (70). This property is clear for $m_{0} \approx m_{l}$ where there exists a unique intersection point (in the half plane $\alpha>0)$ close to $U=0$ due to the local shape of $W^{s}(0)$ and $W^{u}(0)$. Moreover, we numerically find a unique intersection point for all values of $m_{0}$ satisfying (80).


Figure 5: Case $B>0$ and $\omega<\Omega$. Stable and unstable manifolds (in the half plane $\alpha>0$ ), and image of the unstable manifold by $A\left(\omega, m_{0}\right)$ for $m_{0}=m_{l}$ and $m_{0}=0.05>m_{l}$. We have fixed $\Omega=10$ and $\omega=9.9$.

Condition (80) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{l}<\omega<\Omega . \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

The amplitude of the homoclinic orbit is $O\left(\sqrt{\omega-\omega_{l}}\right)$ as $m_{0}$ is fixed and $\omega \rightarrow \omega_{l}$ (at the limit $A\left(\omega, m_{0}\right) W^{u}(0)$ and $W^{s}(0)$ become tangent at the origin), and its spatial decay rate $\sigma$ is given by equation (76). This homoclinic orbit corresponds for equation (6) to a nonlinear defect mode, i.e. a nonlinear analogue of the above mentioned linear localized mode. This solution can be approximated by $y_{n}(t) \approx$ $\beta_{n} \cos t$ for $m_{0} \approx 0$ and the frequency $\omega$ varies with amplitude contrarily to the linear case. In section 4.1.5 we show the persistence of the above homoclinic solution $\beta_{n}$ for the full normal form (20) and the existence of corresponding small amplitude solutions of (5) (see theorem 8). Alternatively, these solutions could be obtained using an infinite-dimensional version of the Lyapunov centre theorem (see section 4.1.5 for more details).

Lastly, let us notice that the above homoclinic orbit possesses the symmetry $\beta_{-n}=\beta_{n}$, or equivalently $R U_{-n+1}=U_{n}$. It suffices to check the latter relation for $n=0$ to prove it for any $n$, since both solutions $R U_{-n+1}$ and $U_{n}$ coincide if they satisfy the same initial contidion. Since $U_{0}$ lies on the unstable manifold we have $\alpha_{0}=g\left(\beta_{0}\right)$, and in the same way $\beta_{1}=g\left(\alpha_{1}\right)$ since $U_{1}$ lies on the stable manifold. Since by definition $\alpha_{1}=\beta_{0}$, this implies $\alpha_{0}=\beta_{1}$ and thus $R U_{1}=U_{0}$. Using the properties $U_{1}=G_{\omega}\left(U_{0}\right)-\omega^{2} m_{0}\left(0, \beta_{0}\right)^{T}$ and $R U_{1}=U_{0}$ we also deduce the relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 \alpha_{0}=\left[2+\Omega^{2}-\omega^{2}\left(m_{0}+1\right)\right] \beta_{0}+B \beta_{0}^{3}  \tag{82}\\
& 2 \beta_{1}=\left[2+\Omega^{2}-\omega^{2}\left(m_{0}+1\right)\right] \alpha_{1}+B \alpha_{1}^{3} \tag{83}
\end{align*}
$$

which are useful in particular for the numerical computation of $U_{0}, U_{1}$.

### 4.1.3 Nonlinear defect mode with algebraic decay

In the situation of section $4.1 .2(B>0)$, the case when $m_{0}$ is fixed and $\omega \rightarrow \Omega$ deserves a special attention. Indeed, the homoclinic orbit ( $\alpha_{n}, \beta_{n}$ ) converges in this limit towards a solution having an algebraic decay as $n \rightarrow \pm \infty$.

More precisely, if $\omega=\Omega$ and $m_{0}>0$ then $W^{s}(0)$ and $A\left(\omega, m_{0}\right) W^{u}(0)$ intersect at a unique point $\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right)$ in the half plane $\alpha>0$ (see figure 6 ). This can be checked analytically for $m_{0} \approx 0$ and $(\alpha, \beta) \approx 0$, since the unstable manifold can be locally parametrized by $\alpha=\beta-(B / 2)^{1 / 2} \beta^{2}+O\left(|\beta|^{3}\right)$ in the half plane $\alpha>0$ (this expansion follows from a classical identification procedure). Using this relation for ( $\alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}$ ) in conjunction with (82) we find as $m_{0} \rightarrow 0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{0}=\Omega^{2}(2 B)^{-1 / 2} m_{0}+O\left(m_{0}^{2}\right) . \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that in this non-hyperbolic case, the function $g$ having the unstable manifold as its graph is not $C^{2}$ at $\beta=0$ (in the half plane $\alpha<0$, one has $\alpha=\beta+(B / 2)^{1 / 2} \beta^{2}+$ $O\left(|\beta|^{3}\right)$ on the local unstable manifold). Far from the small amplitude limit, we have also checked numerically the existence and uniqueness of $W^{s}(0) \cap A\left(\omega, m_{0}\right) W^{u}(0)$ in the half plane $\alpha>0$.


Figure 6: Case $B>0$ and $\omega=\Omega$. Stable and unstable manifolds (in the half plane $\alpha>0)$, and image of the unstable manifold by $A\left(\omega, m_{0}\right)$ for $m_{0}=0.05$. We have fixed $\Omega=10$ in this example.

Consequently, there exists a solution of (70) homoclinic to 0 for $\omega=\Omega$ and $m_{0}>0$. This solution has an algebraic decay due to the fact that the origin is not any more an hyperbolic fixed point for $\omega=\Omega$. One can approximate the solution profile for $m_{0} \approx 0$, using the fact that (70) admits at both sides of $n=0$ a continuum limit. Indeed, setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{n} \approx m_{0} \beta(x), \quad x=m_{0} n \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

one obtains the following differential equation

$$
\frac{d^{2} \beta}{d x^{2}}=B \beta^{3}, \quad x \in(-\infty, 0) \text { or }(0,+\infty)
$$

from which we deduce (multiply by $\beta^{\prime}$ and integrate)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \beta}{d x}=-\operatorname{sign}(x)(B / 2)^{1 / 2} \beta^{2} \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $\beta(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \pm \infty$. Using (86) and (84) one obtains the following approximation of the homoclinic solution for $m_{0} \approx 0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{n} \approx m_{0} \sqrt{\frac{2}{B}}\left(m_{0}|n|+\frac{2}{\Omega^{2}}\right)^{-1} . \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

This yields an approximate solution $y_{n}(t) \approx \beta_{n} \cos t$ of (6), corresponding to a breather with an algebraic decay and a frequency $\omega=\Omega$ at the bottom of the phonon band.

### 4.1.4 Case of soft on-site potentials

In this section we make some considerations on the case $B<0$ (soft on-site potential $V)$ which is far more complex. We fix the parameter $\omega$ in equation (70) and let $m_{0}$ vary. Using a geometrical argument, we show that two (asymmetric) homoclinic solutions of (70) having one hump near the defect site $n=0$ disappear through a tangent bifurcation, at a critical value of $m_{0}$ which can be estimated. As we shall later numerically check (see section 5 ), the same features occur for the Klein-Gordon model which was locally reduced to (20), i.e. to a higher order perturbation of (70). In addition, we show (again using a geometrical argument) that a symmetric solution $\beta_{n}$ of (70) homoclinic to 0 and centered at $n=0$ disappears through a pitchfork bifurcation with $-\beta_{n}$ for $m_{0}=m_{l}(\omega)$. This bifurcation persists for the full normal form (20) as we shall see in section 4.1.5. In the present section we only study the simplest homoclinic bifurcations that occur in the soft potential case when $m_{0}$ is varied, but an infinity of tangent bifurcations occur in fact due to the complicated structure of the stable and unstable manifolds of the origin.

In order to treat the case when $m_{0} \approx 0$, we start by fixing $m_{0}=0$ and proceed perturbatively. For $m_{0}=0, \mu<0$ and $B<0$, equation (70) possesses homoclinic solutions to 0 . This case has been analyzed in several references with different viewpoints and for different parameter ranges, see e.g. [QX07, HT99, ABK04, PZ01, Wei99, Jam03].

The dynamics of the map $G_{\omega}$ is rather complex due to the fact that the stable and unstable manifolds of the origin intersect transversally in general (see figures 7 and 12). This implies the existence of an invariant Cantor set on which some iterate $G_{\omega}^{p}$ is topologically conjugate to a full shift on $N$ symbols [AP90], which yields a rich variety of solutions and in particular an infinity of homoclinic orbits to 0 .

Among these different homoclinic orbits one can point out two particular ones $U_{n}^{i}=\left(\alpha_{n}^{i}, \beta_{n}^{i}\right)^{T}(i=1,2)$, corresponding for the Klein-Gordon chain to breather solutions with a single hump near $n=0$ (site-centered or bond-centered). These solutions have been described in lemma 2 and theorem 5 in the small amplitude limit. The corresponding homoclinics $U_{n}^{1}, U_{n}^{2}$ are reversible, i.e. they satisfy $R U_{-n+1}^{2}=U_{n}^{2}$ $\left(\beta_{-n}^{2}=\beta_{n}^{2}\right)$ and $R U_{-n+2}^{1}=U_{n}^{1}\left(\beta_{-n+1}^{1}=\beta_{n}^{1}\right)$. In figure 7 , the point with label 2 lying on the axis $\alpha=\beta$ corresponds to $U_{1}^{1}$, and the points with labels 3,1 correspond to $U_{0}^{2}, U_{1}^{2}$ respectively. Obviously any translation of $U_{n}^{i}$ generates a breather solution of (6) having its maximal amplitude near a different site.

Now let us consider the situation when $\omega$ is kept fixed and a small mass defect $m_{0}$ is introduced in (70). As illustrated in figure 7, each of the above solutions is structurally stable.

For example, let us consider in figure 7 the intersection points $1,2,3$ between $W^{u}(0)$ and $W^{s}(0)$. Each of these intersections persists (points $1^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}$ in figure 7) as the linear shear $A\left(\omega, m_{0}\right)$ is applied to $W^{u}(0)$ for $m_{0} \approx 0$ (dashed line in figure


Figure 7: First intersection points between the stable and unstable manifolds for parameters $\omega=9.9(\mu=-1.99)$ and $B=-75$. The dashed line depicts the image of the unstable manifold by the linear shear $A\left(\omega, m_{0}\right)$ for $m_{0}=0.005$.
7). Let us examine the corresponding solutions of (71) and the related breather solutions of the Klein-Gordon model.

We denote by $\tilde{U}_{n}^{2}=\left(\tilde{\alpha}_{n}^{2}, \tilde{\beta}_{n}^{2}\right)^{T}$ the solution of (71) with initial data $\tilde{U}_{1}^{2}$ at the point $1^{\prime}$. This solution is homoclinic to 0 according to lemma 4. Repeating an argument of section 4.1.2, one can show that $R \tilde{U}_{-n+1}^{2}=\tilde{U}_{n}^{2}$, i.e. $\tilde{\beta}_{-n}^{2}=\tilde{\beta}_{n}^{2}$. Consequently, $\tilde{U}_{n}^{2}$ corresponds to an (approximate) breather solution of (6) centered at the defect site $n=0$. This solution is a small deformation of the site-centered breather $y_{n}^{2}$ of theorem 5 .

Now let us denote by $\tilde{U}_{n}^{1}$ the homoclinic solution of (71) with initial data $\tilde{U}_{1}^{1}$ at the point $2^{\prime}$. It corresponds to an (approximate) breather solution of (6), whose profile is a small deformation of the breather $y_{n}^{1}$ centered between $n=0$ and $n=1$ (see theorem 5). Since $\tilde{U}_{1}^{1}$ does not belong to the line $\alpha=\beta$ (it lies at a distance $\left.O\left(\left|m_{0}\right|\right)\right)$, the corresponding breather solution is not symmetric any more, which was expected since the atomic masses at $n=0,1$ are different.

Lastly we note $\tilde{U}_{n}^{3}=\left(\tilde{\alpha}_{n}^{3}, \tilde{\beta}_{n}^{3}\right)^{T}$ the homoclinic solution of (71) with initial data $\tilde{U}_{1}^{3}$ at the point $3^{\prime}$. Since $\tilde{U}_{1}^{3}$ is $O\left(\left|m_{0}\right|\right)$-close to $U_{0}^{2}$ (point with label 3 ), $\tilde{U}_{n}^{3}$ is a small deformation of the solution $U_{n-1}^{2}$ existing for $m_{0}=0$. In other words, $\tilde{U}_{1}^{3}$ corresponds to a small deformation of the breather $y_{n-1}^{2}$ centered at $n=1$. The mass defect at $n=0$ breaks the mirror symmetry of the solution, since its amplitude has only the imperfect symmetry $\tilde{\beta}_{-n+1}^{3}-\tilde{\beta}_{n+1}^{3}=O\left(\left|m_{0}\right|\right)$ for $n \neq 0$.

A more delicate question concerns the continuation and the possible bifurcations of the above homoclinic solutions as $m_{0}$ is further varied. The evolution of $\tilde{U}_{n}^{1}, \tilde{U}_{n}^{2}, \tilde{U}_{n}^{3}$ depends on the structure of the homoclinic windings near $U_{1}^{1}, U_{1}^{2}, U_{0}^{2}$. Numerically we find that the lobes formed near these points by the stable and unstable manifolds
have the structure shown in figure 7. These manifolds windings can be analytically approximated as explained in [HT99] (section 3.5) or [HRGB96] (section 4).

At a critical value $m_{0}=m_{c}(\omega)>0$, the points with label $2^{\prime}$ and $3^{\prime}$ on $W^{s}(0) \cap$ $A\left(\omega, m_{0}\right) W^{u}(0)$ collide as $W^{s}(0)$ and $A\left(\omega, m_{0}\right) W^{u}(0)$ become tangent. Consequently the solutions $\tilde{U}_{n}^{3}$ and $\tilde{U}_{n}^{1}$ disappear through a tangent bifurcation above this critical value of $m_{0}$.

Obviously, since we consider the truncated map (71) instead of the full recurrence relation (20), these solutions only correspond to approximate breather solutions of (6). It should be hard to prove that the above tangent bifurcation of homoclinic solutions persists for the full reduced equation (20), because it involves phenomena beyond all algebraic orders for $\mu \approx 0$. Indeed, for the truncated map (71) with $m_{0}=0$, the splitting of $W^{s}(0)$ and $W^{u}(0)$ lies beyond all orders in $\mu$. This is due to the fact that the map (72) can be approximated up to an arbitrary order in $\left(U_{n}, \mu\right)$ using the time-one map of an integrable flow [AP90], for which $W^{s}(0)$ and $W^{u}(0)$ coincide and form a pair of symmetric homoclinic loops. The analysis of the above tangent bifurcation, which requires to estimate the splitting distance between $W^{s}(0)$ and $W^{u}(0)$ and the angles at their intersection, would therefore involve difficult beyond all orders asymptotics. In particular, the critical value of $m_{0}$ at which tangent bifurcation occurs for the truncated map (71) lies beyond all orders in $\mu$ (since it is of the order of the splitting distance between $W^{s}(0)$ and $W^{u}(0)$ ), and the same phenomenon can be expected when the higher order terms of (20) are taken into account. Analytical results on the exponentially small splitting of separatrices have been derived for some families of analytic maps (see [Gel00, DRR98, FS96] and references therein), but our case is more complex since (for an analytic onsite potential $V$ ) the centre manifold reduction breaks in general the analyticity of the reduced equation. A strategy to tackle this problem would be to proceed as in [Lom00] (section 8), where the centre manifold reduction is replaced by an infinite-dimensional normal form reduction. This would lead to difficult analytical problems which lie beyond the scope of this paper. Instead, in section 5 we shall check numerically that the above tangent bifurcation occurs for breather solutions of (6) close to our approximate solutions, at a critical value of $m_{0}$ close to $m_{c}(\omega)$.

In what follows we give a simple method to estimate $m_{c}(\omega)$, which is based on a simple approximation of $W^{u}(0)$. Note that the method doesn't work in the limit $\mu \approx 0$ in which the centre manifold reduction is achieved, but fits quite well our numerical computations in a different parameter regime. Let us consider a cubic approximation $W_{a p p}^{u}$ of the local unstable manifold of figure 7, parametrized by $\beta=\lambda \alpha-c^{2} \alpha^{3}$. The coefficient $c$ depends on $\mu$ and $B$ and need not be specified in what follows (a value of $c$ suitable when $\lambda$ is large is computed in [HRGB96], equation (60)). We note $\lambda=\sigma^{-1}=1-\mu / 2+\sqrt{\mu^{2}-4 \mu} / 2$ the unstable eigenvalue. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=\lambda_{0} \alpha-c^{2} \alpha^{3} \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the curve $A\left(\omega, m_{0}\right) W_{a p p}^{u}$, where $\lambda-\omega^{2} m_{0}=\lambda_{0}$. By symmetry we can approximate the local stable manifold using the curve $W_{a p p}^{s}$ parametrized by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\lambda \beta-c^{2} \beta^{3} . \tag{89}
\end{equation*}
$$

The curves $A\left(\omega, m_{0}\right) W_{a p p}^{u}$ and $W_{\text {app }}^{s}$ become tangent at $(\alpha, \beta)$ when in addition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\lambda-3 c^{2} \beta^{2}\right)\left(\lambda_{0}-3 c^{2} \alpha^{2}\right)=1 \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to compute $m_{0}=m_{c}$ as a function of $\omega$, or, equivalently, the corresponding value of $\lambda_{0}$ as a function of $\lambda$, one has to solve the nonlinear system (88)-(89)-(90) with respect to $\alpha, \beta, \lambda_{0}$, which yields a solution depending on $\lambda$. Instead of using $\lambda$ it is practical to parametrize the solutions by $t=\beta / \alpha$. This yields

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha=\frac{1}{c \sqrt{2}}\left(t+\frac{1}{t^{3}}\right)^{1 / 2}, \quad \beta=\frac{t}{c \sqrt{2}}\left(t+\frac{1}{t^{3}}\right)^{1 / 2}, \\
\lambda_{0}=\frac{3}{2} t+\frac{1}{2 t^{3}}, \quad \lambda=\frac{3}{2 t}+\frac{1}{2} t^{3} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $\mu=2-\lambda-\lambda^{-1}$ and $m_{0}=\left(\lambda-\lambda_{0}\right)\left(\Omega^{2}+\mu\right)^{-1}$ it follows

$$
\begin{align*}
\mu & =2-\frac{3+t^{4}}{2 t}-\frac{2 t}{3+t^{4}}  \tag{91}\\
m_{0} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(t-\frac{1}{t}\right)^{3}\left(\Omega^{2}+\mu\right)^{-1} . \tag{92}
\end{align*}
$$

Given a value of $\mu \in\left(-\Omega^{2},-1 / 2\right)$, one can approximate $m_{c}$ by the value of $m_{0}$ given by equations (91)-(92).

For example, in the case numerically studied in figure 7 we have $\omega=9.9$ and $\mu=-1.99$. Consequently $\lambda \approx 3.721, t \approx 1.7935$ and $\lambda_{0} \approx 2.777$, which yields $m_{c} \approx 0.009632$. A numerical study of the map yields $m_{c} \in(0.00963,0.00964)$, and consequently our approximation works very well in this parameter regime. Moreover, the approximation is extremely close to the actual value of $m_{0}$ at which a tangent bifurcation occurs between the corresponding breather solutions of the Klein-Gordon system (numerically we again find $m_{0} \in(0.00963,0.00964)$, see section 5 for more details).

Despite it gives precise numerical results in a certain parameter range, the approximation (91)-(92) is not always valid. Indeed, the parameter regime $\mu>-1 / 2$ is not described within this approximation. Moreover, one can check that $W_{a p p}^{u}$ intersects $W_{a p p}^{s}$ on the line $\alpha=\beta$ with an angle depending solely on $\lambda$, and not on the coefficient $B$ (in particular, $W_{a p p}^{u}$ and $W_{a p p}^{s}$ become tangent for $\lambda=2$ ). This problem could be solved by adding a quintic term $d \alpha^{5}$ in equation (88).

The intersection point with label $1^{\prime}$ between $W^{s}(0)$ and $A\left(\omega, m_{0}\right) W^{u}(0)$ persists for $0<m_{0}<m_{l}(\omega)$, or equivalently $0<\omega<\omega_{l}\left(m_{0}\right)$, and consequently the reversible homoclinic solution $\tilde{U}_{n}^{2}$ exists within this parameter range. At $\omega=\omega_{l}$, this solution disappears through a pitchfork bifurcation with the symmetric solution $-\tilde{U}_{n}^{2}$ (the amplitude of the homoclinic orbit is $O\left(\sqrt{\omega-\omega_{l}}\right)$ as $m_{0}$ is fixed and $\left.\omega \rightarrow \omega_{l}\right)$. This homoclinic orbit corresponds for equation (6) to a nonlinear defect mode, i.e. a nonlinear analogue of the linear localized mode of section 4.1.1. The existence of exact small amplitude solutions of this type ( with $\omega \approx \omega_{l}$ ) is proved in section 4.1.5 (see theorem 8). For $m_{0} \approx 0$ and $\omega \approx \omega_{l}$, the breather solution of (6) can be approximated by $y_{n}(t) \approx \beta_{n} \cos t$, and the frequency $\omega$ varies with amplitude and lies below $\omega_{l}$.

More generally, the evolution of the set $A\left(\omega, m_{0}\right) W^{u}(0) \cap W^{s}(0)$ as $m_{0}$ varies is very complex, due to the complex shape of the stable and unstable manifolds and the complicated structure of their intersection (see figure 12). In section 5 we shall give some additional examples of breather bifurcations which can be deduced from the fine structure of the stable and unstable manifolds.

Note that previous studies have examined, for certain families of reversible twodimensional maps, how parameter changes modify the intersections between the stable and unstable manifolds of the origin and the associated set of homoclinic solutions [BCKRBW00, CGTCM06]. These (autonomous) maps are directly obtained from the discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equation or generalized versions (due to their phase invariance), as one looks for oscillatory solutions with a single Fourier component. Although we obtain similar types of tangent bifurcations as defect strengths are varied, our situation is quite different since we are concerned with a nonautonomous map, where the impurity leads to consider a linear shear of the unstable manifold.

### 4.1.5 Persistence of a nonlinear defect mode

In sections 4.1.2 and 4.1.4 we have seen that symmetric homoclinic solutions corresponding to a nonlinear defect mode exist for the truncated normal form (70), both for hard and soft on-site potentials. In this section we prove that these solutions persist for the full system (20). They appear through a pitchfork bifurcation at the origin, when $m_{0}>0$ is fixed (close to 0 ) and $\omega$ crosses the critical value $\omega_{l}\left(m_{0}\right)$. This bifurcation is supercritical for hard on-site potentials and subcritical for soft ones. As a consequence, the centre manifold theorem yields the existence of corresponding defect modes for the Klein-Gordon system (3) (see theorem 8 below).

In the case of a single mass defect $m_{n}=m_{0} \delta_{n 0}$, the normal form (20) reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{n+1}-2 \beta_{n}+\beta_{n-1}+\left(\omega^{2} m_{0} \delta_{n 0}+\omega^{2}-\Omega^{2}\right) \beta_{n}-B \beta_{n}^{3}+\varrho_{n}\left(\beta_{n-1}, \beta_{n}, m_{0}, \mu\right)=0 \tag{93}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varrho_{n}\left(\beta_{n-1}, \beta_{n}, m_{0}, \mu\right)=O\left[\left(\left|\beta_{n-1}\right|^{3}+\left|\beta_{n}\right|^{3}\right)\left(\left|m_{0}\right|+|\mu|+\beta_{n-1}^{2}+\beta_{n}^{2}\right)\right]$ uniformly in $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ (since in the nonlinear term (64), $\left\|\tau_{n}\{\lambda\}\right\|_{\ell_{\infty}(\mathbb{Z})}$ does not depend on $n$ ). In the sequel we fix $m_{0}>0$ close to 0 and vary $\omega^{2}$ (by now we omit $m_{0}$ in notations). For simplicity we shall note $\beta$ the sequence $\{\beta\}$. Looking for solutions $\beta$ of (93) in $\ell_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$, equation (93) takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}\left(\beta, \omega^{2}\right)=0 \tag{94}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{F}: \ell_{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \ell_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ is $C^{k}$ in a neighbourhood $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{O}$ of $\left(0, \Omega^{2}\right)$ and $\mathcal{F}\left(-\beta, \omega^{2}\right)=-\mathcal{F}\left(\beta, \omega^{2}\right)\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right.$-symmetry). The neighbourhood $\mathcal{O}$ can be fixed independently of $m_{0}$ for $m_{0}$ sufficiently small, since theorem 3 yields a reduction result valid for $\left(\omega^{2}, m_{0}\right)$ in a neighbourhood of $\left(\Omega^{2}, 0\right)$. Moreover, $\mathcal{O}$ contains the critical value $\omega_{l}\left(m_{0}\right)^{2}$ for $m_{0} \approx 0$ since $\omega_{l}(0)=\Omega$.

Now we look for solutions of (94) bifurcating from $\beta=0$. ¿From the analysis of section 4.1.1 it follows that $D \mathcal{F}\left(0, \omega^{2}\right)$ has a nontrivial kernel if and only if $\omega^{2}=$ $\omega_{l}\left(m_{0}\right)^{2}$. The kernel of $\mathcal{L}=D \mathcal{F}\left(0, \omega_{l}^{2}\right)$ is one-dimensional and spanned by the eigenvector $\xi$ given by $\xi_{n}=\sigma^{|n|}$ (see equation (76) for the definition of $\sigma$ ). To determine the range $R(\mathcal{L})$ of $\mathcal{L}$, we note that $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{T}+\mathcal{A}$ with

$$
\begin{gathered}
(\mathcal{T} \beta)_{n}=\beta_{n+1}-2 \beta_{n}+\beta_{n-1}+\left(\omega_{l}^{2}-\Omega^{2}\right) \beta_{n} \\
(\mathcal{A} \beta)_{n}=\omega_{l}^{2} m_{0} \delta_{n 0} \beta_{n} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $\omega_{l}^{2}<\Omega^{2}, \mathcal{T}$ is invertible in $\ell_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ by Lax-Milgram's theorem. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is compact in $\ell_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$, it follows that $\mathcal{L}$ is Fredholm with index 0 and $\operatorname{codim} R(\mathcal{L})=1$. In addition, $R(\mathcal{L})=\xi^{\perp}$ since $\mathcal{L}$ is selfadjoint.

We now assume $\omega^{2} \approx \omega_{l}^{2}$. The solutions of (94) near $\left(0, \omega_{l}^{2}\right)$ can be determined using classical results for bifurcations at a simple eigenvalue, based on a Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction (see e.g. [Kie04, MH94]). The $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-symmetry of $\mathcal{F}$ and the nondegeneracy conditions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(D_{\beta \omega^{2}}^{2} \mathcal{F}\left(0, \omega_{l}^{2}\right) \cdot \xi, \xi\right)_{\ell_{2}}=m_{0}+c^{2} \neq 0, \\
& \left(D_{\beta}^{3} \mathcal{F}\left(0, \omega_{l}^{2}\right) \cdot[\xi]^{3}, \xi\right)_{\ell_{2}}=-6 B b^{2} \neq 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

in which

$$
\begin{equation*}
c^{2}=\|\xi\|_{\ell_{2}}^{2}=\frac{2}{1-\sigma^{2}}-1, \quad b^{2}=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \xi_{n}^{4}=\frac{2}{1-\sigma^{4}}-1, \tag{95}
\end{equation*}
$$

guarantee that the set of solutions of (94) near $\left(0, \omega_{l}^{2}\right)$ consists in a pitchfork lying in a two-dimensional submanifold of $\ell_{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{R}$ (see [MH94], proposition 1.9 p .438$)$. More precisely, the Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction yields the bifurcation equation

$$
\left(m_{0}+c^{2}\right) \epsilon\left(\omega^{2}-\omega_{l}^{2}\right)-B b^{2} \epsilon^{3}+\text { h.o.t. }=0
$$

where $\omega^{2}-\omega_{l}^{2} \approx 0$ and $\epsilon \approx 0$ denotes the coordinate of small amplitude solutions $\beta$ along the kernel of $\mathcal{L}$. The local branch of nontrivial solutions of (94) can be therefore parametrized by

$$
\begin{align*}
\beta & =\epsilon \xi+O\left(\epsilon^{3}\right) \text { in } \ell_{2}(\mathbb{Z}),  \tag{96}\\
\omega^{2} & =\omega_{l}^{2}+\frac{B b^{2}}{m_{0}+c^{2}} \epsilon^{2}+O\left(\epsilon^{4}\right) \tag{97}
\end{align*}
$$

(note that $\omega^{2}$ is even in $\epsilon$ ). The pitchfork bifurcation is supercritical for $B>0$ (i.e. for hard on-site potentials) and subcritical for $B<0$ (soft on-site potentials). In the degenerate case $B=0$, a branch of solutions bifurcating from $\beta=0$ still exists, and higher order terms of (97) determine the direction of bifurcation. As a corollary of (96), note that bifurcating solutions are also $O(|\epsilon|)$ in $\ell_{\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$.

Applying the centre manifold theorem 3, the homoclinic solutions (96)-(97) of the reduced recurrence relation correspond to small amplitude solutions of (6), with $y_{n} \in H_{\#}^{2}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \pm \infty}\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{H_{\#}^{2}}=0$. This yields the following existence result of a nonlinear defect mode in the original system (5).

Theorem 8 Consider the Klein-Gordon lattice (5), where the inhomogeneity lies in the mass parameter $M_{n}=m+m_{0} \delta_{n 0}$ (with $m, m_{0}>0$ and $m_{0} \approx 0$ ) and all other lattice parameters $D_{n}=d, A_{n}=a, K_{n}=k$ are constant (with $d, a, k>0$ ). Assume the on-site potential $V$ satisfies $V^{\prime}(0)=0, V^{\prime \prime}(0)=1$ and note $\Omega^{2}=a^{2} d / k$.
i) In the linear case $V(y)=\frac{1}{2} y^{2}$, equation (5) admits spatially localized solutions $y_{n}(t)=\epsilon \sigma^{|n|} \cos \left(\Omega_{0} t\right)$ (linear defect mode), with frequency $\Omega_{0}=\omega_{l} \sqrt{k / m}$ and $\omega_{l}\left(m_{0}\right)$ defined by (79). Their spatial decay is fixed by $\sigma\left(m_{0}\right) \in(0,1)$, which is determined by equation (76) taken for $\omega=\omega_{l}$.
ii) In the nonlinear case, assume in addition $\Omega^{2}>4 / 3$. Equation (5) admits a family of spatially localized solutions $y_{n}(t)=\epsilon \sigma^{|n|} \cos \left(\Omega_{\epsilon} t\right)+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)$ (nonlinear defect mode), parametrized by $\epsilon \approx 0$ (in a neighbourhood of 0 whose size depends on $m_{0}$ ), with frequency

$$
\Omega_{\epsilon}=\Omega_{0}+h \Omega_{1} \epsilon^{2}+O\left(\epsilon^{4}\right),
$$

where $h=V^{(4)}(0)-\frac{5}{3}\left(V^{(3)}(0)\right)^{2}, \Omega_{1}=\frac{k \Omega^{2}}{16 m \Omega_{0}} \frac{b^{2} a^{2}}{m_{0}+c^{2}}>0$ and $b, c$ are given by (95).
To end this section, we compare our approach with another analysis of (5) based on the Lyapunov centre theorem in its infinite-dimensional version. Under a nonresonance condition, i.e. when no multiple of $\Omega_{0}$ lies in the phonon band $\left[\omega_{\min }, \omega_{\max }\right.$ ], the Lyapunov centre theorem ensures that $y_{n}=0$ is contained in a two-dimensional invariant manifold of $\ell_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ consisting of small amplitude periodic solutions, whose frequency tends to $\Omega_{0}$ as they approach the equilibrium (see e.g. [Kie04] for more details on the Lyapunov centre theorem). The nonlinear defect mode considered in
theorem 8 corresponds to a Lyapunov family of periodic orbits, and for small enough $m_{0}>0$ the condition $\Omega^{2}>4 / 3$ implies the above nonresonance condition. Indeed, assuming $\Omega^{2}>4 / 3$ is equivalent to fixing $2 \omega_{\min }>\omega_{\max }$ (recall $\omega_{\min }^{2}=a^{2} d / m$, $\left.\omega_{\text {max }}^{2}=\left(a^{2} d+4 k\right) / m\right)$. Since $\lim _{m_{0} \rightarrow 0} \Omega_{0}=\omega_{\text {min }}$, one has $2 \Omega_{0}>\omega_{\text {max }}$ when $m_{0}>0$ and $m_{0} \approx 0$, which establishes the nonresonance condition since in addition $\Omega_{0}<\omega_{\text {min }}$ (recall $\omega_{l}<\Omega$ ). More generally, one can see from the Lyapunov centre theorem that a nonlinear defect mode exists when $m_{0}$ is not necessarily small, provided the nonresonance condition is fulfilled.

When $m_{0} \rightarrow 0$, the Lyapunov centre theorem is not adequate to analyze (5) because it is valid in a neighbourhood of $y_{n}=0$ which may vanish at the limit. This is due to the fact that the frequency $\Omega_{0}$ enters the continuous spectrum for $m_{0}=0$, which violates the nonresonance condition. For example, the Lyapunov centre theorem also asserts that the Lyapunov family of periodic orbits contains the only periodic solutions near $y_{n}=0$ in $\ell_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$, with frequency close to $\Omega_{0}$. However, as seen in section 4.1.4 for the principal part of the normal form (20), many spatially localized solutions can exist in the vicinity of the defect mode when $m_{0}$ is small (see also section 5 where these results are checked numerically for the full Klein-Gordon model). By opposition, the centre manifold reduction we employ is well adapted to determine bifurcating solutions for $m_{0} \approx 0$ and frequencies close to $\omega_{\min }$, although their persistence for the full normal form would be hard to analyze here (except in the special case of theorem 8).

### 4.2 Case of finitely many defects

This section generalizes the analysis of the above section to the case when equation (5) admits a finite number of inhomogeneities. More precisely, we assume in equation (6) $\eta_{n}=\gamma_{n}=\kappa_{n}=\epsilon_{n}=0$ if $|n| \geq n_{0}+1$, for a given integer $n_{0} \geq 0$. Note that this assumption allows one to cover the case of an odd number of defects as well as an even number.

The situation is more complex than in section 4.1, because studying homoclinic solutions of (69) leads to finding the intersections of the stable manifold with the image of the unstable manifold under a nonlinear transformation (see lemma 5 below). However, one can recover the linear case if one replaces the relevant spatial map by a suitable one, both being equal at leading order only. In what follows we shall develop this leading order theory, considering as higher order terms all terms being $o\left(\left\|\left(\alpha_{n}, \beta_{n}\right)\right\|^{3}\right)$.

Equation (69) reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{n+1}-2 \beta_{n}+\beta_{n-1}=\left(\theta_{n}-\mu\right) \beta_{n}-\kappa_{n} \beta_{n-1}+B \beta_{n}^{3}, \tag{98}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta_{n}=\Omega^{2}\left(\eta_{n}+\eta_{n} \gamma_{n}+\gamma_{n}\right)-\omega^{2} \epsilon_{n}+\kappa_{n}, \omega^{2}=\Omega^{2}+\mu$. In the sequel we shall note $\varepsilon=\|\{\theta\}\|_{\ell_{\infty}(\mathbb{Z})}+\|\{\kappa\}\|_{\ell_{\infty}(\mathbb{Z})}$.

Setting $\beta_{n-1}=\alpha_{n}$ and $U_{n}=\left(\alpha_{n}, \beta_{n}\right)^{T}$, equation (98) can be rewritten

$$
\begin{gather*}
U_{n+1}=F_{n}\left(U_{n}\right)  \tag{99}\\
F_{n}(\alpha, \beta)=\binom{\beta}{-\left(1+\kappa_{n}\right) \alpha+\left(2+\theta_{n}-\mu\right) \beta+B \beta^{3}} . \tag{100}
\end{gather*}
$$

Noting $F=G_{\omega}$ for simplicity (see definition (72)), one can observe that

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{n}=\left(I+T_{n}\right) F+O\left(\left|\kappa_{n}\right||\beta|^{3}\right),  \tag{101}\\
& T_{n}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
\theta_{n}+(\mu-2) \kappa_{n} & \kappa_{n}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Note that higher order terms are absent from equation (101) if $\kappa_{n}=0$.
Since $F_{n}=F$ for $|n| \geq n_{0}+1$ one has the following property.
Lemma 5 Fix $\mu<0$ and denote by $W^{s}(0), W^{u}(0)$ the stable and unstable manifolds of the fixed point $U=0$ of $F$. Consider the nonlinear map $G=F_{n_{0}} \circ F_{n_{0}-1} \circ \cdots \circ$ $F_{-n_{0}} \circ F^{-2 n_{0}-1}$. Equation (99) possesses an homoclinic orbit to 0 if and only if $W^{s}(0)$ and $G\left(W^{u}(0)\right)$ intersect.

Lemma 5 is hard to use for analyzing homoclinic solutions since it involves a nonlinear transformation $G$ instead of a linear one as in lemma 4. However one can recover the linear case when replacing $F_{n}$ by a suitable approximation $\hat{F}_{n}$, equal to $F_{n}$ up to higher order terms. This is possible thanks to property (104) of lemma 6 below. In the sequel we note

$$
L=D F(0)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 2-\mu
\end{array}\right)
$$

Lemma 6 Consider the collection of maps $\hat{F}_{n}\left(-n_{0} \leq n \leq n_{0}\right)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{F}_{n}=A_{n} F \circ A_{n-1}^{-1}, \tag{102}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{-n_{0}-1}=I$ and for $n \geq-n_{0}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}=L_{n} L_{n-1} \cdots L_{-n_{0}} L^{-n-n_{0}-1}, \quad L_{n}=\left(I+T_{n}\right) L \tag{103}
\end{equation*}
$$

The map $\hat{F}_{n}$ is a leading order approximation of $F_{n}$, i.e. $\hat{F}_{n}=F_{n}+O\left(\varepsilon\|(\alpha, \beta)\|^{3}\right)$. Moreover one has the property

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{F}_{n_{0}} \circ \hat{F}_{n_{0}-1} \circ \cdots \circ \hat{F}_{-n_{0}}=A F^{2 n_{0}+1} \tag{104}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A=A_{n_{0}}$ reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=L_{n_{0}} L_{n_{0}-1} \cdots L_{-n_{0}} L^{-2 n_{0}-1}=I+O(\varepsilon) . \tag{105}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Proof.

First we note that the sequence $A_{n}$ satisfies $A_{-n_{0}}=I+T_{-n_{0}}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n+1}=\left(I+T_{n+1}\right) L A_{n} L^{-1} \tag{106}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n \geq-n_{0}-1$. It follows for $-n_{0} \leq n \leq n_{0}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{F}_{n}=\left(I+T_{n}\right) L A_{n-1} L^{-1} F \circ A_{n-1}^{-1} . \tag{107}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let us note that $A_{n}=I+O(\varepsilon)$. Moreover, the following identity holds true for any parameter-dependent matrix $M \in M_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ with $\|M\|=O(\varepsilon)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
F \circ(I+M)=L(I+M) L^{-1} F+O\left(\varepsilon\|(\alpha, \beta)\|^{3}\right) . \tag{108}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently one has also

$$
F=L(I+M) L^{-1} F \circ(I+M)^{-1}+O\left(\varepsilon\|(\alpha, \beta)\|^{3}\right) .
$$

Using this property in equation (107) leads to

$$
\hat{F}_{n}=\left(I+T_{n}\right) F+O\left(\varepsilon\|(\alpha, \beta)\|^{3}\right) .
$$

Using (101) this yields $\hat{F}_{n}=F_{n}+O\left(\varepsilon\|(\alpha, \beta)\|^{3}\right)$, therefore $\hat{F}_{n}$ is a leading order approximation of $F_{n}$. Property (104) follows directly from the definition of $\hat{F}_{n}$.

It is worthwhile stressing that $A=D G(0)$, where $G$ is the nonlinear transformation introduced in lemma 5.

Now we fix in addition $\hat{F}_{n}=F=F_{n}$ for $|n| \geq n_{0}+1$. According to lemma 6 we have also $\hat{F}_{n}=F_{n}+O\left(\varepsilon\|(\alpha, \beta)\|^{3}\right)$ for $|n| \leq n_{0}$. In the sequel we approximate system (99) by the new one

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{n+1}=\hat{F}_{n}\left(U_{n}\right) \tag{109}
\end{equation*}
$$

Property (104) implies the following result, since $W^{u}(0)$ is invariant under $F^{2 n_{0}+1}$.
Lemma 7 Fix $\mu<0$ and denote by $W^{s}(0)$, $W^{u}(0)$ the stable and unstable manifolds of the fixed point $U=0$ of $F$. Equation (109) possesses a solution $U_{n}$ homoclinic to 0 if and only if $W^{s}(0)$ and $A\left(W^{u}(0)\right)$ intersect, where the matrix $A=I+O(\varepsilon)$ is defined in lemma 6. The intersection point corresponds to $U_{n_{0}+1}$.

Consequently, as in section 4.1 one recovers the problem of finding the intersection of $W^{s}(0)$ with the image of $W^{u}(0)$ under the (near-identity) linear transformation $A$. Note that $A=I+T_{0}$ in the single defect case $n_{0}=0$.

Here we shall not attempt to relate the bifurcations of breather solutions of (6) with the properties of the inhomogeneities, via an analysis of homoclinic solutions of
(109). This question will be considered in future works using the simplification provided by lemma 7. As for a single defect, for $B<0$ one can expect multiple tangent bifurcations between (deformations of) site-centered and bond-centered breathers as inhomogeneities are varied, due to the winding structure of $W^{u}(0)$ and $W^{s}(0)$.

It is now interesting to compute the leading order contribution of the sequence of inhomogeneities to the matrix $A$. This is the object of the following lemma.

Lemma 8 The matrix $A$ of lemma 6 takes the form $A=I+M+O\left(\varepsilon^{2}+\varepsilon|\mu|\right)$,, where

$$
\begin{gathered}
M=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
M_{11} & M_{12} \\
M_{21} & M_{22}
\end{array}\right) \\
M_{11}=\sum_{n=0}^{2 n_{0}} n(n+1) \rho_{n_{0}-n}-n \kappa_{n_{0}-n} \\
M_{12}=\sum_{n=0}^{2 n_{0}}-n^{2} \rho_{n_{0}-n}+n \kappa_{n_{0}-n} \\
M_{21}=\sum_{n=0}^{2 n_{0}}(n+1)^{2} \rho_{n_{0}-n}-(n+1) \kappa_{n_{0}-n} \\
M_{22}=\sum_{n=0}^{2 n_{0}}-n(n+1) \rho_{n_{0}-n}+(n+1) \kappa_{n_{0}-n}
\end{gathered}
$$

$\rho_{n}=\Omega^{2}\left(\eta_{n}+\gamma_{n}-\epsilon_{n}\right)$.

## Proof.

Since $T_{n}=O(\varepsilon)$ it follows from definition (105)

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=I+\sum_{n=0}^{2 n_{0}} L^{n} T_{n_{0}-n} L^{-n}+O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right) \tag{110}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we use the expansions

$$
\begin{gathered}
T_{n}=M_{n}+O\left(\varepsilon^{2}+\varepsilon|\mu|\right), \quad M_{n}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
\rho_{n}-\kappa_{n} & \kappa_{n}
\end{array}\right), \\
L=L_{c}+O(|\mu|), \quad L_{c}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 2
\end{array}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

to obtain

$$
A=I+M+O\left(\varepsilon^{2}+\varepsilon|\mu|\right), \quad M=\sum_{n=0}^{2 n_{0}} L_{c}^{n} M_{n_{0}-n} L_{c}^{-n}
$$

where

$$
L_{c}^{n}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-n+1 & n \\
-n & n+1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Then simple computations lead to the coefficients of $M$ provided above.
Interestingly, lemma 8 shows that the influence of the inhomogeneities on the set of homoclinic solutions depends at leading order (via the matrix $I+M$ ) on algebraically-weighted averages of $\{\kappa\}$ and $\{\rho\}$.

Now let us return to the original parameters $m_{n}, d_{n}, a_{n}, k_{n}$ describing the lattice inhomogeneities (see equations (5) and (6)), with $m_{n}=d_{n}=a_{n}=0$ for $|n| \geq n_{0}+1$, $k_{n}=0$ for $n \leq-n_{0}-1$ and $n \geq n_{0}$. Let us note $\tilde{\varepsilon}=\left\|\left\{m_{n} / m\right\}\right\|_{\ell_{\infty}}+\left\|\left\{d_{n} / d\right\}\right\|_{\ell_{\infty}}+$ $\left\|\left\{a_{n} / a\right\}\right\|_{\ell_{\infty}}+\left\|\left\{k_{n} / k\right\}\right\|_{\ell_{\infty}}$. One obtains

$$
\kappa_{n}=\frac{k_{n-1}-k_{n}}{k}+O\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}^{2}\right), \quad \rho_{n}=r_{n}+O\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}^{2}\right),
$$

where

$$
r_{n}=\Omega^{2}\left(\frac{d_{n}}{d}+2 \frac{a_{n}}{a}-\frac{m_{n}}{m}\right)
$$

is a linear combination of the on-site potential and mass defect impurities. Some coefficients of $M$ can be simplified since

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{2 n_{0}} \kappa_{n_{0}-n}=O\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}^{2}\right), \quad \sum_{n=0}^{2 n_{0}}-n \kappa_{n_{0}-n}=\frac{1}{k} \sum_{n=-n_{0}}^{n_{0}-1} k_{n}+O\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}^{2}\right) .
$$

Noting

$$
I_{k}=\sum_{n=-n_{0}}^{n_{0}} n^{k} r_{n}, \quad J_{0}=\frac{1}{k} \sum_{n=-n_{0}}^{n_{0}-1} k_{n},
$$

one finally obtains $A=I+\tilde{M}+O\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}^{2}+\tilde{\varepsilon}|\mu|\right)$ with

$$
\tilde{M}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\tilde{M}_{11} & -\tilde{M}_{11}+n_{0} I_{0}-I_{1} \\
\tilde{M}_{11}+\left(n_{0}+1\right) I_{0}-I_{1} & -\tilde{M}_{11}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and $\tilde{M}_{11}=n_{0}\left(n_{0}+1\right) I_{0}-\left(2 n_{0}+1\right) I_{1}+I_{2}+J_{0}$.
Consequently, the matrix $A$ depends (at leading order in $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ and $\mu$ ) on the average values $I_{0}, J_{0}$ of $r_{n}, k_{n} / k$, and on the weighted averages $I_{1}, I_{2}$ of $r_{n}$ (with linear and quadratic weights respectively). Since $\operatorname{Tr}(\tilde{M})=0$, it follows $\operatorname{Tr}(A)=2+O\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}^{2}+\tilde{\varepsilon}|\mu|\right)$ and $\operatorname{Det}(A)=1+O\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}^{2}\right)(\operatorname{Det}(A)$ is independent of $\mu$ due to identity (105)). As a consequence, in order to study the spectrum of $A$ for $\tilde{\varepsilon}, \mu \approx 0$ (and determine to which type of linear transformation it corresponds) it would be necessary to compute the quadratic terms in $(\tilde{\varepsilon}, \mu)$ in its expansion.

## 5 Numerical results

We have performed numerical computations in order to check the range of validity of the analysis of section 4.1, and in particular if discrepancies appear for large amplitude solutions or if parameters $\left(m_{0}, \omega\right)$ are moved away from $(0, \Omega)$. More precisely, we have computed breather solutions of the Klein-Gordon lattice

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega^{2}\left(1+m_{n}\right) \frac{d^{2} y_{n}}{d t^{2}}+\Omega^{2} V^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)=y_{n+1}-2 y_{n}+y_{n+1} \tag{111}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a single mass defect $m_{n}=m_{0} \delta_{n, 0}$ and periodic boundary conditions $y_{-N}(t)=$ $y_{N}(t)$. In general we have used a lattice with 101 particles, except for the computations of breathers with algebraic decay ( case $\omega=\Omega$ ) where 401 particles have been considered. The computations have been compared with homoclinic orbits to 0 of the two-dimensional map (71). For the numerical computations we have always fixed $\Omega=10$ (recall $\Omega$ is the lower phonon band edge for the infinite system). This can be done taking, for instance, $k=0.01$, and $d=1$ in the original problem (6). For the potential $V$ we have chosen a polynomial of degree 4 with $V^{\prime \prime}(0)=1$.

### 5.1 Hard potentials

To start we have considered the simplest case of a hard potential, i.e. a potential with a strictly positive hardening coefficient $B$ (see definition (22)). We have chosen

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(x)=\frac{x^{2}}{2}+\frac{x^{4}}{4}, \tag{112}
\end{equation*}
$$

for which $B=75$.
In this case, the reduced map (71) possesses a unique orbit homoclinic to 0 in the sector $\alpha>0, \beta>0$, for $m_{0}>0$ and $\omega_{l}<\omega<\Omega$. An example of this homoclinic orbit is shown in figure 5 for a frequency $\omega=9.9(\mu=-1.99)$ and a mass defect $m_{0}=0.05$. In figure 8 (left panel) we compare the approximate solution $y_{n}=\beta_{n} \cos t$ obtained with this homoclinic orbit (circles) with the exact breather profile computed with the standard numerical method based on the anti-continuous limit [MA96] (continuous line). The agreement is excellent even if the solution profile is very localized. Indeed, as one computes the eigenvalues $\sigma, \sigma^{-1}$ (equation (76)) of the linearized map (75) with $m_{0}=0$, one obtains $\sigma \approx 0.27$, which implies a strong spatial localization visible in figure 8 . The accuracy of the centre manifold reduction (a priori expected for $\sigma \approx 1$ ) is surprisingly good in this parameter regime.

The breather solution can be continued for decreasing frequencies up to $\omega_{l} \approx$ 9.8369 , which is the frequency of the linear defect mode at which the breather solution bifurcates. Figure 8 (right panel) compares again the numerically computed breather profile and the approximate solution obtained with the homoclinic orbit,


Figure 8: Comparison between the profile of a breather solution (continuous line) of the Klein-Gordon system (111) with hard potential (112) and the approximate solution $y_{n}=\beta_{n} \cos t$ (circles) constructed with the homoclinic orbit of (71). We have considered a mass defect $m_{0}=0.05$. In the left panel we have chosen a frequency $\omega=9.9(\mu=-1.99)$. In the right panel we have fixed $\omega=9.837$ ( $\mu=-3.23$ ) very close to $\omega_{l}$ (note the change of scale for the vertical axis).
but now very close to this bifurcation point (at $\omega=9.837$, i.e. $\mu=-3.23$ ). We still observe an excellent agreement. Note that the oscillations amplitudes are very small, but the solution is still strongly localized.

For increasing frequencies the continuation path ends up at the lower edge of the phonon band $\omega=\Omega(\mu=0)$. For this particular frequency value the breather solution (see continuous line in figure 9 , left panel) presents an algebraic decay which is very well described by approximation (87). This approximation fails to describe the maximum amplitude of the oscillation $\beta_{0}$ for these parameter values. This is not surprising since $\beta_{0}$ is not small, and $\beta_{n}$ varies rapidly near $n=0$, hence $m_{0}$ should be further decreased to attain the domain of validity of the ansatz (85) near the solution centre.

However, the value of $\beta_{0}$ obtained from the exact homoclinic orbit of (71) fits very well the maximum amplitude of the breather solution, as it is shown in figure 9 , right panel. Note that the agreement is very good even for very large amplitudes or very large mass defect i.e. within a surprisingly large parameter range for a local theory.

It is interesting to remark that the accuracy of this fit depends on the symmetry of the potential $V(x)$ we have chosen. Figure 10 shows what happens if we add to the polynomial potential (112) a cubic term $x^{3} / 6$ that breaks its symmetry. The range of validity of our leading order approximation reduces significantly. A similar result was obtained in [SRJCA04] for breather solutions in spatially homogeneous


Figure 9: Left panel : breather solution at the lower edge of the phonon band $\omega=\Omega$ $(\mu=0)$ for a mass defect $m_{0}=0.05$ and the symmetric potential $V(x)=x^{2} / 2+x^{4} / 4$. The continuous line corresponds to the numerically computed breather solution. The circles represent approximation (87) of the homoclinic orbit that fits very well the algebraic decay of the breather tails. Right panel : the continuous lines represent the amplitude of the breather solution at $n=0$ and $n=1$ versus mass defect. The circles correspond to the homoclinic solutions of the nonlinear map (71) (the upper plot represents $\beta_{0}$ and the lower plot $\beta_{1}$ ).

Fermi-Pasta-Ulam lattices. Obviously the agreement would be improved by taking into account the Taylor expansion of the reduction function $\phi$ (see theorem 3) and computing the normal form at a higher order.


Figure 10: Same computation as in figure 9, right panel, but now for the asymmetric potential $V(x)=x^{2} / 2+x^{3} / 6+x^{4} / 4$.

Finally we have numerically studied the spectral stability of the breather solu-
tions by finding the eigenvalues of the Floquet operator, which gives us the evolution of any small perturbation over one period [Aub97]. We have checked that all breather solutions in the gap $\omega_{l}<\omega<\Omega$ are spectrally stable, at least for the value of the frequency parameter $\Omega=10$ we have considered.

### 5.2 Soft potentials

In the case of soft potentials (when the coefficient $B$ defined by (22) is strictly negative), the situation is far more complex due to the much more intricate structure of the intersections between the stable and unstable manifolds. Therefore one expects a richer bifurcation scenario as parameters (breather frequency, mass defect) are varied. Our computations have been performed with the symmetric potential

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(x)=\frac{x^{2}}{2}-\frac{x^{4}}{4}, \tag{113}
\end{equation*}
$$

for which $B=-75$.
Let us recall some basic features of the analysis performed in section 4.1.4, in order to compare the results with numerical computations. For the (truncated) reduced mapping (71) with $m_{0}=0$, figure 7 shows some intersections of stable and unstable manifolds emanating from the saddle point at the origin, for a frequency value $\omega=9.9<\Omega$. Iterating the map with an initial condition $U_{1}$ at the homoclinic point with label 1, we obtain an homoclinic orbit which corresponds to a one-site breather centered at $n=0$. With an initial condition $U_{1}$ at the homoclinic point with label 2 , the corresponding breather is a two-site breather with maximal amplitude at $n=0$ and $n=1$. An initial condition $U_{1}$ at the homoclinic point with label 3 (symmetric of point 1 respect to the line $\alpha=\beta$ ) corresponds to a one-site breather centered at site $n=1$.

The dashed line of figure 7 depicts the image of the unstable manifold by the linear shear $A\left(\omega, m_{0}\right)$ for $m_{0}=0.005$. As $m_{0}$ increases $A\left(\omega, m_{0}\right) W^{u}(0)$ moves further down so that the intersection points $2^{\prime}$ and $3^{\prime}$, corresponding to homoclinic orbits of the inhomogeneous problem, get closer and closer. So there exists a critical value of $m_{0}$ for which these intersection points collide and then disappear. In fact we have checked numerically that this tangent bifurcation occurs at a critical value $m_{0} \in(0.00963,0.00964)$ for problem (71). This critical value can be approximated using equations (91)-(92), which yields $m_{0} \approx 0.009632$ in the present case. These results correspond very precisely to a breather bifurcation numerically observed in the Klein-Gordon chain (at a critical value $m_{0} \in(0.00963,0.00964)$ ) and depicted in figure 11.

The upper branch of figure 11(a) represents the energy of a breather solution corresponding to point $2^{\prime}$. For $m_{0} \approx 0$, the breather has a maximal amplitude at sites $n=0,1$. A profile of this breather for $m_{0}=0.0093$, close to the bifurcation
point, is shown in figure $11(\mathrm{~b})$, where the amplitude is now much larger at $n=1$. The lower branch of figure 11(a) represents a one-site breather centered at $n=1$ and corresponds to point $3^{\prime}$. Its profile for $m_{0}=0.0093$ is shown in Figure 11(c). We have numerically computed the Floquet spectra of these breather solutions for the parameter values of figure 11. The solutions on the lower branch are spectrally stable, whereas the solutions on the upper branch are unstable.

As in section 5.1, we have also computed one-site breathers centered at the mass defect, corresponding to point 1 ' in figure 7. Again we have found an excellent agreement between the numerically computed breather profiles and the approximate solutions obtained using the map (71). As expected from the analysis of section 4.1.4, these breathers survive up to $m_{0}=m_{l}(\omega)$, i.e. up to a much higher value of $m_{0}$ than the families $2^{\prime}, 3$ ' described above.


Figure 11: Tangent bifurcation between breather solutions numerically computed in a Klein-Gordon chain with a soft potential. The chain presents a mass defect $m_{0}$ at $n=0$, and the bifurcation occurs as $m_{0}$ is increased. In the left panel, the breathers energies $E=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \Omega^{2} V\left(y_{n}(0)\right)+\left(y_{n+1}(0)-y_{n}(0)\right)^{2} / 2$ are depicted versus $m_{0}$ (the breathers are even in $t$ with frequency $\omega=9.9$ ). For $m_{0} \approx 0$, the upper branch represents a two-site breather centered between sites $n=0$ and $n=1$. The lower branch represents a one-site breather centered at $n=1$. The breathers profiles close to the bifurcation point are plotted in the right panels (the value of $m_{0}$ is marked with a dashed line in the left panel).

A part of the intersecting stable and unstable manifolds is shown in the left panel of figure 12. Due to their complicated windings, new intersection points appear between $A\left(\omega, m_{0}\right) W^{u}(0)$ and $W^{s}(0)$ as $m_{0}$ is chosen in certain windows of the parameter space, giving rise to new homoclinic solutions of (70).

An example is shown in the region marked with a rectangle (see the details in the right panel of figure 12). For some value of $m_{0} \in(0.01064,0.01065)$, a new intersection point between $A\left(\omega, m_{0}\right) W^{u}(0)$ and $W^{s}(0)$ appears. As $m_{0}$ is
further increased, this inverse tangent bifurcation gives rise to two new homoclinic points 5' and 6'. Correspondingly, we have numerically checked that an inverse tangent bifurcation occurs in the Klein-Gordon chain at a critical value of $m_{0} \in$ ( $0.01064,0.01065$ ), giving rise to new breather solutions which do not exist in the homogeneous chain.

The point $4^{\prime}$ in figure 12 also exists for $m_{0}=0$. Returning to figure 7, it is obtained by applying the inverse map $G_{\omega}^{-1}$ to the point with label 2 . In the homogeneous limit $m_{0}=0$, this homoclinic point corresponds consequently to a two-site breather centered between $n=1$ and $n=2$. As figure 12 shows, an increase of the mass defect $m_{0}$ moves point $5^{\prime}$ against point $4^{\prime}$ until they collide and disappear through a new tangent bifurcation. This tangent bifurcation is also numerically found in the Klein Gordon chain at critical value of the mass defect very close to the theoretical one (in both cases one obtains $m_{0} \approx 0.01268$ ).

Figure 13 shows the bifurcation diagram of the numerically computed breathers corresponding to homoclinic points $4^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}$ (left panel), and gives their profiles for a given value of $m_{0}$ in the right panel. A numerical computation of Floquet spectra shows that all these breathers are unstable.


Figure 12: Emergence of new intersection points between $A\left(\omega, m_{0}\right) W^{u}$ (dashed curve) and $W^{s}$ (drawn with a full line) as the mass defect is increased. The figure corresponds to $m_{0}=0.012$ and $\omega=9.9$. The right panel shows a zoom of the left panel over the region marked with a rectangle. The new homoclinic points 5 ' and 6 ' correspond to new breather solutions of the Klein-Gordon lattice. The point with label 4' corresponds to a two-site breather, which exists in the homogeneous lattice and persists for $m_{0} \leq 0.012$.

As a conclusion, we have seen that the truncated normal form (70) allows one to predict with a high precision certain breather bifurcations in the Klein-Gordon chain, which occur as the mass defect $m_{0}$ is varied. These bifurcations depend on


Figure 13: Bifurcation diagram of breather solutions numerically computed in the Klein-Gordon chain, with a soft potential and a mass defect $m_{0}$ at $n=0$. In the left panel the breathers energies $E$ are depicted versus $m_{0}$ (see the definition of $E$ in the caption of figure 11). The breathers frequency is $\omega=9.9$. The lower branch at the left of the vertical line corresponds to a two-site breather centered between $n=1$ and $n=2$. The right panel shows the profiles of the three breathers for $m_{0}=0.012$, when all of them coexist (the value of $m_{0}$ is marked with a vertical line in the left panel).
the fine structure of the windings of the stable and unstable manifolds of the origin, computed on the truncated normal form without defect.
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