

***DRD4* and *TH* gene polymorphisms are associated with activity, impulsivity, and inattention in Siberian Husky dogs**

Running title: Gene-behaviour associations in Siberian Huskies

Michele Wan<sup>1\*</sup>, Krisztina Hejjas<sup>2</sup>, Zsolt Ronai<sup>2</sup>, Zsuzsanna Elek<sup>2</sup>, Maria Sasvari-Szekely<sup>2</sup>,  
Frances A. Champagne<sup>1</sup>, Ádám Miklósi<sup>3,4</sup>, Enikő Kubinyi<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Psychology, Columbia University, 1190 Amsterdam Ave., New York, NY, 10027, USA

<sup>2</sup> Department of Medical Chemistry, Molecular Biology and Pathobiochemistry, Semmelweis University, Tűzoltó u. 37-47, 1094, Budapest, Hungary

<sup>3</sup> Department of Ethology, Eötvös Loránd University, Pázmány P. s. 1/c, 1117, Budapest, Hungary

<sup>4</sup> MTA-ELTE Comparative Ethological Research Group, Pázmány P. s. 1/c, 1117, Budapest, Hungary

\*Corresponding author.

Address: Department of Psychology, Columbia University, 1190 Amsterdam Ave., New York, NY, 10027, USA

Tel.: +1 212 854 3608; fax: +1 212 854 3609.

E-mail address: msw2111@columbia.edu

## Summary

Both D4 dopamine receptor (*DRD4*) exon 3 and tyrosine hydroxylase (*TH*) intron 4 repeat polymorphisms have been linked to activity and impulsivity in German Shepherd Dogs (GSDs). However, the results in GSDs may not be generalizable to other breeds, since allelic frequencies vary markedly among breeds. We selected the Siberian Husky for further study, because it is highly divergent from most dog breeds, including the GSD. The study sample consisted of 145 racing Siberian Huskies from Europe and North America. We found that this breed possesses seven *DRD4* length variants, two to five more variants than found in other breeds. Among them was the longest known allele, previously described only in wolves. Short alleles of the *DRD4* and *TH* repeat polymorphisms were associated with higher levels of activity, impulsivity, and inattention. Siberian Huskies possessing at least one short allele of the *DRD4* polymorphism displayed greater activity in a behavioural test battery than those with two long alleles. However, the behavioural test was brief and may not have registered variation in behaviour across time and situations. Owners also completed the Dog-Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Rating Scale (Dog-ADHD RS), a more general measure of activity and attention. Siberian Huskies from Europe with two short alleles of the *TH* polymorphism received higher ratings of inattention on the Dog-ADHD RS than those with the long allele. Investigation of the joint effect of *DRD4* and *TH* showed that dogs possessing long alleles at both sites were scored as less active-impulsive than others. Our results are aligned with previous studies showing that *DRD4* and *TH* polymorphisms are associated with activity-impulsivity related traits in dogs. However, the prevalence of variants of these genes differs across breeds, and the functional role of specific variants is unclear.

**Keywords:** genetic association, dopamine D4 receptor, tyrosine hydroxylase, polymorphism, activity, impulsivity, dog

## Introduction

Dopaminergic genes, such as the D4 dopaminergic receptor gene (*DRD4*) and the tyrosine hydroxylase gene (*TH*), have been the subject of recent behavioural genetics work in humans and other animals. *DRD4* encodes the D4 subtype of the dopaminergic receptor, while *TH* encodes the enzyme tyrosine hydroxylase, which is involved in the synthesis of L-DOPA, dopamine's precursor. Dopamine itself is also the precursor of the catecholamines norepinephrine and epinephrine. Dopaminergic genes are suspected to influence behaviour, since dopamine is involved in the brain's reward system, as well as in cognition, movement control, and attention (Nieoullon 2002).

In particular, evidence suggests that polymorphisms of the *DRD4* gene play a role in activity-impulsivity related traits in humans. Much of the research in humans has focused on the variable number of tandem repeats (VNTR) polymorphism in exon 3 of *DRD4*. The five- and seven-repeat variants of this polymorphism have been associated with increased risk for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), while the more common four-repeat form appears to have a protective effect (Faraone *et al.* 2001; Maher *et al.* 2002; Li *et al.* 2006). The *DRD4* polymorphism has also been linked to impulsivity and financial risk-taking in humans (Dreber *et al.* 2009; Varga *et al.* 2012). In contrast, studies on the relationship between *TH* gene polymorphisms and activity-impulsivity are limited in number and have not yet demonstrated a clear association. For example, no association has been documented between *TH* gene polymorphisms and ADHD (Comings *et al.* 1995; Barr *et al.* 2000; Payton *et al.* 2001). However, this gene has been associated with neuroticism and other anxiety-related traits (Persson *et al.* 1997; Persson *et al.* 2000), and it has been suggested that neuroticism may be related to ADHD (Nigg *et al.* 2002).

Dopaminergic gene polymorphisms have also been linked to activity-impulsivity related traits in other species. Vervet monkeys possessing the five-repeat variant of the *DRD4* exon 3 polymorphism displayed greater novelty-seeking than those with two copies of the six-repeat variant (Bailey *et al.* 2007). In passerine birds, a single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) on *DRD4* has been associated with differences in exploratory behaviour in both free-living individuals, as well as in lines selected for divergent levels of such behaviour (Fidler *et al.* 2007). Furthermore, variants of *DRD4* have been associated with feather-pecking behaviour, considered to be redirected exploratory behaviour, in laying hens (Flisikowski *et al.* 2009). In addition, reduced expression of the *TH* gene has been demonstrated in a rat model for ADHD (Leo *et al.* 2003).

In dogs, the *DRD4* and *TH* genes contain repeat polymorphisms similar to their human counterparts (Niimi *et al.* 2001; Hejjas *et al.* 2007a) and have recently been investigated for associations with activity-impulsivity related traits. In German Shepherd Dogs (GSDs), both *DRD4* and *TH* gene polymorphisms were found to be linked to activity and impulsivity (Hejjas *et al.* 2007b; Hejjas *et al.* 2009; Kubinyi *et al.* 2012). GSDs working as police dogs and possessing at least one 327-bp long allele of the *DRD4* polymorphism showed significantly higher activity-impulsivity than dogs lacking this allele (Hejjas *et al.* 2007b). In later studies, *DRD4* and *TH* gene polymorphisms were also found to be associated with activity-impulsivity and social impulsivity in pet GSDs (Hejjas *et al.* 2009; Kubinyi *et al.* 2012). Preliminary investigations in Belgian Tervueren dogs showed that *DRD4* polymorphisms might be linked with inattention, as well (Hejjas *et al.* 2007a).

While these findings have established a link between dopaminergic gene polymorphisms and activity-impulsivity in GSDs, they may not be generalizable to all breeds of dogs. Each breed with closed stud books is a genetically isolated population, and allelic frequencies of the

*DRD4* and *TH* genes differ markedly between breeds (Ito *et al.* 2004; Hejjas *et al.* 2007a). Similarly, in humans, the frequencies of alleles and their associations with behaviour vary across populations. For example, in European populations, the seven-repeat variant of the *DRD4* polymorphism is common and has been associated with ADHD (e.g. Holmes *et al.* 2000; Curran *et al.* 2001; Langley *et al.* 2009). In contrast, in East Asian populations, the seven-repeat variant is very rare (Chang *et al.* 1996), and the two-repeat variant has instead been linked with ADHD (Leung *et al.* 2005). Therefore, associations between dopaminergic gene polymorphisms and activity-impulsivity related traits need to be explored in a variety of breeds.

We selected the Siberian Husky for investigation for three reasons. First, a genome-wide survey revealed that Siberian Huskies have ancient origins, admixed with wolves, and are highly divergent from other dog breeds (Vonholdt *et al.* 2010). The Siberian Husky also displays high heterozygosity in microsatellite data compared to other breeds (Vonholdt *et al.* 2010), and this genetic diversity may enable us to reveal the genetic bases of dogs' behavioural variability in greater depth.

Second, the Siberian Husky is a popular sleddog, and racing individuals are often raised and kept in a more homogenous environment than pet dogs. These homogeneous environments may facilitate the detection of gene-behaviour relationships. Third, genetic associations involving Siberian Huskies have previously been documented; this breed's genetic profile is associated with enhanced physical endurance (Huson *et al.* 2010). In this study, we tested racing Siberian Huskies for associations between *DRD4* and *TH* gene polymorphisms and activity-impulsivity related trait scores obtained from a questionnaire and a short behavioural test.

## **Materials and Methods**

## *Subjects*

Owners attending sleddog races were recruited to participate in the study. A total of 169 purebred Siberian Husky sleddogs belonging to 69 owners were genotyped. Owners were asked to provide the kennel club registration numbers of their dogs as documentation of their purebred status. Forty-four owners reported their age; the owners' average age was 45.4 years ( $SD = 11.2$ ). Sixty-five owners provided their sex, and 58.5% of them were female. Due to time constraints during the races, some owners did not complete the questionnaire or the behavioural tests or opted to participate in the study at other times. Unless otherwise noted, statistics presented are derived from a final sample of 145 dogs for which the following information was available: 1) age and sex of the dog, 2) genotypes for *DRD4* exon 3 and/or *TH* intron 3 polymorphisms, and 3) questionnaire and/or behavioural test data. *DRD4* genotypes were available for all 145 dogs, and *TH* genotypes were available for 138 dogs. Questionnaire data was available for 140 dogs, and behavioural test data was available for 92 dogs.

In order to expand the sample size, dogs from both Europe and North America were tested. Ninety dogs were from Europe: 62 from Hungary; 13 from Austria; five from Romania; three each from Switzerland, Slovakia, and the Netherlands, and one from the Czech Republic. Fifty-five dogs were from North America: 50 from the United States of America (USA) and five from Canada. The age of the dogs ranged from 1.0 to 13.5 years ( $M: 4.70$  years,  $SD = 2.89$ ). 79 dogs were males, and 66 dogs were females. Spay-neuter status was collected for 87 dogs, and of these, 57.5% were unaltered, while 42.5% were spayed or neutered (**Table 1**). Whenever possible, we excluded dogs that shared more than one parent using the names of the sire and dam provided by the owners.

All procedures were approved by the Columbia University Institutional Review Board (IRB) and the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC). No special permission

for use of dogs in non-invasive studies is required in Hungary. Exemption from permission was granted by the University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (UIACUC) at Eötvös Loránd University.

### *DNA sampling and genotyping*

Buccal smears were collected, and DNA was isolated with the Genra purification kit (Valencia, CA). Repeat polymorphisms in *DRD4* intron 2 were not analysed as this region is not polymorphic in the Siberian Husky breed (Hejjas *et al.* 2009). The exon 3 polymorphism was analysed according to the procedure previously described in Héjjas *et al.* (2007b). The first PCR amplification of *DRD4* VNTR was performed in a 10- $\mu$ l reaction mixture containing 0.25 U DNA- polymerase, 1x Q-solution and 1x buffer (final MgCl<sub>2</sub> concentration 1.5 mM) from the Qiagen HotStarTaq DNA polymerase kit; 1  $\mu$ M of both the forward (D1c: 5'-CGC GCG TCG GGC CAA GCT G-3') and the reverse (D2c: 5'-GCG GGG GGC AGG GGG CG-3') primers; 5 ng DNA template and 200  $\mu$ M of each dNTP. PCR primers were designed by the OLIGO 5.0 software based on the published gene sequence (Niimi *et al.* 1999). PCR products were separated by 1.5% agarose–2% Metaphor composite gel electrophoresis and visualized by ethidium bromide. Another independent PCR reaction was performed to separate the 3a and 3b alleles according to Niimi *et al.* (1999), using the forward primer in combination with an allele-specific reverse primer D4dogBR. Repeat polymorphisms in the *TH* intron 4 were analysed according to Hejjas *et al.* (2007a). In short, the PCR reaction mixture contained 1  $\mu$ M of each primer, approximately 5 ng of DNA template 200  $\mu$ M dATP, dCTP, dTTP 100  $\mu$ M of dGTP and dITP, 0.025 U HotStarTaq DNA polymerase 1x buffer and 1x Q-solution supplied by the Qiagen HotStarTaq polymerase kit in a 10  $\mu$ l final volume. Conditions of the PCR cycle and the separation of PCR products by gel electrophoreses were as described above.



## *Behavioural Phenotyping*

The behaviour of the dogs was characterized by two instruments: the Dog-ADHD Rating Scale (Vas *et al.* 2007) and a behavioural test battery.

### I. Dog-ADHD Rating Scale

The Dog-ADHD RS (**Text S1**) was completed by the owners before the behavioural tests and in the presence of the experimenter. This questionnaire has been used and validated on both European and North American dogs as a general measure of activity and attention (Vas *et al.* 2007; Wan *et al.* 2009; Lit *et al.* 2010). It is based on a widely used human parental ADHD survey (DuPaul *et al.* 1998) and consists of two subscales. The activity-impulsivity scale consists of seven items (for example, “Your dog fidgets all the time”), and the inattention scale consists of six items (for example, “Your dog’s attention can be easily distracted”). The scale score was calculated for each dog as the mean of the scores given by the owner on a four-point scale (from 0: never to 3: very often).

### II. Behavioural test

Dogs were observed in a test battery consisting of three subtests, which can be easily implemented by experimenters facing time constraints at dog shows, races, or other competitive events. The test battery was conducted outdoors in a 15 x 15 m remote area of the sleddog race site. Some owners were unavailable to participate during the races and scheduled appointments to participate at or near their homes. Six Hungarian dogs were tested in public parks near their owners’ houses, and 14 dogs from the USA were tested on their owners’ properties. A video camera was placed on a tripod 4 m from the owner. A female experimenter conducted the tests

(M.W. in North America, E. K. in Europe). The recordings were coded later by M. W (Coder 1). To assess inter-observer reliability, another observer recoded all behavioural variables for 38 dogs (Coder 2).

### Testing protocol

#### 1. Spontaneous activity

The owner (O) stands in place without paying attention to the dog while holding the dog on a leash (1.5-2 m). The dog is allowed to move freely within the range of the stretched leash and is not corrected or rewarded for any behaviour. The experimenter (E) stays at a distance of at least 3 m from the dog without interacting with the dog. The test lasts for 1 minute.

Coded variables:

- Head orientation: number of changes in head orientation
- Leg movement: number of seconds that the dog moves its legs
- Spontaneous activity level: subjective evaluation on a 1 (low) to 5 (high) scale

#### 2. Greeting

The owner stands motionless next to the dog and holds the leash. He or she may speak during the test. The experimenter approaches the dog in a friendly manner (verbally greets the owner and the dog and smiles). She steps out of reach of the leash and waits for 3 seconds. If the dog does not display signs of aggression, she steps next to the dog and pets the dog on the head, back, and sides from the withers to the croup area. The purpose of the handling was to simulate greetings with human strangers. After petting for up to 5 seconds, the experimenter steps 1 m away. She waits for 3 seconds, handles the dog again as described, and steps out of reach.

Coded variables:

- Approaches: (0) Dog neither approaches the experimenter in the beginning of the test, nor follows when she steps away, (1) Dog either approaches or follows the experimenter, (2) Dog both approaches and follows the experimenter
- Contact-seeking with the experimenter: (0) never, (1) occasionally, (2) often
- Tail-wagging: (0) never, (1) occasionally, (2) often
- Tucked or low tail: (0) never, (1) occasionally, (2) often
- Low posture: (0) never, (1) occasionally, (2) often
- Greeting activity level: subjective evaluation on a 1 (low) to 5 (high) scale

### 3. Collecting buccal sample

The experimenter asks the owner whether it is safe to handle the dog's mouth. If so, the experimenter collects a buccal sample (cheek swab) using two plastic sticks with cotton swabs at one end. The experimenter carefully rotates the sticks while rubbing the inside of the mouth and puts the sticks inside a numbered and sealed plastic tube. If the dog does not stand motionless, then the owner can help by holding the dog. If the dog displays signs of aggression, the experimenter asks the owner to collect the sample. In the current study, the owner's assistance was required in three cases. The procedure is repeated on the other side of the mouth, as well, for a total of four swabs. The swabs from each side of the mouth are placed in different tubes labelled as A and B.

Coded variables:

- Movement during sample collection 1: While collecting sample A, (0) the experimenter is not able to collect the sample, and the owner does so, (1) the dog moves its head and body, (2) the dog moves its head, (3) the dog stands motionless

- Movement during sample collection 2: Same as above, while collecting sample B

### *Statistical analysis*

SPSS 17.0 for Windows was used for all statistical analyses. The chi-square test for independence was used to assess Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium and linkage between genes. Cronbach's alpha was calculated to assess the internal reliability of the questionnaire subscales, while the intraclass correlation coefficient (*ICC*) was used to assess inter-observer reliability in the coding of the behavioural test. To condense the items of the behavioural test battery, principal components analysis (PCA) was used with Varimax rotation with Eigenvalue greater than 1 (Kline 1994). Since a large dataset is recommended for PCA, we included all dogs for which behavioural data were available ( $n = 113$ ). Principal component (PC) scores were calculated automatically by SPSS software using the regression method. Cronbach's alpha was calculated to assess the internal reliability of the extracted PCs. Pearson's correlation coefficient was used to measure the relationship between questionnaire scale scores and PC scores.

Associations between *DRD4* or *TH* genotypes and questionnaire scores were tested in the full sample, as well as the subsamples from each continent. Due to the smaller sample of dogs that participated in the behavioural test, associations for these measures are reported only for the full sample. Gene-behaviour associations were tested using linear mixed models with the dog's age, sex, and genotype group ("short" or "long"; see *Results*) as fixed effects. Random effects consisted of random intercepts for owner and continent of origin. Owner was included as a random effect to account for within-owner correlations in the data due to owners participating with multiple dogs. Continent was included in analyses of the full sample in order to account for population stratification effects that could contribute to false positive association. The inclusion of continent in the model also accounted for the effects of experimenter, since one experimenter

was responsible for behavioural testing on each continent. The variance components matrix was used to model random effects. Separate models were performed for each gene on each of the questionnaire scales and behavioural PCs. Additional analyses of the full sample compared individuals with the various “long DRD4” genotypes (5/5, 5/8, and 8/8). Similar comparisons could not be conducted in the “short DRD4” group due to low sample sizes for individual genotypes. Lastly, the association analyses were conducted with a combination of the *DRD4* and *TH* polymorphisms as the genotype variable; the results of these analyses are reported for the full sample due to the small sizes of the combined-genotype groups in the subsamples. Additional analyses of associations between the behavioural measures and other demographic variables (spay-neuter status and the number of dogs in the household) are included in the Supporting Information (**Text S2**). In addition, supplementary analyses comparing data from the current study with previously collected data on GSDs are available in the Supporting Information (**Text S3, Table S3, Figure S1**).

## Results

### *Genotypes*

We detected seven alleles of the *DRD4* exon 3 VNTR polymorphism in our Siberian Husky sample, ranging from one to eight repeats. The most frequent genotypes were 5/5 (36.6%) and 3/5 (15.2%) (**Table 1**). The 5/5, 3/5, and 3/3 genotypes were in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium in the European and North American samples,  $\chi^2 = 1.29$ ,  $df = 1$ ,  $P = 0.26$  and  $\chi^2 = 0.24$ ,  $df = 1$ ,  $P = 0.62$ . In *TH* intron 4, a 36-bp-long sequence was present either as a single copy (allele 1) or in a duplicate form (allele 2). The most frequent *TH* genotypes were 1/1 (70.3%) and 1/2 (23.2%) (**Table 1**). The *TH* genotypes were in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium in the European, but not in

the North American sample,  $\chi^2 = 0.22$ ,  $df = 1$ ,  $P = 0.64$  and  $\chi^2 = 5.70$ ,  $df = 1$ ,  $P = 0.02$ . However, disequilibrium is common in domesticated species and in breeds with closed stud books. Since some of the *DRD4* genotypes and alleles were rare, we grouped individual genotypes according to the protocol generally applied for humans (e.g. Shao *et al.* 2006). The "short *DRD4*" group included dogs possessing at least one copy of the 1, 2, 3a, 3b, or 4 variants. The "long *DRD4*" group consisted of individuals with the 5/5, 5/8 or 8/8 genotypes. The "short *TH*" group included dogs with the 1/1 genotype, whereas the "long *TH*" group consisted of dogs with at least one long (2) allele. Both *DRD4* and *TH* are localized on chromosome 18 (Hejjas *et al.* 2007a). However, *DRD4* exon 3 and *TH* intron 4 were not linked in our sample: dogs possessing short *DRD4* alleles randomly possessed short or long *TH* alleles ( $\chi^2 = 0.40$ ,  $df = 1$ ,  $P = 0.53$ ).

#### *Reliability of questionnaire subscales*

Reliability was high for the two subscales of the Dog-ADHD RS. Cronbach's alpha for the seven items comprising the activity scale was 0.80, while Cronbach's alpha for the six items comprising the inattention scale was 0.85.

#### *Inter-observer reliability in behavioural test*

Inter-observer reliability was strong for the coded behavioural variables. ICCs between Coder 1 and Coder 2 ranged from 0.82 to 0.92.

#### *Principal component extracting*

PCA grouped the variables into three PCs that accounted for 61.8% of the total variance (**Table 2**). A scree plot supported a three-component solution. All items loaded higher than 0.5 on a total of three PCs. PC 1, titled *Sociability*, included the following items from the greeting

test: approaches, contact-seeking, tail-wagging, low tail, and low posture (Cronbach's alpha = 0.76). Positive loadings were obtained for the first three variables, while negative loadings were obtained for low tail and low posture. PC 2, labelled *Activity*, included the following items: head orientation, leg movement, and both activity ratings from the spontaneous activity and greeting subtests (Cronbach's alpha = 0.44). PC 3, labelled *Movement during Handling*, consisted of the items measuring movement during both DNA sample collections (Cronbach's alpha = 0.62).

#### *Relationships between questionnaire and behavioural test scores*

A marginally significant correlation was found between activity scores from the questionnaire and behavioural test,  $r(85) = 0.21$ ,  $P = 0.05$ . None of the other correlations between questionnaire and behavioural test scores was significant, indicating divergence in the two types of measures.

#### *Effects of DRD4 and TH genotypes on Siberian Husky behaviour*

Significant results and trends from the genotype-behaviour analyses are reported below, and parameter estimates are presented in **Tables S1-S2**. After accounting for the effects of sex, age, owner, and continent of origin in the full sample, we found that Siberian Huskies possessing at least one short *DRD4* allele displayed greater activity in the behavioural tests than those with two long alleles,  $F(1, 81) = 5.53$ ,  $P = 0.02$  (**Figure 1a**). In addition, dogs with the short allele tended to receive higher ratings on the activity-impulsivity scale of the questionnaire in the full sample (**Figure 1b**), as well as the European sample, though the results were only marginally significant,  $F(1, 139) = 3.22$ ,  $P = 0.08$  and  $F(1, 87) = 2.85$ ,  $P = 0.10$ . Individuals with the “long” 5/5, 5/8, and 8/8 genotypes did not differ from each other on any of the measures.

In the full sample, Siberian Huskies with two short *TH* alleles received marginally higher activity-impulsivity and inattention ratings on the questionnaire and marginally lower activity scores in the behavioural test than dogs with the long allele [act-imp:  $F(1, 134) = 3.02, P = 0.08$ ; inattention:  $F(1, 130) = 2.80, P = 0.10$ ; activity PC:  $F(1, 70) = 3.22, P = 0.08$ ]. Neither sociability, nor movement during handling, in the behavioural test was associated with *DRD4* or *TH* polymorphisms. In the European sample, Siberian Huskies with two short alleles received significantly higher inattention ratings and marginally higher activity-impulsivity ratings on the questionnaire than those possessing the long allele,  $F(1, 83) = 4.27, P = 0.04$  and  $F(1, 85) = 3.81, P = 0.05$  (**Figure 2**).

To investigate the joint effect of the *DRD4* and *TH* genotypes, four groups were formed in the full sample: (1) short allele present at both sites ( $n = 41$ ); (2) short *DRD4* and long *TH* alleles ( $n = 16$ ); (3) long *DRD4* and short *TH* alleles ( $n = 56$ ); and (4) long *DRD4* and long *TH* alleles ( $n = 25$ ). The combined-genotype groups differed in activity-impulsivity ratings,  $F(3, 133) = 4.35, P = 0.006$  (**Figure 3a**). Dogs with long alleles for both genes were rated as less active-impulsive than dogs with any other genotype combination. In addition, the combined-genotype groups varied in activity during the behavioural test,  $F(3, 68) = 4.05, P = 0.01$  (**Figure 3b**). Dogs with the combination of short *DRD4* and long *TH* alleles were the most active, significantly more so than individuals possessing long *DRD4* alleles and either short or long *TH* alleles.

## Discussion

In this study, we investigated the relationship between dopaminergic genes and measures of activity, impulsivity, and inattention in racing Siberian Huskies. Recent reports (Hejjas *et al.* 2007b; Kubinyi *et al.* 2012) had established that these genes are associated with activity-impulsivity in German Shepherd Dogs. However, the results on GSDs may not be generalizable



to other breeds due to the genetic isolation of each breed and allelic heterogeneity between breeds. The Siberian Husky was selected for this study, because it has higher genetic diversity than more recent breeds (Vonholdt *et al.* 2010) and has undergone selection for enhanced endurance (Huson *et al.* 2010), which can be considered an activity-related trait.

We found that the Siberian Husky breed possesses more variants of the *DRD4* exon 3 polymorphism than all other breeds previously tested (Niimi *et al.* 2001; Hejjas *et al.* 2007a). A total of seven variants were found, among them the longest known allele, described only in wolves thus far (Hejjas *et al.* 2007a), supporting suggestions that the breed admixed with wolves, has ancient origins, and displays high genetic diversity (Vonholdt *et al.* 2010). Previous studies reported only two variants in GSDs, Belgian Tervuerens, Belgian Groenendaels, Belgian Malinois, and Golden Retrievers, while three variants were reported in Shetland Sheepdogs, four in Beagles, and five in Shiba Inus (Niimi *et al.* 2001; Hejjas *et al.* 2007a). Interestingly, the Shiba Inu, with the second highest number of variants, is also considered a breed with ancient origins and high genetic variability (Parker *et al.* 2004).

We found that the behaviour test captured consistency in activity levels and movement across two subtests. Activity level in the greeting subtest was associated with the activity level, duration of leg movement, and number of head movements in the spontaneous activity scenario. The test battery therefore appears to be a useful tool in characterizing canine activity level and could be used in future studies. Since the total duration of the test is less than five minutes, the test is feasible to conduct when experimenters face time constraints. However, test-retest reliability and external validity should be further assessed to determine whether the behaviour observed in the test generalizes to other situations and reveals the dog's traits.

By including participants from different continents, we were able to increase our sample size and increase the likelihood of detecting gene-behaviour associations. As others have noted,

the combination of samples from different continents can be a useful method of increasing power without necessarily increasing the rate of false positive associations (Quignon *et al.* 2007). In order to address possible population stratification effects, we accounted for the dogs' area of origin in our analyses of data from the full sample. After additionally controlling for the effects of owner and the dogs' sex and age, we demonstrated that short alleles of the *DRD4* and *TH* repeat polymorphisms are associated with greater activity and impulsivity in racing Siberian Huskies. Dogs possessing at least one short *DRD4* allele (labelled as 1, 2, 3a, 3b, 4) displayed higher activity levels in the behavioural test than those possessing the longer variants (5, 8). In addition, dogs from Europe possessing two short *TH* alleles (labelled as 1) were scored as more inattentive by their owners on the Dog-ADHD Rating Scale. Lastly, dogs with short *DRD4* or *TH* alleles were rated as more active-impulsive than dogs possessing long alleles at both sites, while dogs with the particular combination of short *DRD4* and long *TH* alleles were more active in the behavioural test than individuals possessing long *DRD4* alleles and either short or long *TH* alleles. Significant associations were not uncovered when the North American sample was analysed alone; smaller sample sizes in this group could explain these results.

Since each gene was associated with a different activity-impulsivity related measure, it is possible that the *DRD4* and *TH* genes are differentially influential on the behaviours characterized by the measures or that each measure captures a different behaviour. The lack of strong correlations between the behavioural test and questionnaire scores suggests that the two types of measures were divergent.. The behavioural test may be a context-specific characterization of activity in a public setting, whereas the questionnaire reflects general activity and attention levels as perceived by the owner. Therefore, the behaviour test should continue to be used in conjunction with the questionnaire or other measures in order to assess activity-impulsivity-related behaviour.

Previous studies in German Shepherd Dogs had also demonstrated associations between short alleles of dopaminergic genes and increased activity-impulsivity. For example, German Shepherds with the short *TH* allele received higher activity-impulsivity scores (Kubinyi *et al.* 2012). However, the patterns of associations for the *DRD4* gene in Siberian Huskies and GSDs were not aligned. While we found that short *DRD4* alleles (1, 2, 3a, 3b, 4) in Siberian Huskies were associated with greater activity than long alleles (5, 8), the 2 allele in GSDs has been associated with *lower* activity-impulsivity and social impulsivity than the 3a allele (Hejjas *et al.* 2007b; Hejjas *et al.* 2009). Unfortunately, we could not directly compare the 2 and 3a alleles in Siberian Huskies, since the 2 allele was uncommon in this breed. Likewise, the longer 5 and 8 alleles and in fact, any alleles other than the 2 and 3a, are very rare in German Shepherds.

Population differences in allelic frequencies of the *DRD4* exon 3 polymorphism, as well as their associations with behaviour, have also been documented in humans. The seven-repeat variant is considered the long form of the *DRD4* polymorphism and has been associated with ADHD in many studies involving European samples (e.g. Holmes *et al.* 2000; Curran *et al.* 2001; Langley *et al.* 2009). In contrast, the seven-repeat variant is extremely rare in East Asian samples (Chang *et al.* 1996), and the two-repeat variant has instead been linked with ADHD in at least one study with Chinese participants (Leung *et al.* 2005). Given these results, the discrepancy between GSDs and Siberian Huskies in *DRD4* associations is not entirely surprising and underlines the importance of exploring gene-behaviour relationships in multiple breeds.

Research on the differences in function between shorter and longer alleles of dopaminergic genes in dogs is needed to establish that the assignment of alleles into short and long groups has a functional basis and to clarify discrepancies in results between breeds. Though we have provided evidence that short alleles of dopaminergic genes are associated with increased activity in Siberian Huskies, the function of the polymorphisms in dogs is still unclear. In humans, the number of repeats in exon

3 of *DRD4* affects the length of the third intracellular loop of the dopamine receptor D4. The four-repeat variant, the most common form in European populations, has been shown to be more potent than the seven-repeat variant, requiring a dopamine concentration three times lower to achieve the same reduction in cyclic AMP (Asghari *et al.* 1995; Jovanovic *et al.* 1999). Also in humans, the VNTR in intron A of *TH* may be directly involved in transcriptional regulation of the gene (Meloni *et al.* 1998). To determine whether the polymorphisms in canines have similar functions, further work is clearly needed.

Overall, our results in dogs showing associations between activity-impulsivity related measures and *DRD4* and *TH* polymorphisms support relevant findings in humans. The relationship between *DRD4* and activity-impulsivity traits in humans has been well-established (Gizer *et al.* 2009; Varga *et al.* 2012). In the case of *TH*, direct links with activity and impulsivity traits have not been reported, but neuroticism and extraversion, which are associated with impulsivity (Whiteside & Lynam 2001), have been linked to *TH* polymorphisms (Persson *et al.* 2000; Tochigi *et al.* 2006). Our results add to evidence showing that the dog is a useful model species for the study of genetic influence on human behaviour and personality (Takeuchi *et al.* 2009; Dodman *et al.* 2010; Konno *et al.* 2011). Although these association studies are still in their early stages, it is evident that these methods can offer outstanding possibilities for those who are looking for the genetic mechanisms underlying typical behavioural variation, as well as behavioural disorders.

Moreover, association studies on working dogs, such as racing Siberian Huskies in the present study, may lead to earlier and more predictive selection of suitable individuals. By understanding the genetics behind working dog behaviour, breeders may be able to more effectively target the traits that working dogs need. For example, desired traits in sled dogs include endurance, speed, and work ethic (Huson *et al.* 2010), while guide dogs must display a

lack of fearfulness, aggressiveness, and distractibility (Goddard & Beilharz 1983). Traditional methods of selection, such as puppy temperament tests, do not predict the success of adult working dogs (Goddard & Beilharz 1986; Wilsson & Sundgren 1998; Olson *et al.* 2004), and guide dog organizations have already begun to collect DNA in order to determine the genotypes that could be associated with desired behavioural phenotypes (Olson *et al.* 2004; Takeuchi *et al.* 2009). Breeders can currently conduct genetic testing for a wide range of physical disorders (Mellersh 2012), such as progressive retinal atrophy, and may one day conduct similar testing for behavioural purposes.

### **Acknowledgements**

The authors are grateful to Judit Vas and Erica Ras for their help in the data collection, Zoe Donaldson and Rahia Mashoodh for preparation of several DNA samples, the race organizers who allowed us to recruit participants at their events, and all the participating dogs and owners. We would also like to thank the two anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments. This work was supported by the EU FP7 ICT-215554 LIREC, the Hungarian Scientific Research Fund (K 84036) the Bolyai Foundation of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences and the MTA-ELTE Comparative Ethological Research Group (01 031). The authors report no financial interests or potential conflicts of interest.

### **References**

- Asghari V., Sanyal S., Buchwaldt S., Paterson A., Jovanovic V. & Van Tol H.H. (1995) Modulation of intracellular cyclic AMP levels by different human dopamine D4 receptor variants. *Journal of Neurochemistry* **65**, 1157-65.
- Bailey J.N., Breidenthal S.E., Jorgensen M.J., McCracken J.T. & Fairbanks L.A. (2007) The association of DRD4 and novelty seeking is found in a nonhuman primate model. *Psychiatric Genetics* **17**, 23-7.

- Barr C.L., Wigg K.G., Bloom S., Schachar R., Tannock R., Roberts W., Malone M. & Kennedy J.L. (2000) Further evidence from haplotype analysis for linkage of the dopamine D4 receptor gene and attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. *American Journal of Medical Genetics* **96**, 262-7.
- Chang F.-M., Kidd J.R., Livak K.J., Pakstis A.J. & Kidd K.K. (1996) The world-wide distribution of allele frequencies at the human dopamine D4 receptor locus. *Human Genetics* **98**, 91-101.
- Comings D.E., Gade R., Muhleman D. & Sverd J. (1995) No association of a tyrosine hydroxylase gene tetranucleotide repeat polymorphism in autism, Tourette syndrome, or ADHD. *Biological Psychiatry* **37**, 484-6.
- Curran S., Mill J., Sham P., Rijdsdijk F., Marusic K., Taylor E. & Asherson P. (2001) QTL association analysis of the DRD4 exon 3 VNTR polymorphism in a population sample of children screened with a parent rating scale for ADHD symptoms. *American Journal of Medical Genetics* **105**, 387-93.
- Dodman N.H., Karlsson E.K., Moon-Fanelli A., Galdzicka M., Perloski M., Shuster L., Lindblad-Toh K. & Ginns E.I. (2010) A canine chromosome 7 locus confers compulsive disorder susceptibility. *Molecular Psychiatry* **15**, 8-10.
- Dreber A., Apicella C.L., Eisenberg D.T.A., Garcia J.R., Zamore R.S., Lum J.K. & Campbell B. (2009) The 7R polymorphism in the dopamine receptor D4 gene (DRD4) is associated with financial risk taking in men. *Evolution and Human Behavior* **30**, 85-92.
- DuPaul G.J., Power T.J., Anastopoulos A.D. & Reid R. (1998) *ADHD Rating Scale-IV: checklist, norms and clinical interpretation*. Guilford Press, New York.
- Faraone S.V., Doyle A.E., Mick E. & Biederman J. (2001) Meta-analysis of the association between the 7-repeat allele of the dopamine D(4) receptor gene and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *American Journal of Psychiatry* **158**, 1052-7.
- Fidler A.E., van Oers K., Drent P.J., Kuhn S., Mueller J.C. & Kempenaers B. (2007) Drd4 gene polymorphisms are associated with personality variation in a passerine bird. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **274**, 1685-91.
- Flisikowski K., Schwarzenbacher H., Wysocki M., Weigend S., Preisinger R., Kjaer J.B. & Fries R. (2009) Variation in neighbouring genes of the dopaminergic and serotonergic systems affects feather pecking behaviour of laying hens. *Animal Genetics* **40**, 192-9.
- Gizer I.R., Ficks C. & Waldman I.D. (2009) Candidate gene studies of ADHD: a meta-analytic review. *Human Genetics* **126**, 51-90.
- Goddard M.E. & Beilharz R.G. (1983) Genetics of traits which determine the suitability of dogs as guide-dogs for the blind. *Applied Animal Ethology* **9**, 299-315.
- Goddard M.E. & Beilharz R.G. (1986) Early prediction of adult behaviour in potential guide dogs. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* **15**, 247-60.
- Hejjas K., Kubinyi E., Ronai Z., Szekely A., Vas J., Miklosi A., Sasvari-Szekely M. & Kereszturi E. (2009) Molecular and behavioral analysis of the intron 2 repeat polymorphism in the canine dopamine D4 receptor gene. *Genes, Brain and Behavior* **8**, 330-6.
- Hejjas K., Vas J., Kubinyi E., Sasvari-Szekely M., Miklosi A. & Ronai Z. (2007a) Novel repeat polymorphisms of the dopaminergic neurotransmitter genes among dogs and wolves. *Mammalian Genome* **18**, 871-9.
- Hejjas K., Vas J., Topal J., Szantai E., Ronai Z., Szekely A., Kubinyi E., Horvath Z., Sasvari-Szekely M. & Miklosi A. (2007b) Association of polymorphisms in the dopamine D4 receptor gene and the activity-impulsivity endophenotype in dogs. *Animal Genetics* **38**, 629-33.

- Holmes J., Payton A., Barrett J.H., Hever T., Fitzpatrick H., Trumper A.L., Harrington R., McGuffin P., Owen M., Ollier W., Worthington J. & Thapar A. (2000) A family-based and case-control association study of the dopamine D4 receptor gene and dopamine transporter gene in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Molecular Psychiatry* **5**, 523-30.
- Huson H.J., Parker H.G., Runstadler J. & Ostrander E.A. (2010) A genetic dissection of breed composition and performance enhancement in the Alaskan sled dog. *BMC Genetics* **11**, 71-.
- Ito H., Nara H., Inoue-Murayama M., Shimada M.K., Koshimura A., Ueda Y., Kitagawa H., Takeuchi Y., Mori Y., Murayama Y., Morita M., Iwasaki T., Ota K., Tanabe Y. & Ito S. (2004) Allele frequency distribution of the canine dopamine receptor D4 gene exon III and I in 23 breeds. *Journal of Veterinary Medical Science* **66**, 815-20.
- Jovanovic V., Guan H.C. & Van Tol H.H. (1999) Comparative pharmacological and functional analysis of the human dopamine D4.2 and D4.10 receptor variants. *Pharmacogenetics* **9**, 561-8.
- Kline P. (1994) *An easy guide to factor analysis*. Routledge, New York.
- Konno A., Inoue-Murayama M. & Hasegawa T. (2011) Androgen receptor gene polymorphisms are associated with aggression in Japanese Akita Inu. *Biology Letters* **7**, 658-60.
- Kubinyi E., Vas J., Hejjas K., Ronai Z., Bruder I., Turcsan B., Sasvari-Szekely M. & Miklosi A. (2012) Polymorphism in the tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) gene is associated with activity-impulsivity in German Shepherd Dogs. *PLoS One* **7**, e30271.
- Langley K., Fowler T.A., Grady D.L., Moyzis R.K., Holmans P.A., van den Bree M.B.M., Owen M.J., O'Donovan M.C. & Thapar A. (2009) Molecular genetic contribution to the developmental course of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. *European Child and Adolescent Psychiatry* **18**, 26-32.
- Leo D., Sorrentino E., Volpicelli F., Eyman M., Greco D., Viggiano D., di Porzio U. & Perrone-Capano C. (2003) Altered midbrain dopaminergic neurotransmission during development in an animal model of ADHD. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews* **27**, 661-9.
- Leung P.W.L., Lee C.C., Hung S.F., Ho T.P., Tang C.P., Kwong S.L., Leung S.Y., Yuen S.T., Lieh-Mak F., Oosterlaan J., Grady D., Harxhi A., Ding Y.C., Chi H.C., Flodman P., Schuck S., Spence M.A., Moyzis R. & Swanson J. (2005) Dopamine receptor D4 (DRD4) gene in Han Chinese children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): increased prevalence of the 2-repeat allele. *American Journal of Medical Genetics Part B: Neuropsychiatric Genetics* **133B**, 54-6.
- Li D., Sham P.C., Owen M.J. & He L. (2006) Meta-analysis shows significant association between dopamine system genes and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). *Human Molecular Genetics* **15**, 2276-84.
- Lit L., Schweitzer J.B., Iosif A.-M. & Oberbauer A.M. (2010) Owner reports of attention, activity, and impulsivity in dogs: a replication study. *Behavioral and Brain Functions* **6**, 1-.
- Maher B.S., Marazita M.L., Ferrell R.E. & Vanyukov M.M. (2002) Dopamine system genes and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a meta-analysis. *Psychiatric Genetics* **12**, 207-15.
- Mellersh C. (2012) DNA testing and domestic dogs. *Mammalian Genome* **23**, 109-23.
- Meloni R., Albanese V., Ravassard P., Treilhou F. & Mallet J. (1998) A tetranucleotide polymorphic microsatellite, located in the first intron of the tyrosine hydroxylase gene, acts as a transcription regulatory element in vitro. *Human Molecular Genetics* **7**, 423-8.

- Nieoullon A. (2002) Dopamine and the regulation of cognition and attention. *Progress in Neurobiology* **67**, 53-83.
- Nigg J.T., John O.P., Blaskey L.G., Huang-Pollock C.L., Willcutt E.G., Hinshaw S.P. & Pennington B. (2002) Big Five dimensions and ADHD symptoms: links between personality traits and clinical symptoms. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* **83**, 451-69.
- Niimi Y., Inoue-Murayama M., Kato K., Matsuura N., Murayama Y., Ito S., Momoi Y., Konno K. & Iwasaki T. (2001) Breed differences in allele frequency of the dopamine receptor D4 gene in dogs. *Journal of Heredity* **92**, 433-6.
- Niimi Y., Inoue-Murayama M., Murayama Y., Ito S. & Iwasaki T. (1999) Allelic variation of the D4 dopamine receptor polymorphic region in two dog breeds, Golden retriever and Shiba. *Journal of Veterinary Medical Science* **61**, 1281-6.
- Olson P.N., Hall M.F., Peterson J.K. & Johnson G.S. (2004) Using genetic technologies for promoting canine health and temperament. *Animal Reproduction Science* **82-83**, 225-30.
- Parker H.G., Kim L.V., Sutter N.B., Carlson S., Lorentzen T.D., Malek T.B., Johnson G.S., DeFrance H.B., Ostrander E.A. & Kruglyak L. (2004) Genetic structure of the purebred domestic dog. *Science* **304**, 1160-4.
- Payton A., Holmes J., Barrett J.H., Hever T., Fitzpatrick H., Trumper A.L., Harrington R., McGuffin P., O'Donovan M., Owen M., Ollier W., Worthington J. & Thapar A. (2001) Examining for association between candidate gene polymorphisms in the dopamine pathway and attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: a family-based study. *American Journal of Medical Genetics* **105**, 464-70.
- Persson M.L., Wasserman D., Geijer T., Jonsson E.G. & Terenius L. (1997) Tyrosine hydroxylase allelic distribution in suicide attempters. *Psychiatry Research* **72**, 73-80.
- Persson M.L., Wasserman D., Jonsson E.G., Bergman H., Terenius L., Gyllander A., Neiman J. & Geijer T. (2000) Search for the influence of the tyrosine hydroxylase (TCAT)(n) repeat polymorphism on personality traits. *Psychiatry Research* **95**, 1-8.
- Quignon P., Herbin L., Cadieu E., Kirkness E.F., Hedan B., Mosher D.S., Galibert F., Andre C., Ostrander E.A. & Hitte C. (2007) Canine population structure: assessment and impact of intra-breed stratification on SNP-based association studies. *PLoS One* **2**, e1324.
- Shao C., Li Y., Jiang K., Zhang D., Xu Y., Lin L., Wang Q., Zhao M. & Jin L. (2006) Dopamine D4 receptor polymorphism modulates cue-elicited heroin craving in Chinese. *Psychopharmacology* **186**, 185-90.
- Takeuchi Y., Hashizume C., Arata S., Inoue-Murayama M., Maki T., Hart B.L. & Mori Y. (2009) An approach to canine behavioural genetics employing guide dogs for the blind. *Animal Genetics* **40**, 217-24.
- Tochigi M., Otowa T., Hibino H., Kato C., Otani T., Umekage T., Utsumi T., Kato N. & Sasaki T. (2006) Combined analysis of association between personality traits and three functional polymorphisms in the tyrosine hydroxylase, monoamine oxidase A, and catechol-O-methyltransferase genes. *Neuroscience Research* **54**, 180-5.
- Varga G., Szekely A., Antal P., Sarkozy P., Nemoda Z., Demetrovics Z. & Sasvari-Szekely M. (2012) Additive effects of serotonergic and dopaminergic polymorphisms on trait impulsivity. *American Journal of Medical Genetics Part B: Neuropsychiatric Genetics* **159B**, 281-8.
- Vas J., Topál J., Péch É. & Miklósi Á. (2007) Measuring attention deficit and activity in dogs: a new application and validation of a human ADHD questionnaire. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* **103**, 105-17.



- Vonholdt B.M., Pollinger J.P., Lohmueller K.E., Han E., Parker H.G., Quignon P., Degenhardt J.D., Boyko A.R., Earl D.A., Auton A., Reynolds A., Bryc K., Brisbin A., Knowles J.C., Mosher D.S., Spady T.C., Elkahloun A., Geffen E., Pilot M., Jedrzejewski W., Greco C., Randi E., Bannasch D., Wilton A., Shearman J., Musiani M., Cargill M., Jones P.G., Qian Z., Huang W., Ding Z.-L., Zhang Y.-P., Bustamante C.D., Ostrander E.A., Novembre J. & Wayne R.K. (2010) Genome-wide SNP and haplotype analyses reveal a rich history underlying dog domestication. *Nature* **464**, 898-902.
- Wan M., Kubinyi E., Miklósi Á. & Champagne F. (2009) A cross-cultural comparison of reports by German Shepherd owners in Hungary and the United States of America. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* **121**, 206-13.
- Whiteside S.P. & Lynam D.R. (2001) The Five Factor Model and impulsivity: using a structural model of personality to understand impulsivity. *Personality and Individual Differences* **30**, 669-89.
- Wilsson E. & Sundgren P.-E. (1998) Behaviour test for eight-week old puppies—heritabilities of tested behaviour traits and its correspondence to later behaviour. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* **58**, 151-62.

## Tables

Table 1. Descriptive statistics of the studied Siberian Husky populations

	<b>EU</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>ALL</b>
Age, years, <i>M (SD)</i>	4.5 (2.8)	5.0 (3.0)	4.7(2.9)
Sex, male, <i>n</i>	46	33	79
Neutered, <i>n*</i>	14	-23	37
<i>DRD4 allele frequencies, %</i>			
<b>1</b>	0.0	0.6	0.3
<b>2</b>	4.5	5.6	5.2
<b>3</b>	10.0	21.7	17.2
<b>4</b>	5.5	5.0	5.2
<b>5</b>	46.4	51.1	49.3
<b>8</b>	33.6	16.1	22.8
<i>DRD4 genotype frequencies, % (n)</i>			
<b>1/4</b>	1.1	0.0	0.7 (1)
<b>2/2</b>	2.2	1.8	2.1 (3)
<b>2/3</b>	5.6	1.8	4.1 (6)
<b>2/4</b>	0.0	1.8	0.7 (1)
<b>2/5</b>	1.1	0.0	0.7 (1)
<b>2/8</b>	0.0	1.8	0.7 (1)
<b>3/3</b>	4.4	1.8	3.4 (5)
<b>3/4</b>	1.1	3.6	2.1 (3)
<b>3/5</b>	17.8	10.9	15.2 (22)
<b>3/8</b>	10.0	0.0	6.2 (9)
<b>4/4</b>	1.1	0.0	0.7 (1)
<b>4/5</b>	3.3	1.8	2.8 (4)
<b>4/8</b>	2.2	3.6	2.8 (4)
<b>5/5</b>	40.0	30.9	36.6 (53)
<b>5/8</b>	0.0	18.2	6.9 (10)
<b>8/8</b>	10.0	21.8	14.5 (21)
<b><i>H</i></b>	0.42	0.44	0.43
<b><i>N</i></b>	90	55	145
<i>TH allele frequencies, %</i>			
<b>1</b>	86.9	73.0	81.9
<b>2</b>	13.1	27.0	18.1
<i>TH genotype frequencies, % (n)</i>			
<b>1/1</b>	76.1	60.0	70.3 (97)
<b>1/2</b>	21.6	26.0	23.2 (32)
<b>2/2</b>	2.3	14.0	6.5 (9)
<b><i>N</i></b>	88	50	138

\*Neuter status was collected for a subsample of 87 dogs.

*H* = observed heterozygosity

Table 2. Principal component structure, loadings of items, explained variance, Cronbach's alpha and Eigenvalues of principal components

<i>Behavioural variables</i>	Sociability	Activity	Movement during handling
Head orientation	-0.09	<b>0.64</b>	0.06
Duration of moving the legs	0.09	<b>0.88</b>	-0.07
Spontaneous activity level	0.05	<b>0.93</b>	-0.09
Approaches	<b>0.73</b>	-0.04	0.17
Contact-seeking with the experimenter	<b>0.75</b>	-0.04	0.02
Tail-wagging	<b>0.64</b>	0.17	-0.41
Low tail	<b>-0.76</b>	-0.24	0.13
Low posture	<b>-0.62</b>	-0.04	-0.05
Greeting activity level	0.34	<b>0.62</b>	-0.23
Movement during sample collection 1	-0.09	0.00	<b>0.82</b>
Movement during sample collection 2	0.14	-0.12	<b>0.82</b>
<i>Explained variance (%)</i>	<i>23.91</i>	<i>23.02</i>	<i>14.82</i>
<i>Cronbach's alpha</i>	<i>0.76</i>	<i>0.44</i>	<i>0.62</i>
<i>Eigenvalue</i>	<i>3.24</i>	<i>2.12</i>	<i>1.44</i>

## Figure Legends

Figure 1. Associations between *DRD4* genotype and (a) activity PC scores ( $M \pm SE$ ) from the behavioural test and (b) activity-impulsivity scale scores ( $M \pm SE$ ) from the questionnaire.


Siberian Huskies with at least one short allele displayed greater activity ( $P = 0.02$ ) and received marginally higher activity-impulsivity ratings ( $P = 0.08$ ). Model-fitted values account for sex, age, continent of origin, and owner identity.

Figure 2. Associations between *TH* genotype and (a) activity-impulsivity ( $M \pm SE$ ) scale scores and (b) inattention scale scores ( $M \pm SE$ ) from the questionnaire. Siberian Huskies from Europe with two short alleles received higher inattention ratings ( $P = 0.04$ ) and marginally higher activity-impulsivity ratings ( $P = 0.05$ ). Model-fitted values account for sex, age, and owner identity.

Figure 3. Associations between combined-genotype group and (a) activity-impulsivity scores ( $M \pm SE$ ) from questionnaire and (b) activity PC scores ( $M \pm SE$ ) from the behavioural test. Siberian Huskies with long alleles at both sites received lower activity-impulsivity ratings than each of the other groups ( $P < 0.025$  for all comparisons). Siberian Huskies with the combination of short *DRD4* and long *TH* alleles were significantly more active than those with long *DRD4* alleles and either short ( $P = 0.008$ ) or long *TH* alleles ( $P = 0.032$ ). Model-fitted values account for sex, age, continent of origin, and owner identity.

FIGURE 1

(a)



(b)





FIGURE 2

(a)



(b)






FIGURE 3

(a)



(b)



## Supporting Information

Text S1. Dog-ADHD Rating Scale. Based on instrument originally published in Vas *et al.* 2007.

Questions	never	seldom	often	very often
1 Your dog has a difficult time learning, because he/she is careless, or other things can easily attract his/her attention.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2 It is easy to attract your dog's attention, but he/she loses his/her interest soon.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3 It is difficult for your dog to concentrate on a task or play.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4 Your dog leaves from his/her place when he/she should stay.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5 Your dog cannot be quiet; he/she cannot be easily calmed.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6 Your dog fidgets all the time.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7 It seems that your dog does not listen even if he/she knows that someone is speaking to him/her.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8 Your dog is excessively difficult to control; if he/she lunges, it is hard to hold him/her back.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9 Your dog always wants to play and run.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10 Your dog solves simple tasks easily, but he/she often has difficulties with complicated tasks, even if he/she knows them and has practiced them often.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
11 Your dog is likely to react hastily, and that is why he/she is failing tasks.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
12 Your dog's attention can be easily distracted.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
13 Your dog cannot wait; he/she has no self-control.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>



Text S2. Supplementary analyses: Demographic variables of dogs.

Because inattention could result from dogs competing with one another for their owners' attention, we conducted additional analyses to determine whether the number of dogs owned was associated with inattention scores from the Dog-ADHD Rating Scale. The total number of other dogs in the household was available for 89 participating dogs. We found the opposite of the predicted relationship: There was a modest negative correlation between inattention scores and the number of dogs owned, indicating that inattention scores increased as the number of dogs decreased, Spearman's rho:  $r_s(86) = -0.29, P = 0.006$ . The number of dogs owned did not differ between the short and long genotype groups of DRD4 and TH,  $t(87) = -1.59, P = 0.12$  and  $t(82) = 1.00, P = 0.32$ .

Additional analyses were conducted to assess whether behaviour varied according to spay-neuter status. Scores on the activity and inattention scales of the Dog-ADHD RS did not differ between intact and altered dogs,  $t(82) = 0.98, P = 0.33$  and  $t(82) = 0.58, P = 0.56$ . In addition, activity and movement during handling in the behavioural test did not vary according to spay-neuter status,  $t(64) = 1.75, P = 0.09$  and  $t(64) = -0.20, P = 0.84$ . However, spayed and neutered dogs were more sociable in the behavioural test than intact dogs,  $t(64) = -2.19, P = 0.03$ . Neutered dogs were equally likely to be found in the short and long genotype groups of DRD4 and TH,  $\chi^2 < 0.001, df = 1, P > 0.99$  and  $\chi^2 = 0.67, df = 1, P = 0.41$ .

Text S3. Supplementary analyses: Comparison of Siberian Huskies and German Shepherd Dogs.

In order to examine the effect of breed and genotype on activity-related traits, data from the current study were combined with previously collected data on GSDs (Kubinyi *et al.* 2012). Since all of the GSD data were from Europe, we only included Siberian Husky data from Europe in these analyses. Linear mixed models were conducted on questionnaire scores, as well as duration of leg movement from the spontaneous activity test. Other behavioural variables were not available for both breeds. The duration of leg movement from Siberian Huskies was converted to a 0-3 scale to be consistent with the GSD data (0 = no movement, 1 = 1-30 s of movement, 2 = 31-59 s of movement, 3 = 60s of movement). Sex, age, breed, and genotype were included as fixed effects. A breed-by-genotype interaction was not included in the models, since data was limited or non-existent for certain genotype-breed combinations (for example, no GSDs with the 1/1 genotype for the *TH* polymorphism). Since the GSDs possessed only a limited number of *DRD4* alleles (2, 3a) compared to the Siberian Huskies, we only included *TH* genotypes in these analyses.

Activity-impulsivity ratings varied according to *TH* genotype,  $F(2, 183) = 5.68, P = .004$ , as did inattention ratings,  $F(2, 182) = 4.66, P = .01$ . Dogs with the 1/1 genotype (in our sample, only Siberian Huskies) were rated as more active-impulsive and inattentive than dogs possessing the long allele (1/2 or 2/2 genotypes) (**Figure S1**). Overall, the breeds did not differ from each other in activity-impulsivity or inattention scores. Leg movement in the spontaneous activity test also did not vary by breed or *TH* genotype. Parameter estimates are displayed in **Table S3**.

In conclusion, we found that dogs with two short *TH* alleles (in our sample, only Siberian Huskies) received higher activity-impulsivity and inattention scores than dogs of either breed with the long allele. While we did not find that activity-impulsivity related traits varied by breed

in our sample, others have reported higher general activity levels in Siberian Huskies than in GSDs, based on ratings provided by veterinarians and obedience judges (Hart & Hart 1988). It is possible that differences in allelic and genotypic frequencies among breeds may partially explain such differences in behaviour across breeds. Therefore, we argue that it could be informative in future studies to examine gene-behaviour associations both within and across breeds. However, a larger dataset with adequate representation for each genotype of interest from each breed would be necessary in order to draw firm conclusions, and the role of owner and other variables associated with breed would need to be considered in the design of the study.

## References

- Hart B.L. & Hart L.A. (1988) *The perfect puppy: how to choose your dog by its behavior*. W. H. Freeman, New York.
- Kubinyi E., Vas J., Hejjas K., Ronai Z., Bruder I., Turcsan B., Sasvari-Szekely M. & Miklosi A. (2012) Polymorphism in the tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) gene is associated with activity-impulsivity in German Shepherd Dogs. *PLoS One* 7, e30271.

Table S1. Parameter estimates for linear mixed models performed on Siberian Husky data from Europe and North America with fixed effects of age, sex, and genotype and random effects of owner and continent.

<b>Polymorphism</b>	<b>Measure</b>	<b>Parameter</b>	<b><math>\beta</math></b>	<b>s.e.</b>	<b>d.f.</b>	<b>t</b>	<b>p</b>
<i>DRD4 exon 3</i>	<i>Activity-impulsivity scale</i>	Intercept	1.71	0.14	127.14	12.12	0.00
		Age	-0.05	0.02	134.79	-2.67	0.01
		Sex <sup>a</sup>	-0.17	0.11	135.06	-1.54	0.13
		Genotype <sup>b</sup>	0.20	0.11	138.69	1.80	0.07
	<i>Inattention scale</i>	Intercept	1.27	0.14	130.01	8.98	0.00
		Age	0.00	0.02	137.98	0.00	1.00
		Sex	-0.04	0.10	128.20	-0.41	0.68
		Genotype	0.07	0.11	133.01	0.68	0.50
	<i>Sociability factor</i>	Intercept	0.05	0.24	84.94	0.20	0.84
		Age	0.04	0.03	85.35	1.13	0.26
		Sex	-0.22	0.20	91.72	-1.11	0.27
		Genotype	-0.16	0.21	91.86	-0.75	0.46
<i>Activity factor</i>	Intercept	0.08	0.24	91.60	0.32	0.75	
	Age	-0.05	0.03	90.52	-1.36	0.18	
	Sex	-0.11	0.18	78.09	-0.61	0.54	
	Genotype	0.46	0.20	81.23	2.35	0.02	
<i>Movement during handling factor</i>	Intercept	0.10	0.26	85.28	0.40	0.69	
	Age	-0.04	0.04	85.43	-1.01	0.32	
	Sex	0.11	0.21	91.87	0.50	0.62	
	Genotype	-0.13	0.22	91.73	-0.57	0.57	
<i>TH intron 4</i>	<i>Activity-impulsivity scale</i>	Intercept	1.88	0.13	108.29	14.47	0.00
		Age	-0.06	0.02	125.96	-2.77	0.01
		Sex	-0.18	0.11	132.53	-1.68	0.10
		Genotype <sup>c</sup>	0.21	0.12	133.99	1.74	0.08
	<i>Inattention scale</i>	Intercept	1.37	0.13	115.14	10.20	0.00
		Age	0.00	0.02	131.45	-0.05	0.96
		Sex	-0.06	0.11	125.88	-0.53	0.60
		Genotype	0.20	0.12	129.94	1.67	0.10
	<i>Sociability factor</i>	Intercept	-0.09	0.23	80.73	-0.40	0.69
		Age	0.04	0.04	83.82	1.23	0.22
		Sex	-0.16	0.20	87.35	-0.82	0.41
		Genotype	-0.03	0.22	86.68	-0.15	0.88
<i>Activity factor</i>	Intercept	0.28	0.24	86.67	1.18	0.24	
	Age	-0.06	0.04	86.15	-1.56	0.12	
	Sex	-0.29	0.19	71.94	-1.52	0.13	
	Genotype	-0.37	0.21	69.64	-1.79	0.08	
<i>Movement during handling factor</i>	Intercept	0.10	0.25	82.42	0.40	0.69	

		Age	-0.04	0.04	84.87	-0.99	0.33
		Sex	0.14	0.22	87.46	0.64	0.52
		Genotype	0.21	0.24	86.93	0.87	0.39
<i>DRD4 + TH</i>	<i>Activity-impulsivity scale</i>	Intercept	1.38	0.17	118.09	8.03	0.00
		Age	-0.06	0.02	125.05	-2.87	0.00
		Sex	-0.12	0.11	134.00	-1.14	0.26
		Genotype Gp 1 <sup>d</sup>	0.48	0.16	133.41	2.99	0.00
		Genotype Gp 2 <sup>e</sup>	0.59	0.20	131.18	2.99	0.00
		Genotype Gp 3 <sup>f</sup>	0.45	0.15	132.87	3.05	0.00
	<i>Inattention scale</i>	Intercept	1.08	0.18	129.87	5.98	0.00
		Age	0.00	0.02	131.76	0.00	1.00
		Sex	-0.04	0.11	128.67	-0.35	0.73
		Genotype Gp 1	0.28	0.16	129.60	1.70	0.09
		Genotype Gp 2	0.19	0.19	121.97	1.00	0.32
		Genotype Gp 3	0.27	0.15	124.00	1.85	0.07
	<i>Sociability factor</i>	Intercept	-0.12	0.32	79.91	-0.38	0.71
		Age	0.04	0.04	85.56	1.09	0.28
		Sex	-0.18	0.20	88.00	-0.87	0.38
		Genotype Gp 1	-0.10	0.31	86.73	-0.33	0.74
		Genotype Gp 2	0.27	0.38	72.95	0.71	0.48
		Genotype Gp 3	0.15	0.27	85.50	0.56	0.58
	<i>Activity factor</i>	Intercept	0.19	0.32	87.95	0.61	0.54
		Age	-0.05	0.03	85.83	-1.38	0.17
		Sex	-0.17	0.19	76.13	-0.90	0.37
		Genotype Gp 1	0.11	0.29	80.16	0.38	0.70
		Genotype Gp 2	0.91	0.31	59.26	2.88	0.01
		Genotype Gp 3	-0.04	0.24	71.26	-0.16	0.87
	<i>Movement during handling factor</i>	Intercept	-0.16	0.35	81.76	-0.45	0.65
		Age	-0.04	0.04	86.41	-1.11	0.27
		Sex	0.13	0.22	87.89	0.57	0.57
		Genotype Gp 1	0.16	0.34	87.46	0.47	0.64
		Genotype Gp 2	0.23	0.40	72.53	0.56	0.58
		Genotype Gp 3	0.36	0.29	84.96	1.23	0.22

<sup>a</sup> Reference group is male.

<sup>b</sup> Reference group is *DRD4*-long (5/5, 5/8, and 8/8 genotypes).

<sup>c</sup> Reference group is *TH*-long (1/2 and 2/2 genotypes).

<sup>d</sup> Group 1 = *DRD4*-short and *TH*-short; reference group is Group 4 (*DRD4*-long and *TH*-long)

<sup>e</sup> Group 2 = *DRD4*-short and *TH*-long; reference group is Group 4 (*DRD4*-long and *TH*-long)

<sup>f</sup> Group 3 = *DRD4*-long and *TH*-short; reference group is Group 4 (*DRD4*-long and *TH*-long)

Table S2. Parameter estimates for linear mixed models performed on Siberian Husky data from Europe with fixed effects of age, sex, and genotype and random effects for owner.

<b>Polymorphism</b>	<b>Measure</b>	<b>Parameter</b>	<b><math>\beta</math></b>	<b>s.e.</b>	<b>d.f.</b>	<b>t</b>	<b>p</b>
<i>DRD4 exon 3</i>	<i>Activity-impulsivity scale</i>	Intercept	1.94	0.21	78.11	9.33	0.00
		Age	-0.07	0.03	83.27	-2.53	0.01
		Sex <sup>a</sup>	-0.31	0.15	86.52	-2.09	0.04
		Genotype <sup>b</sup>	0.26	0.15	86.90	1.69	0.10
	<i>Inattention scale</i>	Intercept	1.18	0.21	79.66	5.75	0.00
		Age	0.02	0.03	84.41	0.79	0.43
		Sex	-0.15	0.15	82.72	-1.00	0.32
		Genotype	0.11	0.15	84.02	0.73	0.46
	<i>Sociability factor</i>	Intercept	-0.75	0.36	47.03	-2.07	0.04
		Age	0.09	0.05	47.92	1.77	0.08
		Sex	-0.05	0.28	47.61	-0.17	0.87
		Genotype	0.19	0.29	47.85	0.66	0.51
	<i>Activity factor</i>	Intercept	0.04	0.33	48.00	0.12	0.91
		Age	-0.04	0.04	46.06	-1.00	0.32
		Sex	0.02	0.24	41.67	0.10	0.92
		Genotype	0.45	0.26	45.32	1.77	0.08
<i>Movement during handling factor</i>	Intercept	-0.04	0.37	45.98	-0.11	0.91	
	Age	-0.02	0.05	47.99	-0.43	0.67	
	Sex	-0.04	0.29	47.78	-0.15	0.88	
	Genotype	0.09	0.30	46.23	0.30	0.77	
<i>TH intron 4</i>	<i>Activity-impulsivity scale</i>	Intercept	2.13	0.18	65.33	12.07	0.00
		Age	-0.07	0.03	80.46	-2.70	0.01
		Sex	-0.29	0.15	85.00	-1.91	0.06
		Genotype <sup>c</sup>	0.34	0.17	84.91	1.95	0.05
	<i>Inattention scale</i>	Intercept	1.32	0.18	67.05	7.35	0.00
		Age	0.02	0.03	82.21	0.69	0.49
		Sex	-0.11	0.14	82.21	-0.79	0.43
		Genotype	0.34	0.17	83.09	2.07	0.04
	<i>Sociability factor</i>	Intercept	-0.67	0.33	44.21	-2.03	0.05
		Age	0.09	0.05	47.92	1.79	0.08
		Sex	-0.12	0.28	47.52	-0.43	0.67
		Genotype	-0.18	0.33	41.91	-0.53	0.60
	<i>Activity factor</i>	Intercept	0.24	0.32	47.46	0.75	0.46
		Age	-0.04	0.05	43.45	-0.80	0.43
		Sex	-0.12	0.25	38.31	-0.48	0.63
		Genotype	-0.08	0.28	32.88	-0.28	0.78
<i>Movement during handling factor</i>	Intercept	0.08	0.33	44.44	0.24	0.81	
	Age	-0.03	0.05	47.96	-0.57	0.57	

		Sex	0.05	0.29	47.54	0.17	0.87
		Genotype	0.48	0.35	47.05	1.39	0.17
<i>DRD4 + TH</i>	<i>Activity-impulsivity scale</i>	Intercept	1.32	0.26	70.49	5.09	0.00
		Age	-0.07	0.03	80.43	-2.80	0.01
		Sex	-0.18	0.15	82.83	-1.23	0.22
		Genotype Gp 1 <sup>d</sup>	0.78	0.23	84.00	3.39	0.00
		Genotype Gp 2 <sup>e</sup>	0.84	0.30	82.65	2.84	0.01
		Genotype Gp 3 <sup>f</sup>	0.69	0.22	84.94	3.07	0.00
	<i>Inattention scale</i>	Intercept	0.85	0.27	81.28	3.16	0.00
		Age	0.02	0.03	82.81	0.78	0.44
		Sex	-0.08	0.15	83.60	-0.55	0.58
		Genotype Gp 1	0.47	0.23	81.26	2.06	0.04
		Genotype Gp 2	0.18	0.30	83.92	0.61	0.54
		Genotype Gp 3	0.39	0.22	77.07	1.76	0.08
	<i>Sociability factor</i>	Intercept	-0.69	0.47	45.78	-1.47	0.15
		Age	0.09	0.05	46.20	1.73	0.09
		Sex	-0.08	0.28	47.50	-0.29	0.78
		Genotype Gp 1	0.09	0.45	47.77	0.20	0.85
		Genotype Gp 2	0.57	0.63	42.10	0.91	0.37
		Genotype Gp 3	-0.04	0.41	41.56	-0.11	0.91
	<i>Activity factor</i>	Intercept	-0.15	0.43	47.67	-0.35	0.73
		Age	-0.05	0.04	45.29	-1.20	0.24
		Sex	0.05	0.25	44.81	0.21	0.83
		Genotype Gp 1	0.62	0.40	47.48	1.54	0.13
		Genotype Gp 2	1.21	0.55	38.60	2.19	0.04
		Genotype Gp 3	0.28	0.36	37.98	0.79	0.43
	<i>Movement during handling factor</i>	Intercept	-0.26	0.45	36.98	-0.58	0.56
		Age	-0.01	0.05	46.62	-0.15	0.88
		Sex	0.09	0.28	43.95	0.33	0.75
		Genotype Gp 1	0.29	0.44	39.09	0.68	0.50
		Genotype Gp 2	-0.98	0.66	47.98	-1.47	0.15
		Genotype Gp 3	0.12	0.43	47.99	0.29	0.78

<sup>a</sup> Reference group is male.

<sup>b</sup> Reference group is *DRD4*-long (5/5, 5/8, and 8/8 genotypes).

<sup>c</sup> Reference group is *TH*-long (1/2 and 2/2 genotypes).

<sup>d</sup> Group 1 = *DRD4*-short and *TH*-short; reference group is Group 4 (*DRD4*-long and *TH*-long)

<sup>e</sup> Group 2 = *DRD4*-short and *TH*-long; reference group is Group 4 (*DRD4*-long and *TH*-long)

<sup>f</sup> Group 3 = *DRD4*-long and *TH*-short; reference group is Group 4 (*DRD4*-long and *TH*-long)

Table S3. Parameter estimates for linear mixed models on German Shepherd and Siberian Husky data from Europe with fixed effects of age, sex, and *TH* genotype.

<b>Polymorphism</b>	<b>Measure</b>	<b>Parameter</b>	<b><math>\beta</math></b>	<b>s.e.</b>	<b>d.f.</b>	<b><i>t</i></b>	<b><i>p</i></b>
<i>TH intron 4</i>	<i>Activity-impulsivity scale</i>	Intercept	1.27	0.12	183.00	11.01	0.00
		Age	-0.05	0.02	183.00	-2.79	0.01
		Sex <sup>a</sup>	-0.09	0.09	183.00	-0.94	0.35
		Breed <sup>b</sup>	-0.08	0.17	183.00	-0.46	0.65
		Genotype 1/1 <sup>c</sup>	0.67	0.20	183.00	3.37	0.00
	Genotype 1/2 <sup>d</sup>	0.25	0.13	183.00	1.98	0.05	
	<i>Inattention scale</i>	Intercept	0.84	0.11	182.00	7.88	0.00
		Age	0.02	0.02	182.00	1.07	0.28
		Sex	0.01	0.09	182.00	0.09	0.93
		Breed	-0.18	0.16	182.00	-1.14	0.25
Genotype 1/1		0.48	0.18	182.00	2.62	0.01	
Genotype 1/2	0.03	0.12	182.00	0.24	0.81		
<i>Leg movement</i>	Intercept	1.89	0.19	123.00	9.91	0.00	
	Age	-0.10	0.03	123.00	-3.76	0.00	
	Sex	-0.09	0.15	123.00	-0.60	0.55	
	Breed	0.10	0.29	123.00	0.34	0.73	
	Genotype 1/1	0.19	0.34	123.00	0.56	0.58	
Genotype 1/2	0.11	0.19	123.00	0.57	0.57		

<sup>a</sup> Reference group is male.


<sup>b</sup> Reference group is German Shepherd Dogs.

<sup>c,d</sup> Reference group is Genotype 2/2.



Figure S1. Activity-impulsivity and inattention scale scores ( $M \pm SE$ ) from questionnaire according to *TH* genotype and breed (GSD = German Shepherd Dog, SH = Siberian Husky). Scores varied by genotype, but not by breed. The 1/1 genotype (SH:  $n = 64$ ) was associated with significantly higher activity-impulsivity and inattention scores than the 1/2 (SH:  $n = 19$ ; GSD:  $n = 37$ ) and 2/2 genotypes (SH:  $n = 2$ ; GSD:  $n = 67$ ). Due to insufficient data, the 1/1 genotype for GSD ( $n = 0$ ) and 2/2 genotype for SH are not shown ( $n = 2$ ). All data were from Europe.

(a)



(b)

