1

489-021/

1 WAR IDE

EVALUATION REPORT of the KOREA POTABLE WATER SYSTEM PROJECT

CARE

Republic of Korea

USAID

CARE-Korea
JUNE 1979

This report was prepared by David Callahan, Intern, CARE-Korea, with research assistance from Christy Gavitt, Intern, CARE-Korea 1977-78, under the direction of Walter Cox, Country Director, CARE-Korea.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
CHAPTER I - INTRODUCTION	1
Program Goals and Objectives	1
Description of the Program	1
CHAPTER II - EVALUATION METHODOLOGY	4
CHAPTER III - RELIABILITY OF THE SYSTEM	9
Supply	9
Water Quality	12
CHAPTER IV - ADMINISTRATION	15
Operation and Maintenance	15
Billing and Collection	17
Financial Management	19
Fees Collected versus Operating Expenses	19
CHAPTER V- ACCESS TO WATER AND VOLUME OF WATER USE	21
CHAPTER VI - ATTENDANCE AT CARE SANITARY EDUCATION	
MEETINGS	23
CHAPTER VII - KNOWLEDGE, ATTITUDE AND PRACTICE OF BENEFICIARIES REGARDING SANITARY WATER USE	25
A. Improvements in the Tap Water Area	25
B. Extent of Piped Water Use	27
C. Water Storage	28
D. Water Storage/Faucet Tubes	31
E. Consumption of Untreated Well or Pump Water	32
F. Treatment of Well or Pump Water	34
G. Dishwashing/Use of Heated Water	36
H. Dishwashing/Kind of Detergent Used	37
CHAPTER VIII - OCCURANCE OF SICKNESS AMONG PIPED WATER USERS AND NON-PIPED WATER USERS	40

	Page
CHAPTER IX - CONCLUSIONS, SUMMARY, RECOMMENDATIONS	41
CONCLUSIONS	41
SUMMARY	44
RECOMMENDATIONS	44

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX 'A'

- TABLE 1 FREQUENCY & DURATION OF PLANT BREAKDOWNS
- TABLE 2 SUMMARY OF WATER QUALITY TEST RESULTS
- TABLE 3 AVERAGE MONTHLY WATER FEES COLLECTED (HOUSEHOLDS)
- TABLE 4 MONTHLY OPERATION COSTS
- TABLE 5 FREQUENCY OF ATTENDANCE AT SANITARY EDUCATION MEETINGS
- TABLE 6 CONDITIONS IN THE TAP WATER AREA
- TABLE 7 PLANNED IMPROVEMENTS IN THE TAP WATER AREA AFTER INSTALLATION OF PIPED WATER CONNECTIONS
- TABLE 8 EXTENT OF PIPED WATER USE
- TABLE 9 WATER STORAGE
- TABLE 10 STORAGE CONTAINER DISINFECTION
- TABLE 11 CONSUMPTION OF UNTREATED WELL/PUMP WATER
- TABLE 12 TREATMENT OF WELL/PUMP WATER (SUMMER)
- TABLE 13 TREATMENT OF WELL/PUMP WATER (WINTER)
- TABLE 14 DISHWASHING/USE OF HEATED WATER
- TABLE 15 DISHWASHING/KIND OF DETERGENT USED
- TABLE 16 OCCURANCE OF WATER-RELATED ILLNESSES IN INITIAL AND EVALUATIVE SURVEYS

APPENDIX 'B'

- 1. INITIAL EVALUATION Translation
- 2. EVALUATIVE EVALUATION Translation

APPENDIX 'C'

- 1. DAILY REPORT FOR WATER SUPPLY SYSTEM
- 2. WATER SYSTEM MONTHLY REPORT

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

Program Goals and Objectives

The primary goal of the Korea Potable Water systems program was to reduce the incidence of water-borne diseases in participating villages by:

- 1) Providing an adequate supply of potable water accessible to the majority of the local population.
- 2). Establishing an effective local system of maintenance and monitoring of the potable water system.
- 3) Improving the Knowledge, Attitude and Practice of residents of the participating villages concerning the use of potable water.

The purpose of this study will be to assess the effectiveness of the program according to these three criteria.

Description of the Program

The Korea Potable Water Systems Program is a two part program in which one project (OPG WAID/ASIA-G-1198) is a jointly funded project of AID and CARE initiated in 1976, and the second project is a wholly funded CARE project.

The construction of 14 sophisticated potable water systems in towns with lower income populations of 5,000 to 10,000 people was accomplished by the Program. Previously households in these towns were forced to rely on primitive private wells, community wells, or water from nearby streams or rivers.

These sources are often contaminated and are a primary cause of minor as well as major health problems.

CARE, in conjunction with County and Provincial government personnel, selected six sites per year in 1977 and 1978 and one each in 1975 and 1976.

Construction materials and water system equipment for six project sites were purchased with funds provided by CARE and AID, while materials and equipment for the other six sites were purchased by CARE funds. Participating County governments were responsible for all labor costs involved in the construction of each project. All construction material and system equipment was examined by CARE engineers to insure that it met approved specifications.

To assist the County in recruiting unskilled labor needed to construct the system and in collecting installation fees for individual house connections, a steering committee of elected local representatives was formed. In some communities this committee was disbanded after the system was completed. In other towns it continued to function, assisting in management of the system.

Households that elected to be connected to the system did so at their own cost. The systems were constructed so as to insure that any household in the area encompassed by the system could be provided with potable water.

Each household which subscribes to the system should pay a monthly fee determined by a water meter which they also pay for. Money collected from these fees is used to offset monthly

charges for fuel, maintenance, and administrative personnel for the system.

To insure that once potable water is piped into the house it remains pure until it is consumed, CARE also provided for education classes on the value of potable water and proper household and environmental sanitation at all but the first two sophisticated water project sites.

A series of six meetings were conducted at several locations in each town so as to be accessible to all potential subscribers to the system. These education meetings were begun as soon as an agreement to construct a system was finalized and were conducted over a span of approximately six months to one year. An appropriate education booklet was prepared, printed and distributed by CARE to participants at education meetings. Towels and wrapping cloths were also distributed to participants as attendance incentives.

Each water system constructed under this program is composed of a pumping station, a filtration unit, a chlorination unit, holding tank, and a distribution system.

After each system was completed, it was officially transferred to the County governments whose responsibility it is to insure proper maintenance and operation.

CHAPTER II

EVALUATION METHODOLOGY

The two main foci of analysis in this study are (1) the water plant operators and other system administrative personnel and (2) the water users.

To assess the quality and reliability of water service and the adequacy of administrative controls, CARE collected and analyzed monthly maintenance reporting sheets prepared by water operators. After each water system was completed, CARE gave a year's supply of the report forms to the water operator who, at the end of the month, filled them out and sent them to the CARE office. These reports contain information on hours of daily operation, fuel consumption, water treatment, system maintenance, frequency and type of breakdowns, and fees collected as well as operating expenses. (See Appendix 'C' for copies of the report forms.)

A total of 36 monthly reports were collected from five different project sites selected for analysis in this study. The names of these projects as well as the number of reports submitted and the project completion date are listed below.

Project Name	Number of Reports Submitted	Project Completion Date
Weolchon	12	12/76
Daekwangri	9	8/77
Jindong	7	5/78
Nacsu	3	9/78
Danyang	5	12/78

Two of these five projects, Danyang and Naesu, were zinoxig the six projects funded jointly by CARE and AID. Daekwangri, Jindong and Weolchon were funded by CARE alone. All five projects follow the same general arrangement and were constructed with the County governments and local populace under the same conditions.

To assess changes in the sanitary habits of the affected population concerning the use of water, initial and evaluative surveys were conducted in selected participating towns. The initial surveys were conducted before either the CARE education program or water service began. The evaluative surveys were conducted following the completion of the education program and after two or more months of regular piped water service.

According to the original evaluation design, evaluative surveys were to have been conducted in the same towns where an initial survey had been accomplished earlier. However, due to unexpected delays in construction and the exigencies of the evaluation schedule this matching was not always possible. This discrepancy from the original plan should not significantly detract from the validity of conclusions drawn from comparisons of initial and final data from different towns. Because of the identical cultural tradition and homogonous nature of the Korean people, rural houses are remarkably similar in design and household patterns and customs are essentially the same throughout the country. Therefore, we believe that the Knowledge, Attitude and Practice (KAP) of the people can be generalized from one area to the next.

Initial surveys were conducted at five project sites. The names of these sites and the months in which the surveys were conducted are as follows:

Initial Survey Sites	<u>Initial Survey</u> <u>Dates</u>
** Daekwangri	11/76
** Jindong	11/76
+ Eumsong	1/78
+ Danyang	1/78
Shindeung	1/78

Evaluative surveys were also conducted at five sites, as follows:

Evaluative Survey Sites		ve Survey
Daekwangri	4/78(A)	2/79(B)
Jindong	7/78(A)	2/79(B)
+ Neesu	2/79	
+ Danyanç	1/79	
Weolchon	1/79	

As indicated above, evaluative surveys were conducted twice at Daekwangri and Jindong. The evaluative survey conducted in April 1978 in Daekwangri and in July 1978 in Jundong will hereafter be referred to as survey (A). The second evaluative

^{**} Following the 1976 initial surveys conducted in Daekwangri and Jindong the survey questionnaire design was changed significantly. Consequently, the number of comparisons that can be made between initial survey data from Daekwangri and Jindong and initial and final data from the other evaluation sites are limited.

⁺ OPG funded projects/An evaluative survey in Naesu was substituted for one at Eumsong because of delays in the start of operations at the latter site.

surveys were done to obtain some measure of the effect of maturation on the water use habits of a population that had been exposed to the CARE sanitary education program. These second surveys conducted in February 1979 in both Daekwangri and Jindong will be referred to as survey (B).

An evaluative survey was also conducted in the town of Weolchon where there was no education program in order to judge the effect on water use habits of maturation alone. Weolchon is the control group for this study. It resembles the test sites in every respect except that there were no sanitary education classes conducted there.

Closed-end questionnaires were used in the construction of the survey questionnaire. (Sea Appendix B for English Translation of the questionnaires.)

The survey was conducted by trained interviewers hired on a part-time basis from the Planned Parenthood Federation of Korea. The survey interviews were done at individual households. Care was taken to preserve the anonymity of the respondents.

Initial survey interviews were conducted on a random basis with surveyors stopping at every fourth house. In the evaluative survey interviews were conducted only at households connected to the system.

The size of the samples taken in all initial and evaluative surveys are listed below:

Initial Survey Site	Sample Size
Daekwangri	133
Jindong	105
Eumsong	219
Danyang	260
Shindeung	201
	918
Evaluative Survey Sites	Sample Size
Daekwangri (A)	130
Jindong(A)	160
Danyang	144
Naesu	140
Daekwangri(B)	93
Jindong(B)	106
Weolchon (Control)	140
	913

Total Sample Size Initial and Evaluative Combined ... 1831

CHAPTER III

RELIABILITY OF THE SYSTEM

Supply

Hours of Daily Plant Operation:

In the agreements between the County governments and CARE, it was planned that the systems would be operated 24 hours per day.

Of the five systems evaluated here, three are operated on a 24 hour a day basis.

Project Name	Average Hours of Daily Operation
Daekwangri	24
Jindong	6
Weolchon	12
Neesu	24
Danyang	24

In Jindong the system is operated from approximately 7 a.m. to 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. to 6 p.m. Jindong township officials maintain that the limited daily service is a result of problems in collecting sufficient operating funds since not all homes have meters yet. Suscribers are charged for a minimum volume of assumed water use. It is difficult to persuade the people to pay more than this minimum base because they fear that some people may be overcharged to compensate for excessive water use by others. The financial situation of the water system in Jindong will be discussed in greater detail in Chapter IV.

In Weolchon water is supplied from approximately 6 a.m. to 6 p.m. Here the operator claims that the pump is not strong enough to deliver enough water to meet the demand of subscribers

on a 24 hour a day basis. If this were entirely the case, one would expect the operator to keep the pumps running on a continuous basis to provide as many hours of water service as possible. However this plant averages only 15 pumping hours per day. We suspect that the fact the back-up pump has been cut of order for eight months and the fear of the operator of overtaxing the single remaining pump is another unmentioned reason for limited daily service. Also, full 24 hour service would probably require another full-time operator and the concomitant expenses for salary which would raise water rates.

Frequency and Type of Major Breakdowns

In the 36 months of operation at the five systems evaluated there was a total of 17 days without water according to monthly reports. An average of slightly less than one day's plant down time for every two months. Additionally there were major repairs that did not necessitate an interruption of water service. Table 'l' in Appendix 'A' gives a detailed listing of the type, frequency and duration of major repairs by month, for each system.

A composite list for all five systems of the type and frequency of major repairs is given below.

Repair Service Required	Number of Times Service Required
Pump Motor Repair	6
Pump Repair	5
Filter Unit Servicing	3
Valve Repair	6
Chlorinator Repair	. 1
Pipe or Tank Leak Repair	3

problems with the pump or its associated motor were the most frequent incidents and were experienced by every system. More detailed data on the type of pumping problem, i.e. on the make of motor and pump, part broken and type of repair required were not available. These data would be useful in developing pump specifications, an inventory list of spare parts required, and in knowing the kind of maintenance training operators required. Nevertheless, the findings do underline the importance of the back-up pump provided by CARE.

The list of breakdowns recorded by the operators is not all inclusive. In personal interviews with operators it was revealed that not all system malfunctions are reported.

In Daekwangri for example operators disclosed that about 10% of the water pumped through the system has been lost through leakage, thought to be in the water mains running to the individual houses. They also acknowledged that the master meter has been out of order for a year. This does not lessen the ability of the system to supply water but does impede the monitoring function. In Weolchon the back-up pump has been out of order for eight months but its breakdown was not entered in the monthly reports.

There seems to have been a tendency among some operators to leave unreported those problems which they could not handle yet which did not interrupt the daily delivery of water to subscribers.

Water Quality

Regularity of Chlorine Treatment:

All five of the evaluation sites report regular use of chlorine in piped water treatment. However, only three of these five sites regularly test the residual chlorine level of the water to check whether the amount of chlorine they are using is sufficient to maintain the government standard level of water quality. According to ROK government regulations, there must be a residual chlorine level in the whole of the water distribution system of about 0.2 ppm (parts per million).

At the two sites where residual chlorine tests are not conducted. Weolchon and Jindong, independent tests conducted by CARE revealed that at the time of the test the chlorine level was insufficient to maintain government water quality standards.

In Jindong there are at least two reasons contributing to the failure to maintain an adequate chlorine level. First, the operator deliberately keeps the chlorine dosage low because of complaints from local residents of the chlorine smell in the water. Also, the water is chlorinated in Jindong at the pump house before it is pumped up to the filtration unit and storage tank. In other systems the water is chlorinated after it passes through the filtration unit. Thus the chlorine in the Jindong water is already considerably dissipated by the time it reaches the storage tank.

Neither the Jindong nor the Weolchon operator have a residual chlorine test kit.

The remaining three sites report that they maintain a

residual chlorine level of between 0.2 ppm and 0.5 ppm. These reports were confirmed in Daekwangri and Naesu by spot tests conducted by CARE. However in a test conducted in Danyang CARE found a residual chlorine level of 0.1 ppm. In contrast to Jindong, several residents here complained to a CARE field worker that they could not smell chlorine in the water and were worried about its potability.

In Daekwangri the residual chlorine test is done approximate once a week. In Naesu and Danyang operators report that they do the test daily.

Results of Chemical and Biological Tests

chemical or biological tests performed by the county are rare in the projects evaluated. In Danyang and Weolchon no such tests have been conducted by the county after the systems began operation. One test has been conducted in Naesu and Jindong and two in Daekwangri since their respective opening dates.

Project	Length of Operation	Number of Tests by the County
Weolchon	29 months	none
Daekwangri	21 months	two
Jindong	12 months	one
Danyang	5 months	none
Naesu	8 months	one

A number of independent tests have been conducted by CARE on the tap water, raw water, filtered water, and water in the storage tank. A summary of the results of these tests can be found in Table '2' of Appendix 'A'. A summary of the tap water tests results are listed below.

Project Tap Water Tests Results

	Adequate	Inadequate
Weolchon	2	1 (most recent)
Jindong		1
Daekwangri	1	_
Danyang		1
Neesu	1	_

In Weolchon and Jindong the tap water was found to be substandard because of positive coliform traces. In Danyang the negative finding was due to detection of nitrite nitrogen in the water. CARE believes that the source of this pollution in Danyang may be a privy located not far from one of the well boreholes. CARE recommended that this privy be removed.

The fact that the tap water at these three sites was found to be substandard, underlines the importance of maintaining adequate residual chlorine levels. In Daekwangri where chlorine treatment was properly administered, the tap water was found safe for drinking even though the tests showed raw and filtered water unfit for consumption.

CHAPTER IV

ADMINISTRATION

Operation and Maintenance

Operation and maintenance of the potable water system is primarily the responsibility of the treatment plant operators. The operators responsibilities usually include opening and shutting of valves, chlorination, routine maintenance of plant buildings and equipment, most repairs of the system and procurement of repair items.

In Daekwangri, Naesu and Danyang where there is a 24 hour water service there are two operators. As a rule, there is one person in the pump house at all times. In Weolchon and Jindong where there is limited daily water service there is only one operator.

Of the eight operators maintained by the five systems under consideration here, one had previous experience as a water treatment plant operator. That man, an operator from Daekwangri, worked for eight years at an American army water treatment plant in Seoul.

Seven of the eight operators reported receiving some training for their jobs. The degree of training varied considerably from one town to another. Below is a brief description of the extent of training received by the operators in each locale.

Maesu: The senior operator spent approximately one week in the provincial capital observing the operation of the water treatment plant there. The assistant operator in Maesu is being trained by the senior operator. Danyang: Both operators received 15 days of on the job training by experienced water operators from a nearby town. Daekwangri: The two operators received an orientation of several hours at the county office regarding government rules and regulations relating to piped water systems. Weolchon: The single operator in this town received 40 days training from the contractor for the project.

Jindong: The operator here received no training per se in the operation and maintenance of the system. However, he did have experience operating irrigation pumps for his village and was a foreman in the construction of the potable water system.

The average salary per operator is approximately \$153.00 per month, ranging from a high of \$186.00 per month to a low of \$124.00 per month.

In addition to the operators, each system employs other personnel to round out its administrative staff. Below is a list of all administrative personnel employed to operate the water system in each town as of April 1, 1979.

Project Name Naesu	Total Number of Staff	Descriptive Job Titles 1 system operator 1 assistant system operator 1 bill collector
Danyang	5	<pre>2 system operators 2 pipe repairmen/bill collectors 1 electrician</pre>
Jindong	2	l system operator l bill collector
Daekwangri	4	<pre>2 system operators 2.pipe repairmen/bill collectors</pre>
Weolchon	3	<pre>l system operator l pipe repairman/bill collector l bookkeeper</pre>

In Daekwangri, Danyang, and Weolchon, pipe repair in the distribution system is not the responsibility of the operators but of plumbing assistants who double as water bill collectors. In Danyang the two men employed for this function have plumbing experience. Their counterparts in Daekwangri and Weolchon have no experience in this field and are receiving on the job training from the system operators.

If a breakdown occurs that cannot be serviced by the operators or their plumbing assistants, help can be requested from the County governments. However, there is a general reluctance among operators to contact the County. In some cases the contractor can be called on for assistance. As the contractors are usually based in larger cities away from the project this can involve considerable delays as demonstrated by the experience of Danyang, where it took over two months for a pump motor to be serviced and returned. As a result, most all repairs are attempted by water system personnel in the town.

Billing and Collection

In four of the five evaluated sites, billing for water use is based on individual house meters. The one exception is Jindong where only 250 out of 435 households have a meter. Here billing is based on a flat rate.

All homes do not have a meter in Jindong due to a dispute that arose between the contractor and local residents over an unexpected rise in the price of meters. The contractor originally agreed to provide meters for \$15.00 each. However, after 150 meters had been installed inflation and new taxes raised

the price approximately \$2.00. One hundred more residents purchased a meter at this price but the remainder refused to do so claiming that it was a violation of the original contract. County officials report that the dispute has been resolved and the remaining meters will be installed during 1979.

In Jindong, Weolchon, and Naesu water rates were set by the local water committees. In Danyang and Daekwangri the rates were set by the County governments.

Table 3 in Appendix 'A' lists the average monthly water bill per town for the months covered in this evaluation. To give some indication of the variation in water costs between towns, the base water rate for https://doi.org/10.1001/journal.com/ in each site is listed below:

Project Name	Base Water Rate
Danyang	\$0.60 for first 10m3 of water
Neesu	\$2.00 for first 10m3 of water
Weolchon	\$1.00 for first 5m ³ of water
Daekwangri	\$1.40 for first 10m3 of water
Jindong	\$1.25 flat monthly rate charged to all households

Fees are collected monthly in all five towns by a paid member of the piped water system administrative staff.

Only Dackwangri reported any problem in collecting water fees from system subscribers. In January through March 1979, Dackwangri collected only 74% of the fees due them. Local officials say that this is an ehnormal situation due to a short hiatus in bill collecting that occurred between the termination of one bill collector and the hiring of another. They expect to catch up in the bill collections within a month or two.

Financial Management

Although bill collection is handled uniformly by the local water system staff, in the towns of Daekwangri, Danyang and Jindong all collected funds are disbursed by the County governments. In the two remaining sites of Weolchon and Naesu, disbursement of funds is the responsibility of the local water committee.

Fees Collected versus Operating Expenses

According to monthly operational reports and personal interviews with operators and town and county officials.

Daekwangri, Danyang, and Jindong are operating at a regular monthly deficit. Only in Weolchon and Naesu do water fees collected meet or exceed operational expenses.

In the case of Jindong, a county official estimated that the monthly deficit runs to about \$124.00 per month. In Daekwangri, if 100% of water fees are collected, income covers or comes very close to covering expenses in the summer when water consumption is high but runs in the red the rest of the year. One of the bill collectors from Daekwangri said that the deficit in the non-summer months averages \$80.00 per month. By far the largest regular deficit occurs in Danyang. Since this system began operation in December of 1978 expenses have exceeded income by an average figure of \$700.00 per month.

On the plus side, the largest surpluses have been recorded by Weolchon. They have reported an average monthly surplus of approximately \$200.00 in eleven out of the past twelve months. In Naesu income is roughly equalling expenses.

In Naesu and Weolchon surplus money is kept by the local

water committees in a contingency fund for the water system. The Weolchon water committee is planning to purchase a new and larger pump motor with their extra money. In those towns where there is a deficit, the deficit is covered by funds taken from the county government's "General account".

Table 4 of Appendix 'A' provides a breakdown of monthly expenses as recorded in the monthly reports of each town.

There is an inverse relationship that exists between water system fees and water system costs. The towns with the highest system costs are charging the lowest fees for their water. Although the situation in Jindong is unique because of the absence of individual house meters, it appears that in Danyang and Daekwangri water rates are being kept at artificially low levels. In Danyang this was acknowledged by the township chief who said that the town and county officials regard the water system as a "welfare project" for local residents.

The extremely high deficit in Danyang is further aggravated by the unusually high labor costs in that town. Five men are employed there to do the same job that four men do in Daekwangri and only three men do in Naesu.

CHAPTER V ACCESS TO WATER AND VOLUME OF WATER USE

The majority of the populations in each of the evaluation sites participates in the piped water system. The table below lists the percent of the eligible population in each town that was connected to the system as of May 1, 1979 and the official opening date for each system.

Project Name	Percent of Eligible Subscribers Connected	System Opening Date
Weolchon	75%	12/76
Daekwangri	65%	10/77
Jindong	74%	5/78
Naesu	60%	9/78
Danyang	61%	12/78

Over 92% of the interviewed population in the evaluative survey conducted by CARE said they always get all the water they need from the piped source. This is a considerable improvement over the results from baseline surveys when only 71.7% of the respondents said their main water source was sufficient all the time. In initial surveys conducted in Jindong and Daekwangri, a full 33% of the population said their water source had dried up at least once in the past two years.

Even though the supply of water is much more dependable with the Piped system than previous water sources, consumption of water has not greatly increased with the advent of the Piped system. Average per capita water use before installation of the system in evaluated sites was 36.8 liters per day, excluding use

for laundry. Following installation of the system, the results from evaluative surveys show per capita consumption increased to only 40.9 liters per day. The increase per person being so small it was difficult to attribute the additional water consumption to any particular category of water use.

For the purpose of this study, water consumption is divided into five categories: water used for drinking, washing food, doing laundry, washing one's body and doing dishes. The extent of reliance on piped water in each of these categories will be discussed more fully in Chapter VII, Section B.

CHAPTER VI

ATTENDANCE AT CARE SANITARY EDUCATION MEETINGS

Since the following sections will discuss the impact of the CARE Sanitary Education Program on the KAP of water users, it is important to note the number of interviewees in each town who attended the education meetings. The table below lists the number and percent of those who attended at least one meeting and the number and percent of those who attended three or more meetings. A more detailed listing of the frequency of attendance at these meetings can be found in Table 5 of Appendix 'A'.

Survey Site	Total No. Surveyed	Attended at lo	
Daekwangri (A)	130	29 (22%)	15 (12%)
Jindong (A)	160	63 (39%)	22 (14%)
Daekwangri (B)	93	61 (66%)	2 (2%)
Jindong (B)	106	94 (89%)	12 (11%)
Danyang	144	134 (93%)	74 (51%)
Naesu	146	73 (52%)	47 (34%)

A total of six meetings were held in each town

The best overall attendance occurred in Danyang. In the two sets of evaluative surveys conducted in Daekwangri and Jindong a greater percent of the interviewed population had at least some exposure to the education program in the second survey. However, very few persons in either locale in the second survey attended more than two meetings.

The considerably higher attendance rates in Danyang and Naesu over Jindong and Daekwangri are a reflection of improve-

ments instituted in the education meetings during the life of the program.

Daekwangri and Jindong were among the very first sites where CARE Sanitary Education meetings were held. Based on this early experience, CARE initiated a number of changes in the program to increase the number of returning participants. Color slides were shown to make the meetings more interesting. Also wrapping cloths and towels were distributed at the meetings to returning participants as incentives to regular attendance. Attendance cards were also used in later meetings to insure that incentives were distributed only to those who had earned them through participation.

CHAPTER VII

KNOWLEDGE, ATTITUDE AND PRACTICE OF BENEFICIARIES REGARDING SANITARY WATER USE

When a water supply system is introduced into a town, the water-use habits of the system beneficiaries can be expected to undergo change brought about by the more accessible and dependable source of water. Any educational programs undertaken to instruct the people on proper sanitation and water use can also be expected to have an effect. This portion of the evaluation will measure the extent of change that occurs in water-use habits and will attempt to judge which habits change as a natural development of the installation of the system and which ones require education to develop.

The KAP (Knowledge, Attitude and Practice) surveys conducted by CARE were directed toward water-use habits that through tradition, culture, and expediency have become common and accepted in the Republic of Korea. The following sections will focus on sanitary conditions in the tap water area, water storage, water treatment, dishwashing habits, and the extent of piped water use.

For reasons of clarity, completeness, and visual presentation of data as well as ease in reading the text, most statistical tables are place in Appendices at the rear of the text.

Summaries of the data highlighting significant findings are presented in the narrative portion of the report.

A. Improvements in the Tap Water Area

Water taps, pumps, and wells in Korean households are usually located in small courtyards outside the house proper.

In some homes the area surrounding the pump, tap, or well may be covered by cement and there may be either a cement or pipe drain. In other homes, the cement area surrounding the water source may be cracked creating potential collection spots for bacteria and there may be no drainage system at all - merely mud.

Installation of piped water connections to participating homes brought some concomitant improvements to the tap water areas but the number of improvements was not dramatic. (See Table 6, Appendix 'A'.)

The most significant number of improvements were made in the drainage systems. Approximately 10% more households had adequate pipe or cement drainage in the evaluative survey than they did in the initial survey.

When it comes to "planned improvements" in the tap water area after having been connected to the system, 12.7% of the households in the evaluative survey expressed an intention to improve both drainage and the cement area around the tap. (See Table 7, Appendix 'A'.) There were a lesser number of people who planned to install kitchen or shower taps in their homes.

In both accomplished and planned improvements in the tap water area it is interesting to note that Weolchon, the education control group, registered as many if not more improvements than towns that had received sanitary education and had been encouraged to make these changes. Whether residents of Weolchon made these improvements for sanitation

reasons or simply to improve the appearance of their courtyards, kitchens, etc., was not investigated in this study. In any event education seems to have had a marginal effect in prompting change in this area.

B. Extent of Piped Water Use

For consideration in this study, water use was divided into five categories: water used for drinking, washing food, doing laundry, washing ones' body, and doing dishes.

After connecting to the piped water system, over 90% of the survey population in each town used piped water as their primary or only source in four out of the five categories.

The one exception to this otherwise heavy dependence on piped water was in doing laundry. Only 50.0% of the survey population used piped water for washing laundry. The remainder of the interviewed housewives still follow the traditional Korean custom of going down to the river to do their wash.

In Table 8 of Appendix 'A' the individual town tabulations for each of the five categories are listed. Judging from these figures, participation in the sanitary education program does not seem to have influenced the extent of piped water use by the affected population. In each of the five categories the degree of piped water use by Weolchon residents (Control group) was comparable to water use in towns where the education program was implemented. In the case of Daekwangri and Jindong it is notable that

the use of piped water increased significantly in the time between the first and second evaluative surveys. The categories in which those increases occurred and the corresponding percent of increase in each case are listed below.

Evaluation Site	Mater-Use Category	Percentage of Increased Use Between Initial & Final Survey
Daekwangri	Washing Food Doing laundry Dishwashing	16% 75% 22%
Jindong	Drinking Washing body	22 % 32 %

The above figures indicate a tendency on the part of the system beneficiaries to increase their dependency on piped water as time goes on.

C. Water Storage

Having been dependent on pump or well water in the past, Korean housewives have traditionally, as a matter of convenience, collected and stored water for household use rather than draw and use the water as they need it. The water containers sometimes hold as much as 400 liters of water each. In the series of initial surveys conducted in 1978, 86.6% of the households reported that they stored water for daily use.

With the introduction of the piped water system, continuation of this traditional custom becomes counter-productive to one of the main purposes of the potable water system. Because

chlorine loses 30% of its disinfection effect after 24 hours and 70% after 48 hours, the long storage times accompanying the use of large containers renders the chlorination of the water useless. In addition, the storage containers are often left uncovered and are not properly disinfected making the water susceptible to recontamination.

Among the homes at the test sites in the evaluative survey, 73.3% of the respondents reported storing piped water. Thus in the time between the initial and evaluative surveys there was an increase of 13.3% of the interviewed population who said they use water directly from the tap without storage ("draw and use"). A close look at the statistics in Table 9 of Appendix 'A' shows that the percentage of increase in this category was greatly deflated by the responses from Jindong. In both the first and second evaluative surveys conducted in Jindong only 1.9% of the population said they "draw and use" water. The unusually high rate of water storage in this town is no doubt a result of the limited, daily water service there. The same is true of Weolchon where an unusually high percentage of the population (98.6%) also said they regularly store water. If we eliminate the Jindong figures from consideration with the other test sites, the percentage of those who "draw and use" piped water rises dramatically from 26.9% to 40%. Isolating the statistics from Danyang alone, the site with the most education participants, there is an increase in the "draw and use" category from 13.9% in the initial survey to 44.1% in the evaluative survey. Thus, in the three sites where 24 hour

service is available, education did have some impact on this habit.

In the homes from the test sites of the evaluative surveys that do store water, 43.3% put covers on the storage containers. This rate is better than that of the control group, Weolchon, where only 26.3% cover their water, but, not as good as the findings from the initial survey when 64.5% said they used covers on their water. There is no readily apparent reason for this discrepancy between the initial and evaluative surveys. The average amount of water stored in all types of receptacles was 56.5 liters in the initial survey and 89.7 liters among the test sites in the evaluative survey. The apparent increase in the amount of water stored at the time of the evaluative survey is largely a reflection of the heavy storage habits in Jindong.

If we again factor out Jindong, the average amount of water stored at test sites is only 62.2 liters. As might be expected, the amount of water stored is much greater in Jindong and Weolchon, the two sites where there is not 24 hour a day service. In Jindong the average amount of water stored is 144.8 liters, in Weolchon it is 217.1 liters. The greater amount of water storage in Weolchon can probably be explained by the fact that in addition to the limited daily availability of the water, the water there also becomes cloudy for one or two days after heavy rains during which time local residents are hesitant to use it for drinking.

Weolchon draws its water from a nearby river. When it rains heavily, the river rises over its usual branks and the river becomes muddied. This muddied water overloads the filter unit in Weolchon and the water comes through to the faucet cloudy.

Therefore, in anticipation of bad weather, residents keep an extra large volume of water on hand.

The most popular mehtod of cleaning storage containers in initial and evaluative surveys was to use cold water with sand or a rough cloth. Over 59% of the respondents used this method in the initial evaluation and 51.3% of the test site population in the evaluative survey reported using the same method (See Table 10, Appendix 'A'). The two preferred methods suggested in the CARE education meetings gained no new adherents in the time between surveys. In both the initial and evaluative surveys only about 2% of the interviewed populations reported using either of the CARE recommended methods: 1) pour in some boiling water and shake around the container once a week or more: 2) clean with hot water and an accepted detergent (Trio or Pong Pong).

D. Water Storage/Faucet Tubes

A habit related to water storage that CARE field workers sought to discourage was the use of long plastic or rubber tubes that often run from the mouth of the tap faucet to the brim of the storage container. When the container is filled, the end of the tube is allowed to touch the water surface creating a possible source of water contamination. As this habit is created with the introduction of faucets, data on this situation was collected only in the evaluative surveys. As the table below shows, 52.4% of the interviewed population at test sites follow this practice.

Evaluation Site Tu	Surface	Tube Does Not Touch Water Surface	Total
Daekwangri (A) Jindong (A) Danyang Maesu Daekwangri (B) Jindong (B)	22 (18.3%) 122 (78.2%) 48 (35%) 73 (43%) 52 (55.9%) 69 (67%)	98 (81.7%) 34 (21.8%) 89 (65%) 55 (43%) 41 (44.1%) 34 (33%)	120 (100%) 156 (100%) 137 (100%) 128 (100%) 93 (100%) 103 (100%)
Total	386 (52.4%)	351 (47.6%)	737 (100%)
Weolchon (Control)	92 (66.7%)	46 (33.3%)	138 (100%)

Although the rates of correct responses from the test sites are mixed, the overall average of correct responses from these towns is 14.3% greater than that of the control site Weolchon, seeming to indicate that the education program did have some impact. This conclusion is reinforced by a comparison of Danyang, where oducation classes were best attended, and the control group Weolchon. The interviewed population in Danyang recorded over 31% more correct responses than did the Weolchon group.

E. Consumption of Untreated Well or Purp Water

As most of the piped water users maintain their old pumps or wells as a back-up or supplement water source, CARE asked respondents in the initial (1978 conducted) and evaluative surveys whether they would drink untreated well water straight from the well or pump.

The responses from the test sites in the evaluative survey shows a 23.3% increase over initial survey figures in the number of people who would "never" drink well or pump water without prior treatment. (See Table 11 Appendix 'A'.)

A uniform contrast between test and control sites in the

evaluative survey is upset by the atypical responses from the town of Naesu. In Naesu the percentage of people who say they would "never" drink untreated well water is more than 25% lower than the corresponding average of the other test sites combined. This aberration is most likely a reflection of the high opinion that many Naesu residents have of their local well water. At the time the evaulative survey interviews were conducted, a number of respondents as well as the water plant operator commented on the quality of well water in Naesu.

It is also interesting to note in the cases of Daekwangri and Jindong, the significant drop during the interval between the first and second evaluative surveys of the number of people who said they "always" drink untreated well water. In Daekwangri the percent of the population who said they "always" drink untreated well water dropped from 30.8% to 5.4%. The corresponding decrease in Jindong went from 18.6% to 1.9%. This change may be due to a greater percentage of education program participants that were interviewed in the second survey or may simply reflect a greater concern for the quality of water following the installation of a piped water connection. However, noting that maturation did not produce similar results in the control town of Weolchon (29.5% "always" drink untreated well water) the former rationale seems more plausible. Overall it is safe to assume that the education program did have some impact on this practice. A glance at the

significant changes in the statistics for Danyang (the only town for which a direct comparison can be made to the initial survey) are a positive illustration of this impact. For example, in the initial survey only 13.3% of the population said they would "never" drink untreated well water. In the evaluative survey over 48% answered thusly.

F. Treatment of Well or Pump Water

In a related question to the one discussed above, people who said they "never" or only "sometimes" drink untreated well water were then asked if they boiled the water before drinking it.

A listinction was made between summer and winter because

Korean women as a rule boil more water for drinking in winter

due to the ready availability of a heating source, 1 and

because the hot liquid is a comfort in cold weather.

In comparing initial and evaluative survey results, the test

sites in the evaluative survey recorded a 22.4% increase in

the number of people who "always" boil their water in summer

and a corresponding 12.2% increase for winter. (See Tables

12/13 Appendix 'A'.)

1. In the unique Korean "ondol" heating system, the same fire which is used for cooking in the kitchen is also used to heat the adjacent room(s) in traditional style homes, through a system of flues under the floor. In winter this fire is kept burning at all times for house warmth.

In Naesu the statistics for summer treatment of water are abnormal when compared to other education test sites. The percentage of people who say they "always" boil their water in summer is 25.6% lower than the corresponding average from remaining test sites combined. (Test sites, excluding Naesu report 54.8% always boil well water in summer - In Naesu 29.2% always boil well water in summer) As in the above section, we believe that the abnormal responses are more a testament to the quality of local well water than a reflection of the impact of the sanitary education program. Inspection of the results from Weolchon at first glance seem to indicate that maturation may be responsible for the improved statistics regarding water treatment. In both summer and winter the percentage of people who always boil their well water in Weolchon is comparable to similar percentages from the education test sites. However, in considering these statistics one must remember that the question under discussion here discounts people who don't treat well water at all. In Weolchon the number of people who treat their well water is smaller than most of the education test sites. (See Table below of the percentage of people in evaluative survey sites who "always or sometimes" treat well water.)

Evaluation Site	Percentage of people who always or sometimes treat well water
Daekwangri (A)	69%
Jindong (A)	81%
Danyang	94%
Naesu	64 v
Daekwangri (B)	94%
Jindong (B)	98%
Weolchon	70%

Therefore, although the percentages of people who boil water in summer and winter are similar to some test sites they are generally not as significant since they represent a smaller portion of the total interviewed population in most towns.

On balance, the education program does seem to have had a positive effect here.

G. <u>Dishwashing/Use of Heated Water</u>

In the 1978 initial surveys and the evaluative surveys, respondents were asked if they heated water to do their dishes, a habit CARE education meetings encouraged.

In both surveys, the responses remained fairly constant.

By far the most common response was "it depends on the season". Over 81% of the interviewees gave this answer in the initial survey and 76.1% of the population in the test sites answered the same in the evaluative surveys. (See Table 14 Appendix 'A'.) Most Korean housewives heat water for dishes in the winter and do not in the summer.

There was no appreciable difference between the test villages and the Weolchon control group on this question.

The only notable difference that did occur was between the first and second evaluative surveys done in Daekwangri and Jindong. In each locale there was over a 30% increase in the

H. Dishwashing/Kind of Detergent Used

CARE education field workers tried to discourage the use of body soap and the popular local detergent Hie Tie when doing dishes and encourage the use of other more acceptable detergents. Hie Tie contains the chemical compound Akylbenzoic sulfate which does not dissolve in water. It is potentially harmful to the body as it accumulates. Tabel 15 in Appendix 'A' shows that the CARE education program did have some impact in this area. In the initial survey, 36.2% of the population used no soap, body soap or Hie Tie to do dishes all or some of the time. By the time of the evaluative survey only 19.5% of the test site respondents fit into one of these three categories, while 41% of the control population used one of the three undesirable methods. The percent of people who reported regularly using the detergents Trio or Pong Pong (practices recommended by CARE) increased by only 7.2% from 4.1% in the initial survey, to 11.3% in the evaluative survey.

By far thy most common response in both initial and evaluative surveys was "use Trio or Pong Pong only when oil has been used". Over 60 of the interviewees gave this response in both surveys.

It is interesting to note again the uniform pattern of change that occurred between the first and second evaluative surveys in Daekwangri and Jindong. In both locales there were decreases of approximately 12% in the number of people who use Hie Tie and almost as large a decrease in the number of people who regularly use Trio or Pong Pong. The decreases in both

cases were balanced by an increase in the number of people who use an acceptable detergent only when oil is used. In the case of Hie Tie use at these two sites, it seems that most housewives were sufficiently impressed with the danger of that detergent to discontinue its use permanently. improvement in this column in the second evaluative survey is most likely a reflection of the greater amount of education participants reached in the second survey. In the latter case, the drop in the percentage of people always using an acceptable detergent and the corresponding increase in the people who use detergent only when dishes are greasy appears to indicate a return to pre-education practices. The percentage of the population in the second evaluative surveys who report always using detergents dropped to a level similar to that recorded in the initial surveys. The temporary bulge in the "always" use category of the first evaluative survey shows that housewives for a time followed the recommandations of CARE field workers and then lapsed back into the more popular practice of using detergent only when oil was used. Whether this relapse was due to an inadequate appreciation of the importance of regular detergent use, a lack of money to buy detergent for regular use, or simply a lazy indisposition toward using detergent all the time was not investigated here.

An interesting follow-up on this issue would be to conduct a similar second evaluative study in towns where education

was well-attended and see if the same situation evolved.

In summary, CARE field workers were successful in discouraging some harmful practices but had a less impressive record in converting housewives to the most preferred methods.

CHAPTER VIII

OCCURANCE OF SICKNESS AMONG PIPED WATER USERS AND NON-PIPED WATER USERS

Both in the initial 1978 surveys and the evaluative survey respondents were asked how many times in the past two weeks the had been occurances in their households of four common illnesse that can be caused by water-borne bacteria. The four illnesses investigated were diarrhea, fever, stomachache, and stomachache with vomiting.

Table 16 of Appendix 'A' indicates that overall there were no significant changes in the trends of sickness in the initial and final surveys.

Given the relatively small size of the sample and the difficulty in controlling the many variables that can affect a person's health, it is not possible to draw any conclusions from this data.

CHAPTER IX

CONCLUSIONS, SUMMARY, RECOMMENDATIONS

CONCLUSIONS

In judging the effectiveness of the Korea Potable Water Program we should look back for a moment at the three program objectives:

- 1. An adequate supply of potable water accessible to the majority of the people
- 2. An effective local system of maintenance and monitoring of the system
- Improved KAP of the affected population regarding the use of potable water.

In meeting the first objective the program has been largely successful. In each of the towns evaluated, 60% or more of the local population is connected to the system and there is a pattern of increase in the number of subscribers as time goes on. Even though a 24 hour system does not exist in every town, nearly 100% of the survey respondents report that the water service is adequate to meet their needs always or most of the time. Further, maintenance reports show that the supply of water is dependable. Repairs of the system requiring interruption of service are few. However, turning to the quality of water provided, indicators are not as positive. In three of the five sites evaluated, spot tests found that chlorine treatment was inadequate and the quality of water substandard. This brings us to the second objective: maintenance and monitoring of the system. Although operators have a fair record in keeping the

system in operation (i.e. keeping water running at the taps), there is either a lack of concern about the quality of water or an inability to maintain water quality through proper chlorine dosage. Likewise the County governments do not appear to have a priority concern towards water quality. Few if any water quality tests were conducted by the Counties once the system was put into operation. The primary concern of the County officials and local operators alike seems to be the availability of water.

Funding for operation and maintenance of the system is not a problem since the systems are backed by the County governments. However, the subsidy of the systems that occurs in Daekwangri, Danyang, and Jindong may be creating an unnecessary strain on the County budgets. The experience of Naesu and Weolchon shows that the systems can be self-supporting. Further it doesn't appear that the fees charged by Naesu and Weolchon are a burden to local residents as neither system reports any difficulty in collecting these fees.

Regarding changes in the KAP of the system beneficiaries, sanitary conditions and health habits relating to the use of potable water have improved since the water systems have been installed.

Physical improvements in the drainage and the tap water area seem to be developments prompted by installation of house connections. Installation of the faucet connection provides an opportunity and incentive to make other improvements.

Some changes are a direct result of education. It is safe to conclude that exposure to the CARE education meetings

led to a greater awareness of the dangers of untreated water and certain cleansing detergents, improved treatment of non-potable water, some improved storage practices, and increased direct use of tap water rather than storing before use.

The study also indicates that the more education meetings are attended the more improvements in KAP are observed. It is no coincidence that Danyang which had the best attendance record at education also consistently recorded a higher percentage of the local population practicing correct water-use habits.

It is difficult to draw any firm conclusions about the effect of maturation on the water habits of participants because of the relatively poor attendance rates at the two sets of evaluative surveys in Daekwangri and Jindong. Nevertheless, the findings from these two sites do exhibit some interesting trends. The second evaluative survey responses show marked improvements on several occasions, indicating that perhaps the higher percentage of education participants reached in the second survey did remember part of their lessons from the year before. Most of he improvements noted however, were qualified improvements. For example, the percent of the population that "always" drinks untreated well water declined but the balancing increase did not accrue to the "never" drink untreated water category but rather to the sometimes" drink it column. Perhaps if education classes had been better attended, properly understood correct practices would have been more firmly embedded in water-use habits.

The extent of piped water use seems to develop independently

of education. Except in the case of doing laundry, the survey data revealed that if piped water is available, people will use it to the exclusion of less convenient water sources.

SUMMARY

This study shows that a sanitary education program can have a significant effect on the KAP of system beneficiaries regarding the use of potable water and that the longer the exposure to the education the greater the change. The report also clearly demonstrated that it is necessary to take steps to insure the competency of those who operate the system so as to guarantee that the water provided will indeed be potable.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. While recognizing the value of education to proper water-use habits, emphasis must be placed on the necessity of regular attendance at education meetings for the education to have any effectiveness. In any future projects of this type, education meeting attendance should be encouraged by incentives, such as were distributed in the latter stages of this program, and a lively, colorful presentation.

To insure that incentives are awarded only to those who have earned them by participation, the attendance card system used in this program was effective. In this system, participants were given an attendance card at the first of the meetings they attended. At the end of every education meeting, the CARE field worker entered the date of that meeting on the card of everyone

present. Incentives were distributed on the basis of the number of meetings entered on each person's card.

As the content of the material presented is elementary, strong communication skills should be considered more important for field workers than an extensive formal education.

Heavy use should also be made of slides and other visual aids.

- 2. It can be seen from the present study that a comprehensive operator-training program should be instituted. This program should not only teach operators the mechanics of plant operation but also the importance of delivering potable water to the water users.
- 3. Water quality tests should be conducted on a much more regular basis to check on plant performance and stress the importance of water quality. The water operators should be trained to collect water samples and be required to deliver these samples to County or Provincial analysis centers every two months.

TABLE 1
PREQUENCY 6 DURATION OF PLANT BREAKDONNS

Project Name	Months Under Consideration	Date of Breakdowns	Days of Downtime		Repairs Not Requiring Plant Shutdown	Time Required for Repairs Not Causing Plant Shutdown
Dockwaneri	Aug.77-Jan.78	Mag.1979	2	Weter main leak		
	Jan.79-Mar.79	Dec.1977	2	Bearing replacement-pump motor		
	1	Mov.1977	-		Pump motor repair	5 days
		Oct.1977	-		Bearing repair-pump motor	2 days
	1	Sept.1977	-		Foot valve repair	1 day
	1	Aug. 1977	-	•••	Filter unit repair	not reported
	1	Aug.1977	-		Pump motor repair	3 days
		Aug. 1977	-	•••	Bearing repair-pump motor	1 day
Meolchon	Mar.78-Mar.79	Dec.1978	1	Valve repair	•••	
		Oct.1978	•		Pump m otor repair	Rot repaired after 6 months
		Aug. 1978	1 6	Filter unit repair		
	1	June 1978	2	Electricity failure		
		June 1978	2	Tank & filter cleaning		
Jindong	Aug. 78-Oct. 78	Mer.1979	-		Chlorinator repair	1 day
	Dec.75-Mar.79	Peb.1979	-		Foot valve repair	l day
		Jan.1979	-		Poot valve repair	2 days
	4	Sept.1978	-		Pump repair	5 days
		Aug. 1970	-		Pump repair	not reported
		Aug. 1970	<u> </u>	•••	Poot valve repair	not reported
Desyang	Dec.78-April.79	Peb.1979	-	•••	Water main leakage	2 days
		Jan.1979	2	Pump repair Foot valve repair		
		Dec.1978		root valve repair	Pump motor repair	24 months
Maesu	Peb.79-April 79	Pab. 1979			Pump bearing replaceme	nt not reported
		Peb.1979	-		Storage t ank 1 makage	not repaired after 3 months



TABLE 2
SUBJECT OF WATER QUALITY TEST RESULTS

		Chemical	Items	Biologica	l Items
Project Name and Date of Mater Sample	Water Sample Source	Adequate	Inadequate	Adequate	Inadequate
March 7, 1979	Raw Water Tap Water	x	x		x
August 7, 1978 August 7, 1978	Filtered Water Storage tank Water (Chlorinated)	x	x	x	x
	Tap Water	x		x	
May 4, 1977	Storage tank Water Tap Water	x	x	x	x
March 16, 1977	Raw Water		×		×
Jindong					
January 9, 1979	Tap Water Raw Water	Not Tested Not Tested	Not Tested Not Tested	×	x
August 11, 1978	Filtered Water Raw Water (Chlorinated)	x	x	×	x
July 14, 1977	Raw Mater		x		×
Dackwangri July 6, 1978	Filtered Water Raw Water Tap Water	x	X	×	x

Cont.../...2.



APPENDIX 'A' - TABLE 2 - Continued

		Chemic	al Items	Biological Items		
Project Name and Date of Mater Sample	Water Sample Source	Adequate	Inadequate	Adequate	Inadequate	
<u>Danyang</u> April 28, 1979 October 13, 1978	Tap Water Raw Water	Not Tested	X Not Tested	×	x	
Maesu April 28, 1979	Tap Water	x		X		



WAS THE THE TANK THE

TABLE 3

AVERAGE MONTHLY WATER PEES COLLECTED (HOUSEHOLDS)

Project Name	Month-Year	Number Hours Daily Water Delivery	Average Monthly Mousehold Bills			
Daekwangri	Jan. 1978 Dec. 1977 Mov. 1977 Oct. 1977 Sept.1977 Aug. 1977	24 hours 24 hours 24 hours 24 hours 24 hours 24 hours	\$2.25 \$1.88 \$2.26 \$2.38 \$2.30 \$2.30			
Weolchon	Mar. 1979 Feb. 1979 Jan. 1979 Dec. 1978 Mov. 1978 Oct. 1978 Sept.1978 Aug. 1978 July 1978 June 1978 May 1978 April 1978 Mar. 1978	12 hours	\$1.66 \$1.66 \$1.45 \$2.38 \$2.15 \$2.15 \$1.66 \$1.35 \$1.66 \$1.35 \$1.66 \$1.45 \$1.45			

Project Name	Month-Year	Number Hours Daily Water Delivery	Average Monthly Household Bills
Jindong	Mar. 1979 Feb. 1979 Jan. 1979 Dec. 1978 Oct. 1978 Sept.1978 Aug. 1978	6 hours	\$1.24 \$1.26 \$1.24 \$1.24 \$1.24 \$1.24 \$1.24
Danyang	April 1979	24 hours	\$1.56
	Har. 1979	24 hours	\$1.52
	Feb. 1979	24 hours	\$1.20
	Jan. 1979	24 hours	\$1.06
	Dec. 1978	24 hours	\$1.02
Maesu	April 1979	24 hours	\$2.07
	Mar. 1979	24 hours	\$2.07
	Feb. 1979	24 hours	\$2.07



TABLE 4

MONTHLY OPERATION COSTS

Project Name	Average Mours of Daily Water Service	Date	Chemical Costs	Fuel Costs	Labor Costs	Repair Costs	Total Monthly Cost
Daekwangri	24	Mar. 1979	\$103.00	\$193.00	\$1,060.00*	\$322.00	\$1,678.00
	24	Feb. 1979	\$ 82.00	\$175.00	\$ 493.00	\$236.00	\$ 986.00
	24	Jan. 1979	\$ 91.00	\$251.00	\$ 520.00		\$ 862.00
	24	Jan. 1978	not reported	\$273.00	\$ 372.00		\$ 645.00
	24	Dec. 1977	not reported	\$321.00	\$ 372.00	\$107.00	\$ 800.00
	24	Nov. 1977	\$ 78.00	\$229.00	\$ 372.00+	\$ 58.00	\$ 737.00
	24	Oct. 1977	\$ 86.00	\$197.00	\$ 279.00	\$ 35.00	\$ 597.00
	24	Sept.1977	\$180.00	\$349.00	\$ 252.00	\$ 86.00	\$ 867.00
Weolchon	15	Mar. 1979	\$ 20.00	\$240.00	\$ 383.00		\$ 643.00
	15	Feb. 1979	\$ 20.00	\$233.00	\$ 351.00	j	\$ 604.00
	15	Jan. 1979	\$ 20.00	\$240.00	\$ 351.00		\$ 611.00
	15	Dec. 1978	\$ 20.00	\$254.00	\$ 351.00	\$ 41.00	\$ 666.00
	15 13	Mov. 1978	\$ 20.00	\$175.00	\$ 372.00	\$ 12.00	\$ 579.00
] 13	Oct. 1978	\$ 20.00	\$217.00	\$ 269.00		\$ 506.00
	12	Sept.1978	\$ 20.00	\$193.00	\$ 269.00		\$ 482.00
	• •	Aug. 1978	\$ 35.00	\$130.00	\$ 269.00	\$369.00	\$ 803.00
	12	July 1978	\$ 35.00	\$218.00	\$ 269.00		\$ 522.00
		June 1978	\$ 35.00	\$161.00	\$ 269.00	\$ 24.00	\$ 489.00
	10	May 1978	\$ 35.00	\$182.00	\$ 269.00		\$ 486.00
	8	April 1978	\$ 20.00	\$217.00	\$ 269.00		\$ 506.00
Jindong	6	Dec. 1978	\$ 10.00	\$233.00	\$ 225.00	\$ 8.00	\$ 476.00
•	6	Oct. 1978	\$ 10.00	\$233.00	\$ 225.00]	\$ 468.00
	1 6	Sept.1978	\$ 10.00	\$317.00	\$ 225.00	\$ 8.00	\$ 560.00
	1 6	Aug. 1978	\$ 10.00	\$285.00	\$ 225.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 528.00

^{*} Reflects pay for added personnel needed to repair water main break

Cont.../...2.



⁺ Man added to payroll

APPENDIX 'A' - Table 4 - Continued

Project Name	Average Nours of Daily Water Service	Date	Chemical Costs	Fuel Costs	Labor Costs	Repair Costs	Total Monthly Cost	
Danyang	24 24 24 24 24	April 1979 Har. 1979 Peb. 1979 Jan. 1979 Dec. 1978	\$ 20.00 \$ 20.00 \$ 20.00 \$ 20.00 \$ 20.00	\$455.00 \$337.00 \$ 61.00* \$ 57.00* \$ 74.00*	\$ 819.00 \$ 819.00 \$ 819.00 \$ 819.00 \$ 819.00	\$ 48.00 \$451.00	\$1,342.00 \$1,176.00 \$ 900.00 \$ 896.00 \$1,364.00	
Neesu	24 24 24	April 1979 Mar. 1979 Peb. 1979	00	\$231.00 \$270.00 \$270.00	\$ 414.00 ⁺ \$ 186.00 \$ 186.00	\$ 8.00 \$103.00	\$ 753.00 \$ 456.00 \$ 559.00	

- These figures do not include electricity costs
- ** Chlorine was bought in bulk when system was opened
- Man added to payroll

APPENDIX 'A'

TABLE 5

PREQUENCY OF ATTENDANCE AT SANITARY EDUCATION HEETINGS

			Number of Heetings Attended											
		Never, but heard of meetings	1	2	3	4	5		Never heard of meetings	Total #/%				
Number	Daekwangri (A)	22 (16.9%)	10(7.7%)	4(3.1%)	5 (3.8%)	2(1.5%)	2(1.5%)	6(4.6%)	79 (60.8%)	130/100%				
and	Jindong (A)	47 (29.4%)	27 (16.90)	15(9.4%)	8 (5.0%)	3(1.9%)	4(2.5%)	7(4.4%)	50(31.3%)	161/100%				
•	Denyang	9(6.3%)	27 (18.8%)	32 (22.9%)	28 (19.4%)	13(9.0%)	13(9.0%)	20 (13.9%	1(0.7%)	144/100%				
of	Maesu	25 (17.9%)	11 (7.90)	15(10.70)	15(10.7%)	9(6.4%)	6 (4.3%)	17(12.19	42 (30.0%)	140/100%				
Respondents	Daekwangri (B)	15(16.19)	27 (29.0%)	32 (34.4%)	2 (2.2%)	0 (0.0%)	0(0.0%)	0 (2.08)	17 (18.3%)	93/100%				
	Jindong (B)	8 (7.5%)	49 (46.28)	33(31.10)	9 (8.5%)	3(2.8%)	0(0.0%)	0(0.0%)	4(3.00)	106/100%				
	TOTAL 0/8	126 (16.3%)	151 (19.50)	132(17.0%)	67 (0.7%)	30 (3.9%)	25 (3.28)	50(6.5%)	193 (24.9)	774/100				



TABLE 6

COMDITIONS IN THE TAP WATER AREA

Category o	f Response (1)	A	В	С	D	A,B	A,B.C	A.C	B,C	2	Total Responding
• of	Initial Survey	44.0%	63.78	0.7	0.3%	37.90	0.4%	0.4%	0.48	27.88	680
Respondents	Evaluative Survey (Test Sites)	54.6%	62.68	11.36	0.0	37.80	9.40	0.78	1.0%	29.5%	764
	Evaluative Survey (Meol- Chon Control)	56.5%	63.78	5.78	0.0	47.10	4.30	0.78	0.78	30.40	138

Category of Response (1)

A = Drainage system that is not cracked B = Cement area around the tap that is not cracked

C = A tap in the kitchen

D = A tap in the shower room

E - None of the above



TABLE 7

PLANNED IMPROVEMENTS IN THE TAP WATER AREA AFTER INSTALLATION OF PIPED WATER CONNECTIONS

Category o	f Respònse (1)	Only	Only	Only C	Only D	A,B	A,B,C	A,C	B,C	E	P	Total Responding
% of Respondents	Evaluative Survey Test Sites	4.8%	2.81	1.2%	0.4%	12.78	0.5%	0.1	0.0	64.91	9.68	772
	Evaluative Survey Weolchon Control	2.10	2.18	0.0	0.0%	17.19	0.08	0.78	0.0	70.7	6.41	140

Category of Response (1)

- A = Coment drainage system
- B = Cement area around the tap
- C = A tap in the kitchen
- D = A tap in the shower room
- E = None of the above
- F = Want to make 1 or more of the above improvements,
 but can't due to lack of money



TABLE 8

EXTENT OF PIPED WATER USE

		For Drinking	For Washing Food	For Laundry	For Washing the body	For Dish- washing	Total Responding
of	Daekwangri (A)	99.21	77.58	17.5%	91.78	75.8%	120
Respondents	Jindong (A)	78.8%	94.28	48.7%	67.3%	94.98	156
	Danyang	99.38	90.78	19.38	95.0%	93.6%	140
	Naesu	91.38	91.3%	74.68	97.8%	94.28	138
	Daekwangri (B)	100%	93.5%	92.5%	100%	97.8%	93
	Jindong (B)	100%	93.5%	47.68	99.0%	97.18	105
<u> </u>	TEST SITES AVERAGE	94.8%	90.18	50.0%	90.48	92.28	752
	Heolchon (Contol)	95.0%	98.61	22.3%	99.38	99.38	139



TABLE 9

WATER STORAGE

Initial Survey

Category of	Category of Response(1)		2	3	4	5	6	7	Total Responding
• of	Eumsong	7.38	19.68	17.48	0.0	0.98	14.28	40.68	219/100%
Respondents	Danyang	13.98	22.50	18.9%	0.0	7.38	8.81	28.6%	259/100%
	Shindeung	18.9%	32.8%	20.9%	3.5%	13.4%	3.0%	7.58	201/100%
	AVERAGE	11.49	24.93	19.19	1.13	7.29	8.79	25.61	679/1003

Evaluative Survey

Category of	Response (2)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	•	Total Responding
♦ of	Daekwangri (A)	40.08	23.1	14.6%	0.8%	0.0	10.0%	11.50	0.0	130/100%
Respondents	Jindong (A)	1.98	90.0	1.98	2.5%	1.30	0.6%	0.6%	1.3%	160/100%
	Danyang	44.18	24.5%	21.78	0.0%	4.98	0.78	4.28	0.08	143/100%
	Naesu	43.20	33.19	7.98	0.0	2.91	0.0	13.01	0.08	139/100%
	Daekwangri (B)	29.3%	19.69	41.3%	0.0	2.26	3.3%	4.30	0.08	92/100%
	Jindong (B)	1.98	75.29	8.68	3.8%	6.78	0.18	3.8%	0.0	105/100%
	Test Site AVERAGE	26.73	44.39	16.01	1.28	3.03	2.49	5.23	0.28	769/1003
	Weolchon (Control)	1.49	32.01	1.48	7.98	5.8%	0.08	1.48	0.08	139/100%

4 - Store it in a concrete tank



Category (1) I = Draw and use it

^{2 -} Store it in a plastic tank 6 - Store it in a metal jar

^{5 -} Store it in an earthen jar

^{3 =} Store it in a bucket

^{7 =} Other

Category (2)

^{2 =} Store it in an earthenjar 2 = Store it in a plastic tank 6 = Store it in a metal cauldron

^{3 -} Store it in a bucket

^{7 -} Store it in iron cauldron

^{4 =} Store it in a concrete tank 8 = Other

TABLE 10

STORAGE CONTAINER DISINFECTION

Category of	Response	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	Total Responding
♣ of	Initial Survey	2.48	6.41	0.28	0.0	11.6%	1.78	59.31	6.61	11.5%	0.3%	0.0%	653/100%
Respondents	Evaluative Survey (Test Sites)	1.20	7.81	0.15	0.1%	14.6%	1.34	51.3%	1.28	22.28	0.1%	0.18	752/100%
	Evaluative Survey (Weol- chon Control)	0.01	1.41	0.0	0.0%	5.0%	0.0	92.1%	0.0%	1.48	0.0%	0.0%	139/100%

Category of Response: 1 = Never disinfect

- 2 = Clean with Hie Tie detergent, laundry or body soap
- 3 = Pour in some boiling water and shake around container once
 - a week or more often
- 4 = Pour in some boiling water and shake around container less
- than once a week 5 = Clean with cold water and Trio (liquid detergent) or Pong Pong (Liquid detergent)
- 6 = Clean with hot water and Trio or Pong Pong
- 7 = Clean with cold water and sand or rough cloth
- 8 = Clean with hot water and sand or rough cloth
- 9 = Not applicable No storage container
- 10 = Other method
- 11 = Don't know/No response



TABLE 11

CONSUMPTION OF UNTREATED WELL/PUMP WATER

Initial Survey

		Never	Always	Sometimes	No Response	Total Responding
₹ of	Eumsong	9.91	48.71	41.49	0.0	203/100%
Respondents	Danyang	13.31	28.19	58.6%	0.0%	256/100%
	Shindeung	31.30	24.61	44.18	0.0%	195/100%
	TOTAL	18.29	33.89	48.01	0.03	654/100%

		Never	Always	Sometimes	No Response	Total Responding
• of	Daekwangri(A)	38.3%	30.8%	30.8%	0.0%	120/100%
Respondents	Jindong(A)	48.1%	18.6%	33.31	0.08	156/100%
	Danyang	48.6%	5.78	45.7%	0.0	140/100%
	Naesu	20.3%	35.5%	44.28	0.08	138/100%
	Daekwangri (B)	38.7%	5.48	55.9%	0.08	93/1001
	Jindong (B)	54.3%	1.98	43.8%	0.08	105/100%
	TOTAL	41.48	16.30	42.30	0.08	752/100%
	Weolchon (Control)	32.4%	29.5%	38.18	0.0	139.100%



TABLE 12

TREATMENT OF WELL/PUMP WATER (SUMMER)

Initial Survey

		Heat It	Always Boil	Sometimes Boil	Treat with Chemicals	Other	Not Sure	Total Responding
1 of	Eumsong	63.5%	15.48	14.48	6.78	0.0	0.0%	104/100%
Respondents	Danyang	60.91	19.61	18.50	1.0%	0.0	0.0%	184/100%
	Shindeung	26.58	51.01	21.8%	0.78	0.01	0.0	147/100%
	TOTAL	50.38	28.71	18.21	2.81	0.0	0.08	435/100%

		Heat It	Always Boil	Sometimes Boil	Treat with Chemicals	Other	Not Sure	Total Responding
₹ of	Daekwangri(A)	16.9%	56.6%	21.78	4.81	0.01	0.0%	83/100%
Respondents	Jindong (A)	4.78	63.0%	32.3%	0.0	0.0	0.0%	127/100%
	Danyang	14.48	51.5%	34.1%	0.0%	0.08	0.01	132/100%
	Naesu	40.4%	29.21	29.28	1.18	0.08	0.01	89/100%
	Daekwangri(B)	15.98	43.24	40.9%	0.0	0.08	0.0%	88/100%
	Jindong (B)	0.7%	57.31	34.0%	0.0%	0.01	0.00	103/100%
	TOTAL	15.00	51.11	32.39	0.89	0.01	0.03	622/100%
	Weolchon (Control)	17.30	48.0%	34.78	0.0	0.0	0.01	98/100%



TABLE 13

TREATMENT OF WELL/PUMP WATER (WINTER)

Initial Survey

		Heat It	Always Boil	Sometimes Boil	Treat with Chemicals	Other	Mot Sure	Total Responding
♦ of	Eumsong	1.98	38.5%	55.8%	3.81	0.08	0.0%	104/100%
Respondents	Danyang	1.68	46.21	51.61	0.61	0.0%	0.08	184/100%
	Shindeung	3.4%	51.0	45.61	0.0	0.08	0.0	147/100%
	TOTAL	2.39	45.28	51.09	1.59	0.03	0.01	435/100%

		Heat It	Alwyas Boil	Sometimes Boil	Treat with Chemicals	Other	Not Sure	Total Responding
● of	Daekwangri (A)	1.24	54.2%	39.8%	4.81	0.0	0.0	83/100%
Respondents	Jindong(A)	3.9%	63.0%	33.1%	0.0%	0.01	0.01	127/100%
	Danyang	0.0%	72.78	27.38	0.0	0.01	0.0	132/100%
	Naesu	0.0%	47.28	51.78	1.10	0.08	0.08	89/100%
	Dackwangri (B)	1.19	45.5%	53.4%	0.01	0.0	0.0%	88/1001
	Jindong(B)	0.0%	62.11	37.9%	0.6	0.0	0.0	103/100%
	70.AL	1.09	57.41	40.59	_1,19	0.01	0.05	622/1001
	Weolchon (Control)	0.0	52.0%	48.0%	0.0%	0.08	0.0	98/100%



TABLE 14

DISHMASHING/USE OF HEATED WATER

Initial Survey

		No, Never	Yes, Always	Sometimes	Depends on Season Yes, in winter No, in summer	Don't know/MR	Total Responding
• of	Eumsong	6.91	0.0	9.8%	83.34	0.0%	203/100%
Respondents	Danyang	12.16	1.26	12.16	74.61	0.0	256/100%
	Shindeung	3.10	0.0	11.36	45.61	0.0	195/100%
	TOTAL	7.49	0.49	11.09	81.21	0.01	654/1001

		No, Never	Yes, Always	Sometimes	Depends on Season Yes, in winter No, in summer	Don't know/MR	Total Responding
l of	Daekwangri (A)	3.3%	3.30	3.3%	90.0%	0.08	120/100%
Respondents	Jindong (A)	1.9%	0.0	0.6%	97.48	0.08	156/100%
	Danyang	5.0%	0.78	10.6%	75.78	0.00	140/100%
	Naesu	5.8%	. 1.49	19.68	73.20	0.0	138/100%
	Daekwangri(B)	2.20	0.0	34.4%	62.48	1.16	93/1004
	Jindong (B)	4.0%	0.0	37.18	58.19	0.0	105/100%
	TOTAL	3.83	0.21	19.08	76.19	0.28	752/100%
	Weolchon (Control)	7.98	0.08	15.00	76.3%	0.00	139/100%



TABLE 15

DISHMASHING/KIND OF DETERGENT USED

Initial Survey

Category of	Response (1)	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total Responding
♦ of	Eumsong	4.45	4.49	30.5%	3.5%	57.20	0.0	203/1004
Respondents	Danyang	9.48	4.31	7.08	6.31	73.00	0.0	256/100%
	Shindeung	7.20	8.7%	32.81	2.61	48.21	0.5	195/100%
	TOTAL	7.00	5.88	23.48	4.19	59.51	0.29	654/100%

Evaluative Survey

Category of	Response (1)	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total Responding
l of	Daekwangri (A)	0.88	5.0%	21.79	13.30	59.21	0.0	120/100%
Respondents	Jindong (A)	1.30	4.50	19.98	12.20	62.21	0.0	156/100%
	Danyang	0.0	0.78	3.61	22.19	72.98	0.74	140/100%
	Naesu	3.68	0.71	27.50	13.90	54.3%	0.0	138/100%
	Daekwangri (B)	0.0	4.38	9.74	4.30	81.79	0.0	93/100%
	Jindong (B)	0.08	7.61	6.78	1.90	82.91	1.00	105/100%
	TOTAL	0.23	1.00	14.83	11.11	68.93	0.30	752/1008
	Weolchon (Control)	0.78	5.8%	34.5%	5.8%	53.20	0.0	139/100%

Category (1): 1 = Don't use any detergent or soap

2 = Soap (laundry or body)
3 = Hie Tie (always or sometimes)

4 = Trio or Pong Pong (liquid detergent)

5 = Use Trio or Pong Pong only when oil has been used 6 = Don't know or no response

TABLE 16

OCCURANCE OF WATER-RELATED ILLNESSES IN INITIAL 6 EVALUATIVE SURVEYS

		Diarrhea	Pever	Stomachache with Vomiting	Stomachache	Total Interviewed
♦ of	Initial	10.19	17.50	4.51	13.4%	681
Households	Evaluative Survey (Test Sites)	11.29	7.98	2.5%	13.48	913
with Illnesses	Evaluative Survey (Weolchon - Control)	4.3%	0.7%	6.78	10.18	139



INITIAL EVALUATION - Translation

OBSI	ERVATION QUESTIONS						
1)	How is the water drained from the house	area	7				
	(1) Dirt gutter						
	(2) Cement drain						
	(3) Cement drain, but it leaks						
	(4) Pipe drain						
	(5) Pipe drain, but it leaks						
	(6) Other						
2)	Do you draw water from your main source do you draw it and store some	es y	ח נוס	eed	it,	or	
	(1) Draw it and use it						
	(2) Store it in plastic tankmal	(1)	unc	over	ed (2)	covered
	(3) Store it in bucket mal	(1)	unc	over	ed (2)	covered
	(4) Store it in concrete tankmal	(1)	unc	over	ed (2)	covered
	(5) Store it in earthen jarmal						
			unc	over	ed (2)	covered
	(6) Store it in metal panmal (7) Other storage methodmal	(1)					covered
3)	Which of the below exist right now in th (Record more than 1 choice, if applicable	e ho	use	area	7		
	(a) None of the below	(1)	Ma	(2)	Vac		
	(a) None of the below	(1)	MO	(2)	145		
	(b) Cement drainage system that is not	411	No	(2)	Yes		
	cracked (01 (2) or (4))	(1)		14/			
	(c) Cement area around the tap that is not cracked	/11	No	121	Yes		
	(d) A tap in the kitchen				Yes		
	(e) A tap in the shower room		No		Yes		
GEN	ERAL QUESTIONS						
4)	Is there any restaurant or food-related the house?	pusi	ness	con	duct	ed	at
	(1) No (2) Yes						
5)	If Yes (2), how much water do you use da	ily	for	your	bus.	ine	887
	male						
6)	On the average, outside of business uses water do you, your family and others in the main source, use per day?	, ho	w mu hous	e ar	ell (ho ho	pumped use
	mals						
7)	Do you or the members of your family dri straight rom the well or pump?	nk w	•11	or p	ump (wat	er
	(1) Never (3) Sometimes (2) Always (4) Not sure or no resp	onse					
NOT	B: 1 mal = 18.039 liters						

امرا

Initial Evaluation Translation Page 2

8)	If bef	Never (1) or Sometime	es (3)	, what	do you do with the water
					sessonal distinction
	(1)) Tre	at it with chemicals
	(2)	Always boil it) Oth	er treatment
	(3)	Sometimes boil it	(6) Not	sure or no response
	SUM	MER	MI	NTER	
9)	Fro	m where do you get th	he wat	er tha	t you:
	(a)			(1)	Public or private pump
	(b)	wash food		(2)	River or water reservoir, pond
	(c)	do laundry			Draw water from covered well
	(d)	wash hands, face or	: body	•	by bucket
	(●)	do dishes		— (4)	Draw water from uncovered well by bucket
				(5)	Draw water from covered well by
					electric pump
				(6)	Draw water from uncovered well
				171	by electric pump Not sure or no response
					-
10)		ou heat the water th	at you	u wash	the dishes with?
		No, never	(4)	Depend	is on season - Yes in winter,
	(2)	ICE ALVAVA		No in	EUMM C
	(3)	Sometimes	(5)	Don't	know or no response
11)	(1) (2) (3) (4)	Don't use any deter Soap (laundry or bo Hi Tie * Trio or Pong Pong Use Trio or Pong Po	gent of dy) o o o o o o o o o o o o o	soap o or soag Ly when	
12)	Во у	ou ever disinfect you	ur sto	orage c	ontainer? If so, how?
	(1)	Never disinfect			
	(2)	Clean with Hi Tie,	laundr	y or b	ody soap
	(3)	Pour in some boiling	g wate	r and	shake around container
		once a week or more	Often	1	
	(4)	Pour in some boiling less than once a week	g wate ek	r and	shake around container
	(5)	Clean with cold water	er and	or Tr	io or Pong Pong
	(6)	Clean with hot water	r and/	or Tri	O OT PORG PORG
	(7)	Clean With cold water	er and	sand	or rough clock
	(8)	Clean with hot water	r and	sand o	r rough cloth
	(9)	MOL abbitcaple - No	store	ge con	tainer (#2 and #3 (1)).
	(10) (11)	Other method Don't know or no res			
			•		
13)		ou always get all the	. wate	r you	need from your main source?
	(1)	Frequently			
	(2)	Not sufficient occas	ional	ly	
	(3)	Yes			
	(4)	Don't know or no res	ponse		

100

This is a biosulphate
 This is what should be used

Initial Evaluation Translation Page 3

14)	During the last 2 weeks, has anybody living in the household had:
	(a) Diarrhea (over 1 day)? # sick persons (b) Fever? # sick persons
	(c) Stomachache with vomiting? # sick persons
	(d) Stomachache? # sick persons
15)	During the past 6 months, how many people who use the main source have been in your household (include children, boarders, other families etc)?
	people
16)	How many meters are between the well or pump and the nearest privy?
	(INTERVIEWER: Please step out the measurements)
	(1) 0-5 meters (3) 11-14 meters
	(2) 6-10 meters (4) 15 meters or more

1/1

EVALUATIVE EVALUATION - Translation

OBSERVATION QUESTIONS

1)	Now is	the water drained from the piped wa	ter	area?		
	(1)	Dirt gutter				
	(2)	Cement drain				
	(3)	Cement drain, but it's broken				
	(4) (5)	Pipe drain Pipe drain, but it leaks				
	(6)	Public drain outside of the househo	old v	wall		
	(7)	Other				
2)	Do you	draw water from your well or pump a	s ye	ou need it,	or	
	ao you	draw it and store some?				
	(1)	Draw it and use it				
	121	Store in plastic tank (mal) Store it in bucket (mal)	(1)	nucoseied	(2)	covered
	(3)	Store it in bucket(mal)	(1)	uncovered	(2)	COAGLOC
	(4)	Store it in concrete tank (mei)	(1)	uncovered	(2)	COAGLEC
	(5) (6)	Store it in earthern jar(mal) Store it in a metal	(1)	MICOAGLAG	14,	COVELED
	(4)	cauldron (mal)	(1)	uncovered	(2)	covered
	(7)	Store it in iron				
	• • •	CAULGION (MAL)	(1)	uncovered	(2)	COVEZED
	(8)	Other storage method(mal)	(1)	uncovered	(2)	covered
3)	Do you	draw <u>tap water</u> as you need it, or done?	lo y	ou draw it	and	
	(1)	Draw it and use it				
	(2)	Store it in plastic tank(mal)	(1)	uncovered	(2)	covered
	(3)	Store in bucket(mal)	(1)	nucovered	(2)	COVELEG
	(4)	Store in concrete tank (mal)				
	(5) (6)			uncovered	(2)	covered
	(7)	Store in metal cauldron (mal) Store in iron cauldron (mal)	(1)	uncovered	(2)	covered
	(8)	Other storage method(mal)	(1)	uncovered		
4) .	Which (of the below exist right now in the smore than 1 choice if applicable)	tap	water area	7	
	(a)	None of the below	(1)	Mo	(2)	Yes
	(b)	Drainage system that is not	•	_	•	
		cracked (#1 (2) or (4)).	(1)	No	(2)	Yes
	(c)	Cement area around the tap that is				M
	(4)	not cracked A tap in the kitchen		No No		Yes Yes
	(e)	A tap in the shower room	(1)			Yes
5)	tap far	re a plastic or rubber tube running ucet to the brim of the container to mit the end of the tube to touch the brage tank if the tank were filled t	9 WG	ch a longth tor surface	in	
	(1) No (2) Yes	•				

(0)

GENERAL QUESTIONS

6)	Is there any restaurant or food-connected business conducted at the house?
	(1) No (2) Yes
7)	If so (2), how much water do you use daily for your business?
	(a) Piped water (mal) (b) Well or other (mal) (c) TOTAL (a+b) (mal)
8)	On average, outside of business and laundry uses, how much water do you, the members of your family, and the other people who use your water source use per day? (Excluding laundry uses).
	(a) Piped water (mal) (b) well or other (mal) (c) TOTAL (a+b) (mal)
9)	If the piped water system breaks down or you don't get a sufficient amount of water from the tap, do you or the members of your family drink well water straight from the well or pump?
	(1) Never (3) Sometimes (2) Always (4) Not sure or No Response
10)	If never (1) or sometimes (3), but you have to drink well or pump water, what would you do with it before drinking it? Make a seasonal distinction.
	(1) Heat it or do nothing (4) Treat it with chemicals (2) Always boil it (5) Other treatment (3) Sometimes boil it (6) Not sure or No Response
	SUIGER WINTER
11)	From where do you get the water that you:
	(a) drink (1) piped water (b) wash food (2) public or private pump (c) do laundry (3) river or water reservoir, (d) wash hands, face or body pond (e) do dishes (4) draw water from covered
	well by bucket (5) draw water from uncovered
	well by bucket (6) draw water from covered
	well by electric pump (7) draw water from uncovered well by electric pump
12)	(8) Not sure or No Response
,	Do you heat the water that you wash the dishes with?
	(1) No, never (4) Depends on season - Yes, in (2) Yes, always winter, no in summer
	(3) Sometimes (5) Don't know or No response

Final Evaluation Translation Page 3

13)	When you wash the dishes, do you use detergent or soap? If so, what kind of detergent or soap do you use?
	(1) Don't use any deturgent or soap (2) Soap (laundry or body)
	(3) Hi Tie (Always or sometimes)*
	(4) Trio or Pong Pong **
	(5) Use Trio or Pong Pong only when oil has been used
	(6) Don't know or no response
14)	
	(1) Never disinfect
	(2) Clean with Hi Tie, a laundry or body soap (3) Pour in some boiling water and shake around container
	(3) Pour in some boiling water and shake around container once a week or more often
	(4) Pour in some boiling water and shake around container less than once a week.
	(5) Clean with cold water and/or Trio or Pong Pong **
	(6) Clean with hot water and/ or Trio or Pong Pong **
	(7) Clean with cold water and sand or rough cloth
	(8) Clean with hot water and sand or rough cloth
	(9) Not applicable - No storage container (#2 6 #3 (1)).
	(10) Other method
	(11) Don't know or no response
15)	How many times have you or a member of your family attended the sanitary education meetings sponsored by CARE?
	(1) Never, but have heard of them (4) 3 times
	191 1 41==
	(2) I time (5) 4 times (3) 2 times (6) 6 times
	(7) Never heard of meetings
	(1) maket neetd of miestude
16)	Do you like the taste of the piped water?
	49.5 44
	(1) No, never (4) Most of the time, no
	(2) Yes, always (5) Don't know or no response (3) Occasionally, no
	(3) Occasionativ, no
17)	During the last complete month, how many entire days did you not receive water from the tap?
	(1) Always received it (7) More than 5 days mostly due to
	(2) 1 day neighboring water-line construction
	(3) 2 days (8) Less than 5 days mostly due to
	(4) J days nsighboring water-line construction
	(5) 4 days (9) Don't know or no response
	(6) 5 days or more
10)	Do you always get all the water you need from the piped source?
	(1) Frequently not sufficient
	(2) Not sufficient occasionally
	(3) Yes
	(4) Don't know or no response
19)	During the last two weeks, has anybody living in the household had:
	(a) Diarrhes (over 1 day)? A sick persons
	in a contract to the contract of the contract
	(b) Fever? (c) Stomechache with vomiting? # sick persons
	(d) Stomachache? # sick persons
	A STON PARSOLIS
•	This is a biosulphate
	This is what should be used

6

Pinel Evaluation Translation Page 4

20)	mont	t improvements do ths) future in co cord more than on	nnection	with your	potable	in (the Ater	near Sys	(8 Lem?		
	(a)	Don't intend to	make an	y improveme	nts						
		in the near futi	ure.	•		No	(2)	Yes	(3)	Already	have
	(P)				(1)	No	(2)	Yes	(3)	Already	have
	(c)	Coment area arou	und the	tap	(1)	No	(2)	Yes	(3)	Already	have
	(d)	Another tap in (the kitch	hen	(1)	No	(2)	Yes	(3)	Already	have
	(•)	Another tap in (the show	er room	(1)	No	(2)	Yes	(3)	Already	have
	(E)	Want to make 1 (or more (of above							
		improvements, bu	ut can't	due to laci	t						
		of money			(1)	No	(2)	Yes			
	(g)	Don't know or no	respon	50	(1)	No	(2)	Yes			
21)	MBFG	ing the past 6 more or have been in your families, etc.)	our house	many peoplehold (inclu	le who	use 11dz	the	boai	der:	,	
		people									
22)	bita	many meters are b y?	etween (the well or	pump 4	nd	the	near	est		
	(INT	ERVIEWER: Please	step ou	it the measu	rement	:8)					
	(1) (2)			11-14 mete 15 meters		:•					

10

APPENDIX C

Water System Monthly Report

				_ Month
Village	Myon (Township)		Reporting Offic	ial's name
Gun (County)			Title	
	INCOME			
Did you collect we this month?	ater fees No	ı	Yes	
		1.	How much collected?	Won
,		2.	How many families p	
		3.	Average payment per	family Won
Did anyone join that this month?	he water system No		Yes	
		4.	No. of new connecti	ons No.
		5.	How much collected these sources?	from Won
	EXPENDITURES	-		
Money spent for chemonth? Actual pur amount		6.	Yes	Won spent
		7.		Won budgeted
Money spent for fu	el this month	8. 9. 10.		Won electric Won gas Total Won

⁻ Cont'd -

cal income for this month tal expenditures of this month lance or deficit this month tal water system funds on hand end of month of this month the tetal No. faucet connections? tal No. of homes that did not y water bill this month? ubtract answer #2 from #17 ove for answer) tal No. of people served rectly by the system?	d		_ No. of	People on salar Won Repairs Repairs Total Won Won Won Connections
cal income for this month tal expenditures of this month lance or deficit this month tal water system funds on hand end of month of this month the tetal No. faucet connections? tal No. of homes that did not y water bill this month? ubtract answer #2 from #17 ove for answer) tal No. of people served	h =			Repairs Repairs Total Won Won Won Won
cal income for this month tal expenditures of this month lance or deficit this month tal water system funds on hand end of month of this month the tetal No. faucet connections? tal No. of homes that did not y water bill this month? ubtract answer #2 from #17 ove for answer) tal No. of people served	h =			Repairs Repairs Total Won Won Won Won
tal expenditures of this month lance or deficit this month tal water system funds on hand end of month of this month the total No. faucet connections? tal No. of homes that did not y water bill this month? ubtract answer #2 from #17 ove for answer) tal No. of people served	d			Repairs Total Won Won Won Won
tal expenditures of this month lance or deficit this month tal water system funds on hand end of month of this month the total No. faucet connections? tal No. of homes that did not y water bill this month? ubtract answer #2 from #17 ove for answer) tal No. of people served	d			Total Won Won Won Won Won
tal expenditures of this month lance or deficit this month tal water system funds on hand end of month of this month the total No. faucet connections? tal No. of homes that did not y water bill this month? ubtract answer #2 from #17 ove for answer) tal No. of people served	d			Won Won Won
tal expenditures of this month lance or deficit this month tal water system funds on hand end of month of this month the total No. faucet connections? tal No. of homes that did not y water bill this month? ubtract answer #2 from #17 ove for answer) tal No. of people served	d			Won Won Won
lance or deficit this month tal water system funds on hand end of month of this month the total No. faucet connections? tal No. of homes that did not y water bill this month? ubtract answer #2 from #17 ove for answer) tal No. of people served	d			Won Won
tal water system funds on hand end of month of this month the total No. faucet connections? tal No. of homes that did not y water bill this month? ubtract answer #2 from #17 ove for answer) tal No. of people served	-			Won
end of month of this month the total No. faucet connections? tal No. of homes that did not y water bill this month? ubtract answer #2 from #17 ove for answer) tal No. of people served	-			
tal No. of homes that did not y water bill this month? ubtract answer #2 from #17 ove for answer) tal No. of people served	_			_Connections
y water bill this month? ubtract answer #2 from #17 ove for answer) tal No. of people served				
ubtract answer #2 from #17 ove for answer) tal No. of people served				
ove for answer) tal No. of people served				
tal No. of people served				
rectly by the sustem?				_No. of homes
seed of cut statems				
preselu she t of once				People
rrently, the % of area popu- tion connected to system?				-
ter quality even completed		·		•
is month?		No	•• -	_
		_ ^ .	Y•	5
alth office of CARE office?		No _	Ye	•
INCIDENT REPO	RT			
fires combatted with water f	rom the	ı		
Ped System this month?			14	n
'Yes' how many fires this mon	th?	-		o. of fires
' reports of leakage or indica	tors of	-	***************************************	
er loss this month?			No	
'Yes' please explain in detail	1.	_	Ye	18
	ter quality exam completed is month? St results sent to county alth office of CARE office? INCIDENT REPORT STATES AND ASSESSED IN COUNTY STATES AND ASSESSED IN COUNTY STATES AND ASSESSED ASSESSED IN COUNTY STATES AND ASSESSED IN COUNTY ASSESSED. ASSESSED IN COUNTY STATES AN	ter quality exam completed is month? st results sent to county alth office of CARE office? INCIDENT REPORT y fires combatted with water from the ped system this month? 'Yes' how many fires this month? y reports of leakage or indicators of	ter quality exam completed is month? St results sent to county alth office of CARE office? INCIDENT REPORT INCIDENT REPORT If ires combatted with water from the ped system this month? 'Yes' how many fires this month? I'reports of leakage or indicators of ter loss this month?	ter quality exam completed is month? St results sent to county alth office of CARE office? INCIDENT REPORT INCIDENT REPORT Incident from the ped system this month? Incident from the ped system this month from the ped system this month from the ped system this month from the ped

	at was				Yes
_,		s dama	ged?	Repair cost question #12	reported under of this surv
	d you is mo		ve any complaints b	by the water custo	omers No
If	'Yes	coul	d you summarize tho	ose complaints be	low:
Ye	ه,				
			any special audit, month at the water		or special No
		Yes,	Visitor:	Purpose:	Date:

	DAILY REPORT FOR WATER SUPPLY SYSTEM														YEAR									MON					
	9400											DISTRIBUTION												[UNKLASS]				MICE	INCIDENCE REAPIR
	Pump 1		\ \ \	/	Pump 2						2 u		upply tis				leste leter	Chio	l t	Resid Chlo rine	100	∞	ta-	3 2 5				Leakage, Freezing,	
	ton	10	1.00	to	Ŀ	1100	to	tras	to			• • • •	r.co	10	from	to	otal		3304	o CA	ļ	7		۰	4		곀	etc.	etc.Explan
														!		<u> </u>			 		-		Щ	_	Н	4	-1		
		!	 		↓		 		├	↓		-	├ ─								╂	╂╌	┝	\vdash	Н	Н	┥		
Ч		├	├ ──		-	_			-	├ ──			1		 			 			+	1		-	Н	\dashv	-		
4		↓	 	 	↓	!		↓	↓	 	!	├ ─	├		 	!	<u> </u>	 			 	╀	H	<u> </u>	-	-	\dashv		
		1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			<u> </u>		!	L	ļ	↓		!	.							-		\vdash	-			
]		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u></u>	<u> </u>	1		!	ļ	ļ	ļ		ļ	↓	!	L		\vdash	Н	-		
					1		L	1	!	!		!			 -			!			 	╂	—		\vdash	H			
				<u></u>		<u> </u>	<u></u>	1	<u>L</u>	1		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	L	L	<u> </u>			L		 	₩	-	<u> </u>	Н	⊢∤			
		П	Γ							<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u></u>	<u> </u>		!		.			!	↓	₩	-	<u> </u>	\vdash	Н			
								1	1		.	1	1	.		ļ		↓		 	┼	╁	-	┝		Н			}
						<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		↓		<u> </u>			 	↓	↓	_	<u> </u>	\vdash	Н	\dashv		
			I	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	!	<u> </u>				<u> </u>	↓	↓_	ļ	<u> </u>	Щ	\Box	_		
									<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1		<u> </u>	↓	ļ	L			↓	↓_	.	L	Ш	Щ	_		
			I	I		l .	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	1		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	L		<u> </u>	!				Ц	_		
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1		<u></u>			1	<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	ļ	↓_		L_	Ш	\sqcup	_		<u> </u>
		1	1_	I	1	1	1	1	_	<u> </u>	1	1	.		ļ	<u> </u>	!	 		 _	↓	! —	 	<u> </u>		Н	4		
	<u> </u>		_	 	1	<u> </u>	↓ –	 	↓	-	↓	1	 		!	↓		 		}	╄	↓ -		┞	Н	Н			
		↓			-	-	.		 	-	 -	 	 		↓		├ ──	}	 -	-	╂	 	╂─		\vdash	Н	\dashv		
2		1	1		1			+	↓	↓	↓	-	-					 	 		-	╂—	₩	-	Н	Н			
		1	<u> </u>	_	<u> </u>	 	 	↓ —	↓	4_	—	↓_	↓			├ ──	 	 		 	 	╀	├ ─-	├ ─	₩	Н			ļ. ———
		 	↓	1_	1_	_		┵	↓_	↓	↓	↓	↓		 	↓	↓			}	-	↓	 -	-	$oldsymbol{\sqcup}$	Н	-		
Ц			↓		↓	1	_	—	4-	 	∔_	↓	↓			 				 		╂	—		-	Н	-		
Ц		-	1	₩	4	₩	-	 	4	-	4	-1	 	 	 	 		 	 	 	 	↓	↓_	<u> </u>	\vdash	Н	_		
Ц		 	╄	↓	—	↓	↓_	↓	4	4_	4_	4_	↓	1	1	↓	<u> </u>			L	╄	↓_	<u> </u>		\sqcup	oxdot			
Ľ		↓	 	4	4	↓	↓	4	—		4_	1_	↓	↓	 	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	 	↓		↓	1			Ш	Ц			
Ц	_	╀	∔	╂	→—	4	-		—		╂-	╂	 		↓	├	 	 	├			1	₽-	!	\vdash	Щ	_		
2	<u> </u>	-	↓	 	↓	.ļ			4-			↓_	↓	 	 	 			↓	 	↓	╄	!	!	lacksquare	Щ	_		 -
		↓	4-	4_	 		- -	- -	 	┩		4	┷-	I	<u> </u>		↓	ļ		ļ	↓	1_	!	L_	Щ	Ц	_		
_	<u> </u>	1		↓	↓	1	4_	\bot		4_	4_	1_	 	↓	↓	↓	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		₽		╀	₩.	<u> </u>	\vdash	⊢∔	_		
	<u> </u>		+-	- -					-	-			↓	↓	1	↓			!	<u> </u>	↓	4_	L	—	Щ	igspace	_		
1		4	┼—	┿	4_	4-	+	-	4-		4	4	 	↓	↓	 	 		 		4	↓-	↓	—	\vdash	igspace	4		
		4_			╀	┩		1		—	↓	┺	1		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	↓			!	<u> </u>	4_	L	L	\sqcup				
					1	1				1	ı		1				l			ł		1			l				

APPENDIX 'C'