

<https://helda.helsinki.fi>

---

Synchronous spiking associated with prefrontal high  $\gamma$  oscillations evokes a 5-Hz rhythmic modulation of spiking in locus coeruleus

Totah, Nelson K.

2021-04

---

Totah , N K , Logothetis , N K & Eschenko , O 2021 , ' Synchronous spiking associated with prefrontal high  $\gamma$  oscillations evokes a 5-Hz rhythmic modulation of spiking in locus coeruleus ' , Journal of Neurophysiology , vol. 125 , no. 4 , pp. 1191-1201 . <https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00677.2020>

---

<http://hdl.handle.net/10138/342624>

<https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00677.2020>

---

acceptedVersion

---

*Downloaded from Helda, University of Helsinki institutional repository.*

*This is an electronic reprint of the original article.*

*This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.*

*Please cite the original version.*

1 Title: **Synchronous spiking associated with prefrontal high gamma**  
2 **oscillations evokes a 5 Hz-rhythmic modulation of spiking in locus coeruleus**  
3

4 Abbreviated title: PFC high gamma drives LC-MUA

5 Nelson K. Totah<sup>1,2,3\*</sup>, Nikos K. Logothetis<sup>1,4</sup>, Oxana Eschenko<sup>1\*</sup>

6 <sup>1</sup> Department of Physiology of Cognitive Processes, Max Planck Institute for Biological  
7 Cybernetics, Max-Planck-Ring 8, Tübingen, Germany 72076

8  
9 <sup>2</sup> Helsinki Institute of Life Science, University of Helsinki, Viikinkaari 5, Helsinki, Finland  
10 00014

11  
12 <sup>3</sup> Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Helsinki, Viikinkaari 5, Helsinki, Finland 00014  
13

14 <sup>4</sup> Division of Imaging Science and Biomedical Engineering, University of Manchester, M13  
15 9PT Manchester, UK

16  
17 \*Corresponding author: Nelson K. Totah ([nelson.totah@helsinki.fi](mailto:nelson.totah@helsinki.fi)) or Oxana Eschenko  
18 ([oxana.eschenko@tuebingen.mpg.de](mailto:oxana.eschenko@tuebingen.mpg.de))  
19

20 Page count: 35

21 Figure count: 9 (main figures) + 1 (supplementary figure)

22 Number of words: 252 (abstract), 385 (introduction), 580 (discussion)

23  
24 Conflict of interest statement: The authors declare no competing financial interests.  
25

26 **Acknowledgements:** We thank Prof. Stefano Panzeri and Dr. Michel Besserve for help  
27 implementing the transfer entropy analysis in MATLAB. We are grateful to Dr. Antonio  
28 Fernández-Ruiz and Dr. Martin Vinck for comments on the manuscript.

29 **Abstract**

30 The brainstem noradrenergic locus coeruleus (LC) is reciprocally connected with the  
31 prefrontal cortex (PFC). Coupling between LC spiking and the depolarizing phase of slow  
32 (1 – 2 Hz) waves in PFC field potentials during sleep and anesthesia suggests that LC  
33 drives cortical state transition. Reciprocal LC-PFC connectivity should also allow  
34 interactions in the opposing (top-down) direction, but prior work has only studied  
35 prefrontal control over LC activity using electrical or optogenetic stimulation. Here, we  
36 describe the physiological characteristics of spontaneously-occurring top-down LC-  
37 PFC interactions. We recorded LC multi-unit activity (MUA) simultaneously with PFC  
38 single unit and local field potential (LFP) activity in urethane-anesthetized rats. We  
39 observed cross-regional coupling between the phase of 5 Hz oscillations in LC-MUA and  
40 the power of PFC LFP 60 - 200Hz high gamma (hGamma). Transient increases in PFC  
41 hGamma power preceded peaks in the 5 Hz LC-MUA oscillation. Analysis of cross-  
42 regional transfer entropy demonstrated that the PFC hGamma transients were predictive  
43 of a transient increase in LC-MUA. A ~29 msec delay between these signals was  
44 consistent with the conduction velocity from the PFC to the LC. Finally, we showed that  
45 PFC hGamma transients are associated with synchronized spiking of a subset (27%) of  
46 PFC single units. Our data suggest that, PFC hGamma transients may indicate the timing  
47 of the top-down excitatory input to LC, at least under conditions when LC neuronal  
48 population activity fluctuates rhythmically at 5 Hz. Synchronized PFC neuronal spiking  
49 that occurs during hGamma transients may provide a previously unknown mode of top-  
50 down control over the LC.

51

52

53 **New and Noteworthy**

54 The prefrontal cortex (PFC) is thought to control activity in the noradrenergic locus  
55 coeruleus (LC). Prior anatomical and prefrontal stimulation studies demonstrated the  
56 potential for PFC-LC interactions; however, it is unknown what types of PFC activity affect  
57 the LC. Here, we show that transient increases in PFC high gamma power and associated  
58 changes in PFC unit-pair synchrony are a potential sign of top-down control over the LC.

## 59 **Introduction**

60 A common assumption about coerulear-prefrontal (LC-PFC) functional  
61 connectivity is that the LC is a driver. This assumption is based on the well-documented  
62 actions of the LC as an ascending neuromodulatory system (Swanson and Hartman,  
63 1975; Grzanna et al., 1977; Fallon et al., 1978; Morrison et al., 1979; Loughlin et al., 1982;  
64 Waterhouse et al., 1983; Schwarz et al., 2015; Kebschull et al., 2016). However,  
65 bidirectional LC-PFC interaction is also likely as the LC and PFC are reciprocally and  
66 monosynaptically connected. Indeed, the PFC has been demonstrated to exert both  
67 inhibitory and excitatory effects on LC activity (Arnsten and Goldman-Rakic, 1984;  
68 Sesack et al., 1989; Luppi et al., 1995; Sara and Hervé-Minvielle, 1995; Jodo et al., 1998;  
69 Aston-Jones and Cohen, 2005; Breton-Provencher and Sur, 2019). Notably, the PFC is  
70 the only cortical region sending direct projections to LC (Sesack et al., 1989; Luppi et al.,  
71 1995). Previous studies on LC-PFC interactions during sleep or anesthesia have focused  
72 on a prominent 1 - 2 Hz oscillation in LC spike rate that is thought to promote the transition  
73 to cortical heightened excitability (Eschenko et al., 2011; Safaai et al., 2015; Totah et al.,  
74 2018). However, during urethane anesthesia, rhythmic LC activity occurs not only at ~1 -  
75 2 Hz, but also at ~5 Hz (Safaai et al., 2015). Here, we studied the nature and the  
76 directionality of the LC-PFC interaction during these faster 5 Hz fluctuations of LC multi-  
77 unit activity (MUA).

78 In the present study, we monitored LC-MUA and wide-band extracellular activity  
79 from the prelimbic division of the prefrontal cortex (PFC) in urethane-anesthetized rats.  
80 Importantly, while recording LC-MUA for long durations and with stable spiking activity in  
81 behaving animals continues to present an immense challenge, anesthesia permits stable,  
82 long-lasting recordings to study physiological interactions between the LC and PFC. Here,

83 we report cross-regional phase-amplitude coupling between LC-MUA 5 Hz oscillations  
84 and high gamma (hGamma, 60-200 Hz) LFP power in the PFC. Transient increases in  
85 PFC hGamma power preceded LC-MUA 5 Hz oscillation peaks by a delay consistent with  
86 the known conduction velocity from the PFC to the LC. hGamma transients were  
87 associated with PFC unit-pair spike synchrony. Taken together, our results demonstrate  
88 that, during epochs when LC population firing rate oscillates at 5 Hz hGamma transients  
89 may be a sign of PFC top-down excitatory control over the LC.

90

## 91 **Materials and Methods**

92

### 93 *Subjects*

94 All experimental procedures were carried out with approval from the local authorities  
95 and in compliance with the German Law for the Protection of Animals in experimental  
96 research (Tierschutzversuchstierverordnung) and the European Community Guidelines  
97 for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (EU Directive 2010/63/EU). Male Sprague-  
98 Dawley rats (350 - 450 g) were used. Animals (specific pathogen free) were ordered from  
99 Charles River Laboratories (Sulzfeld, Germany). Animals were pair housed and on a  
100 08:00 to 20:00 dark to light cycle. Data were collected from rats used in a prior study  
101 (Totah et al., 2018).

102

### 103 *Anesthesia and Surgical Procedures*

104 Rats were anesthetized using an intra-peritoneal (i.p.) injection of urethane at a dose  
105 of 1.5 g/kg body weight (Sigma-Aldrich, U2500). Oxygen was administered throughout

106 the procedure and body temperature was maintained at 37 C using a heating pad and  
107 rectal probe to monitor body temperature. The skull was leveled to 0 degrees, such that  
108 the difference between lambda and bregma was less than 0.2 mm.

109

#### 110 *Stereotaxic coordinates and electrode placement*

111 Electrodes were targeted for the LC and PL. The coordinates for LC were 4.0 mm  
112 posterior from lambda, 1.2 mm lateral from lambda, and approximately 6.0 mm ventral  
113 from the brain surface (implanted at a 15 deg posterior angle). The following coordinates,  
114 in relation to bregma and the brain surface, were used for PL: 3.0 mm anterior, 0.8 mm  
115 lateral, 3.0 mm ventral.

116 The LC electrode was targeted based on standard electrophysiological criteria.  
117 These criteria included a slow spontaneous firing rate, biphasic response to noxious  
118 sensory stimuli (foot shock), audible presence of jaw movement-responsive cells in the  
119 Mesencephalic Nucleus of Cranial Nerve V with undetectable single units (<0.2 mV) from  
120 that structure. LC electrode placements were also verified using histological examination  
121 in 50 um sections that were stained for Cresyl violet or a DAB and horse radish peroxidase  
122 reaction with hydrogen peroxide to visualize an antibody against tyrosine hydroxylase  
123 (the catecholamine synthesis enzyme).

124

#### 125 *Electrodes*

126 The LC was recorded either using a single tungsten probe (FHC, Model:  
127 UEWMFGSMCNNG) or a multi-channel silicone probe (NeuroNexus, Model: A1x32-  
128 Poly3-10mm-25s-177-A32). Deep layer PFC LFP was recorded using a single tungsten

129 probe (FHC). The impedance was 200 kOhm to 800 kOhm. For recordings of PFC single  
130 units, a Neuronexus A4x2-tet-5mm-500-400-312 probe was used. The probe was  
131 oriented running anterior-posterior in the deep layers.

132

### 133 *Recording and signal acquisition*

134 A silver wire inserted into the neck muscle was used as a reference for the  
135 electrodes. Electrodes were connected to a pre-amplifier (in-house constructed) via low  
136 noise cables. Analog signals were amplified (by 2000 for LC and 500 for cortex) and  
137 filtered (8 kHz low pass, DC high pass) using an Alpha-Omega multi-channel processor  
138 (Alpha-Omega, Model: MPC Plus). Signals were then digitized at 24 kHz using a data  
139 acquisition device CED, Model: Power1401mkII).

140

### 141 *Administration of clonidine*

142 At the end of the recording, a 0.05 mg/kg dose of the alpha-2 adrenergic agonist  
143 clonidine was injected i.p. (Sigma-Aldrich, product identification: C7897). The recording  
144 was continued at least until LC activity ceased.

145

### 146 *Determination of cortical state*

147 Cortical states were separated based on characteristics of the LFP signal  
148 examined in 7 sec windows. Two characteristics were considered: a ratio of the cortical  
149 LFP power below 4 Hz and the power above 20 Hz and the kurtosis of the distribution of  
150 LFP values. The LFP was first decimated and low-pass filtered to 500 Hz. The distribution  
151 of power ratio values and kurtosis values for each 7 sec window were fit with Gaussian



152 mixture models. We used the power ratio to label windows of data as putative activated  
153 states if they were  $<1$  standard deviation from the lower Gaussian's mean or they were  
154 labeled putative slow oscillation states if they were  $>-1$  standard deviation from the higher  
155 Gaussian's mean. We used the kurtosis values to label windows of data as putative  
156 activated states if they were  $>1$  standard deviation from the higher Gaussian's mean or  
157 as putative slow oscillation states if they were  $< 1$  standard deviation from the lower  
158 Gaussian's mean. Any labels that agreed across the kurtosis-based labels and the power  
159 ratio-based labels were used as the final state assignments for those windows. Any  
160 windows that were unlabeled or did not agree across the two characteristics were ignored  
161 in order to conservatively reduce mistaken classifications. The raw LFP signals were  
162 plotted for visual inspection in order to assess the accuracy of labeling.

163

#### 164 *Detection of LC MUA oscillations*

165 The LFP (digitized and stored at 24 kHz) recorded in the LC was bandpass filtered  
166 for high frequency, spiking activity (400 to 3000 Hz) to obtain a multi-unit spiking signal,  
167 as would be done typically for sorting single unit spikes. The signal was downsampled to  
168 9 kHz. The signal was then rectified. This signal is termed the MUA signal. The power  
169 spectral density (PSD) of the MUA signal was obtained using a multi-taper estimation  
170 generated from the Chronux toolbox in MATLAB (params.tapers = [3 5]). For each  
171 recording session (one per rat), the PSD of LC-MUA was calculated in 4 sec windows.  
172 The resulting PSD's were k-means clustered. An optimal k was determined by a gap  
173 statistic. The mean PSD for each cluster was plotted and manually inspected for a 4 – 7  
174 Hz peak. In some cases, multiple clusters of PSDs had a peak in the 4 -7 Hz range with

175 the only difference being the amplitude of the spectral peak. For each recording session,  
176 all clusters with 4 – 7 Hz peak were accepted as epochs with LC-MUA 5 Hz oscillations.

177

### 178 *Cortical spectrogram calculation*

179 Cortical spectrograms, triggered on LC MUA oscillation peaks, were calculated as  
180 follows. The LC MUA signal was bandpass filtered 5 Hz peak frequency (4 – 6 Hz) and  
181 Hilbert transformed to obtain the instantaneous phase. We selected peak times that  
182 occurred during the 4 sec windows with 5 Hz oscillations (defined by PSD clustering, see  
183 above section). A cortical spectrogram was generated for  $\pm 5$  sec around this peak using  
184 a complex Morelet wavelet transform. The large window was used to discount edge  
185 artifacts. The resulting analytic amplitudes were then cut to a small time around the  
186 oscillation. At each cortical frequency, the spectrogram was normalized as a Z-score. The  
187 normalization was done around each LC MUA oscillation peak, then averaged across  
188 peaks for each rat. The presented spectrograms are the averages across rats.

189

### 190 *Coupling between LC MUA oscillation phase and cortical LFP oscillation amplitude*

191 The phase-amplitude coupling was calculated using the LC MUA signal as the  
192 oscillation for phase and the cortical LFP signal (downsampled to 9 kHz) as the oscillation  
193 for amplitude. The relationship between phase of one frequency and the amplitude of  
194 another frequency was quantified using the modulation index (MI), which is based on the  
195 Kullback-Leibler divergence of the circular distribution from uniformity (Tort et al., 2010).  
196 MI was calculated for each frequency pair (a frequency for phase,  $f_p$ , and a frequency for  
197 amplitude,  $f_A$ ). Only  $f_A$  that were two times  $f_p$  were considered, so that phase of at least

198 two oscillation cycles was present for measuring the MI. We binned phase into 18 bins,  
 199 where  $j$  is a bin, and then calculated the mean amplitude,  $\langle A_{f_A} \rangle$  of  $f_A$  in each phase bin of  
 200  $f_P$ . This resulted in a phase distribution of amplitudes,  $\langle A_{f_A} \rangle_{\theta_{f_P}(j)}$ . We normalized the  
 201 distribution by dividing each bin by the sum across all bins. The resulting distribution is

$$202 \quad P(j) = \frac{\langle A_{f_A} \rangle_{\theta_{f_P}(j)}}{\sum_{k=1}^N \langle A_{f_A} \rangle_{\theta_{f_P}(k)}}$$

203 where  $k$  is the phase bin and  $N$  is the total number of phase bins. The third step was to  
 204 quantify the difference of this amplitude distribution from a uniform circular distribution.  
 205 This was done using the Kullback-Leibler divergence. The first step in calculating the  
 206 divergence was to calculate the Shannon Entropy of  $P(j)$ , which is

$$207 \quad H(P) = - \sum_{j=1}^N P(j) \log [P(j)]$$

208 The second step was to calculate the Kullback-Leibler divergence of the amplitude  
 209 distribution from a uniform distribution, which is related to Shannon Entropy as,

$$210 \quad D(P, U) = \log(N) - H(P)$$

211 where  $U$  is the uniform circular distribution. Note that, if the amplitude distribution is flat  
 212 and the amplitude of  $f_A$  is the same for all phase bins of  $f_P$ , then  $\log(N)$  is the maximal  
 213 possible entropy in which  $P(j) = 1/N$  and phase is equally distributed across all bins,  $j$ .  
 214 Accordingly, the Kullback-Leibler divergence is normalized by the maximal entropy,  
 215  $\log(N)$ , in which case a uniformly distributed  $P(j)$  that is not different from  $U$  will push the  
 216 MI to 0. Otherwise, MI will range 0 to 1, with 1 indicating that oscillations of  $f_A$  exist in a  
 217 single  $f_P(j)$ . The MI is thus,

$$218 \quad MI_{f_A, f_P} = \frac{D(P_{f_A, f_P}, U)}{\log(N)}$$

219 In order to control for chance modulation, we constructed a surrogate set of MI values to  
220 measure the level of coupling between  $f_A$  and  $f_P$  that could occur by chance. We shuffled  
221  $f_A$  and then calculated a surrogate MI. We performed this procedure 100 times. A 99%  
222 confidence interval threshold was subtracted from the MI of the real data, such that values  
223 equal to or less than 0 were non-significant.

224

### 225 *PFC single unit spike sorting*

226 Single unit spike sorting was performed using MountainSort (Chung et al., 2017).  
227 Units were assessed for amplitude stability over time, a low proportion (<one quarter of  
228 the shoulder of the auto-correlogram) of spikes in the  $\pm 1$  msec interval of the auto-  
229 correlogram, and cross-correlograms not indicative of recordings from the same unit split  
230 into multiple clusters.

231

### 232 *Joint peri-event time histograms*

233 The joint peri-event spike histogram was calculated in 10 msec bins in order to  
234 capture spiking synchronized across single units with enough temporal proximity to evoke  
235 post-synaptic effects on target neurons (Abeles, 1982; Alonso et al., 1996; Fujisawa et  
236 al., 2008). The joint peri-event time histograms were normalized by subtracting the top  
237 5% value obtained by selecting random event times that were equivalent to the number  
238 of hGamma events. We plotted the coincidence histogram using the values along the  $\pm 30$   
239 msec diagonal of the joint peri-event time histogram. These values were chosen because  
240 the hGamma transients to which the histograms were aligned lasted about 60 msec.

241

## 242 *Statistical analyses*

243 Mean and standard error are reported for normally distributed data. Median and  
244 standard deviation are reported for data that were not normally distributed. The names of  
245 the statistical tests are reported in the results and includes the test statistic and p-value.  
246 When results were significant, a post-hoc power calculation was included.

247 Data were tested for normality using a Shapiro-Wilk test ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ) and  
248 homogeneity of variance ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ) using an F-test (`vartest2` in MATLAB). A  
249 Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney Test was used for comparing two groups when data were not  
250 normally distributed, otherwise a t-test is used. In cases where variance was  
251 inhomogeneous, we used Welch's t-test. Effect sizes are reported as Cohen's D for  
252 analysis of 2 groups. Post-hoc power was calculated with `sampsizepwr` in MATLAB. For  
253 circular data, uniformity was assessed using Rayleigh's Test for Circular Uniformity ( $\alpha$   
254 = 0.05) in the CircStat toolbox in MATLAB (Berens, 2009).

255

## 256 **Results**

257 Our goal was to study the nature and directionality of LC-PFC interactions during  
258 epochs when LC population firing rate oscillated at 5 Hz. For this purpose, we used  
259 urethane-anesthetized rats, a common model for studying LC-PFC interactions (Sara and  
260 Hervé-Minvielle, 1995; Jodo et al., 1998; Marzo et al., 2014; Safaai et al., 2015; Neves et  
261 al., 2018; Totah et al., 2018). We recorded wide-band (0.1 Hz – 8kHz) extracellular activity  
262 from deep layers of the prelimbic division of the rat PFC and from the LC core. LC-MUA  
263 was measured by first band-pass filtering (400 – 3 kHz) to resolve extracellular spiking  
264 and then rectifying the signal. **Figure 1A** shows an example trace of band-pass filtered  
265 extracellular spiking signal (grey line) and the rectified LC-MUA signal (purple line). Large

266 amplitude fluctuations in LC-MUA are generated primarily by action potentials produced  
267 by the neuronal population within 300  $\mu\text{m}$  of the electrode (Logothetis, 2003, 2008). This  
268 recording radius is comparable with the smallest dimension of LC core (Grzanna and  
269 Molliver, 1980). Therefore, MUA was likely only capturing LC neuronal activity. We  
270 verified that the MUA originated from LC norepinephrine (NE) containing neurons by  
271 injecting clonidine (0.05 mg/kg, i.p.) at the end of the recording session. Clonidine  
272 completely abolished the extracellular spiking that contributes to MUA signal in all rats  
273 (an example rat is shown in **Figure 1B**). Clonidine inhibits LC norepinephrine (NE)  
274 neurons by binding to alpha-2 auto-inhibitory adrenoreceptors present on the soma and  
275 dendrites of LC-NE neurons (Aghajanian et al., 1977). Clonidine administration  
276 discriminates extracellular unit spiking by LC-NE neurons from surrounding non-LC  
277 neurons because structures in the vicinity of the recording electrode do not have alpha-2  
278 receptors (McCune et al., 1993).

279 Consistent with an earlier report on LC-MUA (Safaai et al., 2015), we confirmed  
280 that LC-MUA oscillates at both 1 - 2 Hz and 5 Hz during urethane anesthesia. We  
281 characterized LC-MUA oscillations by calculating the power spectral density (PSD) of the  
282 LC-MUA. For each recording session ( $n = 35$  rats), we calculated the PSD in 4-sec  
283 epochs and clustered them using principal components analysis and k-means clustering.  
284 Epochs with  $\sim 5$  Hz oscillations of LC-MUA were identified as a cluster with a peak in the  
285 4 - 7 Hz range. **Figure 1C** shows the average power spectrum of all 4-sec data epochs  
286 with LC-MUA 5 Hz oscillations versus epochs without LC-MUA 5 Hz oscillations. **Figure**  
287 **1D** shows an example clip of LC population rhythmic firing at 5 Hz. By examining the  
288 power of LC-MUA firing rate in 4 sec windows, we reveal numerous epochs in which the

289 recorded LC population activates and deactivates periodically every ~200 msec (i.e., at 5  
290 cycles per sec).

291 We next determined how LC single unit firing rate fluctuated during LC-MUA 5 Hz  
292 oscillations. The oscillation cannot be detected in LC single units because units fire only  
293 ~1 Hz. We instead assessed the relationship between single unit spike timing and the LC  
294 neuronal population oscillation. Nearly all single units (67.3% of 168 units) were  
295 significantly phase locked (Rayleigh's test for circular uniformity,  $p < 0.05$ ) to the peak of  
296 the LC-MUA 5Hz oscillation (i.e., the purple line in **Figure 1**). Prior work has defined two  
297 types of LC single units, those with narrow waveforms and those with wide waveforms  
298 (Totah et al., 2018). 52.6% of 76 narrow type units and 79.3% of 92 wide type units were  
299 phase locked to the LC-MUA 5 Hz oscillation. Thus, LC single units emit spikes as part  
300 of the LC neuronal population oscillating at 5 Hz. Given that 5 Hz oscillations are  
301 observable in only the LC-MUA signal, the remaining analyses focused on LC-MUA.

302 Prior research has demonstrated that slow (1 - 2 Hz) rhythmic LC activity occurs  
303 during sleep and anesthesia when the cortex is in a slow oscillation state in the same  
304 frequency range (Sara and Hervé-Minvielle, 1995; Lestienne et al., 1997; Eschenko et  
305 al., 2011; Safaai et al., 2015; Neves et al., 2018; Totah et al., 2018) However, the brain  
306 state during which LC-MUA 5 Hz oscillations occur is not known. We assigned each 4-  
307 sec recording epoch with LC-MUA 5 Hz oscillations to a "slow oscillation" or an "activated"  
308 cortical state (see Methods for cortical state classification). The slow oscillation state  
309 consisted of periodically (1 - 2 Hz) alternating epochs of high and low neuronal excitability,  
310 whereas the 'activated' state was one of continuously enhanced neuronal excitability  
311 (**Figure 2A**). LC-MUA 5 Hz oscillations occurred mostly during the cortical activated state

312 **(Figure 2B)**. Significantly more epochs of LC-MUA 5 Hz were observed during the cortical  
313 activated state in comparison to the slow oscillation state ( $\chi^2 = 3494.7$ ,  $p < 0.0001$ ). Having  
314 observed a brain state-dependency of LC-MUA 5 Hz oscillations, we focused the  
315 remaining analyses on the recording sessions with more than 40 sec of LC-MUA 5 Hz  
316 oscillations in the activated cortical state. 19 of 35 recording sessions had less than 40  
317 sec of LC-MUA 5 Hz oscillations and the cortical activity recorded in those rats consisted  
318 nearly entirely of the slow oscillation state ( $77.8 \pm 8.1\%$  of total recording time). In contrast,  
319 the 16 recording sessions with LC-MUA 5 Hz oscillations were in the cortical activated  
320 state for  $74.3 \pm 7.7\%$  of the recording session.

321 ***Frequency-specific modulation of the PFC activity during LC population***  
322 ***oscillations at ~5Hz***

323 Although a phasic increase in LC-MUA has been proposed as a driver of the  
324 cortical activated state, the nature and directionality of LC-PFC interactions during epochs  
325 when LC population activity oscillates at 5 Hz has not yet been characterized. We first  
326 measured the relationship between the phase of LC-MUA 5 Hz oscillations (i.e., relative  
327 increases and decreases in LC population spike rate) and changes in the power spectrum  
328 of the PFC LFP. This relationship was quantified using a modulation index (MI) that  
329 measured the non-uniformity of the phase distribution of PFC LFP amplitude between 30  
330 and 300 Hz (Tort et al., 2010). Following the method of Tort, et al. (2010), we subtracted  
331 the 99<sup>th</sup> largest MI value from 100 shuffled data sets, such that any MI values that are  
332 larger than zero are significant (one-sided permutation test,  $p < 0.01$ ). Subtracting the 99%  
333 confidence intervals from the measured MI produces extremely small, yet significant MI  
334 values (typically,  $10^{-3}$ ). The values shown in **Figure 3A** are similar to those reported in



335 other studies (Spaak et al., 2012; Amadei et al., 2017; Park et al., 2020). Moreover, LC-  
336 MUA 5 Hz oscillation peak-triggered cortical power spectra show a clear power  
337 modulation (**Figure 3B**). This confirms the results of the MI analysis.

338 The MI analysis revealed that LC-MUA 5 Hz oscillations are associated with  
339 frequency band-specific modulation of PFC LFP power between 60 Hz and 200 Hz. This  
340 band includes high gamma (hGamma) as well as high frequency oscillations (HFOs) (Ray  
341 et al., 2008a, 2008b; Ray and Maunsell, 2011; Khodagholy et al., 2017). We will refer to  
342 this range (60 – 200 Hz) as the hGamma band, although it also includes HFOs. **Figure**  
343 **3A** shows the average MI value across all recording sessions in which LC-MUA 5 Hz  
344 oscillation epochs were present during the cortical activated state. Four of these rats  
345 lacked a clear modulation in the PFC power spectrum that was inconsistent with the  
346 population mean (especially in the frequencies higher than 250Hz) and were excluded.  
347 The excluded data are shown with typical examples from individual rats in **Supplemental**  
348 **Fig. S1** (Private sharing link on figshare: <https://figshare.com/s/56f03e7eabce0f2a6508>).  
349 A boxplot illustrates the distribution, across rats, of the average MI value for 4 – 7 Hz  
350 phase with hGamma (60 – 200 Hz) amplitude (**Figure 3B**). The temporal relationships  
351 between the PFC hGamma amplitude and LC-MUA 5 Hz oscillation phase are shown on  
352 PFC LFP power spectrograms triggered on the peaks of the LC-MUA 5 Hz oscillation  
353 (**Figure 3C**). Consistent temporal relations between the LC-MUA rhythmic increases at 5  
354 Hz and PFC LFP power increases exclusively in the hGamma band contrasts with prior  
355 work demonstrating LC activation triggering a less specific (>30 Hz) change in PFC LFP  
356 (Marzo et al., 2014; Neves et al., 2018).

### 357 ***The directionality of the LC-PFC interaction***

358           Having established that transient increases in PFC hGamma power are phase-  
359 locked to LC-MUA 5 Hz oscillations, we turned to assessing the directionality of this  
360 interaction. The peri-event spectrogram in **Figure 3C** shows a PFC hGamma power  
361 change preceding the increase in LC-MUA activity, which suggests a directional  
362 interaction from the PFC to the LC. Indeed, the PFC can also exert both inhibitory and  
363 excitatory influences on LC activity (Sara and Hervé-Minvielle, 1995; Jodo et al., 1998);  
364 however, interactions can also occur in the opposing direction given that the LC is an  
365 ascending neuromodulatory system which drives changes in the cortex (Swanson and  
366 Hartman, 1975; Grzanna et al., 1977; Fallon et al., 1978; Morrison et al., 1979; Loughlin  
367 et al., 1982; Waterhouse et al., 1983; Schwarz et al., 2015; Kobschull et al., 2016). In  
368 order to infer the directionality of the LC-PFC interaction during epochs of LC-MUA 5 Hz  
369 oscillations, we used information theoretic measures to calculate the transfer entropy (TE)  
370 from the phase of the LC-MUA 5 Hz signal to the amplitude of the PFC LFP hGamma  
371 signal, as well as PFC to LC (Besserve et al., 2010, 2015). This measure quantifies the  
372 ability to predict the current state of signal Y based on its past alone compared to the  
373 when the past of signal X is included. For example, higher TE from X to Y would indicate  
374 that signal Y can be predicted from the past of signal X beyond what signal Y's self-history  
375 allows one to predict about its current state.

376           We observed that the direction of interaction during LC-MUA 5 Hz oscillations was  
377 actually predominantly from the PFC to the LC (**Figure 4A**). Transfer entropy was larger  
378 from the past of the PFC LFP hGamma amplitude to present LC-MUA 5 Hz phase than  
379 vice versa (Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test,  $Z = 4.128$ ,  $p = 3.66E-5$ , power = 1.0, Cohen's  $D =$

380 2.833). This result is consistent with the peri-event spectrogram presented in **Figure 3C**,  
381 which show the median latency from the PFC hGamma power modulation to the LC-MUA  
382 5 Hz oscillation peak is 29.1 msec (**Figure 4B**). This delay after the hGamma transient is  
383 consistent with the previously reported glutamatergic conduction time from the prelimbic  
384 division of the PFC to the LC in the rat (average 35 msec, range 10 to 70 msec (Jodo et  
385 al., 1998). The higher TE values from the PFC to the LC, as well as the consistency of  
386 the PFC hGamma to LC-MUA 5 Hz delay with the known conduction time from PFC to  
387 LC, suggest that PFC hGamma transients may indicate the timing of the top-down  
388 excitatory input to LC.

389         The source of the cross-frequency LC-PFC interaction during epochs when the LC  
390 population oscillates at 5 Hz is unknown. However, the LFP signal, which reflects peri-  
391 synaptic input around the electrode, did not have a 5 Hz oscillation in the PFC (**Figure**  
392 **5**). Therefore, it is unlikely that 5 Hz rhythmic synaptic input to the PFC is driving periodic  
393 changes in PFC activity which, in turn, drive rhythmic changes in LC-MUA at 5 Hz.  
394 Accordingly, the synaptic and network events that drive this LC-PFC interaction to occur  
395 periodically at ~200 msec are, at present, unknown.

### 396 ***The PFC spike rate during LC-PFC interactions***

397         The extracellular potential changes recorded in PFC as hGamma oscillations are  
398 highly localized and cannot directly affect LC neurons; rather, it is the spiking output of  
399 PFC neurons that drives LC activity. We next assessed the spike rate of PFC units during  
400 LC-MUA 5 Hz oscillations. In order to assess how PFC spiking and hGamma power relate  
401 to 5 Hz rhythmic fluctuations of LC-MUA, we aligned PFC multi-unit spike rate to the  
402 peaks of the LC-MUA 5 Hz oscillation. We highpass filtered the wideband PFC

403 extracellular signal (at 500 Hz), detected spike times by thresholding the signal (3.5  
404 standard deviations from the noise), and constructed a spike density function from those  
405 spike times using a 100 msec Gaussian kernel. We found that PFC MUA was modulated  
406 around LC-MUA 5 Hz oscillation peaks, albeit with a slight phase shift compared to PFC  
407 hGamma power (**Figure 6A**).

408         Having demonstrated that both PFC spiking and PFC hGamma power co-fluctuate  
409 around the peak of LC-MUA 5 Hz oscillations, we predicted that PFC single units would  
410 be phase-locked to LC-MUA 5 Hz oscillations. In four of the rats shown in **Figure 3**, we  
411 used a 4-shank silicone probe (200 um between shanks) placed in the anterior-posterior  
412 plane within the PFC deep layers. These probes were chosen to isolate PFC single units.  
413 Each shank had 2 recording tetrodes separated by 500 um in the dorsal-ventral direction.  
414 Using these probes, we recorded 83 PFC single units (S.E.M.:  $17 \pm 2$  units per rat, Range:  
415 9 to 22 units). Single unit spike trains were converted to spike density functions using a  
416 100 msec Gaussian kernel. In line with this prediction, we found that 20 of 83 PFC single  
417 units (27%) were significantly phase-locked to LC-MUA 5 Hz oscillations (Rayleigh's test  
418 for circular uniformity,  $p < 0.05$ ). The phase preference of these PFC units concentrated  
419 around the trough of the LC-MUA 5 Hz oscillation (**Figure 6B**). The spike rate of the PFC  
420 single units, which were phase locked to LC-MUA 5Hz oscillation, increased ~100 msec  
421 prior the LC-MUA peak (**Figure 6C**). This delay is inconsistent with the known conduction  
422 delays (~29 msec) from the PFC to the LC (Jodo, et al. 1998). Our findings suggest that  
423 the spiking of some PFC single units has a temporally consistent relationship with the LC-  
424 MUA 5 Hz oscillation, but that these spikes occur far earlier (~100 msec) in the LC-MUA  
425 oscillation cycle to monosynaptically drive its ascending phase given conduction delay of

426 ~29 msec. Although poly-synaptic influence of these PFC spikes on LC could not be ruled  
427 out, our sample of PFC units does not support the claim that PFC spike output  
428 monosynaptically drives the 5 Hz rhythmic firing in LC.

429         The role of PFC spikes phase-locked to the trough of the LC-MUA 5 Hz oscillation  
430 remains unclear (**Figure 6B**). The firing of a subset of PFC single units during the trough  
431 of the LC-MUA 5 Hz oscillation suggest that they may have a role in the rhythmic  
432 prefrontal-coeruleus interaction. One possibility is that an increase in PFC spiking ~100  
433 msec prior to the LC-MUA peak is a local circuit mechanism that drives both the hGamma  
434 power increase and synchronous PFC spiking. We examined this possibility by  
435 calculating TE between PFC hGamma amplitude and PFC single units phase locked to  
436 LC-MUA 5 Hz oscillation (**Figure 7**). We found that PFC spiking was predictive of the  
437 upcoming hGamma power increase (Wilcoxon rank sum test due to lack of normal  
438 distribution,  $Z = 2.61$ ,  $p = 0.009$ , Cohen's  $D = 0.776$ , Power = 0.736). The spiking of PFC  
439 units with no consistent relation to LC-MUA 5 Hz oscillation (Rayleigh's test for circular  
440 uniformity,  $p > 0.2$ ) was not predictive of the hGamma power change (Wilcoxon rank sum  
441 test,  $Z = 1.429$ ,  $p = 0.153$ , Cohen's  $D = 0.343$ , Power = 0.336).

#### 442 ***The PFC unit-pair spike synchrony during LC-PFC interactions***

443         Synchronous spiking between PFC neurons could be an alternative mechanism  
444 mediating the PFC effects on the LC. We tested the possibility that the increases in PFC  
445 hGamma power are associated with a transient increase in synchronous spiking across  
446 PFC single units. We constructed joint peri-event time histograms of PFC single unit  
447 spiking using a  $\pm 60$  msec window around PFC hGamma transients (Aertsen et al., 1989;  
448 Brody, 1999). This window was justified as a window that captured the entire duration of

449 the hGamma power increase. **Figure 3C** shows the power transient lasting ~60 msec. A  
450  $\pm 60$  msec window centered on the hGamma power peak captures the entire hGamma  
451 transient plus 30 msec of 'baseline' on either side. This enabled us to test the hypothesis  
452 that PFC single unit pairwise synchrony increases during hGamma power transients. The  
453 diagonal of the joint peri-event time histogram was used to calculate a coincidence  
454 histogram for each of the 808 pairs of PFC single units. The coincidence histograms serve  
455 to characterize synchronous spiking around the time of the hGamma transient ( $t = 0$  in  
456 **Figure 8**). We found an increase in synchrony around PFC hGamma power peaks in half  
457 (48%) of PFC single unit pairs (**Figure 8**). The synchronous spiking occurred ~ 20 msec  
458 prior to the hGamma power peak and lasted for ~ 50 msec. The hGamma power peak  
459 itself occurred ~ 29 msec prior to the LC-MUA 5 Hz peak, which means that synchronous  
460 PFC spiking transiently increased ~ 49 msec before the LC-MUA 5 Hz peak and lasted ~  
461 21 msec after the LC-MUA 5 Hz peak. Note that PFC spikes occurring 20 msec after the  
462 hGamma peak can still drive the LC-MUA during the descending phase of the LC-MUA  
463 5Hz oscillation given prior work demonstrating the PFC-to-LC monosynaptic conduction  
464 time as fast as 10 msec for some units (Jodo, et al. 1989). These data suggest a potential  
465 mechanism for PFC monosynaptic control over LC firing using transiently synchronous  
466 spiking during hGamma transients. However, it is important to note that the recorded PFC  
467 single units may or may not project to the LC. In summary, it appears that the subset of  
468 PFC neurons that spike during the troughs of LC-MUA 5 Hz oscillations may drive local  
469 hGamma transients, which are themselves related to the synchronous spiking of PFC  
470 units that may drive the LC-MUA increase.

471

472 **Discussion**

473           In this study, we examined the relationship between rhythmic (5 Hz) increases in  
474 LC-MUA and neural activity in the PFC, an important forebrain target of LC. In contrast to  
475 the well-described slower (1 - 2 Hz) rhythmic increases in LC spiking that are observed  
476 during cortical slow oscillations (Eschenko et al., 2011; Safaai et al., 2015; Totah et al.,  
477 2018), the LC-MUA 5 Hz oscillations predominantly occurred during the activated cortical  
478 state devoid of cortical slow oscillations. By measuring cross-frequency coupling between  
479 LC-MUA oscillations within 1 - 10 Hz range and the power spectrum of PFC LFP (30 –  
480 500 Hz), we observed a systematic temporal relationship between the phase of LC-MUA  
481 oscillations within a 4 - 6 Hz range and PFC hGamma power (60 - 200 Hz). The transient  
482 increase in PFC hGamma power preceded the LC-MUA 5 Hz oscillation peak by ~29  
483 msec. This time lag is consistent with the previously reported orthodromic conduction  
484 times from deep layers of the prelimbic division of the PFC in rats (Jodo et al., 1998).  
485 Furthermore, using transfer entropy, we show that PFC hGamma power is temporally  
486 predictive of LC-MUA 5 Hz phase. The transfer entropy and the biologically-plausible  
487 delay time are each evidence for the idea that PFC hGamma transients may indicate the  
488 timing of the top-down excitatory input to LC, at least under conditions when LC neuronal  
489 population activity fluctuates rhythmically at 5 Hz.

490           hGamma transients are unlikely to have a direct synaptic effect on LC neurons  
491 because they are highly local. We showed that synchronous spiking between PFC single  
492 units occurs during hGamma transients and reached maximum around the peak of LC-  
493 MUA 5 Hz oscillation. We suggest that this increased population synchrony in PFC may  
494 be top-down excitatory input to LC. The timing between synchronous PFC spiking and

495 the peak of the LC-MUA oscillation is consistent with the conduction velocity of the  
496 prefrontal-coeruleus projection (Jodo et al., 1989). Synchronous spiking is an ideal  
497 candidate for top-down glutamatergic control over LC neurons because glutamatergic  
498 neurons spiking within ~5 msec of one another evoke a larger post-synaptic response  
499 (Abeles, 1982; Alonso et al., 1996; Fujisawa et al., 2008). Collectively, our findings  
500 suggest that the PFC hGamma transients and, critically, associated neuronal spike  
501 synchrony may be a sign of PFC top-down control over LC population activity.

502 We also observed a subpopulation of PFC single units (~27%) that increased their  
503 firing rate ~100 msec prior to the peak of LC-MUA 5 Hz oscillation. Given the conduction  
504 velocity of the prefrontal-coeruleus projection, these spikes are unlikely to  
505 monosynaptically drive LC-MUA. However, their consistent timing in relation to the trough  
506 of LC-MUA 5 Hz oscillation suggested that these spikes are involved in the prefrontal-  
507 coerulear interaction. Transfer entropy analysis revealed that the spikes of these PFC  
508 neurons were predictive of the upcoming change in PFC hGamma power. Therefore, a  
509 sub-set of PFC single units which are phase locked to the trough of the LC-MUA 5 Hz  
510 oscillation (thus preceding the hGamma power increase) may drive the hGamma  
511 transient and the associated synchronized spiking in PFC. It also cannot be excluded that  
512 PFC spikes consistently occurring ~100 msec prior to the LC-MUA peak could drive LC-  
513 MUA directly via multiple polysynaptic routes.

514 Overall, we propose that a local PFC circuit mechanism drives the synchronous  
515 spiking that influences LC-MUA (**Figure 9**). Notably, most (67.3%), but not all LC single  
516 units spiked as part of the LC population firing rate oscillation at 5 Hz; these non-  
517 participating LC neurons may be unresponsive to this type of PFC interaction and thus



518 illustrate potential heterogeneity of the LC neuronal population. It remains to be  
519 determined how the 5 Hz rhythmicity in the LC emerges and if it is specific to anesthesia  
520 or has functional significance in behaving animals.

521 **Figure legends**

522 **Figure 1.** Multi-unit activity (MUA) in the LC exhibited rhythmic 5 Hz fluctuations. **(A)**  
 523 High-pass filtered (> 400 Hz) extracellular activity (grey line) recorded from the LC. The  
 524 band limited power (purple line) was obtained by rectifying the 400 – 3000 Hz bandpass  
 525 filtered signal. **(B)** Systemic administration of clonidine caused cessation of LC-MUA.  
 526 **(C)** The average LC-MUA power spectrum (normalized by total power) during epochs  
 527 with and without LC-MUA ~5 Hz oscillations (N = 25 out of 35 rats). Each 4 sec  
 528 recording epoch was classified as LC-MUA 5 Hz or non-5 Hz epoch and averaged  
 529 within rat. The plots present the grand average across rats with standard error shown as  
 530 shading. **(D)** An example of LC-MUA 5 Hz oscillatory activity. The grey line is the high-  
 531 pass filtered LC-MUA (>400 Hz). The purple line is the band limited power (purple line)  
 532 of the 400 – 3000 Hz bandpass filtered signal, as in panels A and B. The orange line is  
 533 the 4 – 6 Hz filtered LC-MUA. The wavelet transform of the purple line (LC-MUA) shows  
 534 a clear 4 – 6 Hz oscillation.

535 **Figure 2.** LC-MUA 5 Hz oscillations occurred primarily in the activated cortical state. **(A)**  
 536 The PFC LFP power spectrum for the activated and slow oscillation states. The lines are  
 537 the means across rats and the shading is the standard error. **(B)** The percentage of LC-  
 538 MUA 5 Hz epochs occurring in each cortical state.

539  
 540 **Figure 3.** The phase of LC-MUA 5 Hz oscillations was associated with a frequency-  
 541 specific (60-200Hz) modulation of PFC LFP. **(A)** The average modulation index (MI) is  
 542 plotted for each LC-MUA oscillation phase against PFC LFP oscillation amplitude. Zero  
 543 values (black) are not significantly higher than those expected by chance (one-sided  
 544 permutation test,  $p < 0.01$ ). **(B)** The box plot shows the distribution of MIs, across rats, in  
 545 the window of interest (4 to 7 Hz phase, 60 to 200 Hz amplitude). For each rat, the values  
 546 in this window of interest were not normally distributed (Shapiro-Wilk test) and the mean  
 547 was influenced by very high values (i.e., the “hot spot” in panel A). Since we wanted to  
 548 quantify this the magnitude of this hot spot across rats, we used the mean, rather than  
 549 the median, to obtain a summary MI value for each rat. The box plot shows the distribution  
 550 of the MI hot spot magnitude across rats. Two outlier MIs (highly significant), which were  
 551  $9.5E-4$  and  $5.4E-4$ , are not shown on the box plot to allow visualization of the distribution  
 552 of data. **(C)** The PFC LFP power spectrogram is plotted aligned to the peak of LC-MUA  
 553 5 Hz oscillation. The spectrogram was first averaged across LC-MUA 5 Hz peaks and  
 554 then averaged across rats. The white line shows LC-MUA (4 – 6 Hz filtered) aligned to  
 555 peaks and averaged over all accepted sessions. The PFC LFP power is Z-score  
 556 normalized within each frequency bin. The hGamma power increase preceding the peak  
 557 of LC-MUA 5 Hz oscillation is apparent.

558  
 559 **Figure 4.** PFC hGamma amplitude is predictive of the future phase of LC-MUA 5 Hz  
 560 oscillations. **(A)** Transfer entropy (TE) is higher in the direction from PFC hGamma

561 amplitude to LC-MUA 5 Hz phase. The plot shows the mean and standard error of TE for  
 562 each direction of interaction. **(B)** A histogram showing the latency from the PFC LFP  
 563 hGamma power peak until the LC-MUA 5 Hz oscillation peak for 12 rats. The median is  
 564 29.1 msec with a standard deviation of 25.7 msec and a range of -87.8 msec to -0.9 msec.

565  
 566 **Figure 5.** The PFC LFP spectrogram does not contain a peak at ~5 Hz. The power  
 567 spectrum is plotted separately for epochs with (orange line) and without (black line) LC-  
 568 MUA 5 Hz oscillations. Shading is the standard error around the mean.

569  
 570 **Figure 6. PFC spiking is phase locked to LC-MUA 5 Hz oscillation. (A)** PFC single  
 571 unit and multi-unit spike density functions (SDFs) and hGamma gamma amplitude co-  
 572 fluctuate around the LC-MUA 5 Hz peak (purple line). Values have been z-scored to the  
 573 mean and standard deviation of the entire recording. **(B)** Spike timing of a sub-set of PFC  
 574 single units (27%) is phase-locked to the trough of the LC-MUA 5 Hz oscillation. The  
 575 preferred phase is plotted for significantly phase-locked PFC units. The red line shows  
 576 the circular mean across these phase-locked units. **(C)** The same data are plotted, as in  
 577 panel A with the addition of the average single unit spike density function (SDF) for phase  
 578 locked PFC single units (dark blue line).

579  
 580 **Figure 7.** The spiking of PFC single units that are phase locked to LC-MUA 5 Hz  
 581 oscillation predict the local PFC hGamma power increase. Transfer entropy (TE) between  
 582 the PFC hGamma amplitude and PFC spike density function (SDF) was higher in the  
 583 direction of the spiking to the hGamma signal. This directionality difference in TE was  
 584 significant only for the units that were phase locked to LC activity.

585  
 586 **Figure 8.** PFC single unit-pair synchrony increases during PFC hGamma transients.  
 587 The coincident histograms of 808 PFC single unit pairs (y-axis, sorted by synchrony  
 588 onset time) show an increase in pairwise unit spike synchrony around PFC hGamma  
 589 power peaks (x-axis). The coincident histograms are z-scored with increases in  
 590 synchrony (Z-score greater than 2) in yellow.

591  
 592 **Figure 9.** A putative model of top-down prefrontal control over the LC. We found that  
 593 PFC spikes were phase locked to the trough of LC-MUA 5 Hz oscillation. Given the 10  
 594 to 70 msec conduction time from the PFC to the LC (Jodo, et al. 1989), this time point is  
 595 too early to conduct a signal that could evoke an increase in LC-MUA spike rate (red  
 596 part of the oscillation). Instead, these PFC spikes were predictive of a local hGamma  
 597 power increase (orange arrow, direction of transfer entropy, TE). This hGamma  
 598 transient was, in turn, predictive of the subsequently increased LC-MUA (red peak).  
 599 Data are shown in **Figure 4A**. The hGamma transient precedes the LC-MUA peak by  
 600 29 msec. Data are shown in **Figure 3C** and **Figure 4B**. In a window of -20 msec to + 50

601 msec around the hGamma peak (or -49 msec to +21 msec around the LC-MUA peak),  
602 PFC single unit pairs spike with transiently increased synchrony (grey area on x-axis).  
603 Data are shown in **Figure 8**. A chain of neural events from the PFC spikes time locked  
604 to the trough of LC-MUA 5 Hz oscillation to hGamma-associated spike synchrony in  
605 the PFC may drive an increase in LC spike rate.

606 **References**

- 607  
608  
609 Abeles M (1982) Role of the cortical neuron: integrator or coincidence detector? *Israel J*  
610 *Med Sci* 18:83–92.
- 611 Aertsen AM, Gerstein GL, Habib MK, Palm G (1989) Dynamics of neuronal firing  
612 correlation: modulation of “effective connectivity”. *Journal of Neurophysiology* 61:900  
613 917.
- 614 Aghajanian GK, Cedarbaum JM, Wang RY (1977) Evidence for norepinephrine-  
615 mediated collateral inhibition of locus coeruleus neurons. *Brain Res* 136:570–577.
- 616 Alonso J-M, Usrey WM, Reid RC (1996) Precisely correlated firing in cells of the lateral  
617 geniculate nucleus. *Nature* 383:383815a0.
- 618 Amadei EA, Johnson ZV, Kwon YJ, Shpiner AC, Saravanan V, Mays WD, Ryan SJ,  
619 Walum H, Rainnie DG, Young LJ, Liu RC (2017) Dynamic corticostriatal activity  
620 biases social bonding in monogamous female prairie voles. *Nature* 546:297–301.
- 621 Arnsten AFT, Goldman-Rakic PS (1984) Selective prefrontal cortical projections to the  
622 region of the locus coeruleus and raphe nuclei in the rhesus monkey. *Brain Res*  
623 306:9–18.
- 624 Aston-Jones G, Cohen JD (2005) Adaptive gain and the role of the locus coeruleus-  
625 norepinephrine system in optimal performance. *J Comp Neurol* 493:99 110.
- 626 Berens P (2009) CircStat: A MATLAB Toolbox for Circular Statistics. *J Stat Softw* 31.
- 627 Besserve M, Lowe SC, Logothetis NK, Schölkopf B, Panzeri S (2015) Shifts of Gamma  
628 Phase across Primary Visual Cortical Sites Reflect Dynamic Stimulus-Modulated  
629 Information Transfer. *Plos Biol* 13:e1002257.
- 630 Besserve M, Schölkopf B, Logothetis NK, Panzeri S (2010) Causal relationships  
631 between frequency bands of extracellular signals in visual cortex revealed by an  
632 information theoretic analysis. *J Comput Neurosci* 29:547–566.
- 633 Breton-Provencher V, Sur M (2019) Active control of arousal by a locus coeruleus  
634 GABAergic circuit. *Nat Neurosci* 28:403.
- 635 Brody CD (1999) Correlations Without Synchrony. *Neural Comput* 11:1537 1551.
- 636 Chung JE, Magland JF, Barnett AH, Tolosa VM, Tooker AC, Lee KY, Shah KG, Felix  
637 SH, Frank LM, Greengard LF (2017) A Fully Automated Approach to Spike Sorting.  
638 *Neuron* 95:1381-1394.e6.
- 639 Eschenko O, Magri C, Panzeri S, Sara SJ (2011) Noradrenergic Neurons of the Locus  
640 Coeruleus Are Phase Locked to Cortical Up-Down States during Sleep. *Cereb Cortex*  
641 22:426–435.
- 642 Fallon JH, Koziell DA, Moore RY (1978) Catecholamine innervation of the basal  
643 forebrain. II. Amygdala, suprarhinal cortex and entorhinal cortex. *J Comp Neurol*  
644 180:509 532.
- 645 Fujisawa S, Amarasingham A, Harrison MT, Buzsáki G (2008) Behavior-dependent  
646 short-term assembly dynamics in the medial prefrontal cortex. *Nat Neurosci* 11:823  
647 833.
- 648 Grzanna R, Molliver ME (1980) The locus coeruleus in the rat: An immunohistochemical  
649 delineation. *Neuroscience* 5:21 40.

- 650 Grzanna R, Morrison JH, Coyle JT, Molliver ME (1977) The immunohistochemical  
651 demonstration of noradrenergic neurons in the rat brain: The use of homologous  
652 antiserum to dopamine-beta-hydroxylase. *Neuroscience Letters* 4:127–134.
- 653 Jodo E, Chiang C, Aston-Jones G (1998) Potent excitatory influence of prefrontal cortex  
654 activity on noradrenergic locus coeruleus neurons. *Neuroscience* 83:63–79.
- 655 Kebuschull JM, Silva PG da, Reid AP, Peikon ID, Albeanu DF, Zador AM (2016) High-  
656 Throughput Mapping of Single-Neuron Projections by Sequencing of Barcoded RNA.  
657 *Neuron* 91:975–987.
- 658 Khodagholy D, Gelinás JN, Buzsáki G (2017) Learning-enhanced coupling between  
659 ripple oscillations in association cortices and hippocampus. *Science* 358:369–372.
- 660 Lestienne R, Hervé-Minvielle A, Robinson D, Briois L, Sara SJ (1997) Slow oscillations  
661 as a probe of the dynamics of the locus coeruleus-frontal cortex interaction in  
662 anesthetized rats. *Journal of physiology, Paris* 91:273–284.
- 663 Logothetis NK (2003) The Underpinnings of the BOLD Functional Magnetic Resonance  
664 Imaging Signal. *J Neurosci* 23:3963–3971.
- 665 Logothetis NK (2008) What we can do and what we cannot do with fMRI. *Nature*  
666 453:869.
- 667 Loughlin SE, Foote SL, Fallon JH (1982) Locus coeruleus projections to cortex:  
668 topography, morphology and collateralization. *Brain Research Bulletin* 9:287–294.
- 669 Luppi P-H, Aston-Jones G, Akaoka H, Chouvet G, Jouvet M (1995) Afferent projections  
670 to the rat locus coeruleus demonstrated by retrograde and anterograde tracing with  
671 cholera-toxin B subunit and Phaseolus vulgaris leucoagglutinin. *Neuroscience*  
672 65:119–160.
- 673 Marzo A, Totah NK, Neves RM, Logothetis NK, Eschenko O (2014) Unilateral electrical  
674 stimulation of rat locus coeruleus elicits bilateral response of norepinephrine neurons  
675 and sustained activation of medial prefrontal cortex. *J Neurophysiol* 111:2570–2588.
- 676 McCune SK, Voigt MM, Hill JM (1993) Expression of multiple alpha adrenergic  
677 receptor subtype messenger RNAs in the adult rat brain. *Neuroscience* 57:143–151.
- 678 Morrison JH, Molliver ME, Grzanna R (1979) Noradrenergic innervation of cerebral  
679 cortex: widespread effects of local cortical lesions. *Science* 205:313–316.
- 680 Neves RM, Keulen S van, Yang M, Logothetis NK, Eschenko O (2018) Locus Coeruleus  
681 phasic discharge is essential for stimulus-induced gamma oscillations in the  
682 prefrontal cortex. *J Neurophysiol* 119:jn.00552.2017.
- 683 Park H-D, Barnoud C, Trang H, Kannape OA, Schaller K, Blanke O (2020) Breathing is  
684 coupled with voluntary action and the cortical readiness potential. *Nat Commun*  
685 11:289.
- 686 Ray S, Crone NE, Niebur E, Franaszczuk PJ, Hsiao SS (2008a) Neural correlates of  
687 high-gamma oscillations (60-200 Hz) in macaque local field potentials and their  
688 potential implications in electrocorticography. *J Neurosci* 28:11526–11536.
- 689 Ray S, Maunsell JHR (2011) Different origins of gamma rhythm and high-gamma  
690 activity in macaque visual cortex. *Plos Biol* 9:e1000610.
- 691 Ray S, Niebur E, Hsiao SS, Sinai A, Crone NE (2008b) High-frequency gamma activity  
692 (80–150Hz) is increased in human cortex during selective attention. *Clin*  
693 *Neurophysiol* 119:116–133.

- 694 Safaai H, Neves R, Eschenko O, Logothetis NK, Panzeri S (2015) Modeling the effect of  
695 locus coeruleus firing on cortical state dynamics and single-trial sensory processing.  
696 Proc National Acad Sci 112:12834 12839.
- 697 Sara SJ, Hervé-Minvielle A (1995) Inhibitory influence of frontal cortex on locus  
698 coeruleus neurons. Proc National Acad Sci 92:6032–6036.
- 699 Schwarz LA, Miyamichi K, Gao XJ, Beier KT, Weissbourd B, DeLoach KE, Ren J,  
700 Ibanes S, Malenka RC, Kremer EJ, Luo L (2015) Viral-genetic tracing of the input-  
701 output organization of a central noradrenaline circuit. Nature 524.
- 702 Sesack SR, Deutch AY, Roth RH, Bunney BS (1989) Topographical organization of the  
703 efferent projections of the medial prefrontal cortex in the rat: An anterograde tract-  
704 tracing study with *Phaseolus vulgaris* leucoagglutinin. J Comp Neurol 290:213 242.
- 705 Spaak E, Bonnefond M, Maier A, Leopold DA, Jensen O (2012) Layer-specific  
706 entrainment of  $\gamma$ -band neural activity by the  $\alpha$  rhythm in monkey visual cortex. Curr  
707 Biol 22:2313 2318.
- 708 Swanson LW, Hartman BK (1975) The central adrenergic system. An  
709 immunofluorescence study of the location of cell bodies and their efferent  
710 connections in the rat utilizing dopamine-beta-hydroxylase as a marker. J Comp  
711 Neurol 163:467 505.
- 712 Tort ABL, Komorowski R, Eichenbaum H, Kopell N (2010) Measuring phase-amplitude  
713 coupling between neuronal oscillations of different frequencies. J Neurophysiol  
714 104:1195 1210.
- 715 Totah NK, Neves RM, Panzeri S, Logothetis NK, Eschenko O (2018) The Locus  
716 Coeruleus Is a Complex and Differentiated Neuromodulatory System. Neuron  
717 99:1055-1068.e6.
- 718 Waterhouse BD, Lin CS, Burne RA, Woodward DJ (1983) The distribution of neocortical  
719 projection neurons in the locus coeruleus. J Comp Neurol 217:418 431.
- 720