



# https://helda.helsinki.fi

# Response to letter on use of functional imaging by 11C-metomidate PET for primary aldosteronism subtyping

Soinio, Minna

2021

Soinio , M , Luukkonen , A K , Seppänen , M , Kemppainen , J , Seppänen , J , Pienimäki , J P , Leijon , H , Vesterinen , T , Arola , J , Lantto , E , Helin , S , Tikkanen , I , Metso , S , Mirtti , T , Heiskanen , I , Norvio , L , Tiikkainen , M , Tikkanen , T , Sane , T , Välimäki , M , Gomez-Sanchez , C E , Pörsti , I , Nuutila , P , Nevalainen , P I & Matikainen , N 2021 , 'Response to letter on use of functional imaging by 11 C-metomidate PET for primary aldosteronism subtyping ' , European Journal of Endocrinology , vol. 184 , no. 3 , pp. L11-L12 . https://doi.org/10.1530/EJE-21-0048

http://hdl.handle.net/10138/341175 https://doi.org/10.1530/EJE-21-0048

cc\_by publishedVersion

Downloaded from Helda, University of Helsinki institutional repository.

This is an electronic reprint of the original article.

This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Please cite the original version.

# Response to Letter on use of functional imaging by <sup>11</sup>C-metomidate PET for primary aldosteronism subtyping

Minna Soinio<sup>1,2</sup>, Anna-Kaarina Luukkonen<sup>3</sup>, Marko Seppänen<sup>2,4</sup>, Jukka Kemppainen<sup>2,4</sup>, Janne Seppänen<sup>5</sup>, Juha-Pekka Pienimäki<sup>5</sup>, Helena Leijon<sup>6</sup>, Tiina Vesterinen<sup>6,7</sup>, Johanna Arola<sup>6</sup>, Eila Lantto<sup>8</sup>, Semi Helin<sup>2</sup>, Ilkka Tikkanen<sup>9</sup>, Saara Metso<sup>3</sup>, Tuomas Mirtti<sup>6,10</sup>, Ilkka Heiskanen<sup>11</sup>, Leena Norvio<sup>12</sup>, Mirja Tiikkainen<sup>12</sup>, Tuula Tikkanen<sup>13</sup>, Timo Sane<sup>12</sup>, Matti Välimäki<sup>12</sup>, Celso E Gomez-Sanchez<sup>14</sup>, Ilkka Pörsti<sup>3</sup>, Pirjo Nuutila<sup>1,2</sup>, Pasi I Nevalainen<sup>3</sup> and Niina Matikainen<sup>15</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Endocrinology, Turku University Hospital, Turku, Finland, <sup>2</sup>Turku PET Centre, University of Turku, Turku, Finland, <sup>3</sup>Department of Internal Medicine and Tampere University, Tampere University Hospital, Faculty of Medicine and Health Technology, Tampere, Finland, <sup>4</sup>Department of clinical Physiology and Nuclear Medicine, Turku University Hospital, Turku, Finland, <sup>5</sup>Centre for Vascular Surgery and Interventional Radiology, Tampere University Hospital, Tampere, Finland, <sup>6</sup>Department of Pathology, University of Helsinki and HUSLAB, Helsinki University Hospital, Helsinki, Finland, <sup>7</sup>Institute for Molecular Medicine Finland, Helsinki Institute of Life Science, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland, <sup>8</sup>Medical Imaging Center, Radiology, Helsinki University Hospital, Helsinki, Finland, <sup>9</sup>Abdominal Center, Nephrology, University of Helsinki, and Helsinki University Hospital, and Minerva Institute for Medical Research, Helsinki, Finland, <sup>10</sup>Research Program in Systems Oncology (ONCOSYS), University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland, <sup>11</sup>Endocrine Surgery, <sup>12</sup>Endocrinology, Helsinki University Hospital and University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland, <sup>13</sup>Internal Medicine Outpatient Clinics, Helsinki, Finland, <sup>14</sup>G.V. (Sonny) Montgomery VA Medical Center and Department of Pharmacology, University of Mississippi Medical Center, Jackson, Mississippi, USA, and <sup>15</sup>Research Programs Unit, Clinical and Molecular Medicine, University of Helsinki, Finland

Correspondence should be addressed to N Matikainen

**Email** 

niina.matikainen@fimnet.fi

We read the letter by Crimi *et al.* (1) and are thankful for the opportunity to discuss some comments. The authors highlight important points in our recent paper on whether functional imaging of adrenal cortex with <sup>11</sup>C-metomidate (<sup>11</sup>C-MTO) could offer a non-invasive alternative to adrenal venous sampling (AVS) in the subtype classification of primary aldosteronism (PA) (2).

We acknowledge that the selectivity of isotope tracers for CYP11B1 and CYP11B2 is an obstacle for non-invasive subtype diagnostics in PA and have made our best to discuss the problem in the paper. The use of dexamethasone (DXM) suppression is considered to circumvent the confounding effect of CYP11B1 uptake. However, the effect of DXM on CYP11B2 uptake has not been studied except for the 7 (13%) subjects with bilateral PA in our study and in six (15%) subjects with unilateral PA by Burton *et al.* (3). In addition to cortisol, aldosterone is also regulated by ACTH. It's not clear to what extent the activity of CYP11B2 in PA patients with various subtypes is suppressible by DXM. Literature demonstrates the effect of DXM suppression test (DST) on aldosterone levels (4). In patients with an aldosterone-producing adenoma (APA), 1 mg DST deceased 08:00 h

aldosterone concentrations from 36.1 to 19.9 ng/L (-45%) and 21.8 to 16.7 ng/L (-23%) in patients with and without *KCNJ5*-mutations, respectively (5). In another study, patients with APA presented with suppressed aldosterone levels by 50% with 1 mg DST (6). Previous data (7, 8) and a recent elegant paper by St-Jean *et al.* (9) reported even larger variations in aldosterone suppression when high-dose DST was applied (also used in the pilot studies by Burton *et al.* (3) and O'Shea at al. (10)). St-Jean *et al.* (9) found the median (IQR) relative suppression of aldosterone to be -72% (-44 to -76%) in bilateral hyperplasia and -47% (+2 to -67%) in APA. Our conclusion is that neither approach, the use of nor refraining from DXM pretreatment, is ideal. Only tracers that are more selective for CYP11B2 can improve the accuracy of isotope imaging methods in PA.

Crimi *et al.* (1) note that our study used an acquisition protocol, which differs from that used by Burton *et al.* (4) and O'Shea *et al.* (10). However, previous studies by the nuclear medicine specialists in our group show that a plateau activity is reached in approximately 10 min and tracer kinetics of <sup>11</sup>C-metomidate remain rather stable in both normal adrenals and adrenal adenomas upto

40 min (11). Therefore, the acquisition protocol is unlikely to explain the differences in the results.

Our interpretation is that the differences in patient selection remain a major determinant of the variations in outcomes because Burton *et al.* (3) performed PET studies on selected patients based on anatomical findings and the study by O'Shea *et al.* (10) presented a retrospective case series. Our study defined a pre-specified protocol to include all carefully verified PA patients who were eligible for adrenal surgery and thus required lateralization studies (2). Of these patients, 14 (25%) had no identifiable adrenal tumors in CT.

The criteria for surgery vs medical therapy has been debated (12, 13). Our threshold for lateralization index (LI) in cosyntropin-stimulated AVS was 4 according to the guidance by Funder et al. (14) which led to a significant proportion (62%) of the patients being operated. Furthermore, the results of adrenalectomy are well characterized by immunohistochemistry and by clinical/ biochemical cure. We identified four subjects with an LI of 3-3.4 in our study. All had contralateral suppression index > 1 (1.54–2.36). Of these, two had low  $SUV_{max}$ ratios (1.00 and 1.07) and the values in 2 others were 1.54 and 1.53, that is, above the cut point suggested by the ROC. None of these subjects had a single anatomical adrenal adenoma. Our comparison between the methods for lateralization would not have been different if the AVS threshold had been less strict, however, the cure rate after adrenalectomy could have been lower.

Disappointingly, <sup>11</sup>C-MTO PET-CT did not achieve the expected impact of reliable identification of PA lateralization in our prospective patient cohort. However, we eagerly wait for improved technologies for noninvasive diagnostics of PA lateralization.

### **Declaration of interest**

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest that could be perceived as prejudicing the impartiality of this article.

### **Funding**

## References

1 Crimi F, Spimpolo A, Cecchin D & Rossi GP. Functional imaging by <sup>11</sup>C-metomidate PET: a really useless technique for primary aldosteronism subtyping. *European Journal of Endocrinology* 2021 **184** L9–L10. (https://doi.org/10.1530/EJE-20-1402)

- 2 Soinio M, Luukkonen AK, Seppänen M, Kemppainen J, Seppänen J, Pienimäki JP, Leijon H, Vesterinen T, Arola J, Lantto E *et al*. Functional imaging with <sup>11</sup>C-metomidate PET for subtype diagnosis in primary aldosteronism. *European Journal of Endocrinology* 2020 **183** 539–550. (https://doi.org/10.1530/EJE-20-0532)
- 3 Burton TJ, Mackenzie IS, Balan K, Koo B, Bird N, Soloviev DV, Azizan EA, Aigbirhio F, Gurnell M & Brown MJ. Evaluation of the sensitivity and specificity of <sup>11</sup>C-metomidate positron emission tomography (PET)-CT for lateralizing aldosterone secretion by Conn's adenomas. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2012 **97** 100–109. (https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2011-1537)
- 4 Newton MA & Laragh JH. Effects of glucocorticoid administration on aldosterone excretion and plasma renin in normal subjects, in essential hypertension and in primary aldosteronism. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 1968 **28** 1014–1022. (https://doi.org/10.1210/jcem-28-7-1014)
- 5 Inoue K, Yamazaki Y, Kitamoto T, Hirose R, Saito J, Omura M, Sasano H & Nishikawa T. Aldosterone suppression by dexamethasone in patients with KCNJ5-mutated aldosterone-producing adenoma. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2018 **103** 3477–3485. (https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2018-00738)
- 6 Sonoyama T, Sone M, Tamura N, Honda K, Taura D, Kojima K, Fukuda Y, Kanamoto N, Miura M, Yasoda A *et al.* Role of endogenous ACTH on circadian aldosterone rhythm in patients with primary aldosteronism. *Endocrine Connections* 2014 **3** 173–179. (https://doi.org/10.1530/EC-14-0086)
- 7 Ganguly A, Chavarri M, Luetscher JA & Dowdy J. Transient fall and subsequent return of high aldosterone secretion by adrenal adenoma during continued dexamethasone administration. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 1977 **44** 775–779. (https://doi.org/10.1210/jcem-44-4-775)
- 8 Wenting GJ, Man In't Veld AJ, Derkx FH, Brummelen PV & Schalekamp MA. ACTH-dependent aldosterone excess due to adrenocortical adenoma: a variant of primary aldosteronism. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 1978 **46** 326–335. (https://doi.org/10.1210/jcem-46-2-326)
- 9 St-Jean M, Bourdeau I, Martin M & Lacroix A. Aldosterone is aberrantly regulated by various stimuli in a high proportion of patients with primary aldosteronism. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2021 **106** e45–e60. (https://doi.org/10.1210/clinem/dgaa703)
- 10 O'Shea PM, O'Donoghue D, Bashari W, Senanayake R, Joyce MB, Powlson AS, Browne D, O'Sullivan GJ, Cheow H, Mendichovszky I et al. <sup>11</sup>C-metomidate PET/CT is a useful adjunct for lateralization of primary aldosteronism in routine clinical practice. *Clinical Endocrinology* 2019 **90** 670–679. (https://doi.org/10.1111/cen.13942)
- 11 Minn H, Salonen A, Friberg J, Roivainen A, Viljanen T, Langsjo J, Salmi J, Valimaki M, Nagren K & Nuutila P. Imaging of adrenal incidentalomas with PET using <sup>11</sup>C-metomidate and <sup>18</sup>F-FDG. *Journal of Nuclear Medicine* 2004 **45** 972–979.
- 12 Rossi GP. Update in adrenal venous sampling for primary aldosteronism. *Current Opinion in Endocrinology, Diabetes, and Obesity* 2018 **25** 160–171. (https://doi.org/10.1097/MED.00000000000000407)
- 13 Wolley M, Thuzar M & Stowasser M. Controversies and advances in adrenal venous sampling in the diagnostic workup of primary aldosteronism. *Best Practice and Research: Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2020 **34** 101400. (https://doi.org/10.1016/j. beem.2020.101400)
- 14 Funder JW, Carey RM, Mantero F, Murad MH, Reincke M, Shibata H, Stowasser M & Young WFJ. The management of primary aldosteronism: case detection, diagnosis, and treatment: an Endocrine Society clinical practice guideline. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2016 **101** 1889–1916. (https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2015-4061)

Received 12 January 2021 Accepted 3 February 2021