

Citation for published version: Golbabaee, M & Peyré, G 2013, Support Stability of Group Lasso. in *Signal Processing with Adaptive Sparse Structured Representations (SPARS13).* Signal Processing with Adaptive Sparse Structured Representations (SPARS).

Publication date: 2013

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link to publication

**University of Bath** 

## **Alternative formats**

If you require this document in an alternative format, please contact: openaccess@bath.ac.uk

### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

# Support Stability of Group Lasso

Mohammad Golbabaee and Gabriel Peyré

Centre de Recherche en Mathmatiques de la Décision (CEREMADE), CNRS and Université Paris-Dauphine

E-mail:{golbabaee,peyre}@ceremade.dauphine.fr

Abstract—This paper proposes a novel signal-dependent criterion to guarantee the stability of support recovery using group-Lasso regularization. This criterion ensures that, when the signal-to-noise ratio is large enough,  $\ell_1 - \ell_2$  block sparsity regularization recovers a signal with the same block support as the original signal. Consequently, this implies a linear convergence of the  $\ell_2$  recovery error when the noise tends to zero. In the noiseless case, this criterion guarantees that one recovers exactly the original signal.

#### I. GROUP LASSO REGULARIZATION

Given a set of non-overlapping blocks  $\mathcal{B}$  describing a partition  $\{1, \ldots, N\} = \bigcup_{b \in \mathcal{B}} b$ , the block norms  $\ell_1 - \ell_2$  and  $\ell_{\infty} - \ell_2$  of  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$  are defined as

$$\|x\|_{1,2} \triangleq \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \|x_b\|_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \|x\|_{\infty,2} \triangleq \max_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \|x_b\|_2. \tag{1}$$

We consider the problem of recovering  $x^0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$  from a set of linear and noisy observations  $y = \Phi x^0 + w$ , where  $\Phi \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times N}$  is the design operator, and  $w \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is the noise vector. The design operator  $\Phi$  is typically ill-posed and thus, recovering a precise approximation of  $x_0$ from y is a challenging inverse problem. The block sparsity structure of the original vector appears to be beneficial for obtaining a robust estimation. Following for instance [1], a group Lasso estimator is proposed by solving the following convex problem:

$$(\mathcal{P}_{\lambda}(y)) \quad x^{\star} \in \operatorname*{argmin}_{x} \frac{1}{2} \|y - \Phi x\|_{2}^{2} + \lambda \|x\|_{1,2}.$$

The main objective of this article is to analyze the performance of  $(\mathcal{P}_{\lambda}(y))$  for a deterministic (non-probabilistic) setup of  $x^{0}$ ,  $\Phi$  and w.

#### **II. SUPPORT STABILITY**

a) Main Contribution: Let us first fix some notations: we denote by  $I \triangleq \{b \in \mathcal{B} \setminus x_b^0 \neq 0\}$  the support set of  $x^0$ , where  $x_b^0 = (x_i^0)_{i \in b}$ . We denote by  $T = \min_{b \in I} ||x_b^0||_2 > 0$  the signal level. Assuming that  $\Phi_I$  is injective, the *identifiably criterion* associated to  $x^0$  reads

$$\mathbf{IC}(x_0) = \|\Phi_{I^c}^* \Phi_I^{+,*} \mathcal{N}(x_{0,I})\|_{\infty,2} \text{ where } \mathcal{N}(x_I) = \left(\frac{x_b}{\|x_b\|}\right)_{b \in I},$$

 $\Phi_I^+ = (\Phi_I^* \Phi_I)^{-1} \Phi_I^*$ , and  $I^c$  denotes the co-support. The following theorem presents the main contribution of this article:

Theorem 1: Suppose  $\Phi_I$  is an injective operator and  $\mathbf{IC}(x^0) < 1$ .  $\exists c_I, \tilde{c}_I > 0$  such that, if  $||w||_2/T < \tilde{c}_I/c_I$  and  $c_I ||w||_2 < \lambda < \tilde{c}_I T$ , the solution  $x^*$  of  $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda}(y)$  is unique and it is exactly supported on *I*. In addition,  $||x^* - x^0||_2 \leq C_I ||w||_2 + \tilde{C}_I \lambda$  for some constants  $C_I, \tilde{C}_I > 0$ .

In plain words, this theorem asserts that for a properly chosen  $\lambda$  proportional to the noise level, the condition  $\mathbf{IC}(x^0) < 1$  ensures both exact support recovery (i.e.  $x^*$  shares the same support as  $x^0$ ), and a linear convergence of the  $\ell_2$  recovery error i.e.  $||x^0 - x^*||_2 = O(||w||_2)$ . This implies exact signal recovery  $x^* = x^0$  when w = 0 and  $\lambda = 0^+$ , as previously shown in [2].

b) Previous and Related Works: Theorem 1 is proved by Fuchs [3] in the special case of the Lasso i.e. the  $\ell_1$  regularization (having blocks of size 1). Eldar and Rauhut [2] derived the same identifiably criterion, however, ensuring exact block sparse recovery only in the noiseless case i.e. w = 0 and  $\lambda = 0^+$ . Bach [4] showed that  $IC(x^0) < 1$  ensures consistency of the group lasso when  $\Phi$  is injective, which corresponds to the convergence of  $x^*$  to  $x_0$  when  $\lambda \sim ||w|| \rightarrow 0^+$ . We extend these results to the inverse problem setting where  $\Phi$  might not be injective and we provide non-asymptotic bounds on the signal-to-noise ratio to ensure support identifiability.

### III. SKETCH OF THE PROOF

We construct  $\hat{x}$  with  $\hat{x}_{I^c} = 0$  and

$$\hat{x}_I = \operatorname*{argmin}_{x_I} \mathcal{F}(x_I) \text{ where } \mathcal{F}(x_I) = \frac{1}{2} \|y - \Phi_I x_I\|^2 + \lambda \|x_I\|_{1,2}$$
 (2)

The proof proceeds by showing that  $\hat{x} = x^*$  is the solution to this problem when  $\lambda$  is well chosen. This requires to show that

$$(C_1) \quad \|\Phi_{I^c}^*(y - \Phi_I \hat{x}_I)\|_{\infty, 2} < \lambda,$$

and  $(\hat{x})_b \neq 0$  for all  $b \in I$ . The later is implied by the condition

$$(C_2) \quad \|\hat{x}_I - x_I^0\|_{\infty,2} < T.$$

For an injective  $\Phi_I$ , the optimality condition i.e.,  $0 \in \partial \mathcal{F}(\hat{x}_I)$ , gives

$$\|\hat{x}_{I} - x_{I}^{0}\|_{\infty,2} \leq \|\Phi_{I}^{+}w\|_{\infty,2} + \lambda \|(\Phi_{I}^{*}\Phi_{I})^{-1}u\|_{\infty,2}, \qquad (3)$$

where  $u \in \partial(\|\hat{x}_I\|_{1,2})$ , implying  $\|u\|_{\infty,2} \leq 1$ . Using (3) we can show that the condition  $(C_2)$  is implied by a stronger condition of the form:

$$(C_2') \quad D\varepsilon + E\lambda < T,$$

where  $\varepsilon = \|w\|_2$  and E, D > 0 are constants. Once  $(C'_2)$  holds, the optimality condition of (2) implies the following implicit equation:

$$\hat{x}_{I} = x_{I}^{0} + \Phi_{I}^{+} w - \lambda (\Phi_{I}^{*} \Phi_{I})^{-1} \mathcal{N}(\hat{x}_{I}).$$
(4)

On the other hand, by inserting the identity (4) in  $(C_1)$  and using the bound  $\|\mathcal{N}(\hat{x}_I) - \mathcal{N}(x_I^0)\|_{\infty,2} \leq \frac{2}{T} \|\hat{x}_I - x_I^0\|_{\infty,2}$ , we can show that the condition  $(C_1)$  is implied by a stronger condition of the form

$$(C_1') \quad A\varepsilon - (1 - \mathbf{IC}(x^0))\lambda + B\lambda\varepsilon + C\lambda^2 < 0,$$

for some constants A, B, C, D > 0. Conditions  $(C'_1)$  and  $(C'_2)$  are polynomial constraints that define an admissible set for  $\lambda$  given  $\varepsilon$  and T. Standard algebraic manipulations show that this admissible set can be bounded in the form announced in Theorem 1.

#### REFERENCES

- M. Yuan and Y. Lin, "Model selection and estimation in regression with grouped variables," *J. of The Roy. Stat. Soc. B*, vol. 68, no. 1, pp. 49–67, 2006.
- [2] Y. C. Eldar and H. Rauhut, "Average case analysis of multichannel sparse recovery using convex relaxation," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 56, no. 1, pp. 505–519, 2010.
- [3] J. Fuchs, "On sparse representations in arbitrary redundant bases," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 50, no. 6, pp. 1341–1344, 2004.
- [4] F. Bach, "Consistency of the group lasso and multiple kernel learning," *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, vol. 9, pp. 1179–1225, 2008.

# Proof of Theorem 1

Mohammad Golbabaee and Gabriel Peyré

Centre de Recherche en Mathmatiques de la Décision (CEREMADE), CNRS and Université Paris-Dauphine E-mail:{golbabaee,peyre}@ceremade.dauphine.fr

### I. NOTATIONS

Given a set of non-overlapping blocks  $\mathcal{B}$  describing a partition  $\{1, \ldots, N\} = \bigcup_{b \in \mathcal{B}} b$ , the block norms  $\ell_1 - \ell_2$  and  $\ell_\infty - \ell_2$  of  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$  are defined as

$$\|x\|_{1,2} \triangleq \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \|x_b\|_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \|x\|_{\infty,2} \triangleq \max_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \|x_b\|_2.$$
(1)

Additionally, we define black induced norms for a matrix M as

$$||M||_{\infty,2\to\infty,2} \triangleq \max_{||x||_{\infty,2}=1} ||Mx||_{\infty,2}$$
 (2)

$$\|M\|_{\infty,2\to2} \triangleq \max_{\|x\|_2=1} \|Mx\|_{\infty,2} \tag{3}$$

We denote by  $I \triangleq \{b \in \mathcal{B} \setminus x_b^0 \neq 0\}$  the support set of  $x^0$ , where  $x_b^0 = (x_i^0)_{i \in b}$ . We denote by  $T = \min_{b \in I} \|x_b^0\|_2 > 0$  the signal level. Assuming that  $\Phi_I$  is injective, the *identifiably criterion* associated to  $x^0$  reads

$$\mathbf{IC}(x_0) = \|\Phi_{I^c}^* \Phi_I^{+,*} \mathcal{N}(x_{0,I})\|_{\infty,2} \text{ where } \mathcal{N}(x_I) = \left(\frac{x_b}{\|x_b\|}\right)_{b \in I},$$

 $\Phi_I^+ = (\Phi_I^* \Phi_I)^{-1} \Phi_I^*$ , and  $I^c$  denotes the co-support.

Theorem 1: Suppose  $\Phi_I$  is an injective operator and  $\mathbf{IC}(x^0) < 1$ .  $\exists c_I, \tilde{c}_I > 0$  such that, if  $\|w\|_2/T < \tilde{c}_I/c_I$  and  $c_I \|w\|_2 < \lambda < \tilde{c}_I T$ , the solution  $x^*$  of  $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda}(y)$  is unique and it is exactly supported on I. In addition,  $\|x^* - x^0\|_2 \leq C_I \|w\|_2 + \tilde{C}_I \lambda$  for some constants  $C_I, \tilde{C}_I > 0$ .

### II. PROOF

We construct  $\hat{x}$  with  $\hat{x}_{I^c} = 0$  and

$$\hat{x}_I = \underset{x_I}{\operatorname{argmin}} \mathcal{F}(x_I) \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{F}(x_I) = \frac{1}{2} \|y - \Phi_I x_I\|^2 + \lambda \|x_I\|_{1,2}$$
(4)

The proof proceeds by showing that  $\hat{x} = x^*$  is the solution to this problem when  $\lambda$  is well chosen. This requires to show that

The elements on the support do not vanish i.e. (x̂)<sub>b</sub> ≠ 0 for all b ∈ I. This is implied by the condition

$$(C_2) \quad \|\hat{x}_I - x_I^0\|_{\infty, 2} < T.$$

• Uniqueness is implied by the following condition (need reference)

$$(C_1) \quad \|\Phi_{I^c}^*(y - \Phi_I \hat{x}_I)\|_{\infty,2} < \lambda,$$

For an injective  $\Phi_I$ , the optimality condition i.e.,  $0 \in \partial \mathcal{F}(\hat{x}_I)$ , gives

$$\|\hat{x}_{I} - x_{I}^{0}\|_{\infty,2} \leq \|\Phi_{I}^{+}w\|_{\infty,2} + \lambda \|(\Phi_{I}^{*}\Phi_{I})^{-1}u\|_{\infty,2},$$
 (5)

for all  $u \in \mathbb{R}^{|I|}$  and  $||u||_{\infty,2} \leq 1$ . Using (5) we can show that the condition  $(C_2)$  is implied by a stronger condition of the form:

$$(C_2') \quad D\varepsilon + E\lambda < T,$$

where  $\varepsilon = ||w||_2$  and E, D > 0 are constants. Once  $(C'_2)$  holds, the optimality condition of (4) implies the following implicit equation:

$$\hat{x}_{I} = x_{I}^{0} + \Phi_{I}^{+} w - \lambda (\Phi_{I}^{*} \Phi_{I})^{-1} \mathcal{N}(\hat{x}_{I}).$$
(6)

On the other hand, by inserting the identity (6) in  $(C_1)$  and using the bound  $\|\mathcal{N}(\hat{x}_I) - \mathcal{N}(x_I^0)\|_{\infty,2} \leq \frac{2}{T} \|\hat{x}_I - x_I^0\|_{\infty,2}$ , we can show that the condition  $(C_1)$  is implied by a stronger condition of the form

$$(C_1') \quad A\varepsilon - (1 - \mathbf{IC}(x^0))\lambda + B\lambda\varepsilon + C\lambda^2 < 0,$$

for some constants A, B, C, D > 0. Conditions  $(C'_1)$  and  $(C'_2)$  are polynomial constraints that define an admissible set for  $\lambda$  given  $\varepsilon$ and T. Standard algebraic manipulations show that this admissible set can be bounded in the form announced in Theorem 1.