(which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.

# Selection analysis identifies unusual clustered mutational changes in Omicron lineage BA.1 that likely impact Spike function

Darren P Martin<sup>1</sup>, Spyros Lytras<sup>2</sup>, Alexander G Lucaci<sup>3</sup>, Wolfgang Maier<sup>4</sup>, Björn Grüning<sup>4</sup>, Stephen D Shank<sup>3</sup>, Steven Weaver<sup>3</sup>, Oscar A MacLean<sup>2</sup>, Richard J Orton<sup>2</sup>, Philippe Lemey<sup>5</sup>, Maciej F Boni<sup>1</sup>, Houriiyah Tegally<sup>2</sup>, Gordon Harkins<sup>3</sup>, Cathrine Scheepers<sup>4,5</sup>, Jinal N Bhiman<sup>4,0</sup>, Josie Everatt<sup>4</sup>, Daniel G Amoako<sup>6</sup>, James Emmanuel San<sup>7</sup>, Jennifer Giandhari<sup>7</sup>, Alex Sigal<sup>11</sup>, NGS-SA<sup>12</sup>, Carolyn Williamson<sup>13,14,17</sup>, Nei-yuan Hsiao<sup>14</sup>, Anne von Gottberg<sup>4,16</sup>, Arne De Klerk<sup>1</sup>, Robert W Shafer<sup>16</sup>, David L Robertson<sup>2</sup>, Robert J Wilkinson<sup>17,16,19</sup>, B Trevor Sewell<sup>20</sup>, Richard Lessells<sup>7</sup>, Anton Nekrutenko<sup>21</sup>, Allison J. Greaney<sup>22,20</sup>, Tyler N. Starr<sup>22,4</sup>, Jesse D. Bloom<sup>22,4</sup>, Ben Murrell<sup>25</sup>, Eduan Wilkinson<sup>17,26</sup>, Ravindra K Gupta<sup>11,27</sup>, Tulio de Oliveira<sup>22,6</sup>, Sergei L Kosakovsky Pond<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Infectious Diseases and Molecular Medicine, Division Of Computational Biology,

Department of Integrative Biomedical Sciences, University of Cape Town, Cape Town 7701, South Africa

<sup>a</sup>MRC-University of Glasgow Centre for Virus Research, University of Glasgow, Glasgow G61 1QH, UK

Institute for Genomics and Evolutionary Medicine, Department of Biology, Temple University, Philadelphia, PA 19122, USA

Bioinformatics Group, Department of Computer Science, University of Freiburg, Freiburg,

Germany,

Department of Microbiology, Immunology and Transplantation, Rega Institute, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

usegalaxy.eu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Center for Infectious Disease Dynamics, Department of Biology, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA, USA

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> KwaZulu-Natal Research Innovation and Sequencing Platform (KRISP), School of Laboratory Medicine & Medical Sciences, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, South Africa
 <sup>3</sup> South African National Bioinformatics Institute, University of the Western Cape, Cape Town, South Africa

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> National Institute for Communicable Diseases (NICD) of the National Health Laboratory Service (NHLS), Johannesburg, South Africa

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> SA MRC Antibody Immunity Research Unit, School of Pathology, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa

(which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.

Africa Health Research Institute, Durban, South Africa

<sup>12</sup>http://www.krisp.org.za/ngs-sa/ngs-sa network for genomic surveillance south africa/

<sup>13</sup>Institute of Infectious Disease and Molecular Medicine, Department of Pathology, University

of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa

<sup>w</sup>Division of Medical Virology, University of Cape Town and National Health Laboratory Service, Cape Town South Africa

<sup>15</sup>School of Pathology, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand,

Johannesburg

<sup>•</sup>Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of medicine, Stanford university, Stanford, CA,

USA

"Wellcome Center for Infectious Diseases Research in Africa, Institute of Infectious Disease and Molecular Medicine and Department of Medicine, University of Cape Town, South Africa

<sup>18</sup>Francis Crick Institute, Midland Road, London NW1 1AT, UK

<sup>19</sup>Department of Infectious Diseases, Imperial College London, W12 0NN, UK

<sup>20</sup>Structural Biology Research Unit, Department of Integrative Biomedical Sciences, Institute for Infectious Diseases and Molecular Medicine, University of Cape Town, South Africa <sup>21</sup>Department Of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, The Pennsylvania State University, usegalaxy.org

<sup>22</sup>Basic Sciences Division and Computational Biology Program, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA 98109, USA

<sup>23</sup>Department of Genome Sciences & Medical Scientist Training Program, University of

Washington, Seattle, WA 98195, USA3

<sup>24</sup>Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Seattle, WA 98109, USA

<sup>as</sup>Department of Microbiology, Tumor and Cell Biology, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

<sup>28</sup>Centre for Epidemic Response and Innovation (CERI), School of Data Science,

Stellenbosch University.

<sup>27</sup>Cambridge Institute of Therapeutic Immunology and Infectious Diseases, University of Cambridge, Cambridge

### Abstract

Among the 30 non-synonymous nucleotide substitutions in the Omicron S-gene are 13 that have only rarely been seen in other SARS-CoV-2 sequences. These mutations cluster within three functionally important regions of the S-gene at sites that will likely impact (i) interactions between subunits of the Spike trimer and the predisposition of subunits to shift from down to up bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.14.476382; this version posted January 18, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not cortified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is

(which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

configurations, (ii) interactions of Spike with ACE2 receptors, and (iii) the priming of Spike for membrane fusion. We show here that, based on both the rarity of these 13 mutations in intrapatient sequencing reads and patterns of selection at the codon sites where the mutations occur in SARS-CoV-2 and related sarbecoviruses, prior to the emergence of Omicron the mutations would have been predicted to decrease the fitness of any genomes within which they occurred. We further propose that the mutations in each of the three clusters therefore cooperatively interact to both mitigate their individual fitness costs, and adaptively alter the function of Spike. Given the evident epidemic growth advantages of Omicron over all previously known SARS-CoV-2 lineages, it is crucial to determine both how such complex and highly adaptive mutation constellations were assembled within the Omicron S-gene, and why, despite unprecedented global genomic surveillance efforts, the early stages of this assembly process went completely undetected.

#### Introduction

The Omicron (B.1.1.529) SARS-CoV-2 variant of concern (VOC) identified in Southern Africa in late November 2021 <sup>+</sup> is the product of extensive evolution within an infection context that has so far yielded at least three genetically distinct viral lineages (BA.1, BA.2 and BA.3) since it diverged from an ancestral B.1.1 lineage (presumably at some time in mid to late 2020). Three possible explanations for the sudden appearance of Omicron without any prior detection of intermediate/progenitor forms before its discovery are: (1) SARS-CoV-2 genomic surveillance in the region where Omicron originated might have been inadequate to detect intermediate forms; (2) long-term evolution in one or more chronically infected people - similar to the proposed origin of lineages such as Alpha and C.1.2 <sup>+ 3 + 4</sup> - may have left intermediate forms unsampled within one or a few individual(s); and (3) reverse zoonosis to a non-human host, followed by undetected spread and diversification therein prior to spillover of some sub-lineages back into humans <sup>+</sup>. At present there is no strong evidence to support or reject any of these hypotheses on the origin of Omicron, but as new data are collected, its origin may be more precisely identified.

Regardless of the route that Omicron took to eventual community transmission, the genome of the BA.1 lineage that caused surges of infections globally in late 2021 and early 2022, accumulated 53 mutations relative to the Wuhan-Hu-1 reference strain, with 30 nonsynonymous substitutions in the Spike-encoding S-gene alone (Figure 1). Here, we characterize the selective pressures that may have acted during the genesis of the BA.1 lineage and curate available data on the likely adaptive value of the BA.1 S-gene mutations. We were particularly interested in identifying BA.1 S-gene codon sites displaying evolutionary patterns that differed from those of

(which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

other SARS-CoV-2 lineages (including variation of SARS-CoV-2 in individual hosts), and closely related non-human sarbecoviruses. We use these comparisons to identify

which BA.1 S-gene mutations might contribute to recently discovered shifts relative to other SARS-CoV-2 variants in the way that BA.1 interacts with human and animal ACE2 receptors and is primed by cellular proteases to mediate cellular entry <sup>e-n</sup>. Our analysis identifies three clustered sets of mutations in the Spike protein, involving amino acids substitutions at 13 sites previously highly conserved across other SARS-CoV-2 lineages and other sarbecoviruses. The dramatic about-face in evolutionary dynamics at the 13 codon sites encoding these amino acids indicates that the mutations at these sites in BA.1 are likely interacting with one another, that the combined effects of these interactions are likely adaptive, and that these adaptations likely underlie at least some of the recently discovered shifts in BA.1 Spike function.



**Figure 1. Mutations characterising the S-gene of the BA.1 lineage viruses.** Amino acid changes resulting from non-synonymous substitutions relative to the Wuhan-Hu-1 sequence are indicated in: Blue = those attributable to nucleotide substitutions at codon sites that are either negatively selected or are evolving under no detectable selection in non-Omicron sequences and cluster within three regions labelled here as cluster regions 1, 2 and 3; Red = those attributable to nucleotide substitutions at codon sites that are detectably evolving under positive selection in non-Omicron sequences; and Black = those attributable to insertion and deletion mutations. NTD = N-terminal domain; RBD = receptor binding domain; SD1/SD2 = subdomain 1 and 2; FP= fusion peptide, HR1 = heptad repeat 1; CH =central helix; CD = connector domain; HR2 = heptad repeat 2; CT = cytoplasmic tail.

#### **Results and Discussion**

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.14.476382; this version posted January 18, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is

(which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

### Many of the BA.1 S-gene mutations likely contribute to viral adaptation

Relative to the Wuhan-Hu-1 reference variant of SARS-CoV-2, BA.1 has 30 nonsynonymous substitutions in its S-gene (Figure 1). Sixteen of the codon sites where these mutations occur are presently, or have recently been, detectably evolving under positive

selection when considering all SARS-CoV-2 genomic data prior to the discovery of Omicron (Table 1, Figure 2, https://observablehq.com/@spond/selection-profile). For context, this fraction of positively selected sites (0.53) is approximately four times higher than the fraction of all SARS-CoV-2 S-gene sites that have ever shown any signals of positive selection (0.14).

The observed substitutions at four of these sixteen sites (K417N <sup>16</sup>, N501Y <sup>17-19</sup>, H655Y, P681H <sup>20</sup>) and a two-nucleotide deletion at one additional site ( $^{\Delta}$ 69-70 <sup>21</sup>) are among the nineteen "501Y meta-signature" Spike mutations that are likely highly adaptive within the context of 501Y lineage viruses such as the Alpha, Beta and Gamma VOCs <sup>15</sup>. Given that the BA.1 mutations at these sites converge on those seen in these other VOCs, they are likely to be adaptive in BA.1 lineage viruses as well (sites coloured red in Figure 3).

A further four BA.1 S-gene mutations are found in SARS-CoV-2 sequences belonging to other VOC lineages, and are either VOC lineage defining mutations (majority mutations), or are lower frequency mutations that have increased in frequency >2 fold between early and late VOC lineage circulation periods within sampled sequences belonging to these lineages (A67V in Alpha and Beta, T95I in Beta and Gamma, T478K in Beta, and N679K in Gamma; https://observablehg.com/@spond/sc2-selection-trends): an indication that these mutations too are likely adaptive in BA.1 lineage viruses (Table 1). Additionally, three other BA.1 Sgene mutations either: (1) occur at the same codon sites as Alpha, Beta, Gamma or Delta lineage defining mutations but encode a different amino acid than these other lineages (E484A in BA.1 and E484K in Beta and Gamma); or (2) occur at the same codon sites as mutations in VOC lineages that increased in frequency > 2 fold between early and late VOC lineage circulation periods but encode a different amino acid than these other lineages (N440K in BA.1 and N440S in Alpha: S477N in BA.1 and S477I in Beta and Gamma). Lastly, the S/D796Y mutation occurs at one of the four sites identified as potential locations of adaptation in human beta-coronaviruses via the analysis of convergent evolutionary patterns and functional impact (Table 1), and a mutation at this site has previously been inferred to be potentially adaptive within the context of a chronic SARS-CoV-2 infection 2. (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.

All of these mutations likely have a substantial impact on the phenotype of BA.1 lineage viruses (coloured orange in Figure 3).

**Table 1.** Frequencies in non-Omicron SARS-CoV-2 genomes of non-synonymous mutations seen in the S-gene of BA.1. Rows in bold indicate mutations at previously negatively selected or neutrally evolving sites. VOC columns track fold changes in mutation frequencies at corresponding sites in other VOCs (before and after boundaries are defined to create somewhat balanced sizes of sequence sets; the boundary is 2021/04/15 for  $\alpha,\beta,\gamma$  and 2021/06/01 for  $\delta$ ). If another amino-acid residue is included in parentheses, then this residue has increased in frequency at the same site.  $\uparrow$  - 2-10x fold increase  $\uparrow\uparrow$  - >10x fold increase. - lineage defining/majority mutation. (\*) in other human beta-CoV - consensus residue in species matches the BA.1 residue; based on the sequence alignment from "

					F chan	Relative <sup>.</sup> Iges in V	frequenc /OC linea	ages	
					(alt	ernative	amino a	Seen in	
	Percentag	e of Fred	uency ra	nk	(an	emative		other	
	roroontag		laonoy ra			sta	ate)	genomes in Oct	
out	out of 7202 Sele		hu	man beta Mutatio	n	2021	mutatio	s Regime	
α S/67V	β 0.435	γ δ	85	Positive*	$\uparrow$	$\uparrow$			
S/95I	16.047	,	21	Positive*		$\uparrow$	$\uparrow$		
S/142D	0.002		5417	Positive*					
S/212I	0.006		2814	Negative					
S/339D	0.006		2883	Negative				HKU1*	
S/371L	<0.005	5	>7202	Negative					
S/373P	0.007		2719	Negative*					
S/375F	0.003		4778	Negative					
S/417N	0.529		73	Positive*			(T)	OC43	
S/440K	0.156		216	Positive*	$\uparrow\uparrow$				
S/446S	0.007		2666	Positive*	(3)				
S/477N	2.038		35	Positive		$\uparrow\uparrow$	↑ (I)	HKU1*	
S/478K	32.32		13	Positive*		(I) 个		SARS-1*	
S/484A	0.004		3498	Positive*	ተ (ዞ	K) (K)	(K)		
S/493R	0.007		2737	Neutral				OC43	
S/496S	0.013		1691	Neutral				HKU1/OC43	

(which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

S/498R	<0.005	>7202	Negative					
S/501Y	37.036	2	Positive*					
S/505H	0.003	4099	Neutral					
S/547K	0.013	1740	Positive					
S/614G	98.97	1	Positive*					
S/655Y	2.513	30	Positive	$\uparrow$	$\uparrow$			
S/679K	0.041	534	Positive			$\uparrow$		OC43*
S/681H	35.613	3	Positive*				(R)	
S/764K	0.005	3291	Negative					
S/796Y	0.083	322	Positive					SARS-1*
S/856K	<0.005	>7202	Negative*					OC43
S/954H	<0.005	>7202	Negative					
S/969K	<0.005	>7202	Negative*					HKU1*
S/981F	<0.005	>7202	Neutral					

(which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.



lineages.

(which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.

Finally, three deletions ( $\Delta$ 69-70,  $\Delta$ 143-145 and  $\Delta$ 211-212) and a nine nucleotide insertion (between codons 214 and 215) in the N-terminal domain encoding part of the S-gene all likely have phenotypic impacts and all are potentially adaptive but are not considered further here because they are not amenable to analysis by natural selection analysis methods that focus on patterns of synonymous and non-synonymous mutations.



while interacting with human ACE2 <sup>20</sup>. The other two subunits are in the "down" or "closed" configurations. Amino acids are color coded according to their likely contribution to viral adaptation in a Wuhan-Hu-1-like genetic background based on (1) patterns of synonymous and nonsynonymous substitutions at the codons encoding these amino acids in non-Omicron sequences, (2) patterns of mutational convergence between viruses in different VOCs and (3) increases in the frequency over time of VOC sub-lineages encoding amino acids that match those found in BA.1. NTD = N-terminal domain, RBD = Receptor binding domain; RBM = receptor binding motif. Locations of sites in the three clusters of BA.1 mutations that are rarely seen and fall at either negatively selected (dark blue) and neutrally evolving (light blue) sites. An interactive version of this figure can be found here: https://observablehq.com/@stephenshank/sars-cov-2-ace2-protein-interaction-and-evolution-for-omicr

Clusters of BA.1 mutations occur at neutral or negatively selected S-gene sites

(which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.

The mutations occurring at the 14 BA.1 Spike codons which display either evidence of negative selection or no evidence of selection (neutral evolution), have rarely been seen within previously sampled sequences (bold in Table rows 1: https://observablehg.com/@spond/omicron-mutations-tables) indicating the action of strong purifying selection due to functional constraints. Despite the rarity of these mutations in assembled genomes, it is not uncommon to find them in within-patient sequence datasets (Figure 4), often at sub-consensus allelic frequencies. This indicates that, with the possible exceptions of S/S371L, S/N764K, S/N856K and S/Q954H, the mutations at these sites are not rare simply because they are unlikely to occur (note the sizes and numbers of dots corresponding to these mutations in Figure 4), but rather because whenever they do occur they are unlikely to either increase sufficiently in frequency to be transmitted (note the predominantly light orange/yellow colours of the dots corresponding to these mutations in Figure 4), or increase sufficiently in frequency among transmitting viruses to be detected by genomic surveillance.

On their own, none of these 14 BA.1 mutations at codon sites that have previously been evolving either neutrally or under negative selection prior to November 2021 would be expected to provide SARS-CoV-2 with any selective advantage. If the BA.1 mutations observed at the ten negatively selected S-gene codon sites had occurred in the Wuhan-Hu1 sequence, it is very likely that they would have been selected against. Specifically, since the start of the pandemic Spike proteins tended to function best whenever they had amino acids at these ten sites that were the same as those in the Spike encoded by the WuhanHu-1 sequence.

(which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.



Figure 4. Intrapatient allelic variation seen at BA.1 amino acid mutation sites in a subset of SARS-CoV-2 raw sequencing data since March 2020 analyzed using a standardized variant calling pipeline <sup>a</sup>. The areas of the circles indicate the proportions of raw sequence datasets (per 1,000 samples) where a mutation away from the Wuhan-Hu-1 consensus sequence was called. The colour of the circle indicates the median intrapatient allele frequency (AF) in datasets for which each mutation was detected. Mutations occurring at lower AFs are only present in a subpopulation of viruses in a particular host. The data has been generated by calling variants from read-level data of 230,506 samples from COG-UK, Estonia, Greece, Ireland, and South Africa: PRJEB37886, PRJEB42961 (and multiple other bioprojects with the study title: Whole genome sequencing of SARSCoV-2 from Covid-19 patients from Estonia), PRJEB44141, PRJEB40277 and PRJNA636748. Note that S371L is the result of two nucleotide substitutions in codon S/371 and was never detected in intrapatient samples. S371F represents an intermediate mutation between the Wuhan-Hu-1 state and that of BA.1 and is presented here for completeness.

(which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.

It is clear that the amino acids encoded by 13 of the 14 mutated codon sites in the BA.1 Sgene that either show evidence of negative selection or no evidence of any selection, cluster within three regions of the Spike three dimensional structure (dark blue sites in Figure 3):

- Cluster region 1 in the RBD (green sites in Figure 5): codons/amino acids S/339, S/371, S/373 and S/375; may be targeted by some class 4 neutralizing antibodies
   S/371L alone impacts, but probably does not provide escape from, binding of some antibodies in all four neutralizing antibody classes a suggesting that, in a Wuhan-Hu-1 genetic background, it may substantially impact the trimerization, glycosylation profile, or balance of up-down conformations of Spike.
- 2. Cluster region 2 in the RBM (cyan sites in Figure 5) including codons/amino acids S/493, S/496, S/498, and S/505. This region is known to be targeted by class 1 and class 2 neutralizing antibodies <sup>1627</sup>. S/493 is, in fact, a known target of such antibodies. Accordingly S/Q493R (as occurs in BA.1), escapes some class 2 neutralizing antibodies <sup>26</sup>, S/Q493R and S/Q493K escape mutations have been selected in VSV *in vitro* experiments<sup>28</sup>, and the S/Q493K mutation has arisen previously in the context of a chronic SARS-CoV-2 infection<sup>20</sup>. The S/Q498R and S/Q493R mutations also yield two additional salt bridges when binding human ACE2 <sup>10,30</sup> and it is likely that the increased affinity of BA.1 Spike for human ACE2 relative to that of Alpha, Beta, Delta and Wuhan-Hu-1 <sup>7,4</sup> will further decrease its sensitivity to neutralisation.
- 3. Cluster region 3 in the fusion domain (yellow sites in Figure 5): codons/amino acids S/764, S/856, S/954, S/969, S/981; a region of Spike currently not known to be targeted by neutralizing antibodies. The S/N764K, S/N856K and S/N969K mutations are likely to enhance interactions between the S1 and S2 subunits of the BA.1 Spike and are likely to contribute to reduced S1 shedding following proteolytic cleavage of the polybasic S1/S2 site <sup>10,31</sup>

(which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.



(which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.

# Selection patterns in sarbecoviruses confirm that, on their own, many BA.1 mutations would likely be deleterious

To determine whether patterns of selection at the Omicron/BA.1-specific sites are broadly consistent with those occurring in the horseshoe bat-infecting SARS-related coronaviruses, in the *Sarbecovirus* subgenus to which SARS-CoV-2 belongs, we examined patterns of synonymous and non-synonymous substitutions in 167 publicly available Sarbecovirus genomes. Accounting for recombination, we tested for selection signatures at all 44 codons encoding amino acids that differ between Wuhan-Hu-1 and BA.1

(<u>https://observablehq.com/@spond/ncos-evolution-nov-2021</u>). We specifically focused the analyses on selection signals in the subset of sarbecoviruses that are more closely related to SARS-CoV-2 in each recombination-free part of their genome: a group of sequences we refer to as the nCoV clade <sup>az</sup>. Depending on the recombination-free genome region being considered, this clade was represented by between 15 and 27 sequences. We refer to the remaining sarbecoviruses as the non-nCoV sequences.

Of the 44 codon sites considered, 26 are detectably evolving under negative selection (FEL p-value <0.05; <sup>14</sup> <sup>13</sup>) and one (S/417) under positive selection (MEME p-value <0.05; <sup>33</sup>) in the nCoV clade. This positive selection signal at S/417 reflects an encoded amino acid change from an ancestral V that is present in all background sequences, to a K that is specific to the nCoV clade. A K is also encoded at this site in Wuhan-Hu-1 but has since changed multiple times in various SARS-CoV-2 lineages: for example, to an N during the genesis of lineages such as Omicron and Beta and to a T during the genesis of the Gamma lineage.

We were, however, particularly interested in whether the cluster 1, 2 and 3 mutation sites in the S-gene were also evolving in a constrained manner (i.e., under negative selection) in the nCoV clade and, if so, what the selectively favoured encoded amino acid states were at these sites. Consistent with the hypothesis that the Wuhan-Hu-1 encoded amino acid states are generally constrained in the closest known SARS-CoV-2 relatives, the cluster 1 sites S/339, S/373 and S/375, the cluster 2 site S/505 and the cluster 3 sites S/764, S/856, S/969 and S/981 were all detectably evolving under negative selection in the nCoV clade viruses with the Wuhan-Hu-1 encoded amino acid state being favoured at all eight of the sites. Also consistent with the hypothesis, two of the remaining five sites across the clusters that were not detectably evolving under negative selection in the nCoV clade (S/371 and S/954)

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.14.476382; this version posted January 18, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made

predominantly encoded the Wuhan-Hu-1 amino acid state in all sarbecoviruses. Only the cluster 2 sites S/493, S/496 and S/498 seem to vary substantially across the *Sarbecovirus* subgenus.

available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

# What can the sarbecoviruses tell us about the biological consequences of the rarely seen BA.1 mutations?

Despite the observation that, even among sarbecoviruses, BA.1 mutations seen in cluster regions 1, 2 and 3 are only rarely seen, the instances where they do occur might be illuminating. For example, among the bat-infecting sarbecoviruses, the BA.1 S/G339D substitution (in cluster region 1) has primarily to date been found among the bat-infecting viruses within a clade (Figure 6) that does not use ACE2 as a cell entry receptor \*. The change in receptor binding function in these viruses is, however, most likely due to two RBM deletions that are also specific to this clade. Further, cluster region 1 codon sites S/371, S/373 and S/375 encode a conserved serine (S) in almost all the analysed sarbecoviruses (164/167, 165/167 and 167/167 respectively). The change at sites S/371 and S/375 from an encoded polar residue (S) to a hydrophobic residue (an L at S/371 and an F at S/375) implies a substantial change in the biochemical properties of this region of Spike that has never before been seen in any sarbecovirus. These changes could be associated with SARS-CoV-2's unique loss of N370 glycosylation site relative to all other sarbecoviruses \*\*, or packing of this surface with other BA.1 changes in cluster 2 (e.g. S/Y505H) in the locked Spike trimer structure.

As with SARS-CoV-2, the amino acids encoded at cluster region 2 sites (all of which fall within the RBM) vary substantially between different sarbecoviruses but without any associated signals of positive selection at these sites within the nCoV clade. Notably, the same BA.1 encoded amino acids at codon S/493R and S/505H also co-occur in a clade of sarbecoviruses that are closely related to SARS-CoV (virus accessions: KY417144, OK017858, KY417146, OK017852, OK017855, OK017853, OK017854, OK017856, OK017857); although S/493R (AY613951 and AY613948) and S/505H (MN996532, LC556375) can also occur independently. Besides the various Omicron sublineages, S/493R and S/505H are not found as a pair in any SARS-CoV-2 sequences. These mutations occurring along the same branch of the sarbecovirus tree (Figure 6) suggests that, rather than favouring changes at the sites individually, selection may favour simultaneous changes to S/493R and S/505H due to these residues together having a

greater combined fitness benefit than the sum of their individual effects: a type of interaction between genome sites referred to as positive epistasis.

The region 3 cluster sites are conserved across the sarbecoviruses with almost all known viruses having the same residues at these sites as the Wuhan-Hu-1 SARS-CoV-2 strain. This supports the hypothesis that, when considered individually, the mutations seen at these fusion domain sites in BA.1 are likely to be maladaptive.



# BA.1 mutations at neutral or negatively selected S-gene sites might only be adaptive when they co-occur

Given both the apparent selective constraints on mutations arising at the cluster region 1, 2 and 3 sites in SARS-CoV-2 and other sarbecoviruses, and the rarity of observed mutations at these sites among the millions of assembled SARS-CoV-2 genomes (despite evidence that individually such mutations do regularly occur during within-host evolution; Figure 4), it bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.14.476382; this version posted January 18, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is

(which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.

is very likely that BA.1 mutations at cluster region, 1, 2 and 3 sites are maladaptive when present on their own. Nevertheless, the presence of mutations at these sites in BA.1, a lineage of viruses that is clearly highly adapted, suggests that these mutations might interact with one another such that, when present together, they become adaptive. Therefore while individually the mutations might decrease the fitness of any genome in which they occur, collectively they might compensate for one-another's deficits to yield a fitter virus genotype.

Positive epistasis of this type has, in fact, already been demonstrated between the cluster 2 mutation, S/Q498R, and the pivotal mutation of the 501Y SARS-CoV-2 lineages, S/N501Y. Whereas S/498R only marginally impacts the affinity of Spike for human ACE2 when present with S/501N <sup>17</sup>, it increases ACE2 binding affinity approximately four-fold when present with S/501Y <sup>1838</sup>.

Further, structural analyses of Spike trimer interactions imply that epistasis likely occurs among and between some cluster 1 and cluster 2 mutation sites. Specifically, in the context of Wuhan-Hu-1, S/371S and S/373S (among the cluster 1 mutation sites) of one Spike subunit within a trimer, are likely to interact via hydrogen bonds with S/493Q and S/505Y (among the cluster 2 mutation sites) of another subunit in the trimer when Spike is in its down configuration (Figure 5; <sup>37</sup>). These interactions likely contribute to how readily Spike transitions to its up configuration and, in this regard, the S/S371L, S/S373P cluster 1 mutations and the S/Q493R and S/Y505H cluster 2 mutations in BA.1 may collectively destabilise the down configuration (such as occurs with the S/D614G mutation <sup>36</sup>), to optimize ACE2 binding <sup>36</sup>. If the S/S371L mutation on its own does indeed contribute to destabilizing the down configuration of Spike, then this might explain why, within the context of the Wuhan-Hu-1 sequence at least, this mutation results in moderate reduction in neutralization by monoclonal antibodies in all four RBD neutralizing antibody classes; including those that do not have S/371 in their binding footprint <sup>46</sup>.

If mutations in the three cluster regions do epistatically interact with one another, then one might expect that selection would favour their co-occurrence either within individual SARSCoV-2 genome sequences that have so far been sampled, or as minor variants within unassembled intrapatient sequence data. We failed to detect such associations in any systematic manner (Figure 7). While there are individual pairs of BA.1 mutations that cooccur more frequently than expected by chance (e.g. 440K in the presence of T95I), they do not involve cluster 1, 2, and 3 mutations. Furthermore, many of the BA.1 mutation pairs

(which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

occur together **less** frequently than expected by chance (e.g. 478K and 501Y). Rather than reflecting an absence of epistasis between the cluster 1, 2, and 3 mutation sites our failure to detect the co-occurrence of Omicron mutation pairs at these sites simply reflects the rarity of these mutations within both assembled SARS-CoV-2 genome sequences and raw intrapatient sequence datasets (Figure 4).



haplotypes from other lineages (data up to October 15, 2021). Only mutations occurring in at least 10 haplotypes are shown. All sequences having exactly the same S-gene sequence count as a single unique haplotype; instead of counting raw sequence numbers, this approach focuses on the number of unique genetic backgrounds in which pairs of codons co-occur. Circles show odds ratios for finding the mutation on the X axis when the mutation on the Y axis is also present (vs when it is not present). Red circles depict OR > 1, while blue circles 1/OR for OR < 1. Black circles on the right show the fraction of globally sampled SARS-CoV-2 S-gene haplotypes which carry the corresponding mutation.

(which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.

# Evidence that cluster 1, 2 and 3 sites may be coevolving with other genome sites during the ongoing diversification of BA.1

If pairs of the 13 mutations in the three cluster regions are epistatically interacting we would expect that these mutations might show evidence of coevolution during the ongoing diversification of the BA.1 lineage. We therefore tested the 135247 BA.1 annotated S-gene sequences that were available in GISAID <sup>12</sup> as of 05 Jan 2022 for evidence that any of the 630 site pairs with sufficient evolutionary signal (at least two non-synonymous substitutions along internal branches of a subsampled tree of genetically unique S-gene sequences) were coevolving using a Bayesian graphical model method <sup>41</sup>.



**BA.1 lineage since the divergence of sampled BA.1 sequences from their most recent common ancestor**. For SUBS = x,y,z: x = the number of non-synonymous substitutions likely occurring in the left codon along internal tree branches (i.e. where the mutant yielded multiple sampled and sequenced descendants): y = the number of non-synonymous substitutions likely occurring in the right codon along internal tree branches; and z = the number of non-synonymous substitutions likely occurring in both codons along the same internal tree branches. PP = posterior probability of conditional non-independence of substitutions at the two sites.

(which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

We found six pairs of sites to be coevolving with posterior probability (PP)  $\ge 0.9$  (Figure 8). Two sites in Cluster 1 (S/371 and S/375) share substitutions along three internal tree branches (in all cases reversions to Wuhan-Hu-1 S residues at both sites) with the LF  $\rightarrow$  SS reversion pair at these sites having a co-occurrence log-odds [LOD] of 6.5. In cluster 2, S/493 co-evolves with S/496 and S/498; in both cases substitutions along two internal branches are shared, and in both cases these substitutions are reversions to Wuhan-Hu-1 residues (RS  $\rightarrow$  QG; LOD = 6.6 and RR  $\rightarrow$  QQ, LOD = 6.4). One of the two branches involves the reversion of all three residues. Two sites in cluster 3, S/856 and S/954, are detectably coevolving in that they share a KH  $\rightarrow$  NQ substitution pair along one internal tree branch (LOD = 8.2).

The detected coevolution between these site pairs supports the hypothesis that at least some mutations within each of the three cluster regions are epistatically interacting with one another, and, therefore, that the combined fitness impacts of the mutations in each of the cluster regions are likely more positive than the sum of the individual impacts of each mutation alone.

#### How might mutations in the three cluster regions impact spike function?

Whether or not epistasis is restricted to a few site-pairs within the three cluster regions or is extensively operating between mutations within and/or between these regions, the amino acid changes caused by these mutations likely represent a substantial remodelling of two functionally important components of the BA.1 Spike: the receptor binding domain and the fusion domain.

The cluster region 3 encoded amino acid changes in the part of Spike that is responsible for membrane fusion suggest that the membrane fusion machinery of the BA.1 Spike may have been overhauled. These modifications possibly contribute to reduced TMPRSS2 mediated cleavage relative to Delta of BA.1 Spike at the polybasic S1/S2 cleavage site \*, reduced sensitivity to endosomal restriction factors (such as IFITM proteins) <sup>7</sup>, and a shift in the preferred route of cellular entry from surface to endosomal \*\*: functionally important changes collectively resulting in a reduction relative to other SARS-CoV-2 lineages in the reliance of BA.1 on TMPRSS2 for cellular entry, a broadened cellular tropism, and a reduced propensity for infected cells to form syncytia <sup>78</sup>.

(which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.

The mutations in cluster regions 1 and 2 fall within the receptor binding domain (RBD) encoding part of the S-gene. These mutations, together with those at S/417, S/440, S/446 underlie an extensive remodelling of the ACE2 receptor binding surface <sup>10,30</sup>; accommodating major changes in the way that Spike interacts with the ACE2 of humans and other animals

Of the cluster 2 sites, all of which fall within the receptor binding motif encoding part of the RBD, only S/498 and S/505 show signs of the Wuhan-Hu-1 encoded amino acid state having been selectively favoured in the past (S/498 in SARS-CoV-2 and S/505 in nCoV). No signs of any positive selection at the other cluster 2 sites in SARS-CoV-2 implies that changes at these and the negatively selected sites in cluster 2 have likely not individually contributed to effective immune evasion since the start of the pandemic. Deep mutational scans (Figure 9; ") have found little evidence that individual substitutions at S/505 have antigenic effects; S/496R and S/498R have only moderate antigenic effects, similar to those of the 501Y mutation. The exception that proves the rule that sites in this region might not be free to change in response to immune pressures is 493R. Given that 493R has a strong antigenic effect, if it was not under selective constraints to sustain optimal degrees of ACE2 interaction. \* it should (but does not) display at least intermittently detectable signs of positive selection.



(which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.

36 monoclonal antibodies (8 class 1, 13 class 2, 7 class 3, and 8 class 4 antibodies). Sites that are mutated in the BA.1 relative to Wuhan-Hu-1 are indicated and colored according to the predicted antigenic effect of mutations at that site (strong, moderate, or minimal). An interactive version of this plot is available at <a href="https://jbloomlab.github.io/SARS2\_RBD">https://jbloomlab.github.io/SARS2\_RBD</a> Ab escape maps/.

# How and why have so many apparently maladaptive mutations been assembled within Omicron?

Given the manifest viability of BA.1 and the other Omicron sub-lineages there is a pressing need to understand how and why they accumulated so many mutations that, on their own at least, are apparently either selectively neutral or maladaptive. The genetic distance between the Omicron sublineages and their nearest known SARS-CoV-2 relatives implies that the Omicron progenitor accumulated its unprecedented number of mutations during an extensive period of undetected replication. When accurate molecular clock estimates are obtained of both the time when Omicron last shared a common ancestor with other SARSCoV-2 lineages, and the time when all the detected Omicron sublineages last shared a common ancestor, we will have upper and lower bounds on the amount of time it took for Omicron to assemble its complement of mutations.

The Omicron progenitor could have spent this period of intensive or prolonged evolution in a region that carries out minimal genomic surveillance and/or where access to, or utilization of, health care resources is low (the surveillance failure hypothesis). Alternatively, this viral evolution could have taken place within a long-term infection (or possibly serial long-term infections; the chronic infection hypothesis), or during spread within a non-human host population (the reverse-zoonosis hypothesis). Combinations of these evolutionary modes are also a possibility. We will only be able to distinguish between these hypotheses with more data.

Currently, the simple existence of three distinct Omicron lineages best supports the surveillance failure hypothesis at least for the latter stages of Omicron evolution following the divergence of the BA.1, BA.2 and BA.3 lineages from their most recent common ancestor. However, if similarly divergent SARS-CoV-2 variants are discovered in either longterm human infections or in other animal species, these would support the other hypotheses.

(which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.

Relative to evolution during normal SARS-CoV-2 person-to-person transmission, evolution within the context of either long-term infections or an alternative animal host could potentially have occurred at an accelerated pace <sup>22,42</sup>. In the context of either chronic

infections of immunosuppressed individuals <sup>42229</sup>, or animals that naturally sustain long-term SARS-CoV-2 infections (such as may be the case for white tailed deer given the extraordinarily high frequencies of ongoing SARS-CoV-2 infections discovered in these <sup>43,41</sup>), purifying selection may have been relaxed somewhat relative to that occurring during normal human-to-human transmission: enough so for genomes carrying suboptimal combinations of epistatically interacting mutations to remain viable while fitter combinations were discovered via additional mutations and genetic recombination. In addition, chronic infections are not impacted by the tight transmission bottlenecks that can stochastically purge nascent adaptive mutations during normal transmission <sup>45,46</sup>.

Sequential cycles of immune surveillance and viral immune escape within a long-term infection could also potentially explain the mutation clusters without the need to invoke compensatory epistatic interactions between mutations. Specifically, the clustered mutation patterns in the Spike proteins of BA.1 and other Omicron sub-lineages are reminiscent of those seen in the HIV envelope protein as a consequence of sequentially acquired virus mutations that evade the progressively broadening neutralization potential of a maturing antibody lineage 4. While signs of negative selection at 9/13 of the mutated codons in the three cluster regions of Omicon are not entirely consistent with this hypothesis, the overwhelming contributor to these negative selection signals are the selective processes operating during normal short-term SARS-CoV-2 infections where the antibody-pathogen dynamics simply don't have time to develop. It is possible that if purifying selection is relaxed at these sites during unusually prolonged infections, then neutralizing antibody evasion mutations might be tolerated. Even if purifying selection were not relaxed, however, during a chronic infection the potential long-term fitness costs that are incurred by highly effective immune evasion mutations might frequently be offset by the immediate fitness benefits of evading neutralization.

# It remains unclear whether mutations in cluster regions 1, 2 and 3 are showing signs of reversion

Whatever the process that yielded the three clusters of rarely seen mutations in the Omicron progenitor, now that it is being transmitted among people, any deleterious immune evasion mutations it has accumulated might be substantially less tolerable. Likewise, some of the mutations it may have accumulated during its adaptation to transmission in an alternative

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.14.476382; this version posted January 18, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not sortified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is

(which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

animal species would now also potentially be somewhat maladaptive. If the rarely-seen mutations at negatively selected sites in the RBD of BA.1 lineage viruses that are known to be targeted by neutralizing antibodies have begun reverting since BA.1 emerged, it would best support the chronic-infection hypothesis in that such reversions would imply a trade-off between intrahost replicative and/or movement fitness and immune evasion. Alternatively, if reversion mutations have occurred at BA.1 lineage virus receptor binding motif sites that are known to impact human ACE2 binding but which have minor antigenic impacts, this would better support the reverse zoonosis hypothesis.

Comparative evolutionary analyses focused on the BA.1 subclade of the SARS-CoV-2 phylogenetic tree revealed signatures of positive diversifying selection at 20 of the 28 Sgene codon sites that contain BA.1 lineage-defining mutations (Table 2, **bold**, deletions/insertions were not considered). Strong evidence of positive selection (FEL p < 0.001) was also detectable at several codon sites of the S gene that do not contain BA.1 lineage-defining mutations; most notably S/346 (R $\rightarrow$ K), S/452 (L $\rightarrow$ R) and S/701 (A $\rightarrow$ V). Amino acid changes encoded at all three of these codons are likely adaptive with S/R346K and S/L452R likely providing moderate degrees of escape from neutralizing antibodies <sup>16</sup>, and S/A701V previously identified as one the 19 most adaptive Spike mutations within the context of N501Y carrying VOC lineages (Alpha, Beta and Gamma) <sup>15</sup>.

We found no molecular evidence for negative selection at any of these sites. At all sites, the vast majority of changes, measured either as fractions in all consensus genomes, or substitutions along internal branches of the phylogenetic tree of representative sequences, involve reversions to Wuhan-Hu-1 amino-acid states. At all sites, a fraction of sampled genomes have missing data (fully or partially unresolved nucleotides; Table 2). For key sites in RBD, this fraction is very high and, crucially, there is a strong correlation ( $R^2 = 0.773$ ) between the percentage missing data at a site and the number of reversion mutations inferred at that site (Figure 10). When multiplexing multiple samples in single sequencing runs, it is likely that known primer dropout issues for BA.1 sequences (e.g. from Delta lineages) that contaminate sample preparation laboratories and sequencing devices. When sequence reads derived from these contaminating templates are amplified to a similar degree to (or a greater degree than) BA.1 templates for a given region and are then used to

(which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

assign nucleotide states in assembled genomes, apparent reversion mutations could result.

(which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.

**Table 2.** Evolutionary dynamics within BA.1 clade sequences at the positions of the S gene where BA.1 differs from the Wuhan-Hu-1 reference strain (WT) by an amino-acid change. **Missing**, % -- fraction of complete genomes in GISAID that have partially (e.g AAN) or completely (NNN) unresolved codons at this site. **Total mut**, % -- the fraction of sequences where there are mutations away from the BA.1 consensus codon (resolved codons only). **Rev**, % -- the fraction of sequences where there are mutations away from the BA.1 consensus back to the wildtype (WT). **Syn.mut**, % -- the fraction of sequences where there are synonymous mutations that maintain the BA.1 residue. **Total subs** -- the number of substitutions along internal branches of the BA.1 phylogeny which involve resolved nucleotides (based on the SLAC method); **Syn subs**. -- the number of substitutions that replace the BA.1 consensus residue with the WT residue. Bolded sites are those which are experiencing episodic positive selection along internal tree branches.

Pos	WT	<b>BA.1</b>	Missing, %	Total mut. %	Rev. % S	Syn. mut %	Total subs Sy	n. subs	Rev. subs
67	А	V	0.61	0.125	0.123	0.029	1	0	1
95	Т	Ι	2.159	0.112	0.11	0	3	0	1
142	G	D	3.646	0.364	0.307	0.076	5	1	2
339	G	D	4.623	0.513	0.509	0	12	0	9
371	S	L	10.541	0.8	0.753	0.017	7	0	4
373	S	Ρ	10.314	0.82	0.818	0.005	6	0	4
375	S	F	10.18	1.002	1.002	0.001	9	0	6
417	Κ	Ν	65.478	3.041	3.038	0.001	16	0	13
440	Ν	Κ	62.292	1.94	1.939	0	14	0	12
446	G	S	61.538	1.728	1.712	0.001	13	0	9
477	S	Ν	9.474	0.956	0.951	0.005	12	0	10
478	Т	Κ	9.335	0.763	0.76	0.001	14	0	8
484	Е	А	9.466	1.069	0.975	0.019	8	0	8
493	Q	R	9.161	0.945	0.938	0.011	5	0	5
496	G	S	10.567	0.93	0.927	0.001	4	0	4
498	Q	R	10.677	0.977	0.975	0.043	5	0	5
501	Ν	Υ	10.496	0.947	0.942	0	6	0	4
505	Υ	Н	10.972	1.039	1.039	0.016	8	0	5
547	Т	Κ	0.208	0.083	0.082	0	0	0	0
614	D	G	0.127	0.02	0.02	0.001	1	0	1
655	Н	Υ	0.257	0.101	0.101	0.001	2	0	2
679	Ν	Κ	0.336	0.204	0.204	0.002	4	0	4
681	Ρ	Н	0.338	0.21	0.125	0.001	5	0	1
764	Ν	Κ	31.574	0.554	0.554	0.001	9	0	9
796	D	Υ	2.833	0.26	0.234	0.001	9	0	7
856	Ν	Κ	2	0.14	0.14	0.001	2	0	2
954	Q	Н	2.074	0.07	0.07	0.001	2	0	2
969	Ν	Κ	1.888	0.12	0.118	0.001	2	0	2

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.14.476382; this version posted January 18, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

(which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

It is therefore unsurprising that for every BA.1 mutation in cluster regions 1, 2 and 3 we
found multiple instances of reversions occurring along internal tree branches (a mean of 4.7
reversions per site; computed over internal tree branches in the reduced haplotype tree;
Table 2). However, we noted that this pattern was also apparent for all of the other BA.1
spike mutations (a mean of 4.3 reversions per site): particularly so for the 15 BA.1 mutations
falling within the RBD (mean of 5.3 for the cluster region 1 and 2 sites and 9.1 for the other
sites). Further, of the 144 reversion mutations found across all of the S-gene, 13 (9.0%)
were within clusters of three to four contiguous mutations: a degree of clustering that is
significantly higher than would be expected for random independent mutations (permutation
p-value < 0.001) (Figer 10). This pattern would, however, be expected with the widespread
use of sequencing provide that are basely suited to BA.1 sequencing.
When we account for the association between sequence coverage and reversion mutation
counts, it is apparent hat in the S-gene we do not see more reversion mutations at cluster
region 1, 2 and 3 coden sites than at other BA.1 lineage-defining mutation sites (Figure 10).
It therefore follows thet, by this metric, the cluster 1, 2 and 3 mutations are, with the possible
exception of that at 05/339 (Figure 10), not obviously less adaptive lest frig1the 64 of fig0 frig1 ons
diversification of BAT than are the other S-gene BA.1 lineage-defining mutations.
Despite not support
demonstrate unusuary frequent reversions of cluster region 1, 2 and 3 mutations, remains
consistent with the hypothesis that these mutations are broadly adaptive when they occur
in the combinations found on BA.1 lineage viruses.
0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70
Percentage missing data

Figure 10. Association between the proportion of sequences with missing data at a BA.1 mutation site and the number of reversion mutations seen at that site. This significant association between missing data and reversion mutation counts (dotted blue trendline with Pearson's  $R^2 = 0.773$ ; p < 0.01) is likely attributable to miscalled nucleotides at BA.1 mutation sites whenever read coverage is low during sequencing. Under conditions when PCR/sequencing primers are not optimal for the amplification of BA.1 sequence, non-BA.1 SARS-CoV-2 genetic material contaminating sequencing instruments and other laboratory equipment used for sample preparation, will occasionally yield more amplions/sequence reads than those from the intended BA.1 target sequences. Wherever the nucleotide states of these contaminant amplicons are different than those of the intended BA.1 target, they will frequently yield base miscalls during sequence assembly that, if the miscalled base corresponds with an ancestral state, will be misinterpreted as reversion mutations. Compared to BA.1 lineage-defining

(which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.

mutations in the S-gene at codon sites that are positively selected (red dots), the thirteen mutations at negatively selected or neutrally evolving cluster region 1,2 and 3 sites (blue dots) actually have a lower than average number of detectable reversion mutations (note how the blue dots predominantly fall below the blue trend line). Only one of these 13 mutations (at codon S/339) has a number of reversions that might be higher than expected given the percentage missing data for the codons where the mutations occur.

#### Conclusion

Regardless of how the complement of mutations in the three cluster regions was assembled, their presence in BA.1 together with indirect evidence that the mutations are epistatically interacting is concerning. As with the concomitant emergence of the Alpha, Beta and Gamma VOCs in late 2020, part of the reason that the emergence of Omicron was a surprise is that the evolvability of SARS-CoV-2 is still deeply under-appreciated. It is becoming increasingly apparent that the evolutionary processes that yielded BA.1 involved balancing multiple fitness trade-offs: (1) between immune escape escence and affinity for human and/or animal ACE2 proteins 7-0.39; (2) between efficient proteolytic priming with TMPRSS2 which expedites cellular entry via the cell surface e-a and increased resistance to endosomal restriction factors (such as IFITM proteins) which enable more efficient cellular entry via the endocytic route 7; (3) between preferred tropism for cells in the upper respiratory tract and preferred tropism for cells in the lower respiratory tract 7a, and (4) between increased propensity for Spike monomers to switch from the down to up configurations and overall Spike trimer stability <sup>37,30</sup>. Fortunately, the collection of mutations in BA.1 appear at present to have tilted the balance of these and other trade-offs towards

It remains unclear what roles epistatic interactions between the BA.1 S-gene cluster region 1, 2 and 3 mutations have played in resolving these trade-offs. It is evident, however, that the extensive mutational changes in BA.1 that have collectively yielded these resolutions are as similar to "normal" stepwise mutational changes seen in previous variants as antigenic shifts are to antigenic drifts <sup>50</sup>. The evolutionary dynamics of the clustered rarely seen mutations in the RBD and fusion domains of BA.1 lineage viruses suggest that - rather than merely supporting minor tweaks in the antigenicity of Spike, its ACE2 binding affinity or its membrane fusion properties - these mutations are likely pivotal to the big observed shifts in how BA.1 Spike proteins function.

the virus having decreased clinical severity in humans 51,52.

(which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.
While a threat in its own right, BA.1 is also a warning. It demonstrates that complex evolutionary remodelling of important functional elements of SARS-CoV-2 are not just possible, but are potentially already occurring unnoticed in other poorly sampled lineages.
We should not complacently assume that the balance of fitness trade-offs achieved by the extensively evolved VOCs that succeed BA.1 will be similarly tilted towards lower severity.

### Methods and Materials

## Global analyses of selection

Unless specified otherwise, all analyses were performed on single gene (e.g. S) or peptide products (e.g. nsp3); since genes/peptides are the targets of selection. Global SARS-CoV-2 gene/peptide datasets were compiled (from GISAID; <sup>12</sup>), processed and analysed at monthly intervals for evidence of selection acting on individual codon sites as in <sup>15</sup>. Results of these analyses at codons where Omicron mutations occur can be visualized using an Observable notebook at https://observablehq.com/@spond/sars-cov-2-selected-sites.

# Analyses of intrapatient SARS-CoV-2 diversity

Intrahost allelic variation seen at BA.1 amino acid mutation sites was analysed in 282788 annotated (i.e. with detailed associated metadata) publically available SARS-CoV-2 raw sequencing datasets from the UK, Greece, Estonia, Ireland and South Africa between March 2020 and September 2021 all of which were processed and analyzed using the standardized variant calling pipeline described in 24. All variant calling data for genomic sites where BA.1, 2, and 3 lineage defining mutations occur were extracted from processed datatsets available ftp://xfer13.crg.eu/ via and https://covid19.galaxyproject.org/genomics/global\_platform/#processed-cog-uk-data and be explored using the observable notebook can at https://observablehg.com/@spond/intrahost-dashboard.

### Analyses of selection in sarbecoviruses related to SARS-CoV-2

The whole genome sequences of 167 members of the *Sarbecovirus* subgenus (including SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 Wuhan-Hu-1; See <a href="https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1sSt7fRiBYeW9z5Amj1\_OywHhfxCnZ2wqo9gnL">https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1sSt7fRiBYeW9z5Amj1\_OywHhfxCnZ2wqo9gnL</a> <a href="https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1sSt7fRiBYeW9z5Amj1\_OywHhfxCnZ2wqo9gnL">Ks q74c/edit?usp=sharing</a> for the full list of accession numbers) were aligned using MAFFT (with the localpair option <sup>54</sup>). GARD <sup>55</sup> was used on the whole-genome alignment to determine 26 recombination breakpoints based on which individual gene codon alignments were separated. Phylogenies for the resulting putatively non-recombinant codon alignments were reconstructed using IQTREE2 <sup>56</sup> (GTR+I+F+G4 model) and selection signals specific to the

(which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

nCoV clade branches were inferred using the FEL <sup>13</sup> and MEME <sup>57</sup> methods as in <sup>58</sup>. Results of these analyses for all gene regions can be explored using the observable notebook at https://observablehq.com/@spond/ncos-evolution-nov-2021.

### Analyses of selection in the BA.1 sublineage

Because the codon-based selection analyses that we performed gain no power from including identical sequences, and minimal power from including sequences that are essentially identical, we filtered BA.1 and reference (GISAID) sequences using pairwise genetic distances complete linkage clustering with the tn93-cluster tool

(https://github.com/veg/tn93). All groups of sequences that were within D genetic distance (Tamura-Nei 93) of every other sequence in the group were represented by a single (randomly chosen) sequence in the group. We set D at 0.0001 for lineage-specific sequence sets, and at 0.0015 for GISAID reference (or "background") sequence sets. We restricted the reference set of sequences to those sampled before Oct 15th, 2020.

We inferred a maximum likelihood tree from the combined sequence dataset using raxmlng using default settings (GTR+G model, 20 starting trees). We partitioned internal branches in the resulting tree into two non-overlapping sets used for testing and annotated the Newick tree. Because of a lack of phylogenetic resolution in some of the segments/genes, not all analyses were possible for all segments/genes. In particular, this is true when lineage BA.1 sequences were not monophyletic in a specific region, and no internal branches could be labeled as belonging to the focal lineage.

We used HyPhy v2.5.34 (http://www.hyphy.org/) <sup>14</sup> to perform a series of selection analyses. Analyses in this setting need to account for a well-known feature of viral evolution <sup>41</sup> where terminal branches include "dead-end" (maladaptive or deleterious on the population level) <sup>14</sup> mutation events within individual hosts which have not been "seen" by natural selection, whereas internal branches must include at least one transmission event. However, because our tree is reduced to only include unique haplotypes, even leaf nodes could represent "transmission" events, if the same leaf haplotype was sampled more than once (and the vast majority were). The branches leading to these repeatedly sampled haplotypes were therefore also included in the analyses.

We performed an additional analysis on BA.1 sequences, which includes data available in GISAID up to Jan 5th, 2022. The workflow for intrahost gene analysis is as follows (code available at <a href="https://github.com/veg/omicron-selection">https://github.com/veg/omicron-selection</a>; please note the scripts require the GISAID FASTA files and are not robust to changes in input format).

(which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

- 1. Obtain GISAID sequences annotated as BA.1
- 2. Map them to the reference S-gene using bealign (part of the BioExt Python package). bealign -r CoV2-S input.fasta output.bam; bam2msa output.bam S.mapped.fasta
- 3. Identify all sequences that are identical up to ambiguous nucleotides using tn93cluster (these are the unique haplotypes). tn93-cluster -f -t 0.0 S.mapped.fasta > S.clusters.0.json; python3 python/clusterprocessor.py S.clusters.0.json > S.haplo.fasta

4. Reduce the set of unique haplotypes to clusters of sequences that are all within

0.002 genetic distance of one another (tn93-cluster -f -t 0.002 S.haplo.fasta > S.clusters.1.json; python3

python/clusterprocessor.py S.clusters.1.json > S.uniq.fasta

- 5. Identify and remove all sequences that are 0.0075 subs/site away from the "main" clusters (outliers/low quality sequences which result in long tree branches, or are possibly misclassified)
- 6. For each remaining sequence cluster, build a majority consensus sequence using resolved nucleotides (assuming there's at least 3). Remove clusters that comprise fewer than three sequences. Add reference sequences for BA.2 and BA.3 to add in tree rooting.
- 7. Building an ML phylogeny using raxml-ng. Annotate BA.1 internal branches.
- 8. Gene-level tests for selection on the internal branches of the BA.1 clades using BUSTED<sup>57</sup> with synonymous rate variation enabled.
- 9. Codon site-level tests for episodic diversifying (MEME) or and pervasive positive or negative selection (FEL) <sup>13</sup> on the internal branches of the BA.1 clade.
- 10. Epistasis/co-evolution inference on substitutions along internal branches of the BA.1 clade using Bayesian Graphical models 41.
- 11. We combined all the results using a Python script and visualized results using several open source libraries in ObservableHQ

(https://observablehg.com/@spond/ba1-selection).

### Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge all of the authors from the originating laboratories responsible for obtaining the specimens and the submitting laboratories where genetic sequence data were generated and shared via the GISAID Initiative, on which this research is based. The

(which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license. Network for Genomic Surveillance in South Africa is supported by the Strategic Health Innovation Partnerships Unit of the South African Medical Research Council, with funds received from the South African Department of Science and Innovation. DPM, RW and CW are funded by Wellcome (222574/Z/21/Z). SLKP and AN are supported in part by R01 AI134384 (NIH/NIAID). OAM was supported by the Wellcome Trust [206369/Z/17/Z]. SL and DLR are funded by the MRC (MC UU 12014/12). JDB's work is supported in part by R01 Al141707. JDB is an Investigator of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute. WM and BG receive funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 and Horizon Europe research and innovation programmes under grant agreements No 871075 (ELIXIR-CONVERGE) and 101046203 (BY-COVID). PL acknowledges funding from the European Research Council under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement no.~725422 - ReservoirDOCS), from the Wellcome Trust through project 206298/Z/17/Z, and from NIH grants R01 AI153044 and U19 AI135995. RJW receives support from the Francis Crick Institute which is funded by Wellcome (FC0010218), MRC (UK) (FC0010218) and Cancer Research UK (FC0010218). He also receives support from Wellcome (203135) and the Medical Research Council of South Africa. For the purpose of open access the author has applied a CC-BY public copyright licence to any author-accepted version of this manuscript.

### **Competing Interests**

JDB consults for Moderna, Flagship Labs 77, and Oncorus. JDB, AJG, and TNS are inventors on Fred Hutch licensed patents related to deep mutational scanning of viral proteins.

#### References

- 1. Viana, R. *et al.* Rapid epidemic expansion of the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant in southern Africa. *Nature* (2022) doi:10.1038/d41586-021-03832-5.
- Rambaut, A. *et al.* Preliminary genomic characterisation of an emergent SARS-CoV-2 lineage in the UK defined by a novel set of spike mutations. *Virological* https://virological.org/t/preliminary-genomic-characterisation-of-an-emergent-sars-cov-2lineage-in-the-uk-defined-by-a-novel-set-of-spike-mutations/563 (2020).
- Scheepers, C. *et al.* The continuous evolution of SARS-CoV-2 in South Africa: a new lineage with rapid accumulation of mutations of concern and global detection. *medRxiv* (2021) doi:10.1101/2021.08.20.21262342.
- 4. Karim, F. *et al.* Persistent SARS-CoV-2 infection and intra-host evolution in association with advanced HIV infection. *medRxiv* (2021) doi:10.1101/2021.06.03.21258228.
- 5. Wei, C. *et al.* Evidence for a mouse origin of the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant. *BioRxiv* (2021) doi:10.1101/2021.12.14.472632.

- (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.
  - Willett, B. J. *et al.* The hyper-transmissible SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant exhibits significant antigenic change, vaccine escape and a switch in cell entry mechanism. *medRxiv* (2022) doi:10.1101/2022.01.03.21268111.
  - Peacock, T. P. *et al.* The SARS-CoV-2 variant, Omicron, shows rapid replication in human primary nasal epithelial cultures and efficiently uses the endosomal route of entry. *BioRxiv* (2022) doi:10.1101/2021.12.31.474653.
  - 8. Meng, B. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 Omicron spike mediated immune escape, infectivity and cellcell fusion. *BioRxiv* (2021) doi:10.1101/2021.12.17.473248.
  - 9. Cameroni, E. *et al.* Broadly neutralizing antibodies overcome SARS-CoV-2 Omicron antigenic shift. *BioRxiv* (2021) doi:10.1101/2021.12.12.472269.
  - 10. McCallum, M. *et al.* Structural basis of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron immune evasion and receptor engagement. *BioRxiv* (2021) doi:10.1101/2021.12.28.474380.
  - 11. Escalera-Zamudio, M. *et al.* Identification of site-specific evolutionary trajectories shared across human betacoronaviruses. *BioRxiv* (2021) doi:10.1101/2021.05.24.445313.
  - 12. Elbe, S. & Buckland-Merrett, G. Data, disease and diplomacy: GISAID's innovative contribution to global health. *Global Challenges* **1**, 33–46 (2017).
  - Kosakovsky Pond, S. L. & Frost, S. D. W. Not so different after all: a comparison of methods for detecting amino acid sites under selection. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 22, 1208–1222 (2005).
  - Kosakovsky Pond, S. L. *et al.* HyPhy 2.5-A Customizable Platform for Evolutionary Hypothesis Testing Using Phylogenies. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **37**, 295–299 (2020).
  - 15. Martin, D. P. *et al.* The emergence and ongoing convergent evolution of the SARS-CoV-2 N501Y lineages. *Cell* **184**, 5189-5200.e7 (2021).
  - 16. Greaney, A. J. *et al.* Complete Mapping of Mutations to the SARS-CoV-2 Spike Receptor-Binding Domain that Escape Antibody Recognition. *Cell Host Microbe* **29**, 44-57.e9 (2021).
  - 17. Starr, T. N. *et al.* Deep Mutational Scanning of SARS-CoV-2 Receptor Binding Domain Reveals Constraints on Folding and ACE2 Binding. *Cell* **182**, 1295-1310.e20 (2020).
  - 18. Yuan, M. *et al.* Structural and functional ramifications of antigenic drift in recent SARS-CoV-2 variants. *Science* **373**, 818–823 (2021).
  - 19. Zahradník, J. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 variant prediction and antiviral drug design are enabled by RBD in vitro evolution. *Nat. Microbiol.* **6**, 1188–1198 (2021).
  - Lubinski, B., Tang, T., Daniel, S., Jaimes, J. A. & Whittaker, G. R. Functional evaluation of proteolytic activation for the SARS-CoV-2 variant B.1.1.7: role of the P681H mutation. *BioRxiv* (2021) doi:10.1101/2021.04.06.438731.
  - 21. Meng, B. *et al.* Recurrent emergence of SARS-CoV-2 spike deletion H69/V70 and its role in the Alpha variant B.1.1.7. *Cell Rep.* **35**, 109292 (2021).
  - 22. Kemp, S. A. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 evolution during treatment of chronic infection. *Nature* **592**, 277–282 (2021).

(which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

- Xu, C. *et al.* Conformational dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 trimeric spike glycoprotein in complex with receptor ACE2 revealed by cryo-EM. *Sci. Adv.* 7, (2021).
- 24. Maier, W. *et al.* Ready-to-use public infrastructure for global SARS-CoV-2 monitoring. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **39**, 1178–1179 (2021).
- 25. Barnes, C. O. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibody structures inform therapeutic strategies. *Nature* **588**, 682–687 (2020).
- 26. Liu, L. *et al.* Striking Antibody Evasion Manifested by the Omicron Variant of SARS-CoV-2. *Nature* (2021) doi:10.1038/s41586-021-04388-0.
- Greaney, A. J. *et al.* Comprehensive mapping of mutations in the SARS-CoV-2 receptorbinding domain that affect recognition by polyclonal human plasma antibodies. *Cell Host Microbe* 29, 463-476.e6 (2021).
- 28. Weisblum, Y. *et al.* Escape from neutralizing antibodies by SARS-CoV-2 spike protein variants. *eLife* **9**, (2020).
- 29. Choi, B. *et al.* Persistence and Evolution of SARS-CoV-2 in an Immunocompromised Host. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **383**, 2291–2293 (2020).
- Mannar, D. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 Omicron Variant: ACE2 Binding, Cryo-EM Structure of Spike Protein-ACE2 Complex and Antibody Evasion. *BioRxiv* (2021) doi:10.1101/2021.12.19.473380.
- 31. Zeng, C. *et al.* Neutralization and Stability of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron Variant. *BioRxiv* (2021) doi:10.1101/2021.12.16.472934.
- Lytras, S., Hughes, J., Xia, W., Jiang, X. & Robertson, D. L. Exploring the natural origins of SARS-CoV-2. *BioRxiv* (2021) doi:10.1101/2021.01.22.427830.
- Murrell, B. *et al.* Detecting individual sites subject to episodic diversifying selection. *PLoS Genet.* 8, e1002764 (2012).
- 34. Starr, T. N. *et al.* ACE2 binding is an ancestral and evolvable trait of sarbecoviruses. *BioRxiv* (2021) doi:10.1101/2021.07.17.452804.
- 35. Kang, L. *et al.* A selective sweep in the Spike gene has driven SARS-CoV-2 human adaptation. *Cell* **184**, 4392-4400.e4 (2021).
- 36. Bate, N. *et al.* In vitro evolution predicts emerging CoV-2 mutations with high affinity for ACE2 and cross-species binding. *BioRxiv* (2021) doi:10.1101/2021.12.23.473975.
- 37. Wrobel, A. G. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 and bat RaTG13 spike glycoprotein structures inform on virus evolution and furin-cleavage effects. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **27**, 763–767 (2020).
- Berger, I. & Schaffitzel, C. The SARS-CoV-2 spike protein: balancing stability and infectivity.

Cell Res. 30, 1059–1060 (2020).

- 39. Miller, N. L., Clark, T., Raman, R. & Sasisekharan, R. Insights on the mutational landscape of the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant. *BioRxiv* (2021) doi:10.1101/2021.12.06.471499.
- 40. Liu, L. *et al.* Striking Antibody Evasion Manifested by the Omicron Variant of SARS-CoV-2. *BioRxiv* (2021) doi:10.1101/2021.12.14.472719.

(which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.

- Poon, A. F. Y., Lewis, F. I., Pond, S. L. K. & Frost, S. D. W. An evolutionary-network model reveals stratified interactions in the V3 loop of the HIV-1 envelope. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* 3, e231 (2007).
- 42. Lu, L. *et al.* Adaptation, spread and transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in farmed minks and associated humans in the Netherlands. *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 6802 (2021).
- 43. Hale, V. L. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 infection in free-ranging white-tailed deer. *Nature* (2021) doi:10.1038/s41586-021-04353-x.
- Kuchipudi, S. V. *et al.* Multiple spillovers and onward transmission of SARS-Cov-2 in freeliving and captive White-tailed deer (Odocoileus virginianus). *BioRxiv* (2021) doi:10.1101/2021.10.31.466677.
- 45. Braun, K. M. *et al.* Acute SARS-CoV-2 infections harbor limited within-host diversity and transmit via tight transmission bottlenecks. *PLoS Pathog.* **17**, e1009849 (2021).
- 46. Lythgoe, K. A. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 within-host diversity and transmission. *Science* **372**, (2021).
- Landais, E. *et al.* HIV envelope glycoform heterogeneity and localized diversity govern the initiation and maturation of a V2 apex broadly neutralizing antibody lineage. *Immunity* 47, 990-1003.e9 (2017).
- 48. Arctic Network. SARS-CoV-2 V4.1 update for Omicron variant.

https://community.artic.network/t/sars-cov-2-v4-1-update-for-omicron-variant/342. 49. Cele, S. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 Omicron has extensive but incomplete escape of Pfizer

- BNT162b2 elicited neutralization and requires ACE2 for infection. *medRxiv* (2021) doi:10.1101/2021.12.08.21267417.
- 50. Sheward, D. J. *et al.* Variable loss of antibody potency against SARS-CoV-2 B.1.1.529 (Omicron). *BioRxiv* (2021) doi:10.1101/2021.12.19.473354.
- Jassat, W. *et al.* Clinical severity of COVID-19 patients admitted to hospitals in Gauteng, South Africa during the omicron-dominant fourth wave. *SSRN Journal* (2021) doi:10.2139/ssrn.3996320.
- Davies, M.-A. *et al.* Outcomes of laboratory-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection in the Omicron-driven fourth wave compared with previous waves in the Western Cape Province, South Africa. *medRxiv* (2022) doi:10.1101/2022.01.12.22269148.
- 53. van der Straten, K. *et al.* Mapping the antigenic diversification of SARS-CoV-2. *medRxiv* (2022) doi:10.1101/2022.01.03.21268582.
- Katoh, K., Rozewicki, J. & Yamada, K. D. MAFFT online service: multiple sequence alignment, interactive sequence choice and visualization. *Brief. Bioinformatics* bbx108 (2017) doi:10.1093/bib/bbx108.
- Kosakovsky Pond, S. L., Posada, D., Gravenor, M. B., Woelk, C. H. & Frost, S. D. W. GARD: a genetic algorithm for recombination detection. *Bioinformatics* 22, 3096–3098 (2006).

(which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

- Nguyen, L.-T., Schmidt, H. A., von Haeseler, A. & Minh, B. Q. IQ-TREE: a fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 32, 268–274 (2015).
- 57. Murrell, B. *et al.* Gene-wide identification of episodic selection. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **32**, 1365–1371 (2015).
- MacLean, O. A. *et al.* Natural selection in the evolution of SARS-CoV-2 in bats created a generalist virus and highly capable human pathogen. *PLoS Biol.* **19**, e3001115 (2021).