

City Research Online

City, University of London Institutional Repository

Citation: Charlton, P. H., Pilt, K. & Kyriacou, P. A. (2022). Establishing best practices in photoplethysmography signal acquisition and processing. Physiological Measurement, doi: 10.1088/1361-6579/ac6cc4

This is the accepted version of the paper.

This version of the publication may differ from the final published version.

Permanent repository link: https://openaccess.city.ac.uk/id/eprint/28156/

Link to published version: https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6579/ac6cc4

Copyright: City Research Online aims to make research outputs of City, University of London available to a wider audience. Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyright holders. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to.

Reuse: Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

 City Research Online:
 http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/
 publications@city.ac.uk



ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT • OPEN ACCESS

Establishing best practices in photoplethysmography signal acquisition and processing

To cite this article before publication: Peter H Charlton et al 2022 Physiol. Meas. in press https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6579/ac6cc4

Manuscript version: Accepted Manuscript

Accepted Manuscript is "the version of the article accepted for publication including all changes made as a result of the peer review process, and which may also include the addition to the article by IOP Publishing of a header, an article ID, a cover sheet and/or an 'Accepted Manuscript' watermark, but excluding any other editing, typesetting or other changes made by IOP Publishing and/or its licensors"

This Accepted Manuscript is © 2022 The Author(s). Published by IOP Publishing Ltd..

As the Version of Record of this article is going to be / has been published on a gold open access basis under a CC BY 3.0 licence, this Accepted Manuscript is available for reuse under a CC BY 3.0 licence immediately.

Everyone is permitted to use all or part of the original content in this article, provided that they adhere to all the terms of the licence <u>https://creativecommons.org/licences/by/3.0</u>

Although reasonable endeavours have been taken to obtain all necessary permissions from third parties to include their copyrighted content within this article, their full citation and copyright line may not be present in this Accepted Manuscript version. Before using any content from this article, please refer to the Version of Record on IOPscience once published for full citation and copyright details, as permissions may be required. All third party content is fully copyright protected and is not published on a gold open access basis under a CC BY licence, unless that is specifically stated in the figure caption in the Version of Record.

View the <u>article online</u> for updates and enhancements.

Establishing best practices in photoplethysmography signal acquisition and processing

Establishing best practices in photoplethysmography signal acquisition and

processing

Peter H. Charlton ^{1,2}, Kristjan Pilt ³, and Panicos A. Kyriacou ²

¹ Department of Public Health and Primary Care, University of Cambridge, UK

² Research Centre for Biomedical Engineering, City, University of London, UK

³ Department of Health Technologies, Tallinn University of Technology, Ehitajate tee 5, 19086 Tallinn, Estonia

Abbreviated Title:

Best practices in photoplethysmography

Corresponding Author:

Peter H. Charlton

pc657@medschl.cam.ac.uk

Strangeways Research Laboratory, 2 Worts' Causeway, Cambridge, CB1 8RN, UK

Abstract

Photoplethysmography is now widely utilised by clinical devices such as pulse oximeters, and wearable devices such as smartwatches. It holds great promise for health monitoring in daily life. This editorial considers whether it would be possible and beneficial to establish best practices for photoplethysmography signal acquisition and processing. It reports progress made towards this, balanced with the challenges of working with a diverse range of photoplethysmography device designs and intended applications, each of which could benefit from different approaches to signal acquisition and processing. It concludes that there are several potential benefits to establishing best practices. However, it is not yet clear whether it is possible to establish best practices which hold across the range of photoplethysmography device designs and applications.

Key terms

photoplethysmogram, pulse wave analysis, signal processing, wearables

Page 2 of 13

Establishing best practices in photoplethysmography signal acquisition and processing

 This Editorial considers whether it would be possible and beneficial to establish best practices for acquiring and processing photoplethysmography signals.

Photoplethysmography is an optical technique which provides non-invasive measurements of the arterial pulse wave, which is related to the blood volume change in the observed microvascular tissue. The photoplethysmogram (PPG) signal is already widely utilised by clinical devices such as pulse oximeters (1), and wearable devices such as smartwatches (2). Photoplethysmography holds great promise for health monitoring in daily life. Indeed, several potential applications of photoplethysmography were presented in 2021 alone in *Physiological Measurement*, including: blood pressure monitoring (3, 4); detecting peripheral arterial disease (5); sleep staging (6); screening for sleep apnea and cardiovascular disease (7, 8); and detecting driver sleepiness (9).

Despite the widespread use of photoplethysmography, best practices have not yet been established for acquiring and processing photoplethysmography signals. This may in part be due to the diversity of photoplethysmography device designs, ranging from smartwatches to earbuds, and applications, ranging from oxygen saturation measurement in clinical practice to heart rate monitoring during exercise (10). Potentially, the best approach to signal acquisition and signal processing could differ between each device design and application. Nonetheless, there could be benefits to establishing best practices, such as establishing hardware configurations that consistently provide high quality signals, and establishing signal processing algorithms that can accurately derive parameters from a variety of PPG signals. This is illustrated by the findings of Liu et al. in their recent article in *Physiological Measurement*. They found that the use of different PPG signal filtering settings can result in different measurements being obtained from PPG pulse wave analysis. Based on this, they highlighted the potential benefits of the 'standardisation' of PPG filtering (11). In this case, establishing best practices for filtering PPG signals would have the benefit of allowing pulse wave indices to be compared between studies and between devices. However, this may not be straightforward as different filtering settings may be required for different applications, such as heart Establishing best practices in photoplethysmography signal acquisition and processing

26 rate monitoring (which uses the fundamental frequency of the PPG, ≈0.5-3Hz) and blood pressure

27 assessment (which uses higher frequency content).

Potential areas in which best practices could be established include factors relating to device

- 29 design (hardware and software) and measurement protocols (recording setting and duration). These
- 30 are summarised in Figure 1, and now described.

Establishing best practices in photoplethysmography signal acquisition and processing

Hardware

- Wavelength of light
- Sensor geometryContact pressure
- Body site
- Transmission or reflectance

Software

- Sampling frequency
- Motion artifact removal
- Digital filtering
- Signal processing algorithms

Measurement protocol

- Clinical or consumer setting At rest or during activity
- Recording duration
- Acceptable signal quality

Important advances

- Devices providing raw signals
- Freely available datasets
- Standardised assessment procedures

Figure 1: Factors influencing photoplethysmography measurements, and important advances towards establishing best practices. *Source: This Max Health Band image has been obtained by the authors from the <u>Wikimedia website</u> where it was made available*

by Peter H Charlton under a <u>CC BY 4.0</u> licence. It is included within this article on that basis. It is attributed to Peter H Charlton.

Several factors in the hardware design influence the PPG signal (2, 12), and are therefore potential areas in which best practices could be established. Firstly, the wavelength of emitted light determines the depth of light penetration, and consequently the level of the vasculature contributing to the PPG signal (13), which influences signal quality (14). Current best practice is to use longer wavelengths (*e.g.* infrared) for transmission photoplethysmography as these penetrate deeper (15), and shorter wavelengths (*e.g.* green) for reflectance photoplethysmography as these produce higher signal quality for heart rate measurement (17). However, this practice may need to be revisited as the

Establishing best practices in photoplethysmography signal acquisition and processing

use of green light has been found to result in less accurate heart rate monitoring in subjects with darker skin tones (19). Secondly, in reflectance photoplethysmography the signal quality is influenced by the geometry of the light emitter, light detector, and sensor casing. Current best practice is to design the surrounding casing to eliminate ambient light as far as possible (18). In the future this may be extended to using geometries in which the LED surrounds the photo detector, as these have been found to give higher signal quality (16). Thirdly, the contact pressure applied by the device to the skin impacts the shape of the PPG pulse wave (20) and consequently its second derivative (21). Best practice in the area of contact pressure has not yet been established: higher pressures may reduce probe-tissue movement artifact, and have been found to increase the accuracy of PPG-based heart rate monitoring (22). However, it is not clear whether such pressures would be suitable for long-term monitoring. Ideally, the contact pressure should remain constant when analysing pulse wave shape, such as when tracking changes nocturnal changes in blood pressure (23). Fourthly, the body site chosen for PPG measurement influences pulse wave shape (27), and the utility of the acquired signal (2). Best practice has not yet been established in this area: in clinical devices the finger is often used (29), whereas in consumer devices the wrist is often used due to user preference (24). In summary, the challenge of establishing best practices is not trivial, as several factors can influence the PPG signal, and it is likely that different device configurations would be best suited to different applications.

The software used in PPG devices influences the PPG signal and the parameters derived from it, and therefore presents potential areas in which to establishing best practices. Firstly, there is a compromise between increasing the sampling frequency to capture details of the shape of PPG pulse waves, and reducing it to reduce power consumption (30). Best practices differ between applications, with minimum acceptable sampling frequencies of 10, 16, and 25 Hz reported for heart rate, respiratory rate, and pulse rate variability measurements respectively (25), (26), (28). Secondly, different approaches can be used to remove motion artifact, ranging from eliminating periods of motion (31), to denoising the PPG (32), to cancelling motion artifact using a reference accelerometer

Page 5 of 13

Establishing best practices in photoplethysmography signal acquisition and processing

or gyroscope signal (33). Here, best practices also differ between applications: in hospital monitoring it has been proposed that periods of motion should be eliminated from analyses (40), whereas in exercise monitoring the alternative approaches of denoising the PPG or cancelling motion artifact are used (32). Whilst it may be challenging to develop a universal strategy to PPG signal quality assessment, recent work has demonstrated that a single approach can perform well across different heart rhythms and different PPG devices (42). Thirdly, the analog and digital filtering used to preprocess signals influences both the amplitudes and timings of PPG pulse wave features (11, 34). For instance, an optimal low-pass filter cut-off of 6 Hz has been proposed to preserve the higher harmonic components of the PPG, and minimise variability in indices calculated from its second derivative (35). Fourthly, the choice of signal processing algorithm used to estimate a physiological parameter from the signal can greatly influence the accuracy and precision of the parameter (36). Best practices for deriving pulse wave features from finger PPG signals have been proposed (37, 38). However, best practices have not yet been established for signals acquired at the wrist, which differ from finger signals (39). Similarly, it could be beneficial to optimise neural network architectures for PPG analyses, building on existing architectures (6). Further work is also required to identify the best pulse wave features for different tasks from amongst the wide range of features proposed in the literature (41, 43). For instance, recent studies have investigated the best features for blood pressure estimation (3) and pulse rate variability analysis (44). The best algorithm design may also depend on a subject's characteristics, as shown by recent proposals of different blood pressure estimation algorithms for subjects of different ages (45) and subjects of different blood pressure categories (46). In summary, it may be difficult to establish best practices for the software used in PPG devices, as the best approach may vary according to the sensor configuration, application, and subjects being monitored.

A further area in which best practices could be established is the protocols used to obtain PPG measurements, where best practices could be used to obtain repeatable and reproducible measurements. Measurement protocols can be tightly controlled in clinical settings, where

Establishing best practices in photoplethysmography signal acquisition and processing

consideration can be given to room temperature, subject position, and the duration of rest prior to measurement (47). However, protocols cannot be so tightly controlled when obtaining measurements from consumer devices in daily life. Nevertheless, measurements can be obtained in a repeatable manner during periods of rest, such as resting and night-time resting heart rates (48) (49). Future work may consider the required recording durations and acceptable levels of signal quality to estimate different physiological parameters from the PPG (50). Whilst it is possible to obtain some parameters during exercise (e.g. heart rate) (32), it may only be possible to obtain other parameters accurately whilst at rest (e.g. those derived from the second derivative of the PPG, such as the aging index) (51).

It is clear that there are several potential areas in which best practices could be established for the acquisition and processing of PPG signals. However, it is not yet clear whether it would be possible and beneficial to establish best practices. On the one hand: it may not be possible to establish best practices as they may vary greatly between device designs and applications; it may not be possible to use them widely if they are patented; and, they may not be beneficial if they don't substantially improve device performance. On the other hand, establishing best practices could: reduce the time taken to design and manufacture devices; ensure PPG-based measurements are as accurate and reproducible as possible; and, help advance the field as researchers and developers could build on existing best practices when making novel developments.

Several advances could aid research into determining whether it would be possible and beneficial to establish best practices for PPG signal acquisition and processing. Firstly, wearable devices which provide the raw PPG signal are invaluable for such research, as demonstrated through the use of the Empatica E4 wristband in many research studies (52). Whilst several research devices can provide the raw PPG signal (10), large-scale studies could be conducted more easily in daily life if consumer devices were similarly able to provide raw PPG signals. Secondly, freely available datasets allow researchers to benchmark their own PPG signal processing algorithms against others on a common dataset. Several such datasets are available (10), including: the WeSAD and PPG-DaLiA

Establishing best practices in photoplethysmography signal acquisition and processing

datasets, acquired using an Empatica E4 device in healthy subjects (53) (54); and the VitalDB and the MIMIC Waveform databases, acquired from critically-ill patients (55, 56). However, there are limitations to current datasets: they are often collected from either healthy volunteers or a particular patient population, rather than a broad cross-section of society; they often contain PPG signals acquired by only one device, rather than signals acquired using different hardware configurations; and they are often recorded in either laboratory or clinical settings, but few are recorded in daily life. Thirdly, there is a need for widely accepted validation protocols with which to assess the performance of PPG-based devices. Such protocols already exist for devices measuring blood pressure and heart rate (57) (58). However, different standards may be required for different applications, such as varying the accuracy and data availability thresholds according to the intended use case and measurement scenario (59, 60).

To conclude, there are several potential benefits to establishing best practices for acquiring and processing PPG signals. However, it is not yet clear whether it is possible to establish best practices which hold across the range of PPG device designs and applications. Therefore, much further work is required to investigate whether it would be possible and beneficial to establish best practices, and to understand how they may differ between device designs and intended applications.

Acknowledgments

This paper is based upon work from COST ACTION CA18216 'Network for Research in Vascular Ageing', supported by COST (European Cooperation in Science and Technology): www.cost.eu . The work was supported by British Heart Foundation (BHF) grant FS/20/20/34626, and by the Estonian Ministry of Education and Research under personal post-doctoral research funding PUTJD815.

Certain images in this publication have been obtained by the authors from the Wikimedia website, where they were made available under a Creative Commons licence. Please see individual

Establishing best practices in photoplethysmography signal acquisition and processing

figure captions in this publication for details. To the extent that the law allows, IOP Publishing and Institute of Physics and Engineering in Medicine disclaim any liability that any person may suffer as a result of accessing, using or forwarding the image(s). Any reuse rights should be checked and permission should be sought if necessary from Wikimedia and/or the copyright owner (as appropriate) before using or forwarding the image(s). References 1. Alian AA, Shelley KH. PPG in clinical monitoring. In: Photoplethysmography, edited by Kyriacou PA, Allen J. Elsevier, p. 341–359. 2. Charlton PH, Marozas V. Wearable photoplethysmography devices. In: Photoplethysmography, edited by Kyriacou PA, Allen J. Elsevier, p. 401–439. Xing X, Ma Z, Xu S, Zhang M, Zhao W, Song M, Dong W-F. Blood pressure assessment with in-3. ear photoplethysmography. Physiol Meas 42: 105009, 2021. doi: 10.1088/1361-6579/ac2a71. 4. Esmaelpoor J, Moradi MH, Kadkhodamohammadi A. Cuffless blood pressure estimation methods: Physiological model parameters versus machine-learned features. Physiol Meas 42, 2021. doi: 10.1088/1361-6579/abeae8. Allen J, Liu H, Iqbal S, Zheng D, Stansby G. Deep learning-based photoplethysmography 5. classification for peripheral arterial disease detection: A proof-of-concept study. Physiol Meas 42, 2021. doi: 10.1088/1361-6579/abf9f3. Li Q, Li Q, Cakmak AS, Poian G Da, Bliwise DL, Vaccarino V, Shah AJ, Clifford GD. Transfer 6. learning from ECG to PPG for improved sleep staging from wrist-worn wearables. Physiol Meas 42, 2021. doi: 10.1088/1361-6579/abf1b0. 7. Ouyang V, Ma B, Pignatelli N, Sengupta S, Sengupta P, Mungulmare K, Fletcher RR. The use of multi-site photoplethysmography (PPG) as a screening tool for coronary arterial disease and atherosclerosis. Physiol Meas 42, 2021. doi: 10.1088/1361-6579/abad48. 8. Behar JA, Liu C, Kotzen K, Tsutsui K, Corino VDA, Singh J, Pimentel MAF, Warrick P, Zaunseder S, Andreotti F, Sebag D, Kopanitsa G, McSharry PE, Karlen W, Karmakar C, Clifford GD. Remote health diagnosis and monitoring in the time of COVID-19. Physiol Meas 41, 2020. doi: 10.1088/1361-6579/abba0a. 9. Hultman M, Johansson I, Lindqvist F, Ahlström C. Driver sleepiness detection with deep neural networks using electrophysiological data. Physiol Meas 42, 2021. doi: 10.1088/1361-

Page 9 of 13

Establishing best practices in photoplethysmography signal acquisition and processing 6579/abe91e. 10. Charlton PH, Kyriacou PA, Mant J, Marozas V, Chowienczyk P, Alastruey J. Wearable Photoplethysmography for Cardiovascular Monitoring. Proc IEEE 110: 355–381, 2022. doi: 10.1109/JPROC.2022.3149785. Liu H, Allen J, Khalid SG, Chen F, Zheng D. Filtering-induced time shifts in 11. photoplethysmography pulse features measured at different body sites: The importance of filter definition and standardization. *Physiol Meas* 42, 2021. doi: 10.1088/1361-6579/ac0a34. Lemay M, Bertschi M, Sola J, Renevey P, Genzoni E, Proença M, Ferrario D, Braun F, Parak J, 12. Korhonen I. Applications of Optical Cardiovascular Monitoring. . Liu J, Yan BP, Zhang Y, Ding X, Su P, Zhao N. Multi-wavelength photoplethysmography enabling 13. continuous blood pressure measurement with compact wearable electronics. IEEE Trans Biomed Eng 66: 1514–1525, 2019. doi: 10.1109/TBME.2018.2874957. Fallow BA, Tarumi T, Tanaka H. Influence of skin type and wavelength on light wave 14. reflectance. J Clin Monit Comput 27: 313–317, 2013. doi: 10.1007/s10877-013-9436-7. Anderson RR, Parrish JA. The optics of human skin. J Invest Dermatol 77: 13-19, 1981. doi: 15. 10.1111/1523-1747.ep12479191. 16. Khan Y, Han D, Ting J, Ahmed M, Nagisetty R, Arias AC. Organic multi-channel optoelectronic sensors for wearable health monitoring. IEEE Access 7: 128114-128124, 2019. doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2939798. 17. Matsumura K, Toda S, Kato Y. RGB and near-infrared light reflectance/transmittance photoplethysmography for measuring heart rate during motion. IEEE Access 8: 80233-80242, 2020. doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2990438. Abay TY, Kyriacou PA. Photoplethysmography in oxygenation and blood volume 18. measurements. In: *Photoplethysmography*, edited by Kyriacou PA, Allen J. Elsevier, p. 147–188. 19. Fine J, Branan KL, Rodriguez AJ, Boonya-Ananta T, Ajmal, Ramella-Roman JC, McShane MJ, Coté GL. Sources of inaccuracy in photoplethysmography for continuous cardiovascular monitoring. . Chandrasekhar A, Yavarimanesh M, Natarajan K, Hahn J-O, Mukkamala R. PPG Sensor 20. Contact Pressure Should Be Taken Into Account for Cuff-Less Blood Pressure Measurement. IEEE Trans Biomed Eng 67: 3134–3140, 2020. doi: 10.1109/TBME.2020.2976989. 21. Grabovskis A, Marcinkevics Z, Rubins U, Kviesis-Kipge E. Effect of probe contact pressure on the photoplethysmographic assessment of conduit artery stiffness. J Biomed Opt 18: 027004, 2013. doi: 10.1117/1.JBO.18.2.027004. 22. Scardulla F, D'acquisto L, Colombarini R, Hu S, Pasta S, Bellavia D. A study on the effect of

Establishing best practices in photoplethysmography signal acquisition and processing

1		Lotar	
2	208		contact pressure during physical activity on photoplethysmographic heart rate measurements.
4 5	209		Sensors 20: 1–15, 2020. doi: 10.3390/s20185052.
6 7	210	23.	Radha M, de Groot K, Rajani N, Wong CCP, Kobold N, Vos V, Fonseca P, Mastellos N, Wark
8	211		PA, Velthoven N, Haakma R, Aarts RM. Estimating blood pressure trends and the nocturnal
9 10	212		dip from photoplethysmography. Physiol Meas 40: 025006, 2019. doi: 10.1088/1361-
11 12	213		6579/ab030e.
13 14	214	24.	Prinable JB, Foster JM, McEwan AL, Young PM, Tovey E, Thamrin C. Motivations and key
15	215		features for a wearable device for continuous monitoring of breathing: A web-based survey.
16 17	216		JMIR Biomed Eng 2: e1, 2017. doi: 10.2196/biomedeng.7143.
18 19	217	25.	Wolling F, Van Laerhoven K. Fewer samples for a longer life span. In: Proc. iWOAR. ACM, p. 1–
20	218		10.
21 22	219	26.	Charlton PH, Bonnici T, Tarassenko L, Alastruey J, Clifton DA, Beale R, Watkinson PJ.
23 24	220		Extraction of respiratory signals from the electrocardiogram and photoplethysmogram:
25	221		technical and physiological determinants. Physiol Meas 38: 669–690, 2017. doi: 10.1088/1361-
26 27	222		6579/aa670e.
28 29	223	27.	Hartmann V, Liu H, Chen F, Qiu Q, Hughes S, Zheng D. Quantitative comparison of
30	224		photoplethysmographic waveform characteristics: Effect of measurement site. Front Physiol
31	225		10: 198, 2019. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2019.00198.
33 34	226	28.	Choi A, Shin H. Photoplethysmography sampling frequency: Pilot assessment of how low can
35	227		we go to analyze pulse rate variability with reliability? Physiol Meas 38: 586-600, 2017. doi:
30 37	228		10.1088/1361-6579/aa5efa.
38 39	229	29.	Alty SR, Angarita-Jaimes N, Millasseau SC, Chowienczyk PJ. Predicting arterial stiffness from
40 41	230		the digital volume pulse waveform. IEEE Trans Biomed Eng 54: 2268–2275, 2007. doi:
41	231		10.1109/TBME.2007.897805.
43 44	232	30.	Lee J, Jang DH, Park S, Cho SH. A low-power photoplethysmogram-based heart rate sensor
45 46	233		using heartbeat locked loop. IEEE Trans Biomed Circuits Syst 12: 1220-1229, 2018. doi:
40 47	234		10.1109/TBCAS.2018.2876671.
48 49	235	31.	Guo Z, Ding C, Hu X, Rudin C. A supervised machine learning semantic segmentation approach
50 51	236		for detecting artifacts in plethysmography signals from wearables. Physiol Meas 42: 125003,
52	237		2021. doi: 10.1088/1361-6579/ac3b3d.
53 54	238	32.	Zhang Z, Pi Z, Liu B. TROIKA: A general framework for heart rate monitoring using wrist-type
55 56	239		photoplethysmographic signals during intensive physical exercise. IEEE Trans Biomed Eng 62:
57	240		522–531, 2015. doi: 10.1109/TBME.2014.2359372.
58 59	241	33.	Marozas V, Charlton PH. Wearable photoplethysmography devices. Zenodo:
60			9

Page 11 of 13

1		Estab	lishing best practices in photoplethysmography signal acquisition and processing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	242		https://doi.org/10.5281/zepodo.4601547:2021
	242	34	Liang V Elgendi M Chen 7 Ward R An optimal filter for short photoplethysmogram signals
	245	54.	Sci Data 5: 1–12, 2018, doi: 10.1038/sdata 2018.76
	244	25	Pilt K Ferenets R Meigas K Lindherg L-G Temitski K Viigimaa M New
	245	55.	photoplethysmographic signal analysis algorithm for arterial stiffness estimation. Sci World I
	240		$2012 \cdot 1_{-0}$ 2012 doi: 10.1155/2012/160025
	247	26	Charlton DH Ronnici T. Tarassanko I. Clifton DA. Roale P. Watkinson PI. An assassment of
	240	50.	algorithms to estimate respiratory rate from the electrocardiogram and photoplethycmogram
	249		algorithms to estimate respiratory rate from the electrocardiogram and photoplethysmogram.
	250	27	Physiol Meds 37: 610–26, 2016. doi: 10.1088/0967-3334/37/4/610.
	251	37.	Eigendi W . Detection of c, d, and e waves in the acceleration photoplethysmogram. <i>Comput</i>
	252		Methods Programs Biomed 11/: 125–136, 2014. doi: 10.1016/j.cmpb.2014.08.001.
	253	38.	Elgendi M, Norton I, Brearley M, Abbott D, Schuurmans D. Detection of a and b waves in the
24	254		acceleration photoplethysmogram. <i>Biomed Eng Online</i> 13: 139, 2014. doi: 10.1186/1475-925X-
25 26	255		13-139.
27 28	256	39.	Rajala S, Lindholm H, Taipalus T. Comparison of photoplethysmogram measured from wrist
28 29 30 31 32	257		and finger and the effect of measurement location on pulse arrival time. Physiol Meas 39:
	258		075010, 2018. doi: 10.1088/1361-6579/aac7ac.
	259	40.	Orphanidou C, Bonnici T, Charlton P, Clifton D, Vallance D, Tarassenko L. Signal-quality indices
33 34	260		for the electrocardiogram and photoplethysmogram: derivation and applications to wireless
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42	261		monitoring. IEEE J Biomed Heal Informatics 19: 832–8, 2015. doi: 10.1109/JBHI.2014.2338351.
	262	41.	Charlton PH, Celka P, Farukh B, Chowienczyk P, Alastruey J. Assessing mental stress from the
	263		photoplethysmogram: a numerical study. Physiol Meas 39: 054001, 2018. doi: 10.1088/1361-
	264		6579/aabe6a.
	265	42.	Mohagheghian F, Han D, Peitzsch A, Nishita N, Ding E, Dickson E, Dimezza D, Otabil E,
43 44	266		Noorishirazi K, Scott J, Lessard D, Wang Z, Whitcomb C, Tran K, Fitzgibbons T, Mcmanus D,
45 46	267		Chon K. Optimized Signal Quality Assessment for Photoplethysmogram Signals using Feature
40 47	268		Selection.
48 49	269	43.	Lin WH, Li X, Li Y, Li G, Chen F. Investigating the physiological mechanisms of the
50	270		photoplethysmogram features for blood pressure estimation. Physiol Meas 41: 0–13, 2020.
51 52	271		doi: 10.1088/1361-6579/ab7d78.
53 54	272	44.	Peralta E, Lazaro J, Bailon R, Marozas V, Gil E. Optimal fiducial points for pulse rate variability
55	273		analysis from forehead and finger photoplethysmographic signals. <i>Physiol Meas</i> 40, 2019. doi:
56 57	274		10.1088/1361-6579/ab009b.
58 59	275	45.	Xing X, Ma Z, Zhang M, Gao X, Li Y, Song M, Dong W-FF. Robust blood pressure estimation
60	7	7	10

Establishing best practices in photoplethysmography signal acquisition and processing from finger photoplethysmography using age-dependent linear models. *Physiol Meas* 41:

025007, 2020. doi: 10.1088/1361-6579/ab755d.

1 2 3

4 5

60

277

- Khalid SG, Liu H, Zia T, Zhang J, Chen F, Zheng D. Cuffless Blood Pressure Estimation Using
 Single Channel Photoplethysmography: A Two-Step Method. *IEEE Access* 8: 58146–58154,
 2020. doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2981903.
- 11 12
 281
 47. Allen J, Hedley S. Simple photoplethysmography pulse encoding technique for communicating 13
 282
 the detection of peripheral arterial disease - A proof of concept study. *Physiol Meas* 40, 2019. 15
 283
 doi: 10.1088/1361-6579/ab3545.
- Radin JM, Wineinger NE, Topol EJ, Steinhubl SR. Harnessing wearable device data to improve
 state-level real-time surveillance of influenza-like illness in the USA: a population-based study.
 Lancet Digit Heal 2: e85–e93, 2020. doi: 10.1016/S2589-7500(19)30222-5.
- 21 287 49. Mishra T, Wang M, Metwally AA, Bogu GK, Brooks AW, Bahmani A, Alavi A, Celli A, Higgs E, 22 23 Dagan-Rosenfeld O, Fay B, Kirkpatrick S, Kellogg R, Gibson M, Wang T, Hunting EM, Mamic P, 288 24 25 289 Ganz AB, Rolnik B, Li X, Snyder MP. Pre-symptomatic detection of COVID-19 from smartwatch 26 data. Nat Biomed Eng 4: 1208-1220, 2020. doi: 10.1038/s41551-020-00640-6. 290 27
- 28
 291 50. Huthart S, Elgendi M, Zheng D, Stansby G, Allen J. Advancing PPG Signal Quality and Know 30
 292 How Through Knowledge Translation—From Experts to Student and Researcher. Front Digit
 31
 32
 293 Heal 2: 619692, 2020. doi: 10.3389/fdgth.2020.619692.
- 33 294 51. Takazawa K, Tanaka N, Fujita M, Matsuoka O, Saiki T, Aikawa M, Tamura S, Ibukiyama C. 34 35 295 Assessment of vasoactive agents and vascular aging by the second derivative of 36 296 photoplethysmogram Hypertension 32: 365-370, 1998. 37 waveform. doi: 38 297 10.1161/01.HYP.32.2.365. 39
- 40 298 52. McCarthy C, Pradhan N, Redpath C, Adler A. Validation of the Empatica E4 wristband. In: *Proc.* 41 42 299 IEEE EMBS ISC. IEEE.
- 43
 44
 45
 46
 53. Schmidt P, Reiss A, Duerichen R, Van Laerhoven K. Introducing WeSAD, a multimodal dataset
 45 for wearable stress and affect detection. In: *Proc. ICMI*, p. 400–408.
- 4730254.Reiss A, Indlekofer I, Schmidt P, Van Laerhoven K. Deep PPG: large-scale heart rate estimation48303with convolutional neural networks. Sensors 19: 3079, 2019. doi: 10.3390/s19143079.
- 50
51304
55.55.Lee HC, Jung CW. Vital Recorder- A free research tool for automatic recording of high-
resolution time-synchronised physiological data from multiple anaesthesia devices. Sci Rep 8:
1-8, 2018. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-20062-4.
- 55
5630756.Johnson AEW, Pollard TJ, Shen L, Lehman LH, Feng M, Ghassemi M, Moody B, Szolovits P,57308Anthony Celi L, Mark RG. MIMIC-III, a freely accessible critical care database. Sci Data 3:58
59309160035, 2016. doi: 10.1038/sdata.2016.35.

Page 13 of 13

 Establishing best practices in photoplethysmography signal acquisition and processing

57. Stergiou GS, Alpert B, Mieke S, Asmar R, Atkins N, Eckert S, Frick G, Friedman B, Graßl T, Ichikawa T, Ioannidis JP, Lacy P, McManus R, Murray A, Myers M, Palatini P, Parati G, Quinn D, Sarkis J, Shennan A, Usuda T, Wang J, Wu CO, O'Brien E. A universal standard for the validation of blood pressure measuring devices: Association for the Advancement of Medical Society of Hypertension/International Organization for Instrumentation/European Standardization (AAMI/ESH/ISO) Collaboration Statement. Hypertension 71: 368–374, 2018. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.117.10237.

- Mühlen JM, Stang J, Lykke Skovgaard E, Judice PB, Molina-Garcia P, Johnston W, Sardinha LB, 58. Ortega FB, Caulfield B, Bloch W, Cheng S, Ekelund U, Brønd JC, Grøntved A, Schumann M. Recommendations for determining the validity of consumer wearable heart rate devices: expert statement and checklist of the INTERLIVE Network. Br J Sports Med 55: 767–779, 2021. doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2020-103148.
- Mukkamala R, Yavarimanesh M, Natarajan K, Hahn JO, Kyriakoulis KG, Avolio AP, Stergiou 59. GS. Evaluation of the Accuracy of Cuffless Blood Pressure Measurement Devices: Challenges 1161-1167, 78: 2021. and Proposals. Hypertension doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.121.17747.
- 3032660.Consumer Technology Association. Physical Activity Monitoring for Heart Rate, ANSI/CTA 206531327[Online]. 2018. https://shop.cta.tech/collections/standards/products/physical-activity-33328monitoring-for-heart-rate.