

2016

# Emergency Glucagon Injection Device

John Corbett

*Virginia Commonwealth University*

Zachary Cullingsworth

*Virginia Commonwealth University*

Christopher Ducic

*Virginia Commonwealth University*

Ryan Meekins

*Virginia Commonwealth University*

Follow this and additional works at: <http://scholarscompass.vcu.edu/capstone>

 Part of the [Mechanical Engineering Commons](#), and the [Nuclear Engineering Commons](#)

© The Author(s)


---

Downloaded from

<http://scholarscompass.vcu.edu/capstone/78>

This Poster is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Engineering at VCU Scholars Compass. It has been accepted for inclusion in Capstone Design Expo Posters by an authorized administrator of VCU Scholars Compass. For more information, please contact [libcompass@vcu.edu](mailto:libcompass@vcu.edu).





# Emergency Glucagon Injection Device

## Considerations

### Purpose

- In a non-diabetic person
  - Glucagon is a naturally occurring hormone
  - The pancreas uses it raise blood glucose levels
- For a diabetic
  - The pancreas does not naturally regulate it
  - It must be artificially administered during a hypoglycemic seizure
- The existing emergency kit
  - A syringe filled with fluid and a vial containing powdered glucagon
  - Requires time consuming preparation
- Our new design
  - Easy enough for anyone to use
  - Quick and effective



Figure 1: The current emergency kit

### Concept

- Novel components
  - Powdered and liquid medication stored in separate chambers within the same device
  - Pull tab to be easily removed and allow mixing
- Functionality
  - Storage chamber above to prevent powder from potentially clogging needle
  - Mixing is started due to gravity pulling the powder down into the liquid
  - Pull tab design allows for necessary separation without adding complications or room for mechanical failures

## Analysis

### Calculations

- Challenges
  - Water and air tight
  - Force required to remove pull tab
- Solutions
  - Calculations to determine force vs. spacing
  - Force testing to confirm calculations




Figure 2: O-ring compression before and after barrier removal ( $\delta$  = o-ring strain)

### Data




Figure 3: Plot of calculated pull tab force vs. chamber spacing with data found through experimentation

$$F_{pull} = \mu_{static} P_{contact} A_{contact}$$

Equation 1: Used to determine the pull force based on the contact area with the o-ring

$$A_{contact} = \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{ID_{oring} + OD_{oring}}{2} \right) \pi D \delta^2$$

Equation 2: Used to determine the contact area of the o-ring based on its compression

## Impact

### Conclusion

- Appropriate flange spacing
  - Provides air and water tight seal with pull tab
  - Remains air and water tight upon removal of pull tab
- Device that appropriately meets requirements
  - Easy to use
  - Compact and durable




Figure 4: A render of the early concept

### Contribution

- What was developed
  - A functional device
  - The purpose of the project was achieved
- Improvements over current kit
  - Significant time saved
  - Potential human errors minimized




Figure 5: A render of the final design

\*Provisional Patent Application Filed with the United States Patent and Trademark Office