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## Introduction

There are various benefits to owning a pet, including improved physical and mental health, aiding the recovery process, support, and comfort ${ }^{4}$.

- In one particular study, veterans reported feeling calmer, less lonely, less depressed, and less worried, as well as having exercised more compared to non pet owners ${ }^{5}$.
- In different settings such as hospitals, pets have been reported to decrease distress in children who have been operated on ${ }^{2}$.
- Additionally, when evaluating college students in pet therapy settings, studies report that pets provide support and comfort during stressful times ${ }^{1}$.

Research question: How does pet ownership affect levels of anxiety and depression among those who have experienced a traumatic event?

Hypothesis: We hypothesize that among students who have experienced a traumatic event, pet owners will have lower levels of experienced a traumatic
anxiety and depression.

## Methods

Spit for Science: VCU-wide, longitudinal research initiative that wants to better understand how genetic and environmental factors come together to influence a variety of health-related outcomes in the VCU undergraduate population.

Total Sample ( N )=547; 392 pet-owners and 155 non-owners

- Restricted to students exposed to a traumatic event: Since beginning college, have they experienced a physical/sexual assault (or other unwanted sexual experiences) or transportation accident
Survey was taken during the 2011 cohort's junior (third) year
Independent variable: ownership of a pet (or not)
- "Did you grow up with a dog/cat/other pet?"
- Restricted to pet owners that were highly attached to their pets; $>60$ on a scale from 0-100
Dependent variable: levels of anxiety or depression
- Using the Symptom Checklist (SCL-90) (Derogatis \& Cleary, 1977) Anxiety/depression: Symptoms like "nervousness or shakiness inside," "spells of terror or panic," "feeling blue," and "feeling no interest in things" in the past 30 days
. Sum score was used
Statistical test used: Linear Regression



## Results

## Initial Results

Mean Differences in College Anxiety/Depression symptoms Among Pet Owners and Non-Owners

After the initial linear regression the results indicated that pet owners had on average 0.824 more symptoms of anxiety/ depression than that of non-pet owners. These results were not statistically significant ( $\mathrm{P}=0.176$, $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.003$ ) and contradict literature.

Final Results
Mean Differencesin Predicted College Anxiev//Depression SymplomsAmongret Owners and Non-Owners


Ater controlling for confounding factors such as gender, ethnicity, the big five personality traits, social support and resilience, the direction of relationship changed from positive to negative as pet owners had 2.224 fewer symptoms of anxiety/depression symptoms These results were significant ( $\mathrm{p}=0.004, \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.033$ ). $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ for when all covariates were considered was 0.304 The partial $R^{2}$ for all 0.304. The partial $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ for all significant covariates were gende $\left.R^{2}=0.026\right)$, ethnicity $\left(R^{2}=0.057\right)$ openness ( $R^{2}=0.058$ )
(See table below)

|  | Pet Owners (Mean) | Non-owners (Mean) | $P$ value from $t$ test comparison |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender | -- | -- | . 74 (chi-square test) |
| Ethnicity | -- | -- | <. 001 (chi-square <br> test) |
| CD-RISC Resilience Score | 6.1 | 6.0 | . 65 |
| Social support sum score | 6.1 | 5.9 | . 53 |
| BFIExtroversion | 10.5 | 10.8 | . 27 |
| BFI Agreeableness | 11.8 | 11.9 | . 64 |
| BFI <br> Conscientiousness | 13.2 | 13.3 | . 64 |
| BFI Neuroticism | 9.0 | 8.4 | . 04 |
| BFI Openness | 12.8 | 12.4 | . 02 |

Discussion
Using pet-ownership as the sole criteria for discerning anxiety/depression levels can be misleading. There are confounding variables that went unaccounted for in initial linear regression and were more realistically accounted for in the second linear regression. Further confounding factors may exist, but the ones most likely to affect our population were selected: gender, race/ethnici ethnicity and personality traits openness and neuroticism, was found to be significant $(\mathrm{p}=0.000, \mathrm{p}<0.001, \mathrm{p}=0.02$, $\mathrm{p}=0.04$ ). Neuroticism is known to predict anxiety/ depression $n^{3}$. When these selected confounding factors were analyzed in relation to our research question, it was hown that pet owners experienced a lower mean difference in anxiety and depression symptoms.

| Weaknesses |
| :--- |
| Only targeted VCU college students |
| Only used surveys |
| Duration of Pet ownership is not known |


| Strengths |
| :--- |
| Large population |
| 547 of people who answered the |
| survey and experienced |
| trauma |
| Diverse Sample |
| Wide range of ethnic groups, |
| men and women |
| Participates indicated that they |
| were STRONGLY attached to |
| the pets |
| Mostl likely relationship will show |
| that there is an effect on |
| mental health |
| Order of events - pet ownership |
| preceded trauma, trauma |
| preceded symptoms |

## Conclusions

The main intention of this research was to determine how pet ownership affects anxiety/depression and in doing so, support the potential therapeutic benefits pet-ownership may offer in the clinics/hospitals sector to mental health as well as the workplace. It was hypothesized that pet ownership would be associated with fewer symptoms of anxiety and depression. The VCU student population who participated in Spit for Science questionnaire in their junior year provided a representative dataset.

- Findings: The initial linear regression indicated that pet-ownership had a higher mean difference in anxiety/depression (contrary to the literature). However, a second linear regression which considered confounding factors such as gender, race/ethnicity, personality, social support and resilience showed that pet-ownership had a significantly lower mean difference in anxiety/depression. The confounding factors found to significantly impact our results being neuroticism, a known predictor of anxiety and depression, and openness.
- Implications: Pet ownership is associated with fewer symptoms of anxiety and depression among trauma-exposed students, but can this relationship be confounded by many variables.
- Future Directions: To determine the extent to which neuroticism and openness contribute to anxiety/depression or to explore confounding factors such as pet attachment and duration of pet ownership that were not addressed


## Table 5 . Confounding variables used in final analyses.
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