## **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**



1

# A pilot study on bacterial isolates associated with purulent vaginal discharge in dairy cows in the south-west region of Western Australia

PA Ludbey,<sup>a</sup> S Sahibzada,<sup>a,b</sup> CH Annandale,<sup>a</sup> ID Robertson,<sup>a,c</sup> FK Waichigo,<sup>d</sup> MS Tufail,<sup>a</sup> JL Valenzuela<sup>a</sup> and JW Aleri<sup>a,b,e</sup>\* (D)

This study aimed to determine the bacterial isolates associated with postpartum endometritis among dairy cows in Western Australia and their antimicrobial susceptibility profiles. A crosssectional study was conducted between June-October 2020. Endometritis was defined as evidence of mucopurulent to purulent vaginal discharge 60-100 days postpartum. Vaginal discharge samples were obtained, cultured, identified and tested for antimicrobial susceptibility. A total of 118 bacterial isolates were grown from 46 animals, representing 36 species. The bacteria isolated from both aerobic and anaerobic cultures included Bacillus (60.2%), Streptococcus (12.7%), Trueperella (10.1%), Escherichia (6.7%) and Staphylococcus (5.9%). The remaining genera <5% were Histophilus, Aeroccocus, Enterococcus and Moraxella. Resistance was variable between isolates, but the highest resistance levels were observed in Streptococcal and Bacillus isolates to enrofloxacin, clindamycin and erythromycin, respectively. All Streptococcal isolates exhibited 100% resistance to enrofloxacin, and the greatest resistance levels were found in Streptococcus luteinises to trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole 83%, clindamycin 66% and 33% quinupristin-dalfopristin. There was 84.5% resistance to clindamycin and 35.2% to erythromycin in the Bacillus isolates, with the highest resistance found in Bacillus licheniformis and Bacillus subtilis. Escherichia coli exhibited 12.5% resistance to gentamycin, ceftiofur, whereas amoxicillinclavulanic acid exhibited 37.5%. Within the Staphylococcal isolates, 28.5%, 28.5%, 42.8% and 14.2% resistance to ceftiofur, erythromycin, cefoxitin, penicillin and tetracycline were observed, respectively. The presence of resistance to important antimicrobials for human use, such as cephalosporins, macrolides and fluoroquinolones, highlights the need for judicious use of antimicrobials in dairy cattle.

**Keywords** antimicrobial resistance; bacteria; dairy cattle; endometritis

Aust Vet J 2022	doi: 10.1111/avj.13152

\*Corresponding author., Email: j.aleri@murdoch.edu.au

<sup>e</sup>Centre for Animal Production and Health, Future Foods Institute, Murdoch University, Murdoch, Western Australia, 6150, Australia

ndometritis a form of purulent vaginal discharge is characterised by persistent bacterial infection of the uterus, delaying return to oestrus in the postpartum period in 15%– 40% of dairy cows and subsequent infertility.<sup>1-3</sup> Substantial economic losses can occur in the dairy industry as a result of infection of the uterus from the cost of treatment, reduced fertility, and cost of replacing culled animals.<sup>4-6</sup> Common treatment options for purulent vaginal discharge centre around the use of prostaglandin analogues and antimicrobials.<sup>7-9</sup> Antimicrobial use in the treatment of purulent vaginal discharge has come into question partly because of the growing prevalence of antimicrobial resistance (AMR).<sup>10-12</sup> AMR can occur with any antimicrobial use, and it's more likely to occur with overuse of broad-spectrum antimicrobials and low dosing or inappropriate dosage length.<sup>10, 13, 14</sup> Spontaneous recovery has also been documented,<sup>15, 16</sup> and post-treatment improvement infertility is variable. The aetiological agents causing purulent vaginal discharge in dairy cattle include Escherichia coli, Trueperella pyogenes, Fusobacterium necrophorum and Prevotella melaninogenicus.<sup>17-20</sup> Although these bacterial pathogens are commonly associated with endometritis, no specific combination of organisms has been consistently identified. Many other bacteria, including Streptococcus spp., Staphylococcus spp., Bacteroides spp., Bacillus spp. and Clostridial spp., have also been isolated.<sup>19-21</sup> Understanding the bacterial species found in purulent vaginal discharge and their antimicrobial susceptibility profiles is necessary to establish appropriate antimicrobial stewardship practices to slow the AMR rate. This study endeavours to determine the bacterial pathogens and susceptibility profiles present in cases of purulent vaginal discharge found in Western Australian dairy herds.

## Materials and methods

## Study approval, location and design

This is a cross-sectional study of six farms conducted in dairy herds located in the south-west region of Western Australia between June–October 2020. Farms were selected based on herd availability. This study was approved by the animal ethics committee at Murdoch University (permit no. R3238/20).

## Study populations, selection of study farms and animals

Sixty-four animals from six farms were selected for this study from 125 Holstein Friesian dairy cows at 60–100 days postpartum, based on nonpregnancy and evidence of mucopurulent to purulent vaginal discharge. This window criterion was deemed reasonable

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>School of Veterinary Medicine, Murdoch University, Murdoch, Western Australia, 6150, Australia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Antimicrobial Resistance and Infectious Diseases Research Laboratory, Murdoch University, Murdoch, Western Australia, 6150, Australia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>College of Veterinary Medicine, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan, Hubei, 430070, China

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>Brunswick Veterinary Services, Brunswick Junction, Western Australia, 6224, Australia

considering a year-round calving pattern and 80 days submission rate as an important reproductive index. The sampled animals were from six farming properties, all with pasture-based production systems. A vaginal discharge score (VDS) was assigned to each animal, following the 0–3 scale system established by Williams et al.<sup>22</sup> This system defines as VDS 0 animals with no mucus or clear mucus, VDS 1 animals with a discharge containing flecks of white or offwhite pus, VDS 2 animals with discharge containing less than 50% white or off-white mucopurulent pus and VDS 3 animals with discharge containing more than 50% white or yellow purulent pus.

#### General data collection

Each animal was sampled using a sterile Metricheck device (Simcro, Hamilton, New Zealand).<sup>23</sup> The perineal area was gently scrubbed using a 7.5% Iodine scrub (Vetsense PVP-iodine scrub, Mulgrave, NSW, Aust) and water before drying with a paper towel. After that, the perineal area was disinfected with 70% Isopropyl alcohol. Each sample was placed into a labelled sterile collection pot.

#### Sample processing

Laboratory investigation was undertaken at Murdoch University. A 10  $\mu$ L sample of endometrial fluid was pipetted on to plates containing media of Muller-Hinton Agar (MHA) + 5% Sheep Blood (SB) and streaked using a sterile 10  $\mu$ L inoculating loop. This process was repeated twice for each sample to obtain both aerobic and anaerobic cultures. Anaerobic samples were placed in an airtight jar with Anaerogen<sup>TM</sup> sachets (Oxoid<sup>TM</sup>). Both sample types (aerobic and anaerobic) were then placed in an incubator at 37°C for 24 h.

#### Identification of colonies

Colonies were identified according to their morphological characteristics, growth pattern, shape, colour, and haemolytic properties. Further, the identified individual colonies were isolated and recultured using a 1 µL sterile inoculating loop on MHA + 5% SB media plates. In rare cases, where initial bacteriology revealed no growth, the samples were re-cultured again. A sample was assigned as negative if there was no growth after two rounds of incubation (each for 24 h). The obtained fresh pure colonies were run through Matrix Assisted Laser Desorption Ionization Time of Flight Mass Spectrometry (MALDI-TOF) for species identification using manufacturing methods. Bacterial isolates with a MALDI-TOF score  $\geq$ 1.80 were interpreted as a reliable identification and thus used for further antimicrobial susceptibility testing, while isolates with a score <1.8 were considered unreliable and hence excluded from further testing.

## Antimicrobial susceptibility testing

Once the pure colonies were isolated and identified, a disk diffusion antibiotic test was performed using either MHA or MHA + 5% SB in the case of *streptococci*. A single pure colony was picked with a sterile 1  $\mu$ L loop and added into sterile 0.9% sodium chloride solution. The mixture was then resuspended via hand mixing and vortexing to reach a turbidity of the 0.5 McFarland Turbidity Standard.<sup>24</sup> A sterile cotton tip was used to inoculate MHA plates. For fastidious organisms, 5% Sheep Blood Mueller-Hinton agar and chocolate agar plates were used. The antibiotic disks were then

applied with the help of a disk dispenser, and the plates were then incubated at  $37^\circ C$  for 24 h under either anaerobic or aerobic conditions.

Antimicrobial susceptibility was tested for 12 antibiotics; amoxicillin-clavulanate 10/20 µg (AMC), ceftiofur 30 µg (CER), cefoxitin 30 µg (FOX), clindamycin 2 µg (CLI), chloramphenicol 30 µg (CHL), erythromycin 15 µg (ERY), enrofloxacin 5 µg (ENR), gentamicin 10 µg (GEN), penicillin 10 µg (PEN), quinupristindalfopristin 15 µg (QD), tetracycline 30 µg (TET) and trimethoprimsulfamethoxazole 25 µg (SXT). Diffusion disks were purchased from Thermo Scientific<sup>TM</sup> (Oxoid<sup>TM</sup>, Massachusetts, USA).

Disk zone diameters were read after 24 h of incubation using digital callipers. The diameter across each antibiotic disk was measured and recorded. Bacterial growth inhibition was then evaluated, and results were categorised as resistant or susceptible. Antimicrobial susceptibility results were interpreted using Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines.<sup>25</sup> All intermediate resistance isolates were considered susceptible for prevalence estimation. A total of 36 different species were isolated and tested against 12 commonly used antimicrobials. Of these, three species *T. pyogenes, Moraxella bovis* and *Aeroccocus viridians* had no standards for comparison or were intrinsically resistant to all the antimicrobials tested. As such, a table depicting the zone diameters for each is included (see Appendix Tables A1 and B1).

#### Results

#### General descriptions

Samples were obtained from six farms. The total number of cows sampled on each farm was as follows; farm 1 n = 3, farm 2 n = 5, farm 3 n = 9, farm 4 n = 1, farm 5 n = 25 and farm 6 n = 24. A total of 67 cows with variable vaginal discharge scores (VDS) were sampled. Of these, 46 cows had growth, and 21 had negative growth. VDS was recorded for each animal and ranged from 0 to  $3^{22}$  Of all isolates, 42.8% came from animals with a VDS of 0, 22.6% with a VDS of 1, 6.7% VDS 2 and 27.7% from VDS 3 (Table 1).

#### **Bacterial isolates**

A total of 118 bacterial isolates were grown from the 46 positive samples with more than one isolate in each sample, representing 36 different microorganisms. The main microorganisms isolated in this study were Bacillus (60.2%; 71/118), Streptococcus (12.7%, 15/118), Trueperella (10.1%, 12/118), Escherichia (6.7%, 8/118) and Staphylococcus (5.9%, 7/118). The remaining making up <5% were Histophilus, Aeroccocus, Enterococcus and Moraxella. Bacillus spp. was composed of 18 different species. The most predominant were B. licheniformis 42.8% (30/70), B. subtilus 12.8% (9/70), Bacillus altitudinis 7.1% (5/70), Bacillus borstenlensis 7.1% (5/70) and Bacillus sonorensis 5.7% (4/70) the rest were a mix of 11 species making up 24.2% (17/70). Streptococcus was the next common species isolated and was composed Streptococcus luteinises 40% (6/15), Streptococcus pluranimalium 33.3% (5/15) and Streptococcus uberis 13.3% (2/15) and singular isolates of Streptococcus alactolyticus 6.6% (1/15) and Streptococcus equinus 6.6% (1/15). The Staphylococcus species was composed of Staphylococcus microti, Staphylococcus

	Vaginal discharge score											
	Sc	ore 0	Sc	ore 1	Sc	core 2	Score 3 27.70% n = 33					
% of total cows sampled	42	.80%	22	.60%	6	.70%						
	n	= 51	n	= 27	n	= 8						
Bacterial species	No	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%				
Bacillus spp.	42	82%	13	48%	5	62.5%	11	33.3%				
Escherichia spp.	1	2%	3	11%	0	0%	4	12.1%				
Staphylococcal spp.	3	5.8%	3	11%	0	0%	1	3.00%				
Streptococcal spp.	3	5.8%	7	26%	3	37.5%	4	12.1%				
Trueperella spp.	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	12	36.3%				
Histophilus spp.	1	2%	1	3.7%	0	0%	0	0%				
Aeroccocus spp.	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%				
Enterococcus spp.	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	3%				

Table 1. Vaginal discharge scores collected from dairy cows with endometritis and percentage of isolated bacteria derived from each score

N, number of cows sampled from each vaginal discharge score; no., number of isolates within each genus; %, percentage of each genus isolated from each vaginal discharge score.

Streptococcus spp.	S. Plura	nimalium	S. 1	Lutetiensis	S. (	Jberis	S. e	quinus	S.alac	S.alactolyticus	
	Overall (n = 5)		Overall (n = 6)		Overall (n = 2)		Overall $(n = 1)$		Overall $(n = 1)$		
Antimicrobial	No.	R%	No.	R%	No.	R%	No.	R%	No.	R%	
Amoxicillin-clavulanate	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Ceftiofur	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Chloramphenicol	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Clindamycin	0	0%	4	66.60%	0	0%	1	0%	1	100%	
Enrofloxacin	5	100%	6	100%	2	100%	1	100%	1	100%	
Erythromycin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Cefoxitin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Gentamicin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Penicillin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Quinupristin- dalfopristin	0	0%	2	33.30%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole	1	20%	5	83.30%	1	50%	0	0%	1	100%	
Tetracycline	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

Table 2. Percentage of resistance to 12 antimicrobials within Streptococcal species isolated from dairy cattle with endometritis

R%, percentage of resistance within each species; n, sample size of each species; No., number of resistant isolates within each species.

warneri, Staphylococcus equorum, Staphylococcus hyicus, Staphylococcus hominis, Staphylococcus kloosi and Staphylococcus chromogenes, with each species being isolated once. *T. pyogenes* was isolated 12 times, and *E. coli* 8 times. Three additional species were isolated in low abundance and included *Histophilus somni*, Aeroccocus viridans, M. bovis and Enterococcus hirae.

## Antimicrobial susceptibility

*Streptococcus* was composed of five species (Table 2), with all isolates 100% (15/15) resistant to ENR, 60% (9/15) SXT, 46.6% (7/15) CLI,

13.3% (2/15) resistant to QD, 6% resistant to TET and ERY. No resistance was found to AMC, CER, CHL or PEN. At an individual species level (Table 2) the greatest resistance levels were found in *S. luteinises*, which was isolated 6 times with resistance found in all six to ENR 100% (6/6), SXT 83% (5/6), CLI 66% (4/6) and 33% (2/6) to QD. On a species level (Table 3), 85% (60/70) of the *Bacillus* isolates were resistant to CLI, and 35% (25/70) resistance was found to ERY.

On an individual species level (Table 4), the highest resistance levels were found in *B. licheniformis* to CLI (96.6%, 29/30) and ERY

Genus	AMC	CER	CHL	CLI	ENR	ERY	FOX	GEN	PEN	QD	SXT	TET
Bacillus	0	0	0	60	0	25	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enterococcus	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Escherichia	3	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Histophilus	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Staphylococcus	0	2	0	0	0	2	3	0	3	0	0	1
Streptococcus	0	0	0	7	16	1	0	0	0	2	9	1

## Table 3. Total number of resistant isolates per genus from dairy cattle with endometritis

AMC, amoxicillin-clavulanate; CER, ceftiofur, SI; CHL, chloramphenicol; CLI, clindamycin; ENR, enrofloxacin; ERY, erythromycin, YES; FOX, cefoxitin; GEN, gentamicin; PEN, penicillin, Cows; QD, quinupristin-dalfopristin; SXT, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, cows; TET, tetracycline.

	B. licheniformis Overall $n = 30$		B. subtilis Overall $n = 9$		B. sor	norensis	Brevibacillus	borstenlensis	Bacillus – 14spp		
Bacillus spp.					Overall n = 4		Overa	ll n = 5	Overall $n = 22$		
Antimicrobial	No.	R%	No.	R%	No.	R%	No.	R%	No.	R%	
Clindamycin Erythromycin	29 11	96.6% 36.6%	7	63.6% 27%	3 1	75% 25%	3 0	60% 0%	18 10	82% 45.4%	

R%, percentage of resistance within each species; N, sample size of each species; No., number of resistant isolates within each species.

Table 5. Percentage of resistance to 12 antimicrobials within <i>Staphylococcal</i> species isolated from dairy cattle with endometritis	Table 5. Percentage of	of resistance to 1	2 antimicrobials within	Staphylococcal	species isolated from	dairy cattle with endometritis
--	------------------------	--------------------	-------------------------	----------------	-----------------------	--------------------------------

Staphylococcal spp.	S. microti Overall (n = 1)		S. warneri Overall (n = 1)		S. Eq	S. Equorum		S. Hyicus		S. hominis		Kloosii	S. Chromogenes	
					Overall $(n = 1)$		Overall $(n = 1)$		Overall $(n = 1)$		Overall $(n = 1)$		Overall $(n = 1)$	
Antimicrobial	No.	R%	No.	R%	No.	R%	No.	R%	No.	R%	No.	R%	No.	R%
Ceftiofur	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%
Erythromycin	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Cefoxitin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%	1	100%
Penicillin	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%	0	0%
Tetracycline	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

R%, percentage of resistance within each species; N, sample size of each species; No., number of resistant isolates within each species.

(36.6%, 11/30) and the next highest resistance levels found in *B. subtilis* to CLI (77.7%, 7/9) and ERY (33.3%, 3/9). *Staphylococcus* was composed of seven different species (Table 5). Of these 28.5% (2/7) had resistance to both CER and ERY, and 14.2% were resistant to TET (1/7), 42.8% (3/7) were resistant to PEN and FOX (Table 5). FOX and TET resistance is indicative of methicillin resistance within these Staphylococcal species. *Escherichia* species (Table 3) comprised only *E. coli*, of which 3/8 (37.5%) of those isolated were resistant to AMC. The remaining 62.5% (5/8) were susceptible to the eight antimicrobials. Individual isolates within the 37.5% resistant to AMC, were also resistant to CER (12.5%), FOX (12.5%), and GEN (12.5%) (Table 6).

*Enterococcus* species constituted one isolate of *E. hirae*, which showed resistance to CLI and susceptibility to all remaining antimicrobials tested. *Histophilus* species constituted of two isolates of *H. somni* and was susceptible to all tested antimicrobials with available standards CER, CHL, ENR and GEN.

	Escherichia coli				
Escherichia spp.	Overall n = 8				
Antimicrobial	No.	R%			
Amoxicillin-clavulanate	3	37.5%			
Ceftiofur	1	12.5%			
Chloramphenicol	0	0%			
Enrofloxacin	0	0%			
Cefoxitin	1	12.5%			
Gentamicin	1	12.5%			
Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole	0	0%			
Tetracycline	0	0%			

 Table 6. Percentage of resistance to antimicrobials within Escherichia

 species isolated from dairy cattle with endometritis

Value in bold indicates statistical significance at P = 0.05; R%, the percentage of resistance within each species; N, sample size of each species; No., number of resistant isolates within each species.

## Discussion

To our knowledge no previous studies on the uterine bacteria regarding endometritis cases have been undertaken within Australia dairy herds. Very little is known about the bacterial populations that predominate in Australia. Antimicrobial therapy is therefore being used with poor understanding of the etiological agents being treated and their susceptibility to antimicrobial therapies.

Bacillus spp. was the most abundant group isolated in this study. Bacillus spp. are gram positive endospore forming bacteria, ubiquitous in the environment and commonly isolated from dairy farms in feed, environment and milk.<sup>26, 27</sup> Bacillus spp. are accepted as opportunistic uterine pathogens<sup>22</sup> and are commonly isolated in studies investigating the uterine microbiome.<sup>21, 28</sup> However, their role in disease is not fully understood.<sup>21</sup> B. licheniformis has been indicated in bovine abortions,<sup>21</sup> increased inflammatory mediators are produced in response to in vitro application of Bacillus pumilis<sup>29</sup> and Bacillus cereus has been isolated from necrotising placentitis causing abortion in cattle.<sup>30</sup> In this study Bacillus spp. were isolated from all VDS scores, in both mixed populations and as singular isolates. Such a broad presence supports an opportunistic or contaminant role for these bacteria, potentially due to a high environmental load and exposure at calving. It has been demonstrated that T. pyogenes, E.coli and F. necrophorum are the key aetiological agents in endometritis.<sup>31-33</sup> In this study, a low abundance of *T. pyogenes* and E. coli were isolated, and F. necrophorum was not isolated at all. Potentially our data may have been limited by the ability of fastidious organisms to grow by the methods used or due to the way our inclusion criteria was defined. All T. pyogenes isolates were obtained from VDS scores of 3. Our study confirmed the findings of other authors, which found T. pyogenes isolates associated with a vaginal discharge score of 3.<sup>22, 34</sup> This finding suggests that these cattle were suffering from clinical endometritis in comparison to those cattle with a VDS of 0-1. During the first week postpartum E. coli has been the predominant bacteria observed by other authors, our study

agrees with this finding due to the low numbers of E. coli isolated at 60-100 days PP.35 Streptococcal spp. were the second most isolated genre in this study, these bacteria are a common pathogen associated with endometritis in mares, specifically Streptococcus zooepidemicus<sup>36</sup> but have not been indicated as a primary pathogen in cattle. The Streptococcal spp. isolated in this study were all alpha haemolytic species with the exception of S. uberis, a known pathogen associated with mastitis.<sup>37</sup> Infection in cattle with alpha haemolytic Streptococcal spp. has been associated with an increase in neutrophil recruitment early postpartum, and is negatively associated with infection with T. pyogenes.<sup>38, 39</sup> Therefore, it is unlikely that the Streptococcal spp. isolated are causing disease and are likely commensals or contaminants. The Staphylococcal species isolated are considered opportunistic pathogens,<sup>40</sup> with the exception is *Staphy*lococcus aureus which was not isolated in this study but has been identified as a potential pathogen involved in endometritis.<sup>40</sup>

Considering that exposure to antimicrobials is key to the development of resistance, it is relevant to point out that some bacterial species showed resistance against antimicrobials not used in cattle in Australia and susceptibility to antimicrobials traditionally administered to Australian cattle. For example, Bacillus showed some resistance to clindamycin (not used in Australian cattle) and susceptibility to all antimicrobials commonly used in cattle in Australia, except for erythromycin (amoxicillin-clavulanate, ceftiofur, penicillin and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole). Similarly, Streptococcus showed different resistance levels against several antimicrobials not used in Australian cattle, like clindamycin, enrofloxacin, quinupristin-dalfopristin and tetracycline. The main results of clinical importance, even in such a small sample size, are the resistance found to ENR in all species of Streptococcus and Enterococcus isolated. ENR is fluroquinolone, a reserve class antimicrobial, not labelled or licensed for food-producing animals such as dairy cattle in Australia. As declared by the Australian Pesticides Veterinary Medicines Authority, and yet resistance was found in all species isolated in this study. ENR belong to the antimicrobial class, which is classified as a critically important antimicrobial in human medicine.<sup>41</sup> Although ENR is not used in humans, there is the potential to select for cross-resistance to antimicrobials commonly used for human therapies.<sup>41</sup> Low to intermediate resistance to fluoroquinolones has been reported in veterinary streptococci but is rare.<sup>37</sup> Fluoroquinolone resistance is mainly caused by selection pressure arising from the use of fluoroquinolones that cause specific mutations in the chromosomal genes known as quinolone-resistance determining region (QRDR) of the gyrase and/or topoisomerase IV genes (gyrA, parC) that spread through horizontal transmission. Whereas the low level resistance is possible due to resistance carriage on plasmids such as qnrs. Therefore, further whole genome sequencing can be useful to understand the possible association of this antibiotics with mutation or plasmid carriage. Growing fluoroquinolone resistance has been observed in North America and in Europe, where use is allowed.<sup>37, 42</sup> Interestingly, in this study, isolates were distributed amongst the six farms, suggesting that resistance could potentially be widely distributed amongst farms within the south-west of Western Australia. Due to the small sample size assessed in this study, it would be prudent for further research to investigate this finding. Multidrug resistance was found in S. luteinises to CLI, ENR, QD and TMS. Resistance genes to these structurally unrelated macrolides and lincosamides, including QD, have previously been identified in *Streptococcal* spp. and attributed to the common mechanism of action of these antimicrobials.<sup>37</sup> It is also noteworthy that the controls utilised in this study were to check that the MHA did not have a high thymidine content that can lead to false TMS resistance.

The Staphylococcal species isolated in this study was small (7/118) but showed resistance to the beta lactam families; CER, FOX, PEN, the macrolide ERY and to TET. Resistance genes in Staphylococcal spp. to all these antimicrobials have been identified previously and include the genes mecA, ermA, ermB and ermC, tetk/m and beta lactamase.43 Importantly, these resistance genes to FOX and TET are indicative of methicillin resistance within the Staphylococcal species isolated. Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcal aureus (MRSA) was not isolated in this study but is an important pathogen associated with multidrug-resistant infections in both humans and animals.<sup>44</sup> MRSA is extremely important where human health is concerned as the transmission from livestock to producers, workers, and veterinarians occur through interaction with infected animals.<sup>44</sup> S. aureus is a common pathogen associated with mastitis in dairy cattle, and transmission of methicillin resistance genes from other species could potentially lead to infections in humans.

Resistance to ERY and CLI was present in all species of *Bacillus* that were isolated. Macrolide and lincomycin resistance genes have been identified as part of the genome in *B. licheniformis* and are considered intrinsic but are not always expressed.<sup>45</sup> In the case of CLI, intrinsic resistance has been identified but nucleotide deletions in the promotor region of this gene, can induce sensitivity to CLI.<sup>45</sup> Resistance to ERY and CLI, was not only found in *B. licheniformis* in this study but in all species of *Bacillus* isolated, so it is likely that other *Bacillus* spp. express these same intrinsic genes and variability in resistance.

*E. coli* isolates had the most resistance to AMC (37.5%) but the majority were susceptible to all antimicrobials tested. CER, FOX and GEN had low levels of resistance in these isolates. Contrary findings have been identified by Brodzki et al., who reported 100% susceptibility to AMC, CER and GEN in isolates obtained from bovine endometritis samples.<sup>19</sup> Chloramphenicol was the only antimicrobial with no resistance in any bacteria, but its use is not approved in Australia for production animal medicine.<sup>41</sup>

The limitations for this study were the sampling technique, small sample size and sample area. Despite the sterile collection of samples using a Metricheck device, there was potential contamination of the culture from purulent vaginal discharge. The use of double guarded uterine swabs is recommended. The bacteria isolated may not be representative of the dairy cattle population of Western Australia. A future larger-scale study is needed to capture a greater geographical range and bacteria to fully evaluate the level of resistance against antimicrobials currently used in the treatment of endometritis in Western Australia. The broad sampling criteria potentially captured animals that were healthy or recovering from endometritis. To mitigate this future research should only include those animals which have a VDS >2 or using cytobrush technology to sample the uterus. The method for determining antimicrobial sensitivity relied on current CLSI standards, these are limited by the lack of standards

available for some bacteria and some antimicrobials. Therefore, the use of minimum inhibitory concentrations, although more expensive, could be considered to provide an alternative to determine susceptibility in these organisms. This research, in light of its limitations is the beginning of a database of AMR in bacteria present in endometritis cases and can assist veterinarians to select the appropriate antimicrobial therapy in cases of clinical endometritis. Although resistance levels were low within the bacteria isolated, appropriate antimicrobial selection is important to prevent further resistance development.

#### Conclusion

The uterine bacteria cultured in the dairy herds of south-western Western Australia was quite diverse. The bacteria isolated varied from the accepted pathogens previously associated with clinical endometritis, but only a small sample of the population was obtained. Bacillus, Streptococcus, Staphylococcus species were the main aetiological agents isolated, with T. pyogenes and E. coli only rarely detected, and F. necrophorum not isolated at all. This study shows that there are low levels of resistance present to the antimicrobials tested and evidence of resistance development to important human antimicrobials, such as macrolides, cephalosporins and fluroquinolones. To support antimicrobial stewardship, the following herd management practices should be considered, improved overall nutrition, appropriate transition cow nutrition, improved hygiene when assisting dystocia cases and early assessment of at-risk cattle post calving. The use of blanket treatment regimens with cephapirin is relied on due to its high spectrum of activity on gram positive and negative bacteria and ability of the drug to penetrate the deep layers of the uterus.

#### Acknowledgments

Special thank you to Aprille Jack, John Abbott, Dawn Chung, Mikayla Mauger and the production animal team from Murdoch University for their help with sample collection.

#### Conflicts of interest and sources of funding

The authors declare no conflicts of interest or sources of funding for the work presented here. This work was supported by Western Dairy (grant number 17998); Dairy Australia, WA (grant number 16085) and Murdoch University.

#### References

1. Sheldon IM, Molinari PCC, Ormsby TJR et al. Preventing postpartum uterine disease in dairy cattle depends on avoiding, tolerating and resisting pathogenic bacteria. *Theriogenology* 2020;150:158–165.

4. Pérez-Báez J, Silva TV, Risco CA et al. The economic cost of metritis in dairy herds. J Dairy Sci 2021;104:3158–3168.

<sup>2.</sup> Plöntzke J, Madoz LV, De la Sota RL et al. Prevalence of clinical endometritis and its impact on reproductive performance in grazing dairy cattle in Argentina. *Reprod Domest Animals* 2011;46:520–526.

<sup>3.</sup> Ribeiro ES, Lima FS, Greco LF et al. Prevalence of periparturient diseases and effects on fertility of seasonally calving grazing dairy cows supplemented with concentrates. *J Dairy Sci* 2013;96:5682–5697.

PRODUCTION ANIMALS

5. Bicalho RC, Machado VS, Bicalho MLS et al. Molecular and epidemiological characterization of bovine intrauterine *Escherichia coli. J Dairy Sci* 2010;93: 5818–5830.

6. Bell MJ, Roberts DJ. The impact of uterine infection on a dairy cow's performance. *Theriogenology* 2007;68:1074–1079.

7. Sheldon IM, Price SB, Cronin J et al. Mechanisms of infertility associated with clinical and subclinical endometritis in high producing dairy cattle. *Reprod Domest Animals* 2009;44:1–9.

8. Pyörälä S, Taponen J, Katila T. Use of antimicrobials in the treatment of reproductive diseases in cattle and horses. *Reprod Domest Animals* 2014;49: 16–26.

9. Tan X, Huang YJ, Jiang YW et al. Persistence of oxytetracycline residues in milk after the intrauterine treatment of lactating cows for endometritis. *Vet Rec* 2007;161:585–587.

10. Hardefeldt LY, Gilkerson JR, Billman-Jacobe H et al. Antimicrobial labelling in Australia: a threat to antimicrobial stewardship? *Aust Vet J* 2018;96:151–154.

11. Kojima A, Morioka A, Kijima M et al. Classification and antimicrobial susceptibilities of enterococcus species isolated from apparently healthy food-producing animals in Japan. *Zoonoses Public Health* 2010;57:137–141.

12. Hanon J-B, Jaspers S, Butaye P et al. A trend analysis of antimicrobial resistance in commensal *Escherichia coli* from several livestock species in Belgium (2011–2014). *Prev Vet Med* 2015;122:443–452.

13. Guardabassi L, Apley M, Olsen JE et al. Optimization of antimicrobial treatment to minimize resistance selection. *Microbiol Spectr* 2018;6:637–673.

14. Tenover FC. Mechanisms of antimicrobial resistance in bacteria. *Am J Infect Control* 2006;34:S3–S10.

15. Gautam G, Nakao T, Koike K et al. Spontaneous recovery or persistence of postpartum endometritis and risk factors for its persistence in Holstein cows. *Theriogenology* 2010;73:168–179.

16. LeBlanc SJ, Duffield TF, Leslie KE et al. The effect of treatment of clinical endometritis on reproductive performance in dairy cows. *J Dairy Sci* 2002;85: 2237–2249.

17. Sheldon IM, Dobson H. Postpartum uterine health in cattle. *Animal Reprod Sci* 2004;82:295–306.

18. Bicalho MLS, Lima S, Higgins CH et al. Genetic and functional analysis of the bovine uterine microbiota. Part II: purulent vaginal discharge versus healthy cows. *J Dairy Sci* 2017;100:3863–3874.

19. Brodzki P, Bochniarz M, Brodzki A et al. *Trueperella pyogenes* and *Escherichia coli* as an etiological factor of endometritis in cows and the susceptibility of these bacteria to selected antibiotics. *Pol J Vet Sci* 2014;17:657–664.

20. Bogado PO, Van Schyndel SJ, Spricigo JFW et al. Dynamics of uterine microbiota in postpartum dairy cows with clinical or subclinical endometritis. *Sci Rep* 2020;10:10.

21. Ballas P, Reinländer U, Schlegl R et al. Characterization of intrauterine cultivable aerobic microbiota at the time of insemination in dairy cows with and without mild endometritis. *Theriogenology* 2021;159:28–34.

22. Williams EJ, Fischer DP, Pfeiffer DU et al. Clinical evaluation of postpartum vaginal mucus reflects uterine bacterial infection and the immune response in cattle. *Theriogenology* 2005;63:102–117.

23. McDougall S, Macaulay R, Compton C. Association between endometritis diagnosis using a novel intravaginal device and reproductive performance in dairy cattle. *Animal Reprod Sci* 2007;99:9–23.

24. Matuschek E, Brown DFJ, Kahlmeter G. Development of the EUCAST disk diffusion antimicrobial susceptibility testing method and its implementation in routine microbiology laboratories. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2014;20:0255–0266.

25. Institute CaLS. *Performance standards for antimicrobial disk and dilution susceptibility tests for bacteria isolated from animals*. 5th edition. 2018;156. Wayne, PA: Institute CaLS.

26. Huck JR, Sonnen M, Boor KJ. Tracking heat-resistant, cold-thriving fluid Milk spoilage bacteria from farm to packaged product. *J Dairy Sci* 2008;91: 1218–1228.

27. Masiello SN, Martin NH, Watters RD et al. Identification of dairy farm management practices associated with the presence of psychrotolerant sporeformers in bulk tank milk. *J Dairy Sci* 2014;97:4083–4096.

28. Wagener K, Prunner I, Pothmann H et al. Diversity and health status specific fluctuations of intrauterine microbial communities in postpartum dairy cows. *Vet Microbiol* 2015;175:286–293.

29. Gärtner MA, Peter S, Jung M et al. Increased mRNA expression of selected pro-inflammatory factors in inflamed bovine endometrium in vivo as well as in endometrial epithelial cells exposed to *Bacillus pumilus* in vitro. *Reprod Fertil Dev* 2016;28:982–994.

30. Rocha CE, Magalhães JP, Mol JP et al. Necrotizing placentitis in a cow caused by *Bacillus cereus*/Placentite necrotizante em uma vaca por *Bacillus cereus*. *Ciência Rural* 2021;51:1.

31. Aghamiri SM, Haghkhah M, Ahmadi MR et al. Development of a multiplex PCR for the identification of major pathogenic bacteria of post-partum endometritis in dairy cows. *Reprod Domest Animals* 2014;49:233–238.

32. de Boer M, Buddle BM, Heuer C et al. Associations between intrauterine bacterial infection, reproductive tract inflammation, and reproductive performance in pasture-based dairy cows. *Theriogenology* 2015;83:1514–1524.

33. Jaureguiberry M, Madoz LV, Giuliodori MJ et al. Identification of *Escherichia coli* and *Trueperella pyogenes* isolated from the uterus of dairy cows using routine bacteriological testing and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy. *Acta Vet Scand* 2016;58:81–81.

34. Westermann S, Drillich M, Kaufmann TB et al. A clinical approach to determine false positive findings of clinical endometritis by vaginoscopy by the use of uterine bacteriology and cytology in dairy cows. *Theriogenology* 2010;74: 1248–1255.

35. Sheldon IM, Rycroft AN, Dogan B et al. Specific strains of *Escherichia coli* are pathogenic for the endometrium of cattle and cause pelvic inflammatory disease in cattle and mice. *PLoS One* 2010;5:e9192.

36. Christoffersen M, Söderlind M, Rudefalk SR et al. Risk factors associated with uterine fluid after breeding caused by *Streptococcus zooepidemicus*. *Theriogenology* 2015;84:1283–1290.

37. Haenni M, Lupo A, Madec J-Y. Antimicrobial resistance in *Streptococcus* spp. *Microbiol Spectr* 2018;6:1–25.

38. Bonnett BN, Martin SW, Gannon VP et al. Endometrial biopsy in Holstein-Friesian dairy cows. III. Bacteriological analysis and correlations with histological findings. *Can J Vet Res* 1991;55:168–173.

39. Gilbert RO, Santos NR. Dynamics of postpartum endometrial cytology and bacteriology and their relationship to fertility in dairy cows. *Theriogenology* 2016;85:1367–1374.

40. Williams EJ, Fischer DP, Noakes DE et al. The relationship between uterine pathogen growth density and ovarian function in the postpartum dairy cow. *Theriogenology* 2007;68:549–559.

41. Australian Government DoH. Importance ratings and summary of antibacterial uses in human and animal health in Australia. 2018. Canberra, Australia: Commonwealth of Australia.

42. Botrel M-A, Haenni M, Morignat E et al. Distribution and antimicrobial resistance of clinical and subclinical mastitis pathogens in dairy cows in Rhône-Alpes, France. *Foodborne Pathog Dis* 2010;7:479–487.

43. Zhao JL, Ding YX, Zhao HX et al. Presence of superantigen genes and antimicrobial resistance in staphylococcus isolates obtained from the uteri of dairy cows with clinical endometritis. *Vet Rec* 2014;175:352–352.

44. Aklilu E, Chia HY. First mecC and mecA positive livestock-associated methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (mecC MRSA/LA-MRSA) from dairy cattle in Malaysia. *Microorganisms* 2020;8:147.

45. Agersø Y, Bjerre K, Brockmann E et al. Putative antibiotic resistance genes present in extant *Bacillus licheniformis* and *Bacillus paralicheniformis* strains are probably intrinsic and part of the ancient resistome. *PLoS One* 2019;14: e0210363.

## APPENDIX

AMC	CER	FOX	CLI	CHL	ERY	ENR	GEN	PEN	QD	SXT	TET
0	21.0	25.1	22.2	0	0	21.7	16.0	27.7	22.9	0	16.1
23.6	24.4	28.6	26.1	23.7	26.5	22.5	16.0	21.0	25.4	0	16.4
27.0	28.8	25.1	23.2	24.6	29.0	20.8	24.2	35.1	29.0	23.7	20.2
25.1	27.6	30.9	25.3	24.8	26.1	24.1	0	27.9	32.3	0	18.6
21.5	20.1	22.6	23.0	25.7	0	24.0	17.4	32.4	28.0	0	15.5
24.7	23.0	20.2	18.5	19.6	24.3	22.7	19.0	28.1	25.9	0	10.9
22.8	22.2	19.0	17.9	22.1	22.7	21.8	18.1	28.0	26.8	0	23.1
29.5	26.7	27.0	19.8	20.1	28.6	22.5	18.0	28.6	27.3	0	16.5
23.6	21.2	29.2	24.3	24.0	30.4	22.9	21.5	32.2	27.4	0	17.6
31.2	34.0	34.8	25.2	30.9	31.9	26.1	24.0	30.5	33.1	0	19.3
28.1	24.9	30.9	23.5	20.8	29.9	20.7	21.6	23.4	20.6	27.4	12.4
28.5	20.7	24.2	24.4	21.8	24.2	21.6	19.0	23.1	18.2	0	24.5

Table A1. Zone diameters for *Trueperella pyogenes* isolated from dairy cattle with endometritis

AMC, amoxicillin-clavulanate; CER, ceftiofur, CHL, chloramphenicol; CLI, clindamycin; ENR, enrofloxacin; ERY, erythromycin; FOX, cefoxitin; GEN, gentamicin; PEN, penicillin; QD, quinupristin-dalfopristin; SXT, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole; TET, tetracycline.

Bacteria type	AMC	CER	FOX	CLI	CHL	ERY	ENR	GEN	PEN	QD	SXT	TET
Aerococcus viridans	30.7	28.3	24.3	32.5	24.1	24.9	21.4	19.6	26.5	25.5	30.6	27.2
Moraxella bovis	32.3	21.7	31.1	9.4	32.1	20.4	29.8	22.6	26.0	19.4	26.6	22.0

AMC, amoxicillin-clavulanate; CER, ceftiofur, CHL, chloramphenicol; CLI, clindamycin; ENR, enrofloxacin; ERY, erythromycin; FOX, cefoxitin; GEN, gentamicin; PEN, penicillin; QD, quinupristin-dalfopristin; SXT, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole; TET, tetracycline.

(Accepted for publication 26 January 2022)