

Hindawi Publishing Corporation  
Abstract and Applied Analysis  
Volume 2012, Article ID 109236, 25 pages  
doi:10.1155/2012/109236

## Research Article

# Forward-Backward Splitting Methods for Accretive Operators in Banach Spaces

**Genaro López,<sup>1</sup> Victoria Martín-Márquez,<sup>1</sup> Fenghui Wang,<sup>2</sup>  
and Hong-Kun Xu<sup>3</sup>**

<sup>1</sup> *Departamento de Análisis Matemático, Facultad de Matemáticas, Universidad de Sevilla, Apartado. 1160, 41080-Sevilla, Spain*

<sup>2</sup> *Department of Mathematics, Luoyang Normal University, Luoyang 471022, China*

<sup>3</sup> *Department of Applied Mathematics, National Sun Yat-sen University, Kaohsiung 80424, Taiwan*

Correspondence should be addressed to Hong-Kun Xu, [xuhk@math.nsysu.edu.tw](mailto:xuhk@math.nsysu.edu.tw)

Received 31 March 2012; Accepted 29 May 2012

Academic Editor: Lishan Liu

Copyright © 2012 Genaro López et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Splitting methods have recently received much attention due to the fact that many nonlinear problems arising in applied areas such as image recovery, signal processing, and machine learning are mathematically modeled as a nonlinear operator equation and this operator is decomposed as the sum of two (possibly simpler) nonlinear operators. Most of the investigation on splitting methods is however carried out in the framework of Hilbert spaces. In this paper, we consider these methods in the setting of Banach spaces. We shall introduce two iterative forward-backward splitting methods with relaxations and errors to find zeros of the sum of two accretive operators in the Banach spaces. We shall prove the weak and strong convergence of these methods under mild conditions. We also discuss applications of these methods to variational inequalities, the split feasibility problem, and a constrained convex minimization problem.

## 1. Introduction

Splitting methods have recently received much attention due to the fact that many nonlinear problems arising in applied areas such as image recovery, signal processing, and machine learning are mathematically modeled as a nonlinear operator equation and this operator is decomposed as the sum of two (possibly simpler) nonlinear operators. Splitting methods for linear equations were introduced by Peaceman and Rachford [1] and Douglas and Rachford [2]. Extensions to nonlinear equations in Hilbert spaces were carried out by Kellogg [3] and Lions and Mercier [4] (see also [5–7]). The central problem is to iteratively find a zero of

the sum of two monotone operators  $A$  and  $B$  in a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , namely, a solution to the inclusion problem

$$0 \in (A + B)x. \quad (1.1)$$

Many problems can be formulated as a problem of form (1.1). For instance, a stationary solution to the initial value problem of the evolution equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + Fu \ni 0, \quad u(0) = u_0 \quad (1.2)$$

can be recast as (1.1) when the governing maximal monotone  $F$  is of the form  $F = A + B$  [4]. In optimization, it often needs [8] to solve a minimization problem of the form

$$\min_{x \in \mathcal{H}} f(x) + g(Tx), \quad (1.3)$$

where  $f, g$  are proper lower semicontinuous convex functions from  $\mathcal{H}$  to the extended real line  $\overline{\mathbb{R}} := (-\infty, \infty]$ , and  $T$  is a bounded linear operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ . As a matter of fact, (1.3) is equivalent to (1.1) (assuming that  $f$  and  $g \circ T$  have a common point of continuity) with  $A := \partial f$  and  $B := T^* \circ \partial g \circ T$ . Here  $T^*$  is the adjoint of  $T$  and  $\partial f$  is the subdifferential operator of  $f$  in the sense of convex analysis. It is known [8, 9] that the minimization problem (1.3) is widely used in image recovery, signal processing, and machine learning.

A splitting method for (1.1) means an iterative method for which each iteration involves only with the individual operators  $A$  and  $B$ , but not the sum  $A + B$ . To solve (1.1), Lions and Mercier [4] introduced the nonlinear Peaceman-Rachford and Douglas-Rachford splitting iterative algorithms which generate a sequence  $\{v_n\}$  by the recursion

$$v_{n+1} = \left(2J_\lambda^A - I\right)\left(2J_\lambda^B - I\right)v_n \quad (1.4)$$

and respectively, a sequence  $\{v_n\}$  by the recursion

$$v_{n+1} = J_\lambda^A\left(2J_\lambda^B - I\right)v_n + \left(I - J_\lambda^B\right)v_n. \quad (1.5)$$

Here we use  $J_\lambda^T$  to denote the resolvent of a monotone operator  $T$ ; that is,  $J_\lambda^T = (I + \lambda T)^{-1}$ .

The nonlinear Peaceman-Rachford algorithm (1.4) fails, in general, to converge (even in the weak topology in the infinite-dimensional setting). This is due to the fact that the generating operator  $(2J_\lambda^A - I)(2J_\lambda^B - I)$  for the algorithm (1.4) is merely nonexpansive. However, the mean averages of  $\{u_n\}$  can be weakly convergent [5]. The nonlinear Douglas-Rachford algorithm (1.5) always converges in the weak topology to a point  $u$  and  $u = J_\lambda^B v$  is a solution to (1.1), since the generating operator  $J_\lambda^A(2J_\lambda^B - I) + (I - J_\lambda^B)$  for this algorithm is firmly nonexpansive, namely, the operator is of the form  $(I + T)/2$ , where  $T$  is nonexpansive.

There is, however, little work in the existing literature on splitting methods for nonlinear operator equations in the setting of Banach spaces (though there was some work on finding a common zero of a finite family of accretive operators [10–12]).

The main difficulties are due to the fact that the inner product structure of a Hilbert space fails to be true in a Banach space. We shall in this paper use the technique of duality maps to carry out certain initiative investigations on splitting methods for accretive operators in Banach spaces. Namely, we will study splitting iterative methods for solving the inclusion problem (1.1), where  $A$  and  $B$  are accretive operators in a Banach space  $X$ .

We will consider the case where  $A$  is single-valued accretive and  $B$  is possibly multivalued  $m$ -accretive operators in a Banach space  $X$  and assume that the inclusion (1.1) has a solution. We introduce the following two iterative methods which we call Mann-type and respectively, Halpern-type forward-backward methods with errors and which generate a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  by the recursions

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n \left( J_{r_n}^B(x_n - r_n(Ax_n + a_n)) + b_n \right), \tag{1.6}$$

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n u + (1 - \alpha_n) \left( J_{r_n}^B(x_n - r_n(Ax_n + \alpha_n)) + b_n \right), \tag{1.7}$$

where  $J_r^B$  is the resolvent of the operator  $B$  of order  $r$  (i.e.,  $J_r^B = (I + rB)^{-1}$ ), and  $\{\alpha_n\}$  is a sequence in  $(0, 1]$ . We will prove weak convergence of (1.6) and strong convergence of (1.7) to a solution to (1.1) in some class of Banach spaces which will be made clear in Section 3.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section we introduce the class of Banach spaces in which we shall study our splitting methods for solving (1.1). We also introduce the concept of accretive and  $m$ -accretive operators in a Banach space. In Section 3, we discuss the splitting algorithms (1.6) and (1.7) and prove their weak and strong convergence, respectively. In Section 4, we discuss applications of both algorithms (1.6) and (1.7) to variational inequalities, fixed points of pseudocontractions, convexly constrained minimization problems, the split feasibility problem, and linear inverse problems.

## 2. Preliminaries

Throughout the paper,  $X$  is a real Banach space with norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , distance  $d$ , and dual space  $X^*$ . The symbol  $\langle x^*, x \rangle$  denotes the pairing between  $X^*$  and  $X$ , that is,  $\langle x^*, x \rangle = x^*(x)$ , the value of  $x^*$  at  $x$ .  $C$  will denote a nonempty closed convex subset of  $X$ , unless otherwise stated, and  $\mathcal{B}_r$  the closed ball with center zero and radius  $r$ . The expressions  $x_n \rightarrow x$  and  $x_n \rightharpoonup x$  denote the strong and weak convergence of the sequence  $\{x_n\}$ , respectively, and  $\omega_w(x_n)$  stands for the set of weak limit points of the sequence  $\{x_n\}$ .

The *modulus of convexity* of  $X$  is the function  $\delta_X(\varepsilon) : (0, 2] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  defined by

$$\delta_X(\varepsilon) = \inf \left\{ 1 - \frac{\|x + y\|}{2} : \|x\| = \|y\| = 1, \|x - y\| \geq \varepsilon \right\}. \tag{2.1}$$

Recall that  $X$  is said to be *uniformly convex* if  $\delta_X(\varepsilon) > 0$  for any  $\varepsilon \in (0, 2]$ . Let  $p > 1$ . We say that  $X$  is  *$p$ -uniformly convex* if there exists a constant  $c_p > 0$  so that  $\delta_X(\varepsilon) \geq c_p \varepsilon^p$  for any  $\varepsilon \in (0, 2]$ .

The *modulus of smoothness* of  $X$  is the function  $\rho_X(\tau) : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  defined by

$$\rho_X(\tau) = \sup \left\{ \frac{\|x + \tau y\| + \|x - \tau y\|}{2} - 1 : \|x\| = \|y\| = 1 \right\}. \tag{2.2}$$

Recall that  $X$  is called *uniformly smooth* if  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \rho_X(\tau)/\tau = 0$ . Let  $1 < q \leq 2$ . We say that  $X$  is  *$q$ -uniformly smooth* if there is a  $c_q > 0$  so that  $\rho_X(\tau) \leq c_q \tau^q$  for  $\tau > 0$ . It is known that  $X$  is  *$p$ -uniformly convex* if and only if  $X^*$  is  *$q$ -uniformly smooth*, where  $(1/p + 1/q = 1)$ . For instance,  $L^p$  spaces are 2-uniformly convex and  *$p$ -uniformly smooth* if  $1 < p \leq 2$ , whereas  *$p$ -uniformly convex* and 2-uniformly smooth if  $p \geq 2$ .

The norm of  $X$  is said to be the Fréchet differentiable if, for each  $x \in X$ ,

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|x + \lambda y\| - \|x\|}{\lambda} \quad (2.3)$$

exists and is attained uniformly for all  $y$  such that  $\|y\| = 1$ . It can be proved that  $X$  is uniformly smooth if the limit (2.3) exists and is attained uniformly for all  $(x, y)$  such that  $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$ . So it is trivial that a uniformly smooth Banach space has a Fréchet differentiable norm.

The subdifferential of a proper convex function  $f : X \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$  is the set-valued operator  $\partial f : X \rightarrow 2^X$  defined as

$$\partial f(x) = \{x^* \in X^* : \langle x^*, y - x \rangle + f(x) \leq f(y)\}. \quad (2.4)$$

If  $f$  is proper, convex, and lower semicontinuous, the subdifferential  $\partial f(x) \neq \emptyset$  for any  $x \in \text{int } \mathfrak{D}(f)$ , the interior of the domain of  $f$ . The *generalized duality mapping*  $\mathcal{J}_p : X \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{J}_p(x) = \left\{ j(x) \in X^* : \langle j(x), x \rangle = \|x\|^p, \quad \|j(x)\| = \|x\|^{p-1} \right\}. \quad (2.5)$$

If  $p = 2$ , the corresponding duality mapping is called the normalized duality mapping and denoted by  $\mathcal{J}$ . It can be proved that, for any  $x \in X$ ,

$$\mathcal{J}_p(x) = \partial \left( \frac{1}{p} \|x\|^p \right). \quad (2.6)$$

Thus we have the following subdifferential inequality, for any  $x, y \in X$ :

$$\|x + y\|^p \leq \|x\|^p + p \langle y, j(x + y) \rangle, \quad j(x + y) \in \mathcal{J}_p(x + y). \quad (2.7)$$

In particular, we have, for  $x, y \in X$ ,

$$\|x + y\|^2 \leq \|x\|^2 + 2 \langle y, j(x + y) \rangle, \quad j(x + y) \in \mathcal{J}(x + y). \quad (2.8)$$

Some properties of the duality mappings are collected as follows.

**Proposition 2.1** (see Cioranescu [13]). *Let  $1 < p < \infty$ .*

- (i) *The Banach space  $X$  is smooth if and only if the duality mapping  $\mathcal{J}_p$  is single valued.*
- (ii) *The Banach space  $X$  is uniformly smooth if and only if the duality mapping  $\mathcal{J}_p$  is single-valued and norm-to-norm uniformly continuous on bounded sets of  $X$ .*

Among the estimates satisfied by  $p$ -uniformly convex and  $p$ -uniformly smooth spaces, the following ones will come in handy.

**Lemma 2.2** (see Xu [14]). *Let  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $q \in (1, 2]$ ,  $r > 0$  be given.*

(i) *If  $X$  is uniformly convex, then there exists a continuous, strictly increasing and convex function  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  with  $\varphi(0) = 0$  such that*

$$\|\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y\|^p \leq \lambda\|x\|^p + \lambda\|y\|^p - W_p(\lambda)\varphi(\|x - y\|), \quad x, y \in \mathcal{B}_r, \quad 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, \quad (2.9)$$

where  $W_p(\lambda) = \lambda^p(1 - \lambda) + (1 - \lambda)\lambda^p$ .

(ii) *If  $X$  is  $q$ -uniformly smooth, then there exists a constant  $\kappa_q > 0$  such that*

$$\|x + y\|^q \leq \|x\|^q + q\langle \mathcal{J}_q(x), y \rangle + \kappa_q\|y\|^q, \quad x, y \in X. \quad (2.10)$$

The best constant  $\kappa_q$  satisfying (2.10) will be called the  $q$ -uniform smoothness coefficient of  $X$ . For instance [14], for  $2 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $L^p$  is 2-uniformly smooth with  $\kappa_2 = p - 1$ , and for  $1 < p \leq 2$ ,  $L^p$  is  $p$ -uniformly smooth with  $\kappa_p = (1 + t_p^{p-1})(1 + t_p)^{1-p}$ , where  $t_p$  is the unique solution to the equation

$$(p - 2)t^{p-1} + (p - 1)t^{p-2} - 1 = 0, \quad 0 < t < 1. \quad (2.11)$$

In a Banach space  $X$  with the Fréchet differentiable norm, there exists a function  $h : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} h(t)/t = 0$  and for all  $x, u \in X$

$$\frac{1}{2}\|x\|^2 + \langle u, \mathcal{J}(x) \rangle \leq \frac{1}{2}\|x + u\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}\|x\|^2 + \langle u, \mathcal{J}(x) \rangle + h(\|u\|). \quad (2.12)$$

Recall that  $T : C \rightarrow C$  is a nonexpansive mapping if  $\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|$ , for all  $x, y \in C$ . From now on,  $\text{Fix}(T)$  denotes the fixed point set of  $T$ . The following lemma claims that the demiclosedness principle for nonexpansive mappings holds in uniformly convex Banach spaces.

**Lemma 2.3** (see Browder [15]). *Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of a uniformly convex space  $X$  and  $T$  a nonexpansive mapping with  $\text{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset$ . If  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $C$  such that  $x_n \rightharpoonup x$  and  $(I - T)x_n \rightarrow y$ , then  $(I - T)x = y$ . In particular, if  $y = 0$ , then  $x \in \text{Fix}(T)$ .*

A set-valued operator  $A : X \rightarrow 2^X$ , with domain  $\mathfrak{D}(A)$  and range  $\mathcal{R}(A)$ , is said to be accretive if, for all  $t > 0$  and every  $x, y \in \mathfrak{D}(A)$ ,

$$\|x - y\| \leq \|x - y + t(u - v)\|, \quad u \in Ax, v \in Ay. \quad (2.13)$$

It follows from Lemma 1.1 of Kato [16] that  $A$  is accretive if and only if, for each  $x, y \in \mathfrak{D}(A)$ , there exists  $j(x - y) \in J(x - y)$  such that

$$\langle u - v, j(x - y) \rangle \geq 0, \quad u \in Ax, v \in Ay. \quad (2.14)$$

An accretive operator  $A$  is said to be *m-accretive* if the range  $\mathcal{R}(I + \lambda A) = X$  for some  $\lambda > 0$ . It can be shown that an accretive operator  $A$  is *m-accretive* if and only if  $\mathcal{R}(I + \lambda A) = X$  for all  $\lambda > 0$ .

Given  $\alpha > 0$  and  $q \in (1, \infty)$ , we say that an accretive operator  $A$  is  *$\alpha$ -inverse strongly accretive* ( $\alpha$ -isa) of order  $q$  if, for each  $x, y \in \mathfrak{D}(A)$ , there exists  $j_q(x - y) \in \mathcal{J}_q(x - y)$  such that

$$\langle u - v, j_q(x - y) \rangle \geq \alpha \|u - v\|^q, \quad u \in Ax, v \in Ay. \quad (2.15)$$

When  $q = 2$ , we simply say  $\alpha$ -isa, instead of  $\alpha$ -isa of order 2; that is,  $T$  is  $\alpha$ -isa if, for each  $x, y \in \mathfrak{D}(A)$ , there exists  $j(x - y) \in \mathcal{J}(x - y)$  such that

$$\langle u - v, j(x - y) \rangle \geq \alpha \|u - v\|^2, \quad u \in Ax, v \in Ay. \quad (2.16)$$

Given a subset  $K$  of  $C$  and a mapping  $T : C \rightarrow K$ , recall that  $T$  is a retraction of  $C$  onto  $K$  if  $Tx = x$  for all  $x \in K$ . We say that  $T$  is sunny if, for each  $x \in C$  and  $t \geq 0$ , we have

$$T(tx + (1 - t)Tx) = Tx, \quad (2.17)$$

whenever  $tx + (1 - t)Tx \in C$ .

The first result regarding the existence of sunny nonexpansive retractions onto the fixed point set of a nonexpansive mapping is due to Bruck.

**Theorem 2.4** (see Bruck [17]). *If  $X$  is strictly convex and uniformly smooth and if  $T : C \rightarrow C$  is a nonexpansive mapping having a nonempty fixed point set  $\text{Fix}(T)$ , then there exists a sunny nonexpansive retraction of  $C$  onto  $\text{Fix}(T)$ .*

The following technical lemma regarding convergence of real sequences will be used when we discuss convergence of algorithms (1.6) and (1.7) in the next section.

**Lemma 2.5** (see [18, 19]). *Let  $\{a_n\}, \{c_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $\{\alpha_n\} \subset (0, 1)$ , and  $\{b_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$  be sequences such that*

$$a_{n+1} \leq (1 - \alpha_n)a_n + b_n + c_n, \quad \forall n \geq 0. \quad (2.18)$$

*Assume  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n < \infty$ . Then the following results hold:*

- (i) If  $b_n \leq \alpha_n M$  where  $M \geq 0$ , then  $\{a_n\}$  is a bounded sequence.*
- (ii) If  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$  and  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n / \alpha_n \leq 0$ , then  $\lim a_n = 0$ .*

### 3. Splitting Methods for Accretive Operators

In this section we assume that  $X$  is a real Banach space and  $C$  is a nonempty closed subset of  $X$ . We also assume that  $A$  is a single-valued and  $\alpha$ -isa operator for some  $\alpha > 0$  and  $B$  is an *m-accretive* operator in  $X$ , with  $\mathfrak{D}(A) \supset C$  and  $\mathfrak{D}(B) \supset C$ . Moreover, we always use  $J_r$  to denote the resolvent of  $B$  of order  $r > 0$ ; that is,

$$J_r \equiv J_r^B = (I + rB)^{-1}. \quad (3.1)$$

It is known that the  $m$ -accretiveness of  $B$  implies that  $J_r$  is single valued, defined on the entire  $X$ , and firmly nonexpansive; that is,

$$\|J_r x - J_r y\| \leq \|s(x - y) + (1 - s)(J_r x - J_r y)\|, \quad x, y \in X, \quad 0 \leq s \leq 1. \quad (3.2)$$

Below we fix the following notation:

$$T_r := J_r(I - rA) = (I + rB)^{-1}(I - rA). \quad (3.3)$$

**Lemma 3.1.** For  $r > 0$ ,  $\text{Fix}(T_r) = (A + B)^{-1}(0)$ .

*Proof.* From the definition of  $T_r$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} x = T_r x &\iff x = (I + rB)^{-1}(x - rAx) \\ &\iff x - rAx \in x + rBx \\ &\iff 0 \in Ax + Bx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

□

This lemma alludes to the fact that in order to solve the inclusion problem (1.1), it suffices to find a fixed point of  $T_r$ . Since  $T_r$  is already “split,” an iterative algorithm for  $T_r$  corresponds to a splitting algorithm for (1.1). However, to guarantee convergence (weak or strong) of an iterative algorithm for  $T_r$ , we need good metric properties of  $T_r$  such as nonexpansivity. To this end, we need geometric conditions on the underlying space  $X$  (see Lemma 3.3).

**Lemma 3.2.** Given  $0 < s \leq r$  and  $x \in X$ , there holds the relation

$$\|x - T_s x\| \leq 2\|x - T_r x\|. \quad (3.5)$$

*Proof.* Note that  $((x - T_r x)/r) - Ax \in B(T_r x)$ . By the accretivity of  $B$ , we have  $j_{s,r} \in \mathcal{J}(T_s x - T_r x)$  such that

$$\left\langle \frac{x - T_s x}{s} - \frac{x - T_r x}{r}, j_{s,r} \right\rangle \geq 0. \quad (3.6)$$

It turns out that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_s x - T_r x\|^2 &\leq \frac{r - s}{r} \langle x - T_r x, j_{s,r} \rangle \\ &\leq \left| 1 - \frac{s}{r} \right| \|x - T_r x\| \|T_s x - T_r x\|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

This along with the triangle inequality yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - T_s x\| &\leq \|x - T_r x\| + \|T_r x - T_s x\| \\ &\leq \|x - T_r x\| + \left|1 - \frac{s}{r}\right| \|x - T_r x\| \\ &\leq 2\|x - T_r x\|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

□

We notice that though the resolvent of an accretive operator is always firmly nonexpansive in a general Banach space, firm nonexpansiveness is however insufficient to estimate useful bounds which are required to prove convergence of iterative algorithms for solving nonlinear equations governed by accretive operations. To overcome this difficulty, we need to impose additional properties on the underlying Banach space  $X$ . Lemma 3.3 below establishes a sharper estimate than nonexpansiveness of the mapping  $T_r$ , which is useful for us to prove the weak and strong convergence of algorithms (1.6) and (1.7).

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $X$  be a uniformly convex and  $q$ -uniformly smooth Banach space for some  $q \in (1, 2]$ . Assume that  $A$  is a single-valued  $\alpha$ -isa of order  $q$  in  $X$ . Then, given  $s > 0$ , there exists a continuous, strictly increasing and convex function  $\phi_q : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  with  $\phi_q(0) = 0$  such that, for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{B}_s$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_r x - T_r y\|^q &\leq \|x - y\|^q - r(\alpha q - r^{q-1} \kappa_q) \|Ax - Ay\|^q \\ &\quad - \phi_q(\|(I - J_r)(I - rA)x - (I - J_r)(I - rA)y\|), \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

where  $\kappa_q$  is the  $q$ -uniform smoothness coefficient of  $X$  (see Lemma 2.2).

*Proof.* Put  $\hat{x} = x - rAx$  and  $\hat{y} = y - rAy$ . Since  $(\hat{x} - J_r \hat{x})/r \in B(J_r \hat{x})$ , it follows from the accretiveness of  $B$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \|J_r \hat{x} - J_r \hat{y}\| &\leq \left\| (J_r \hat{x} - J_r \hat{y}) + \frac{r}{2} \left( \frac{\hat{x} - J_r \hat{x}}{r} - \frac{\hat{y} - J_r \hat{y}}{r} \right) \right\| \\ &= \left\| \frac{1}{2}(\hat{x} - \hat{y}) + \frac{1}{2}(J_r \hat{x} - J_r \hat{y}) \right\|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Since  $x, y \in \mathcal{B}_s$ , by the accretivity of  $A$  it is easy to show that there exists  $t > 0$  such that  $\hat{x} - \hat{y} \in \mathcal{B}_t$ ; hence,  $J_r \hat{x} - J_r \hat{y} \in \mathcal{B}_t$  for  $J_r$  is nonexpansive. Now since  $X$  is uniformly convex, we can use Lemma 2.2 to find a continuous, strictly increasing and convex function  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ , with  $\varphi(0) = 0$ , satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{2}(\hat{x} - \hat{y}) + \frac{1}{2}(J_r \hat{x} - J_r \hat{y}) \right\|^q &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\hat{x} - \hat{y}\|^q + \frac{1}{2} \|J_r \hat{x} - J_r \hat{y}\|^q \\ &\quad - W_q \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \varphi(\|(I - J_r)\hat{x} - (I - J_r)\hat{y}\|) \\ &\leq \|\hat{x} - \hat{y}\|^q - \frac{1}{2^q} \varphi(\|(I - J_r)\hat{x} - (I - J_r)\hat{y}\|), \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$



where the last inequality follows from the nonexpansivity of the resolvent  $J_r$ . Letting  $\phi_q = \varphi/2^q$  and combining (3.10) and (3.11) yield

$$\|T_r x - T_r y\|^q \leq \|\hat{x} - \hat{y}\|^q - \phi_q(\|(I - J_r)\hat{x} - (I - J_r)\hat{y}\|). \quad (3.12)$$

On the other hand, since  $X$  is also  $q$ -uniformly smooth and  $A$  is  $\alpha$ -isa of order  $q$ , we derive that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{x} - \hat{y}\|^q &= \|(x - y) - r(Ax - Ay)\|^q \\ &\leq \|x - y\|^q + \kappa_q r^q \|Ax - Ay\|^q - rq \langle Ax - Ay, \mathcal{J}_q(x - y) \rangle \\ &\leq \|x - y\|^q - r(\alpha q - r^{q-1} \kappa_q) \|Ax - Ay\|^q. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Finally the required inequality (3.9) follows from (3.12) and (3.13).  $\square$

*Remark 3.4.* Note that from Lemma 3.3 one deduces that, under the same conditions, if  $r \leq (\alpha q / \kappa_q)^{1/(q-1)}$ , then the mapping  $T_r$  is nonexpansive.

### 3.1. Weak Convergence

Mann's iterative method [20] is a widely used method for finding a fixed point of nonexpansive mappings [21]. We have proved that a splitting method for solving (1.1) can, under certain conditions, be reduced to a method for finding a fixed point of a nonexpansive mapping. It is therefore the purpose of this subsection to introduce and prove its weak convergence of a Mann-type forward-backward method with errors in a uniformly convex and  $q$ -uniformly smooth Banach space. (See [22] for a similar treatment of the proximal point algorithm [23, 24] for finding zeros of monotone operators in the Hilbert space setting.) To this end we need a lemma about the uniqueness of weak cluster points of a sequence, whose proof, included here, follows the idea presented in [21, 25].

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $C$  be a closed convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space  $X$  with a Fréchet differentiable norm, and let  $\{T_n\}$  be a sequence of nonexpansive self-mappings on  $C$  with a nonempty common fixed point set  $F$ . If  $x_0 \in C$  and  $x_{n+1} := T_n x_n + e_n$ , where  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|e_n\| < \infty$ , then  $\langle z_1 - z_2, J(y_1 - y_2) \rangle = 0$  for all  $y_1, y_2 \in F$  and all  $z_1, z_2$  weak limit points of  $\{x_n\}$ .*

*Proof.* We first claim that the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded. As a matter of fact, for each fixed  $p \in F$  and any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\| &= \|T_n x_n - T_n p + e_n\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - p\| + \|e_n\|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

As  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|e_n\| < \infty$ , we can apply Lemma 2.5 to find that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - p\|$  exists. In particular,  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded.

Let us next prove that, for every  $y_1, y_2 \in F$  and  $0 < t < 1$ , the limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|tx_n + (1 - t)y_1 - y_2\| \quad (3.15)$$

exists. To see this, we set  $S_{n,m} = T_{n+m-1}T_{n+m-2} \cdots T_n$  which is nonexpansive. It is to see that we can rewrite  $\{x_n\}$  in the manner

$$x_{n+m} = S_{n,m}x_n + c_{n,m}, \quad n, m \geq 1, \quad (3.16)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} c_{n,m} = & T_{n+m-1}(T_{n+m-2}(\cdots T_{n-1}(T_n x_n + e_n) + e_{n-1} \cdots) + e_{n+m-2}) \\ & + e_{n+m-1} - S_{n,m}x_n. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

By nonexpansivity, we have that

$$\|c_{n,m}\| \leq \sum_{k=n}^{n+m-1} \|e_k\|, \quad (3.18)$$

and the summability of  $\{e_n\}$  implies that

$$\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \|c_{n,m}\| = 0. \quad (3.19)$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} a_n &= \|tx_n + (1-t)y_1 - y_2\|, \\ d_{n,m} &= \|S_{n,m}(tx_n + (1-t)y_1) - (tS_{n,m}x_n + (1-t)y_1)\|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

Let  $K$  be a closed bounded convex subset of  $X$  containing  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_1, y_2\}$ . A result of Bruck [26] assures the existence of a strictly increasing continuous function  $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  with  $g(0) = 0$  such that

$$g(\|U(tx + (1-t)y) - (tUx + (1-t)Uy)\|) \leq \|x - y\| - \|Ux - Uy\| \quad (3.21)$$

for all  $U : K \rightarrow X$  nonexpansive,  $x, y \in K$  and  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ . Applying (3.21) to each  $S_{n,m}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g(d_{n,m}) &\leq \|x_n - y_1\| - \|S_{n,m}x_n - S_{n,m}y_1\| \\ &= \|x_n - y_1\| - \|x_{n+m} - y_1 - c_{n,m}\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - y_1\| - \|x_{n+m} - y_1\| + \|c_{n,m}\|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

Now since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - y_1\|$  exists, (3.19) and (3.22) together imply that

$$\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} d_{n,m} = 0. \quad (3.23)$$

Furthermore, we have

$$a_{n+m} \leq a_n + d_{n,m} + \|c_{n,m}\|. \quad (3.24)$$

After taking first  $\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty}$  and then  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty}$  in (3.24) and using (3.19) and (3.23), we get

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} a_m \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n + \lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} (d_{n,m} + \|c_{n,m}\|) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n. \quad (3.25)$$

Hence the limit (3.15) exists.

If we replace now  $x$  and  $u$  in (2.12) with  $y_1 - y_2$  and  $t(x_n - y_1)$ , respectively, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \|y_1 - y_2\|^2 + t \langle x_n - y_1, \mathcal{J}(y_1 - y_2) \rangle \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \|tx_n + (1-t)y_1 - y_2\|^2 \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \|y_1 - y_2\|^2 + t \langle x_n - y_1, \mathcal{J}(y_1 - y_2) \rangle + h(t\|x_n - y_1\|). \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

Since the  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - y_1\|$  exists, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \|y_1 - y_2\|^2 + t \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_n - y_1, \mathcal{J}(y_1 - y_2) \rangle \\ & \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \|tx_n + (1-t)y_1 - y_2\|^2 \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \|y_1 - y_2\|^2 + t \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_n - y_1, \mathcal{J}(y_1 - y_2) \rangle + o(t), \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

where  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} o(t)/t = 0$ . Consequently, we deduce that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_n - y_1, \mathcal{J}(y_1 - y_2) \rangle \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_n - y_1, \mathcal{J}(y_1 - y_2) \rangle + \frac{o(t)}{t}. \quad (3.28)$$

Setting  $t$  tend to 0, we conclude that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_n - y_1, \mathcal{J}(y_1 - y_2) \rangle$  exists. Therefore, for any two weak limit points  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  of  $\{x_n\}$ ,  $\langle z_1 - y_1, \mathcal{J}(y_1 - y_2) \rangle = \langle z_2 - y_1, \mathcal{J}(y_1 - y_2) \rangle$ ; that is,  $\langle z_1 - z_2, \mathcal{J}(y_1 - y_2) \rangle = 0$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $X$  be a uniformly convex and  $q$ -uniformly smooth Banach space. Let  $A : X \rightarrow X$  be an  $\alpha$ -isa of order  $q$  and  $B : X \rightarrow 2^X$  an  $m$ -accretive operator. Assume that  $S = (A + B)^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset$ . We define a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  by the perturbed iterative scheme*

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n(J_{r_n}(x_n - r_n(Ax_n + a_n)) + b_n), \quad (3.29)$$

where  $J_{r_n} = (I + r_n B)^{-1}$ ,  $\{a_n\}, \{b_n\} \subset X$ ,  $\{\alpha_n\} \subset (0, 1]$ , and  $\{r_n\} \subset (0, +\infty)$ . Assume that

- (i)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|a_n\| < \infty$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|b_n\| < \infty$ ;
- (ii)  $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n$ ;
- (iii)  $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n < (\alpha q / \kappa_q)^{1/(q-1)}$ .

Then  $\{x_n\}$  converges weakly to some  $x \in S$ .

*Proof.* Write  $T_n = (I + r_n B)^{-1}(I - r_n A)$ . Notice that we can write

$$J_{r_n}(x_n - r_n(Ax_n + a_n)) + b_n = T_n x_n + e_n, \quad (3.30)$$

where  $e_n = J_{r_n}(x_n - r_n(Ax_n + a_n)) + b_n - T_n x_n$ . Then the iterative formula (3.29) turns into the form

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n(T_n x_n + e_n). \quad (3.31)$$

Thus, by nonexpansivity of  $J_{r_n}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|e_n\| &\leq \|J_{r_n}(x_n - r_n(Ax_n + a_n)) - T_n x_n\| \|b_n\| \\ &\leq r_n \|a_n\| + \|b_n\|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.32)$$

Therefore, condition (i) implies

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|e_n\| < \infty. \quad (3.33)$$

Take  $z \in S$  to deduce that, as  $S = \text{Fix}(T_n)$  and  $T_n$  is nonexpansive,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - z\| &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - z\| + \alpha_n\|T_n x_n - T_n z + e_n\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - z\| + \alpha_n\|e_n\|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.34)$$

Due to (3.33), Lemma 2.5 is applicable and we get that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - z\|$  exists; in particular,  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded. Let  $M > 0$  be such that  $\|x_n\| < M$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $s = q(M + \|z\|)^{q-1}$ . By (2.7) and Lemma 3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - z\|^q &\leq \|(1 - \alpha_n)(x_n - z) + \alpha_n(T_n x_n - z) + \alpha_n e_n\|^q \\ &\leq \|(1 - \alpha_n)(x_n - z) + \alpha_n(T_n x_n - z)\|^q + \alpha_n \langle e_n, \mathcal{J}(x_{n+1} - z) \rangle \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - z\|^q + \alpha_n\|T_n x_n - T_n z\|^q + \alpha_n q \|e_n\| \|x_{n+1} - z\|^{q-1} \\ &\leq \|x_n - z\|^q - \alpha_n r_n (q\alpha - r_n^{q-1} \kappa_q) \|Ax_n - Az\|^q \\ &\quad - \phi_q(\|x_n - r_n Ax_n - T_n x_n + r_n Az\|) + s \|e_n\|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.35)$$

From (3.35), assumptions (ii) and (iii), and (3.33), it turns out that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Ax_n - Az\|^q + \|x_n - r_n Ax_n - T_n x_n + r_n Az\| = 0. \tag{3.36}$$

Consequently,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_n x_n - x_n\| = 0. \tag{3.37}$$

Since  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n > 0$ , there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $r_n \geq \varepsilon$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . Then, by Lemma 3.2,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_\varepsilon x_n - x_n\| \leq 2 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_n x_n - x_n\| = 0. \tag{3.38}$$

By Lemmas 3.3 and 3.1,  $T_\varepsilon$  is nonexpansive and  $\text{Fix}(T_\varepsilon) = S \neq \emptyset$ . We can therefore make use of Lemma 2.3 to assure that

$$\omega_w(x_n) \subset S. \tag{3.39}$$

Finally we set  $U_n = (1 - \alpha_n)I + \alpha_n T_n$  and rewrite scheme (3.31) as

$$x_{n+1} = U_n x_n + e'_n, \tag{3.40}$$

where the sequence  $\{e'_n\}$  satisfies  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \|e'_n\| < \infty$ . Since  $\{U_n\}$  is a sequence of nonexpansive mappings with  $S$  as its nonempty common fixed point set, and since the space  $X$  is uniformly convex with a Fréchet differentiable norm, we can apply Lemma 3.5 together with (3.39) to assert that the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  has exactly one weak limit point; it is therefore weakly convergent. □

### 3.2. Strong Convergence

Halpern’s method [27] is another iterative method for finding a fixed point of nonexpansive mappings. This method has been extensively studied in the literature [28–30] (see also the recent survey [31]). In this section we aim to introduce and prove the strong convergence of a Halpern-type forward-backward method with errors in uniformly convex and  $q$ -uniformly smooth Banach spaces. This result turns out to be new even in the setting of Hilbert spaces.

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let  $X$  be a uniformly convex and  $q$ -uniformly smooth Banach space. Let  $A : X \rightarrow X$  be an  $\alpha$ -isa of order  $q$  and  $B : X \rightarrow 2^X$  an  $m$ -accretive operator. Assume that  $S = (A + B)^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset$ . We define a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  by the iterative scheme*

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n u + (1 - \alpha_n)(J_{r_n}(x_n - r_n(Ax_n + a_n)) + b_n), \tag{3.41}$$

where  $u \in X$ ,  $J_{r_n} = (I + r_n B)^{-1}$ ,  $\{a_n\}, \{b_n\} \subset X$ ,  $\{\alpha_n\} \subset (0, 1]$ , and  $\{r_n\} \subset (0, +\infty)$ . Assume the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|a_n\| < \infty$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|b_n\| < \infty$ , or  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|a_n\|/\alpha_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|b_n\|/\alpha_n = 0$ ;
- (ii)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$ ,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$ ;
- (iii)  $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n < (\alpha q / \kappa_q)^{1/(q-1)}$ .

Then  $\{x_n\}$  converges in norm to  $z = Q(u)$ , where  $Q$  is the sunny nonexpansive retraction of  $X$  onto  $S$ .

*Proof.* Let  $z = Q(u)$ , where  $Q$  is the sunny nonexpansive retraction of  $X$  onto  $S$  whose existence is ensured by Theorem 2.4. Let  $\{y_n\}$  be a sequence generated by

$$y_{n+1} = \alpha_n u + (1 - \alpha_n) T_n y_n, \quad (3.42)$$

where we abbreviate  $T_n := J_{r_n}(I - r_n A)$ . Hence to show the desired result, it suffices to prove that  $y_n \rightarrow z$ . Indeed, since  $J_{r_n}$  and  $I - r_n A$  are both nonexpansive, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - y_{n+1}\| &\leq (1 - \alpha_n) \|J_{r_n}(x_n - r_n(Ax_n + a_n)) + b_n - J_{r_n}(y_n - r_n A y_n)\| \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n) \|(I - r_n A)x_n - (I - r_n A)y_n - r_n a_n\| + \|b_n\| \\ &= (1 - \alpha_n) \|x_n - y_n\| + L(\|a_n\| + \|b_n\|), \end{aligned} \quad (3.43)$$

where  $L := \max(1, (\alpha q / \kappa_q)^{1/(q-1)})$ . According to condition (i), we can apply Lemma 2.5(ii) to conclude that  $\|x_n - y_n\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\square$

We next show  $y_n \rightarrow z$ . Indeed, since  $S = \text{Fix}(T_n)$  and  $T_n$  is nonexpansive, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_{n+1} - z\| &\leq \alpha_n \|u - z\| + (1 - \alpha_n) \|T_n y_n - T_n z\| \\ &\leq \alpha_n \|u - z\| + (1 - \alpha_n) \|y_n - z\|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.44)$$

Hence, we can apply Lemma 2.5(i) to claim that  $\{y_n\}$  is bounded.

Using the inequality (2.7) with  $p = q$ , we derive that

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_{n+1} - z\|^q &= \|\alpha_n(u - z) + (1 - \alpha_n)T_n y_n - z\|^q \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)^q \|T_n y_n - z\|^q + q \alpha_n \langle u - z, \mathcal{J}_q(y_{n+1} - z) \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3.45)$$

By condition (iii), we have some  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$1 - \alpha_n \geq \delta, \quad (1 - \alpha_n) r_n (\alpha q - r_n^{q-1} \kappa_q) \geq \delta, \quad (3.46)$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence, by Lemma 3.3 we get from (3.45) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_{n+1} - z\|^q &\leq (1 - \alpha_n) \|y_n - z\|^q - \delta \phi_q(\|y_n - r_n A y_n - T_n y_n + r_n A z\|) \\ &\quad - \delta \|A y_n - A z\|^q + q \alpha_n \langle u - z, \mathcal{J}_q(y_{n+1} - z) \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3.47)$$

Let us define  $s_n = \|y_n - z\|^q$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . Depending on the asymptotic behavior of the sequence  $\{s_n\}$  we distinguish two cases.

*Case 1.* Suppose that there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that the sequence  $\{s_n\}_{n \geq N}$  is nonincreasing; thus,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n$  exists. Since  $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $\|e_n\| \rightarrow 0$ , it follows immediately from (3.47) that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A y_n - A z\|^q + \|y_n - r_n A y_n - T_n y_n + r_n A z\| = 0. \quad (3.48)$$

Consequently,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_n y_n - y_n\| = 0. \quad (3.49)$$

By condition (iii), there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $r_n \geq \varepsilon$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . Then, by Lemma 3.2, we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_\varepsilon y_n - y_n\| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_n y_n - y_n\| = 0. \quad (3.50)$$

The demiclosedness principle (i.e., Lemma 2.3) implies that

$$\omega_w(y_n) \subset S. \quad (3.51)$$

Note that from inequality (3.47) we deduce that

$$s_{n+1} \leq (1 - \alpha_n) s_n + q \alpha_n \langle u - z, \mathcal{J}_q(y_{n+1} - z) \rangle. \quad (3.52)$$

Next we prove that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle u - z, \mathcal{J}_q(y_n - z) \rangle \leq 0. \quad (3.53)$$

Equivalently (should  $\|y_n - z\| \rightarrow 0$ ), we need to prove that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle u - z, \mathcal{J}(y_n - z) \rangle \leq 0. \quad (3.54)$$

To this end, let  $z_t$  satisfy  $z_t = tu + T_\varepsilon z_t$ . By Reich's theorem [32], we get  $z_t \rightarrow Q_S u = z$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ . Using subdifferential inequality, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_t - y_n\|^2 &= \|t(u - y_n) + (1-t)(T_\varepsilon z_t - y_n)\|^2 \\ &\leq (1-t)^2 \|T_\varepsilon z_t - y_n\|^2 + 2t \langle u - y_n, \mathcal{J}(z_t - y_n) \rangle \\ &\leq (1-t)^2 (\|T_\varepsilon z_t - T_\varepsilon y_n\| + \|T_\varepsilon y_n - y_n\|)^2 + 2t \|z_t - y_n\|^2 + 2t \langle u - z_t, \mathcal{J}(z_t - y_n) \rangle \\ &\leq (1+t^2) \|z_t - y_n\|^2 + M \|T_\varepsilon y_n - y_n\| + 2t \langle u - z_t, \mathcal{J}(z_t - y_n) \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (3.55)$$

where  $M > 0$  is a constant such that

$$M > \max \left\{ \|z_t - y_n\|^2, 2\|z_t - y_n\| + \|T_\varepsilon y_n - y_n\| \right\}, \quad t \in (0, 1), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (3.56)$$

Then it follows from (3.55) that

$$\langle u - z_t, \mathcal{J}(y_n - z_t) \rangle \leq \frac{M}{2}t + \frac{M}{2t} \|T_\varepsilon y_n - y_n\|. \quad (3.57)$$

Taking  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty}$  yields

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle u - z_t, \mathcal{J}(y_n - z_t) \rangle \leq \frac{M}{2}t. \quad (3.58)$$

Then, letting  $t \rightarrow 0$  and noting the fact that the duality map  $\mathcal{J}$  is norm-to-norm uniformly continuous on bounded sets, we get (3.54) as desired. Due to (3.53), we can apply Lemma 2.5(ii) to (3.52) to conclude that  $s_n \rightarrow 0$ ; that is,  $y_n \rightarrow z$ .

*Case 2.* Suppose that there exists  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $s_{n_1} \leq s_{n_1+1}$ . Let us define

$$I_n := \{n_1 \leq k \leq n : s_k \leq s_{k+1}\}, \quad n \geq n_1. \quad (3.59)$$

Obviously  $I_n \neq \emptyset$  since  $n_1 \in I_n$  for any  $n \geq n_1$ . Set

$$\tau(n) = \max I_n. \quad (3.60)$$

Note that the sequence  $\{\tau(n)\}$  is nonincreasing and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau(n) = \infty$ . Moreover,  $\tau(n) \leq n$  and

$$s_{\tau(n)} \leq s_{\tau(n)+1}, \quad (3.61)$$

$$s_n \leq s_{\tau(n)+1}, \quad (3.62)$$



for any  $n \geq n_1$  (see Lemma 3.1 of Maingé [33] for more details). From inequality (3.47) we get

$$\begin{aligned} s_{\tau(n)+1} &\leq (1 - \alpha_{\tau(n)})s_{\tau(n)} - \delta\phi(\|y_{\tau(n)} - r_{\tau(n)}Ay_{\tau(n)} - T_{\tau(n)}y_{\tau(n)} + r_{\tau(n)}Az\|) \\ &\quad - \delta\|Ay_{\tau(n)} - Az\|^q + q\alpha_{\tau(n)}\langle u - z, \mathcal{J}_q(y_{\tau(n)+1} - z) \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3.63)$$

It turns out that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Ay_{\tau(n)} - Az\| = 0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_{\tau(n)} - r_{\tau(n)}Ay_{\tau(n)} - T_{\tau(n)}y_{\tau(n)} + r_{\tau(n)}Az\| = 0. \quad (3.64)$$

Consequently,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_{\tau(n)}y_{\tau(n)} - y_{\tau(n)}\| = 0. \quad (3.65)$$

Now repeating the argument of the proof of (3.53) in Case 1, we can get

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle u - z, \mathcal{J}_q(y_{\tau(n)} - z) \rangle \leq 0. \quad (3.66)$$

By the asymptotic regularity of  $\{y_{\tau(n)}\}$  and (3.65), we deduce that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_{\tau(n)+1} - y_{\tau(n)}\| = 0. \quad (3.67)$$

This implies that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle u - z, \mathcal{J}_q(y_{\tau(n)+1} - z) \rangle \leq 0. \quad (3.68)$$

On the other hand, it follows from (3.64) that

$$s_{\tau(n)+1} - s_{\tau(n)} + \alpha_{\tau(n)}s_{\tau(n)} \leq q\alpha_{\tau(n)}\langle u - z, \mathcal{J}_q(y_{\tau(n)+1} - z) \rangle. \quad (3.69)$$

Taking the  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty}$  in (3.69) and using condition (i) we deduce that  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_{\tau(n)} \leq 0$ ; hence  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_{\tau(n)} = 0$ . That is,  $\|y_{\tau(n)} - z\| \rightarrow 0$ . Using the triangle inequality,

$$\|y_{\tau(n)+1} - z\| \leq \|y_{\tau(n)+1} - y_{\tau(n)}\| + \|y_{\tau(n)} - z\|, \quad (3.70)$$

we also get that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_{\tau(n)+1} = 0$  which together with (3.42) guarantees that  $\|y_n - z\| \rightarrow 0$ .

#### 4. Applications

The two forward-backward methods previously studied, (3.29) and (3.41), find applications in other related problems such as variational inequalities, the convex feasibility problem, fixed point problems, and optimization problems.

Throughout this section, let  $C$  be a nonempty closed and convex subset of a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . Note that in this case the concept of monotonicity coincides with the concept of accretivity.

Regarding the problem we concern, of finding a zero of the sum of two accretive operators in a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , as a direct consequence of Theorem 3.7, we first obtain the following result due to Combettes [34].

**Corollary 4.1.** *Let  $A : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  be monotone and  $B : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  maximal monotone. Assume that  $\kappa A$  is firmly nonexpansive for some  $\kappa > 0$  and that*

- (i)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n > 0$ ,
- (ii)  $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n < 2\kappa$ ,
- (iii)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|a_n\| < \infty$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|b_n\| < \infty$ ,
- (iv)  $S := (A + B)^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset$ .

Then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  generated by the algorithm

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n J_{\lambda_n}((x_n - \lambda_n(Ax_n + a_n)) + b_n) \quad (4.1)$$

converges weakly to a point in  $S$ .

*Proof.* It suffices to show that  $\kappa A$  is firmly nonexpansive if and only if  $A$  is  $\kappa$ -inverse strongly monotone. This however follows from the following straightforward observation:

$$\langle \kappa Ax - \kappa Ay, x - y \rangle \geq \|\kappa Ax - \kappa Ay\|^2 \iff \langle Ax - Ay, x - y \rangle \geq \kappa \|Ax - Ay\|^2, \quad (4.2)$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ . □

#### 4.1. Variational Inequality Problems

A monotone variational inequality problem (VIP) is formulated as the problem of finding a point  $x \in C$  with the property:

$$\langle Ax, z - x \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall z \in C, \quad (4.3)$$

where  $A : C \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  is a nonlinear monotone operator. We shall denote by  $S$  the solution set of (4.3) and assume  $S \neq \emptyset$ .

One method for solving VIP (4.3) is the projection algorithm which generates, starting with an arbitrary initial point  $x_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ , a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  satisfying

$$x_{n+1} = P_C(x_n - rAx_n), \quad (4.4)$$

where  $r$  is properly chosen as a stepsize. If in addition  $A$  is  $\kappa$ -inverse strongly monotone (ism), then the iteration (4.4) with  $0 < r < 2\kappa$  converges weakly to a point in  $S$  whenever such a point exists.

By [35, Theorem 3], VIP (4.3) is equivalent to finding a point  $x$  so that

$$0 \in (A + B)x, \tag{4.5}$$

where  $B$  is the normal cone operator of  $C$ . In other words, VIPs are a special case of the problem of finding zeros of the sum of two monotone operators. Note that the resolvent of the normal cone is nothing but the projection operator and that if  $A$  is  $\kappa$ -ism, then the set  $\Omega$  is closed and convex [36]. As an application of the previous sections, we get the following results.

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let  $A : C \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  be  $\kappa$ -ism for some  $\kappa > 0$ , and let the following conditions be satisfied:*

- (i)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n > 0$ ,
- (ii)  $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n < 2\kappa$ .

*Then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  generated by the relaxed projection algorithm*

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n P_C(x_n - \lambda_n A x_n) \tag{4.6}$$

*converges weakly to a point in  $S$ .*

**Corollary 4.3.** *Let  $A : C \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  be  $\kappa$ -ism and let the following conditions be satisfied:*

- (i)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$ ;
- (ii)  $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n < 2\kappa$ .

*Then, for any given  $u \in C$ , the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  generated by*

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n u + (1 - \alpha_n) P_C(x_n - \lambda_n A x_n), \tag{4.7}$$

*converges strongly to  $P_S u$ .*

*Remark 4.4.* Corollary 4.3 improves Iiduka-Takahashi's result [37, Corollary 3.2], where apart from hypotheses (i)-(ii), the conditions  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_n - \alpha_{n+1}| < \infty$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_n - \lambda_{n+1}| < \infty$  are required.

### 4.2. Fixed Points of Strict Pseudocontractions

An operator  $T : C \rightarrow C$  is said to be a strict  $\kappa$ -pseudocontraction if there exists a constant  $\kappa \in [0, 1)$  such that

$$\|Tx - Ty\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2 + \kappa \|(I - T)x - (I - T)y\|^2 \tag{4.8}$$

for all  $x, y \in C$ . It is known that if  $T$  is strictly  $\kappa$ -pseudocontractive, then  $A = I - T$  is  $(1 - \kappa)/2$ -ism (see [38]). To solve the problem of approximating fixed points for such operators, an iterative scheme is provided in the following result.

**Corollary 4.5.** Let  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be strictly  $\kappa$ -pseudocontractive with a nonempty fixed point set  $\text{Fix}(T)$ . Suppose that

- (i)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$ ,
- (ii)  $0 < \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n < 1 - \kappa$ .

Then, for any given  $u \in C$ , the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  generated by the algorithm

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n u + (1 - \alpha_n)((1 - \lambda_n)x_n + \lambda_n T x_n) \quad (4.9)$$

converges strongly to the point  $P_{\text{Fix}(T)}u$ .

*Proof.* Set  $A = I - T$ . Hence  $A$  is  $(1 - \kappa)/2$ -ism. Moreover we rewrite the above iteration as

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n u + (1 - \alpha_n)(x_n - \lambda_n A x_n). \quad (4.10)$$

Then, by setting  $B$  the operator constantly zero, Corollary 4.3 yields the result as desired.  $\square$

### 4.3. Convexly Constrained Minimization Problem

Consider the optimization problem

$$\min_{x \in C} f(x), \quad (4.11)$$

where  $f : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a convex and differentiable function. Assume (4.11) is consistent, and let  $\Omega$  denote its set of solutions.

The gradient projection algorithm (GPA) generates a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  via the iterative procedure:

$$x_{n+1} = P_C(x_n - r \nabla f(x_n)), \quad (4.12)$$

where  $\nabla f$  stands for the gradient of  $f$ . If in addition  $\nabla f$  is  $(1/\kappa)$ -Lipschitz continuous; that is, for any  $x, y \in \mathcal{L}$ ,

$$\|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y)\| \leq \left(\frac{1}{\kappa}\right) \|x - y\|, \quad (4.13)$$

then the GPA with  $0 < r < 2\kappa$  converges weakly to a minimizer of  $f$  in  $C$  (see, e.g, [39, Corollary 4.1]).

The minimization problem (4.11) is equivalent to VIP [40, Lemma 5.13]:

$$\langle \nabla f(x), z - x \rangle \geq 0, \quad z \in C. \quad (4.14)$$

It is also known [41, Corollary 10] that if  $\nabla f$  is  $(1/\kappa)$ -Lipschitz continuous, then it is also  $\kappa$ -ism. Thus, we can apply the previous results to (4.11) by taking  $A = \nabla f$ .

**Corollary 4.6.** Assume that  $f : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is convex and differentiable with  $(1/\kappa)$ -Lipschitz continuous gradient  $\nabla f$ . Assume also that

- (i)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n > 0$ ,
- (ii)  $0 \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n \leq 2\kappa$ .

Then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  generated by the algorithm

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n P_C(x_n - \lambda_n \nabla f(x_n)) \quad (4.15)$$

converges weakly to  $x \in \Omega$ .

**Corollary 4.7.** Assume that  $f : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is convex and differentiable with  $(1/\kappa)$ -Lipschitz continuous gradient  $\nabla f$ . Assume also that

- (i)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$ ;
- (ii)  $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n < 2\kappa$ .

Then for any given  $u \in C$ , the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  generated by the algorithm

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n u + (1 - \alpha_n) P_C(x_n - \lambda_n \nabla f(x_n)) \quad (4.16)$$

converges strongly to  $P_{\Omega}u$  whenever such point exists.

#### 4.4. Split Feasibility Problem

The split feasibility problem (SFP) [42] consists of finding a point  $\hat{x}$  satisfying the property:

$$\hat{x} \in C, \quad A\hat{x} \in Q, \quad (4.17)$$

where  $C$  and  $Q$  are, respectively, closed convex subsets of Hilbert spaces  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $K$  and  $A : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow K$  is a bounded linear operator. The SFP (4.17) has attracted much attention due to its applications in signal processing [42]. Various algorithms have, therefore, been derived to solve the SFP (4.17) (see [39, 43, 44] and reference therein). In particular, Byrne [43] introduced the so-called CQ algorithm:

$$x_{n+1} = P_C(x_n - \lambda A^*(I - P_Q)Ax_n), \quad (4.18)$$

where  $0 < \lambda < 2\nu$  with  $\nu = 1/\|A\|^2$ .

To solve the SFP (4.17), it is very useful to investigate the following convexly constrained minimization problem (CCMP):

$$\min_{x \in C} f(x), \quad (4.19)$$

where

$$f(x) := \frac{1}{2} \|(I - P_Q)Ax\|^2. \quad (4.20)$$

Generally speaking, the SFP (4.17) and CCMP (4.19) are not fully equivalent: every solution to the SFP (4.17) is evidently a minimizer of the CCMP (4.19); however a solution to the CCMP (4.19) does not necessarily satisfy the SFP (4.17). Further, if the solution set of the SFP (4.17) is nonempty, then it follows from [45, Lemma 4.2] that

$$C \cap (\nabla f)^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset, \quad (4.21)$$

where  $f$  is defined by (4.20). As shown by Xu [46], the CQ algorithm need not converge strongly in infinite-dimensional spaces. We now consider an iteration process with strong convergence for solving the SFP (4.17).

**Corollary 4.8.** *Assume that the SFP (4.17) is consistent, and let  $S$  be its nonempty solution set. Assume also that*

- (i)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$ ;
- (ii)  $0 < \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n < 2\nu$ .

*Then for any given  $u \in C$ , the sequence  $(x_n)$  generated by the algorithm*

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n u + (1 - \alpha_n) P_C [x_n - \lambda_n A^*(I - P_Q) A x_n] \quad (4.22)$$

*converges strongly to the solution  $P_S u$  of the SFP (4.17).*

*Proof.* Let  $f$  be defined by (4.19). According to [39, page 113], we have

$$\nabla f = A^*(I - P_Q)A, \quad (4.23)$$

which is  $(1/\nu)$ -Lipschitz continuous with  $\nu = 1/\|A\|^2$ . Thus Corollary 4.7 applies, and the result follows immediately.  $\square$

*Remark 4.9.* Corollary 4.8 improves and recovers the result of [44, Corollary 3.7], which uses the additional condition  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n| < \infty$ , condition (i), and the special case of condition (ii) where  $\lambda_n \equiv \lambda$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

#### 4.5. Convexly Constrained Linear Inverse Problem

The constrained linear system

$$\begin{aligned} Ax &= b \\ x &\in C, \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

where  $A : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow K$  is a bounded linear operator and  $b \in K$ , is called convexly constrained linear inverse problem (cf. [47]). A classical way to deal with this problem is the well-known projected Landweber method (see [40]):

$$x_{n+1} = P_C [x_n - \lambda A^*(Ax_n - b)], \quad (4.25)$$

where  $0 < \lambda < 2\nu$  with  $\nu = 1/\|A\|^2$ . A counterexample in [8, Remark 5.12] shows that the projected Landweber iteration converges weakly in infinite-dimensional spaces, in general. To get strong convergence, Eicke introduced the so-called damped projection method (see [47]). In what follows, we present another algorithm with strong convergence, for solving (4.24).

**Corollary 4.10.** *Assume that (4.24) is consistent. Assume also that*

- (i)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$ ;
- (ii)  $0 < \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n < 2\nu$ .

*Then, for any given  $u \in \mathcal{H}$ , the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  generated by the algorithm*

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n u + (1 - \alpha_n) P_C[x_n - \lambda_n A^*(Ax_n - b)] \quad (4.26)$$

*converges strongly to a solution to problem (4.24) whenever it exists.*

*Proof.* This is an immediate consequence of Corollary 4.8 by taking  $Q = \{b\}$ . □

## Acknowledgments

The work of G. López, V. Martín-Márquez, and H.-K. Xu was supported by Grant MTM2009-10696-C02-01. This work was carried out while F. Wang was visiting Universidad de Sevilla under the support of this grant. He was also supported by the Basic and Frontier Project of Henan 122300410268 and the Peiyu Project of Luoyang Normal University 2011-PYJJ-002. The work of G. López and V. Martín-Márquez was also supported by the Plan Andaluz de Investigacin de la Junta de Andaluca FQM-127 and Grant P08-FQM-03543. The work of H.-K. Xu was also supported in part by NSC 100-2115-M-110-003-MY2 (Taiwan). He extended his appreciation to the Deanship of Scientific Research at King Saud University for funding the work through a visiting professor-ship program (VPP).

## References

- [1] D. H. Peaceman and H. H. Rachford, "The numerical solution of parabolic and elliptic differential equations," *Journal of the Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 3, pp. 28–41, 1955.
- [2] J. Douglas, and H. H. Rachford, "On the numerical solution of heat conduction problems in two and three space variables," *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 82, pp. 421–439, 1956.
- [3] R. B. Kellogg, "Nonlinear alternating direction algorithm," *Mathematics of Computation*, vol. 23, pp. 23–28, 1969.
- [4] P. L. Lions and B. Mercier, "Splitting algorithms for the sum of two nonlinear operators," *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, vol. 16, no. 6, pp. 964–979, 1979.
- [5] G. B. Passty, "Ergodic convergence to a zero of the sum of monotone operators in Hilbert space," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 72, no. 2, pp. 383–390, 1979.
- [6] P. Tseng, "Applications of a splitting algorithm to decomposition in convex programming and variational inequalities," *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 119–138, 1991.
- [7] G. H.-G. Chen and R. T. Rockafellar, "Convergence rates in forward-backward splitting," *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 421–444, 1997.
- [8] P. L. Combettes and V. R. Wajs, "Signal recovery by proximal forward-backward splitting," *Multiscale Modeling & Simulation*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 1168–1200, 2005.
- [9] S. Sra, S. Nowozin, and S. J. Wright, *Optimization for Machine Learning*, 2011.
- [10] K. Aoyama, H. Iiduka, and W. Takahashi, "Weak convergence of an iterative sequence for accretive operators in Banach spaces," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, Article ID 35390, 13 pages, 2006.

- [11] H. Zegeye and N. Shahzad, "Strong convergence theorems for a common zero of a finite family of maccrative mappings," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 66, no. 5, pp. 1161–1169, 2007.
- [12] H. Zegeye and N. Shahzad, "Strong convergence theorems for a common zero point of a finite family of  $\alpha$ -inverse strongly accretive mappings," *Journal of Nonlinear and Convex Analysis*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 95–104, 2008.
- [13] I. Cioranescu, *Geometry of Banach Spaces, Duality Mappings and Nonlinear Problems*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1990.
- [14] H. K. Xu, "Inequalities in Banach spaces with applications," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 16, no. 12, pp. 1127–1138, 1991.
- [15] F. E. Browder, "Nonexpansive nonlinear operators in a Banach space," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 54, pp. 1041–1044, 1965.
- [16] T. Kato, "Nonlinear semigroups and evolution equations," *Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan*, vol. 19, pp. 508–520, 1967.
- [17] R. E. Bruck, "Nonexpansive projections on subsets of Banach spaces," *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 47, pp. 341–355, 1973.
- [18] P. E. Maingé, "Approximation methods for common fixed points of nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert spaces," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 325, no. 1, pp. 469–479, 2007.
- [19] H. K. Xu, "Iterative algorithms for nonlinear operators," *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. 66, no. 1, pp. 240–256, 2002.
- [20] W. R. Mann, "Mean value methods in iteration," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 4, pp. 506–510, 1953.
- [21] S. Reich, "Weak convergence theorems for nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 67, no. 2, pp. 274–276, 1979.
- [22] G. Marino and H. K. Xu, "Convergence of generalized proximal point algorithms," *Communications on Pure and Applied Analysis*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 791–808, 2004.
- [23] R. T. Rockafellar, "Monotone operators and the proximal point algorithm," *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, vol. 14, no. 5, pp. 877–898, 1976.
- [24] S. Kamimura and W. Takahashi, "Approximating solutions of maximal monotone operators in Hilbert spaces," *Journal of Approximation Theory*, vol. 106, no. 2, pp. 226–240, 2000.
- [25] K. K. Tan and H. K. Xu, "Approximating fixed points of nonexpansive mappings by the Ishikawa iteration process," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 178, no. 2, pp. 301–308, 1993.
- [26] R. E. Bruck, "A simple proof of the mean ergodic theorem for nonlinear contractions in Banach spaces," *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 32, no. 2-3, pp. 107–116, 1979.
- [27] B. R. Halpern, "Fixed points of nonexpanding maps," *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 73, pp. 957–961, 1967.
- [28] P. L. Lions, "Approximation de points fixes de contractions," *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences*, vol. 284, no. 21, pp. A1357–A1359, 1977.
- [29] R. Wittmann, "Approximation of fixed points of nonexpansive mappings," *Archiv der Mathematik*, vol. 58, no. 5, pp. 486–491, 1992.
- [30] H. K. Xu, "Viscosity approximation methods for nonexpansive mappings," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 298, no. 1, pp. 279–291, 2004.
- [31] G. López, V. Martín, and H. K. Xu, "Halpern's iteration for nonexpansive mappings," in *Nonlinear Analysis and Optimization I: Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 513, pp. 211–230, 2010.
- [32] S. Reich, "Strong convergence theorems for resolvents of accretive operators in Banach spaces," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 75, no. 1, pp. 287–292, 1980.
- [33] P. E. Maingé, "Strong convergence of projected subgradient methods for nonsmooth and nonstrictly convex minimization," *Set-Valued Analysis*, vol. 16, no. 7-8, pp. 899–912, 2008.
- [34] P. L. Combettes, "Solving monotone inclusions via compositions of nonexpansive averaged operators," *Optimization*, vol. 53, no. 5-6, pp. 475–504, 2004.
- [35] R. T. Rockafellar, "On the maximality of sums of nonlinear monotone operators," *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 149, pp. 75–88, 1970.
- [36] V. Barbu, *Nonlinear Semigroups and Differential Equations in Banach Spaces*, Noordhoff, 1976.
- [37] H. Iiduka and W. Takahashi, "Strong convergence theorems for nonexpansive mappings and inverse-strongly monotone mappings," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 61, no. 3, pp. 341–350, 2005.
- [38] F. E. Browder and W. V. Petryshyn, "Construction of fixed points of nonlinear mappings in Hilbert space," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 20, pp. 197–228, 1967.



- [39] C. Byrne, "A unified treatment of some iterative algorithms in signal processing and image reconstruction," *Inverse Problems*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 103–120, 2004.
- [40] H. W. Engl, M. Hanke, and A. Neubauer, *Regularization of Inverse Problems*, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1996.
- [41] J. B. Baillon and G. Haddad, "Quelques proprietes des operateurs angle-bornes et cycliquement monotones," *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 137–150, 1977.
- [42] Y. Censor and T. Elfving, "A multiprojection algorithm using Bregman projections in a product space," *Numerical Algorithms*, vol. 8, no. 2–4, pp. 221–239, 1994.
- [43] C. Byrne, "Iterative oblique projection onto convex sets and the split feasibility problem," *Inverse Problems*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 441–453, 2002.
- [44] H. K. Xu, "A variable Krasnosel'skii-Mann algorithm and the multiple-set split feasibility," *Inverse Problems*, vol. 22, no. 6, pp. 2021–2034, 2006.
- [45] F. Wang and H. K. Xu, "Approximating curve and strong convergence of the CQ algorithm for the split feasibility problem," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2010, Article ID 102085, 2010.
- [46] H. K. Xu, "Iterative methods for the split feasibility problem in infinite-dimensional Hilbert spaces," *Inverse Problems*, vol. 26, no. 10, Article ID 105018, 2010.
- [47] B. Eicke, "Iteration methods for convexly constrained ill-posed problems in Hilbert space," *Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization*, vol. 13, no. 5-6, pp. 413–429, 1992.



# Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at  
<http://www.hindawi.com>

