

# Aiding Reflective Navigation in a Dynamic Information Landscape: A Challenge for Educational Psychology

1 **Katarzyna Bobrowicz<sup>1\*</sup>, Areum Han<sup>1</sup>, Jennifer Hausen<sup>1</sup>, Samuel Greiff<sup>1</sup>**

2 <sup>1</sup>Computer-Based Assessment Group, Department of Behavioural and Cognitive Sciences, University  
3 of Luxembourg, Esch-sur-Alzette, Luxembourg

4 **\* Correspondence:**

5 Katarzyna Bobrowicz

6 katarzyna.bobrowicz@uni.lu

7 **Keywords: open access<sup>1</sup>, COVID-19<sup>2</sup>, 21<sup>st</sup> century skills<sup>3</sup>, health literacy<sup>4</sup>, critical literacy<sup>5</sup>,**  
8 **statistical literacy<sup>6</sup>, metacognition<sup>7</sup>, rational thinkings<sup>8</sup>.**

## 9 **Abstract**

10 Open access to information is now a universal phenomenon thanks to rapid technological  
11 developments across the globe. This open and universal access to information is a key value of  
12 democratic societies because, in principle, it supports well-informed decision-making on individual,  
13 local, and global matters. In practice, however, without appropriate readiness for navigation in a  
14 dynamic information landscape, such access to information can become a threat to public health,  
15 safety, and economy, as the COVID-19 pandemic has shown. In the past, this readiness was often  
16 conceptualized in terms of adequate literacy levels, but the contemporarily observed highest-ever  
17 literacy levels have not immunized our societies against the risks of misinformation. Therefore, in  
18 this Perspective, we argue that democratisation of access to information endows citizens with new  
19 responsibilities, and second, these responsibilities demand readiness that cannot be reduced to mere  
20 literacy levels. In fact, this readiness builds on individual adequate literacy skills, but also requires  
21 rational thinking and awareness of own information processing. We gather evidence from  
22 developmental, educational, and cognitive psychology to show how these aspects of readiness could  
23 be improved through education interventions, and how they may be related to healthy work-home  
24 balance and self-efficacy. All these components of education are critical to responsible global  
25 citizenship and will determine the future direction of our societies.

## 26 **1 Introduction**

27 We live in the age of nearly universal access to information. With decentralized news outlets,  
28 growing access to open science, and worldwide social media coverage, individuals can be more  
29 broadly and diversely informed than ever before. Open access to information is a key value of  
30 modern democratic societies, as only thoroughly informed citizens can participate in society and  
31 make informed decisions about the directions in which they wish their society to evolve. It seems,  
32 however, that despite open and multisource access to information, individuals fail to make  
33 thoroughly informed choices at both societal and individual levels. In this Perspective, we aim to  
34 examine why such failures may happen and how they could be remedied in education.

35 The ongoing COVID-19 crisis exposed, and perhaps augmented, society-wide difficulties with  
36 critical processing of dynamically changing information. This may have impeded many important

37 outcomes such as sufficiently high vaccination rates, which, in turn, impose health, social, and  
 38 economic losses on entire societies. Misinformation and other environmental factors outside of an  
 39 individual’s control have certainly contributed to such losses (Okuhara et al., 2020; Engledowl and  
 40 Weiland, 2021; Montagni et al., 2021). However, in this Perspective, we focus on the lack of  
 41 individual readiness for navigating the dynamic information landscape, which may exacerbate poor  
 42 decision-making under the pressing conditions of the prevailing global crisis. As educational  
 43 psychologists, we suggest that equipping citizens with such readiness is a pressing challenge for  
 44 contemporary education that needs to be targeted at all stages of individual development across the  
 45 lifespan. We are certainly not alone in this view, as prolific literacy research has shown for several  
 46 decades (e.g., Cervetti et al., 2006; Leu et al., 2017). Literacies are essential competencies, skills and  
 47 dispositions that support individual comprehension and use of “information in daily activities to  
 48 achieve goals, develop knowledge and potential” (cf. OECD, 2000, p. x; Leu et al., 2017). Since  
 49 improving literacies is only part of the solution, we sketch out a more complex and comprehensive  
 50 picture of the challenge ahead by coupling literacy research with relevant research on rational  
 51 thinking and cognition. We argue that fostering responsible citizenship demands, at the very least,  
 52 literacies, rational thinking (i.e., reflective, effortful processing of information), and awareness of our  
 53 own information processing (i.e., using psychological knowledge to take stock of own mental  
 54 operations; Figure 1). Throughout the paper, we recount evidence-based solutions developed within  
 55 each of these research fields to offer concrete suggestions for education stakeholders.

## 56 **2 Open Access, Literacies, and Rational Thinking**

### 57 **2.1 Open Access Endows the Citizen with New Responsibilities**

58 Openness and Participation are critical to democratic citizenship (Knight Commission Report, 2009;  
 59 Şimşek and Şimşek, 2013). Openness implies that everybody can reach the information that supports  
 60 civic and personal decisions, which serves to facilitate Participation, that is, joining and using  
 61 information systems to solve civic and personal issues (Knight Commission Report, 2009). As open  
 62 and multisource access to information secures these values, it cannot be realistically controlled by  
 63 democratic governments (Eysenbach, 2008). This emancipation from top-down control forces  
 64 individual citizens to assume responsibility for their own navigation in the dynamic information  
 65 landscape (Weiland, 2017). Citizens need to learn how to identify and critically process relevant  
 66 information (Eysenbach, 2008), and how to position themselves in relation to a myriad of views,  
 67 values, and ideas (Weiland, 2017). The COVID-19 crisis is one example that clearly showed that  
 68 citizens were not ready for such navigation.

69 Soon before the onset of the crisis, vaccine hesitancy, a delay in acceptance, or a refusal of vaccine  
 70 despite its availability (MacDonald and the SAGE Working Group on Vaccine Hesitancy, 2015;  
 71 Montagni et al., 2021), was listed among the ten critical global threats by the World Health  
 72 Organization (2019; Okuhara et al., 2020). Hesitant attitudes toward vaccines became increasingly  
 73 studied during the COVID-19 crisis, showing that they were associated with poor health literacy  
 74 (Montagni et al., 2021; Turhan et al., 2021; in particular, digital health literacy, Patil et al., 2021) and  
 75 a mismatch between affect-laden anti-vaccination messages and statistics-based governmental  
 76 communication (Okuhara et al., 2020). In the cognitively and emotionally challenging “infodemic”,  
 77 understood as an overabundance of information on virus-related matters (Duplaga et al., 2019; WHO,  
 78 2020; Patil et al., 2021), the citizens were left to their own devices. Despite higher-than-ever literacy  
 79 rates, the public was poorly prepared for universal access to information and failed to assume the  
 80 responsibilities that come with such access. Paired with poor readiness for selecting, evaluating, and  
 81 processing information, universal access to information became a threat, not an aid.

## 82 2.2 Literacies are Key but Insufficient

83 Universal access to information is here to stay but using it to individual and societal advantage rather  
84 than disadvantage demands better readiness, and it is better to foster it late than never. The notion  
85 that the age of access demands specific skills, and that educational systems across the world should  
86 support individuals in honing these skills, is not new. For over two decades now, educational  
87 psychologists have investigated a myriad of literacy skills (i.e., literacies) that support individual  
88 navigation in the dynamically changing information landscape (Cervetti et al., 2006; Şimşek and  
89 Şimşek, 2013; Leu et al., 2017). Literacy became a popular buzzword in educational psychology and  
90 an umbrella term for multiple, multimodal and multifaceted skills (Cervetti et al., 2006). Literacy for  
91 21<sup>st</sup> century citizenship is digital, complex, and dynamic, but it builds on traditional literacies, such  
92 as reading, writing and comprehension (Leu et al., 2017). These traditional literacies need to be  
93 applied in the digital world, in which information is no longer provided in a single modality (e.g.,  
94 audio only), a single flow (e.g., without simultaneous advertising), or by a limited group of  
95 individuals sharing similar values, views, and ideas. The line between the addresser and the addressee  
96 is fuzzy, as each member of the online community may smoothly move on the continuum between  
97 these roles. Therefore, 21<sup>st</sup> century literacies demand not only technical readiness for the modern  
98 technologies, but, critically, control over one's own information seeking and processing, awareness  
99 of the complexity of the social world (Cervetti et al., 2006; Leu et al., 2017), and a balance between  
100 emotional engagement and emotional distance toward arguments presented by others (Gee, 2012;  
101 Olin-Scheller and Tengberg, 2017).

102 Technical readiness is typically a minor challenge for “digital natives” (Prensky, 2001; Valenza,  
103 2006; Harris, 2008) or “insiders” (Lankshear and Knobel, 2006, Wimmer and Draper, 2019), who are  
104 children and adolescents raised amid rapidly evolving technologies from their birth (Neumann,  
105 2016). However, evidence from educational and developmental psychology showed that digital  
106 natives, despite technical readiness, lack critical literacy and struggle with seeking, selecting, and  
107 evaluating information, and are not by default skilled or critical consumers of information (Valenza,  
108 2006; Harris, 2008; Wineburg et al., 2016). Digital natives typically prioritize most accessible  
109 information sources and effortless processing (Shenton and Dixon, 2004; van Deursen et al., 2014;  
110 Loh and Kanai, 2015), and show age-specific difficulties in deploying attention to relevant  
111 information. Younger children (at 8-10 years) struggle with inhibiting irrelevant yet salient and  
112 engaging information (Eastin et al., 2006) and typically do not question its accuracy (Hirsh, 1999);  
113 older children lack sufficient knowledge base and analytical skills to contextualize and analyze the  
114 information, and often prioritize the form of the information over its content (Watson, 1998; Agosto,  
115 2002; Sundar, 2008). This suggests that critical literacy learning should be adjusted to children's  
116 cognitive developmental stage (Eastin, 2008) and fostered in education (Bernstein, 2000; Gee, 2012;  
117 Leu et al., 2017; Olin-Scheller and Tengberg, 2017) because it is central to the new responsibilities  
118 that follow from universal access to information. Critical literacy, “the ability to argue from evidence,  
119 values and different perspectives (Skolverket, 2011) and to go beyond the individual and personal,  
120 and relate knowledge to more general and abstract notions (Bernstein, 2000; Gee, 2012)”, as defined  
121 by Olin-Scheller and Tengberg (2017, p. 428), must be paired with age-appropriate statistical literacy  
122 and scientific literacy to prepare the prospective citizen for the influx of complex, heavily data-based  
123 information (Gal, 2002; OECD, 2004; Engel, 2017; 2019; Podkul et al., 2020; Engledowl and  
124 Weiland, 2021). To this end, in educational settings, complex information should not be simplified,  
125 but rather turned into manageable chunks and presented on multiple occasions (Spiro et al., 2003).  
126 Comparing poorly presented information, e.g., misleading data visualizations with well-executed  
127 visualizations provided by such tools as *Gapminder* (2022; Engel, 2019) should be also a regular part  
128 of classroom activities (Engledowl and Weiland, 2021).

129 Critical literacy relies on cognitive skills, but it cannot be achieved without students' emotional  
 130 investment. Educational psychologists showed that students need to learn how to self-regulate their  
 131 own investment by striking a balance between emotional engagement and emotional distance toward  
 132 their own and others' arguments when discussing social issues (Gee, 2012; Olin-Scheller and  
 133 Tengberg, 2017). Inhibiting own emotional attitudes is a prerequisite when evaluating own and  
 134 others' arguments and can be effectively trained in the classroom context, by, for instance, drawing  
 135 students' attention to the affect-laden but irrelevant arguments that they present in response to a  
 136 controversial issue (Olin-Scheller and Tengberg, 2017). This requires metacognition, understood as  
 137 active monitoring of own thoughts and emotions, and switching between own and others'  
 138 perspectives (Flavell, 1976). Although this ability develops early (Marulis et al., 2016), it becomes an  
 139 educational priority only in adolescence (Eysenbach, 2008; Olin-Scheller and Tengberg, 2017). In  
 140 adolescence, citizens become increasingly autonomous, and drift away from reliance on traditional  
 141 authority figures (i.e., intermediaries; Eysenbach, 2008) toward support from peers and less  
 142 authoritative sources of information (i.e., apomediarities; Eysenbach, 2008). Individual autonomy  
 143 continues to develop in adulthood, and is typically associated with gains in cognitive ability,  
 144 literacies, and self-efficacy. Low capacity and/or insufficient belief in one's own capacity can push  
 145 even adult citizens into reliance on traditional authority figures and uncritical, unreflective processing  
 146 of information (Eysenbach, 2008). Therefore, critical literacy is only a bare minimum for individual  
 147 readiness during global crises.

### 148 **2.3 Literate Citizens Are Not Rational Thinkers**

149 Navigating the information landscape demands critical literacy, paired with other relevant literacies,  
 150 such as media literacy, health literacy, and statistical literacy. To date, various interventions have  
 151 aimed to boost relevant literacies in individuals, perhaps stemming from a belief that a sufficiently  
 152 literate individual will be able to scan, select, and evaluate the information landscape to make  
 153 thoroughly informed choices. Empirical research findings on human rationality, however, suggest  
 154 otherwise, showing that literacies are fundamental but not sufficient for individual readiness. Several  
 155 models of human cognition showed that information processing roughly follows two separate routes:  
 156 either a peripheral, fast route with mental shortcuts and simple rules (i.e., heuristics) or a central,  
 157 cognitively effortful route with a reflective, metacognitive outlook on the available information  
 158 (Sundar, 2008; Stanovich, 2009; 2018). Having access to these two processing routes (or systems,  
 159 Stanovich, 2009) is highly adaptive, but overreliance on the heuristic route can lead to multiple  
 160 cognitive biases (Sundar, 2008; Stanovich, 2009). Therefore, people who are sufficiently literate in  
 161 terms of health and statistical knowledge may nevertheless suffer such cognitive biases (Stanovich,  
 162 2018) and make irrational choices as to whether, for example, to vaccinate themselves and/or their  
 163 children against COVID-19 due to the anti-vaccination messages. The messages are typically  
 164 communicated through affect-laden, salient imagery focused on vaccine toxicity and its side effects  
 165 and consequently tend to trigger fast, heuristic processing (Okuhara et al., 2020). Even individuals  
 166 that reflectively weigh the benefits and risks of the vaccine against the risks of COVID-19 infection  
 167 may struggle with overriding the worry caused by the heuristic processing of such information  
 168 (Okuhara et al., 2020).

169 As the above example showed, cognitive psychologists have repeatedly shown that individuals are  
 170 prone to the same biases across social strata regardless of their literacy levels, but they may be able to  
 171 override these biases with sufficient awareness and training (Stanovich, 2018). Instead of resorting to  
 172 fast, automatic processing that prioritizes ease and speed over rationality, individuals should be able  
 173 to intentionally choose slow, reflective processing of the information landscape. Educating citizens  
 174 on the multiple heuristics triggered by salient but irrelevant aspects of communication (Sundar, 2008)

175 may be a good introduction to rational thinking. To some extent, training of rational thinking  
176 overlaps with literacy training, as they also involve technical components of statistical and scientific  
177 literacy (Stanovich, 2009). Such technical skills involve, among others, learning probability theory,  
178 switching between absolute and relative representations of data (e.g., 1000 individuals vs. 25% of the  
179 tested sample vs. 1 in 4 tested people got infected) and overriding an automatic tendency to  
180 overestimate absolute numbers compared to percentages (Yamagishi, 1997; Stanovich, 2009). Thus  
181 far, however, it seems that citizens, lacking systematic training on this matter, have not had a chance  
182 to build an awareness of their own cognitive functioning. Without such chances at a society-wide  
183 level, many citizens will not be able to take control over their own cognitive functioning, and,  
184 thereby, will not achieve readiness for universal access to information. Their information processing  
185 will be governed externally, by those who manipulate vividness, accessibility, and salience of  
186 information (Stanovich, 2009), such as advertising companies in the modern market-based society.  
187 Thus far, the lack of awareness on own information processing had not threatened the economy,  
188 health, and survival, and had been overlooked in education. Now that it is generating considerable  
189 economic losses for the local and global communities, it will finally find its way to society-wide  
190 educational practices. We thus propose that developing a habit of taking stock of one's mental  
191 operations should become more prominent in the education of children, adolescents, and adults.

192 Better understanding of one's own information processing is a goal that demands concrete, structured  
193 action, with the concept of (ir)relevance of information at its core (Heine, 2006; Eysenbach, 2008;  
194 Hobbs and Jensen, 2009; Román et al., 2009; Olin-Scheller and Tengberg, 2017; Bajo et al., 2021).  
195 Identifying relevant information is key for critical and rational thinking, since changes of salient,  
196 irrelevant information can significantly impact human decision-making (i.e., framing effect;  
197 Stanovich, 2009). This skill has been championed by several scholars in recent years, pointing  
198 towards conditions in which students can efficiently take responsibility for handling access to  
199 information (Lankes, 2008; Weingarten, 2008; Rapp and McCrudden, 2018). Providing students with  
200 information of varying relevance and credibility, both in the classroom and in complex real-world  
201 contexts, gives them the best opportunity to determine on their own what matters regarding the  
202 information flow (Lankes, 2008; Weingarten, 2008; Rapp and McCrudden, 2018). Furthermore,  
203 delegating the responsibility for setting up own sub-goals, and selecting information without  
204 micromanaging instruction leads to more accurate relevance judgements (Heine, 2006). One way to  
205 achieve such tasks may be to construct self-regulated and metacognitive learning environments  
206 where students can figure out their own information processing with the help of technologies in  
207 learning analytics (e.g., Winne et al., 2019) and cooperation with interdisciplinary professionals.  
208 Completing such tasks may further build students' confidence in their own information processing  
209 abilities and steer them toward autonomous, critical thinking.

### 210 **3 Discussion**

211 Over two centuries ago, a French mathematician argued during the French Revolution that citizens  
212 need *savoir libérateur*, knowledge built on information raising their awareness of the state of society  
213 (De Condorcet, 1994; Engel, 2019). The concept of a well-informed citizen is not new (e.g., Schutz,  
214 1964; Strassheim, 2018), but it is, perhaps more than ever, pivotal for contemporary societies. The  
215 COVID-19 crisis showed that offering citizens universal access to information is insufficient, and,  
216 under some circumstances, detrimental to the common good. Global citizenship demands multiple



217 literacies, rational thinking, and awareness of own information processing<sup>1</sup>. Although broad views of  
 218 scientific literacy (Choi et al., 2011), critical statistical literacy (Engledowl and Weiland, 2021), and  
 219 civic statistics (Engel, 2019) have incorporated metacognitive aspects of such literacies, neither  
 220 rational thinking nor awareness of own information processing have been incorporated into 21<sup>st</sup>  
 221 century skills (Dede, 2009). This demands better integration into curricula for school-aged children  
 222 and adolescents, and informational interventions for adults.

223 Improving cognitive abilities or an overview of such abilities will not suffice when facing the  
 224 challenges of the 21<sup>st</sup> century. Therefore, beyond the curricula and interventions at a broader level,  
 225 we posit that readiness, relevance, and responsibility are important keywords for education in the  
 226 2020s, the decade of crucial decision-making that will shape the life of every individual in the global  
 227 community. Given that readiness for responsible citizenship relies on rational thinking, and that  
 228 overworked or emotionally burdened individuals may be more likely to engage in fast, heuristic  
 229 processing rather than slow, effortful processing of continuously incoming information (cf. Gillard et  
 230 al., 2009; Spiliopoulos et al., 2018), we suggest that children, adolescents, and adults are encouraged  
 231 to replace unhealthy, workaholic habits with healthy work-home balance (e.g., Deloitte Consulting,  
 232 2010; Bannai and Tamakoshi, 2014; Anxo et al., 2017; Anxo and Karlsson, 2019). Therefore,  
 233 unhealthy habits instilled in school-age children and adolescents through a tremendous amount of  
 234 schoolwork should be limited to foster intentional deployment of cognitive resources from an early  
 235 age. To our knowledge, this has not been investigated in the past, but future experimental studies  
 236 could, for instance, longitudinally track adults on a spectrum of such habits and their likelihood of  
 237 using heuristic vs. reflective processing of information. Scholars from educational, developmental,  
 238 and cognitive psychology need to assume this broad outlook on individual cognition, socioemotional  
 239 skills and socioeconomic pressures to collaboratively and holistically foster responsible citizenship  
 240 across all ages, from kindergartners to adults (see, e.g., Ellemers, 2021).

241 Literacy, rational thinking and work-life balance are vital parts of responsible citizenship, but it also  
 242 requires sufficient self-efficacy that shields individuals against overreliance on authority figures  
 243 (Eysenbach, 2008). Perceived lack of own impact on the society and non-meaningful, poorly paid  
 244 jobs or unpaid internships cannot build such self-efficacy in the young citizens. Therefore, we urge  
 245 adults to include young citizens in important decision-making, and to offer them meaningful, well-  
 246 paid opportunities to contribute to society and to shape the world of tomorrow. Arguably, young  
 247 citizens are leaving the educational system with better readiness for the contemporary digital world  
 248 than older generations (Wimmer and Draper, 2019) and have high stakes in responsible, well-  
 249 informed decision-making. However, without secure pay and a meaningful job, young citizens will  
 250 not be able to achieve financial and intellectual autonomy critical to their participation in society.

251 Universal access to information is a double-edged sword. It gives all citizens a chance to shape their  
 252 societies, but backfires without their readiness for responsible, well-reasoned choices. In this  
 253 Perspective, based on evidence from educational, cognitive, and developmental psychology, we  
 254 showed examples of concrete actions that can be taken by education stakeholders to foster citizen  
 255 readiness. Since the 21<sup>st</sup> century globalization will certainly continue to scale up poor individual

---

<sup>1</sup> Global citizenship, in fact, demands more than education of individuals. In this Perspective, we have focused on such education, but we acknowledge that education of individuals must be coupled with broader environmental and societal changes. On the environmental level, for instance, citizens need external support in rational decision-making, e.g., by offering them prospectively, not temporarily, beneficial default choices (like pension funds or savings plans; Stanovich, 2009). On the societal level, for example, open and universal access to evidence-based information should be facilitated world-wide in order to alleviate post-colonial inequalities in such access (Santoro Lamelas and Belli, 2018).

256 choices into international emergencies, citizen readiness, an essential remedy to such emergencies,  
257 should become a top priority for educational psychology.

#### 258 **4 Conflict of Interest**

259 The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial  
260 relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

#### 261 **5 Author Contributions**

262 K.B.: Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing – Original Draft, Writing - Review & Editing;  
263 Artwork. A. H.: Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing - Review & Editing, Artwork. J. H.:  
264 Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing - Review & Editing. S. G.: Conceptualization,  
265 Investigation, Writing - Review & Editing.

#### 266 **6 Funding**

267 All authors were supported by the University of Luxembourg in the absence of external funding.

#### 268 **7 References**

269 Agosto, D. E. (2002). A model of young people's decision-making in using the web. *Libr. Inform.*  
270 *Sci. Res.* 24, 311–41.

271 Anxo, D., Boulin J-Y., Cabrita J., and Vermeylen G. (2017). *Working time Patterns for sustainable*  
272 *work*. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union.

273 Anxo, D., and Karlsson, M. (2019). Overtime work a review of literature and initial empirical  
274 analysis. *ILO Working Papers* 995012793502676. International Labour Organization. Available at:  
275 [https://www.ilo.org/wcmsp5/groups/public/---ed\\_protect/---protrav/---](https://www.ilo.org/wcmsp5/groups/public/---ed_protect/---protrav/---travail/documents/publication/wcms_663072.pdf)  
276 [travail/documents/publication/wcms\\_663072.pdf](https://www.ilo.org/wcmsp5/groups/public/---ed_protect/---protrav/---travail/documents/publication/wcms_663072.pdf)

277 Bajo, M. T., Gómez-Ariza C. J., and Marful, A. (2021). Inhibitory control of information in memory  
278 across domains. *Curr. Dir. Psychol. Sci.* 30, 444-453. doi: 10.1177/09637214211039857

279 Bannai, A., and Tamakoshi, A. (2014). The association between long working hours and health: a  
280 systematic review of epidemiological evidence. *Scand. J. Work Environ. Health.* 40, 5-18. doi:  
281 10.5271/sjweh.3388.

282 Bernstein, B. (2000). *Pedagogy, symbolic control and identity: theory, research, critique*. Lanham,  
283 MD: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers.

284 Cervetti, G., Damico, J., and Pearson, P. D. (2006). Multiple literacies, new literacies, and teacher  
285 education. *Theory into Practice.* 45, 378-386. doi: 10.1207/s15430421tip4504\_12

286 Choi, K., Lee, H., Shin, N., Kim, S., and Krajcik, J. S. (2011). Re-conceptualization of scientific  
287 literacy in South Korea for the 21st century. *J. Res. Sci. Teach.* 48, 670-697. doi:  
288 10.1002/TEA.20424

289 De Condorcet, A. (1994). *Foundations of social choice and political theory*. Brookfield, VT, USA:  
290 Edward Elgar (Original published in 1792).

- 291 Dede, C. (2009). *Determining, developing, and assessing the capabilities of “future-ready” students*.  
292 Harvard University. Friday Institute White Paper Series, Number Two. Available at:  
293 [www.fi.ncsu.edu/whitepapers](http://www.fi.ncsu.edu/whitepapers)
- 294 Deloitte Consulting. (2010). “Annex 1 - study on health and safety aspects of working time”, in *Study*  
295 *to support an impact assessment on further action at European level regarding directive 2003/88/EC*  
296 *and the evolution of working time organization, Report on behalf of the European Commission*.  
297 Available at: [https://www.ilo.org/wcmsp5/groups/public/---ed\\_protect/---protrav/---](https://www.ilo.org/wcmsp5/groups/public/---ed_protect/---protrav/---travail/documents/publication/wcms_663072.pdf)  
298 [travail/documents/publication/wcms\\_663072.pdf](https://www.ilo.org/wcmsp5/groups/public/---ed_protect/---protrav/---travail/documents/publication/wcms_663072.pdf)
- 299 Duplaga, M., Sobecka, K., and Wójcik, S. (2019). The reliability and validity of the telephone-based  
300 and online Polish eHealth literacy scale based on two nationally representative samples. *Int. J.*  
301 *Environ. Res. Public Health*. 16: 3216. doi:10.3390/ijerph16173216
- 302 Eastin, M. S. (2008). “Toward a cognitive development approach to youth perceptions of credibility,”  
303 in *Digital media, youth, and credibility*, eds M. J. Metzger and A. J. Flanagin (Cambridge, MA: The  
304 MIT Press), 29–48. doi: 10.1162/dmal.9780262562324.029
- 305 Eastin, M. S., Yang, M. S., and Nathanson, A. (2006). Children of the net: an empirical exploration  
306 into the evaluation of internet content. *J. Broadcast. Electron. Media*. 50, 211–30.
- 307 Ellemers, N. (2021). Science as collaborative knowledge generation. *Br. J. Soc. Psychol.*, 60(1), 1-  
308 28.
- 309 Engel, J. (2017). Statistical literacy for active citizenship: a call for data science education. *Stat.*  
310 *Educ. Res. J.* 16, 44-49.
- 311 Engel, J. (2019). “Statistical literacy and society,” in *Actas del tercer congreso internacional virtual*  
312 *de educación estadística*, eds J. M. Contreras, M. M. Gea, M. M. López-Martín, and E. Molina-  
313 Portillo. Available at: [www.ugr.es/local/fqm126/civeest.html](http://www.ugr.es/local/fqm126/civeest.html)
- 314 Engledowl, C. and Weiland, T. (2021). Data (Mis)representation and COVID-19: leveraging  
315 misleading data visualizations for developing statistical literacy across grades 6–16. *J. Stat. Data*  
316 *Science Educ.* 29, 160-164. doi: 10.1080/26939169.2021.1915215
- 317 Eysenbach, G. (2008). “Credibility of health information and digital media: new perspectives and  
318 implications for youth,” in *Digital media, youth, and credibility*, eds M. J. Metzger and A. J. Flanagin  
319 (Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press), 123–154. doi: 10.1162/dmal.9780262562324.123
- 320 Flavell, J. H. (1976). “Metacognitive aspects of problem solving,” in *The nature of intelligence*, ed J.  
321 B. Rensnick (Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates), 231–235.
- 322 Gal, I. (2002). Adults’ statistical literacy: Meaning, components, responsibilities. *Intl. Stat. Rev.* 70,  
323 1–25.
- 324 Gapminder. (2022). <https://www.gapminder.org>
- 325 Gee, J. P. (2012). *Social linguistics and literacies. Ideology in discourse*. London, NY: Routledge  
326 Falmer.



- 327 Harris, F. J. (2008). "Challenges to teaching credibility assessment in contemporary schooling," in  
328 *Digital media, youth, and credibility*, eds M. J. Metzger and A. J. Flanagin (Cambridge, MA: The  
329 MIT Press), 155–180. doi: 10.1162/dmal.9780262562324.155
- 330 Gillard, E., Van Dooren, W., Schaeken, W., and Verschaffel, L. (2009). Proportional reasoning as a  
331 heuristic-based process: time constraint and dual task considerations. *Exp. Psychol.* 56(2), 92–99.  
332 doi: 10.1027/1618-3169.56.2.92
- 333 Heine, C. (2006). *Credibility in the context of searching*, unpublished white paper. Aurora, IL:  
334 Illinois Mathematics and Science Academy.
- 335 Hirsh, S. (1999). Children's relevance criteria and information seeking on electronic resources. *J.*  
336 *Am. Soc. Inform. Sci.* 50, 1265–83.
- 337 Hobbs, R., and Jensen, A. (2009). The past, present, and future of media literacy education. *J. Media*  
338 *Lit. Educ.* 1, 1-11.
- 339 Knight Commission Report. (2009). *Knight commission on the information needs of communities in*  
340 *a democracy: sustaining democracy in the digital age*. Washington, DC: The Aspen Institute.
- 341 Lankes, R. D. (2008). "Trusting the internet: new approaches to credibility tools," in *Digital media,*  
342 *youth, and credibility*, eds M. J. Metzger and A. J. Flanagin (Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press), 101–  
343 122. doi: 10.1162/dmal.9780262562324.101
- 344 Lankshear C., and Knobel, M. (2006). *New literacies: everyday practices and classroom learning*  
345 (2nd ed.). New York: Open University Press.
- 346 Leu, D. J., Kinzer, C. K., Coiro, J., Castek, J., and Henry, L. A. (2017). New literacies: a dual-level  
347 theory of the changing nature of literacy, instruction, and assessment. *J. Educ.* 197, 1-18. doi:  
348 10.1177/002205741719700202
- 349 Loh, K. K., and Kanai, R. (2015). How has the internet reshaped human cognition? *The*  
350 *Neuroscientist.* 22, 506-520. doi: 10.1177/1073858415595005
- 351 MacDonald, N. E., and the SAGE Working Group on Vaccine Hesitancy. (2015). Vaccine hesitancy:  
352 definition, scope and determinants. *Vaccine.* 33, 4161-4164. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2015.04.036
- 353 Marulis, L. M., Palincsar, A. S., Berhenke, A. L., and Whitebread, D. (2016). Assessing  
354 metacognitive knowledge in 3–5 year olds: the development of a metacognitive knowledge interview  
355 (McKI). *Metacogn. Learn.* 11, 339–368. doi: 10.1007/s11409-016-9157-7
- 356 Montagni, I., Mebarki, A., Texier, N., Schück, S., Tzourio, S., and the CONFINS group. (2021).  
357 Acceptance of a Covid-19 vaccine is associated with ability to detect fake news and health literacy. *J.*  
358 *Public Health.* 43, 695-702. doi: 10.1093/pubmed/fdab028
- 359 Neumann, C. (2016). Teaching digital natives: promoting information literacy and addressing  
360 instructional challenges. *Reading Improvement.* 53, 101-106.
- 361 Okuhara, T., Ishikawa, H., Okada, H., Ueno, H., and Kiuchi, T. (2020). Dual-process theories to  
362 counter the anti-vaccination movement. *Prev. Med. Rep.* 20, 1-3. doi: 10.1016/j.pmedr.2020.101205

- 363 Olin-Scheller, C., and Tengberg, M. (2017). Teaching and learning critical literacy at secondary  
364 school: the importance of metacognition. *Lang. Educ.* 31, 418-431, doi:  
365 10.1080/09500782.2017.1305394
- 366 Organization for Economic and Cooperation and Development [OECD]. (2000). *Literacy in the*  
367 *Information Age. Final Report of the International Adult Literacy Survey*. Available at:  
368 <https://www.oecd.org/education/skills-beyond-school/41529765.pdf>
- 369 Organization for Economic and Cooperation and Development [OECD]. (2004). “Scientific literacy,”  
370 in *The Routledge Falmer reader in science education*, ed J. Gilbert (London and New York:  
371 Routledge Falmer, Taylor and Francis Group), 39–52.
- 372 Patil, U., Kostareva, U., Hadley, M., Manganello, J. A., Okan, O., Dadaczynski, K., Massey, P. M.,  
373 Agner, J., and Sentell, T. (2021). Health literacy, digital health literacy, and COVID-19 pandemic  
374 attitudes and behaviors in U.S. college students: implications for interventions. *Int. J. Environ. Res.*  
375 *Public Health.* 18, 3301. doi:10.3390/ijerph18063301
- 376 Podkul, A., Vittert, L., Tranter, S., and Alduncin, A. (2020). The Coronavirus exponential: a  
377 preliminary investigation into the public’s understanding. *Harvard Data Sci. Rev.* doi:  
378 10.1162/99608f92.fec69745
- 379 Prensky, M. (2001). Digital natives, digital immigrants. *On The Horizon.* 9, 1-6. Available at:  
380 [https://www.marcprensky.com/writing/Prensky%20-](https://www.marcprensky.com/writing/Prensky%20-%20Digital%20Natives,%20Digital%20Immigrants%20-%20Part1.pdf)  
381 [%20Digital%20Natives,%20Digital%20Immigrants%20-%20Part1.pdf](https://www.marcprensky.com/writing/Prensky%20-%20Digital%20Natives,%20Digital%20Immigrants%20-%20Part1.pdf)
- 382 Rapp, D. N., and McCrudden, M. T. (2018). “Relevance before, during, and after discourse  
383 experiences,” in *Relevance and irrelevance: theories, factors, and challenges*, eds J. Strassheim and  
384 H. Nasu (Berlin: De Gruyter), 141-160.
- 385 Román, P., Soriano, M. F., Gómez-Ariza, C. J., and Bajo, M. T. (2009). Retrieval-induced forgetting  
386 and executive control. *Psychol. Sci.* 20, 1053–1058. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-9280.2
- 387 Santoro Lamelas, V., and Belli, S. (2018). Digital communication in scientific practices: Limitations  
388 and possibilities for equality. *Prisma Soc.*, 20, 316-332. Available at:  
389 <https://revistaprismasocial.es/article/view/2338/2598>
- 390 Schutz, A. (1964). “The well-informed citizen: an essay on the social distribution of knowledge,” in  
391 *A. Schutz: collected Papers 2: studies in social theory*, ed A. Brodersen (The Hague: Martinus  
392 Nijhoff), 120–134.
- 393 Shenton, A. K., and Dixon, P. (2004). Issues arising from youngsters’ information-seeking behavior.  
394 *Libr. Inf. Sci. Res.* 26, 177–200. doi: 10.29173/lirg172
- 395 Şimşek, E., and Şimşek, A. (2013). New literacies for digital citizenship. *Contemp. Educ. Technol.* 4,  
396 126-137. doi: 10.30935/CEDETECH/6097
- 397 Skolverket, The Swedish National Agency for Education. (2011). *Läroplan för grundskolan,*  
398 *förskoleklassen och fritidshemmet 2011 (Curricula for compulsory school, pre-school and after-*  
399 *school activities 2011)*. Stockholm, Sweden: Skolverket & Fritzes.

- 400 Spiliopoulos, L., Ortmann, A., and Zhang, L. (2018). Complexity, attention, and choice in games  
401 under time constraints: a process analysis. *J. Exp. Psychol. Learn. Mem. Cogn.* 44(10), 1609-1640.  
402 doi: 10.1037/xlm0000535. Epub 2018 Sep 10. PMID: 30198724.
- 403 Spiro, R. J., Collins, B. P., and Thota, J. J. (2003). Cognitive flexibility theory: hypermedia for  
404 complex learning, Adaptive Knowledge Application, and Experience Acceleration. *Educ. Techn.* 43,  
405 5–10.
- 406 Stanovich, K. E. (2009). *What intelligence tests miss: the psychology of rational thought*. Yale  
407 University Press.
- 408 Stanovich, K. E. (2018). How to think rationally about world problems. *J. Intell.* 6, 25.  
409 doi:10.3390/jintelligence6020025
- 410 Strassheim, J. (2018). “Relevance and irrelevance,” in *Relevance and irrelevance: theories, factors*  
411 *and challenges*, eds J. Strassheim and H. Nasu (Berlin, Boston: De Gruyter), 1-18. doi:  
412 10.1515/9783110472509-001
- 413 Sundar, S. S. (2008). “The MAIN model: a heuristic approach to understanding technology effects on  
414 credibility, ” in *Digital media, youth, and credibility*, eds M. J. Metzger and A. J. Flanagin  
415 (Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press), 123–154. doi: 10.1162/dmal.9780262562324.073
- 416 Turhan, Z., Dilcen, H. Y., and Dolu, İ. (2021). The mediating role of health literacy on the  
417 relationship between health care system distrust and vaccine hesitancy during COVID-19 pandemic.  
418 *Curr. Psychol.* 1-10. doi: 10.1007/s12144-021-02105-8
- 419 Valenza, J. K. (2006). *They might be gurus*. *Teacher librarian.* 34, 18+. Available at:  
420 [https://link.gale.com/apps/doc/A152074106/AONE?u=anon~c3a75232&sid=googleScholar&xid=cd](https://link.gale.com/apps/doc/A152074106/AONE?u=anon~c3a75232&sid=googleScholar&xid=cd054ae1)  
421 [054ae1](https://link.gale.com/apps/doc/A152074106/AONE?u=anon~c3a75232&sid=googleScholar&xid=cd054ae1)
- 422 Van Deursen A. J. A. M., Görzig, A., van Delzen, M., Perik, H. T. M., and Stegeman, A. G. (2014).  
423 Primary school children’s internal skills: a report on performance tests of operational, formal,  
424 information, and strategic internal skills. *Int. J. Commun.* 8, 1343-1365.
- 425 Watson, J. S. (1998). “If you don’t have it, you can’t find it.” A close look at students’ perceptions of  
426 using technology. *J. Am. Soc. Inform. Sci.* 49, 1024–1036.
- 427 Weiland, T. (2017). Problematizing statistical literacy: an intersection of critical and statistical  
428 literacies. *Educ. Stud. Math.* 96, 33-47. doi: 10.1007/S10649-017-9764-5
- 429 Weingarten, F. W. (2008). “Credibility, politics, and public policy,” in *Digital media, youth, and*  
430 *credibility*, eds M. J. Metzger and A. J. Flanagin (Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press), 181–202. doi:  
431 10.1162/dmal.9780262562324.181
- 432 Wimmer, J. J., and Draper, R. J. (2019). Insiders’ views of new literacies, schooling, and the purpose  
433 of education: “we should be teaching them more important things”. *Read. Psychol.* 40, 149-168, doi:  
434 10.1080/02702711.2019.1607000
- 435 Wineburg, S., McGrew, S., Breakstone, J., and Ortega, T. (2016). *Evaluating information: the*  
436 *cornerstone of civic online reasoning*. Available at: <http://purl.stanford.edu/fv751yt5934>

437 Winne, P. H., Teng, K., Chang, D., Lin, M. P-C., Marzouk, Z., Nesbit, J. C., Patzak, A., Raković, M.,  
 438 Samadi, D., and Vytasek, J. (2019). nStudy: software for learning analytics about learning processes  
 439 and self-regulated learning. *J. Lear. Anal.* 6, 95-106. doi: 10.18608/jla.2019.62.7

440 World Health Organization [WHO]. (2019). *Ten threats to global health in 2019*. Available at:  
 441 <https://www.who.int/emergencies/ten-threats-to-global-health-in-2019>

442 World Health Organization [WHO]. (2020). *Managing the COVID-19 infodemic: promoting healthy*  
 443 *behaviours and mitigating the harm from misinformation and disinformation. A joint statement by*  
 444 *WHO, UN, UNICEF, UNDP, UNESCO, UNAIDS, ITU, UN Global Pulse, and IFRC*. Available at:  
 445 [https://www.who.int/news/item/23-09-2020-managing-the-covid-19-infodemic-promoting-healthy-](https://www.who.int/news/item/23-09-2020-managing-the-covid-19-infodemic-promoting-healthy-behaviours-and-mitigating-the-harm-from-misinformation-and-disinformation)  
 446 [behaviours-and-mitigating-the-harm-from-misinformation-and-disinformation](https://www.who.int/news/item/23-09-2020-managing-the-covid-19-infodemic-promoting-healthy-behaviours-and-mitigating-the-harm-from-misinformation-and-disinformation)

447 Yamagishi, K. (1997). When a 12.86% mortality is more dangerous than 24.14%: implications for  
 448 risk communication. *Appl. Cogn. Psychol.* 11, 495–506. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1099-  
 449 0720(199712)11:6<495::AID-ACP481>3.0.CO;2-J

## 450 **8 Data Availability Statement**

451 No datasets were generated within this research.

## 452 **9 Figure Captions**

453 **Figure 1. The proposed model of the relationship between literacies and readiness for**  
 454 **navigating the information landscape, moderated by rational thinking and awareness of own**  
 455 **information processing.** Here, literacies are defined as “competencies and dispositions that support  
 456 individual comprehension and use of information in daily activities”; rational thinking as “reflective,  
 457 effortful processing of information”; and awareness of own information processing as “using  
 458 psychological knowledge to take stock of own mental operations”. Healthy work-home balance and  
 459 self-efficacy are also components of the educational sphere that may contribute to readiness for  
 460 navigating the information landscape.