# WEIGHT STATUS, BMI AND PHYSICAL FITNESS IN POLISH YOUTH: RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN 1986 AND 2016

# Sylwia Bartkowiak<sup>1</sup>, Jan M. Konarski<sup>1</sup>, Ryszard Strzelczyk<sup>1</sup>, Jarosław Janowski<sup>1</sup>, Małgorzata Karpowicz<sup>2</sup>, Vitor P. Lopes<sup>3</sup>, Robert M. Malina<sup>4</sup>

 <sup>1</sup>Theory of Sports Department, Poznań University of Physical Education, Poznań, Poland
<sup>2</sup>Department of Team Sports Games, Poznań University of Physical Education, Poznań, Poland
<sup>3</sup>Instituto Politécnico de Bragança, Campus de Santa Apolónia, 5300-223 Bragança, Portugal and Research Center in Sports Sciences, Health Sciences and Human Development (CIDESD), Vila Real, Portugal
<sup>4</sup>Professor Emeritus, Department of Kinesiology and Health Education, University of Texas at Austin, and Adjunct Professor, School of Public Health and Information Sciences and Department of Anthropology, University of Louisville, Louisville, Kentucky, USA

DOI. 10.51371/issn.1840-2976.2021.15.2.18

Original scientific paper

# Abstract

**Background:** One of the components affecting the level of health is physical fitness and diets, which is also considered the foundation of a healthy lifestyle. **Methods:** Samples by survey ranged from 871 to 1,417 (boys) and from 843 to 1,326 (girls). Speed (5 m run), agility (figure-8-run), explosive power (vertical jump), flexibility (stand and reach) and cardiovascular fitness (step test) were measured. The Body Mass Index (BMI) of each student was classified as Thin, Normal weight or Overweight/Obese (Owt/Ob) relative to International Obesity Task Force (IOTF) criteria. Fitness items were compared among weight status groups and across surveys with sex-specific analyses of covariance. Regressions of each fitness item on the BMI in the four surveys were done using linear and nonlinear quadratic models. **Results:** Performances on all fitness tests within weight status groups did not differ consistently across surveys. **Conclusions:** Relationships between the BMI and fitness tests varied across surveys, but suggested reasonably consistent cur-vilinear relationships between fitness tests and the BMI among boys more so than girls.

Keywords: overweight; obesity; thinness; step test, speed; vertical jump

#### Introduction

The lifestyle changes associated with diets of fast foods, reduced physical activity and increased sedentary behaviors (physical inactivity) are often indicated as major factors associated with the increasing prevalence of overweight and obesity among children and adolescents (Hu, 2011; Lobstein et al., 2004). Reduced habitual physical activity and increased sedentary time are also associated with declines in physical fitness, while overweight and obesity are specifically associated with reduced levels of fitness, especially items which require the projection or movement of the body as in jumps and runs (Arnaoutis et al., 2018; Chwałczyńska et al., 2017; Fairchild et al., 2016; Huang & Malina, 2007; Lopes et al., 2012). Reduced body mass reflected in a low Body Mass Index (BMI) may also negatively influence fitness, but studies addressing the relationship between thinness and fitness are

relatively limited (Bovet et al., 2007; Xu et al., 2020).

Several studies have considered relationships between fitness across the total spectrum of the BMI among children and adolescence. Results generally suggest a curvilinear relationship; children and youth with a normal BMI tend to have better results in several fitness items compared to those with a low or high BMI (Huang & Malina, 2007, 2010; Kwieciński et al., 2018; Lopes et al., 2019; Zenić et al., 2013). Exceptions to the trends are tests of the flexed arm hang in which thin children and youth generally perform better (Artero et al., 2010; Monyeki et al., 2012), and some endurance items in which thin children and youth tended to perform at higher level (Xu et al., 2020).

In the context of the preceding, the purpose of the present study is twofold: first, to compare the fitness of Thin, Normal weight and Overweight/Obese youth

7-15 years of age across four surveys spanning 1986 through 2006, and second, to evaluate relationships between each fitness item and the BMI in the four surveys. A curvilinear relationship between the BMI and fitness test performances is hypothesized.

## Methods

The growth status and physical fitness of children and adolescents attending schools in ten rural communities in the province of Poznań was evaluated in the 1985/1986 school year (Strzelczyk, 1995). The communities were selected in cooperation with the provincial Board of Education and Development in Poznań to represent different regions of the province. Population sizes varied between 4642 and 9850. School youth in the ten communities were surveyed again in 1996, 2006 and 2016. (Bartkowiak, Konarski, Strzelczyk, Janowski, Karpowicz, et al., 2021; Bartkowiak, Konarski, Strzelczyk, Janowski, & Malina, 2021) The communities were not involved in previous secular trend research in Poland.

## Ethics.

The initial survey was approved by the provincial Board of Education and Development and educational authorities of each community, while subsequent surveys were approved by the Human Ethics Research Committee of the Karol Marcinkowski Medical University in Poznań (907/16 for 2016) and educational authorities of each community. Parents/legal guardians provided written informed consent, and the youth provided assent for their participation. The surveys were conducted by faculty and staff of the University of Physical Education in Poznań with the assistance of teachers at each school.

# Sample.

Numbers of school youth 7-15 years across surveys were 1,417 boys and 1,326 girls in 1986, 979 boys and 947 girls in 1996, 871 boys and 843 girls in 2006, and 1,189 boys and 1,105 girls in 2016.

# Weight Status.

Height (0.1 cm) and weight (0.1 kg) were measured during the school day in the gymnasium of each community (Bartkowiak et al., 2021a). The BMI (kg/m<sup>2</sup>) was used to classify each student as severely, moderately or mildly thin, normal weight, overweight or obese using age- and sex-specific IOTF cut-offs (Cole et al., 2000, 2007). Numbers of severely and moderately thin and of obese youth were relatively limited (Bartkowiak et al., 2021c). The former were thus combined with mildly thin youth into a single group (Thin), while the latter were combined with overweight youth into a single group (Owt/Ob).

#### Fitness.

Five tests of physical fitness were administered in each survey. Details of the tests, each of which evaluated a specific component of fitness, have been previously described (Bartkowiak et al., 2021c). The tests were administered in the following order: speed - 5-meter run [m/s]; explosive power - vertical jump [cm]; flexibility - stand and reach with a forward bend [cm]; agility - figure-of-eight run [s]; and cardiovascular (CV) fitness - modified Harvard step test expressed as an index [pts].

## Analysis.

To account for variable numbers of subjects by single year CA groups, boys and girls in each survey were respectively combined into three CA groups for analysis: (1) 7-9 years (6.50-9.49 years) - middle childhood in both sexes; (2) 10-12 years (9.50-12.49 years) - transition into puberty and mid-puberty (most girls) and transition into puberty (most boys); and (3) 13-15 years (12.50-15.49 years) - late adolescence (most girls) and the interval of the growth spurt (most boys). Sex-specific analyses were done in each CA group.

First, performances on each fitness test were compared among Thin, Normal weight and Owt/Ob youth within and among surveys using analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) with age and age<sup>2</sup> as covariates. The covariates adjust for potential linear and non-linear effects in CA distributions. Second, sex-specific regressions of each fitness test on the BMI were done by survey using linear and nonlinear quadratic models. The test was the dependent variable; the BMI was the independent variable in the linear model, while the BMI and BMI<sup>2</sup> were independent variables in the quadratic model. With the linear model,

fitness item = a + b\*BMI;

and with the nonlinear quadratic model,

fitness item =  $a + b*BMI + c*BMI^2$ 

where a (intercept), b (linear coefficient), and c (nonlinear coefficient) are constants.

# Results

Results of the ANCOVAs are summarized in Table 1. Fitness test performances differ significantly among the three weight status groups of boys and girls, with the exception of flexibility among boys 7-9 years and boys and girls 10-12 years. Performances also differ significantly among surveys except for CV fitness among boys 7-9 and 13-15 years and explosive power among girls 13-15 years. However, interactions between weight status and year of survey are not consistently different and show no clear pattern among fitness test in both sexes. Table 1. Results (F values and eta<sup>2</sup>  $[\eta_p^2]$ ) of sex and age group specific univariate analyses of covariance (age, age<sup>2</sup> as covariates) of the influence of weight status (thin, normal, owt/ob) and year (1986, 1996, 2006, 2016), and their interactions for each fitness test

					BOYS	GIRLS						
Weight S	Status Y	'ear		Wt S	t x Year	Weight Status	Yea	ır		Wt St	t x Year	
7-9 yrs	F	$\eta_p^2$	F	$\eta_{P}^{2}$	F	$\eta_p^2$	F	$\eta_p^2$	F	$\eta_{P}^{2}$	F	$\eta_{P}^{2}$
Speed	21.15***	0.03	75.50**	0.15	1.45	0.01	12.21***	0.02	122.01***	0.22	1.35	0.01
Agility	5.62**	0.01	7.71***	0.02	2.08*	0.01	3.64*	0.01	3.81**	0.01	1.34	0.01
Power <sup>†</sup>	18.87***	0.03	5.38**	0.01	0.27	0.00	10.81***	0.02	4.60**	0.01	3.27**	0.02
Flexibility	0.68	0.00	26.16***	0.06	2.34*	0.01	4.17**	0.01	18.38***	0.04	2.41*	0.01
CV Fitness	12.27***	0.02	0.03	0.00	1.75	0.01	8.03***	0.01	4.79**	0.01	2.27*	0.01
						10-12 yrs						
Speed	58.63***	0.07	64.62***	0.10	0.66	0.00	38.73***	0.05	105.11***	0.17	2.40*	0.01
Agility	31.13***	0.04	7.56***	0.01	0.33	0.00	13.35***	0.02	9.66***	0.02	1.08	0.00
Power <sup>†</sup>	67.17***	0.07	13.46***	0.02	0.91	0.00	40.39***	0.05	6.57***	0.01	2.10*	0.01
Flexibility	0.20	0.00	29.98***	0.05	1.72	0.01	1.39	0.00	8.19***	0.02	1.28	0.01
CV Fitness	35.67***	0.04	4.18**	0.01	1.84	0.01	6.36**	0.01	3.96**	0.01	1.19	0.00
						13-15 yrs						
Speed	32.95***	0.04	53.75***	0.10	0.49	0.00	31.35***	0.05	75.07***	0.15	0.95	0.00
Agility	36.23***	0.05	15.45***	0.03	2.72*	0.01	12.85***	0.02	3.77**	0.01	1.69	0.01
Power <sup>†</sup>	28.05***	0.04	14.59***	0.03	1.96	0.01	30.45***	0.05	0.73	0.00	0.57	0.00
Flexibility	13.29***	0.02	11.36***	0.02	1.32	0.01	7.96***	0.01	3.50*	0.01	1.05	0.01
CV Fitness	20.41***	0.03	0.38	0.00	0.53	0.00	7.39***	0.01	15.11***	0.03	2.71*	0.01

\*p≤0.05, \*\*p≤0.01, \*\*\*p≤0.001, <sup>+</sup> - Explosive Power

95% Age-adjusted means, standard errors, confidence intervals and significant post-hoc comparisons between weight status groups within survey (year) and between surveys within weight status group for each fitness test by age group are summarized in Tables 2 (boys) and 3 (girls). Of note, a lower time in the agility test indicates a better performance, while higher scores in the other tests indicate better performances. Although not consistent for all comparisons, post hoc comparisons suggest poorer performances on all fitness tests except flexibility among Owt/Ob compared to Normal and Thin boys (Table 2). Comparisons of fitness performances within weight status groups across surveys, however, are variable except for speed. Running speed is generally similar between 1986 and 1996 and between 2006 and 2016, but is significantly better in 1986 and 1996 compared to 2006 and 2016. CV fitness is also better in the two younger age groups in 1986.

Corresponding post hoc comparisons among girls, indicate consistently poorer performances in explosive power among Owt/Ob girls 10-12 and 13-15 years, while performances of Thin and Normal girls do not consistently differ (Table 3). Running speed in girls shows a pattern that is similar to that in boys, i.e., similar performances 1986 and 1996 and in 2006 and 2016, but significantly better performances in 1986 and 1996 compared to 2006 and 2016. Performances on the other fitness tests within weight status groups are, as in boys, variable across surveys and show no consistent trends.

Results of the regression analyses are summarized in Tables 4 (boys) and 5 (girls).

Most of the regressions have a very low explained variance (R<sup>2</sup>) and several are not significant. Relationships between the BMI and fitness performances also vary across the four surveys. Nevertheless, the quadratic coefficients are significant in some models, indicating that the association between the BMI and the specific fitness test is curvilinear. This suggests that better performances are generally attained by youth with BMIs in the mid-range of the distribution, while performances of those at the low and high tails of the BMI distribution are lower. This is apparent for relationships between the BMI and speed, agility and explosive power in the 1986 survey except among girls 7-9 years. On the other hand, a curvilinear relationship is suggested across the four surveys for all tests except CV fitness among boys 13-15 years. Though significant, the explained variances are low. Note, however, that the standardized regression coefficient should vary between -1 and 1, but values < -1 and > 1 may occur when there is collinearity between the independent variables. The latter often occurs when the model includes x and  $x^2$ . Overall, the hypothesis of a curvilinear association between the BMI and fitness test performances was partially supported.

# Discussion

Comparison among weight status groups indicated, on average, poorer performances on all fitness tests except flexibility among Owt/Ob compared to Normal and Thin boys and girls. On the other hand, performances on the five fitness tests were generally similar within the samples of Thin, Normal weight and Owt/Ob youth across the four surveys. By inference, there were no consistent secular trends in fitness performances within weight status groups.

Results of the regression analyses were quite variable (Tables 4 and 5) and likely reflected the concentration of the sample within the normal weight range with proportionally fewer youth at the extremes of the BMI distributions. At the low end of the BMI range, the prevalence of severe and moderate thinness was very low across the four surveys in both boys and girls, while the prevalence of mild thinness was relatively low in all surveys except among boys and girls 7-9 years in 1986. On the other hand, the prevalence of overweight was higher in 2006 and 2016 compared to 1986 and 1996 among boys and girls in the three age groups, while the prevalence of obesity was low (Bartkowiak et al., 2021b). The relatively low prevalence at both extremes of the BMI distributions in the three age groups translated into a limited range of BMIs, which likely influenced the relationships between the BMI and each fitness test and in turn the quadratic regressions.

Nevertheless, results of the regression analyses in the present study were reasonably consistent with trends suggested in the literature. For example, results for the rural youth 13-15 years in 2006 and 2016 were generally consistent with a similar analysis of relationships between the BMI and fitness among school youth 13-15 years resident in an urban-rural administrative district about 110-120 km east-southeast of Poznań (Kwieciński et al., 2018). Although different fitness tests were used, the results were consistent in showing curvilinear relationships with the BMI for tests of speed (50 m dash, 5 m sprint), agility (shuttle run, figure-8-run), and explosive power (standing long jump, vertical jump) in both sexes. For flexibility (standing forward bend/reach), results were curvilinear in boys and linear in girls in both studies. In contrast, results varied for the tests of cardiovascular endurance, 1000 m (boys) and 800 m (girls) runs compared to the step test (present study).

A curvilinear relationship was noted for the vertical jump in the rural Polish school youth in the three age groups in 1996 and for the standing long jump in a 1997 national sample of Taiwan girls 9-10, 11-12 and 13-15 years and boys 11-12 and 13-15 years of age (Huang and Malina, 2010), the relationship was linear among Taiwan boys 9-10 years. For CV fitness, curvilinear relationships were noted for the 800 (girls) and 1600 (boys) meter run-walk in Taiwan youth and for the step test in Polish youth 13-15 years. Linear relationships in both studies were noted for the 800 meter run-walk in Taiwan youth 9-10 years and for the step test in Polish youth 7-9 years, while results were variable among Taiwan youth 11-12 years and Polish youth 10-12 years. On the other hand, results in the two studies varied for flexibility, curvilinear for the sit and reach for Taiwan

boys and girls, but variable for the stand and reach in Polish youth.

Table 2. Age-adjusted means (M) and standard errors (SE) based on age-group specific ANCOVAs (age, age2 as covariates) and significant post hoc comparisons (SPHC, p < 0.05) between weight status groups within survey (right column) and between surveys within weight status group (horizontal) for each fitness test among BOYS.

Speed - 5 m	dash (m/s)	)							
	Thin (T)N	ormal (N)Owt/Ob	(0)						
Age Group	Year	М	SE	95% CI	М	SE 95% CI M	SE	95% CI	SPHC
7-9 yrs	1986 N>T=O	4.0	0.3	3.97-4.09	9 4.1	0.24.10-4.17	3.9	0.5	3.83-4.01
	1996	3.9	0.7	3.73-3.99	9 3.9	0.23.90-3.97	3.8	0.5	3.75-3.94
	2006	3.6	0.9	3.46-3.8	1 3.7	0.23.65-3.74	3.6	0.4	3.50-3.65
	2016	3.7	0.4	3.65-3.82	2 3.7	0.23.67-3.74	3.5	0.3	3.49-3.60
	T=N>O								
	SPHC	86>06=16	86>96>0	6=16	86=96>	06=16			
10-12 vrs	1986	4.4	0.4	4.31-4.4	7 4.4	0.14.38-4.44	4.2	0.4	4.08-4.23
,	T=N>0								
	1996	43	05	4 18-4 3	5 4 2	0 24 19-4 26	4 0	04	3 94-4 10
	T=N>0	115	0.5	1.10 1.5.	5 112	0.2 1.19 1.20	110	0.1	5.51 1.10
	2006	11	05	3 05-/ 1	5 4 0	0 24 01-4 08	3 8	03	3 78-3 00
		7.1	0.5	5.55 4.13	5 4.0	0.24.01 4.00	5.0	0.5	5.70 5.90
	2016	11	05	3 08-4 19	2 1 0	0 24 01-4 08	30	03	3 83-3 03
	Z010	4.1	0.5	5.90-4.10	5 4.0	0.24.01-4.08	5.5	0.5	5.05-5.95
				c 1c	00.00	16			
12.15	SPAC	80>00=10	86>96>0	0=10	80>00=	10	4.6	о <b>г</b>	4 47 4 65
13-15 yrs	1986	4./	0.5	4.59-4.7	/ 4./	0.24.72-4.78	4.6	0.5	4.4/-4.65
	N>O								
	1996	4.4	0.5	4.33-4.5	3 4.5	0.24.41-4.53	4.2	0.5	4.15-4.34
	N>O								
	2006	4.2	0.6	4.12-4.36	5 4.4	0.24.34-4.42	4.2	0.4	4.13-4.31
	N>O								
	2016	4.3	0.6	4.22-4.44	4 4.4	0.24.38-4.45	4.2	0.3	4.15-4.28
	N>O								
	SPHC	86>06=16	86>96=0	6=16	86>96=	06=16			
Agility – figur	e-of-eight	run (s)†							
5, 5	5	Thin (T)Normal	(N)Owt/Ob	) (0)					
Age Group	Year	M	SÉ	95% CI	М	SE 95% CI M	SE	95% CI	SPHC
7-9 vrs	1986	18.7	0.2	18 3-19 2	18.0	0 1 1 7 8-18 2	18 5	03	17 9-19 1
, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	N > O = T	1017	012	1010 1012	1010		1015	010	1715 1511
	1996	18.4	0.4	17.5-19.3	17.7	0.117.4-17.9	18.3	0.3	17.7-19.0
	1990	1011	011	1715 1915	1,1,	0111/11/19	1015	010	1717 1510
	2006	16.8	0.6	15 7-17 9	175	0 1 1 7 2 1 7 8	177	03	17 3-18 2
	2000	17.0	0.0	16 8-17 0	17.7	0 1 17 5-17 9	183	0.2	18 0-18 7
		17.4	0.5	10.0-17.9	17.7	0.117.5-17.9	10.5	0.2	10.0-10.7
	SPIIC	00-10>00							
10.12	1000	16.2	0.2	150107	1.6.4			0.0	16 7 10 1
10-12 yrs	1986	16.3	0.2	15.9-16.7	16.4	0.716.3-16.5	17.1	0.2	16.7-19.1
	I = N > O	10.0							
	1996	16.0	0.2	15.5-16.4	15.8	0.815.6-16.0	16.5	0.2	16.1-16.9
	N>O								
	2006	16.0	0.3	15.5-16.5	15.9	0.915.7-16.1	16.8	0.2	16.5-17.1
	N=T>O								
	2016	15.6	0.3	15.1-16.1	15.8	0.915.6-16.0	16.6	0.1	16.3-16.8
	T=N>O								
	SPHC	86>96=06	=16						
13-15 yrs	1986	15.4	0.2	15.1-15.8	15.6	0.115.5-15.7	16.2	0.2	15.8-16.6
,	T=N>O								
	1996	15.1	0.2	14.7-15.5	15.0	0.114.9-15.2	15.6	0.2	15.2-15.9
	N>0								
	2006	14 4	0.2	13 9-14 8	14 7	0 1 1 4 5 - 1 4 8	157	0.2	15 4-16 1
	T=N>0		012	1919 1110	± 117		1017	012	1011 1011
	2016	15.2	0.2	1/ 8-15 6	14 5	0 1 14 4-14 7	15 /	0 1	15 2-15 7
		13.2	0.2	14.0-15.0	14.5	0.114.4 14.7	13.4	0.1	15.2-15.7
		96 . 06	9620620	c_1c	96 \ 16				
	SPIIC		80/90/0	0-10	00>10				
Explosive POV	vei – vertic	ai juiiip (CIII)		$\sim$					
	V				м		C.E.		CDUC
Age Group	rear		SE		1 <sup>4</sup> 1		5E	95% CI	5PHL
7-9 yrs	1986	20.2	0.5	19.2-21.2	21.1	0.320.6-21.6	19.2	0.8	1/./-20./
	1000	20.4		10 2 22 -	22 F	0 224 0 22 4	20.4	0.0	10 0 21 0
	1996	20.4	1.1	18.2-22.5	22.5	0.321.9-23.1	20.4	0.8	18.8-21.9

	2006	22.1	1.4	19.3-25.0	24.0	0.423.2-24.7	21.8	0.6	20.5-23.0
	N>0 2016	21.5	0.7	20.1-22.9	22.3	0.321.8-22.9	20.0	0.5	19.1-20.9
	SDHC		06296-	16-86					
	SFIL		00/90-	10-00					
10-12 yrs	1986 T=N>O	27.6	0.7	26.2-29.1	26.8	0.326.3-27.3	22.2	0.7	20.8-23.6
	1996	28.9	0.8	27.3-30.5	29.0	0.328.4-29.6	25.0	0.8	23.5-26.5
	2006	30.1	0.9	28.3-31.9	29.5	0.328.9-30.2	26.2	0.6	25.1-27.3
	T=N>0	20.7	0.0	20 0 22 6	ר סר	0 2 7 7 6 7 9 0	24 6	0.5	22 6 25 F
	T=N>O	30.7	86~96-	20.9-32.0	20.5	0.327.0-28.9	24.0	0.5	23.0-23.3
	SFIL		80<90-	0000000					
13-15 yrs	1986 N>O	35.0	1.0	33.0-37.0	35.9	0.435.2-36.6	31.5	1.1	29.5-33.6
	1996 No O	35.3	1.1	33.1-37.6	37.6	0.436.7-38.4	34.4	1.0	32.4-36.4
	2006	38.2	1.4	35.5-40.9	40.5	0.539.5-41.4	39.0	1.0	37.0-40.9
	2016	35.3	1.3	32.8-37.7	39.1	0.438.2-39.9	33.6	0.7	32.2-35.0
	N>T=O		86~96~	06-16	86-96	5~06			
Flexibility –	forward bend	(cm)	00<90<	00-10	00-50				
	Thin (T)Nor	mal (N)Owt/Ot	0) (0)						
Age Group	Year	M 50.7	SE	95% CI 49 5-51 9	M 51 1	SE 95% CI M	SE 52 3	95% CI ሰ ዓ	SPHC 50 5-54 1
7 J y13	1900	50.7	0.0	49.5 51.9	51.1	0.550.5 51.7	52.5	0.5	50.5 54.1
	1996	48.5	1.3	45.9-51.1	48.8	0.448.1-49.5	49.3	1.0	47.4-51.2
	2006	47.8	1.8	44.4-51.3	47.0	0.446.1-47.9	49.0 45.7	0.8	47.5-50.5
	2010	47.1	0.9	45.4-40.0	47.4	0.440.7-40.1	45.7	0.0	44.0-40.0
	SPHC	86>16	86>96=	16=06	86>16	5			
10-12 yrs	1986	50.9	0.9	49.1-52.6	51.5	0.350.9-52.1	53.0	0.9	51.3-54.7
	1996	49.7	1.0	47.7-51.6	49.8	0.449.1-50.5	50.1	0.9	48.2-51.9
	2006	48.3 45.9	$1.1 \\ 1.1$	46.1-50.5 43.7-48.1	48.2 46.4	0.447.4-48.9 0.445.6-47.1	46.3 47.0	0.7 0.6	45.0-47.6
	CDUC		06.06	0.0.1.0					
	SPHC	86>16	86>96>	06>16	86=96	5>06=16			
13-15 yrs	1986	52.3	1.1	20.2-54.4	53.4	0.452.7-54.1	53.0	1.1	50.9-55.2
	1996	49.6	1.2	47.2-51.9	52.5	0.451.6-53.4	53.3	1.1	51.2-55.4
	2006 N=0>T	46.5	1.4	43.7-49.3	52.0	0.551.0-52.9	51.6	1.0	49.6-53.6
	2016	46.6	1.3	44.0-49.1	50.6	0.449.8-51.5	49.5	0.7	48.0-50.9
	N>T		00 10						
	SPIC	80>00	00>10						
CV Fitness -	step test inde	ex	(0)						
Ago Group	Thin (T)Nor	mal (N)Owt/Ot	0 (0) SE	05% CI	м		CE	05% CI	SDHC
7-9 yrs	1986 T=N>O	53.2	0.9	51.4-54.9	51.7	0.450.8-52.6	46.3	1.3	43.7-49.0
	1996	53.1	1.9	49.3-56.8	49.5	0.548.5-50.6	47.8	1.4	45.0-50.5
	2006	51.5	2.5	46.6-56.5	51.1	0.649.8-52.3	48.3	1.1	46.2-50.5
	2016	49.9	1.2	47.5-52.4	51.5	0.550.5-52.5	49.3	0.8	47.7-50.8
	SPHC		86>96						
10-12 yrs	1986	54.9	1.1	52.5-57.0	52.5	0.451.8-53.3	46.1	1.1	43.9-48.3
	T=N>O 1996	49.4	1.3	46.9-51.9	50.8	0.549.8-51.7	45.7	1.2	43.3-48.0
	N>0		210		2010	0.0 1010 011/			
	2006 2016	50.6 52.3	1.4 1.5	47.8-53.4 49.4-52.4	49.7 51.7	0.548.7-50.7 0.550.7-52.7	47.1 47.7	0.9 0.8	45.4-48.8 46.2-49.2
	SPHC	86>96	86>06						

13-15 yrs	1986 T=N>O	52.4	1.3	49.8-55.1 50.8	0.549.9-51.7	45.5	1.4	42.8-48.3
	1996 T=N>O	52.6	1.5	49.6-55.5 52.0	0.650.9-53.2	46.9	1.4	44.3-49.6
	2006 2016 N>O	51.6 52.4	1.8 1.7	48.0-55.1 50.2 49.1-55.6 51.2	0.649.0-51.4 0.650.2-52.3	48.0 47.3	1.3 0.9	45.5-50.5 45.5-49.1

SPHC

†a lower time in the agility test indicates a better performance

Table 4. Results of the regressions by year of each test (dependent variable) on the BMI (independent variable) with two models: linear (fitness = a + b \* BMI) and nonlinear quadratic (fitness =  $a + b * BMI + c * BMI^2$ ), where a, b and c are constants: BOYS

	<u>Line</u>	ar Model		<u>Quadra</u>	<u>tic Model</u>					
Age	Year	R <sup>2</sup>	а	b	F	R² a	b	с	F	
Speed - 5 m	dash (m/se	ec)								
•	7-9	1986	0.00	4.15	-0.02	0.05	1.63	2.25**	-2.28**	**
		1996	0.00	4.03	-0.05	0.03	2.01	1.28*	-1.63*	*
		2006	0.02	3.85	-0.13	0.03	3.04	0.91	-1.05	
		2016	0.03	4.01	-0.18	0.04	3.41	0.39	-0.57	*
10-12	1986	0.02	4.69	-0.15**	**	0.06	2.45	1.93**	-2.00**	**
		1996	0.05	4.63	-0.22**	** 0.05	4.41	-0.02	-0.21	**
		2006	0.05	4 41	-0.23**	** 0.05	4 46	-0.27	0.05	**
		2016	0.04	4 37	-0.20**	** 0.05	3 63	0.55	-0.75	**
13-15	1986	0.00	4 70	0.01	0.20	0.05	1 76	2 53**	-2 54**	**
15 15	1900	1996	0.01	4 68	-0 11*	* 0.06	2 13	1 88**	-1 99**	**
		2006	0.01	4.00	-0.03	0.00	1 76	2 1/**	_7 /8**	**
		2000	0.00	4.66	-0.05	** 0.04	3 3/	2.77	-1 30**	**
Agility - fig	ura-of-oight	$z_{010}$	0.02	4.00	-0.15	0.04	5.54	1.15	-1.50	
Aginty - ligi	7-9	1086	0.01	10.46	-0.08	0.04	33 67	_1 Q1**	1 7/**	**
	7-9	1900	0.01	19.40	-0.08	0.04	24.01	-1.81	1.74	
		2006	0.00	17.01	0.02	0.01	24.01 17 71	-0.99	1.02	
		2000	0.00	17.18	0.03	0.00	1/./1	-0.07	U.IU 1 07*	
10.10	1000	2010	0.16	10.40	0.13*	0.03	23.13	-U.Y3*	1.U/* 1.2F**	**
10-12	1986	0.01	15.68	0.07	0 1 7 * *	0.02	23.36	-1.2/**	1.35**	**
		1990	0.03	14.60	0.1/**	** 0.04	10.80	-0.36	0.54	τ τ Ψ-Ψ-
		2006	0.06	13.92	0.24**	** 0.08	20.08	-1.03*	1.28**	**
		2016	0.05	14.07	0.21**	** 0.05	16.24	-0.25	0.47	**
13-15	1986	0.01	14.52	0.12	**	0.02	18.69	-0.72	0.85	**
		1996	0.01	14.36	0.12*	* 0.07	21.96	-2.11**	2.24**	**
		2006	0.07	12.67	0.26**	** 0.09	18.84	-1.15*	1.42**	**
		2016	0.03	13.44	0.18**	** 0.04	17.36	-0.82	0.99*	**
Explosive P	ower - verti	cal jump (cn	n)							
	7-9	1986	0.00	21.76	-0.02	0.03	-9.97	1.63**	-1.67**	**
		1996	0.00	22.04	0.00	0.01	0.44	1.15	-1.15	
		2006	0.01	26.77	-0.11	0.03	5.40	1.19	-1.30	
		2016	0.01	24.29	-0.10	0.03	3.22	1.32**	-1.42**	*
10-12	1986	0.01	30.19	-0.09*	*	0.03	-3.99	1.45**	-1.55**	**
		1996	0.05	35.77	-0.23**	** 0.07	22.19	0.55	-0.78*	**
		2006	0.05	36.24	-0.23**	** 0.05	29.90	0.14	-0.38	**
		2016	0.09	37.60	-0.29**	** 0.09	40.06	-0.43	0.14	**
13-15	1986	0.00	34.85	0.01		0.08	-42.11	2.74**	-2.75**	**
		1996	0.00	38.93	-0.05	0.07	-19.07	2.31**	-2.38**	**
		2006	0.00	37.27	0.06	0.06	-14.67	2.02**	-1.97**	**
		2016	0.01	43.12	-0.11*	* 0.07	-5.97	1.91**	-2.03**	**
Flexibility -	forward ber	nd (cm)								
	7-9	1986	0.05	48.31	0.07	0.09	35.20	0.69	-0.63	
		1996	0.00	47.30	0.04	0.00	42.99	0.23	-0.20	
		2006	0.03	42.38	0.16*	0.03	32.80	0.70	-0.54	
		2016	0.04	49,13	-0.07	* 0.01	63.18	-0.84	0.78*	
10-12	1986	0.03	44 51	0 16**	**	0.03	29.43	0.80	-0.65**	
10 12	1900	1996	0.00	47.89	0.05	0.01	36 10	0.64	-0.59	
		2006	0.00	50.21	-0.06	0.01	41 69	0.35	-0.41	
		2000	0.00	44 74	0.00	0.01	47 14	-0.08	0.41	
13-15	1986	0.00	10 03	0.06	0.05	0.00	21.86	1 15*	_1 10*	*
10-10	1900	1006	0 02	15 97	0 1/1*	* 0.02	13 00	1 5/**	_1 /1**	**
		2006	0.02	43.31	0.14	0.0 <del>4</del> ** 0.10	_11 20	7.24**	⊃ 20**	**
		2000	0.03	43.47	0.17*	* 0.10	-11.39	2.30"""	2.20""" 1 /C**	**
CV Eitnass	cton toot in	2010	0.01	44.99	0.12	· 0.04	9.01	1.37	-1.40	
CV FILLESS (		1090	0.04	62.64	0 10**	** 0.04	16 00	0.10	0.20	**
	/-9	1900	0.04	03.04 57.27	-0.19**	0.04	10.00	0.19	-0.38	-11 <b>T</b>
		1990	0.02	57.37	-0.14	0.02	54.98	-0.06	-0.08	

	2006	0.29	57.79	-0.17*	0.03	61.89	-0.34	0.18	
	2016	0.01	55.34	-0.10	* 0.02	36.90	0.65	-0.75	*
1986	0.09	70.99	-0.31**	**	0.10	57.54	0.11	-0.42	**
	1996	0.04	59.18	-0.19**	** 0.04	47.16	0.26	-0.46	**
	2006	0.04	56.80	-0.19	** 0.04	54.39	-0.07	-0.11	**
	2016	0.05	62.00	-0.21**	** 0.05	53.12	0.11	-0.32	**
1986	0.05	64.51	-0.22**	**	0.05	48.59	0.25	-0.48	**
	1996	0.04	62.59	-0.19**	** 0.04	51.51	0.16	-0.35	**
	2006	0.03	58.22	-0.16**	** 0.03	46.25	0.29	-0.46	*
	2016	0.02	58.99	-0.15**	** 0.02	45.95	0.28	-0.42	**
	1986 1986	2006 2016 1986 0.09 1996 2006 2016 1986 0.05 1996 2006 2016	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

 $^{\dagger}\text{For the agility test, a lower time indicates better fitness.$ \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01

Table 5. Results of the regressions by year of each test (dependent variable) on the BMI (independent variable) with two models: linear (fitness = a + b \* BMI) and nonlinear quadratic (fitness =  $a + b * BMI + c * BMI^2$ ), where a, b and c are constants: GIRLS

	Linear Model Quadratic Model										
Age	R <sup>2</sup>	а	b	F	R <sup>2</sup>	a b	С	F			
Speed - 5 m da	sh (m/se	c)									
	7-9	1986	0.02	4 20	-0 15**	** 00	3 0.09	-0.25	-0 25	**	
	, ,	1996	0.02	4 15	-0.13*	* 0.0	2 3 55	0.32	-0.46		
		2006	0.00	3.6	-0.70	0.0	6 1 38	2 36**	7 44**	**	
		2000	0.00	3.66	-0.12	* 0.0	6 3 50	0.05	-0.18	*	
10-12	1086	0.02	1 16	-0 13**	**	0.1	3.04	1 1/1**	-1 57		
10-12	1900	1006	0.06	4 54	-0 24**	** 0.0	5.07	0.50	_0.75	**	
		2006	0.00	2 05	0.24	0.0	0 2.03	0.30	-0.75		
		2000	0.00	3.03	-0.01	** 0.0	0 3.42	0.47	-0.49	**	
12.15	1000	2016	0.04	4.15	-0.19**	** 0.0	4 3.89	0.11	-0.30	**	
13-15	1986	0.02	4.72	-0.15***	0 20**	0.06	3.18	1.45***	-1.62	**	
		1996	0.04	4.62	-0.20**	** 0.0	4 4.12	0.28	-0.49	**	
		2006	0.03	4.28	-0.16**	** 0.0	4.39	-0.29	0.14	~ **	
		2016	0.05	4.49	-0.23**	** 0.0	5.74	0.51	-0.75	ጥጥ	
Agility - figure	-of-eight r	un (sec)⊺	0.00	10 54	0.00				0.000		
	7-9	1986	0.00	18.54	-0.02	0.0	0 19.0	9 -0.04	-0.069		
		1996	0.00	17.85	0.03	0.0	1 24.0	5 -0.93	0.96		
		2006	0.00	17.39	0.07	0.0	1 23.3	1 -0.90	0.97		
		2016	0.00	18.08	0.05	0.0	0 18.3	3 0.00	0.05		
10-12	1986	0.00	16.63	0.08		0.01	21.74	4 -0.83	0.88*		
		1996	0.02	15.42	0.14**	** 0.0	3 18.89	9 -0.66	0.81	**	
		2006	0.00	16.97	-0.01	0.0	0 18.4	7 -0.31	0.31		
		2016	0.01	16.08	0.12*	* 0.0	1 16.5	3 0.02	0.10		
13-15	1986	0.01	15.77	0.11*	*	0.03	21.20	5 -1.03*	1.14**	**	
		1996	0.01	15.41	0.10	0.2	0 18.99	9 -0.68	0.79	*	
		2006	0.02	14.99	0.15*	* 0.0	2 16.14	4 -0.10	0.25	*	
		2016	0.03	14.80	0.17**	** 0.0	6 22.84	4 -1.48**	1.67**	**	
Explosive Powe	er - vertica	al jump (cm)									
	7-9	1986	-0.01	22.69	0.09	0.0	0 19.09	9 -0.04	-0.07	*	
		1996	0.02	26.09	-0.14*	* 0.0	3 8.55	0.81	-0.96	*	
		2006	0.00	21.20	0.01	0.0	0 21.3	9 0.00	0.01		
		2016	-0.02	23.93	-0.14**	** 0.0	2 23.28	3 -0.89	-0.05	*	
10-12	1986	0.00	28.10	-0.07		0.03	0.55	1.44**	1.53**	**	
		1996	0.04	34.03	-0.20**	** 0.0	5 16.03	3 0.80	-1.01*		
		2006	0.02	30.24	-0.14	** 0.0	2 33.84	4 -0.37	0.23	*	
		2016	0.04	32.09	-0.19**	** 0.0	4 26.2	1 0.17	-0.37	**	
13-15	1986	0.04	39.05	-0.19**	**	0.05	18.00	5 0.85*	-1.05*	**	
		1996	0.02	36.41	-0.13**	* 0.0	5 5.82	1.38**	-1.52**	**	
		2006	0.03	38.16	-0.17	** 0.0	4 23.89	9 0.56	-0.74	**	
		2016	0.08	42.33	-0.28**	** 0.0	9 20.7	5 0.83	-1.11	**	
Flexibility - for	ward bend	d (cm)									
-	7-9	1986	0.01	47.26	0.12*	* 0.0	2 37.92	2 0.54	-0.42*		
		1996	0.14	45.00	0.12	0.0	1 42.5	1 0.23	-0.11		
		2006	0.00	50.44	-0.06	0.0	1 66.2	1 -0.76	0.71		
		2016	0.00	48.95	0.03	0.0	0 43.3	5 0.34	-0.31		
10-12	1986	0.01	48.58	0.11**	**	0.01	40.5	0.49	-0.39	**	
		1996	0.00	50.57	0.04	0.0	1 30.8	1 1.04*	-1.01*	**	
		2006	0.00	49.96	0.01	0.0	0 50.0	9 0.00	0.01		
		2016	0.01	48.08	0.08	0.0	1 55.19	9 -0.28	0.39		
13-15	1986	0.02	50.19	0.15**	**	0.03	27 9	1 1.14**	-1.00*	**	
		1996	0.06	44.63	0.25**	** 00	6 34 1	1 0.74	-0.50	**	
		2006	0.03	47 69	0 17**	** 0.0	3 45 4	0.28	-0.12	*	
		2016	0.01	50 58	0.09	0.0	0 43.2	7 0 38	-0.29		
		2010	0.01	50.50	0.05	0.1		0.50	0.25		

## CV Fitness (step test index)

CV I ICIIC33	(step test in	uchj								
	7-9	1986	0.00	50.23	-0.05	0.02	24.72	0.77*	-0.83**	*
		1996	0.01	52.18	-0.11	0.01	48.89	0.01	-0.12	
		2006	0.24	56.44	-0.16*	* 0.03	50.06	0.09	-0.26	
		2016	0.07	57.72	-0.27**	** 0.08	63.12	-0.06	0.28	**
10-12	1986	0.03	53.55	-0.17	**	0.03	39.87	0.36	-0.54	**
		1996	0.03	51.50	-0.16**	** 0.03	45.89	0.09	-0.25	**
		2006	0.00	46.56	0.00	0.00	37.77	0.39	-0.39	
		2016	0.01	49.36	-0.09	0.02	35.83	0.58	-0.37	*
13-15	1986	0.05	49.74	-0.23**	**	0.06	32.88	0.65	-0.89*	**
		1996	0.01	47.78	-0.09	0.01	36.29	0.42	-0.52	
		2006	0.00	45.70	-0.03	0.00	43.08	0.10	-0.14	
		2016	0.00	45.43	-0.05	0.00	39.58	0.22	-0.26	

 $^{\dagger}For$  the agility test, a lower time indicates better fitness. \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.001

In contrast, results for Polish youth in 2016 and Brazilian youth in 2013 (Lopes et al., 2019) were variable. Among Brazilian youth of both sexes, the relationship between the standing long jump and BMI was curvilinear among youth of both sexes 10-11 and 12-13, but was curvilinear among boys and linear among girls 14-15 years. Among Polish youth, the relationship between the vertical jump and BMI was linear at 10-12 years but curvilinear at 13-15 years in both sexes. For cardiovascular fitness, the relationship was linear between the BMI and multistage shuttle run in Brazilian girls 10-11, 12-13 and 14-15 years, but that between the BMI and step test in Polish was curvilinear among girls 10-12 years, but linear among girls 13-15 years.

The present study is not without limitations. An indicator of the biological maturity status of the youth was not available. Maturity status influences body size and also performances on tests of strength, speed and power. Individual differences in the timing of the growth spurts in height and weight are a potential confounder. The spurt occurs, on average, earlier in height than in weight in both sexes, and the respective spurts occur, on average, earlier in girls than in boys (Malina et al., 2004). The differential timing of the growth spurts can influence the BMI per se and also relationships between the BMI and tests of fitness during the transition into and through adolescence.

#### Conclusion

Relationships between the BMI and five tests of physical fitness were considered in rural Polish youth in four decennial surveys spanning 1986 through 2016. Performances on the tests differed significantly among weight status groups of boys and girls, with the exception of flexibility among boys 7-9 years and boys and girls 10-12 years. Although performances differed significantly among surveys except for cardiovascular fitness among boys 7-9 and 13-15 years and power among girls 13-15 years, the interactions between weight status and year of survey, however, did not consistently differ. Results of the sex-specific regressions of each fitness test on the BMI varied among age groups and across surveys due likely to the concentrations of youth within the normal weight range and proportionally fewer youth at both extremes of the BMI. The results, however, did suggest reasonably consistent curvilinear relationships between performances and the BMI in boys more so than in girls, but the explained variances were generally low. The hypothesis of a curvilinear association between the BMI and fitness test performances was thus partially supported.

**Funding:** Funds for the 1986, 1996 and 2006 surveys were provided by the Scientific Research Committee (Komitet Badań Naukowych – Badnia Własne) of the Theory of Sport Department, Poznań University of Physical Education. The 2016 survey was funded by a grant from the Ministry of Science and Higher Education - Development of Young Researchers (Sylwia Bartkowiak). The funders had no involvement in data analysis and interpretation, or in the preparation of the paper.

**Acknowledgments:** The contributions of Krzysztof Karpowicz, Dariusz Pietranis and Marta Skotnicka to fitness testing and Magdalena Krzykała, Anna Demuth and Urszula Czerniak to the different surveys is recognized and greatly appreciated.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### References

Arnaoutis, G., Georgoulis, M., Psarra, G., Milkonidou, A., Panagiotakos, D. B., Kyriakou, D., Bellou, E., Tambalis, K. D., & Sidossis, L. S. (2018). Association of Anthropometric and Lifestyle Parameters with Fitness Levels in Greek Schoolchildren: Results from the EYZHN Program. *Frontiers in Nutrition*, *5*, 10. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2018.00010 Artero, E. G., España-Romero, V., Ortega, F. B., Jiménez-Pavón, D., Ruiz, J. R., Vicente-Rodríguez, G., Bueno, M., Marcos, A., Gómez-Martínez, S., Urzanqui, A., González-Gross, M., Moreno, L. A., Gutiérrez, A., & Castillo, M. J. (2010). Health-related fitness in adolescents: Underweight, and not only overweight, as an influencing factor. The AVENA study. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports*, *20*(3), 418–427. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0838.2009.00959.x Bartkowiak, S., Konarski, J. M., Strzelczyk, R., Janowski, J., Karpowicz, M., & Malina, R. M. (2021c) Weight status of rural school youth in Poland: Secular change 1986-2016. *Anthropologischer Anzeiger, accepted*.

Bartkowiak, S., Konarski, J. M., Strzelczyk, R., Janowski, J., Karpowicz, M., & Malina, R. M. (2021b). Physical Fitness of Rural Polish School Youth: Trends Between 1986 and 2016. *Journal of Physical Activity & Health*, *18*(7), 789–800. https://doi.org/10.1123/jpah.2020-0712

Bartkowiak, S., Konarski, J. M., Strzelczyk, R., Janowski, J., & Malina, R. M. (2021a). Secular change in height and weight of rural school children and youth in west-central Poland: 1986 to 2016. *American Journal of Human Biology: The Official Journal of the Human Biology Council*, *33*(2), e23461. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajhb.23461

Bovet, P., Auguste, R., & Burdette, H. (2007). Strong inverse association between physical fitness and overweight in adolescents: A large school-based survey. *The International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, *4*, 24. https://doi.org/10.1186/1479-5868-4-24

Chwałczyńska, A., Jędrzejewski, G., Socha, M., Jonak, W., & Sobiech, K. A. (2017). Physical fitness of secondary school adolescents in relation to the body weight and the body composition: Classification according to World Health Organization. Part I. *The Journal of Sports Medicine and Physical Fitness*, *57*(3), 244–251. https://doi.org/10.23736/S0022-4707.16.05664-4

Cole, T. J., Bellizzi, M. C., Flegal, K. M., & Dietz, W. H. (2000). Establishing a standard definition for child overweight and obesity worldwide: International survey. *BMJ (Clinical Research Ed.)*, 320(7244), 1240–1243. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.320.7244.1240

Cole, T. J., Flegal, K. M., Nicholls, D., & Jackson, A. A. (2007). Body mass index cut offs to define thinness in children and adolescents: International survey. *BMJ*, 335(7612), 194. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.39238.399444.55

Fairchild, T. J., Klakk, H., Heidemann, M. S., Andersen, L. B., & Wedderkopp, N. (2016). Exploring the Relationship between Adiposity and Fitness in Young Children. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, *48*(9), 1708–1714. https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.00000000000958

Hu, F. B. (2011). Globalization of diabetes: The role of diet, lifestyle, and genes. *Diabetes Care*, *34*(6), 1249–1257. https://doi.org/10.2337/dc11-0442

Huang, Y.-C., & Malina, R. M. (2007). BMI and health-related physical fitness in Taiwanese youth 9-18 years. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, *39*(4), 701–708. https://doi.org/10.1249/mss.0b013e31802f0512

Huang, Y.-C., & Malina, R. M. (2010). Body mass index and individual physical fitness tests in Taiwanese youth aged 9-18 years. *International Journal of Pediatric Obesity: IJPO: An Official Journal of the International Association for the Study of Obesity, 5*(5), 404–411. https://doi.org/10.3109/17477160903497902

Kwieciński, J., Konarski, J. M., Strzelczyk, R., Krzykała, M., Konarska, A., Bartkowiak, S., Lopes, V., & Malina, R. M. (2018). Non-linear relationships between the BMI and physical fitness in Polish adolescents. *Annals of Human Biology*, *45*(5), 406–413. https://doi.org/10.1080/03014460.2018.1494306

Lobstein, T., Baur, L., Uauy, R., & IASO International Obesity TaskForce. (2004). Obesity in children and young people: A crisis in public health. *Obesity Reviews: An Official Journal of the International Association for the Study of Obesity*, *5 Suppl* 1, 4–104. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-789X.2004.00133.x

Lopes, V. P., Malina, R. M., Gomez-Campos, R., Cossio-Bolaños, M., Arruda, M. de, & Hobold, E. (2019). Body mass index and physical fitness in Brazilian adolescents. *Jornal De Pediatria*, 95(3), 358–365. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jped.2018.04.003

Lopes, Ví. P., Maia, J. A. R., Rodrigues, L. P., & Malina, R. (2012). Motor coordination, physical activity and fitness as predictors of longitudinal change in adiposity during childhood. *European Journal of Sport Science*, *12*(4), 384–391. https://doi.org/10.1080/17461391.2011.566368

Malina, R. M., Bouchard, C., & Bar-Or, O. (2004). Growth, Maturation, and Physical Activity. Human Kinetics Books.

Monyeki, M. A., Neetens, R., Moss, S. J., & Twisk, J. (2012). The relationship between body composition and physical fitness in 14 year old adolescents residing within the Tlokwe local municipality, South Africa: The PAHL study. *BMC Public Health*, *12*, 374. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-12-374

Strzelczyk, R. (1995). Uwarunkowania rozwoju ruchowego dzieci wiejskich. Próba hierarchicznego ujęcia czynników determinujących.(Determinants of motor development of rural children: Anattempt to identify determinants.). Akademia Wychowania Fizycznego w Poznaniu (Academy of Physical Education in Poznan).

Xu, Y., Mei, M., Wang, H., Yan, Q., & He, G. (2020). Association between Weight Status and Physical Fitness in Chinese Mainland Children and Adolescents: A Cross-Sectional Study. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *17*(7), 2468. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17072468

Zenić, N., Foretić, N., & Blazević, M. (2013). Nonlinear relationships between anthropometric and physical fitness variables in untrained pubescent boys. *Collegium Antropologicum*, *37 Suppl 2*, 153–159.

#### **Corresponding information:**

Received:15.09.2021. Accepted:29.11.2021. Correspondence to: Sylwia Bartkowiak University: Poznań University of Physical Education Królowej Jadwigi 27/39 Poznań, Poland Faculty: Theory of Sport Phone +48 603171950 E-mail: sbartkowiak@awf.poznan.pl