

Virginia Commonwealth University VCU Scholars Compass

Internal Medicine Publications

Dept. of Internal Medicine

2008

Analgesia and sedation in the intensive care unit: an overview of the issues

Curtis N. Sessler
Virginia Commonwealth University, csessler@vcu.edu

Wolfram Wilhelm Klinikum St.-Marien-Hospital Lünen

Follow this and additional works at: http://scholarscompass.vcu.edu/intmed_pubs

© 2008 BioMed Central Ltd

Downloaded from

 $http://scholarscompass.vcu.edu/intmed_pubs/30$

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Dept. of Internal Medicine at VCU Scholars Compass. It has been accepted for inclusion in Internal Medicine Publications by an authorized administrator of VCU Scholars Compass. For more information, please contact libcompass@vcu.edu.

Introduction

Analgesia and sedation in the intensive care unit: an overview of the issues

Curtis N Sessler^{1,2} and Wolfram Wilhelm³

Corresponding author: Curtis N Sessler, csessler@vcu.edu

Published: 14 May 2008

This article is online at http://ccforum.com/content/12/S3/S1

© 2008 BioMed Central Ltd

Critical Care 2008, 12(Suppl 3):S1 (doi:10.1186/cc6147)

Abstract

Analgesic and sedative medications are widely used in intensive care units to achieve patient comfort and tolerance of the intensive care unit environment, and to eliminate pain, anxiety, delirium and other forms of distress. Surveys and prospective cohort studies have revealed wide variability in medication selection, monitoring using sedation scales, and implementation of structured treatment algorithms among practitioners in different countries and regions of the world. Successful management of analgesia and sedation incorporates a patient-based approach that includes detection and management of predisposing and causative factors, including delirium; monitoring using analgesia and sedation scales and other instruments; proper medication selection, with an emphasis on analgesia-based drugs; and incorporation of structured strategies that have been demonstrated to reduce likelihood of excessive or prolonged sedation.

All clinicians who provide care to critically ill patients face many daily management challenges, including ensuring patient comfort and tolerance of the intensive care unit (ICU) environment, while avoiding complications related to therapy. This seemingly straightforward task of safely maintaining patient comfort in fact requires an appreciation of the many factors that may influence the patient's state of comfort or distress and the inter-relationship with ICU-related processes.

Pain is the root cause of distress experienced by many ICU patients [1-4], but anxiety, dyspnea, delirium, sleep deprivation, and other factors can contribute and are often additive or synergistic [5-8]. The predisposing and causative conditions that provoke these components of distress range widely, and include underlying medical conditions (such as arthritis or chronic pain syndrome) and acute medical or surgical illness, as well as many 'routine' aspects of critical care such as mechanical ventilation, indwelling tubes and catheters, iatrogenic illness, medication side effects, nursing

interventions such as turning and suctioning, and excessive ICU noise and light [9]. Thus, improving the patient's tolerance of these common issues that contribute to a state of relative discomfort or outright distress is important [9-13].

Analgesia and sedation management has routinely been employed in ICU patients for many years, particularly among those receiving mechanical ventilation [14]. Surveys of ICU practitioners indicate continued widespread use and provide insight into the diversity of approaches, including the relative frequency with which specific medications are utilized and variability in monitoring, protocol use, and other clinical practice parameters [15-25]. An international cohort study of mechanically ventilated adults conducted in 1998 [26] (48% from Europe, 24% from Latin America, and 28% from North America) provides an instructive global composite of clinical practice. Only 68% of patients received an analgesic or sedative drug at some time during mechanical ventilation, with a median of 3 days of use. At least one analgesic or sedative drug was used on 58% of days of ventilatory support, including benzodiazepines in 69%, propofol in 21%, and opioids in 63% of sedation days. Heterogeneity in clinical practice for different regions of the world was demonstrated. with use of analgesic and sedative drugs being most common in Europe and least common in Latin America. Soliman and colleagues [19] found considerable variability among European countries regarding use of sedatives (midazolam and propofol were used most widely) and analgesic medications (morphine and fentanyl were most often utilized). A recent observational study conducted in 44 ICUs in France [25] indicates slightly greater use of opioids (90%) as compared with sedatives (72%), a transition to sufentanil and fentanyl as preferred analgesics, and continued under-use of monitoring, with only about 40% receiving sedation or analgesia assessments.

¹Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Department of Medicine, Virginia Commonwealth University Health System, Richmond, Virginia 23298, USA

²Medical Director of Critical Care, Medical College of Virginia Hospitals, Richmond, Virginia 23298, USA

³Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine, Klinikum St.-Marien-Hospital Lünen, 44534 Lünen, Altstadtstrasse 23, Germany

The use of a sedation scale and sedation guidelines also varies widely among countries [19]. Surveys and observational studies indicate that no more than one-half of practitioners, when gueried during the late 1990s through to 2004, reported routine use of a sedation scale [16,19-25]. Whether these published findings accurately reflect current practice in 2008 is speculative, however, because the most recently reported survey period is 2004 [25]. It is likely that the publication of the Society of Critical Care Medicine's clinical practice guidelines for the management of sedation and analgesia [27], as well as clinical trials that reported improved outcomes with algorithm-based approaches to sedation management [28-32], have raised clinician awareness and led to more structured management.

The contents of this supplement to Critical Care highlight key principles and new developments in the management of analgesia and sedation in the ICU setting. Successful management of patient comfort and tolerance of the ICU environment incorporates recognition and management of predisposing and causative factors, use of nonpharmacologic measures to reduce discomfort and distress, and use of analgesic and sedative medications to control pain and anxiety. Although pain and anxiety are widely recognized as important underlying conditions and targets for therapy, there is increasing evidence that delirium is common and associated with worse outcomes in mechanically ventilated ICU patients [33]. In their review (included in this supplement). Girard and coworkers [34] address basic concepts of as well as novel approaches to detection and management of delirium. An important component of addressing causative factors such as pain, as well as assessing the response to therapy and avoidance of over-sedation, is a structured approach to monitoring [35]. The available tools, rationale, and use of pain assessment tools, sedation scales, agitation scales, and technology-based brain monitoring are reviewed as part of this supplement by Sessler and colleagues [36].

It is important to keep in mind the considerable variability among patients with respect to the most important issues and optimal choices in pharmacologic management, based on such factors as age and organ dysfunction. There is emerging evidence that genetic variability exists for relative susceptibility to opioids [37] and probably for other important drug classes [38]. In addition, the patient's condition typically changes over the course of ICU hospitalization, as do the targets for analgesia and sedation. For example, although low-tidal volume ventilation is not associated with increased sedation needs [39], use of unique ventilatory strategies such as high frequency oscillatory ventilation may require deep sedation until improvement occurs [40]. Thus, utilizing a patient-focused approach to analgesia and sedation with frequent re-evaluation and adjustment is important to achieving optimal outcomes.

The final three papers included in this supplement address various aspects of comprehensive analgesia and sedation

management. Gommers and Bakker [41] provide a comprehensive review of the analgesic and sedative drugs that are currently utilized, comparing pharmacologic characteristics as well as advances in the use of individual agents and their limitations.

Although it is well accepted that analgesics play a central role in modern analgesia and sedation concepts [32,42], continuous infusions of conventional opioids can lead to accumulation and prolonged drug effects, thereby potentially increasing the time on the ventilator, the length of ICU stay. and the probability of acquiring ventilator-associated pneumonia [43]. Remifentanil, a short-acting opioid that is metabolized by unspecific blood and tissue esterases independent of the duration of infusion or organ insufficiency, was shown in recent clinical research to have a rapid and predictable offset of effect, potentially allowing for a reduction in weaning and extubation times and better differentiation between over-sedation and brain dysfunction [44]. Wilhelm and Kreuer [45], in this supplement, provide an overview of the role of short-acting opioids in ICU analgesia and sedation.

Other major advances in analgesia and sedation management have been achieved through optimizing the use of newer and older medications through novel treatment strategies, which have been demonstrated in prospective trials to improve outcomes such as duration of mechanical ventilation. Several key concepts underlie these strategies. Many newer approaches emphasize titrating medications to achieve targets while avoiding over-sedation [46]. Others focus on providing defined periods during which medications are discontinued in order to achieve a period of relative alertness, thus reducing the likelihood of drug accumulation and providing additional opportunities for ventilator weaning [28,29,31]. It is now appreciated that the interplay between various underlying factors is important for effective management. This recognition has led to comprehensive approaches that emphasize structured detection and quantification of pain, agitation and patient-ventilator interactions, and measurement of the depth of sedation [1,30]. In their review (included in this supplement), Schweikert and Kress [47] address important published analgesia and sedation management algorithms, with particular emphasis on daily interruption of sedation as an effective tool for avoiding excessive sedation.

Although considerable attention is given to initiation and maintenance of effective sedative therapy, de-escalation and discontinuation of therapy is an area of increasing focus. There is a need to consider alternatives to parenteral therapy, such as enteral therapy [48], for long-term or de-escalation of therapy. Withdrawal syndromes from opioids and sedatives may occur during the recovery phase of critical care illness [49], but the prevalence and timing of their development are unclear [50]. Finally, the long-term effects of analgesic and sedative drug management on neuropsychological function remain to be defined [51-53].

It is clear that analgesic and sedative drug therapy in ICU patients is evolving rapidly, with newer medications and novel management strategies. The goals of providing patient comfort and tolerance of the ICU environment while avoiding excessive or prolonged sedation remain central to supportive care of the critically ill patient. Effective, structured approaches to sedation can pay important dividends, such as more precise control of analgesia and sedation, shorter time on mechanical ventilation, fewer complications, and faster discharge from the ICU. Many challenges remain that will be addressed by future research and innovative thinking.

Competing interests

CNS has received a research grant from Hospira (Physiometrix) and a consultancy fee from Hospira. WW declares that he - either personally or to his department - has received fees and salaries from GlaxoSmithKline for giving lectures on remifentanil. He also serves as a paid consultant to GlaxoSmithKline on the use of remifentanil in the ICU. Moreover, WW has given lectures and received fees and salaries from other companies, including AstraZeneca, Baxter, Dräger, Fresenius, and Storz.

Acknowledgements

This article is part of *Critical Care* Volume 12 Supplement 3: Analgesia and sedation in the ICU. The full contents of the supplement are available online at http://ccforum.com/supplements/12/S3.

Publication of the supplement has been funded by an unrestricted grant from GlaxoSmithKline.

References

- Chanques G, Jaber S, Barbotte E, Violet S, Sebbane M, Perrigault PF, Mann C, Lefrant JY, Eledjam JJ: Impact of systematic evaluation of pain and agitation in an intensive care unit. Crit Care Med 2006, 34:1691-1699.
- Puntillo KA: Pain experiences of intensive care unit patients. Heart Lung 1990, 19:526-533.
- Desbiens NA, Wu AW, Broste SK, Wenger NS, Connors AF Jr, Lynn J, Yasui Y, Phillips RS, Fulkerson W: Pain and satisfaction with pain control in seriously ill hospitalized adults: findings from the SUPPORT research investigations. For the SUPPORT investigators. Study to Understand Prognoses and Preferences for Outcomes and Risks of Treatment. Crit Care Med 1996, 24:1953-1961.
- Carroll KC, Atkins PJ, Herold GR, Mlcek CA, Shively M, Clopton P, Glaser DN: Pain assessment and management in critically ill postoperative and trauma patients: a multisite study. Am J Crit Care 1999, 8:105-117.
- Novaes MA, Knobel E, Bork AM, Pavao OF, Nogueira-Martins LA, Ferraz MB: Stressors in ICU: perception of the patient, relatives and health care team. *Intensive Care Med* 1999, 25:1421-1426
- Cooper AB, Thornley KS, Young GB, Slutsky AS, Stewart TE, Hanly PJ: Sleep in critically ill patients requiring mechanical ventilation. Chest 2000, 117:809-818.
- Clark S, Fontaine DK, Simpson T: Recognition, assessment, and treatment of anxiety in the critical care setting. Crit Care Nurse 1994, 14(Suppl):2-14, quiz 15-16.
- Aaron JN, Carlisle CC, Carskadon MA, Meyer TJ, Hill NS, Millman RP: Environmental noise as a cause of sleep disruption in an intermediate respiratory care unit. Sleep 1996, 19: 707-710.
- Sessler CN, Grap MJ, Brophy GM: Multidisciplinary management of sedation and analgesia in critical care. Semin Respir Crit Care Med 2001, 22:211-225.
- 10. Bergbom-Engberg I, Haljamae H: Assessment of patients'

- experience of discomforts during respirator therapy. *Crit Care Med* 1989, **17**:1068-1072.
- 11. Puntillo KA: Dimensions of procedural pain and its analgesic management in critically ill surgical patients. *Am J Crit Care* 1994, **3**:116-122.
- Pochard F, Lanore JJ, Bellivier F, Ferrand I, Mira JP, Belghith M, Brunet F, Dhainaut JF: Subjective psychological status of severely ill patients discharged from mechanical ventilation. Clin Intensive Care 1995, 6:57-61.
- Meyer TJ, Eveloff SE, Bauer MS, Schwartz WA, Hill NS, Millman RP: Adverse environmental conditions in the respiratory and medical ICU settings. Chest 1994, 105:1211-1216.
- Hansen-Flaschen JH, Brazinsky S, Basile C, Lanken PN: Use of sedating drugs and neuromuscular blocking agents in patients requiring mechanical ventilation for respiratory failure. A national survey. *JAMA* 1991, 266:2870-2875.
- 15. Watling SM, Dasta JF, Seidl EC: Sedatives, analgesics, and paralytics in the ICU. Ann Pharmacother 1997, 31:148-153.
- Magarey JM: Sedation of adult critically ill ventilated patients in intensive care units: a national survey. Aust Crit Care 1997, 10:90-93
- Christensen BV, Thunedborg LP: Use of sedatives, analgesics and neuromuscular blocking agents in Danish ICUs 1996/97.
 A national survey. Intensive Care Med 1999, 25:186-191.
- Murdoch S, Cohen A: Intensive care sedation: a review of current British practice. Intensive Care Med 2000, 26:922-928.
- 19. Soliman HM, Melot C, Vincent JL: Sedative and analgesic practice in the intensive care unit: the results of a European survey. *Br J Anaesth* 2001, 87:186-192.
- Rhoney DH, Murry KR: National survey of the use of sedating drugs, neuromuscular blocking agents, and reversal agents in the intensive care unit. J Intensive Care Med 2003, 18:139-145
- Samuelson KA, Larsson S, Lundberg D, Fridlund B: Intensive care sedation of mechanically ventilated patients: a national Swedish survey. Intensive Crit Care Nurs 2003, 19:350-362.
- Guldbrand P, Berggren L, Brattebo G, Malstam J, Ronholm E, Winso O: Survey of routines for sedation of patients on controlled ventilation in Nordic intensive care units. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand 2004, 48:944-950.
- Botha J, Le Blanc V: The state of sedation in the nation: results of an Australian survey. Crit Care Resusc 2005, 7:92-96.
- Mehta S, Burry L, Fischer S, Martinez-Motta JC, Hallett D, Bowman D, Wong C, Meade MO, Stewart TE, Cook DJ: Canadian survey of the use of sedatives, analgesics, and neuro-muscular blocking agents in critically ill patients. Crit Care Med 2006, 34:374-380.
- Payen JF, Chanques G, Mantz J, Hercule C, Auriant I, Leguillou JL, Binhas M, Genty C, Rolland C, Bosson JL: Current practices in sedation and analgesia for mechanically ventilated critically ill patients: a prospective multicenter patient-based study. Anesthesiology 2007, 106:687-695; quiz 891-892.
- Arroliga A, Frutos-Vivar F, Hall J, Esteban A, Apezteguia C, Soto L, Anzueto A: Use of sedatives and neuromuscular blockers in a cohort of patients receiving mechanical ventilation. Chest 2005, 128:496-506.
- Jacobi J, Fraser GL, Coursin DB, Riker RR, Fontaine D, Wittbrodt ET, Chalfin DB, Masica MF, Bjerke HS, Coplin WM, et al.: Clinical practice guidelines for the sustained use of sedatives and analgesics in the critically ill adult. Crit Care Med 2002, 30: 110,1411
- Brook AD, Ahrens TS, Schaiff R, Prentice D, Sherman G, Shannon W, Kollef MH: Effect of a nursing-implemented sedation protocol on the duration of mechanical ventilation. Crit Care Med 1999, 27:2609-2615.
- Kress JP, Pohlman AS, O'Connor MF, Hall JB: Daily interruption of sedative infusions in critically ill patients undergoing mechanical ventilation. N Engl J Med 2000, 342:1471-1477.
- De Jonghe B, Bastuji-Garin S, Fangio P, Lacherade JC, Jabot J, Appere-De-Vecchi C, Rocha N, Outin H: Sedation algorithm in critically ill patients without acute brain injury. Crit Care Med 2005, 33:120-127.
- Carson SS, Kress JP, Rodgers JE, Vinayak A, Campbell-Bright S, Levitt J, Bourdet S, Ivanova A, Henderson AG, Pohlman A, et al.: A randomized trial of intermittent lorazepam versus propofol with daily interruption in mechanically ventilated patients. Crit Care Med 2006, 34:1326-1332.

- Richman PS, Baram D, Varela M, Glass PS: Sedation during mechanical ventilation: a trial of benzodiazepine and opiate in combination. Crit Care Med 2006, 34:1395-1401.
- Ely EW, Shintani A, Truman B, Speroff T, Gordon SM, Harrell FE Jr, Inouye SK, Bernard GR, Dittus RS: Delirium as a predictor of mortality in mechanically ventilated patients in the intensive care unit. JAMA 2004, 291:1753-1762.
- 34. Girard TD, Pandharipande PP, Ely EW: Delirium in the intensive care unit. Crit Care 2008, 12(Suppl 3):S3.
- 35. Sessler CN: Sedation scales in the ICU. Chest 2004, 126: 1727-1730.
- Sessler CN, Grap MJ, Ramsay MAE: Evaluating and monitoring analgesia and sedation in the intensive care unit. Crit Care 2008, 12(Suppl 3):S2.
- Oertel BG, Schmidt R, Schneider A, Geisslinger G, Lotsch J: The mu-opioid receptor gene polymorphism 118A>G depletes alfentanil-induced analgesia and protects against respiratory depression in homozygous carriers. Pharmacogenet Genomics 2006, 16:625-636.
- 38. Chua MV, Tsueda K, Doufas AG: Midazolam causes less sedation in volunteers with red hair. Can J Anaesth 2004, 51:25-30.
- Kahn JM, Andersson L, Karir V, Polissar NL, Neff MJ, Rubenfeld GD: Low tidal volume ventilation does not increase sedation use in patients with acute lung injury. Crit Care Med 2005, 33: 766-771.
- Sessler CN: Sedation, analgesia, and neuromuscular blockade for high-frequency oscillatory ventilation. Crit Care Med 2005, 33(Suppl):S209-S216.
- Gommers D, Bakker J: Medications for analgesia and sedation in the intensive care unit: an overview. Crit Care 2008, 12 (Suppl 3):S4.
- Breen D, Karabinis A, Malbrain M, Morais R, Albrecht S, Jarnvig IL, Parkinson P, Kirkham AJ: Decreased duration of mechanical ventilation when comparing analgesia-based sedation using remifentanil with standard hypnotic-based sedation for up to 10 days in intensive care unit patients: a randomised trial [ISRCTN47583497]. Crit Care 2005, 9:R200-R210.
- Kollef MH, Levy NT, Ahrens TS, Schaiff R, Prentice D, Sherman G: The use of continuous i.v. sedation is associated with prolongation of mechanical ventilation. Chest 1998, 114:541-548.
- Bauer C, Kreuer S, Ketter R, Grundmann U, Wilhelm W: Remifentanil-propofol versus fentanyl-midazolam combinations for intracranial surgery: influence of anaesthesia technique and intensive sedation on ventilation times and duration of stay in the ICU [in German]. Anaesthesist 2007, 56:128-132.
- Wilhelm W, Kreuer S: The place for short acting opioids: special emphasis on remifentanil. Crit Care 2008, 12(Suppl 3):S5.
- Brattebo G, Hofoss D, Flaatten H, Muri AK, Gjerde S, Plsek PE: Effect of a scoring system and protocol for sedation on duration of patients' need for ventilator support in a surgical intensive care unit. Qual Saf Health Care 2004, 13:203-205.
- Schweickert WD, Kress JP: Strategies to optimize analgesia and sedation. Crit Care 2008, 12(Suppl 3):S6.
- Cigada M, Pezzi A, Di Mauro P, Marzorati S, Noto A, Valdambrini F, Zaniboni M, Astori M, Iapichino G: Sedation in the critically ill ventilated patient: possible role of enteral drugs. *Intensive Care Med* 2005, 31:482-486.
- Cammarano WB, Pittet JF, Weitz S, Schlobohm RM, Marks JD: Acute withdrawal syndrome related to the administration of analgesic and sedative medications in adult intensive care unit patients. Crit Care Med 1998, 26:676-684.
- Korak-Leiter M, Likar R, Oher M, Trampitsch E, Ziervogel G, Levy JV, Freye EC: Withdrawal following sufentanil/propofol and sufentanil/midazolam Sedation in surgical ICU patients: correlation with central nervous parameters and endogenous opioids. Intensive Care Med 2005, 31:380-387.
- Nelson BJ, Weinert CR, Bury CL, Marinelli WA, Gross CR: Intensive care unit drug use and subsequent quality of life in acute lung injury patients. Crit Care Med 2000, 28:3626-3630.
- Kress JP, Gehlbach B, Lacy M, Pliskin N, Pohlman AS, Hall JB: The long-term psychological effects of daily sedative interruption on critically ill patients. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2003, 168:1457-1461.
- Jones C, Backman C, Capuzzo M, Flaatten H, Rylander C, Griffiths RD: Precipitants of post-traumatic stress disorder following intensive care: a hypothesis generating study of diversity in care. *Intensive Care Med* 2007, 33:978-985.

Disclaimer

This article is part of Critical Care Volume 12 Supplement 3: Analgesia and sedation in the ICU. Publication of the supplement has been funded by an unrestricted grant from GlaxoSmithKline. GlaxoSmithKline has had no editorial control in respect of the articles contained in this publication.

The opinions and views expressed in this publication are those of the authors and do not constitute the opinions or recommendations of the publisher or GlaxoSmithKline. Dosages, indications and methods of use for medicinal products referred to in this publication by the authors may reflect their research or clinical experience, or may be derived from professional literature or other sources. Such dosages, indications and methods of use may not reflect the prescribing information for such medicinal products and are not recommended by the publisher or GlaxoSmithKline. Prescribers should consult the prescribing information approved for use in their country before the prescription of any medicinal product. Whilst every effort is made by the publisher and editorial board to see that no inaccurate or

Whilst every effort is made by the publisher and editorial board to see that no inaccurate or misleading data, opinion, or statement appear in this publication, they wish to make it clear that the data and opinions appearing in the articles herein are the sole responsibility of the contributor concerned.

Accordingly, the publishers, the editor and editorial board, GlaxoSmithKline, and their respective employees, officers and agents accept no liability whatsoever for the consequences of such inaccurate or misleading data, opinion or statement.