



https://helda.helsinki.fi

Evidence for X(3872) in Pb-Pb Collisions and Studies of its Prompt Production at root s(NN)=5.02 TeV

The CMS collaboration

2022-01-19

The CMS Collaboration, Sirunyan, A M, Tumasyan, A, Eerola, P, Forthomme, L, Kirschenmann, H, Österberg, K, Voutilainen, M, Garcia, F, Havukainen, J, Heikkilä, J, Karimäki, V, Kim, M, Kinnunen, R, Kortelainen, M, Lampén, T, Lassila-Perini, K, Laurila, S, Lehti, S, Lindén, T, Luukka, P, Pekkanen, J, Siikonen, H, Tuominen, E, Tuominiemi, J, Viinikainen, J & Tuuva, T 2022, 'Evidence for X(3872) in Pb-Pb Collisions and Studies of its Prompt Production at root s(NN)=5.02 TeV ', Physical Review Letters, vol. 128, no. 3, 032001. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.128.032001

http://hdl.handle.net/10138/342509 https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.128.032001

cc_by publishedVersion

Downloaded from Helda, University of Helsinki institutional repository.

This is an electronic reprint of the original article.

This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Please cite the original version.

Evidence for X(3872) in Pb-Pb Collisions and Studies of its Prompt Production at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV

A. M. Sirunyan *et al.*^{*} CMS Collaboration

(Received 25 February 2021; revised 2 September 2021; accepted 22 December 2021; published 19 January 2022)

The first evidence for X(3872) production in relativistic heavy ion collisions is reported. The X(3872) production is studied in lead-lead (Pb-Pb) collisions at a center-of-mass energy of $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV per nucleon pair, using the decay chain X(3872) $\rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^- \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \pi^+ \pi^-$. The data were recorded with the CMS detector in 2018 and correspond to an integrated luminosity of 1.7 nb⁻¹. The measurement is performed in the rapidity and transverse momentum ranges |y| < 1.6 and $15 < p_T < 50$ GeV/*c*. The significance of the inclusive X(3872) signal is 4.2 standard deviations. The prompt X(3872) to $\psi 2S$ yield ratio is found to be $\rho^{Pb-Pb} = 1.08 \pm 0.49(\text{stat}) \pm 0.52(\text{syst})$, to be compared with typical values of 0.1 for pp collisions. This result provides a unique experimental input to theoretical models of the X(3872) production mechanism, and of the nature of this exotic state.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.128.032001

The X(3872), also known as $\chi_{c1}(3872)$, is an exotic particle that was first observed by the Belle Collaboration [1], and then confirmed and studied by other experiments at electron-positron [2,3] and hadron colliders [4-8]. The quantum numbers of the X(3872) have been narrowed down by the CDF [9], and later determined to be $J^{PC} =$ 1⁺⁺ by the LHCb [10] Collaborations. However, the nature of this particle is still not fully understood and interpretations in terms of conventional charmonium (a bound state of charm-anticharm quarks), $D^*(2010)^0 \overline{D}^0$ molecules [11], tetraquark states [12], and their admixture [13] have been proposed. The production and survival of the X(3872) in a quark-gluon plasma (QGP), a deconfined state of quarks and gluons [14,15], or after the QGP, in a hadronic phase, is expected to depend upon the X(3872)'s internal structure [16,17]. Thus, the recent large dataset of lead-lead (Pb-Pb) collisions at a center-of-mass energy of $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} = 5.02$ TeV per nucleon pair, delivered by the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) at CERN at the end of 2018, opened new opportunities to probe the nature of this exotic state [18-20].

It is expected that in relativistic heavy ion collisions, the formation of the QGP could enhance or suppress the production of the X(3872) particle. Coalescence mechanisms could enhance the X(3872) production yield [16,19]. These mechanisms can be modeled via the overlap of the density matrix of the constituents in an emission source with the Wigner function of the produced particle [21]. Therefore, the enhancement of the X(3872) production in the QGP would depend on the spatial configuration of the exotic state. Moreover, a longer distance between the quarks and antiquarks that constitute the state could also lead to a higher X(3872) dissociation rate, similar to that from the mechanism of quarkonium suppression in heavy ion collisions [22]. Therefore, the study of the X(3872)state in the QGP may be used as a tool to distinguish a compact tetraquark configuration with a radius ~ 0.3 fm from a molecular state with a radius greater than 1.5 fm [23]. Such a measurement would be complementary to the recent evidence for the radiative decay $X(3872) \rightarrow$ $\psi 2S\gamma$ in proton-proton (*pp*) collisions reported by LHCb Collaboration [24], which does not support a pure $D^*(2010)^0 \bar{D}$ molecular interpretation. In addition, measurements of prompt X(3872) production could provide an interesting test of the statistical hadronization model, which assumes that the produced matter is in thermodynamic equilibrium at the phase transition to hadrons [25,26].

In this Letter, the first evidence for X(3872) production in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} = 5.02$ TeV is reported. The Pb-Pb sample corresponds to an integrated luminosity of 1.7 nb⁻¹. The X(3872) candidates are reconstructed through the decay chain X(3872) $\rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\pi^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\pi^+\pi^-$, and are measured in the $15 < p_T < 50$ GeV/*c* and |y| < 1.6 kinematic region. At the LHC energies, the inclusive X(3872) yields in *pp* and Pb-Pb collisions contain a significant nonprompt contribution coming from *b* hadron decays [8]. The nonprompt X(3872) component is related to the medium-modified beauty hadron production in heavy ion collisions, which is

^{*}Full author list given at the end of the article.

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI. Funded by SCOAP³.

out of the scope of this Letter. Here, we focus on the prompt component, from charm quark fragmentation, for which the ratio ρ^i (*i* is *pp* or Pb-Pb) between the corrected yields of X(3872) and $\psi 2S$ mesons [where the $\psi 2S$ is reconstructed with the same final-state particles in order to reduce systematic uncertainties] is presented

$$\rho^{i} = \frac{N_{i}^{X(3872) \to J/\psi\pi\pi}}{N_{i}^{\psi_{2S} \to J/\psi\pi\pi}}.$$
(1)

The ratios in pp and Pb-Pb collisions are connected to the nuclear modification factors $R_{AA}^{X(3872)}$ and $R_{AA}^{\psi 2S}$ (the meson yield ratio in nucleus-nucleus and pp interactions normalized by the number of inelastic nucleon-nucleon collisions) via the following relation:

$$\rho^{\rm Pb-Pb} = \rho^{pp} \frac{R_{\rm AA}^{X(3872)}}{R_{\rm AA}^{\psi 2S}}.$$
 (2)

The CMS apparatus [27] is a multipurpose, nearly hermetic detector, designed to trigger on [28,29] and identify electrons, muons, photons, and (charged and neutral) hadrons [30-33]. A global reconstruction algorithm [34] combines the information provided by the allsilicon inner tracker and by the crystal electromagnetic and brass-scintillator hadron calorimeters, operating inside a 3.8 T superconducting solenoid, with data from gasionization muon detectors interleaved with the solenoid return yoke. Information from the hadron forward (HF) calorimeter is used for performing off-line event selection and determining centrality (the degree of overlap between the two colliding nuclei). The results refer to the collisions with 0%-90% centrality, i.e., the top 90% events based on the total transverse energy deposition in both HF detectors [35], which corresponds to the 90% of collisions having the largest overlap of the two nuclei.

Events of interest were selected in real time using the CMS two-tiered trigger system: the first level (L1), composed of custom hardware processors [28], and the highlevel trigger (HLT), consisting of a farm of processors running a version of the full event reconstruction software optimized for fast processing [29]. The selection required the presence of two muon candidates, with at least one muon reconstructed in the outer muon spectrometer, and one muon reconstructed using information from both the outer muon spectrometer and the inner tracker. The dimuon candidate invariant mass is required to be $1 < m_{\mu\mu} < 5 \text{ GeV}/c^2$. For the off-line analysis, events have to pass a set of selection criteria designed to reject events from background processes (beam-gas collisions, beam scraping events, and electromagnetic interactions) as described in Ref. [36]. Events are required to have at least one reconstructed primary interaction vertex formed by two or more tracks, with a distance from the center of the nominal interaction point of less than 15 cm along the beam axis. The shapes of the clusters in the pixel detector have to be compatible with those expected from particles produced by a Pb-Pb collision [37]. In order to select hadronic collisions, the Pb-Pb events are also required to have at least two towers (i.e., a geometrically defined group of calorimeter cells) in each of the HF detectors with total energy deposits of more than 4 GeV per tower. This analysis is restricted to events within centrality 0%–90%, for which the hadronic event selection is fully efficient. Multiple-collision events (pileup) have a negligible effect on the measurement, since the average number of additional collisions per bunch crossing is approximately 0.002.

Dedicated Pb-Pb X(3872) and $\psi 2S$ Monte Carlo (MC) simulated samples were generated in order to estimate the acceptance and selection efficiencies, to study the background components, and to evaluate systematic uncertainties. The PYTHIA8 v212 [38] Tune CP5 [39] was used to generate the X(3872) and $\psi 2S$ signals at $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} =$ 5.02 TeV. It was assumed that X(3872) and $\psi 2S$ are unpolarized. Since the X(3872) cannot be generated by PYTHIA, the $\chi_{c1}(1P)$ particle is used, with a modified mass of 3.8716 GeV/ c^2 [40]. The $\chi_{c1}(1P)$ has the quantum numbers $J^{PC} = 1^{++}$, identical to those of the X(3872). The X(3872) particle was forced to decay into $J/\psi \pi^+\pi^-$ (assuming the ρ resonance dominates the pion pair spectrum [7,8]), followed by the J/ψ meson decaying into two muons. Final-state radiation was generated using PHOTOS2.0 [41]. The $\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$ decay is generated with EvtGen. The samples with prompt (fragmenting in charm quarks) and nonprompt (originating from b hadron decays) $\psi 2S/X(3872)$ production are generated separately. Each PYTHIA event is embedded in a Pb-Pb collision event generated with HYDJET1.8 [42], which is tuned to reproduce global event properties such as the charged-hadron p_T spectrum and particle multiplicity.

The X(3872) signal is extracted in the following steps. Each muon candidate must be matched to a triggered muon and have $p_T^{\mu} > 3.5 \text{ GeV}/c$ in the interval $|\eta^{\mu}| < 1.2, p_T^{\mu} >$ $(5.47 - 1.89 |\eta^{\mu}|)$ GeV/c in the interval $1.2 < |\eta^{\mu}| < 2.1$, or $p_T^{\mu} > 1.5 \text{ GeV}/c$ in the forward region $2.1 < |\eta^{\mu}| < 2.4$. Two muons of opposite sign, with an invariant mass within $\pm 150 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ of the world-average J/ψ meson mass [40] are selected to reconstruct a J/ψ candidate. The oppositesign muon pairs are fitted with a common vertex constraint and are kept if the χ^2 probability of the fit is greater than 1%, thus reducing the background from charm and beauty hadron semileptonic decays. The X(3872) and $\psi 2S$ candidates are built by combining the J/ψ candidates with two additional tracks, which have $p_T > 0.9 \text{ GeV}/c$, $|\eta| < 2.4$ and pass a high purity selection, assumed to be produced by two pions. Only candidates that have $15 < p_T <$ 50 GeV/c and |y| < 1.6 are considered. Then, a kinematic fit to the $J/\psi \pi^+\pi^-$ system is performed, requiring that the four tracks originate from a common vertex and forcing the mass of the dimuon pair to be equal to the nominal J/ψ mass [40]. The selection is further optimized, using a boosted decision tree (BDT) algorithm [43]. The X(3872) decay vertex probability, the radial distance between the pion and the J/ψ candidate momentum vectors $[\sqrt{(\Delta \eta)^2 + (\Delta \phi)^2},$ where ϕ is the azimuthal angle], and the p_T of each pion are used in the BDT algorithm to distinguish signal and combinatorial background formed by random combinations of tracks. For the multivariate training, the X(3872) signal sample is taken from simulation, and the background sample consists of data from the sidebands of the X(3872) meson peak (i.e., 0.07 < $|m - m_{X(3872)}| < 0.128 \text{ GeV}/c^2$). The nominal BDT selection is chosen in order to maximize the statistical significance of X(3872), defined as $S/\sqrt{(S+B)}$ where S and B are the numbers of X(3872) and background in the signal region, respectively. Because there is no reliable theoretical calculation available for the X(3872) production, an estimation of the X(3872) cross section is obtained from data, by extracting the signal yield in data when applying a tight BDT cut. This is used in conjunction with the MC-calculated efficiency to obtain the yield for each BDT cutoff value. The BDT selection determined in this way is applied to entries in the whole invariant mass range from 3.62 to 4 GeV/ c^2 in data.

The raw inclusive yields of X(3872) and $\psi 2S$ are extracted by an extended unbinned maximum-likelihood fit. A double-Gaussian function with a common mean but independent widths is used to model the signal component for each of the X(3872) and $\psi 2S$ peaks. This was preferred to a single-Gaussian or a Breit-Wigner function since it described better (i.e., superior χ^2 of the fit) the signal shape in MC simulations. For describing the combinatorial background, mostly produced by the random combination of a J/ψ candidate with tracks that are not coming from X(3872) or $\psi 2S$ decay, a 4th-order polynomial is used, which gives the best fit in terms of χ^2 per degrees of freedom and stability during all studies. For the signal, only the magnitude of the two peaks is left free in the fit, the rest [the mean and widths of the two Gaussian functions, as well as their relative contribution to the signal yield in either X(3872) or $\psi 2S$ peaks] are set to the values derived from simulation. The five parameters of the combinatorial background are all allowed to float. The invariant mass range considered for the fit is 3.62 to 4 GeV/ c^2 . The invariant mass fits for both the inclusive and nonprompt samples, with BDT selection optimized for X(3872), are shown in Fig. 1. The significance of the inclusive X(3872) signal against background-only hypothesis is 4.2 standard deviations. The systematic uncertainty (described below) contributing to this significance is the one related to the X(3872) invariant mass fit. After performing a likelihood scan for each alternative signal and background shape considered, the significance was calculated as the square



FIG. 1. Invariant mass distribution of $m_{\mu\mu\pi\pi}$ in Pb-Pb collisions, for the inclusive (upper) and *b*-enriched (bottom) samples. The vertical lines represent statistical uncertainties in the data. The results of the unbinned maximum-likelihood fits for the signal + background and background alone are also shown by the solid and dashed lines, respectively. The pull distribution is represented by the shaded bars. The X(3872) peak mass resolution, $\sigma_{X(3872)}$, calculated at the half-maximum of the signal-shape distribution, is also listed for reference.

root of the logarithm of the profile likelihood ratio where the signal is zero, with the smallest value obtained being chosen among all scans.

The contribution from b hadron decays is subtracted from the inclusive result using the "pseudoproper" decay length l_{xy} , defined as the distance in the transverse plane L_{xy} between the vertex formed by the 4-tracks and the primary vertex, corrected by the transverse Lorentz boost of the candidate: $l_{xy} = L_{xy}m_{J/\psi\pi\pi}c/|\vec{p}_{\rm T}|$. The promptcomponent fraction (f_{prompt}) is estimated using a cutoffbased method in the following way. Since the l_{xy} of the prompt component was found in MC studies to be smaller than 0.1 mm, the prompt fraction, f_{prompt} , can be derived from (i) the raw inclusive yield, N_{incl} , obtained from the fit to the invariant mass distributions of all candidates, shown in Fig. 1, and (ii) N_{b-enr} , the "b-enriched" yield, obtained from a fit to the invariant mass distribution only containing candidates that passed the selection $l_{xy} > 0.1$ mm, also shown in Fig. 1. In addition, N_{b-enr} has to be corrected to account for nonprompt candidates, with $l_{xy} < 0.1$ mm, that have been missed: $f_{\text{nonprompt}}^{b\text{-enr}} = N_{\text{nonprompt}} (l_{xy} > 0.1 \text{ mm})/$ $N_{\rm nonprompt}$. The correction was obtained from simulation. The raw prompt fraction is then calculated as

$$f_{\text{prompt}} = 1 - \frac{N_{b\text{-enr}}/f_{\text{nonprompt}}^{b\text{-enr}}}{N_{\text{incl}}},$$
(3)



FIG. 2. The yield ratio $\rho^{\text{Pb-Pb}}$ of prompt X(3872) over $\psi(2S)$ production in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 5.02$ TeV. The vertical bars (boxes) correspond to statistical (systematic) uncertainties. The yield ratios ρ^{pp} of prompt X(3872) over $\psi(2S)$ production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, measured by ATLAS [8], and at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, measured by CMS [7] are also shown.

separately for X(3872) and $\psi 2S$ states. The corrected yield of the prompt component can then be derived as

$$N^{i \to J/\psi \pi \pi} = N^{i}_{\text{raw}} f^{i}_{\text{prompt}} / (\alpha \epsilon_{\text{reco}} \epsilon_{\text{sel}})^{i}, \qquad (4)$$

where *i* is X(3872) or $\psi 2S$, α is the acceptance, ϵ_{reco} is the candidate reconstruction efficiency and ϵ_{sel} is the candidate selection efficiency. Since the two states are reconstructed in the same decay channel and are relatively close in mass, their corresponding $\alpha \epsilon_{reco}$ values are similar. The choice of the BDT optimization criteria results in ϵ_{sel} being higher for the X(3872) than for the $\psi 2S$.

The measurement of ρ^{Pb-Pb} is affected by several sources of systematic uncertainty, arising from the candidate selection, invariant mass fit, and efficiency corrections. To estimate the systematic uncertainty associated with the BDT selection, the BDT cutoff values are varied within a range that allows a robust invariant mass fit procedure (i.e., signal statistical significance larger than 2), and for each variation all factors in Eq. (4) are recalculated, separately for X(3872) and $\psi 2S$. The maximum difference of the final $\rho^{\text{Pb-Pb}}$ value from the nominal result (40%) is quoted as the systematic uncertainty. The relatively large ρ^{Pb-Pb} uncertainty associated with BDT cutoff value is the convolution of mainly two causes: the BDT variables distribution differences in data and MC samples for the X(3872) meson, and the statistical limitation of the signal in data. The largest differences (~2 standard deviations) between data and MC samples are in the distributions of the p_T of the pions, and the radial distance between the pion and the J/ψ candidate momentum vectors.

The uncertainty in the invariant mass fit (8.0%) is calculated by adding in quadrature the maximum deviations from the nominal result to that found using two alternative fitting functions for both signal and background. For the signal, one variation consists of using a triple-Gaussian function, while for the other the signal width of the nominal fit is allowed to float to account for the resolution difference between data and MC samples. Other choices for the signal shape (e.g., one-Gaussian function) were not considered because of their poor-quality fits. For the background, the fit function is changed once to a thirdorder polynomial (as an exponential function or lowerorder polynomials could not describe the data), and the fit range is also changed from $3.62-4 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ to $3.62-3.9 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ to exclude the right-hand shoulder.

The efficiency corrections obtained from simulation are sensitive to how well the p_T spectrum of the X(3872) and $\psi 2S$ candidates is modeled. The uncertainty related to the simulated p_T shape is evaluated by comparing the reconstruction and selection efficiencies calculated using the default PYTHIA MC sample, with another MC sample in which the p_T distributions of X(3872) and $\psi 2S$ are tuned to reproduce the extracted X(3872) and $\psi 2S$ p_T and y spectra obtained in data, by performing mass fits in bins of X(3872) and $\psi 2S$ p_T and y. The p_T and y spectra of the alternative MC samples are allowed to vary within the statistical uncertainties in data. The mean of the differences between efficiencies from the alternative MC samples and the default PYTHIA MC due to the variation of p_T and y spectra, which is 13%, is quoted as the systematic uncertainty.

The uncertainties in the trigger efficiency in the muon reconstruction and identification are evaluated using single muons from J/ψ meson decays in both simulated and collision data, with the tag-and-probe method [44,45]. This combined uncertainty is found to be negligible, below 1%. Scale factors, calculated as the ratio of data to simulated efficiencies as a function of p_T^{μ} and η^{μ} , are applied to each dimuon pair on a muon-by-muon basis. The uncertainties of the scaling factors from tag-and-probe studies are quoted as systematic uncertainties.

To estimate the uncertainty in the prompt fraction arising from potential differences between the resolution in data and simulation, a template fit of the l_{xy} distribution in data is performed using prompt and nonprompt l_{xy} templates from simulation. Data are binned in l_{xy} , and an invariant mass fit is performed to extract the inclusive yield in each l_{xy} bin. This background-subtracted l_{xy} distribution is then fitted using a two component fit, which includes the prompt and nonprompt l_{xy} templates from simulation. The widths of the simulated DCA distributions are varied by a floating scale factor, and the best simulated smearing scale factor to match data is determined by minimizing the χ^2 of the twocomponent fit. The difference between the ratio of the prompt fractions of X(3872) to $\psi 2S$ using the template fit method and the nominal result (8.1%) is quoted as a systematic uncertainty.

When calculating the uncertainties in the ratio of the acceptance-corrected yields of prompt X(3872) production over prompt $\psi 2S$ production, the uncertainties of X(3872) and $\psi 2S$ yields are assumed to be independent except for the systematic uncertainties from muon reconstruction, efficiencies, and prompt fractions.

The ratio $\rho^{\text{Pb-Pb}}$ between the prompt X(3872) and $\psi 2S$ mesons is shown in Fig. 2, together with ρ^{pp} measured as a function of p_T . The pp data were measured at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ and 8 TeV, in the |y| < 1.2 and |y| < 0.75 intervals, respectively [7,8,10]. The 7 TeV result was derived using the CMS Collaboration published ratio of the inclusive yields [7] and prompt fractions [7,46]. From Fig. 2 it is clear that the prompt ρ^{pp} does not depend significantly on collision energy or rapidity. In pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, in the kinematic range of $16 < p_T < 22$ GeV/*c*, the ρ^{pp} measured by the ATLAS Collaboration is $0.106 \pm 0.008(\text{stat}) \pm 0.004(\text{syst})$ [8]. This is to be compared to the prompt $\rho^{\text{Pb-Pb}}$ measured in this Letter, $\rho^{\text{Pb-Pb}} = 1.08 \pm 0.49(\text{stat}) \pm 0.52(\text{syst})$.

In the interval $15 < p_T < 20 \text{ GeV}/c$, the yield of the prompt $\psi 2S$ in Pb-Pb collisions was reported to be significantly suppressed with respect to pp collisions, $R_{AA}^{\psi 2S} = 0.142 \pm 0.061 (\text{stat}) \pm 0.020 (\text{syst})$ [47]. This leads, using Eq. (2), to an $R_{AA}^{X(3872)}$ central value larger than 1 [i.e., enhancement of the prompt X(3872) yield in Pb-Pb compared to pp collisions]. However, the uncertainties are such that $R_{AA}^{X(3872)}$ is compatible with 1 within ~1 standard deviation, and with $R_{AA}^{\psi 2S}$ within ~2 standard deviations. Thus, it is possible that in Pb-Pb collisions, the prompt X(3872) yield has either no suppression with respect to pp collisions, or as much suppression as the $\psi 2S$ state. The much larger data sample expected in Run 3 at the LHC will answer whether $R_{AA}^{X(3872)}$ is different from $R_{AA}^{\psi 2S}$ and significantly above unity. It may answer whether the $\psi 2S$ meson production (a bound state of a c and c quarks, with $r \sim 0.9$ fm) [48], is affected differently by the medium produced in Pb-Pb collisions than the X(3872) state (that could be made of c, c, u, and u quarks, with a radius of $r \sim 0.3$ or r > 1.5 fm), the difference in both size and quark content playing a role into their production mechanisms. It will also answer whether the X(3872) prompt state production is different in Pb-Pb collisions compared to pp collisions. The question whether X(3872) is a tetraquark or a molecule cannot yet be answered, because of the statistical limitation of the data, and the disagreement among models. For example, while the AMPT transport model [16] predicts $R_{AA}^{\text{molecule}} \gg R_{AA}^{\text{tetraquark}}$ with $R_{AA}^{\text{molecule}} > 1$, the TAMU transport model [17] predicts $R_{AA}^{\text{molecule}} \sim$ $R_{AA}^{\text{tetraquark}}/2$ [albeit, considering only the X(3872) from regeneration processes].

In summary, the first evidence for X(3872) production in heavy ion collisions is presented using lead-lead collisions at a center-of-mass energy of $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} = 5.02$ TeV per nucleon pair, recorded with the CMS detector. The X(3872) state is reconstructed using the decay chain $X(3872) \rightarrow$ $J/\psi \pi^+\pi^- \to \mu^+\mu^-\pi^+\pi^-$. The measurement is performed for transverse momentum values of the X(3872) of 15 < $p_T < 50 \text{ GeV}/c$ and rapidity |y| < 1.6. The significance of the inclusive X(3872) signal is 4.2 standard deviations. The ratio $\rho^{\text{Pb-Pb}}$ between the prompt X(3872) and $\psi 2S$ yields times their branching fractions into $J/\psi \pi^+\pi^-$ is found to be 1.08 ± 0.49 (stat) ± 0.52 (syst), to be compared with typical values of 0.1 for *pp* collisions. This result provides a unique experimental input to theoretical models of the X(3872) production mechanism, and of the nature of this exotic state.

We congratulate our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC and thank the technical and administrative staffs at CERN and at other CMS institutes for their contributions to the success of the CMS effort. In addition, we gratefully acknowledge the computing centers and personnel of the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid and other centers for delivering so effectively the computing infrastructure essential to our analyses. Finally, we acknowledge the enduring support for the construction and operation of the LHC, the CMS detector, and the supporting computing infrastructure provided by the following funding agencies: BMBWF and FWF (Austria); FNRS and FWO (Belgium); CNPq, CAPES, FAPERJ, FAPERGS, and FAPESP (Brazil); MES (Bulgaria); CERN; CAS, MoST, and NSFC (China); COLCIENCIAS (Colombia); MSES and CSF (Croatia); RIF (Cyprus); SENESCYT (Ecuador); MoER, ERC PUT and ERDF (Estonia); Academy of Finland, MEC, and HIP (Finland); CEA and CNRS/IN2P3 (France); BMBF, DFG, and HGF (Germany); GSRT (Greece); NKFIA (Hungary); DAE and DST (India); IPM (Iran); SFI (Ireland); INFN (Italy); MSIP and NRF (Republic of Korea); MES (Latvia); LAS (Lithuania); MOE and UM (Malaysia); BUAP, CINVESTAV, CONACYT, LNS, SEP, and UASLP-FAI (Mexico); MOS (Montenegro); MBIE (New Zealand); PAEC (Pakistan); MSHE and NSC (Poland); FCT (Portugal); JINR (Dubna); MON, RosAtom, RAS, RFBR, and NRC KI (Russia); MESTD (Serbia); SEIDI, CPAN, PCTI, and FEDER (Spain); MOSTR (Sri Lanka); Swiss Funding Agencies (Switzerland); MST (Taipei); ThEPCenter, IPST, STAR, and NSTDA (Thailand); TUBITAK and TAEK (Turkey); NASU (Ukraine); STFC (United Kingdom); DOE and NSF (USA). Individuals have received support from the Marie-Curie program and the European Research Council and Horizon 2020 Grant, Contracts No. 675440, No. 724704, No. 752730, and No. 765710 (European Union); the Leventis Foundation; the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation; the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation; the Belgian Federal Science

Policy Office; the Fonds pour la Formation à la Recherche dans l'Industrie et dans l'Agriculture (FRIA-Belgium); the Agentschap voor Innovatie door Wetenschap en Technologie (IWT-Belgium); the F.R.S.-FNRS and FWO (Belgium) under the "Excellence of Science-EOS"-be.h Project No. 30820817; the Beijing Municipal Science & Technology Commission, No. Z191100007219010; the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports (MEYS) of the Czech Republic; the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG), under Germany's Excellence Strategy-EXC 2121 "Quantum Universe"—390833306, and under project number 400140256—GRK2497; the Lendület ("Momentum") Program and the János Bolyai Research Scholarship of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, the New National Excellence Program UNKP, the NKFIA research grants 123842, 123959, 124845, 124850, 125105, 128713, 128786, and 129058 (Hungary); the Council of Science and Industrial Research, India; the Ministry of Science and Higher Education and the National Science Center, contracts Opus 2014/15/B/ST2/03998 and 2015/19/B/ST2/02861 (Poland); the National Priorities Research Program by Qatar National Research Fund; the Ministry of Science and Higher Education, Project No. 0723-2020-0041 (Russia); the Programa Estatal de Fomento de la Investigación Científica y Técnica de Excelencia María de Maeztu, grant MDM-2015-0509 and the Programa Severo Ochoa del Principado de Asturias; the Thalis and Aristeia programs cofinanced by EU-ESF and the Greek NSRF; the Rachadapisek Sompot Fund for Postdoctoral Fellowship, Chulalongkorn University and the Chulalongkorn Academic into Its 2nd Century Project Advancement Project (Thailand); the Kavli Foundation; the Nvidia Corporation; the SuperMicro Corporation; the Welch Foundation, Contract C-1845; and the Weston Havens Foundation (USA).

- [1] S. K. Choi *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), Observation of a Narrow Charmonium-Like State in Exclusive $B^{\pm} \rightarrow K^{\pm}\pi^{+}\pi^{-}J/\psi$ Decays, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 262001 (2003).
- [2] B. Aubert *et al.* (BABAR Collaboration), Study of the $B \rightarrow J/\psi K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$ decay and measurement of the $B \rightarrow X(3872)K^-$ branching fraction, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 071103 (2005).
- [3] M. Ablikim *et al.* (BESIII Collaboration), Observation of $e^+e^- \rightarrow X(3872)$ at BESIII, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 092001 (2014).
- [4] D. Acosta *et al.* (CDF Collaboration), Observation of the Narrow State $X(3872) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$ in $\bar{p}p$ Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 072001 (2004).
- [5] V. M. Abazov *et al.* (D0 Collaboration), Observation and Properties of the X(3872) Decaying to $J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$ in $p\bar{p}$ Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 162002 (2004).

- [6] LHCb Collaboration, Observation of X(3872) production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, Eur. Phys. J. C **72**, 1972 (2012).
- [7] CMS Collaboration, Measurement of the X(3872) production cross section via decays to $J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$ in *pp* collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2013) 154.
- [8] ATLAS Collaboration, Measurements of $\psi 2S$ and $X(3872) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$ production in *pp* collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, J. High Energy Phys. 01 (2017) 117.
- [9] A. Abulencia *et al.* (CDF Collaboration), Analysis of the Quantum Numbers J^{PC} of the X(3872), Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 132002 (2007).
- [10] LHCb Collaboration, Determination of the X(3872) Meson Quantum Numbers, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 222001 (2013).
- [11] N. A. Tornqvist, Isospin breaking of the narrow charmonium state of Belle at 3872 MeV as a deuson, Phys. Lett. B 590, 209 (2004).
- [12] L. Maiani, F. Piccinini, A. D. Polosa, and V. Riquer, Diquark-antidiquarks with hidden or open charm and the nature of X(3872), Phys. Rev. D 71, 014028 (2005).
- [13] C. Hanhart, Yu. S. Kalashnikova, and A. V. Nefediev, Interplay of quark and meson degrees of freedom in a nearthreshold resonance: multi-channel case, Eur. Phys. J. A 47, 101 (2011).
- [14] J. C. Collins and M. J. Perry, Superdense Matter: Neutrons or Asymptotically Free Quarks? Phys. Rev. Lett. 34, 1353 (1975).
- [15] [E. V. Shuryak, Quark-gluon plasma and hadronic production of leptons, photons and psions, Phys. Lett. 78B, 150 (1978)].
- [16] H. Zhang, J. Liao, E. Wang, Q. Wang, and H. Xing, Deciphering the Nature of X(3872) in Heavy Ion Collisions, Phys. Rev. Lett. **126**, 012301 (2021).
- [17] B. Wu, X. Du, M. Sibila, and R. Rapp, X(3872) transport in heavy-ion collisions, Eur. Phys. J. A 57, 122 (2021).
- [18] S. Cho *et al.* (ExHIC Collaboration), Identifying Multi-Quark Hadrons from Heavy Ion Collisions, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 212001 (2011).
- [19] S. Cho *et al.* (ExHIC Collaboration), Exotic hadrons in heavy ion collisions, Phys. Rev. C 84, 064910 (2011).
- [20] A. Martinez Torres, K. P. Khemchandani, F. S. Navarra, M. Nielsen, and L. M. Abreu, X(3872) production in high energy heavy ion collisions, Phys. Rev. D 90, 114023 (2014); Erratum, Phys. Rev. D 93, 059902 (2016).
- [21] H. Sato and K. Yazaki, On the coalescence model for high energy nuclear reactions, Phys. Lett. 98B, 153 (1981).
- [22] T. Matsui and H. Satz, J/ψ suppression by quark-gluon plasma formation, Phys. Lett. B **178**, 416 (1986).
- [23] L. M. Abreu, K. P. Khemchandani, A. Martinez Torres, F. S. Navarra, and M. Nielsen, X(3872) production and absorption in a hot hadron gas, Phys. Lett. B 761, 303 (2016).
- [24] LHCb Collaboration, Evidence for the decay $X(3872) \rightarrow \psi 2S\gamma$, Nucl. Phys. **B886**, 665 (2014).
- [25] A. Andronic, P. Braun-Munzinger, and J. Stachel, Hadron production in central nucleus-nucleus collisions at chemical freeze-out, Nucl. Phys. A772, 167 (2006).
- [26] A. Andronic, P. Braun-Munzinger, K. Redlich, and J. Stachel, Decoding the phase structure of QCD via particle production at high energy, Nature (London) 561, 321 (2018).

- [27] CMS Collaboration, The CMS experiment at the CERN LHC, J. Instrum. 3, S08004 (2008).
- [28] CMS Collaboration, Performance of the CMS level-1 trigger in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, J. Instrum. **15**, P10017 (2020).
- [29] CMS Collaboration, The CMS trigger system, J. Instrum. 12, P01020 (2017).
- [30] CMS Collaboration, Performance of electron reconstruction and selection with the CMS detector in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, J. Instrum. **10**, P06005 (2015).
- [31] CMS Collaboration, Performance of the CMS muon detector and muon reconstruction with proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, J. Instrum. 13, P06015 (2018).
- [32] CMS Collaboration, Performance of photon reconstruction and identification with the CMS detector in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, J. Instrum. **10**, P08010 (2015).
- [33] CMS Collaboration, Description and performance of track and primary-vertex reconstruction with the CMS tracker, J. Instrum. 9, P10009 (2014).
- [34] CMS Collaboration, Particle-flow reconstruction and global event description with the CMS detector, J. Instrum. 12, P10003 (2017).
- [35] CMS Collaboration, Observation and studies of jet quenching in Pb-Pb collisions at nucleon-nucleon center-of-mass energy = 2.76 TeV, Phys. Rev. C 84, 024906 (2011).
- [36] CMS Collaboration, Charged-particle nuclear modification factors in Pb-Pb and pPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 5.02$ TeV, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2017) 039.
- [37] CMS Collaboration, Transverse momentum and pseudorapidity distributions of charged hadrons in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ and 2.36 TeV, J. High Energy Phys. 02 (2010) 041.
- [38] T. Sjöstrand, S. Ask, J. R. Christiansen, R. Corke, N. Desai, P. Ilten, S. Mrenna, S. Prestel, C.O. Rasmussen, and

P.Z. Skands, An introduction to PYTHIA8.2, Comput. Phys. Commun. **191**, 159 (2015).

- [39] CMS Collaboration, Extraction and validation of a new set of CMS PYTHIA8 tunes from underlying-event measurements, Eur. Phys. J. C 80, 4 (2020).
- [40] P. A. Zyla *et al.* (Particle Data Group), Review of particle physics, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. (2020), 083C01.
- [41] E. Barberio, B. van Eijk, and Z. Was, Photos—a universal Monte Carlo for QED radiative corrections in decays, Comput. Phys. Commun. 66, 115 (1991).
- [42] I. P. Lokhtin and A. M. Snigirev, A model of jet quenching in ultrarelativistic heavy ion collisions and high- p_T hadron spectra at RHIC, Eur. Phys. J. C **45**, 211 (2006).
- [43] H. Voss, A. Höcker, J. Stelzer, and F. Tegenfeldt, TMVA, the toolkit for multivariate data analysis with ROOT, Proc. Sci., ACAT2007 (2007) 040.
- [44] CMS Collaboration, Measurements of inclusive W and Z cross sections in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, J. High Energy Phys. 01 (2011) 080.
- [45] CMS Collaboration, Performance of CMS muon reconstruction in pp collision events at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, J. Instrum. 7, P10002 (2012).
- [46] CMS Collaboration, J/ψ and $\psi 2S$ production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, J. High Energy Phys. 02 (2012) 011.
- [47] CMS Collaboration, Measurement of prompt and nonprompt charmonium suppression in Pb-Pb collisions at 5.02 TeV, Eur. Phys. J. C 78, 509 (2018).
- [48] L. Kluberg and H. Satz, Color deconfinement and charmonium production in nuclear collisions, in *Relativistic Heavy Ion Physics*, edited by R. Stock (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010), 10.1007/978-3-642-01539-7_13.

A. M. Sirunyan,^{1,a} A. Tumasyan,¹ W. Adam,² F. Ambrogi,² T. Bergauer,² M. Dragicevic,² J. Erö,² A. Escalante Del Valle,² M. Flechl,² R. Frühwirth,^{2,b} M. Jeitler,^{2,b} N. Krammer,² I. Krätschmer,² D. Liko,² T. Madlener,² I. Mikulec,² N. Rad,² J. Schieck,^{2,b} R. Schöfbeck,² M. Spanring,² W. Waltenberger,² C.-E. Wulz,^{2,b} M. Zarucki,² V. Drugakov,³ V. Mossolov,³ J. Suarez Gonzalez,³ M. R. Darwish,⁴ E. A. De Wolf,⁴ D. Di Croce,⁴ X. Janssen,⁴ T. Kello,^{4,c} A. Lelek,⁴ M. Pieters,⁴ H. Rejeb Sfar,⁴ H. Van Haevermaet,⁴ P. Van Mechelen,⁴ S. Van Putte,⁴ N. Van Remortel,⁴ F. Blekman,⁵ E. S. Bols,⁵ S. S. Chhibra,⁵ J. D'Hondt,⁵ J. De Clercq,⁵ D. Lontkovskyi,⁵ S. Lowette,⁵ I. Marchesini,⁵ S. Moortgat,⁵ Q. Python,⁵ S. Tavernier,⁵ W. Van Doninck,⁵ P. Van Mulders,⁵ D. Beghin,⁶ B. Bilin,⁶ B. Clerbaux,⁶ G. De Lentdecker,⁶ H. Delannoy,⁶ B. Dorney,⁶ L. Favart,⁶ A. Grebenyuk,⁶ A. K. Kalsi,⁶ L. Moureaux,⁶ A. Popov,⁶ N. Postiau,⁶ E. Starling,⁶ L. Thomas,⁶ C. Vander Velde,⁶ P. Vanlaer,⁶ D. Vannerom,⁶ T. Cornelis,⁷ D. Dobur,⁷ I. Khvastunov,^{7,d} M. Niedziela,⁷ C. Roskas,⁷ K. Skovpen,⁷ M. Tytgat,⁷ W. Verbeke,⁷ B. Vermassen,⁷ M. Vit,⁷ G. Bruno,⁸ C. Caputo,⁸ P. David,⁸ C. Delaere,⁸ M. Delcourt,⁸ A. Giammanco,⁸ V. Lemaitre,⁸ J. Prisciandaro,⁸ A. Saggio,⁸ P. Vischia,⁸ J. Zobec,⁸ G. A. Alves,⁹ G. Correia Silva,⁹ C. Hensel,⁹ A. Moraes,⁹ E. Belchior Batista Das Chagas,¹⁰ W. Carvalho,¹⁰ J. Chinellato,^{10,e} E. Coelho,¹⁰ E. M. Da Costa,¹⁰ G. G. Da Silveira,^{10,f} D. De Jesus Damiao,¹⁰ C. De Oliveira Martins,¹⁰ S. Fonseca De Souza,¹⁰ H. Malbouisson,¹⁰ J. Martins,^{10,g} D. Matos Figueiredo,¹⁰ M. Medina Jaime,^{10,h} M. Melo De Almeida,¹⁰ C. Mora Herrera,¹⁰ L. Mundim,¹⁰ H. Nogima,¹⁰ W. L. Prado Da Silva,¹⁰ P. Rebello Teles,¹⁰ L. J. Sanchez Rosas,¹⁰ A. Santoro,¹⁰ A. Sznajder,¹⁰ M. Thiel,¹⁰ E. J. Tonelli Manganote,^{10,e} F. Torres Da Silva De Araujo,¹⁰ A. Vilela Pereira,¹⁰ C. A. Bernardes,^{11a} L. Calligaris,^{11a} T. R. Fernandez Perez Tomei,^{11a} E. M. Gregores,^{11a,11b} D. S. Lemos,^{11a} P. G. Mercadante,^{11a,11b} S. F. Novaes,^{11a} Sandra S. Padula,^{11a} A. Aleksandrov,¹² G. Antchev,¹² R. Hadjiiska,¹² P. Iaydjiev,¹² M. Misheva,¹² M. Rodozov,¹² M. Shopova,¹² G. Sultanov,¹² M. Bonchev,¹³ A. Dimitrov,¹³ T. Ivanov,¹³ L. Litov,¹³ B. Pavlov,¹³ P. Petkov,¹³

A. Petrov,¹³ W. Fang,^{14,c} X. Gao,^{14,c} L. Yuan,¹⁴ M. Ahmad,¹⁵ Z. Hu,¹⁵ Y. Wang,¹⁵ G. M. Chen,^{16,i} H. S. Chen,^{16,i} M. Chen,¹⁶ C. H. Jiang,¹⁶ D. Leggat,¹⁶ H. Liao,¹⁶ Z. Liu,¹⁶ A. Spiezia,¹⁶ J. Tao,¹⁶ E. Yazgan,¹⁶ H. Zhang,¹⁶ S. Zhang,^{16,i} J. Zhao,¹⁶ A. Agapitos,¹⁷ Y. Ban,¹⁷ G. Chen,¹⁷ A. Levin,¹⁷ J. Li,¹⁷ L. Li,¹⁷ Q. Li,¹⁷ Y. Mao,¹⁷ S. J. Qian,¹⁷ D. Wang,¹⁷ Q. Wang,¹⁷ M. Xiao,¹⁸ C. Avila,¹⁹ A. Cabrera,¹⁹ C. Florez,¹⁹ C. F. González Hernández,¹⁹ M. A. Segura Delgado,¹⁹ J. Mejia Guisao,²⁰ J. D. Ruiz Alvarez,²⁰ C. A. Salazar González,²⁰ N. Vanegas Arbelaez,²⁰ D. Giljanović,²¹ N. Godinovic,²¹ D. Lelas,²¹ I. Puljak,²¹ T. Sculac,²¹ Z. Antunovic,²² M. Kovac,²² V. Brigljevic,²³ D. Ferencek,²³ K. Kadija,²³ B. Mesic,²³ M. Roguljic,²³ A. Starodumov,^{23,j} T. Susa,²³ M. W. Ather,²⁴ A. Attikis,²⁴ E. Erodotou,²⁴ A. Ioannou,²⁴ M. Kolosova,²⁴ S. Konstantinou,²⁴ G. Mavromanolakis,²⁴ J. Mousa,²⁴ C. Nicolaou,²⁴ F. Ptochos,²⁴ P. A. Razis,²⁴ H. Rykaczewski,²⁴ H. Saka,²⁴ D. Tsiakkouri,²⁴ M. Finger,^{25,k} M. Finger Jr.,^{25,k} A. Kveton,²⁵ J. Tomsa,²⁵ E. Ayala,²⁶ E. Carrera Jarrin,²⁷ M. A. Mahmoud,^{28,l,m} Y. Mohammed,^{28,m} S. Bhowmik,²⁹ A. Carvalho Antunes De Oliveira,²⁹ R. K. Dewanjee,²⁹ K. Ehataht,²⁹ M. Kadastik,²⁹ M. Raidal,²⁹ C. Veelken,²⁹ P. Eerola,³⁰ L. Forthomme,³⁰ H. Kirschenmann,³⁰ K. Osterberg,³⁰ M. Voutilainen,³⁰ F. Garcia,³¹ J. Havukainen,³¹ J. K. Heikkilä,³¹ V. Karimäki,³¹ M. S. Kim,³¹ R. Kinnunen,³¹ T. Lampén,³¹ K. Lassila-Perini,³¹ S. Laurila,³¹ S. Lehti,³¹ T. Lindén,³¹ H. Siikonen,³¹ E. Tuominen,³¹ J. Tuominiemi,³¹ P. Luukka,³² T. Tuuva,³² M. Besancon,³³ F. Couderc,³³ M. Dejardin,³³ D. Denegri,³³ B. Fabbro,³³ J. L. Faure,³³ F. Ferri,³³ S. Ganjour,³³ A. Givernaud,³³ P. Gras,³³ G. Hamel de Monchenault,³³ P. Jarry,³³ C. Leloup,³³ B. Lenzi,³³ E. Locci,³³ J. Malcles,³³ J. Rander,³³ A. Rosowsky,³³ M. Ö. Sahin,³³ A. Savoy-Navarro,^{33,n} M. Titov,³³ G. B. Yu,³³ S. Ahuja,³⁴ C. Amendola,³⁴ F. Beaudette,³⁴ M. Bonanomi,³⁴ P. Busson,³⁴ C. Charlot,³⁴ B. Diab,³⁴ G. Falmagne,³⁴ R. Granier de Cassagnac,³⁴ I. Kucher,³⁴ A. Lobanov,³⁴ C. Martin Perez,³⁴ M. Nguyen,³⁴ C. Ochando,³⁴ P. Paganini,³⁴ J. Rembser,³⁴ R. Salerno,³⁴ J. B. Sauvan,³⁴ Y. Sirois,³⁴ A. Zabi,³⁴ A. Zghiche,³⁴ J.-L. Agram,^{35,o} J. Andrea,³⁵ D. Bloch,³⁵ G. Bourgatte,³⁵ J.-M. Brom,³⁵ E. C. Chabert,³⁵ C. Collard,³⁵ E. Conte,^{35,0} J.-C. Fontaine,^{35,0} D. Gelé,³⁵ U. Goerlach,³⁵ C. Grimault,³⁵ A.-C. Le Bihan,³⁵ N. Tonon,³⁵ P. Van Hove,³⁵ S. Gadrat,³⁶ S. Beauceron,³⁷ C. Bernet,³⁷ G. Boudoul,³⁷ C. Camen,³⁷ A. Carle,³⁷ N. Chanon,³⁷ R. Chierici,³⁷ D. Contardo,³⁷ P. Depasse,³⁷ H. El Mamouni,³⁷ J. Fay,³⁷ S. Gascon,³⁷ M. Gouzevitch,³⁷ B. Ille,³⁷ Sa. Jain,³⁷ I. B. Laktineh,³⁷ H. Lattaud,³⁷ A. Lesauvage,³⁷ M. Lethuillier,³⁷ L. Mirabito,³⁷ S. Perries,³⁷ V. Sordini,³⁷ L. Torterotot,³⁷ G. Touquet,³⁷ M. Vander Donckt,³⁷ S. Viret,³⁷ T. Toriashvili,^{38,p} Z. Tsamalaidze,^{39,k} C. Autermann,⁴⁰ L. Feld,⁴⁰ K. Klein,⁴⁰ M. Lipinski,⁴⁰ D. Meuser,⁴⁰ A. Pauls,⁴⁰ M. Preuten,⁴⁰ M. P. Rauch,⁴⁰ J. Schulz,⁴⁰ M. Teroerde,⁴⁰ M. Erdmann,⁴¹ B. Fischer,⁴¹ S. Ghosh,⁴¹ T. Hebbeker,⁴¹ K. Hoepfner,⁴¹ H. Keller,⁴¹ L. Mastrolorenzo,⁴¹ M. Merschmeyer,⁴¹ A. Meyer,⁴¹ P. Millet,⁴¹ G. Mocellin,⁴¹ S. Mondal,⁴¹ S. Mukherjee,⁴¹ D. Noll,⁴¹ A. Novak,⁴¹ T. Pook,⁴¹ A. Pozdnyakov,⁴¹ T. Quast,⁴¹ M. Radziej,⁴¹ Y. Rath,⁴¹ H. Reithler,⁴¹ J. Roemer,⁴¹ A. Schmidt,⁴¹ S. C. Schuler,⁴¹ A. Sharma,⁴¹ S. Wiedenbeck,⁴¹ S. Zaleski,⁴¹ G. Flügge,⁴² W. Haj Ahmad,^{42,q} O. Hlushchenko,⁴² T. Kress,⁴² T. Müller,⁴² A. Nowack,⁴² C. Pistone,⁴² O. Pooth,⁴² D. Roy,⁴² H. Sert,⁴² A. Stahl,^{42,r} M. Aldaya Martin,⁴³ P. Asmuss,⁴³ I. Babounikau,⁴³ H. Bakhshiansohi,⁴³ K. Beernaert,⁴³ O. Behnke,⁴³ A. Bermúdez Martínez,⁴³ A. A. Bin Anuar,⁴³ K. Borras,^{43,s} V. Botta,⁴³ A. Campbell,⁴³ A. Cardini,⁴³ P. Connor,⁴³ S. Consuegra Rodríguez,⁴³ C. Contreras-Campana,⁴³ V. Danilov,⁴³ A. De Wit,⁴³ M. M. Defranchis,⁴³ C. Diez Pardos,⁴³ D. Domínguez Damiani,⁴³ G. Eckerlin,⁴³ D. Eckstein,⁴³ T. Eichhorn,⁴³ A. Elwood,⁴³ E. Eren,⁴³ L. I. Estevez Banos,⁴³ E. Gallo,^{43,t} A. Geiser,⁴³ A. Grohsjean,⁴³ M. Guthoff,⁴³ M. Haranko,⁴³ A. Harb,⁴³ A. Jafari,⁴³ N. Z. Jomhari,⁴³ H. Jung,⁴³ A. Kasem,^{43,s} M. Kasemann,⁴³ H. Kaveh,⁴³ J. Keaveney,⁴³ C. Kleinwort,⁴³ J. Knolle,⁴³ D. Krücker,⁴³ W. Lange,⁴³ T. Lenz,⁴³ J. Lidrych,⁴³ K. Lipka,⁴³ W. Lohmann,^{43,u} R. Mankel,⁴³ I.-A. Melzer-Pellmann,⁴³ A. B. Meyer,⁴³ M. Meyer,⁴³ M. Missiroli,⁴³ J. Mnich,⁴³ A. Mussgiller,⁴³ V. Myronenko,⁴³ D. Pérez Adán,⁴³ S. K. Pflitsch,⁴³ D. Pitzl,⁴³ A. Raspereza,⁴³ A. Saibel,⁴³ M. Savitskyi,⁴³ V. Scheurer,⁴³ P. Schütze,⁴³ C. Schwanenberger,⁴³ R. Shevchenko,⁴³ A. Singh,⁴³ R. E. Sosa Ricardo,⁴³ H. Tholen,⁴³ O. Turkot,⁴³ A. Vagnerini,⁴³ M. Van De Klundert,⁴³ R. Walsh,⁴³ Y. Wen,⁴³ K. Wichmann,⁴³ C. Wissing,⁴³ O. Zenaiev,⁴³ R. Zlebcik,⁴³ R. Aggleton,⁴⁴ S. Bein,⁴⁴ L. Benato,⁴⁴ A. Benecke,⁴⁴ T. Dreyer,⁴⁴ A. Ebrahimi,⁴⁴ F. Feindt,⁴⁴ A. Fröhlich,⁴⁴ C. Garbers,⁴⁴ E. Garutti,⁴⁴ D. Gonzalez,⁴⁴ P. Gunnellini,⁴⁴ J. Haller,⁴⁴ A. Hinzmann,⁴⁴ A. Karavdina,⁴⁴ G. Kasieczka,⁴⁴ R. Klanner,⁴⁴ R. Kogler,⁴⁴ N. Kovalchuk,⁴⁴ S. Kurz,⁴⁴ V. Kutzner,⁴⁴ J. Lange,⁴⁴ T. Lange,⁴⁴ A. Malara,⁴⁴ J. Multhaup,⁴⁴ C. E. N. Niemeyer,⁴⁴ A. Reimers,⁴⁴ O. Rieger,⁴⁴ P. Schleper,⁴⁴ S. Schumann,⁴⁴ J. Schwandt,⁴⁴ J. Sonneveld,⁴⁴ H. Stadie,⁴⁴ G. Steinbrück,⁴⁴ B. Vormwald,⁴⁴ I. Zoi,⁴⁴ M. Akbiyik,⁴⁵ M. Baselga,⁴⁵ S. Baur,⁴⁵ T. Berger,⁴⁵ E. Butz,⁴⁵ R. Caspart,⁴⁵ T. Chwalek,⁴⁵ W. De Boer,⁴⁵ A. Dierlamm,⁴⁵ K. El Morabit,⁴⁵ N. Faltermann,⁴⁵ M. Giffels,⁴⁵ A. Gottmann,⁴⁵ F. Hartmann,^{45,r} C. Heidecker,⁴⁵ U. Husemann,⁴⁵ M. A. Iqbal,⁴⁵ S. Kudella,⁴⁵ S. Maier,⁴⁵ S. Mitra,⁴⁵ M. U. Mozer,⁴⁵ D. Müller,⁴⁵ Th. Müller,⁴⁵ M. Musich,⁴⁵ A. Nürnberg,⁴⁵ G. Quast,⁴⁵ K. Rabbertz,⁴⁵ D. Savoiu,⁴⁵ D. Schäfer,⁴⁵ M. Schnepf,⁴⁵ M. Schröder,⁴⁵ I. Shvetsov,⁴⁵ H. J. Simonis,⁴⁵ R. Ulrich,⁴⁵ M. Wassmer,⁴⁵ M. Weber,⁴⁵ C. Wöhrmann,⁴⁵ R. Wolf,⁴⁵ S. Wozniewski,⁴⁵ G. Anagnostou,⁴⁶ P. Asenov,⁴⁶ G. Daskalakis,⁴⁶ T. Geralis,⁴⁶

A. Kyriakis,⁴⁶ D. Loukas,⁴⁶ G. Paspalaki,⁴⁶ A. Stakia,⁴⁶ M. Diamantopoulou,⁴⁷ G. Karathanasis,⁴⁷ P. Kontaxakis,⁴⁷ A. Manousakis-katsikakis, ⁴⁷ A. Panagiotou, ⁴⁷ I. Papavergou, ⁴⁷ N. Saoulidou, ⁴⁷ K. Theofilatos, ⁴⁷ K. Vellidis, ⁴⁷
 E. Vourliotis, ⁴⁷ G. Bakas, ⁴⁸ K. Kousouris, ⁴⁸ I. Papakrivopoulos, ⁴⁸ G. Tsipolitis, ⁴⁸ A. Zacharopoulou, ⁴⁸ I. Evangelou, ⁴⁹
 C. Foudas, ⁴⁹ P. Gianneios, ⁴⁹ P. Katsoulis, ⁴⁹ P. Kokkas, ⁴⁹ S. Mallios, ⁴⁹ K. Manitara, ⁴⁹ N. Manthos, ⁴⁰ I. Papadopoulos, ⁴⁹
 J. Strologas, ⁴⁹ F. A. Triantis, ⁴⁰ D. Tsitsonis, ⁴⁹ M. Bartók, ^{50,v} R. Chudasama, ⁵⁰ M. Csanad, ⁵⁰ P. Major, ⁵⁰ K. Mandal, ⁵⁰
 A. Mehta, ⁵⁰ G. Pasztor, ⁵⁰ O. Surányi, ⁵⁰ G. I. Veres, ⁵⁰ G. Bencze, ⁵¹ C. Hajdu, ⁵¹ D. Horvath, ^{51,w} F. Sikler, ⁵¹ V. Veszpremi, ⁵¹
 G. Vesztergombi, ^{51,a,x} N. Beni, ⁵² S. Czellar, ⁵² J. Karancsi, ^{52,v} J. Molnar, ⁵² Z. Szillasi, ⁵² P. Raics, ⁵³ D. Teyssier, ⁵³
 Z. L. Trocsanyi, ⁵³ B. Ujvari, ⁵³ T. Csorgo, ⁵⁴ W. J. Metzger, ⁵⁴ F. Nemes, ⁵⁴ T. Novak, ⁵⁴ S. Choudhury, ⁵⁵ J. R. Komaragiri, ⁵⁵
 P. C. Tiwari, ⁵⁵ S. Bahinipati, ^{56,v} C. Kar, ⁵⁶ G. Kole, ⁵⁶ P. Mal, ⁵⁶ V. K. Muraleedharan Nair Bindhu, ⁵⁶ A. Nayak, ^{56,z}
 D. K. Sahoo, ^{56,y} S. K. Swain, ⁵⁶ S. Bansal, ⁵⁷ S. B. Beri, ⁵⁷ V. Bhatnagar, ⁵⁷ S. Chauhan, ⁵⁷ N. Dhingra, ^{57,aa} R. Gupta, ⁵⁷
 A. Kaur, ⁵⁷ M. Kaur, ⁵⁷ S. Kaur, ⁵⁷ P. Kumari, ⁵⁷ M. Lohan, ⁵⁷ M. Meena, ⁵⁷ K. Sandeep, ⁵⁷ S. Sharma, ⁵⁷ J. B. Singh, ⁵⁷
 A. K. Virdi, ⁵⁷ G. Walia, ⁵⁷ A. Bhardwaj, ⁵⁸ B. C. Choudhary, ⁵⁸ R. B. Garg, ⁵⁸ M. Gola, ⁵⁸ S. Keshri, ⁵⁸ Ashok Kumar, ⁵⁸
 M. Naimuddin, ⁵⁸ P. Priyanka, ⁵⁸ K. Ranjan, ⁵⁸ Ashaq Shah, ⁵⁸ R. Sharma, ⁵⁹ S. Ghosh, ⁵⁹ B. Gomber, ^{59,ce}
 M. Maitty, ^{59,dd} K. Mondal, ⁵⁹ S. Nandan, ⁵⁹ A. Purohit, ⁵⁹ P. K. Rout, ⁵⁹ G. Saha, ⁵⁹ S. Sarkar, ⁵⁹ M. Sharan, ⁵⁹ B. Singh, ^{59,bb}
 S. Thakur, ^{59,bb} P. K. Behera, ⁶⁰ S. A. Manousakis-katsikakis,⁴⁷ A. Panagiotou,⁴⁷ I. Papavergou,⁴⁷ N. Saoulidou,⁴⁷ K. Theofilatos,⁴⁷ K. Vellidis,⁴⁷ M. Guchait,⁶³ S. Karmakar,⁶³ S. Kumar,⁶³ G. Majumder,⁶³ K. Mazumdar,⁶³ N. Sahoo,⁶³ S. Sawant,⁶³ S. Dube,⁶⁴ B. Kansal,⁶⁴ A. Kapoor,⁶⁴ K. Kothekar,⁶⁴ S. Pandey,⁶⁴ A. Rane,⁶⁴ A. Rastogi,⁶⁴ S. Sharma,⁶⁴ S. Chenarani,⁶⁵ S. M. Etesami,⁶⁵ A. Kapoor, K. Kotnekar, S. Pandey, A. Rane, A. Rastogi, S. Sharma, S. Chenarani, S. M. Etesami, M. Khakzad, K. Kotnekar, S. Pandey, A. Rane, A. Rastogi, S. Sharma, S. Chenarani, S. M. Etesami, M. Khakzad, K. Kotnekar, S. Pandey, A. Rane, A. Rastogi, S. Sharma, S. Chenarani, S. M. Etesami, M. M. Khakzad, K. Kotnekar, S. Pandey, A. Rane, A. Rastogi, S. Sharma, S. Sharma, S. Chenarani, S. M. Etesami, M. M. Khakzad, K. Kotnekar, S. Pandey, A. Rane, K. A. Rastogi, S. Sharma, S. Chenarani, S. M. Etesami, M. M. Khakzad, S. M. Mohammadi Najafabadi, S. M. Naseri, S. Rezaei Hosseinabadi, S. M. Felcini, K. Grunewald, M. Abbrescia, A. Alt, S. A. Alt, S. C. Calabria, S. M. Scalaba, S. M. Felcini, C. Cristella, S. M. Grunewald, K. Kotnekar, T. S. Nastogi, S. A. Starma, S. C. Calabria, S. M. Colaleo, S. M. Felcini, C. Cristella, S. M. Gra, S. M. De Filippis, S. Alt, S. M. De Palma, S. A. Di Florio, S. Colaleo, A. Colaleo, S. L. Fiore, S. L. Cristella, S. M. De Palma, S. M. De Palma, S. M. Di Florio, S. M. Elmetenawee, S. L. Fiore, S. A. Gelmi, S. M. Gelmi, S. Lezki, S. Lezki, S. Lezki, S. Lezki, S. Lezki, G. Maggi, S. Maggi, S. Maggi, S. M. Maggi, S. A. Merlin, S. M. Sinole, S. My, S. Lezki, S. Muzzo, S. Lezki, S. Lezki, S. Radogna, S. M. Radogna, S. A. Ranieri, S. G. Selvaggi, S. Muzzo, S. My, S. Muzzo, S. Kuzzo, S. Kuz F. IVI. SIMONE, K. VENDILI, P. VERWIHIGEN, K. G. Abbiendi, K. C. Battilana, Source D. Bonacorsi, Source D G. Masetti,^{68a} F. L. Navarria,^{68a,68b} A. Perrotta,^{68a} F. Primavera,^{68a,68b} A. M. Rossi,^{60a,69b} T. Rovelli,^{60a,60b} G. P. Siroli,^{60a,69b}, S. Costa,^{69a,69b,gg} A. Di Mattia,^{69a} R. Potenza,^{69a,69b} A. Tricomi,^{69a,69b,gg} C. Tuve,^{69a,69b} G. Barbagli,^{70a} A. Cassese,^{70a} R. Ceccarelli,^{70a,70b} V. Ciulli,^{70a,70b} C. Civinini,^{70a} R. D'Alessandro,^{70a,70b} F. Fiori,^{70a} E. Focardi,^{70a,70b} G. Latino,^{70a,70b} P. Lenzi,^{70a,70b} M. Meschini,^{70a} S. Paoletti,^{70a} G. Sguazzoni,^{70a} L. Viliani,^{70a} L. Benussi,⁷¹ S. Bianco,⁷¹ D. Piccolo,⁷¹ M. Bozzo,^{72a,72b} F. Ferro,^{72a} R. Mulargia,^{72a,72b} E. Robutti,^{72a} S. Tosi,^{72a,72b} A. Benaglia,^{73a} A. Beschi,^{73a,73b} F. Brivio,^{73a,73b} V. Ciriolo,^{73a,73b} M. Malberti,^{73a} S. Malvezzi,^{73a} D. Menasce,^{73a} F. Monti,^{73a,73b} L. Moroni,^{73a} S. Pacarei,^{73a,73b} T. Tehegelli, de Estie,^{73a,73b} D. Valeegehi,^{73a,73b} T. Zuelo,^{73a,73b} D. Valeegehi,^{73a,73b} J. Zuelo,^{73a,73b} P. Govoni, ^{73a,73b} L. Guzzi, ^{73a,73b} M. Malberti, ^{73a} S. Malvezzi, ^{73a} D. Menasce, ^{73a} F. Monti, ^{73a,73b} L. Moroni, ^{73a} M. Paganoni, ^{73a,73b} D. Pedrini, ^{73a} S. Ragazzi, ^{73a,73b} T. Tabarelli de Fatis, ^{73a,73b} D. Valsecchi, ^{73a,73b} T. Zuolo, ^{73a,73b} S. Buontempo, ^{74a} N. Cavallo, ^{74a,74c} A. De Iorio, ^{74a,74b} A. Di Crescenzo, ^{74a,74b} F. Fabozzi, ^{74a,74c} F. Fienga, ^{74a} G. Galati, ^{74a} A. O. M. Iorio, ^{74a,74b} L. Layer, ^{74a,74b} L. Lista, ^{74a,74b} S. Meola, ^{74a,74d, P} Paolucci, ^{74a,74c} F. Fienga, ^{74a} C. Sciacca, ^{74a,74b} E. Voevodina, ^{74a,74b} P. Azzi, ^{75a} N. Bacchetta, ^{75a} D. Bisello, ^{75a,75b} A. Boletti, ^{75a,75b} A. Bragagnolo, ^{75a,75b} R. Carlin, ^{75a,75b} P. Checchia, ^{75a} P. De Castro Manzano, ^{75a} T. Dorigo, ^{75a} U. Dosselli, ^{75a} F. Gasparini, ^{75a,75b} U. Gasparini, ^{75a,75b} A. Gozzelino, ^{75a,75b} R. Rossin, ^{75a,75b} M. Margoni, ^{75a,75b} A. T. Meneguzzo, ^{75a,75b} J. Pazzini, ^{75a,75b} P. Zotto, ^{75a,75b} A. Gozzelino, ^{75a,75b} R. Rossin, ^{75a,75b} F. Simonetto, ^{75a,75b} A. Tiko, ^{75a} M. Tosi, ^{75a,75b} M. Zanetti, ^{75a,75b} P. Zotto, ^{75a,75b} A. Zucchetta, ^{75a,75b} G. Zumerle, ^{75a,75b} A. Braghieri, ^{76a} D. Fiorina, ^{76a,76b} P. Montagna, ^{76a,76b} S. P. Ratti, ^{76a,76b} V. Re, ^{76a} M. Ressegotti, ^{76a,76b} C. Riccardi, ^{76a,76b} P. Salvini, ^{76a} I. Vai,^{76a} P. Vitulo, ^{76a,76b} M. Biasini, ^{77a,77b} V. Mariani, ^{77a,77b} M. Menichelli, ^{77a} A. Rossi, ^{77a,77b} A. Santocchia, ^{77a,77b} R. Leonardi, ^{77a,77b} E. Manoni, ^{77a} G. Mantovani, ^{77a,77b} V. Mariani, ^{77a,77b} M. Bertacchi, ^{78a,78e} L. Bianchini, ^{78a} T. Boccali, ^{78a} R. Castaldi, ^{78a} M. A. Ciocci, ^{78a,78b} R. Dell'Orso, ^{78a} S. Donato, ^{78a} R. Ligabue, ^{78a,78c} E. Manca, ^{78a,78c} G. Mandorli, ^{78a,78c} A. Messineo, ^{78a,78b} R. Sono, ^{78a,78b} R. Ligabue, ^{78a,78c} E. Manca, ^{78a,78c} G. Mandorli, ^{78a,78c} A. Messineo, ^{78a,78b} R. Sono, ^{78a,78b} R. Ligabue, ^{78a,78c} E. Manca, ^{78a,78c} G. Mandorli, ^{78a,78c} A. Messineo, ^{78a,78b} R. Ligabue, ^{78a,78} F. Palla,^{78a} A. Rizzi,^{78a,78b} G. Rolandi,^{78a,78c} S. Roy Chowdhury,^{78a,78c} A. Scribano,^{78a} P. Spagnolo,^{78a} R. Tenchini,^{78a} G. Tonelli,^{78a,78b} N. Turini,^{78a,78d} A. Venturi,^{78a} P. G. Verdini,^{78a} F. Cavallari,^{79a} M. Cipriani,^{79a,79b} D. Del Re,^{79a,79b} E. Di Marco,^{79a} M. Diemoz,^{79a} E. Longo,^{79a,79b} P. Meridiani,^{79a} G. Organtini,^{79a,79b} F. Pandolfi,^{79a} R. Paramatti,^{79a,79b} C. Quaranta,^{79a,79b} S. Rahatlou,^{79a,79b} C. Rovelli,^{79a} F. Santanastasio,^{79a,79b} L. Soffi,^{79a,79b} R. Tramontano,^{79a,79b} N. Amapane,^{80a,80b} R. Arcidiacono,^{80a,80c} S. Argiro,^{80a,80b} M. Arneodo,^{80a,80c} N. Bartosik,^{80a} R. Bellan,^{80a,80b} A. Bellora,^{80a,80b} R. Arcidiacono,^{80a,80b} N. Cartiglia,^{80a} S. Cometti,^{80a} M. Costa,^{80a,80b} R. Covarelli,^{80a,80b} N. Demaria,^{80a} J. R. González Fernández,^{80a} B. Kiani,^{80a,80b} F. Legger,^{80a} C. Mariotti,^{80a} S. Maselli,^{80a} E. Migliore,^{80a,80b} W. Monteno,^{80a,80b} M. Obertino,^{80a,80b} M. Ruspa,^{80a,80b} W. Salvatico,^{80a,80b} N. Pastrone,^{80a} A. Solano,^{80a,80b} D. Soldi,^{80a,80b} A. Staiano,^{80a} G. L. Pinna Angioni,^{80a,80b} A. Romero,^{80a,80b} M. Ruspa,^{80a,80c} R. Salvatico,^{80a,80b} V. Sola,^{80a} A. Solano,^{80a,80b} B. Osldi,^{80a,80b} A. Staiano,^{80a} D. Trocino,^{80a,80b} S. Belforte,^{81a} V. Candelise,^{81a,81b} M. Casarsa,^{81a} F. Cossutti,^{81a} A. Da Rold,^{81a,81b} G. Della Ricca,^{81a,81b} F. Vazzoler,^{81a,81b} A. Zanetti,^{81a} B. Kim,⁸² D. H. Kim,⁸² G. N. Kim,⁸² J. Lee,⁸² S. W. Lee,⁸² C. S. Moon,⁸² Y. D. Oh,⁸² S. I. Pak,⁸² S. Sekmen,⁸² D. C. Son,⁸² Y. C. Sang,⁸ Y. Koh,⁸⁵ J. Yoo,⁸⁵ J. Goh,⁸⁶ H. S. Kim,⁸⁷ J. Almond,⁸³ J. H. Bhyun,⁸⁸ J. Choi,⁸⁵ S. Jeon,⁸⁴ J. Kim,⁸³ J. Yoo,⁸⁵ J. Goh,⁸⁶ H. S. Kim,⁸⁷ J. Almond,⁸³ J. H. Bhyun,⁸⁴ J. Choi,⁸⁵ S. Jeon,⁸⁴ J. Kim,⁸⁵ J. Yoo,⁸⁵ J. Goh,⁸⁶ H. S. Kim,⁸⁷ J. Almond,⁸³ J. H. Bhyun,⁹⁶ J. Cois,⁸⁵ J. Lim,⁸⁵ J. Park,⁸⁵ S. Lee,⁸⁵ K. Lee,⁸⁵ K. S. Lee,⁸⁵ J. Lim,⁸⁵ J. Pon,⁸⁵ J. Joo,⁸⁵ J. Goh,⁸⁶ H. S. Kim,⁸⁷ J. J. A. Murillo Quijada, ¹ L. Valencia Palomo, ¹ H. Castilla-Valdez, ² E. De La Cruz-Burelo, ³ I. Heredia-De La Cruz, ⁴⁶ R. Lopez-Fernandez, ⁹⁵ A. Sanchez-Hernandez, ⁹⁵ S. Carrillo Moreno, ⁹⁶ C. Oropeza Barrera, ⁹⁶ M. Ramirez-Garcia, ⁹⁶ F. Vazquez Valencia, ⁹⁶ J. Eysermans, ⁹⁷ I. Pedraza, ⁹⁷ H. A. Salazar Ibarguen, ⁹⁷ C. Uribe Estrada, ⁹⁷ A. Morelos Pineda, ⁹⁸ J. Mijuskovic, ^{99,d} N. Raicevic, ⁹⁹ D. Krofcheck, ¹⁰⁰ S. Bheesette, ¹⁰¹ P. H. Butler, ¹⁰¹ P. Lujan, ¹⁰¹ A. Ahmad, ¹⁰² M. Ahmad, ¹⁰² M. I. M. Awan, ¹⁰² Q. Hassan, ¹⁰² H. R. Hoorani, ¹⁰² W. A. Khan, ¹⁰² M. A. Shah, ¹⁰² M. Shoaib, ¹⁰² M. Waqas, ¹⁰² V. Avati, ¹⁰³ L. Grzanka, ¹⁰³ M. Malawski, ¹⁰³ H. Bialkowska, ¹⁰⁴ M. Bluj, ¹⁰⁴ B. Boimska, ¹⁰⁴ M. Górski, ¹⁰⁴ M. Kazana, ¹⁰⁴ M. Szleper, ¹⁰⁴ P. Zalewski, ¹⁰⁵ M. Bunkowski, ¹⁰⁵ A. Byszuk, ^{105,kk} K. Doroba, ¹⁰⁵ A. Kalinowski, ¹⁰⁵ M. Konecki, ¹⁰⁵ J. Krolikowski, ¹⁰⁵ M. Olszewski, ¹⁰⁵ M. Walczak, ¹⁰⁶ M. Araujo, ¹⁰⁶ P. Bargassa, ¹⁰⁶ D. Bastos, ¹⁰⁶ A. Di Francesco, ¹⁰⁶ P. Faccioli, ¹⁰⁶ C. Steneng ¹⁰⁶ M. Callina and ¹⁰⁶ C. Steneng ¹⁰⁶ M. Olszewski,¹⁰⁵ M. Walczak,¹⁰⁵ M. Araujo,¹⁰⁶ P. Bargassa,¹⁰⁶ D. Bastos,¹⁰⁶ A. Di Francesco,¹⁰⁶ P. Faccioli,¹⁰⁶
B. Galinhas,¹⁰⁶ M. Gallinaro,¹⁰⁶ J. Hollar,¹⁰⁶ N. Leonardo,¹⁰⁶ T. Niknejad,¹⁰⁶ J. Seixas,¹⁰⁶ K. Shchelina,¹⁰⁶ G. Strong,¹⁰⁶
O. Toldaiev,¹⁰⁶ J. Varela,¹⁰⁶ S. Afanasiev,¹⁰⁷ P. Bunin,¹⁰⁷ Y. Ershov,¹⁰⁷ I. Golutvin,¹⁰⁷ I. Gorbunov,¹⁰⁷ A. Kamenev,¹⁰⁷
V. Karjavine,¹⁰⁷ V. Korenkov,¹⁰⁷ A. Lanev,¹⁰⁷ A. Malakhov,¹⁰⁷ V. Matveev,^{107,II,Imm} V. V. Mitsyn,¹⁰⁷ P. Moisenz,¹⁰⁷
V. Palichik,¹⁰⁷ V. Perelygin,¹⁰⁷ S. Shmatov,¹⁰⁷ N. Skatchkov,¹⁰⁷ B. S. Yuldashev,^{107,II,Imm} V. V. Mitsyn,¹⁰⁷ V. Zhiltsov,¹⁰⁷
L. Chtchipounov,¹⁰⁸ V. Golovtcov,¹⁰⁸ Y. Ivanov,¹⁰⁸ V. Kim,^{108,00} E. Kuznetsova,^{108,pp} P. Levchenko,¹⁰⁸ V. Murzin,¹⁰⁸
V. Oreshkin,¹⁰⁸ I. Smirnov,¹⁰⁸ D. Sosnov,¹⁰⁸ V. Sulimov,¹⁰⁸ L. Uvarov,¹⁰⁸ A. Vorobyev,¹⁰⁸ Yu. Andreev,¹⁰⁹ A. Dermenev,¹⁰⁹
S. Gninenko,¹⁰⁹ N. Golubev,¹⁰⁹ A. Karneyeu,¹⁰⁹ M. Kirsanov,¹⁰⁹ N. Krasnikov,¹⁰⁹ A. Pashenkov,¹⁰⁹ D. Tlisov,¹⁰⁹
A. Toropin,¹⁰⁹ V. Epshteyn,¹¹⁰ V. Gavrilov,¹¹⁰ M. Toms,¹¹⁰ E. Vlasov,¹¹⁰ A. Zhokin,¹¹⁰ T. Aushev,¹¹¹ O. Bychkova,¹¹²
R. Chistov,^{112,rr} M. Danilov,^{112,rr} S. Polikarpov,^{112,rr} E. Tarkovskii,¹¹² V. Andreev,¹¹³ M. Azarkin,¹¹³ I. Dremin,¹¹³
M. Kirakosyan,¹¹³ A. Terkulov,¹¹³ A. Belyaev,¹¹⁴ E. Boos,¹¹⁴ A. Demiyanov,¹¹⁴ A. Ershov,¹¹⁴ A. Gribushin,¹¹⁴
O. Kodolova,¹¹⁴ V. Korotkikh,¹¹⁴ I. Lokhtin,¹¹⁴ S. Obraztsov,¹¹⁴ S. Petrushanko,^{115,ss} Y. Skovpen,^{115,ss} I. Azhgirev,¹¹⁶ O. Kodolova, V. Kołotkikii, T. Lokitili, S. Obrazisov, S. Perfushanko, V. Savini, A. Shigirev,
I. Vardanyan,¹¹⁴ A. Barnyakov,^{115,ss} V. Blinov,^{115,ss} T. Dimova,^{115,ss} L. Kardapoltsev,^{115,ss} Y. Skovpen,^{115,ss} I. Azhgirey,¹¹⁶ I. Bayshev,¹¹⁶ S. Bitioukov,¹¹⁶ V. Kachanov,¹¹⁶ D. Konstantinov,¹¹⁶ P. Mandrik,¹¹⁶ V. Petrov,¹¹⁶ R. Ryutin,¹¹⁶ S. Slabospitskii,¹¹⁶ A. Sobol,¹¹⁶ S. Troshin,¹¹⁶ N. Tyurin,¹¹⁶ A. Uzunian,¹¹⁶ A. Volkov,¹¹⁶ A. Babaev,¹¹⁷ A. Iuzhakov,¹¹⁷ V. Okhotnikov,¹¹⁷ V. Borchsh,¹¹⁸ V. Ivanchenko,¹¹⁸ E. Tcherniaev,¹¹⁸ P. Adzic,^{119,tt} P. Cirkovic,¹¹⁹ M. Dordevic,¹¹⁹ P. Milenovic,¹¹⁹ J. Milosevic,¹¹⁹ M. Stojanovic,¹¹⁹ M. Aguilar-Benitez,¹²⁰ J. Alcaraz Maestre,¹²⁰ A. Álvarez Fernández,¹²⁰ F. Milenović, J. Milosević, M. Stojanović, M. Agunar-Benitez, J. Alcaraz Maestre, A. Alvarez Fernandez,¹²⁰
I. Bachiller,¹²⁰ M. Barrio Luna,¹²⁰ Cristina F. Bedoya,¹²⁰ J. A. Brochero Cifuentes,¹²⁰ C. A. Carrillo Montoya,¹²⁰
M. Cepeda,¹²⁰ M. Cerrada,¹²⁰ N. Colino,¹²⁰ B. De La Cruz,¹²⁰ A. Delgado Peris,¹²⁰ J. P. Fernández Ramos,¹²⁰ J. Flix,¹²⁰
M. C. Fouz,¹²⁰ O. Gonzalez Lopez,¹²⁰ S. Goy Lopez,¹²⁰ J. M. Hernandez,¹²⁰ M. I. Josa,¹²⁰ D. Moran,¹²⁰ Á. Navarro Tobar,¹²⁰
A. Pérez-Calero Yzquierdo,¹²⁰ J. Puerta Pelayo,¹²⁰ I. Redondo,¹²⁰ L. Romero,¹²⁰ S. Sánchez Navas,¹²⁰ M. S. Soares,¹²⁰
A. Triossi,¹²⁰ C. Willmott,¹²⁰ C. Albajar,¹²¹ J. F. de Trocóniz,¹²¹ R. Reyes-Almanza,¹²¹ B. Alvarez Gonzalez,¹²² J. Cuevas,¹²²
C. Erice,¹²² J. Fernandez Menendez,¹²² S. Folgueras,¹²² I. Gonzalez Caballero,¹²² E. Palencia Cortezon,¹²²

 PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS 128, 032001 (2022)
 C. Ramón Álvarez,¹²² V. Rodríguez Bouzz,¹²³ S. Sanchez Cruz,¹²³ L.J. Cabrillo,¹³³ A. Calderon,¹³³ B. Chazin Quero,¹²³ J. Duarte Campderos,¹²³ M. Fernandez,¹²³ P. J. Fernández Manteca,¹²⁶ A. García Alonso,¹²⁶ G. Goronz,¹²⁵ C. Picels,¹²⁵ F. Ricci,¹²⁷ M. Tottova,¹²⁶ J. Mericanicz Ruiz del Arbol,¹²⁵ F. Macarres,¹²¹ J. Mattines, Ruiz del Arbol,¹²⁶ T. Marres,¹²⁶ J. M. Vizzm García,¹²⁹ D. U. J. Sommadari,¹²⁶ G. Marzinger,¹²⁶ J. Baechler,¹²⁶ N. Wickmange,¹²⁵ T. K. Aarrestal,¹²⁶ D. Abono,¹²⁶ D. Algun,¹²⁶ E. J. Affray,¹²⁶ G. Auzinger,¹²⁶ J. Baechler,¹²⁶ P. Brondolni,¹²⁶ T. C. Camprosti,¹²⁶ A. C. Germinana,¹²⁶ D. Changon,¹²⁶ G. Cercini,¹²⁷ S. D. G. Herterini,¹²⁶ N. Dobson,¹²⁶ M. Danser,¹²⁶ N. Dapont,¹²⁶ A. David,¹²⁶ O. David,¹²⁶ D. Dategon,¹²⁶ A. De Roock,¹²⁶ M. Onicse,¹²⁶ J. J. Durit,¹²⁶ D. Duvid,¹²⁶ D. Duvid,¹²⁶ D. Gueron,¹²⁶ A. De Roock,¹²⁶ M. Danser,¹²⁶ N. Dupont,¹²⁶ A. L. Biothyl,¹²⁶ D. Germinaz,¹²⁶ D. Gelgi,¹²⁶ K. Gill,¹²⁶ F. Glaves,¹²⁷ M. Janes,¹²⁶ J. Canzen,¹²⁷ J. Vapid,¹²⁶ D. Duvid,¹²⁶ D. Du C. Ramón Álvarez,¹²² V. Rodríguez Bouza,¹²² S. Sanchez Cruz,¹²² I. J. Cabrillo,¹²³ A. Calderon,¹²³ B. Chazin Quero,¹²³ P. R. Hobson,¹⁴³ A. Khan,¹⁴³ P. Kyberd,¹⁴³ C. K. Mackay,¹⁴³ I. D. Reid,¹⁴³ L. Teodorescu,¹⁴³ S. Zahid,¹⁴³ A. Brinkerhoff,¹⁴⁴
K. Call,¹⁴⁴ B. Caraway,¹⁴⁴ J. Dittmann,¹⁴⁴ K. Hatakeyama,¹⁴⁴ C. Madrid,¹⁴⁴ B. McMaster,¹⁴⁴ N. Pastika,¹⁴⁴ C. Smith,¹⁴⁴
R. Bartek,¹⁴⁵ A. Dominguez,¹⁴⁵ R. Uniyal,¹⁴⁵ A. M. Vargas Hernandez,¹⁴⁵ A. Buccilli,¹⁴⁶ S. I. Cooper,¹⁴⁶ S. V. Gleyzer,¹⁴⁶

<page-header><code-block></code>

S. C. Tonwar,¹⁶⁹ L. Wang,¹⁶⁹ K. Wong,¹⁶⁹ D. Abercrombie,¹⁷⁰ B. Allen,¹⁷⁰ R. Bi,¹⁷⁰ S. Brandt,¹⁷⁰ W. Busza,¹⁷⁰ I. A. Cali,¹⁷⁰ M. D'Alfonso,¹⁷⁰ G. Gomez Ceballos,¹⁷⁰ M. Goncharov,¹⁷⁰ P. Harris,¹⁷⁰ D. Hsu,¹⁷⁰ M. Hu,¹⁷⁰ M. Klute,¹⁷⁰ D. Kovalskyi,¹⁷⁰ Y.-J. Lee,¹⁷⁰ P. D. Luckey,¹⁷⁰ B. Maier,¹⁷⁰ A. C. Marini,¹⁷⁰ C. Mcginn,¹⁷⁰ C. Mironov,¹⁷⁰ S. Narayanan,¹⁷⁰ X. Niu,¹⁷⁰ C. Paus,¹⁷⁰ D. Rankin,¹⁷⁰ C. Roland,¹⁷⁰ G. Roland,¹⁷⁰ Z. Shi,¹⁷⁰ G. S. F. Stephans,¹⁷⁰ K. Sumorok,¹⁷⁰ K. Tatar,¹⁷⁰ D. Velicanu,¹⁷⁰ J. Wang,¹⁷⁰ T. W. Wang,¹⁷⁰ B. Wyslouch,¹⁷⁰ R. M. Chatterjee,¹⁷¹ A. Evans,¹⁷¹ S. Guts,^{171,a} P. Hansen,¹⁷¹ J. Hiltbrand,¹⁷¹ Sh. Jain,¹⁷¹ Y. Kubota,¹⁷¹ Z. Lesko,¹⁷¹ J. Mans,¹⁷¹ M. Revering,¹⁷³ K. Rusack,¹⁷¹ R. Saradhy,¹⁷¹ N. Schroeder,¹⁷¹ N. Strobbe,¹⁷³ H. A. Wadud,¹⁷¹ J. G. Acosta,¹⁷² S. Oliveros,¹⁷² K. Bloom,¹⁷³ C. P. Snew ^{173,a} P. Kringer,¹⁷³ C. Fangmeier,¹⁷³ L. Finco,¹⁷³ F. Golf,¹⁷³ R. Kamalieddin,¹⁷³ I. Kravchenko,¹⁷³ J. E. Siado,¹⁷³ G. R. Snow,^{173,a} B. Stieger,¹⁷³ W. Tabb,¹⁷³ G. Agarwal,¹⁷⁴ C. Harrington,¹⁷⁴ I. Iashvili,¹⁷⁴ A. Kharchilava,¹⁷⁴ C. McLean,¹⁷⁴ D. Nguyen,¹⁷⁴ A. Parker,¹⁷⁴ J. Pekkanen,¹⁷⁴ S. Rappoccio,¹⁷⁴ B. Roozbahani,¹⁷⁴ G. Alverson,¹⁷⁵ E. Barberis,¹⁷⁵ C. Freer,¹⁷⁵ Y. Haddad,¹⁷⁵ A. Hortiangtham,¹⁷⁵ G. Madigan,¹⁷⁵ B. Marzocchi,¹⁷⁵ D. M. Morse,¹⁷⁵ V. Nguyen,¹⁷⁵ T. Orimoto,¹⁷⁵ L. Skinnari,¹⁷⁵ A. Tishelman-Charny,¹⁷⁵ T. Wamorkar,¹⁷⁵ B. Wang,¹⁷⁵ A. Wisecarver,¹⁷⁵ D. Wood,¹⁷⁵ S. Bhattacharya,¹⁷⁶ J. Bueghly,¹⁷⁶ G. Fedi,¹⁷⁶ A. Gilbert,¹⁷⁶ T. Gunter,¹⁷⁶ K. A. Hahn,¹⁷⁶ N. Odell,¹⁷⁶ M. H. Schmitt,¹⁷⁶ K. Sung,¹⁷⁶ M. Velasco,¹⁷⁶ R. Bucci,¹⁷⁷ N. Dev,¹⁷⁷ R. Goldouzian,¹⁷⁷ M. Hildreth,¹⁷⁷ K. Hurtado Anampa,¹⁷⁷ C. Jessop,¹⁷⁷ D. J. Karmgard,¹⁷⁷ K. Lannon,¹⁷⁷ W. Li,¹⁷⁷ N. Loukas,¹⁷⁷ N. Marinelli,¹⁷⁷ I. Mcalister,¹⁷⁷ F. Meng,¹⁷⁷ Y. Musienko,^{177,II} R. Ruchti,¹⁷⁷ P. Siddireddy,¹⁷⁷ G. Smith,¹⁷⁷ S. Taroni,¹⁷⁷ M. Wayne,¹⁷⁷ A. Wightman,¹⁷⁷ M. Wolf,¹⁷⁷ J. Alimena,¹⁷⁸ B. Bylsma,¹⁷⁸ B. Cardwell,¹⁷⁸ L. S. Durkin,¹⁷⁸ B. Francis,¹⁷⁸ C. Hill,¹⁷⁸ W. Ji,¹⁷⁸ A. Lefeld,¹⁷⁸ T. Y. Ling,¹⁷⁸ B. L. Winer,¹⁷⁸ G. Dezoort,¹⁷⁹ P. Elmer,¹⁷⁹ J. Hardenbrook,¹⁷⁹ N. Haubrich,¹⁷⁹ S. Higginbotham,¹⁷⁹ A. Kalogeropoulos,¹⁷⁹ S. Kwan,¹⁷⁹ D. Lange,¹⁷⁹ M. T. Lucchini,¹⁷⁹ J. Hardenbrook, ¹⁷⁹ N. Haubrich, ¹⁷⁹ S. Higginbotham, ¹⁷⁹ A. Kalogropoulos, ¹⁷⁹ S. Kwan, ¹⁷⁹ D. Lange, ¹⁷⁹ M. T. Lucchini, ¹⁷⁹ J. Luo, ¹⁷⁹ D. Marleov, ¹⁷⁹ K. Mei, ¹⁷⁹ I. Ojalvo, ¹⁷⁹ J. Olsen, ¹⁷⁹ C. Palmer, ¹⁷⁹ P. Piroué, ¹⁷⁹ D. Lange, ¹⁷⁹ M. T. Lucchini, ¹⁷⁹ J. Luo, ¹¹⁸ D. Marleov, ¹⁷⁹ K. Mei, ¹⁷⁹ I. Ojalvo, ¹⁷⁹ J. Olsen, ¹⁷⁰ C. Palmer, ¹⁷⁹ P. Piroué, ¹⁷⁹ D. Stickland, ¹⁷⁹ C. Tully, ¹⁷⁹ S. Malik, ¹⁸⁰ S. Norberg, ¹⁸⁰ A. Barker, ¹⁸¹ V. E. Barnes, ¹⁸¹ R. Chawla, ¹⁸¹ S. Das, ¹⁸¹ L. Gutay, ¹⁸¹ M. Jones, ¹⁸¹ A. W. Jung, ¹⁸¹ B. Mahakud, ¹⁸¹ D. H. Miller, ¹⁸¹ G. Negro, ¹⁸¹ N. Neumeister, ¹⁸¹ C. C. Peng, ¹⁸¹ S. Piperov, ¹⁸¹ H. Qiu, ¹⁸¹ J. F. Schulte, ¹⁸³ N. Trevisani, ¹⁸¹ F. Wang, ¹⁸¹ R. Xiao, ¹⁸³ W. Xie, ¹⁸³ T. Cheng, ¹⁸² J. Dolen, ¹⁸² N. Parashar, ¹⁸² A. Baty, ¹⁸³ U. Behrens, ¹⁸³ S. Dildick, ¹⁸³ K. M. Ecklund, ¹⁸³ S. Freed, ¹⁸³ F. J. M. Geurts, ¹⁸³ M. Kilpatrick, ¹⁸³ Arun Kumar, ¹⁸³ W. Li, ¹⁸³ B. P. Padley, ¹⁸⁴ R. Redjimi, ¹⁸³ J. Roberts, ¹⁸³ U. Sthi, ¹⁸⁴ A. G. Stahl Leiton, ¹⁸⁴ Z. Tu, ¹⁸³ A. Bodek, ¹⁸⁴ P. de Barbaro, ¹⁸⁴ R. Demina, ¹⁸⁴ J. L. Dulemba, ¹⁸⁴ C. Fallon, ¹⁸⁴ T. Ferbel, ¹⁸⁴ M. Galanti, ¹⁸⁴ A. Garcia-Bellido, ¹⁸⁴ O. Hindrichs, ¹⁸⁵ A. Khukhunaishvili, ¹⁸⁴ E. Ranken, ¹⁸⁴ R. Taus, ¹⁸⁴ B. Chiarito, ¹⁸⁵ J. P. Chou, ¹⁸⁵ A. Gandrakota, ¹⁸⁵ Y. Gershtein, ¹⁸⁵ K. Nash, ¹⁸⁵ M. Osherson, ¹⁸⁵ S. Salur, ¹⁸⁵ S. Schnetzer, ¹⁸⁵ S. Somalwar, ¹⁸⁵ R. Stone, ¹⁸⁵ S. Thomas, ¹⁸⁵ R. Montalvo, ¹⁸⁵ K. Nash, ¹⁸⁵ M. Osherson, ¹⁸⁷ G. Bouhali, ¹⁸⁷, ²⁰⁰ M. Dalchenko, ¹⁸⁷ M. De Mattia, ¹⁸⁷ A. Delgado, ¹⁸⁷ R. Eusebi, ¹⁸⁷ J. Gilmore, ¹⁸⁷ T. Huang, ¹⁸⁷ T. Kamon, ¹⁸⁷, ¹⁸⁴ S. Luo, ¹⁸⁷ S. Malhotra, ¹⁸⁷ D. Marley, ¹⁸⁷ R. Mueller, ¹⁸⁷ D. Overton, ¹⁸⁸ K. Lamichhane, ¹⁸⁸ A. Gurrola, ¹⁸⁹ R. Janjam, ¹⁸⁹ W. Johns, ¹⁸⁹ C. Maguire, ¹⁸⁹ A. Melo, ¹⁸⁹ H. Ni, ¹⁸⁹ S. Kunori, ¹⁸⁸ A. Whitbeck, ¹⁸⁸ S. W. Lee, ¹⁸⁸ T. Mengke, ¹ K. Padeken, F. Komeo, F. Sheldon, S. 1uo, J. Velkovska, M. Verwelj, M. W. Afenton, F. Barria,
B. Cox,¹⁹⁰ G. Cummings,¹⁹⁰ J. Hakala,¹⁹⁰ R. Hirosky,¹⁹⁰ M. Joyce,¹⁹⁰ A. Ledovskoy,¹⁹⁰ C. Neu,¹⁹⁰ B. Tannenwald,¹⁹⁰
Y. Wang,¹⁹⁰ E. Wolfe,¹⁹⁰ F. Xia,¹⁹⁰ R. Harr,¹⁹¹ P. E. Karchin,¹⁹¹ N. Poudyal,¹⁹¹ J. Sturdy,¹⁹¹ P. Thapa,¹⁹¹ K. Black,¹⁹²
T. Bose,¹⁹² J. Buchanan,¹⁹² C. Caillol,¹⁹² D. Carlsmith,¹⁹² S. Dasu,¹⁹² I. De Bruyn,¹⁹² L. Dodd,¹⁹² C. Galloni,¹⁹² H. He,¹⁹²
M. Herndon,¹⁹² A. Hervé,¹⁹² U. Hussain,¹⁹² A. Lanaro,¹⁹² A. Loeliger,¹⁹² R. Loveless,¹⁹² J. Madhusudanan Sreekala,¹⁹²
A. Mallampalli,¹⁹² D. Pinna,¹⁹² T. Ruggles,¹⁹² A. Savin,¹⁹² V. Sharma,¹⁹² W. H. Smith,¹⁹² D. Teague,¹⁹² and S. Trembath-reichert¹⁹²

CMS Collaboration

¹Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia

²Institut für Hochenergiephysik, Wien, Austria

³Institute for Nuclear Problems, Minsk, Belarus ⁴Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium

⁵Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium

⁶Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS 128, 032001 (2022)

⁷Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

⁸Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

⁹Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Fisicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

¹⁰Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

^{11a}Universidade Estadual Paulista, São Paulo, Brazil

^{11b}Universidade Federal do ABC, São Paulo, Brazil

¹²Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria

¹³University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria

¹⁴Beihang University, Beijing, China

¹⁵Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China

⁶⁶Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, China

¹⁷State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China

¹⁸Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China

¹⁹Universidad de Los Andes, Bogota, Colombia

²⁰Universidad de Antioquia, Medellin, Colombia

²¹University of Split, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture, Split, Croatia

²University of Split, Faculty of Science, Split, Croatia

²³Institute Rudjer Boskovic, Zagreb, Croatia

²⁴University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus

²⁵Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic

²⁶Escuela Politecnica Nacional, Quito, Ecuador

²⁷Universidad San Francisco de Quito, Quito, Ecuador

²⁸Academy of Scientific Research and Technology of the Arab Republic of Egypt, Egyptian Network of High Energy Physics,

Cairo, Egypt

²⁹National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia

Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

³¹Helsinki Institute of Physics, Helsinki, Finland

³²Lappeenranta University of Technology, Lappeenranta, Finland

³³IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France

³⁴Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, CNRS/IN2P3, Ecole Polytechnique, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, Palaiseau, France

³⁵Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, IPHC UMR 7178, Strasbourg, France

³⁶Centre de Calcul de l'Institut National de Physique Nucleaire et de Physique des Particules,

CNRS/IN2P3, Villeurbanne, France

³⁷Institut de Physique des 2 Infinis de Lyon (IP2I), Villeurbanne, France ³⁸Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia

³⁹Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia

⁴⁰RWTH Aachen University, I. Physikalisches Institut, Aachen, Germany

⁴¹RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany

⁴²RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut B, Aachen, Germany

⁴³Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany

⁴⁴University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany

⁴⁵Karlsruher Institut fuer Technologie, Karlsruhe, Germany

⁴⁶Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics (INPP), NCSR Demokritos, Aghia Paraskevi, Greece

⁴⁷National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece

⁴⁸National Technical University of Athens, Athens, Greece

49 University of Ioánnina, Ioánnina, Greece

⁵⁰MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary

⁵¹Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary

⁵²Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary

⁵³Institute of Physics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary

⁵⁴Eszterhazy Karoly University, Karoly Robert Campus, Gyongyos, Hungary

⁵⁵Indian Institute of Science (IISc), Bangalore, India

⁵⁶National Institute of Science Education and Research, HBNI, Bhubaneswar, India ⁵⁷Panjab University, Chandigarh, India

⁵⁸University of Delhi, Delhi, India

⁵⁹Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, HBNI, Kolkata, India

⁰Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Madras, India

⁶¹Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai, India

⁶²Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-A, Mumbai, India

⁶³Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-B, Mumbai, India

⁶⁴Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER), Pune, India ²⁵Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran, Iran 66 University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland ^{67a}INFN Sezione di Bari, Bari, Italy ^{67b}Università di Bari, Bari, Italy ⁶⁷cPolitecnico di Bari, Bari, Italy ^{68a}INFN Sezione di Bologna, Bologna, Italy ^{68b}Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy ^{69a}INFN Sezione di Catania, Catania, Italy ^{69b}Università di Catania, Catania, Italy ^{70a}INFN Sezione di Firenze, Firenze, Italy ^{70b}Università di Firenze, Firenze, Italy ⁷¹INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy ^aINFN Sezione di Genova, Genova, Italy ^{72b}Università di Genova, Genova, Italy ^{73a}INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy ^{73b}Università di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy ^{74a}INFN Sezione di Napoli, Napoli, Italy ^{74b}Università di Napoli 'Federico II', Napoli, Italy ^{74c}Università della Basilicata, Potenza, Italy 74d Università G. Marconi, Roma, Italy ^{75a}INFN Sezione di Padova, Padova, Italy ^{75b}Università di Padova, Padova, Italy ⁷⁵*c*Università di Trento, Trento, Italy ^{76a}INFN Sezione di Pavia, Pavia, Italy ^{76b}Università di Pavia, Pavia, Italy ^{77a}INFN Sezione di Perugia, Perugia, Italy ^{77b}Università di Perugia, Perugia, Italy ^{78a}INFN Sezione di Pisa, Pisa, Italy ^{78b}Università di Pisa, Pisa, Italy ⁷⁸cScuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Pisa, Italy ^{78d}Università di Siena, Siena, Italy ^{79a}INFN Sezione di Roma Rome, Italy ^{79b}Sapienza Università di Roma Rome, Italy ^{80a}INFN Sezione di Torino, Torino, Italy ^{80b}Università di Torino, Torino, Italy ⁸⁰CUniversità del Piemonte Orientale, Novara, Italy ^{la}INFN Sezione di Trieste, Trieste, Italy ^{81b}Università di Trieste, Trieste, Italy ⁸²Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea ⁸³Chonnam National University, Institute for Universe and Elementary Particles, Kwangju, Korea ⁸⁴Hanyang University, Seoul, Korea ⁸⁵Korea University, Seoul, Korea ⁸⁶Kyung Hee University, Department of Physics, Seoul, Republic of Korea ⁸⁷Sejong University, Seoul, Korea ⁸⁸Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea ⁹University of Seoul, Seoul, Korea ⁹⁰Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Korea ⁹¹Riga Technical University, Riga, Latvia ⁹²Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania ⁹³National Centre for Particle Physics, Universiti Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia ⁹⁴Universidad de Sonora (UNISON), Hermosillo, Mexico ⁹⁵Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Mexico City, Mexico ⁹⁶Universidad Iberoamericana, Mexico City, Mexico ⁹⁷Benemerita Universidad Autonoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico ⁹⁸Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí, San Luis Potosí, Mexico University of Montenegro, Podgorica, Montenegro ¹⁰⁰University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand ¹⁰¹University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand

¹⁰²National Centre for Physics, Quaid-I-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan

¹⁰³AGH University of Science and Technology Faculty of Computer Science, Electronics and Telecommunications, Krakow, Poland ¹⁰⁴National Centre for Nuclear Research, Swierk, Poland ¹⁰⁵Institute of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland ¹⁰⁶Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas, Lisboa, Portugal ¹⁰⁷ Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia ¹⁰⁸Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina (St. Petersburg), Russia ¹⁰⁹Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia ¹¹⁰Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics named by A.I. Alikhanov of NRC 'Kurchatov Institute', Moscow, Russia ¹¹¹Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Moscow, Russia ¹¹²National Research Nuclear University 'Moscow Engineering Physics Institute' (MEPhI), Moscow, Russia ¹¹³P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia ¹¹⁴Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia ¹¹⁵Novosibirsk State University (NSU), Novosibirsk, Russia ¹¹⁶Institute for High Energy Physics of National Research Centre 'Kurchatov Institute', Protvino, Russia ¹¹⁷National Research Tomsk Polytechnic University, Tomsk, Russia ¹¹⁸Tomsk State University, Tomsk, Russia ¹¹⁹University of Belgrade: Faculty of Physics and VINCA Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia ¹²⁰Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain ¹²¹Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain ¹²²Universidad de Oviedo, Instituto Universitario de Ciencias y Tecnologías Espaciales de Asturias (ICTEA), Oviedo, Spain ²³Instituto de Física de Cantabria (IFCA), CSIC-Universidad de Cantabria, Santander, Spain ¹²⁴University of Colombo, Colombo, Sri Lanka ¹²⁵University of Ruhuna, Department of Physics, Matara, Sri Lanka ¹²⁶CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland ²⁷Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland ¹²⁸ETH Zurich—Institute for Particle Physics and Astrophysics (IPA), Zurich, Switzerland ¹²⁹Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland ¹³⁰National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan ¹³¹National Taiwan University (NTU), Taipei, Taiwan ¹³²Chulalongkorn University, Faculty of Science, Department of Physics, Bangkok, Thailand ¹³³Cukurova University, Physics Department, Science and Art Faculty, Adana, Turkey ¹³⁴Middle East Technical University, Physics Department, Ankara, Turkey ¹³⁵Bogazici University, Istanbul, Turkey ¹³⁶Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey ¹³⁷Istanbul University, Istanbul, Turkey ¹³⁸Institute for Scintillation Materials of National Academy of Science of Ukraine, Kharkov, Ukraine ³⁹National Scientific Center, Kharkov Institute of Physics and Technology, Kharkov, Ukraine ¹⁴⁰University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom ¹⁴¹Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom ¹⁴²Imperial College, London, United Kingdom ¹⁴³Brunel University, Uxbridge, United Kingdom ¹⁴⁴Baylor University, Waco, Texas, USA ¹⁴⁵Catholic University of America, Washington, DC, USA ¹⁴⁶The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, Alabama, USA ¹⁴⁷Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA ¹⁴⁸Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island, USA ¹⁴⁹University of California, Davis, Davis, California, USA ¹⁵⁰University of California, Los Angeles, California, USA ¹⁵¹University of California, Riverside, Riverside, California, USA ¹⁵²University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California, USA ¹⁵³University of California, Santa Barbara—Department of Physics, Santa Barbara, California, USA ⁴California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, USA ¹⁵⁵Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA ¹⁵⁶University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, Colorado, USA ¹⁵⁷Cornell University, Ithaca, New York, USA ¹⁵⁸Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois, USA ¹⁵⁹University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, USA ¹⁶⁰Florida International University, Miami, Florida, USA ¹⁶¹Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida, USA

¹⁶²Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, Florida, USA ¹⁶³University of Illinois at Chicago (UIC), Chicago, Illinois, USA ¹⁶⁴The University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, USA ¹⁶⁵Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland, USA ¹⁶⁶The University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas, USA ¹⁶⁷Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kansas, USA ¹⁶⁸Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California, USA ¹⁶⁹University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, USA ¹⁷⁰Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA ¹¹University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA ¹⁷²University of Mississippi, Oxford, Mississippi, USA ¹⁷³University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, Nebraska, USA ¹⁷⁴State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, New York, USA ⁵Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA ¹⁷⁶Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois, USA ¹⁷⁷University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana, USA ¹⁷⁸The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio, USA ¹⁷⁹Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey, USA ¹⁸⁰University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, Puerto Rico, USA ¹⁸¹Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, USA ¹⁸²Purdue University Northwest, Hammond, Indiana, USA ¹⁸³Rice University, Houston, Texas, USA ¹⁸⁴University of Rochester, Rochester, New York, USA ¹⁸⁵Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, New Jersey, USA ⁸⁶University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee, USA ¹⁸⁷Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, USA ¹⁸⁸Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Texas, USA ¹⁸⁹Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee, USA ¹⁹⁰University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia, USA ¹⁹¹Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan, USA ¹⁹²University of Wisconsin–Madison, Madison, Wisconsin, USA

^aDeceased.

- ^bAlso at Vienna University of Technology, Vienna, Austria.
- ^cAlso at Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium.
- ^dAlso at IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France.
- ^eAlso at Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, Brazil.
- ^fAlso at Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil.
- ^gAlso at Universidade Federal do Mato Grosso do Sul (UFMS), Nova Andradina, Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil.
- ^hAlso at Universidade Federal de Pelotas, Pelotas, Brazil.
- ¹Also at University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Bejing, China.

^jAlso at Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics named by A.I. Alikhanov of NRC 'Kurchatov Institute', Moscow, Russia.

- ^kAlso at Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia.
- ¹Also at British University in Egypt, Cairo, Egypt.
- ^mAlso at Fayoum University, El-Fayoum, Egypt.
- ⁿAlso at Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, USA.
- ^oAlso at Université de Haute Alsace, Mulhouse, France.
- ^pAlso at Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia.
- ^qAlso at Erzincan Binali Yildirim University, Erzincan, Turkey.
- ^rAlso at CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland.
- ^sAlso at RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany.
- ^tAlso at University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany.
- ^uAlso at Brandenburg University of Technology, Cottbus, Germany.
- ^vAlso at Institute of Physics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary.
- ^wAlso at Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary.
- ^xAlso at MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary.
- ^yAlso at IIT Bhubaneswar, Bhubaneswar, India.
- ^zAlso at Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar, India.
- ^{aa}Also at G.H.G. Khalsa College, Punjab, India.

- ^{bb}Also at Shoolini University, Solan, India.
- ^{cc}Also at University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad, India.
- ^{dd}Also at University of Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, India.
- ^{ee}Also at INFN Sezione di Bari, Università di Bari, Politecnico di Bari, Bari, Italy.
- ^{ff}Also at Italian National Agency for New Technologies, Energy and Sustainable Economic Development, Rome, Italy.
- ^{gg}Also at Centro Siciliano di Fisica Nucleare e di Struttura Della Materia, Catania, Italy.
- ^{hh}Also at Riga Technical University, Riga, Latvia.
- ⁱⁱAlso at Malaysian Nuclear Agency, MOSTI, Kajang, Malaysia.
- ^{ji}Also at Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología, Mexico City, Mexico.
- ^{kk}Also at Warsaw University of Technology, Institute of Electronic Systems, Warsaw, Poland.
- ¹¹Also at Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia.
- ^{mm}Also at National Research Nuclear University 'Moscow Engineering Physics Institute' (MEPhI), Moscow, Russia.
- ⁿⁿAlso at Institute of Nuclear Physics of the Uzbekistan Academy of Sciences, Tashkent, Uzbekistan.
- ⁰⁰Also at St. Petersburg State Polytechnical University, St. Petersburg, Russia.
- ^{pp}Also at University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, USA.
- ^{qq}Also at Imperial College, London, United Kingdom.
- ^{rr}Also at P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia.
- ^{ss}Also at Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, Novosibirsk, Russia.
- ^{tt}Also at Faculty of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia.
- ^{uu}Also at Università degli Studi di Siena, Siena, Italy.
- ^{vv}Also at INFN Sezione di Pavia, Università di Pavia, Pavia, Italy.
- ^{ww}Also at National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece.
- ^{xx}Also at Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland.
- ^{yy}Also at Stefan Meyer Institute for Subatomic Physics, Vienna, Austria.
- ^{zz}Also at Burdur Mehmet Akif Ersoy University, Burdur, Turkey.
- ^{aaa}Also at Şırnak University, Şırnak, Turkey.
- bbb Also at Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China.
- ^{ccc} Also at Near East University, Research Center of Experimental Health Science, Nicosia, Turkey.
- ^{ddd}Also at Beykent University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- eee Also at Istanbul Aydin University, Application and Research Center for Advanced Studies (App. & Res. Cent. for Advanced Studies), Istanbul, Turkey. ^{fff}Also at Mersin University, Mersin, Turkey.
- ^{ggg}Also at Piri Reis University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ^{hhh}Also at Ozyegin University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ⁱⁱⁱAlso at Izmir Institute of Technology, Izmir, Turkey.
- ⁱⁱⁱAlso at Bozok Universitetesi Rektörlügü, Yozgat, Turkey.
- ^{kkk}Also at Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ¹¹¹Also at Milli Savunma University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- mmm Also at Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey.
- ⁿⁿⁿAlso at Istanbul Bilgi University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ⁰⁰⁰Also at Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey.
- ppp Also at Adiyaman University, Adiyaman, Turkey.
- ^{qqq}Also at Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium.
- ^{rrr}Also at School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom.
- ^{sss}Also at IPPP Durham University, Durham, UK.
- ^{ttt}Also at Monash University, Faculty of Science, Clayton, Australia.
- ^{uuu}Also at Bethel University, St. Paul, Minneapolis, USA.
- ^{vvv}Also at Karamanoğlu Mehmetbey University, Karaman, Turkey.
- wwwAlso at California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, USA.
- ^{xxx}Also at Bingol University, Bingol, Turkey.
- ^{yyy}Also at Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia.
- ^{ZZZ}Also at Sinop University, Sinop, Turkey.
- ^{aaaa}Also at Mimar Sinan University, Istanbul, Istanbul, Turkey.
- bbbb Also at Nanjing Normal University Department of Physics.
- ^{cccc}Also at Texas A&M University at Qatar, Doha, Qatar.
- ^{dddd}Also at Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea.