







Comparative Analysis on Parasite and Host Bioactive Properties — A Cytinus hypocistis (L.) L. Case Study

<u>Ana Rita Silva^{a,b}, Carla Pereira^a, Ricardo C. Calhelha^a, Pablo A. García^b, Isabel C. F. Ferreira^a, Lillian Barros^{a,*}</u> ^aCentro de Investigação de Montanha (CIMO), Instituto Politécnico de Bragança, Campus de Santa Apolónia, 5300-253 Bragança, Portugal ^bDepartamento de Ciencias Farmacéuticas. Facultad de Farmacia, CIETUS-IBSAL, Universidad de Salamanca, 37007 Salamanca, España

Background

Cytinus hypocistis (L.) L. is a rootless, stemless, and leafless holoparasite with a vegetative body reduced to an endophytic system that only grows inside the host [1,2]. Although to date, most studies on plant parasitism were focused on nutrient transfer from host to the parasite and the influence of parasites on host plants, a growing number of studies have documented the transfer of non-nutrient molecules.

Extracts: Heat-assisted extraction (95 min at 47°C/74% ethanol)

Methodology









Cytinus hypocistis



Halimium lasianthum

transference phytohormones, The of secondary metabolites, RNAs, and proteins suggests that hosts may significantly impact parasite physiology and ecology [3].



The present work main objective was to comparative study on the perform а bioactive properties of the parasite C. hypocistis (L.) L. subsp. macranthus Wettst and its host species Halimium lasianthum subsp. *alyssoides* (Lam.) Greuter.

C. hypocistis (CH)



Non-parasited *H. lasianthum* aerial parts (HLAP) Non-parasited H. lasianthum root parts (HLR)

Cytotoxic and Anti-inflammatory activity

Ζ

Cytotoxic activity



Tumour cell lines AGS (gastric adenocarcinoma) Caco-2 (colorectal adenocarcinoma) **MCF-7** (breast adenocarcinoma) NCI – H460 (large cell lung cancer)

Non-tumour cell lines **VERO** (African green monkey) **PLP2** (porcine liver primary culture)

Parasited *H. lasianthum* root parts (PHLR)

Extract's ability to inhibit 50% of cell growth

Anti-inflammatory activity





Macrophage cells **RAW 264.7**

Griess reagent

Extract's ability to inhibit 50% of NO



Both assays are used to determine extract's ability to protect cell membranes from lipid peroxidation



Cytotoxic and Anti-inflammatory activity

	СН	PHLAP	PHLR	HLAP	HLR	Positive control
Cell lines	Cytotoxic activity (GI ₅₀ , µg mL ⁻¹)					Ellipticine**
AGS	20.9 ± 0.9^{a}	47.6 ± 0.8^{b}	52.7 ± 3.9 ^c	23.6 ± 1.1ª	>400	1.23 ± 0.03
Caco-2	$64.1 \pm 0.7^{\circ}$	41.1 ± 1.1 ^a	44.4 ± 1.6^{a}	69.7 ± 2.0^{d}	55.4 ± 1.2^{b}	1.21 ± 0.02
MCF-7	$90.1 \pm 6.5^{\circ}$	53.1 ± 1.9^{b}	23.8 ± 0.8^{a}	175.4 ± 7.6^{d}	50.1 ± 1.2^{b}	1.02 ± 0.02
NCI-H460	49.8 ± 3.0^{b}	$62.4 \pm 0.5^{\circ}$	19.2 ± 0.4^{a}	84.6 ± 4.4^{d}	44.0 ± 0.6^{b}	1.01 ± 0.01
VERO	286.2 ± 0.8^{d}	163.1 ± 10.7 ^b	61.1 ± 3.9 ^a	158.8 ± 7.1 ^b	184 ± 1°	1.41 ± 0.06
PLP2	17.9 ± 0.6 ^a	42.1 ± 3.4^{b}	19.5 ± 2.5 ^a	$47.6 \pm 0.5^{\circ}$	20.3 ± 1.5 ^a	1.4 ± 0.1
Cell line	Anti-inflammatory activity (IC ₅₀ , μg mL ⁻¹) Dexan					Dexamethasone**
RAW 264.7	75.7 ± 2.4 ^a	242.5 ± 14.2 ^b	73.1 ± 4.0 ^a	223.1 ± 10.8 ^b	86.1 ± 4.2 ^a	6.3 ± 0.4

The results are presented as mean \pm standard deviation and expressed as GI₅₀ (extract concentration in µg mL⁻¹ responsible) for 50% of growth inhibition) or IC₅₀ (extract concentration in μg mL⁻¹ responsible for 50% inhibition in NO production) values. Different letters correspond to significant differences (p < 0.05). **The positive controls (ellipticine and dexamethasone) differ significantly from the plant extracts (p < 0.05).

 \checkmark CH exhibited the best GI₅₀ result against AGS.

- \checkmark The two extracts of the parasited *H. lasianthum* exhibited the best GI₅₀ results against Caco-2.
- ✓ PHLR extract presented the lowest GI₅₀ for MCF-7 and NCI-H460
- ✓ PLP2 and VERO: all extracts exhibited cytotoxic effects at higher concentrations when compared to the control.
- CH, PHLR, and HLR presented the best anti-inflammatory activity.

Antioxidant activity

OxHLIA (Δ <i>t</i> = 60 min)	TBARS	
IC ₅₀ . ug mL ⁻¹	IC₅₀. ug mL⁻¹	

 \checkmark OxHLIA assay: CH extract presented the best antioxidant result, with an IC₅₀ of 7.3 μ g mL⁻¹.

	1030 / P.O	· • 50/ P·8 · · · -		
СН	7.3 ± 0.3 ^a	1.11 ± 0.01 ^a		
PHLAP	62 ± 2 ^c	$7.10 \pm 0.01^{\circ}$		
PHLR	307 ± 12 ^d	9.5 ± 0.9 ^d		
HLAP	18 ± 1^{ab}	5.7 ± 0.1^{b}		
HLR	14.0 ± 0.1^{ab}	5.3 ± 0.2^{b}		
Trolox	21.8 ± 0.2^{b}	9.1 ± 0.3 ^d		

The results are presented as mean ± standard deviation and expressed as IC50 values, which correspond to the extract concentration in µg mL⁻¹ required to protect 50% of the erythrocyte population from haemolysis for Δt of 60 min or to provide 50% of antioxidant activity during the TBARS assay. Different letters correspond to significant differences (p < 0.05).

 \checkmark TBARS: CH extract displayed the best result, with an IC₅₀ of 1.11 µg mL⁻¹.

CH extracts exhibited better results than the positive control Trolox.



To the authors' best knowledge, this is the first report evaluating the cytotoxic, anti-inflammatory, and antioxidant activity of *H. lasianthum*. In absolute terms, the PHLR extract exhibited the lowest GI₅₀ for three of the four tumour cell lines. CH was the most antioxidant extract and showed to be the least cytotoxic against the non-tumour cell line VERO. For phenolic profile comparison and bioactivity correlation, further studies on compounds identification will be performed.

REFERENCES

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

employment program.

[1] C. de Vega, R. Berjano, M. Arista, P. L. Ortiz, S. Talavera and T. F. Stuessy, New Phytol., 2008, 178, 875–887.

[2] E. Sanjust and A. C. Rinaldi, Plants, 2021, 10, 146.

[3] J. D. Smith, M. C. Mescher and C. M. De Moraes, Curr. Opin. Plant Biol., 2013, 16, 464–472.

The authors are grateful to the Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT, Portugal) for financial support through national funds FCT/MCTES to CIMO (UIDB/00690/2020). A. R. Silva is grateful to FCT and FSE for her Doctoral Grant (SFRH/BD/145834/2019) and L. Barros for her contract through the institutional scientific





CIÊNCIAZI

ENCONTRO COM A CIÊNCIA E TECNOLOGIA EM PORTUGAL

28 a 30 JUNHO 2021 Centro de Congressos de Lisboa



CERTIFICADO DE PARTICIPAÇÃO PARTICIPATION CERTIFICATE

Certificamos que We certify that

Ana Rita Santos Silva

participou no **Ciência 2021 - Encontro com a Ciência e Tecnologia em Portugal**, que decorreu de 28 a 30 de junho de 2021 no Centro de Congressos de Lisboa. participated in the **Ciência 2021 - Science and Technology in Portugal Summit**, from the 28th to the 30th June, 2021, which took place at the Lisbon Congress Centre.

com a apresentação do poster intitulado:

Comparative Analysis on Parasite and Host Bioactive Properties - A Cytinus hypocistis (L.) L. Case Study

encontrociencia.pt

Com o apoio de:



Organização:



Apoio institucional:



ASSEMBLEIA DA REPÚBLICA missão de Educação, Ciência, Juventude e Desport

