

Virginia Commonwealth University VCU Scholars Compass

Physics Publications

Dept. of Physics

2007

On the structural and energetic properties of the hydrogen absorber Li2Mg(NH)2

C. Moysés Araújo *Uppsala Universitet,* moyses.araujo@fysik.uu.se

Ralph H. Scheicher *Uppsala Universitet*

Puru Jena Virginia Commonwealth University, pjena@vcu.edu

Rajeev Ahuja Uppsala Universitet

Follow this and additional works at: http://scholarscompass.vcu.edu/phys_pubs Part of the <u>Physics Commons</u>

Araujo, C.M., Scheicher, R.H., Jena, P., et al. On the structural and energetic properties of the hydrogen absorber Li2Mg(NH)2. Applied Physics Letters, 91, 091924 (2007). Copyright © 2007 AIP Publishing LLC.

Downloaded from

http://scholarscompass.vcu.edu/phys pubs/20

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Dept. of Physics at VCU Scholars Compass. It has been accepted for inclusion in Physics Publications by an authorized administrator of VCU Scholars Compass. For more information, please contact libcompass@vcu.edu.

On the structural and energetic properties of the hydrogen absorber $Li_2Mg(NH)_2$

C. Moysés Araújo^{a)}

Condensed Matter Theory Group, Department of Physics, Uppsala University, P.O. Box 530, S-751 21 Uppsala, Sweden

Ralph H. Scheicher

Condensed Matter Theory Group, Department of Physics, Uppsala University, P.O. Box 530, S-751 21 Uppsala, Sweden and Department of Physics and Multi-Scale Technologies Institute, Michigan Technological University, Houghton, Michigan 49931

Puru Jena

Department of Physics, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, Virginia 23284

Rajeev Ahuja

Condensed Matter Theory Group, Department of Physics, Uppsala University, P.O. Box 530, S-751 21 Uppsala, Sweden and Applied Materials Physics Group, Department of Materials and Engineering, Royal Institute of Technology (KTH), S-100 44 Stockholm, Sweden

(Received 25 May 2007; accepted 2 August 2007; published online 31 August 2007)

The authors have performed density functional theory based calculations of several possible conformations for the crystal structure of $Li_2Mg(NH)_2$ and they confirm the α phase, resolved from both x-ray and neutron diffraction data, as the ground-state configuration. It is also found that although the N–H bond is stronger in $Li_2Mg(NH)_2$ than in Li_2NH , hydrogen release from $Li_2Mg(NH)_2/LiH$ mixture displays more favorable thermodynamics than that from the Li_2NH/LiH mixture. The insights gained from this seemingly counterintuitive result should prove helpful in the search for promising hydrogen storage materials. © 2007 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.2775803]

A promising recent approach for efficient hydrogen storage¹⁻⁷ considers the following reversible reaction without the need of any catalyst:^{8,9}

$$Mg(NH_2)_2 + 2 LiH \leftrightarrow Li_2Mg(NH)_2 + 2 H_2.$$
(1)

Among the products that have been observed following the hydrogen release from LiH/Mg(NH₂)₂ mixtures, Li₂Mg(NH)₂ is the one that has been least investigated in the literature so far. Only one very recent experiment has employed x-ray and neutron diffraction measurements to investigate its structural properties in greater details.¹⁰ A deeper understanding of how Li mixes with Mg, and how they both interact with NH units, could prove extremely valuable for future efforts in designing mixed compounds with suitable H-storage properties. Theoretical studies can play an important role toward the achievement of this goal.^{11,12}

In this letter, we present a theoretical investigation of the ground-state properties of this mixed Li/Mg imide system and the thermodynamics of the hydrogen release. All calculations were carried out within the framework of the generalized gradient approximation¹³ to density functional theory¹⁴ by using the projector-augmented wave method,¹⁵ as implemented in the Vienna *ab initio* simulation package (VASP).¹⁶ The *k*-points mesh was generated by the Monkhorst-Pack method,¹⁷ and all results were tested for convergence with respect to the mesh size. In all calculations, self-consistency was achieved with a tolerance in the total energy of 0.1 meV. For the geometry optimization,^{18,19} convergence required atomic forces of less than 0.001 eV/Å. For high precision calculations, we used a cutoff energy of

520 eV for the plane-wave basis. To further explore the potential energy surface of $\text{Li}_2\text{Mg}(\text{NH})_2$, we have also performed *ab initio* molecular dynamics¹⁶ simulated annealing calculations. Since we are dealing with a large system, the Γ -point alone was sufficient for sampling the Brillouin zone.

In this study, we considered six different structures as starting configurations from which geometry optimizations were initiated. Four of them are models constructed from the crystal structures of the compounds Li₂NH and LiNH₂. The other two are set up based on the low-temperature α phase of Li₂Mg(NH)₂.¹⁰ In the first model, we add half as many Mg atoms to the LiNH₂ unit cell as there are Li atoms present, and remove one H atom from every NH₂ molecule, thus resulting in the desired stoichiometry of $Li_2Mg(NH)_2$. The detailed construction of this model can be described as follows. Two Mg atoms replace two Li atoms occupying 2c sites (0, 0.5, 0.25) in the *I*-4 space group (LiNH₂ crystal²⁰) and the displaced Li atoms are moved to 2b sites (0, 0, 0.5). Next, two more Mg atoms are placed at 2d sites (0, 0.5, 0.75). Finally, one hydrogen atom is removed from each NH_2 unit. The resulting structure is illustrated in Fig. 1(a).



FIG. 1. (Color online) Structure models 1–4 discussed in the text. Spheres in green, red, and blue color represent Li, Mg, and N atoms, respectively. For clarity the hydrogen atoms have been omitted. Vacancies are indicated by an opaque white sphere.

0003-6951/2007/91(9)/091924/3/\$23.00

91, 091924-1 128 172 48 59 On: Wed 8 Apr 2015 17:44:19

^{a)}Elecronic mail: moyses.araujo@fysik.uu.se

^{© 2007} American Institute of Physics

Structural models 2–4 have been motivated by the idea of inserting Mg into the Li_2NH lattice, as illustrated by the following equation:

$$4\text{Li}_2\text{NH} = 2\text{Li}_2\text{NH} + 2\text{Li}_2\text{NH} \rightarrow 2\text{Li}_2\text{NH} + 2\text{MgNH}$$
$$= 2\text{Li}_2\text{Mg(NH)}_2. \tag{2}$$

Starting from the antifluorite structure of Li₂NH with space group *Fm-3m*, which has been suggested from neutron and x-ray diffraction measurements,^{21,22} we removed four of the eight Li ions in a unit cell, and put two Mg ions into two of the resulting vacancies [see Figs. 1(b)–1(d)]. There exist three possible ways to place the two Mg ions in distinctive configurations: Two Mg atoms on diagonally opposite corners of the cube (model 2), on opposite corners of one side of the cube (model 3), and on opposite ends of one edge of the cube (model 4). The hydrogen atoms were randomly placed at 48*h* sites, one for each N atom. The atomic positions of all four structures models were subsequently fully optimized, without imposing any symmetry constraints.

We observed that the resulting structures were distorted from their originally tetragonal (model 1) or cubic symmetries (models 2–4) due to a decrease of the average distance between the cations (Li and Mg) and N atoms. This behavior is the consequence of balancing the attractive electrostatic and hard-core repulsive interactions, shown to be important for the determination of the ground-state crystal structure of Li₂NH.²³ Another significant contribution when analyzing the properties of this imide system was found to be the repulsive electrostatic interaction between H and the cations (Li and Mg). The polar covalent bond within the N–H units causes the H atoms to carry a partial positive charge. In order to minimize this contribution to the ground-state total energy, the N-H units orient themselves with H pointing toward the vacant sites, as it has been observed previously from experimental results for this mixed compound,¹⁰ in a combined experimental and theoretical analysis of Li_2NH ,²² and in a theoretical study of LiNH₂ and Li₂NH.²⁴ This lowering of the repulsive electrostatic interaction manifests itself in the respective magnitudes of the cohesive energies per formula unit, which is defined as the total energy of the compound minus the sum of individual energy of atoms. We find the following hierarchy: model 4(-31.92)eV) > model 1(-32.57 eV)> model 2(-32.75 eV)> model 3(-32.86 eV). One can see that the most stable configuration is found to be the one in which the vacant sites in the cationic sublattice are distributed in the most uniform way [Fig. 1(d), whereas the least stable configuration of the three lowenergy metastable structures is the one with the highest segregation between cations and vacancies [Fig. 1(b)].

To explore the potential energy surface of this compound more rigorously, we have also employed *ab initio* molecular dynamics simulations of a $2 \times 2 \times 2$ supercell constructed from the most stable structure (model 3). The following simulation set-up was employed: using a 1 fs time step throughout, the system was heated up for 2 ps at 1500 K and then subsequently cooled down to 100 K within 18 ps. In this simulation, the velocities are rescaled every other time step in order to keep control over the temperature, while in the intermediate steps a microcanonical simulation was employed. The radial distribution function g(r), averaged over 1 ps, was recorded at 1500, 900, 700, 500, 300, and 100 K (Fig. 2). At 1500 K and within the simulation time, we have



FIG. 2. (Color online) Radial distribution functions g(r) averaged over 1 ps each for different temperatures. The lines connecting the data points for the α phase are included as a guide to the eye.

observed the following atomic dynamics. The cations Li and Mg are actually found to diffuse in the supercell, with Li displaying a higher diffusion rate than Mg. H atoms revolve around N atoms keeping the N-H bond length virtually unchanged as it can also be observed from the sharp first peak of the g(r) curve in Fig. 2, while the N atoms oscillate about their equilibrium position. As the system is cooled down, we observe the formation of increasingly deeper valleys and higher narrow peaks in the radial distribution function, indicating that the simulated system begins to recover its crystalline state. In order to investigate the structural properties of the ground state, we have performed a 0 K geometry optimization starting from the structure obtained at the end of the cooling process at 100 K. The resulting configuration is displayed in Fig. 3(a). As can be observed, the structure displays a random distribution of Li and Mg, much different from the ordered initial configuration of model 3. This result is also found to be in agreement with the structure proposed by Rijssenbeek et al.¹⁰ Such a degree of mixing between Li⁺ and Mg²⁺ is consistent with the fact that these two cations display similar ionic radii, namely, in units of Ångström, 0.59 (0.57), 0.76 (0.72), and 0.92 (0.89) for Li⁺ (Mg²⁺) with coordinations IV, VI, and VIII, respectively. This very close similarity in ionic radii (<0.04 Å) would not necessarily be expected for any arbitrary pair of cations, and it is thus quite unique for the combination Li-Mg. The effect of having the N-H bonds oriented toward vacant sites (as observed in the initial model structures) is, however, found to be the same in this structure and, more importantly, the gain in energy was a mere 82 meV per formula unit $(Li_2Mg(NH)_2)$, showing that the dominant contributions for the energetics have already been sampled in the configuration of model 3. It should also be pointed out that the simulated annealing calculations did not lead to any ordering of the cations vacancies.

The two models based on the low-temperature α phase of Li₂Mg(NH)₂ (Ref. 10) are constructed considering two distinct distributions of Li and Mg atoms for the optimization



FIG. 3. (Color online) Structures of $Li_{32}Mg_{16}(NH)_{32}$ obtained from (a) *ab initio* molecular dynamics simulated annealing calculations and geometry optimization of (b) mixing and (c) segregation configurations. The green, d to IP: red, blue, and black spheres represent Li, Mg, N, and H atoms, respectively.

to be initiated. In the first, Li and Mg fully occupy the 8c and 4b crystallographic sites ("segregation configuration"), respectively. In the second, these atoms are mixed in their occupancy of those sites ("mixing configuration"). The resulting structures are displayed in Figs. 3(b) and 3(c). The geometry optimization did not lead to any symmetry change, and furthermore, the calculated N–H bond length of 1.04 Å displays good agreement with the experimental value of 0.99 Å obtained from neutron diffraction data.¹⁰ The segregation configuration is very slightly lower in energy compared to the mixing configuration; however, the difference amounts to merely 7 meV. While there is, thus, virtually no preference for either configuration from an energetic point of view, it is more likely that Li and Mg will mix up in the cation sublattice, simply due to the higher likelihood for such disordered configurations.

The main result of our investigation is that we found the α phase to be the most stable one among all the conformations studied in this work. The corresponding total energy is around 210 meV lower than that of the structure displayed in Fig. 3(a). We attribute this energy gain to the vacancies ordering, which highly optimizes the electrostatic interactions in this system. For comparison, we have also evaluated the g(r) function for the optimized α phase, which is displayed in Fig. 2. One can see a qualitative agreement with the g(r)curve at 100 K. The only major difference is the presence of a peak at around 1.8 Å in the α phase, due to H–H pairing, a signature of the N-H bond orientation ordering.

To compare the strength of the chemical bonds holding hydrogen atoms in the mixed Li/Mg and in the pure Li imide systems, we have evaluated the hydrogen removal energies in these two systems, which $\Delta E_H(\text{Li}_2\text{NH}) = E_T(\text{Li}_8\text{N}_4\text{H}_3) + \frac{1}{2}E_T(\text{H}_2)$ are defined as $-E_T(\text{Li}_8\text{N}_4\text{H}_4)$ and $\Delta E_H(\text{Li}_2\text{Mg}(\text{NH})_2) = E_T(\text{Li}_8\text{Mg}_4\text{N}_8\text{H}_7)$ $+\frac{1}{2}E_{T}(H_{2})-E_{T}(Li_{8}Mg_{4}N_{8}H_{8}),$ where $E_T(\text{Li}_8\text{N}_4\text{H}_4),$ $E_T(\text{Li}_8\text{N}_4\text{H}_3)$, $E_T(\text{Li}_8\text{Mg}_4\text{N}_8\text{H}_8)$, $E_T(\text{Li}_8\text{Mg}_4\text{N}_8\text{H}_7)$, and $E_T(H_2)$ are the ground-state total energies of Li₈N₄H₄, Li₈N₄H₃, Li₈Mg₄N₈H₈, and Li₈Mg₄N₈H₇ cells and H₂ molecule in the gas phase, respectively. For Li₂Mg(NH)₂, we have used the optimized structure shown in Fig. 3(b). To calculate the energy of the H_2 molecule, we have employed the supercell approach. For Li imide the orthorhombic structure (space group *Pnma*) proposed by Magyari-Köpe et al.²³ was used. We have found hydrogen removal energies of 2.45 eV for $Li_2Mg(NH)_2$ and 2.42 eV for Li_2NH , showing that the N-H bond is somewhat stronger in the former. This can be understood from the repulsive effect that nearby Li cations in Li₂NH have on the partial positive charge of hydrogen in NH, while the hydrogen atoms in $Li_2Mg(NH)_2$ can avoid such repulsive interactions by orienting themselves toward the cation vacancy sites.

To investigate the thermodynamics of hydrogen release reaction from the mixture of $Li_2Mg(NH)_2$ and LiH, which allows us to draw comparisons with the thermodynamics of hydrogen release from the mixture of Li₂NH and LiH, we have evaluated the zero-temperature enthalpy of the following reaction (cf., Ref. 10):

 $3Li_2Mg(NH)_2 + 2LiH \rightarrow 4Li_2NH + Mg_3N_2 + 2H_2$ (3)

where Mg_3N_2 is a semiconductor that crystallizes in a cubic structure with space group Ia-3. Such enthalpy is given by

the sum of the electronic total energy, zero point vibration energy, and the pV term. Actually, the latter has been neglected in this work. We have found the reaction above to be endothermic with an enthalpy of 84.1 kJ (mol H_2)⁻¹. Since the transition temperature for this kind of reaction is highly dominated by the hydrogen gas entropy, the reaction in Eq. (3) may take place at lower temperature than $Li_2NH+LiH$ \rightarrow Li₃N+H₂ (Ref. 25) for which we have found an enthalpy of 118 kJ (mol H_2)⁻¹.

In summary, by means of first-principles calculations, we confirm the α phase,¹⁰ as the ground-state configuration of Li₂Mg(NH)₂. The partially positively charged H atoms of the covalently bound NH units orient themselves toward the cation vacancies, thus minimizing the repulsive electrostatic interaction between hydrogen and cations. The ordering of such vacancies is found to be an important contribution to minimize the total energy. Very similar strengths for the chemical bonds holding hydrogen atoms in both $Li_2Mg(NH)_2$ and Li_2NH were found. Despite this result, the further hydrogen release process from the mixture of Li₂Mg(NH)₂ and LiH is improved compared to that from the mixture of Li₂NH and LiH. This is a consequence of the stable byproducts, which are formed following the H release.

The authors are grateful to the Swedish National Infrastructure (SNIC) for computing time. Financial support from STINT, VR, FUTURA and EXC!TiNG (EU Research and Training Network) is also acknowledged. One of the authors (P.J.) acknowledges support from the Department of Energy.

- ¹M. S. Dresselhaus and I. L. Thomas, Nature (London) 414, 332 (2001). ²J. S. Rigden, *Hydrogen: The Essential Element* (Harvard University Press,
- Cambridge, MA, 2003), p. 1.
- ³W. Grochala and P. P. Edwards, Chem. Rev. (Washington, D.C.) 104, 1283 (2004).
- ⁴J. Alper, Science **299**, 1686 (2003).
- ⁵R. D. Cortright, R. R. Davda, and J. A. Dumesic, Nature (London) **418**, 964 (2002).
- ⁶N. L. Rosi, J. Eckert, M. Eddaoudi, D. T. Vodak, J. Kim, M. O. Keefe, and M. Yagh, Science 300, 1127 (2003).
- ¹L. Schlappbach and A. Züttel, Nature (London) 414, 353 (2001).
- ⁸W. Luo, J. Alloys Compd. **381**, 284 (2004).
- ⁹Z. Xiong, G. Wu, J. Hu, and P. Chen, Adv. Mater. (Weinheim, Ger.) 16, 1522 (2004).
- ¹⁰J. Rijssenbeek, Y. Gao, J. Hanson, Q. Huang, C. Jones, and B. Toby, J. Alloys Compd. (in press).
- ¹¹O. M. Løvvik and O. Swang, Europhys. Lett. **67**, 607 (2004).
- ¹²M. E. Arroyo y de Dompablo and G. Ceder, J. Alloys Compd. 364, 6 (2004).
- ¹³J. P. Perdew and Y. Wang, Phys. Rev. B **45**, 13244 (1992).
- ¹⁴W. Kohn and L. J. Sham, Phys. Rev. **140**, A1133 (1965).
- ¹⁵P. E. Blöchl, Phys. Rev. B **50**, 17953 (1994).
- ¹⁶G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, Phys. Rev. B 54, 11169 (1996).
- ¹⁷H. J. Monkhorst and J. D. Pack, Phys. Rev. B **13**, 5188 (1976).
- ¹⁸W. H. Press, B. P. Flannery, S. A. Tenkolsky, and W. T. Vetterling, Numerical Recipes (Cambridge University Press, New York, 1986), Vol. 1. ¹⁹P. Pulay, Chem. Phys. Lett. **73**, 393 (1980).
- ²⁰J. B. Yang, X. D. Zhou, Q. Cai, W. J. James, and W. B. Yelon, Appl. Phys. Lett. 88, 041914 (2006).
- ²¹T. Noritake, H. Nozaki, M. Aoki, S. Towata, G. Kitahara, Y. Nakamori, and S. Orimo, J. Alloys Compd. 393, 264 (2005).
- ²²M. P. Balogh, C. Y. Jones, J. F. Herbst, L. G. Hector, Jr., and M. Kundrat, J. Alloys Compd. 420, 326 (2006).
- ²³B. Magyari-Köpe, V. Ozolins, and C. Wolverton, Phys. Rev. B 73, 220101(R) (2006).
- ²⁴J. F. Herbst and L. G. Hector, Jr., Phys. Rev. B 72, 125120 (2005).
- ²⁵P. Chen, Z. Xiong, J. Luo, J. Lin, and K. L. Tan, Nature (London) 429, 302 (2002).