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# Inverse Problem for a Curved Quantum Guide 

Laure Cardoulis* Michel Cristofol ${ }^{\dagger}$


#### Abstract

In this paper, we consider the Dirichlet Laplacian operator $-\Delta$ on a curved quantum guide in $\mathbb{R}^{n}(n=2,3)$ with an asymptotically straight reference curve. We give uniqueness results for the inverse problem associated to the reconstruction of the curvature by using either observations of spectral data or a boot-strapping method. keywords: Inverse Problem, Quantum Guide, Curvature


## 1 Introduction and main results in dimension $n=2$

The spectral properties of curved quantum guides have been studied intensively for several years, because of their applications in quantum mechanics, electron motion. We can cite among several papers [6], [8], [9], [4], [5], [3] ...
However, inverse problems associated with curved quantum guides have not been studied to our knowledge, except in [2]. Our aim is to establish uniqueness results for the inverse problem of the reconstruction of the curvature of the quantum guide: the data of one eigenpair determines uniquely the curvature up to its sign and similar results are obtained by considering the knowledge of a solution of Poisson's equation in the guide.
We consider the Laplacian operator on a non trivially curved quantum guide $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ which is not self-intersecting, with Dirichlet boundary conditions, denoted by $-\Delta_{D}^{\Omega}$. We proceed as in [6]. We denote by $\Gamma=\left(\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}\right)$ the function $C^{3}$-smooth (see [3, Remark 5]) which characterizes the reference curve and by $N=\left(N_{1}, N_{2}\right)$ the outgoing normal to the boundary of $\Omega$. We denote by $d$ the fixed width of $\Omega$ and by $\left.\Omega_{0}:=\mathbb{R} \times\right]-d / 2, d / 2[$. Each point $(x, y)$ of $\Omega$ is described by the curvilinear coordinates $(s, u)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{f}: \Omega_{0} \longrightarrow \Omega \quad \text { with } \quad(x, y)=\hat{f}(s, u)=\Gamma(s)+u N(s) . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume $\Gamma_{1}^{\prime}(s)^{2}+\Gamma_{2}^{\prime}(s)^{2}=1$ and we recall that the signed curvature $\gamma$ of $\Gamma$ is defined by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma(s)=-\Gamma_{1}^{\prime \prime}(s) \Gamma_{2}^{\prime}(s)+\Gamma_{2}^{\prime \prime}(s) \Gamma_{1}^{\prime}(s) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

named so because $|\gamma(s)|$ represents the curvature of the reference curve at $s$. We recall that a guide is called simply-bent if $\gamma$ does not change sign in $\mathbb{R}$. We assume throughout this article that:

Assumption 1.1. i) $\hat{f}$ is injective.

[^0]ii) $\gamma \in C^{2}(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}), \gamma \not \equiv 0$, (i.e. $\Omega$ is non-trivially curved).
iii) $\frac{d}{2}<\frac{1}{\|\gamma\|_{\infty}}$, where $\|\gamma\|_{\infty}:=\sup _{s \in \mathbb{R}}|\gamma(s)|=\|\gamma\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}$.
iv) $\gamma(s) \rightarrow 0$ as $|s| \rightarrow+\infty$ (i.e. $\Omega$ is asymptotically straight).

Note that, by the inverse function theorem, the map $\hat{f}$ (defined by (1.1)) is a local diffeomorphism provided $1-u \gamma(s) \neq 0$, for all $u$, $s$, which is guaranteed by Assumption 1.1 and since $\hat{f}$ is assumed to be injective, the map $\hat{f}$ is a global diffeomorphism. Note also that $1-u \gamma(s)>0$ for all $u$ and $s$. (More precisely, $0<1-\frac{d}{2}\|\gamma\|_{\infty} \leq 1-u \gamma(s) \leq 1+\frac{d}{2}\|\gamma\|_{\infty}$ for all $u, s$.) The curvilinear coordinates $(s, u)$ are locally orthogonal, so by virtue of the Frenet-Serret formulae, the metric in $\Omega$ is expressed with respect to them through a diagonal metric tensor (e.g. [9])

$$
\left(g_{i j}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
(1-u \gamma(s))^{2} & 0  \tag{1.3}\\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

The transition to the curvilinear coordinates represents an isometric map of $L^{2}(\Omega)$ to $L^{2}\left(\Omega_{0}, g^{1 / 2} d s d u\right)$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
(g(s, u))^{1 / 2}:=1-u \gamma(s) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the Jacobian $\frac{\partial(x, y)}{\partial(s, u)}$. So we can replace the Laplacian operator $-\Delta_{D}^{\Omega}$ acting on $L^{2}(\Omega)$ by the Laplace-Beltrami operator $H_{g}$ acting on $L^{2}\left(\Omega_{0}, g^{1 / 2} d s d u\right)$ relative to the given metric tensor $\left(g_{i j}\right)$ ( see (1.3) and (1.4)) where:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{g}:=-g^{-1 / 2} \partial_{s}\left(g^{-1 / 2} \partial_{s}\right)-g^{-1 / 2} \partial_{u}\left(g^{1 / 2} \partial_{u}\right) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We rewrite $H_{g}$ (defined by (1.5)) into a Schrödinger-type operator acting on $L^{2}\left(\Omega_{0}, d s d u\right)$. Indeed, using the unitary transformation

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
U_{g}: L^{2}\left(\Omega_{0}, g^{1 / 2} d s d u\right) & \longrightarrow & L^{2}\left(\Omega_{0}, d s d u\right)  \tag{1.6}\\
\psi & \mapsto & g^{1 / 4} \psi
\end{array}
$$

setting

$$
H_{\gamma}:=U_{g} H_{g} U_{g}^{-1}
$$

we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\gamma}=-\partial_{s}\left(c_{\gamma}(s, u) \partial_{s}\right)-\partial_{u}^{2}+V_{\gamma}(s, u) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{\gamma}(s, u)=\frac{1}{(1-u \gamma(s))^{2}} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\gamma}(s, u)=-\frac{\gamma^{2}(s)}{4(1-u \gamma(s))^{2}}-\frac{u \gamma^{\prime \prime}(s)}{2(1-u \gamma(s))^{3}}-\frac{5 u^{2} \gamma^{\prime 2}(s)}{4(1-u \gamma(s))^{4}} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will assume throughout all this paper that the following assumption is satisfied:

Assumption 1.2. $\gamma \in C^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\gamma^{(k)} \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ for each $k=0,1,2$ where $\gamma^{(k)}$ denotes the $k^{\text {th }}$ derivative of $\gamma$.

Remarks: Since $\Omega$ is non trivially-curved and asymptotically straight, the operator $-\Delta_{D}^{\Omega}$ has at least one eigenvalue of finite multiplicity below its essential spectrum (see [3], [9] ; see also [6] under the additional assumptions that the width $d$ is sufficiently small and the curvature $\gamma$ is rapidly decaying at infinity ; see [8] under the assumption that the curvature $\gamma$ has a compact
support).
Furthermore, note that such operator $H_{\gamma}$ admits bound states and that the minimum eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}$ is simple and associated with a positive eigenfunction $\phi_{1}$ (see [7, Sec.8.17]). Then, note that by [11, Theorem 7.1] any eigenfunction of $H_{\gamma}$ is continuous and by [1, Remark 25 p.182] any eigenfunction of $H_{\gamma}$ belongs to $H^{2}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)$.
Finally, note also that $(\lambda, \phi)$ is an eigenpair (i.e. an eigenfunction associated with its eigenvalue) of the operator $H_{\gamma}$ acting on $L^{2}\left(\Omega_{0}, d s d u\right)$ means that $\left(\lambda, U_{g}^{-1} \phi\right)$ is an eigenpair of $-\Delta_{D}^{\Omega}$ acting on $L^{2}(\Omega)$. So the data of one eigenfunction of the operator $H_{\gamma}$ is equivalent to the data of one eigenfunction of $-\Delta_{D}^{\Omega}$.

We first prove that the data of one eigenpair determines uniquely the curvature.

Theorem 1.1. Let $\Omega$ be the curved guide in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ defined as above. Let $\gamma$ be the signed curvature defined by (1.2) and satisfying Assumptions 1.1, 1.2. Let $H_{\gamma}$ be the operator defined by (1.7) and $(\lambda, \phi)$ be an eigenpair of $H_{\gamma}$.
Then

$$
\gamma^{2}(s)=-4 \frac{\Delta \phi(s, 0)}{\phi(s, 0)}-4 \lambda
$$

for all $s$ when $\phi(s, 0) \neq 0$.
Note that the condition $\phi(s, 0) \neq 0$ in Theorem 1.1 is satisfied for the positive eigenfunction $\phi_{1}$ and for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, we prove under

Assumption 1.3. $\gamma \in C^{5}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\gamma^{(k)} \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ for each $k=0, \ldots, 5$,
that one weak solution $\phi$ of the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
H_{\gamma} \phi=f \text { in } \Omega_{0}  \tag{1.10}\\
\phi=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

(where $f$ is a known given function) is in fact a classical solution and the data of $\phi$ determines uniquely the curvature $\gamma$.

Theorem 1.2. Let $\Omega$ be the curved guide in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ defined as above. Let $\gamma$ be the signed curvature defined by (1.2) and satisfying Assumptions 1.1 and 1.3. Let $H_{\gamma}$ be the operator defined by (1.7). Let $f \in H^{3}\left(\Omega_{0}\right) \cap C\left(\Omega_{0}\right)$ and let $\phi \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)$ be a weak solution of (1.10).

Then we have $\gamma^{2}(s)=-4 \frac{\Delta \phi(s, 0)}{\phi(s, 0)}-4 \frac{f(s, 0)}{\phi(s, 0)}$ for all $s$ when $\phi(s, 0) \neq 0$
In the case of a simply-bent guide (i.e. when $\gamma$ does not change sign in $\mathbb{R}$ ), we can restrain the hypotheses upon the regularity of $\gamma$. We obtain the following result:

Theorem 1.3. Let $\Omega$ be the curved guide in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ defined as above. Let $\gamma$ be the signed curvature defined by (1.2) and satisfying Assumptions 1.1 and 1.2. We assume also that $\gamma$ is a nonnegative function. Let $H_{\gamma}$ be the operator defined by (1.7). Let $f \in L^{2}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)$ be a non null function and let $\phi$ be a weak solution in $H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)$ of (1.10) Assume that there exists a positive constant $M$ such that $|f(s, u)| \leq M|\phi(s, u)|$ almost everywhere in $\Omega_{0}$. Then $(f, \phi)$ determines uniquely the curvature $\gamma$.

Note that the above result is still valid for a nonpositive function $\gamma$.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we prove Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and 1.3. In Sections 3 and 4, we extend our results to the case of a curved quantum guide defined in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$.

## 2 Proofs of Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and 1.3

### 2.1 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Recall that $\phi$ is an eigenfunction of $H_{\gamma}$, belonging to $H^{2}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)$. Since $\phi$ is continuous and $H_{\gamma} \phi=$ $\lambda \phi$, then $H_{\gamma} \phi$ is continuous too. Thus, noticing that $c_{\gamma}(s, 0)=1$, we deduce the continuity of the function $(s, 0) \mapsto \Delta \phi(s, 0)$ and from (1.7) to (1.9), we get:

$$
-\Delta \phi(s, 0)-\frac{\gamma^{2}(s)}{4} \phi(s, 0)=\lambda \phi(s, 0)
$$

and equivalently,

$$
\gamma^{2}(s)=-4 \frac{\Delta \phi(s, 0)}{\phi(s, 0)}-4 \lambda \text { if } \phi(s, 0) \neq 0
$$

### 2.2 Proof of Theorem 1.2

First, we recall from [1, Remark 25 p.182] the following lemma.
Lemma 2.1. For a second-order elliptic operator defined in a domain $\omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, if $\phi \in H_{0}^{1}(\omega)$ satisfies

$$
\int_{\omega} \sum_{i, j} a_{i j} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_{j}}=\int_{\omega} f \psi \text { for all } \psi \in H_{0}^{1}(\omega)
$$

then if $\omega$ is of class $C^{2}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(f \in L^{2}(\omega), a_{i j} \in C^{1}(\bar{\omega}), D^{\alpha} a_{i j} \in L^{\infty}(\omega) \text { for all } i, j \text { and for all } \alpha,|\alpha| \leq 1\right) \\
\text { imply }\left(\phi \in H^{2}(\omega)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and for $m \geq 1$, if $\omega$ is of class $C^{m+2}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(f \in H^{m}(\omega), a_{i j} \in C^{m+1}(\bar{\omega}), D^{\alpha} a_{i j} \in L^{\infty}(\omega) \text { for all } i, j \text { and for all } \alpha,|\alpha| \leq m+1\right) \\
\text { imply }\left(\phi \in H^{m+2}(\omega)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Now we can prove the Theorem 1.2.
We have $H_{\gamma} \phi=f$, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega_{0}}\left[c_{\gamma}\left(\partial_{s} \phi\right)\left(\partial_{s} \psi\right)+\left(\partial_{u} \phi\right)\left(\partial_{u} \psi\right)\right]=\int_{\Omega_{0}}\left[f-V_{\gamma} \phi\right] \psi \text { for all } \psi \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{0}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $c_{\gamma}$ defined by (1.8) and $V_{\gamma}$ defined by (1.9).
Using Assumption 1.3, since $\gamma^{(k)} \in L^{\infty}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)$ for $k=0,1,2$ then $V_{\gamma} \in L^{\infty}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)$ and $f-V_{\gamma} \phi \in$ $L^{2}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)$. From the hypotheses $\gamma \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\gamma^{\prime} \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, we get that $c_{\gamma} \in C^{1}\left(\overline{\Omega_{0}}\right), D^{\alpha} c_{\gamma} \in$ $L^{\infty}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)$ for any $\alpha,|\alpha| \leq 1$, and so, using Lemma 2.1 for the equation (2.1), we obtain that $\phi \in H^{2}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)$.
By the same way, we get that $f-V_{\gamma} \phi \in H^{1}\left(\Omega_{0}\right), c_{\gamma} \in C^{2}\left(\overline{\Omega_{0}}\right)$ and $D^{\alpha} c_{\gamma} \in L^{\infty}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)$ for any $\alpha,|\alpha| \leq 2\left(\right.$ from $\gamma \in C^{3}(\mathbb{R}), \gamma^{(k)} \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ for any $\left.k=0, \ldots, 3\right)$. Using Lemma 2.1, we obtain that $\phi \in H^{3}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)$.
We apply again the Lemma 2.1 to get that $\phi \in H^{4}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)$ (since $f-V_{\gamma} \phi \in H^{2}\left(\Omega_{0}\right), c_{\gamma} \in$ $C^{3}\left(\overline{\Omega_{0}}\right), D^{\alpha} c_{\gamma} \in L^{\infty}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)$ for all $\alpha,|\alpha| \leq 3$, from the hypotheses $\gamma \in C^{4}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\gamma^{(k)} \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$
for $k=0, \ldots, 4$.).
Finally, using Assumption 1.3 and Lemma 2.1, we obtain that $\phi \in H^{5}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)$.
Due to the regularity of $\Omega_{0}$, we have $\phi \in H^{5}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ and $\Delta \phi \in H^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$. Since $\nabla(\Delta \phi) \in$ $\left(H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)\right)^{2}$ and $H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \subset L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, we can deduce that $\Delta \phi$ is continuous (see [1, Remark 8 p.154]).

Therefore we can conclude by using the continuity of the function

$$
(s, 0) \mapsto-\partial_{s}\left(c_{\gamma}(s, 0) \partial_{s} \phi(s, 0)\right)-\partial_{u}^{2} \phi(s, 0)=f(s, 0)-V_{\gamma}(s, 0) \phi(s, 0)
$$

Therefore, we get: $-\Delta \phi(s, 0)-\frac{\gamma^{2}(s)}{4} \phi(s, 0)=f(s, 0)$ and equivalently,

$$
\gamma^{2}(s)=-4 \frac{\Delta \phi(s, 0)}{\phi(s, 0)}-4 \frac{f(s, 0)}{\phi(s, 0)} \text { if } \phi(s, 0) \neq 0
$$

### 2.3 Proof of Theorem 1.3

We prove here that $(f, \phi)$ determines uniquely $\gamma$ when $\gamma$ is a nonnegative function.
For that, assume that $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ are two quantum guides in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with same width $d$. We denote by $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ the curvatures respectively associated with $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ and we suppose that each $\gamma_{i}$ satisfies Assumption 1.2 and is a nonnegative function. Assume that $H_{\gamma_{1}} \phi=f=H_{\gamma_{2}} \phi$.
Then $\phi$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\partial_{s}\left(\left(c_{\gamma_{1}}(s, u)-c_{\gamma_{2}}(s, u)\right) \partial_{s} \phi(s, u)\right)+\left(V_{\gamma_{1}}(s, u)-V_{\gamma_{2}}(s, u)\right) \phi(s, u)=0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that $\gamma_{1} \not \equiv \gamma_{2}$.
Step 1. First, we consider the case where (for example) $\gamma_{1}(s)<\gamma_{2}(s)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$.
Let $\epsilon>0, \omega_{\epsilon}:=\mathbb{R} \times I_{\epsilon}$ with $\left.I_{\epsilon}=\right]-\epsilon, 0\left[\right.$. Multiplying (2.2) by $\phi$ and integrating over $\omega_{\epsilon}$, we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\omega_{\epsilon}}\left(c_{\gamma_{1}}-c_{\gamma_{2}}\right)\left(\partial_{s} \phi\right)^{2}-\int_{\partial \omega_{\epsilon}}\left(c_{\gamma_{1}}-c_{\gamma_{2}}\right)\left(\partial_{s} \phi\right) \phi \nu_{s}+\int_{\omega_{\epsilon}}\left(V_{\gamma_{1}}-V_{\gamma_{2}}\right) \phi^{2}=0 . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\epsilon \ll 1, V_{\gamma_{i}}(s, u) \simeq-\frac{\gamma_{i}^{2}(s)}{4}$ for $i=1,2$, and so $V_{\gamma_{1}}(s, u)-V_{\gamma_{2}}(s, u)>0$ in $\omega_{\epsilon}$.
Moreover, since

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{\gamma_{1}}(s, u)-c_{\gamma_{2}}(s, u)=\frac{u\left(\gamma_{1}(s)-\gamma_{2}(s)\right)\left(2-u\left(\gamma_{1}(s)+\gamma_{2}(s)\right)\right.}{\left(1-u \gamma_{1}(s)\right)^{2}\left(1-u \gamma_{2}(s)\right)^{2}} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have $c_{\gamma_{1}}(s, u)>c_{\gamma_{2}}(s, u)$ in $\omega_{\epsilon}$.
Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\partial \omega_{\epsilon}}\left(c_{\gamma_{1}}-c_{\gamma_{2}}\right)\left(\partial_{s} \phi\right) \phi \nu_{s}=0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus from (2.3)-(2.5), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\omega_{\epsilon}}\left(c_{\gamma_{1}}-c_{\gamma_{2}}\right)\left(\partial_{s} \phi\right)^{2}+\int_{\omega_{\epsilon}}\left(V_{\gamma_{1}}-V_{\gamma_{2}}\right) \phi^{2}=0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $c_{\gamma_{1}}-c_{\gamma_{2}}>0$ in $\omega_{\epsilon}$ and $V_{\gamma_{1}}-V_{\gamma_{2}}>0$ in $\omega_{\epsilon}$. We can deduce that $\phi=0$ in $\omega_{\epsilon}$. Using a unique continuation theorem (see [10, Theorem XIII. 63 p.240]), from $H_{\gamma} \phi=f$, noting that $-\Delta\left(U_{g}^{-1} \phi\right)=U_{g}^{-1} f=g^{-1 / 4} f$, (recall that $U_{g}$ is defined by (1.6)) and so by $|f| \leq M|\phi|$ we have $\left|\Delta\left(U_{g}^{-1} \phi\right)\right| \leq M\left|g^{-1 / 4} \phi\right|$ with $g>0$ a.e., and we can deduce that $\phi=0$ in $\Omega_{0}$. So we get a contradiction (since $H_{\gamma} \phi=f$ and $f$ is assumed to be a non null function).

Step 2. From Step 1, we obtain that there exists at least one point $s_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\gamma_{1}\left(s_{0}\right)=\gamma_{2}\left(s_{0}\right)$. Since $\gamma_{1} \not \equiv \gamma_{2}$, we can choose $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$ such that (for example) $\gamma_{1}(a)=\gamma_{2}(a), \gamma_{1}(s)<\gamma_{2}(s)$ for all $\left.s \in\right] a, b\left[\right.$ and $\gamma_{1}(b)=\gamma_{2}(b)$ if $b \in \mathbb{R}$.
We proceed as in Step 1, considering, in this case, $\left.\omega_{\epsilon}:=\right] a, b\left[\times I_{\epsilon}\right.$. We study again the equation (2.3) and as in Step 1, we have

$$
\int_{\partial \omega_{\epsilon}}\left(c_{\gamma_{1}}-c_{\gamma_{2}}\right)\left(\partial_{s} \phi\right) \phi \nu_{s}=0
$$

Indeed from (2.4) and $\gamma_{1}(a)=\gamma_{2}(a)$ we have $c_{\gamma_{1}}(a, u)=c_{\gamma_{2}}(a, u)$ and so

$$
\int_{-\epsilon}^{0}\left(c_{\gamma_{1}}(a, u)-c_{\gamma_{2}}(a, u)\right) \partial_{s} \phi(a, u) \phi(a, u) d u=0
$$

By the same way if $b \in \mathbb{R}$, we also have $c_{\gamma_{1}}(b, u)=c_{\gamma_{2}}(b, u)$. Thus the equation (2.3) becomes (2.6) with $c_{\gamma_{1}}-c_{\gamma_{2}}>0$ in $\omega_{\epsilon}$ and $V_{\gamma_{1}}-V_{\gamma_{2}}>0$ in $\omega_{\epsilon}$. So $\phi=0$ in $\omega_{\epsilon}$ and as in Step 1, by a unique continuation theorem, we obtain that $\phi=0$ in $\Omega_{0}$. Therefore we get a contradiction.

Note that the previous theorem is true if we replace the hypothesis " $\gamma$ is nonnegative" by the hypothesis " $\gamma$ is nonpositive". Indeed, in this last case, we just have to take $\left.I_{\epsilon}=\right] 0, \epsilon[$ and the proof rests valid.

## 3 Uniqueness result for a $\mathbb{R}^{3}$-quantum guide

Now, we apply the same ideas for a tube $\Omega$ in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. We proceed here as in [3]. Let $s \mapsto \Gamma(s), \Gamma=$ $\left(\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}, \Gamma_{3}\right)$, be a curve in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. We assume that $\Gamma: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is a $C^{4}$-smooth curve satisfying the following hypotheses

Assumption 3.1. $\Gamma$ possesses a positively oriented Frenet frame $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right\}$ with the properties that

$$
\text { i) } e_{1}=\Gamma^{\prime}
$$

ii) $\forall i \in\{1,2,3\}, e_{i} \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$,
iii) $\forall i \in\{1,2\}, \forall s \in \mathbb{R}, e_{i}^{\prime}(s)$ lies in the span of $e_{1}(s), \ldots, e_{i+1}(s)$.

Recall that a sufficient condition to ensure the existence of the Frenet frame of Assumption 3.1 is to require that for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ the vectors $\Gamma^{\prime}(s), \Gamma^{\prime \prime}(s)$ are linearly independent.

Then we define the moving frame $\left\{\tilde{e}_{1}, \tilde{e}_{2}, \tilde{e}_{3}\right\}$ along $\Gamma$ by following [3]. This moving frame better reflects the geometry of the curve and it is still called the Tang frame because it is a generalization of the Tang frame known from the theory of three-dimensional waveguides.
Given a $C^{5}$ bounded open connected neighborhood $\omega$ of $(0,0) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$, let $\Omega_{0}$ denote the straight tube $\mathbb{R} \times \omega$. We define the curved tube $\Omega$ of cross-section $\omega$ about $\Gamma$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega:=\tilde{f}(\mathbb{R} \times \omega)=\tilde{f}\left(\Omega_{0}\right), \tilde{f}\left(s, u_{2}, u_{3}\right):=\Gamma(s)+\sum_{i=2}^{3} u_{i} \sum_{j=2}^{3} R_{i j}(s) e_{j}(s)=\Gamma(s)+\sum_{i=2}^{3} u_{i} \tilde{e}_{i}(s) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $u=\left(u_{2}, u_{3}\right) \in \omega$ and

$$
R(s):=\left(R_{i j}(s)\right)_{i, j \in\{2,3\}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos (\theta(s)) & -\sin (\theta(s)) \\
\sin (\theta(s)) & \cos (\theta(s))
\end{array}\right)
$$

$\theta$ being a real-valued differentiable function such that $\theta^{\prime}(s)=\tau(s)$ the torsion of $\Gamma$. This differential equation is a consequence of the definition of the moving Tang frame (see [3, Remark 3]).

Note that $R$ is a rotation matrix in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ chosen in such a way that $\left(s, u_{2}, u_{3}\right)$ are orthogonal "coordinates" in $\Omega$. Let $k$ be the first curvature function of $\Omega$. Recall that since $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}, k$ is a nonnegative function. We assume throughout all this section that the following hypothesis holds:

Assumption 3.2. i) $k \in C^{2}(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}), a:=\sup _{u \in \omega}\|u\|_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}<\frac{1}{\|k\|_{\infty}}, k(s) \rightarrow 0$ as $|s| \rightarrow+\infty$ ii) $\Omega$ does not overlap.

The Assumption 3.2 assures that the map $\tilde{f}$ (defined by (3.1)) is a diffeomorphism (see [3]) in order to identify $\Omega$ with the Riemannian manifold $\left(\Omega_{0},\left(g_{i j}\right)\right)$ where $\left(g_{i j}\right)$ is the metric tensor induced by $\tilde{f}$, i.e. $\left(g_{i j}\right):=^{t} J(\tilde{f}) \cdot J(\tilde{f}),(J(\tilde{f})$ denoting the Jacobian matrix of $\tilde{f})$. Recall that $\left(g_{i j}\right)=\operatorname{diag}\left(h^{2}, 1,1\right)($ see $[3])$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(s, u_{2}, u_{3}\right):=1-k(s)\left(\cos (\theta(s)) u_{2}+\sin (\theta(s)) u_{3}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that Assumption 3.2 implies that $0<1-a\|k\|_{\infty} \leq 1-h\left(s, u_{2}, u_{3}\right) \leq 1+a\|k\|_{\infty}$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u=\left(u_{2}, u_{3}\right) \in \omega$. Moreover, setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
g:=h^{2} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can replace the Dirichlet Laplacian operator $-\Delta_{D}^{\Omega}$ acting on $L^{2}(\Omega)$ by the Laplace-Beltrami operator $K_{g}$ acting on $L^{2}\left(\Omega_{0}, h d s d u\right)$ relative to the metric tensor $\left(g_{i j}\right)$. We can rewrite $K_{g}$ into a Schrödinger-type operator acting on $L^{2}\left(\Omega_{0}, d s d u\right)$. Indeed, using the unitary transformation

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
W_{g}: L^{2}\left(\Omega_{0}, h d s d u\right) & \longrightarrow & L^{2}\left(\Omega_{0}, d s d u\right)  \tag{3.4}\\
\psi & \mapsto & g^{1 / 4} \psi
\end{array}
$$

setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{k}:=W_{g} K_{g} W_{g}^{-1} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{k}=-\partial_{s}\left(h^{-2} \partial_{s}\right)-\partial_{u_{2}}^{2}-\partial_{u_{3}}^{2}+V_{k} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\partial_{s}$ denotes the derivative relative to $s$ and $\partial_{u_{i}}$ denotes the derivative relative to $u_{i}$ and with

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{k}:=-\frac{k^{2}}{4 h^{2}}+\frac{\partial_{s}^{2} h}{2 h^{3}}-\frac{5\left(\partial_{s} h\right)^{2}}{4 h^{4}} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume also throughout all this section that the following hypotheses hold:

Assumption 3.3. i) $k^{\prime} \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}), k^{\prime \prime} \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$
ii) $\theta \in C^{2}(\mathbb{R}), \theta^{\prime}=\tau \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}), \theta^{\prime \prime} \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$.

Remarks: Note that, as for the 2-dimensional case, such operator $H_{k}$ (defined by (3.2)(3.7)) admits bound states and that the minimum eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}$ is simple and associated with a positive eigenfunction $\phi_{1}$ (see $[3,7]$ ). Still note that $(\lambda, \phi)$ is an eigenpair of the operator $H_{k}$ acting on $L^{2}\left(\Omega_{0}, d s d u\right)$ means that $\left(\lambda, W_{g}^{-1} \phi\right)$ is an eigenpair of $-\Delta_{D}^{\Omega}$ acting on $L^{2}(\Omega)$ (with $W_{g}$ defined by (3.4)). Finally, note that by [11, Theorem 7.1] any eigenfunction of $H_{k}$ is continuous and by [1, Remark 25 p.182] any eigenfunction of $H_{k}$ belongs to $H^{2}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)$.

As for the 2-dimensional case, first we prove that the data of one eigenpair determines uniquely the curvature.

Theorem 3.1. Let $\Omega$ be the curved guide in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ defined as above. Let $k$ be the first curvature function of $\Omega$. Assume that Assumptions 3.1 to 3.3 are satisfied. Let $H_{k}$ be the operator defined by (3.2)-(3.7) and $(\lambda, \phi)$ be an eigenpair of $H_{k}$.
Then $k^{2}(s)=-4 \frac{\Delta \phi(s, 0,0)}{\phi(s, 0,0)}-4 \lambda$ for all $s$ when $\phi(s, 0,0) \neq 0$.
Then, under
Assumption 3.4. i) $k \in C^{5}(\mathbb{R}), k^{(i)} \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ for all $i=0, \ldots, 5$
ii) $\theta \in C^{5}(\mathbb{R}), \theta^{(i)} \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ for all $i=1, \ldots, 5$
where $k^{(i)}$ (resp. $\theta^{(i)}$ ) denotes the i-th derivative of $k$ (resp. of $\theta$ ), we obtain the following result:

Theorem 3.2. Let $\Omega$ be the curved guide in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ defined as above. Let $k$ be the first curvature function of $\Omega$. Assume that Assumptions 3.1 to 3.4 are satisfied. Let $H_{k}$ be the operator defined by (3.2)-(3.7). Let $f \in H^{3}\left(\Omega_{0}\right) \cap C\left(\Omega_{0}\right)$ and let $\phi \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)$ be a weak solution of $H_{k} \phi=f$ in $\Omega_{0}$.
Then $\phi$ is a classical solution and $k^{2}(s)=-4 \frac{\Delta \phi(s, 0,0)}{\phi(s, 0,0)}-4 \frac{f(s, 0,0)}{\phi(s, 0,0)}$ for all $s$ when $\phi(s, 0,0) \neq 0$.
Remarks: Recall that in $\mathbb{R}^{3}, k$ is a nonnegative function and that the condition imposed on $\phi(\phi(s, 0,0) \neq 0)$ in Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 is satisfied by the positive eigenfunction $\phi_{1}$.

As for the two-dimensional case, we can restrain the hypotheses upon the regularity of the functions $k$ and $\theta$.

For a guide with a known torsion, we obtain the following result:
Theorem 3.3. Let $\Omega$ be the curved guide in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ defined as above. Let $k$ be the first curvature function of $\Omega$ and let $\tau$ be the second curvature function (i.e. the torsion) of $\Omega$. Denote by $\theta$ a primitive of $\tau$ and suppose that $0 \leq \theta(s) \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Assume that Assumptions 3.1 to 3.3 are satisfied. Let $H_{k}$ be the operator defined by (3.2)-(3.7). Let $f \in L^{2}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)$ be a non null function and let $\phi \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)$ be a weak solution of $H_{k} \phi=f$ in $\Omega_{0}$. Assume that there exists a positive constant $M$ such that $|f(s, u)| \leq M|\phi(s, u)|$ almost everywhere in $\Omega_{0}$.
Then the data $(f, \phi)$ determines uniquely the first curvature function $k$ if the torsion $\tau$ is given.

## 4 Proofs of Theorem 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3

### 4.1 Proof of Theorem 3.1

Recall that $\phi$ is an eigenfunction of $H_{k}$. Since $\phi$ is continuous, $H_{k} \phi=\lambda \phi$ and $\phi \in H^{2}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)$ then $H_{k} \phi$ is continous. Therefore, for $u=\left(u_{2}, u_{3}\right)=(0,0)$, we get: $-\Delta \phi(s, 0,0)-\frac{k^{2}(s)}{4} \phi(s, 0,0)=$ $\lambda \phi(s, 0,0)$ and equivalently, $k^{2}(s)=-4 \frac{\Delta \phi(s, 0,0)}{\phi(s, 0,0)}-4 \lambda$ if $\phi(s, 0,0) \neq 0$.

### 4.2 Proof of Theorem 3.2

We follow the proof of Theorem 1.2. We have $H_{k} \phi=f$ with $\phi \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)$. So

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega_{0}}\left[h^{-2}\left(\partial_{s} \phi\right)\left(\partial_{s} \psi\right)+\left(\partial_{u_{2}} \phi\right)\left(\partial_{u_{2}} \psi\right)+\left(\partial_{u_{3}} \phi\right)\left(\partial_{u_{3}} \psi\right)\right]=\int_{\Omega_{0}}\left[f-V_{k} \phi\right] \psi \text { for all } \psi \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{0}\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $h$ defined by (3.2) and $V_{k}$ defined by (3.7).

From Assumptions 3.2 and 3.3 , since $k, k^{\prime}, k^{\prime \prime}, \theta^{\prime}, \theta^{\prime \prime}$ are bounded, we deduce that $V_{k} \in$ $L^{\infty}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)$. Therefore $f-V_{k} \phi \in L^{2}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)$. Moreover we have also $h^{-2} \in C^{1}\left(\overline{\Omega_{0}}\right)$ and $D^{\alpha}\left(h^{-2}\right) \in$ $L^{\infty}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)$ for any $\alpha,|\alpha| \leq 1$. Thus, using Lemma 2.1 for the equation (4.1), we obtain that $\phi \in H^{2}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)$.
By the same way, we get that $f-V_{k} \phi \in H^{1}\left(\Omega_{0}\right), h^{-2} \in C^{2}\left(\overline{\Omega_{0}}\right)$ and $D^{\alpha}\left(h^{-2}\right) \in L^{\infty}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)$ for any $\alpha,|\alpha| \leq 2$ (since $k \in C^{3}(\mathbb{R}), \theta \in C^{3}(\mathbb{R})$ and all of their derivatives are bounded). Using Lemma 2.1, we obtain that $\phi \in H^{3}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)$.
We apply again the Lemma 2.1 to get that $\phi \in H^{4}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)$ (since $f-V_{\gamma} \phi \in H^{2}\left(\Omega_{0}\right), c_{\gamma} \in$ $C^{3}\left(\overline{\Omega_{0}}\right), D^{\alpha} c_{\gamma} \in L^{\infty}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)$ for all $\alpha,|\alpha| \leq 3$, from the hypotheses $\gamma \in C^{4}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\gamma^{(k)} \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ for $k=0, \ldots, 4$.).
Finally, using Assumption 3.4 and Lemma 2.1, we obtain that $\phi \in H^{5}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)$. Due to the regularity of $\Omega_{0}$ (see [1, Note p.169]), we have $\phi \in H^{5}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ and $\Delta \phi \in H^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$. Since $\nabla(\Delta \phi) \in\left(H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right)^{3}$ and $H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \subset L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$, we can deduce that $\Delta \phi$ is continuous (see [1, Remark 8 p.154]).
Thus we conclude as in Theorem 1.2 and for $u=\left(u_{2}, u_{3}\right)=(0,0)$, we get: $-\Delta \phi(s, 0,0)-$ $\frac{k^{2}(s)}{4} \phi(s, 0,0)=f(s, 0,0)$ and equivalently, $k^{2}(s)=-4 \frac{\Delta \phi(s, 0,0)}{\phi(s, 0,0)}-4 \frac{f(s, 0,0)}{\phi(s, 0,0)}$ if $\phi(s, 0,0) \neq 0$.

### 4.3 Proof of Theorem 3.3

We prove here that $(f, \phi, \theta)$ determines uniquely $k$.
Assume that $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ are two guides in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. We denote by $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ the first curvatures functions associated with $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ and we denote by $\theta$ a primitive of $\tau$ the common torsion of $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$. We suppose that $k_{1}, k_{2}$ and $\theta$ satisfy the Assumptions 3.2 and 3.3 and that $0 \leq \theta(s) \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Assume that $H_{k_{1}} \phi=f=H_{k_{2}} \phi$.
Then $\phi$ satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\partial_{s}\left(\left(h_{1}^{-2}\left(s, u_{2}, u_{3}\right)-h_{2}^{-2}\left(s, u_{2}, u_{3}\right)\right) \partial_{s} \phi\left(s, u_{2}, u_{3}\right)\right) \\
& \quad+\left(V_{k_{1}}\left(s, u_{2}, u_{3}\right)-V_{k_{2}}\left(s, u_{2}, u_{3}\right)\right) \phi\left(s, u_{2}, u_{3}\right)=0 \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $h_{1}$ (associated with $k_{1}$ ) is defined by (3.2), $V_{k_{1}}$ is defined by (3.7), $h_{2}$ (associated with $k_{2}$ ) is defined by (3.2) and $V_{k_{2}}$ is defined by (3.7).
Assume that $k_{1} \not \equiv k_{2}$.
Step 1. First, we consider the case where (for example) $k_{1}(s)<k_{2}(s)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Recall that each $k_{i}$ is a nonnegative function.
Let $\epsilon>0$ and denote by $\left.J_{\epsilon}:=\right]-\epsilon, 0[\times]-\epsilon, 0\left[, O_{\epsilon}:=\mathbb{R} \times J_{\epsilon}\right.$ with $\epsilon$ small enough to have $J_{\epsilon} \subset \omega$ (recall that $\Omega_{0}=\mathbb{R} \times \omega$ ).
Multiplying (4.2) by $\phi$ and integrating over $O_{\epsilon}$, we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{O_{\epsilon}}\left(h_{1}^{-2}-h_{2}^{-2}\right)\left(\partial_{s} \phi\right)^{2}+\int_{\partial O_{\epsilon}}\left(h_{1}^{-2}-h_{2}^{-2}\right)\left(\partial_{s} \phi\right) \phi \nu_{s}+\int_{O_{\epsilon}}\left(V_{k_{1}}-V_{k_{2}}\right) \phi^{2}=0 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\epsilon \ll 1, V_{k_{i}} \simeq-\frac{k_{i}^{2}(s)}{4}$ for $i=1,2$, and so $V_{k_{1}}\left(s, u_{2}, u_{3}\right)-V_{k_{2}}\left(s, u_{2}, u_{3}\right)>0$ in $O_{\epsilon}$.
Moreover, note that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{1}^{-2}\left(s, u_{2}, u_{3}\right)-h_{2}^{-2}\left(s, u_{2}, u_{3}\right)=\frac{\alpha\left(s, u_{2}, u_{3}\right)\left(k_{1}(s)-k_{2}(s)\right)\left(h_{1}\left(s, u_{2}, u_{3}\right)+h_{2}\left(s, u_{2}, u_{3}\right)\right)}{h_{1}^{2}\left(s, u_{2}, u_{3}\right) h_{2}^{2}\left(s, u_{2}, u_{3}\right)} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\alpha\left(s, u_{2}, u_{3}\right):=\cos (\theta(s)) u_{2}+\sin (\theta(s)) u_{3}$.
Since $\left(u_{2}, u_{3}\right) \in J_{\epsilon}$ and $0 \leq \theta(s) \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $\alpha\left(s, u_{2}, u_{3}\right)<0$. Therefore, by (4.4), we deduce that $h_{1}^{-2}-h_{2}^{-2}>0$ in $O_{\epsilon}$.

Thus $\int_{O_{\epsilon}}\left(h_{1}^{-2}-h_{2}^{-2}\right)\left(\partial_{s} \phi\right)^{2}+\int_{O_{\epsilon}}\left(V_{k_{1}}-V_{k_{2}}\right) \phi^{2} \geq 0$.
Note also that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\partial O_{\epsilon}}\left(h_{1}^{-2}-h_{2}^{-2}\right)\left(\partial_{s} \phi\right) \phi \nu_{s}=0 . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, from (4.3) and (4.5) we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{O_{\epsilon}}\left(h_{1}^{-2}-h_{2}^{-2}\right)\left(\partial_{s} \phi\right)^{2}+\int_{O_{\epsilon}}\left(V_{k_{1}}-V_{k_{2}}\right) \phi^{2}=0 \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $h_{1}^{-2}-h_{2}^{-2}>0$ in $O_{\epsilon}$ and $V_{k_{1}}-V_{k_{2}}>0$ in $O_{\epsilon}$.
From (4.6) we can deduce that $\phi=0$ in $O_{\epsilon}$. Using a unique continuation theorem (see [10, Theorem XIII. 63 p.240]), from $H_{k_{1}} \phi=f$, noting that $-\Delta\left(W_{g}^{-1} \phi\right)=W_{g}^{-1} f=g^{-1 / 4} f$, by $|f| \leq M|\phi|$ a.e. in $\Omega_{0}$, we can deduce that $\phi=0$ in $\Omega_{0}$. So we get a contradiction since $f$ is assumed to be a non null function.

Step2. From Step 1, we obtain that there exists at least one point $s_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $k_{1}\left(s_{0}\right)=k_{2}\left(s_{0}\right)$. Since $k_{1} \not \equiv k_{2}$, we can choose $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$ such that (for example) $k_{1}(a)=k_{2}(a), k_{1}(s)<k_{2}(s)$ for all $\left.s \in\right] a, b\left[\right.$ and $k_{1}(b)=k_{2}(b)$ if $b \in \mathbb{R}$. We proceed as in Step 1, considering in this case $\left.O_{\epsilon}:=\right] a, b\left[\times J_{\epsilon}\right.$. From $k_{1}(a)=k_{2}(a)$, we get that $h_{1}^{-2}\left(a, u_{2}, u_{3}\right)=$ $h_{2}^{-2}\left(a, u_{2}, u_{3}\right)$. Therefore we obtain $\int_{\partial O_{\epsilon}}\left(h_{1}^{-2}-h_{2}^{-2}\right)\left(\partial_{s} \phi\right) \phi \nu_{s}=0$. So (4.3) becomes (4.6) with $h_{1}^{-2}-h_{2}^{-2}>0$ in $O_{\epsilon}$ and $V_{k_{1}}-V_{k_{2}}>0$ in $O_{\epsilon}$. So $\phi=0$ in $O_{\epsilon}$ and as in Step 1, by a unique continuation theorem, we obtain that $\phi=0$ in $\Omega_{0}$. Therefore we get a contradiction.
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