

eCommons@AKU

Department of Radiology

Medical College, Pakistan

4-1-2022

18 FDG PET/CT imaging in carcinoma cesophagus

Maseeh Uz Zaman

Nosheen Fatima

Follow this and additional works at: https://ecommons.aku.edu/pakistan_fhs_mc_radiol

Part of the Oncology Commons, Radiology Commons, Skin and Connective Tissue Diseases Commons, Surgery Commons, and the Tissues Commons

¹⁸FDG PET/CT Imaging in Carcinoma Oesophagus

Maseeh uz Zaman and Nosheen Fatima

Department of Radiology, Section of NM and PET/CT, The Aga Khan University Hospital, Karachi, Pakistan

Carcinoma of oesophagus is relatively rare malignancy and constitutes about 10% of all gastrointestinal malignancies. The 5-year survival ranges between 14 - 20%.^{1,2} Squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) is the most common pathological variant (50-70%) and tends to involve the middle and distal 1/3rd of oesophagus.³ Smoking and alcohol consumption are considered important risk factors. While 30-50% cases are adenocarcinoma (AC), which involves distal oesophagus associated with Barrett's transformation.⁴ However, in the United States, AC has become the most common esophageal cancer (about 80%).⁵ Only 15% of oesophageal cancers involve proximal 1/3rd of ofthe oesophagus.³

Oesophageal cancer has notorious behaviour with dismal outcome in most of the patients. As oesophagus does not have serosa, it has the tendency to involve neighbouring structures. Since oesophagus has a rich vascular and lymphatic supply, therefore, it has the tendency for an early nodal and distant metastasis. About 20-30% of patients with carcinoma of oesophagus present with nodal and (or) distant metastasis at the time of presentation.⁶ Early-stage disease is usually asymptomatic; but in the late stage, dysphagia is the most common presenting complaint. The severity of dysphagia correlates with a degree of luminal obstruction by primary tumor itself and/or perilesional nodal metastasis. TNM (tumor, node, metastasis) staging is commonly performed by the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC - 8th Edition) staging system.⁷

Fifty-four to sixty-nine percent (54-69%) of patients with carcinoma of oesophagus are eligible for surgery; however, median survival after surgery is only 13-19%.⁸ Neoadjuvant chemotherapy and external beam radiation therapy are gaining acceptance in recent years due to promising results. Conventional diagnostic workup including fluoroscopy, tomography (CT), MRI and endoscopy ultrasound (EUS) play a pivotal role in diagnosis and staging of the disease.⁹

In the hybrid imaging era, PET/CT using 18-fluorodeoxyglucose (¹⁸FDG) is gaining acceptance in staging, restaging, response evaluation and prognostication in carcinoma of oesophagus.

Correspondence to: Dr. Maseeh uz Zaman, Department of Radiology, Section of NM and PET/CT, The Aga Khan University Hospital, Karachi, Pakistan E-mail: maseeh.uzzaman@aku.edu

Received: July 14, 2021; Revised: October 05, 2021; Accepted: October 15, 2021 DOI: https://doi.org/10.29271/jcpsp.2022.04.417 SCC and AC of oesophagus have similar metabolic signatures and concentrate intense ¹⁸FDG uptake. However, the mucinous type of AC, near the gastro-oesophageal junction, may have slightly less ¹⁸FDG uptake than SCC. The majority of literature supports the notion that intensity of ¹⁸FDG uptake correlates with survival; and tumors with standardised uptake value <3 (SUVmax <3) are associated with better outcome.¹⁰ However, other prognostic indicators like length of hypermetabolic primary tumor, regional nodes, and distant metastasis are strong indicators of survival.

¹⁸FDG PET/CT being a part of diagnostic paradigm in carcinoma oesophagus has significantly improved detection of distant hypermetabolic metastasis and also specificity of nodal staging. Combining ¹⁸FDG PET/CT with EUS-guided nodal biopsy has significantly improved diagnostic yield of nodal metastasis prior to surgery. ¹⁸FDG PET/CT has a sensitivity and specificity of 51% and 94% for locoregional and 67% and 84% for distant staging, respectively.¹¹ For primary tumor, ¹⁸FDG PET/CT has an overall sensitivity of 80% which reaches up to 100% for T3 and T4 tumors. However, sensitivity declines to 43% for T1 tumors and fails to detect tumors in situ and T1a tumors.¹¹Therefore, ¹⁸FDG PET/CT has significantly weaker role in determining T-staging than morphological imaging like CT, MRI and EUS. For nodal staging, CT/EUS has a sensitivity of 83% but specificity of 45%. On the other hand, ¹⁸FDG PET/CT has a sensitivity of 22%, but specificity of 91% for nodal staging. Therefore, combining CT/EUS (having good sensitivity) with ¹⁸FDG PET/CT (having good specificity) would ensure high diagnostic accuracy for nodal staging. For distant metastasis, ¹⁸FDG PET/CT outperforms CT/EUS for being more sensitive (69% vs. 46%) and specific (93% vs. 74%).¹¹ In clinical practice, ¹⁸FDG PET/CT has been found to change staging in 14% of the patients and can detect distant hypermetabolic metastasis in additional 5-8% patients, which were not evident on CT/EUS. However, in patients with recurrence, ¹⁸FDG PET/CT has sensitivity and specificity similar to morphological imaging (CT/EUS).¹¹ However, use of ¹⁸FDG PET/CT in staging of early esophageal cancers has been guestioned by some researchers, as well.¹²

¹⁸FDG PET/CT is also found to have good predictive value for response to chemotherapy or chemoradiation.¹³ ¹⁸FDG PET/CT performed two weeks after chemotherapy or chemoradiation can be used to categorise patients as responder and non-responder, based on metabolic changes (change in SUVmax pre- and post-therapy scans). Using PET emission response criteria in solid tumor (PERCIST), significant decline in SUVmax (30 - 80%) is considered to have better survival.¹³ However, due to limited special resolution of PET images, minimal residual disease cannot be excluded as there is higher incidence of recurrence within 1-2 years despite significantly reduced SUVmax.

It is important to be cognizant of pitfalls of ¹⁸FDGPET/CT imaging. ¹⁸FDG is a sensitive but non-specific substrate having variable uptake in malignant and non-malignant (inflammatory and infection) lesions.¹⁴Mild diffuse ¹⁸FDG uptake may be seen in patients with oesophagitis or lower oesophageal sphincter motility. Similarly, false-positive ¹⁸FDG uptake may be seen over hiatus hernia, benign strictures after dilatation, post-biopsy sites, and oesophageal leiomyomas. Small intra-capsular nodal metastases have a higher possibility of false negative results. Intense ¹⁸FDG uptake in primary tumor may obscure perilesional nodal metastatic nodes. Detection of synchronous tumors is not uncommon (5.5 - 8%) in patients with carcinoma oesophagus undergoing ¹⁸FDG PET/CT study for staging workup.¹⁴

Oesophageal cancer is a biologically aggressive and metabolically active tumor with higher mortality. ¹⁸FDG PET/CT is useful for staging, restaging, prognostication, and assessing treatment response. ¹⁸FDG PET/CT has good specificity for loco-regional nodal metastases; and being whole-body technique, has good diagnostic accuracy for distant metastatic disease in patients with oesophageal cancers. However, ¹⁸FDG being a non-specific substrate may pose diagnostic challenge due to variable uptake in malignant and non-malignant (inflammatory and infection) lesions.

REFERENCES

- Esophageal cancer: Epidemiology, pathogenesis and prevention. *Nat Clin Pract Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2008; 5(9):517-26. doi: 10.1038/ncpgasthep1223.
- Pennathur A, Gibson MK, Jobe BA, Luketich JD. Oesophageal carcinoma. *Lancet* 2013; **381(9864)**: 400-12. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(12)60643-6.
- Enzinger PC, Mayer RJ. Esophageal cancer. N Engl J Med 2003; 349(23):2241-52. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra035010.
- 4. Naini BV, Souza RF, Otze RD. Barrett's esophagus: A comprehensive and contemporary review for

pathologists. *Am J Surg Pathol* 2016; **40(5)**:e45-66. doi: 10.1097/PAS.00000000000598.

- Absi A, Adelstein DJ, Rice T. Esophageal Cancer. 2013. Available from: http://www.clevelandclinicmeded.com/ medicalpubs/diseasemanagement/hematology-oncology/ esophageal-cancer.
- Berry MF. Esophageal cancer: Staging system and guidelines for staging and treatment. *J Thorac Dis* 2014; 6(3):S289-97. doi: 10.3978/j.issn.2072-1439.2014.03.11.
- Daiko H, Kato K. Updates in the 8th edition of the TNM staging system for esophagus and esophagogastric junction cancer. *Jpn J Clin Oncol* 2020; 50(8):847-51. doi: 10.1093/jjco/hyaa082.
- Nassri A, Zhu H, Ramzan Z. Epidemiology and survival of esophageal cancer patients in an American cohort. *Cureus* 2018; 10(4):e2507. doi: 10.7759/cureus.2507.
- Krill T, Baliss M, Roark R, Sydor M, Samuel R, Zaibaq J, et al. Accuracy of endoscopic ultrasound in esophageal cancer staging. J Thorac Dis 2019; **11(Suppl 12)**:S1602-S19. doi: 10.21037/jtd.2019.06.50.
- Omloo JMT, Heijl M, Sloof G. FDG-PET parameters as prognostic factor in esophageal cancer patients: A review. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2011; 18(12):3338-52. doi: 10.1245/ s10434-011-1732-1.
- 11. Kumar P, Damle NA, Bal C. Role of F18-FDG PET/CT in the staging and restaging of esophageal cancer: A comparison with CECT. *Indian J Surg Oncol* 2011; 2(4):343-50. doi: 10.1007/s13193-012-0128-4.
- Sonia L, Cuellar B, Carter BW, Macapinlac HA, Jaffer AA, Komaki R, et al. Clinical staging of patients with early esophageal adenocarcinoma: Does FDG-PET/CT have a role? J Thorac Oncol 2014; 9(8):1202-6. doi: 10.1097/JTO. 00000000000222.
- Kim N, Cho H, Yun M, Park K, Lee C. Prognostic values of mid-radiotherapy 18F-FDG PET/CT in patients with esophageal cancer. *Radiat Oncol* 2019; **14(1)**:27. doi: 10.1186/s13014-019-1232-1.
- Valkema M, Noordman B, Wijnhoven B, Spaander M, Biermann K, Lagarde S, et al. Accuracy of ¹⁸FDG PET/ CT in predicting residual disease after neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy for esophageal cancer. J Nucl Med 2019; 60(11):1553-9. doi: 10.2967/jnumed. 118.224196.

• • • • • • • • • •