UNIVERSITY of York

This is a repository copy of Microbial eco-evolutionary dynamics in the plant rhizosphere.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/185506/

Version: Published Version

Article:

Fields, Bryden and Friman, Ville-Petri orcid.org/0000-0002-1592-157X (2022) Microbial eco-evolutionary dynamics in the plant rhizosphere. Current Opinion in Microbiology. 102153. ISSN 1369-5274

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2022.102153

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/



ScienceDirect



Microbial eco-evolutionary dynamics in the plant rhizosphere Bryden Fields and Ville-Petri Friman



Microbial communities are vital for plant health and productivity. While most studies have underlined the ecology of plant-microbe interactions, accumulating evidence suggests rapid microbial evolution is also important, often occurring at ecological timescales within and between plant generations. We review current evidence and mechanisms of rapid microbial evolution in the rhizosphere, focusing on examples along the mutualism-parasitism continuum. We consider how evolution can change the ecology and plant-microbe ecosystem functioning via eco-evolutionary dynamics and highlight the importance of intraspecies diversity as the product and raw material for natural selection. We conclude that acknowledging rapid evolution is not only crucial for understanding the complex plant-microbiota interplay but also an important prerequisite for harnessing the benefits of soil microbes for sustainable agriculture.

Address

Department of Biology, University of York, UK

Corresponding author: Ville-Petri Friman (ville.friman@york.ac.uk)

Current Opinion in Microbiology 2022, 68:102153

This review comes from a themed issue on **Environmental Microbiology**

Edited by Paul Hoskisson and John Bruce

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2022.102153

1369-5274/© 2022 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

Introduction

The rhizosphere is the section of soil closest to the plant root where interactions between soil microorganisms and the plant influence plant growth, protection from pathogens, resilience to environmental stresses, competition for resources, and nutrient cycling [1,2]. Reciprocally, microorganisms can benefit from secreted root exudates and plant litter as energy sources. As a result, plants actively influence the composition of rhizosphere communities by stimulating and repressing specific microorganisms for their productive benefit [3–7]. This host filtering can be mediated by secretion of

www.sciencedirect.com

root exudates which enable microbe-plant signalling and the activation of microbe recognition systems to distinguish pathogenic and non-pathogenic microbes [2,8]. Consequently, agricultural practices have been developed to manipulate rhizosphere microbiome communities to improve plant health by encouraging interactions with beneficial symbionts, such as rhizobia bacteria and mycorrhiza fungi, and plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria that can boost plant growth and provide protection against pathogenic bacteria [9–11].

Most of these manipulations are based on ecological theory. For example, maximising competition and niche overlap between pathogenic and non-pathogenic bacteria could help to protect plants from infections [12], while using species that antagonise pathogen-facilitating species [13] could indirectly provide beneficial effects for plant health. Overall, promotion of microbial diversity has been linked to improved rhizosphere ecosystem functioning, which can be explained by (1) selection effects, where community performance is determined by its most productive member, or by (2) complementarity effects, where there is an inherent benefit to diversity that is greater than the combined individual effects of the community members [14]. While the positive relationship between species diversity and ecosystem functioning is well documented, the importance of within-species (intraspecies) diversity is less studied [15] despite its significance for plant-microbe interactions and functioning of host-associated microbiomes [16–19]. Moreover, as the product and raw material for natural selection, acknowledging intraspecies diversity is paramount for understanding the role of rapid microbial evolution in microbe-plant interactions.

Microbes can evolve rapidly in plantassociated microbiomes

Microbial diversity is a result of natural selection driving diversification and speciation via *de novo* mutations and recombination. While microbes are known to evolve rapidly in the lab, we are only beginning to understand microbial adaptation in natural environments and plant-associated microbiomes [20]. Seminal studies conducted in soil microcosms in the absence of plants have established that bacteria can diversify [21] and coevolve with bacteria-specific viruses (phages) [22], and that such local adaptations can have as strong effect as the

2 Environmental Microbiology





Both ecological and evolutionary processes are important in determining the composition and functioning of rhizosphere microbiomes. (a) Ecological dynamics can lead to species sorting and changes in rhizosphere microbiota composition, while evolutionary dynamics lead to changes in species genotype frequencies. When ecological and evolutionary processes occur at the same timescale, rhizosphere microbiota composition could be determined by eco-evolutionary dynamics. (b) Selection on standing genetic variation can shift microbe–plant interactions along the mutualism–parasitism continuum by selecting for mutualistic or pathogenic bacterial genotypes. (c) Microbial Eco-Evo dynamics within individual plants could alter the functioning of plant ecosystems, for example, via effects on plant competition (solid arrow: competition; dashed arrows: loss of competition). Changes in plant species abundances and frequencies could in turn alter the selection on rhizosphere microbiota.

presence of a given focal species for the surrounding community [23]. The effect of intraspecies variation is often neglected in most plant-microbe studies that focus on changes in species richness and abundance based on species-specific amplicon sequencing. As a result, we often only observe changes in species relative abundances and can only make ecological inference of the effects of microbes on the plants and vice versa (Figure 1a: Ecological dynamics). Most microbial species inhabiting the rhizosphere are not clonal but show certain degree of intraspecies diversity [24,25]. Such genetic variation will provide the raw material for natural selection, which can change genotype frequencies within microbial species during plant growth (Figure 1a: Evolutionary dynamics). When these ecological and evolutionary processes occur at the same timescale, they can result in eco-evolutionary dynamics, where evolution changes the ecology of interacting species, which can

further feedback to the process of adaptation by changing the strength of initial selection pressures [26,27] (Figure 1a: Eco-Evo dynamics). While eco-evolutionary dynamics are well documented in several systems [20,26,28–34], research on their importance in an agricultural context is only emerging [7,35–38]. In this review, we will highlight the importance of rapid microbial evolution and eco-evolutionary feedbacks for plant-microbe interactions in the rhizosphere. Specifically, we review the current evidence by using examples of rapid microbial evolution along the mutualism-parasitism continuum and discuss how evolution could change the ecology and functioning of plant-microbe ecosystems.

Evolution of mutualism in plant-microbe interactions

While mutually beneficial interactions between microbes and plants are commonly observed, we still poorly

understand how, and at what timescale, they evolve. Macroevolutionary studies suggest that symbiotic interactions are old and likely originally evolved in relation to plant terrestrialisation as a major evolutionary transition [39]. Recent experimental evolution studies suggest that microbe-plant mutualisms can also evolve rapidly at much shorter timescales. In a recent study, Batstone et al. [40] showed that Ensifer meliloti, the nitrogen-fixing rhizobial symbiont, can adapt to become more beneficial to its legume host (Medicago truncatula) because of de novo mutations in putative genes involved in signalling and survival in symbiotic plant root nodules. Interestingly, this adaptation was host genotype-specific and only seen when the symbiont and the host had shared evolutionary history, indicative of rapid evolution of a specialist mutualism [40]. In another study, rhizobial symbionts evolved in cycles with different novel legume genotypes with which they initially provide negligible benefits [41]. Researchers found that rhizobial strains evolved to provide plants with more benefits, and displayed altered infection, proliferation and survival in legume nodules [41]. However, these evolutionary outcomes were specific to host-symbiont combinations and while candidate mutations were identified, these did not reach fixation in evolved populations [41]. Plant hostspecific adaptation has also been shown in the case of plant growth-promoting Bacillus subtilis rhizobacterium, which rapidly diversified and evolved improved root colonisation in the Arabidopsis rhizosphere in a hydroponic system [42]. Similar to the first example, this adaptation was host specific and did not improve root colonisation in unrelated tomato hosts [42]. Furthermore, diversified bacterial morphotypes showed improved productivity when cultured together, indicative of evolution of ecological complementarity [42]. Rapid bacterial evolution has also been shown to cause shifts along the mutualism-parasitism continuum (Figure 1b); for instance, an initially plant-antagonistic *Pseudomonas* protegens bacterium evolved to be more benign on Arabidopsis thaliana roots [43]. Mechanistically, this was linked with increased resistance to plant-secreted antimicrobials and improved resource catabolism, which made evolved P. protegens genotypes more competitive in the rhizosphere compared to ancestral bacterial clones. Similar to B. subtilis, clear phenotypic and genotypic bacterial diversification was observed, which was due to mutations in the GacS-GacA two-component regulatory system [43]. Crucially, improved bacterial competition in the rhizosphere was coupled with improved plant growth promotion by the evolved bacterial genotypes, which suggest that adaptation was beneficial for both parties, and hence, mutualistic [43].

While mutualism is common, it might not always be an evolutionary stable strategy. For example, rhizobial strains interacting with legumes can range from highly mutualistic, beneficial nitrogen-fixers to non-fixing unbeneficial 'cheater' genotypes [44]. Moreover, non-fixers can hide in the nodule alongside nitrogen-fixing genotypes in mixedstrain nodules and thereby benefit from the plant without providing any benefits in return [45,46]. As a result, legume hosts often use partner choice (symbiotic selection based on recognition signalling between plant and microbe) or sanctioning mechanisms (restriction of nutrient supply to poor symbiotic performers and non-fixing 'cheater' strains) to differentiate between beneficial and non-beneficial symbionts [47]. To what extent evolution of cheating destabilises legume-rhizobia mutualism in natural environments is however still debated [48-50]. It is also likely that rhizobial evolution in the rhizosphere goes beyond infection and nodule formation and several lifestyle adaptations linked to competition via rhizosphere growth and root colonisation have recently been identified [51], including that closely related rhizobium strains can show indirect and direct antagonism towards each other [52]. Together, current evidence suggests that while mutualistic plant-microbe interactions are evolutionarily old, they are still refining and changing via selection and rapid bacterial evolution in the plant rhizosphere. Crucially, these changes can occur just within a few plant generations, having potential implications on the ecology and functioning of plant-microbe ecosystems.

Evolution of antagonism in plant-microbe interactions

Similar to mutualism, antagonistic interactions are common in the rhizosphere and can occur between microbes, or between plants and microbes. Evolution of competitive microbial interactions could have indirect effects on the plant via changes in the composition and functioning of rhizosphere microbiomes [53], while certain antimicrobials used in bacterial interference competition are also phytotoxic [43]. Microbial competition can be mediated indirectly via competition for the same resources that often limit microbial growth [19,54] or directly by contact-dependent and contact-independent microbial warfare [55]. How rapidly microbial competition evolves in the rhizosphere is however still unclear. Indirect evidence suggests that Streptomyces bacteria and Fusarium fungi can be locally adapted and show higher inhibition when in sympatry, which could have resulted from past co-evolutionary history [56]. Furthermore, a recent microbial transplantation study showed that Curtobacterium can adapt to its local soil environment through mutations in genes related to nutrient acquisition, stress response, and exopolysaccharide production, indicating that bacteria can rapidly evolve in response to shifts in microbial community composition at relatively short timescale [57]. While microbial competition could have indirect positive effects on plant health via intensified competition with the pathogen [54,58], it could also potentially promote infections if antagonising mutualistic or plant growth-promoting bacteria.

Plant-bacteria interactions are also indirectly shaped through selection by parasitic phages [59]. For example, phages have been shown to control plant pathogenic Ralstonia solanacearum bacterium via density reduction and selection for phage-resistant mutants that suffer reduced growth and competitiveness [37]. Furthermore, the negative effects of phages are amplified in the presence of antibiotics-producing Bacillus amyloliquefaciens bacterium because evolution of phage resistance sensitises R. solanacearum to antibiotics [60]. Phage selection can also have community-wide effects in the rhizosphere by changing bacterial community diversity, composition and functioning in terms of soil suppressiveness [37] and nitrogen cycling [61]. In addition to phages, protist predators can also drive bacterial evolution in the rhizosphere by providing a selective advantage to bacterial genotypes that can avoid predation [62–65]. Evolution of antipredatory defences could also indirectly shape bacterial competitiveness as secondary metabolites that are toxic to protists can improve P. protegens establishment into a native rice rhizosphere community [62,66]. While more direct evidence on the evolution of anti-protist defences in the rhizosphere is still required, the above examples together suggest that microbial trophic interactions can play an important role for the ecology and evolution of bacteria-plant interactions in the rhizosphere.

Besides adapting via microbial interactions, bacteria can also rapidly evolve to be more antagonistic towards their plant host (Figure 1b). Guidot et al. [67] used in planta serial transfers to adapt soil-borne R. solanacearum pathogen to two native (tomato and eggplant) and distant (bean and cabbage) plant hosts for 300 bacterial generations. They found increases in bacterial fitness compared to ancestral clones when in direct competition during xylem colonisation, which was driven by only a few mutations in genes linked with the PhcS-PhcR twocomponent regulatory system and EfpR transcription regulator protein. In another study, R. solanacearum was serially transferred in the xylem of resistant tomato cultivar named 'Hawaii 7996' [68]. While no resistance breakdown was observed, improved xylem colonisation was associated with non-parallel mutations that led to parallel rewiring of the virulence gene network between replicate selection lines [68]. Although these experiments did not consider pathogen evolution in the rhizosphere per se, they clearly demonstrate that successive rounds of plant infections can select for increased pathogen fitness.

Effects of eco-evolutionary dynamics on plant-microbe ecosystems

Rapid microbial evolution could affect the ecology of rhizosphere microbiomes and associated plant communities. For example, evolution of plant beneficial or

pathogenic bacteria might change the relative plant species abundances via indirect effects on plant-plant competition, while virulent pathogens could select for resistant or tolerant plant genotypes (Figure 1c). Changes in plant species frequencies and abundances could in turn affect plant community productivity, diversity, stability and aboveground trophic interactions with insects and animals. Such changes could further alter the selection on rhizosphere microbiota [69]. leading to evo-eco-evo feedbacks in plant-microbe ecosystems and potentially influencing the processes of range expansion, coevolution and phylosymbiosis. For example, host-microbiome coevolution has been suggested to have occurred during domestication of the apple [70] which could over time lead to phylosymbiosis. that is, significant associations between host phylogenetic relatedness and similarity of associated microbiomes [71]. While coevolutionary arms races could also lead to specialised interactions and phylogenetic associations between pathogens and their plant host lineages, host jumps and host range expansions via hybridisation or changes in regulatory networks are also possible [72]. Additionally, in the future, more work is required to better understand how spatial and temporal variation in abiotic physicochemical soil properties, such as nutrient availability, pH and soil type, might determine the cold and hot spots of evolution. Moreover, although microbial evolution is likely to drive such ecoevo feedbacks due to their relatively faster generation times, the role of past plant evolution and plant-microbe coevolution should be acknowledged when inferring current ecological interactions.

Future perspectives

While the reviewed examples suggest that rapid microbial evolution is often observed in association with plants, there are several outstanding questions. Although these questions range from methodological issues of quantifying absolute microbial abundances to identifying who is doing what [73], here we highlight the challenges of moving beyond a 'focal-species' approach to study the evolution of microbial communities at different scales. Firstly, it is unclear to what extent the species diversity of a community affects the evolution of its members. Most experimental evidence comes from studies focusing on 'focal species' that can be reisolated from microbial communities and evolutionary changes quantified in the laboratory [20,23,74]. However, only a few studies have tried to address microbial evolution at the community level [75-77] or used experimental designs that can disentangle the effects caused by genetic and species diversity [38]. Secondly, while current evidence suggests that evolution of a focal species can change the ecology of communities [37,43,61], it is unclear if these changes might alter the strength of future selection, highlighting the need for longer term experiments [38]. Finally, although evolution has been shown to take place in conditions that mimic natural conditions, field transplantation experiments are required to understand the relative importance of microbial evolution in spatially heterogeneous environments at the landscape level (Figure 1c). Acknowledging that the strength of selection varies in space and time is crucial to identify evolutionary cold and hot spots that are likely to determine eco-evolutionary outcomes across the natural and agricultural environments. Moreover, understanding the rapid evolution of mutualism and pathogenicity at agriculturally relevant timescales between the harvests and seasons could help harnessing the evolutionary potential of soil microbes and guide the development of experimentally evolved biofertilizers or evolutionary-proof pathogen control strategies.

Conflict of interest statement

Nothing declared.

Acknowledgements

VPF would like to dedicate this review in memory of his PhD supervisor Jouni Laakso. BF and VPF are funded by a grant joint from UKRI, Defra, and the Scottish Government, under the Strategic Priorities Fund Plant Bacterial Diseases programme (BB/T010606/1) at the University of York. VPF is also funded by the Royal Society (RSG\R1\180213 and CHL \R1\180031).

References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the period of review, have been highlighted as:

- of special interest
- •• of outstanding interest.
- Philippot L, Raaijmakers JM, Lemanceau P, Van Der Putten WH: Going back to the roots: The microbial ecology of the rhizosphere. Nat Rev Microbiol 2013, 11:789-799.
- Jones P, Garcia BJ, Furches A, Tuskan GA, Jacobson D: Plant host-associated mechanisms for microbial selection. Front Plant Sci 2019, 10:862.
- 3. Burns JH, Anacker BL, Strauss SY, Burke DJ: Soil microbial community variation correlates most strongly with plant species identity, followed by soil chemistry, spatial location and plant genus. *AoB PLANT* 2015, 5:plv030.
- Fitzpatrick CR, Copeland J, Wang PW, Guttman DS, Kotanen PM, Johnson MTJ: Assembly and ecological function of the root microbiome across angiosperm plant species. *PNAS* 2018, 115:E1157-E1165.
- Zhalnina K, Louie KB, Hao Z, Mansoori N, Nunes Da Rocha U, Shi S, Cho H, Karaoz U, Loqué D, Bowen BP, et al.: Dynamic root exudate chemistry and microbial substrate preferences drive patterns in rhizosphere microbial community assembly. Nat Microbiol 2018, 3:470-480.
- Schmid MW, Hahl T, van Moorsel SJ, Wagg C, De Deyn GB, Schmid B: Feedbacks of plant identity and diversity on the diversity and community composition of rhizosphere microbiomes from a long-term biodiversity experiment. *Mol Ecol* 2019, 28:863-878.
- Cordovez V, Dini-Andreote F, Carrión VJ, Raaijmakers JM: Ecology and evolution of plant microbiomes. Annu Rev Microbiol 2019, 73:69-88.

- Trivedi P, Leach JE, Tringe SG, Sa T, Singh BK: Plant-microbiome interactions: from community assembly to plant health. Nat Rev Microbiol 2020, 18:607-621 1811 2020.
- 9. Quiza L, St-Arnaud M, Yergeau E: Harnessing phytomicrobiome signaling for rhizosphere microbiome engineering. *Front Plant Sci* 2015, **6**:507.
- 10. Catroux G, Hartmann A, Revellin C: Trends in rhizobial inoculant production and use. *Plant Soil* 2001, 230:21-30.
- 11. Santos MS, Nogueira MA, Hungria M: Microbial inoculants: reviewing the past, discussing the present and previewing an outstanding future for the use of beneficial bacteria in agriculture. AMB Express 2019, 9:205.
- 12. Wei Z, Yang T, Friman VP, Xu Y, Shen Q, Jousset A: Trophic network architecture of root-associated bacterial communities determines pathogen invasion and plant health. *Nat Commun* 2015, 6:1-9.
- Li M, Pommier T, Yin Y, Wang J, Gu S, Jousset A, Keuskamp J, Wang H, Wei Z, Xu Y, et al.: Indirect reduction of *Ralstonia* solanacearum via pathogen helper inhibition. *ISME J* 2021, 16:868-875, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-021-01126-2
- 14. Loreau M, Hector A: Partitioning selection and complementarity in biodiversity experiments. Nat 2001 2001, 412:72-76.
- Bolnick DI, Amarasekare P, Araújo MS, Bürger R, Levine JM, Novak M, Rudolf VHW, Schreiber SJ, Urban MC, Vasseur DA: Why intraspecific trait variation matters in community ecology. *Trends Ecol Evol* 2011, 26:183-192.
- Zhang XX, Guo HJ, Jiao J, Zhang P, Xiong HY, Chen WX, Tian CF: Pyrosequencing of rpoB uncovers a significant biogeographical pattern of rhizobial species in soybean rhizosphere. J Biogeogr 2017, 44:1491-1499.
- Miranda-Sánchez F, Rivera J, Vinuesa P: Diversity patterns of Rhizobiaceae communities inhabiting soils, root surfaces and nodules reveal a strong selection of rhizobial partners by legumes. Environ Microbiol 2016, 18:2375-2391.
- Wang Q, Liu J, Zhu H: Genetic and molecular mechanisms underlying symbiotic specificity in legume-rhizobium interactions. Front Plant Sci 2018, 9:313.
- Hibbing ME, Fuqua C, Parsek MR, Peterson SB: Bacterial competition: surviving and thriving in the microbial jungle. Nat Rev Microbiol 2010, 8:15-25.
- De Meester L, Brans KI, Govaert L, Souffreau C, Mukherjee S, Vanvelk H, Korzeniowski K, Kilsdonk L, Decaestecker E, Stoks R, et al.: Analysing eco-evolutionary dynamics—The challenging complexity of the real world. Funct Ecol 2019, 33:43-59.
- Gómez P, Buckling A: Real-time microbial adaptive diversification in soil. Ecol Lett 2013, 16:650-655.
- Gómez P, Buckling A: Bacteria-phage antagonistic coevolution in soil. Science (80-) 2011, 332:106-109.
- Gómez P, Paterson S, De Meester L, Liu X, Lenzi L, Sharma MD, McElroy K, Buckling A: Local adaptation of a bacterium is as important as its presence in structuring a natural microbial community. Nat Commun 2016, 7:1-8 71 2016.
- 24. Kumar N, Lad G, Giuntini E, Kaye ME, Udomwong P, Jannah Shamsani N, Peter W, Young J, Bailly X: Bacterial genospecies that are not ecologically coherent: Population genomics of rhizobium leguminosarum. Open Biol 2015, 5:140133.
- Chen ECH, Morin E, Beaudet D, Noel J, Yildirir G, Ndikumana S, Charron P, St-Onge C, Giorgi J, Krüger M, et al.: High intraspecific genome diversity in the model arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiont Rhizophagus irregularis. New Phytol 2018, 220:1161-1171.
- Hairston NG, Ellner SP, Geber MA, Yoshida T, Fox JA: Rapid evolution and the convergence of ecological and evolutionary time. Ecol Lett 2005, 8:1114-1127.
- Thompson JN: Rapid evolution as an ecological process. Trends Ecol Evol 1998, 13:329-332.

- 28. Govaert L. De Meester L. Spaak P. Hairston NG: Eco-Evolutionary Dynamics in Freshwater Systems. Elsevier; 2021.
- 29. Yoshida T, Jones LE, Ellner SP, Fussmann GF, Hairston NG: Rapid evolution drives ecological dynamics in a predator-prey system. Nat 2003 2003, 424:303-306.
- 30. Friman VP, Dupont A, Bass D, Murrell DJ, Bell T: Relative importance of evolutionary dynamics depends on the composition of microbial predator-prey community. ISME J 2015, 10:1352-1362.
- 31. Brunner FS, Anaya-Rojas JM, Matthews B, Eizaguirre C Experimental evidence that parasites drive eco-evolutionary feedbacks. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2017, 114:3678-3683.
- 32. Jones El, Ferrire R, Bronstein JL: Eco-evolutionary dynamics of mutualists and exploiters. Am Nat 2009, 174:780-794.
- 33. Fussmann GF, Loreau M, Abrams PA: Eco-evolutionary dynamics of communities and ecosystems. Funct Ecol 2007, 21:465-477
- 34. Palkovacs EP, Marshall MC, Lamphere BA, Lynch BR, Weese DJ, Fraser DF, Reznick DN, Pringle CM, Kinnison MT: Experimental evaluation of evolution and coevolution as agents of ecosystem change in Trinidadian streams. Philos Trans R Soc B Biol Sci 2009, 364:1617-1628.
- 35. Manriquez B, Muller D, Prigent-Combaret C: Experimental evolution in plant-microbe systems: a tool for deciphering the functioning and evolution of plant-associated microbial communities. Front Microbiol 2021, 12:896.
- 36. Marín O. González B. Poupin MJ: From microbial dynamics to functionality in the rhizosphere: a systematic review of the opportunities with synthetic microbial communities. Front Plant Sci 2021, 12:843.
- 37. Wang X, Wei Z, Yang K, Wang J, Jousset A, Xu Y, Shen Q, Friman VP: Phage combination therapies for bacterial wilt disease in tomato. Nat Biotechnol 2019, 37:1513-1520.

The biocontrol efficacy of multiple specific phage combinations was tested against Ralstonia solanacearum on tomato. The researchers found that increasing the number of phages in the cocktails reduced tomato bacterial wilt disease incidence, and that this was a result of phage combinations reducing the abundance of the pathogen, selection for phage-resistant but slow growing Ralstonia strains, and enrichment of bacterial species displaying antagonism towards Ralstonia.

- Govaert L, Altermatt F, De Meester L, Leibold MA, McPeek MA, Pantel JH, Urban MC: Integrating fundamental processes to 38. understand eco-evolutionary community dynamics and patterns. Funct Ecol 2021, 35:2138-2155.
- **39.** Rich MK, Vigneron N, Liboure C, Keller J, Xue L, Hajheidari M, Radhakrishnan GV, Le Ru A, Diop SI, Potente G, *et al.*: Lipid exchanges drove the evolution of mutualism during plant terrestrialization. Science (80-) 2021, 372:864-868.
- 40. Batstone RT, O'Brien AM, Harrison TL, Frederickson ME: Experimental evolution makes microbes more cooperative with their local host genotype. Science (80-) 2020, 370:476-478

Two rhizobial Ensifer meliloti strains were evolved on one of five Medicago truncatula legume host genotypes to see whether partner choice or local adaptation was a more important evolutionary determinant of microbial cooperation. De novo mutations were found within the bacterial plasmid which was associated with increased mutualism between host and symbiont suggesting that local adaptation was the greater evolutionary force influencing the rhizobium-legume symbiosis.

- 41. Quides KW, Weisberg AJ, Trinh J, Salaheldine F, Cardenas P, Lee H-H, Jariwala R, Chang JH, Sachs JL: Experimental evolution can enhance benefits of rhizobia to novel legume hosts. Proc R Soc B 2021, 288:20210812.
- 42. Blake C, Nordgaard M, Maróti G, Kovács ÁT: Diversification of Bacillus subtilis during experimental evolution on Arabidopsis thaliana and the complementarity in root colonization of evolved subpopulations. *Environ Microbiol* 2021, **23**:6122-6136.

Populations of Bacillus subtilis were grown in the Arabidopsis thaliana rhizosphere to observe evolution of root colonization. Different B. subtilis morphotypes emerged and displayed improved root colonisation on the plant species they evolved on but not on other species, such as tomato. Furthermore, morphotypes grew well together suggesting the evolution of ecological complementarity between B. subtilis populations.

43. Li E, de Jonge R, Liu C, Jiang H, Friman V-P, Pieterse CMJ, Bakker
PAHM, Jousset A: Rapid evolution of bacterial mutualism in the plant rhizosphere. Nat Commun 2021, 12:1-13.
The authors experimentally evolved the plant-antagonistic Pseudomonas protegens bacterium Arabidopsis thaliana rhizosphere end identified the timework and evolve the plant-antagonistic pseudomonas protegens bacterium Arabidopsis thaliana rhizosphere and identified that it could evolve into a mutualist on an agriculturally relevant evolutionary timescale. This evolutionary transition along the mutualism-antagonism continuum was a result of increased mutualist fitness by improved competitiveness for root exudates and increased tolerance to plant-secreted antimicrobials, which overall resulted in a positive effect on plant growth.

- 44. Denison RF, Kiers ET: Lifestyle alternatives for rhizobia: mutualism, parasitism, and forgoing symbiosis. FEMS Microbiol Lett 2004. 237:187-193.
- 45. Denison RF: Legume sanctions and the evolution of symbiotic cooperation by rhizobia. Am Nat 2000. 156:567-576.
- 46. Kiers TE, Rousseau RA, West SA, Denison RF: Host sanctions and the legume- rhizobium mutualism. Nature 2003, 425:78-81.
- 47. Fujita H, Aoki S, Kawaguchi M: Evolutionary dynamics of nitrogen fixation in the legume-rhizobia symbiosis. PLoS One 2014 9:e93670.
- 48. Gano-Cohen KA, Wendlandt CE, Stokes PJ, Blanton MA, Quides KW, Zomorrodian A, Adinata ES, Sachs JL: Interspecific conflict and the evolution of ineffective rhizobia. Ecol Lett 2019, **22**·914-924
- 49. Frederickson ME: No selection for cheating in a natural metapopulation of rhizobia. Ecol Lett 2020, 23:409-411.
- Frederickson ME: Mutualisms are not on the verge of 50. breakdown. Trends Ecol Evol 2017, 32:727-734.
- 51. Wheatley RM, Ford BL, Li L, Aroney STN, Knights HE, Ledermann R, East AK, Ramachandran VK, Poole PS: Lifestyle adaptations of Rhizobium from rhizosphere to symbiosis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2020. 117:23823-23834.
- 52. Fields B, Moffat EK, Harrison E, Andersen SU, Young J, Friman V-P: Genetic variation is associated with differences in facilitative and competitive interactions in the Rhizobium leguminosarum species complex. Environ Microbiol 2021, https://doi.org/10.111 1462-2920,15720
- 53. Hu J, Yang T, Friman VP, Kowalchuk GA, Hautier Y, Li M, Wei Z, Xu Y, Shen Q, Jousset A: Introduction of probiotic bacterial consortia promotes plant growth via impacts on the resident rhizosphere microbiome. Proc R Soc B (288) 2021,20211396.
- 54. Gu S, Wei Z, Shao Z, Friman V-P, Cao K, Yang T, Kramer J, Wang
 X, Li M, Mei X, et al.: Competition for iron drives phytopathogen control by natural rhizosphere microbiomes. Nat Microbiol 2020, 5:1002-1010 58 2020

Using a combination of DNA-based soil microbiome analysis and in vitro and in planta experiments, the ability of rhizosphere communities to compete for iron using siderophores was found to be a good indicator of pathogen invasion potential. How well invading pathogens could 'hijack' siderophores secreted by rhizosphere communities altered microbiome-pathogen interactions, which could result in a range of interactions from pathogen suppression to facilitation in the rhizosphere.

- 55. Granato ET, Meiller-Legrand TA, Foster KR: The evolution and ecology of bacterial warfare. Curr Biol 2019, 29:R521-R537.
- 56. Essarioui A, Leblanc N, Kistler HC, Kinkel LL: Plant community richness mediates inhibitory interactions and resource competition between Streptomyces and Fusarium populations in the rhizosphere. Microb Ecol 2017, 74:157-167.
- 57. Chase AB, Weihe C, Martiny JBH: Adaptive differentiation and rapid evolution of a soil bacterium along a climate gradient. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2021, 118:e2101254118.
- 58. Hu J, Wei Z, Weidner S, Friman V-P, Xu Y-C, Shen Q-R, Jousset A: Probiotic Pseudomonas communities enhance plant growth and nutrient assimilation via diversity-mediated ecosystem functioning. Soil Biol Biochem 2017, 113:122-129.
- Koskella B, Taylor TB: Multifaceted impacts of bacteriophages 59. in the plant microbiome. Annu Rev Phytopathol 2018, **56**:361-380.

- Wang X, Wei Z, Li M, Wang X, Shan A, Mei X, Jousset A, Shen Q, Xu Y, Friman V-P: Parasites and competitors suppress bacterial pathogen synergistically due to evolutionary trade-offs. *Evolution* 2017, 71:733-746.
- 61. Braga LPP, Spor A, Kot W, Breuil M-C, Hansen LH, Setubal JC,
 Philippot L: Impact of phages on soil bacterial communities and nitrogen availability under different assembly scenarios. *Microbiome* 2020, 8:1-14 *81* 2020.

In this paper, cocktails of native and non-native phages were introduced to soil microbial communities at different stages of soil colonisation to determine how phages drive assembly and ecosystem functioning of soil bacterial communities. From the analysis of 16S amplicon sequencing, the introduction of phage pressures was demonstrated to influence bacterial community composition, diversity and soil ammonium concentration turnover.

- Jousset A, Lara E, Wall LG, Valverde C: Secondary metabolites help biocontrol strain Pseudomonas fluorescens CHA0 to escape protozoan grazing. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2006, 72:7083-7090.
- 63. Mazzola M, De Bruijn I, Cohen MF, Raaijmakers JM: Protozoaninduced regulation of cyclic lipopeptide biosynthesis is an effective predation defense mechanism for Pseudomonas fluorescens. Appl Environ Microbiol 2009, **75**:6804-6811.
- 64. Rosenberg K, Bertaux J, Krome K, Hartmann A, Scheu S, Bonkowski M: Soil amoebae rapidly change bacterial community composition in the rhizosphere of Arabidopsis thaliana. *ISME J* 2009, 3:675-684.
- 65. Hünninghaus M, Koller R, Kramer S, Marhan S, Kandeler E, Bonkowski M: Changes in bacterial community composition and soil respiration indicate rapid successions of protist grazers during mineralization of maize crop residues. *Pedobiologia* 2017, 62:1-8.
- 66. Jousset A, Scheu S, Bonkowski M: Secondary metabolite production facilitates establishment of rhizobacteria by reducing both protozoan predation and the competitive effects of indigenous bacteria. Funct Ecol 2008, 22:714-719.
- 67. Guidot A, Jiang W, Ferdy JB, Thébaud C, Barberis P, Gouzy J, Genin S: Multihost experimental evolution of the pathogen *Ralstonia solanacearum* unveils genes involved in adaptation to plants. *Mol Biol Evol* 2014, **31**:2913-2928.
- 68. Gopalan-Nair R, Jardinaud MF, Legrand L, Landry D, Barlet X, Lopez-Roques C, Vandecasteele C, Bouchez O, Genin S, Guidot A:

Convergent rewiring of the virulence regulatory network promotes adaptation of *Ralstonia solanacearum* on resistant tomato. *Mol Biol Evol* 2021, **38**:1792-1808.

- Friman J, Karssemeijer PN, Haller J, de Kreek K, van Loon JJA, Dicke M: Shoot and root insect herbivory change the plant rhizosphere microbiome and affects cabbage-insect interactions through plant-soil feedback. New Phytol 2021, 232:2475-2490.
- Abdelfattah A, Tack AJM, Wasserman B, Liu J, Berg G, Norelli J, Droby S, Wisniewski M: Evidence for host-microbiome coevolution in apple. New Phytol 2021, https://doi.org/10.1111/NPH. 17820
- 71. Lim SJ, Bordenstein SR: An introduction to phylosymbiosis. Proc R Soc B (287) 2020,20192900.
- de Vries S, Stukenbrock EH, Rose LE: Rapid evolution in plant-microbe interactions – an evolutionary genomics perspective. New Phytol 2020, 226:1256-1262.
- 73. Geisen S, Briones MJI, Gan H, Behan-Pelletier VM, Friman VP, de Groot GA, Hannula SE, Lindo Z, Philippot L, Tiunov AV, et al.: A methodological framework to embrace soil biodiversity. Soil Biol Biochem 2019, 136:107536.
- 74. Hendry AP: A critique for eco-evolutionary dynamics. Funct Ecol
 2019, 33:84-94.

By altering the within-species diversity of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* populations, the authors show that populations with higher intraspecies diversity have a greater influence on bacterial community composition through suppression and facilitation of other community members. These findings suggest that microbial community structure can alter because of conditions that promote the evolution of rapid intraspecies diversification.

- 75. Padfield D, Vujakovic A, Paterson S, Griffiths R, Buckling A, Hesse E: Evolution of diversity explains the impact of pre-adaptation of a focal species on the structure of a natural microbial community. *ISME J* 2020, 14:2877-2889.
- Lawrence D, Fiegna F, Behrends V, Bundy JG, Phillimore AB, Bell T, Barraclough TG: Species interactions alter evolutionary responses to a novel environment. *PLOS Biol* 2012, 10:e1001330.
- 77. Barraclough TG: How do species interactions affect evolutionary dynamics across whole communities? Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst 2015, 46:25-48.