

Formalising Sylow's theorems in Coq

Laurent Théry, Laurence Rideau

To cite this version:

Laurent Théry, Laurence Rideau. Formalising Sylow's theorems in Coq. [Technical Report] RT-0327, INRIA. 2006, pp.23. inria-00113750v2

HAL Id: inria-00113750 <https://hal.inria.fr/inria-00113750v2>

Submitted on 22 Nov 2006

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

INSTITUT NATIONAL DE RECHERCHE EN INFORMATIQUE ET EN AUTOMATIQUE

Formalising Sylow's theorems in Coq

Laurence Rideau — Laurent Théry

N° 0327

Novembre 2006

I

SSN 0249-0803 ISRN INRIA/RT-0327--FR+ENG ISSN 0249-0803 ISRN INRIA/RT--0327--FR+ENG

Formalising Sylow's theorems in Coq

Laurence Rideau, Laurent Théry

Thème $SYM -$ Systèmes symboliques Projet Marelle

Rapport technique n° 0327 — Novembre 2006 — [23](#page-23-0) pages

Abstract: This report presents a formalisation of Sylow's theorems done in Coq. The formalisation has been done in a couple of weeks on top of Georges Gonthier's SSEFEECT [2] SSEFEECT [2] SSEFEECT [2] . There were two ideas behind formalising Sylow's theorems. The first one was to get familiar with Georges way of doing proofs. The second one was to contribute to the collective effort to formalise a large subset of group theory in Coq with some non-trivial proofs.

Key-words: Group theory, Sylow's theorems, Formalisation of mathematics

Formalisation des théorèmes de Sylow dans Coq

Résumé : Ce rapport présente une formalisation des théorèmes de Sylow faite dans le système CoQ. La formalisation s'est faite en deux semaines au dessus de la librairie ssreflect de Georges Gonthier. Il y avait deux principales motivations pour formaliser les théorèmes de Sylow. La première était de se familiariser avec la façon qu'a Georges de faire des preuves. La seconde était de contribuer à l'effort collectif de formaliser un large ensemble de la théorie des groupes en CoQ.

Mots-clés : Théorie des groupes, Théorème de Sylow, Formalisation des mathématiques

1 Introduction

Sylow's theorems are central in group theory. Any course has a section or a chapter on them. Taking them as a first step in an effort to formalise group theory seemed a good idea. One of these theorems is number 72 in the list of the 100 theorems [\[4\]](#page-13-1) maintained by Freek Wiedijk. Surprisingly, only one formalisation is known. It has been done in Isabelle by Florian Kammüller [\[3\]](#page-13-2). The proof that has been formalised in Isabelle is due to Wielandt [\[5\]](#page-13-3). It is a very concise and elegant proof. A central step in the proof is a non-trivial combinatorial argument that is used to show the existence of a group with a particular property. This is not the proof we have chosen to formalise. As we are interested in formalising Sylow's theorems not only as a mere exercise but as a base for further development, conciseness is nice but reusability is much more important. We have chosen to follow the proof given by Gregory Constantine [\[1\]](#page-13-4) in his group theory course. It has the nice property of using one main tool, namely group actions, to prove most of the key results. The combinatorial argument that was present in the proof of Wielandt is then reduced to a minimum. Most of our formalising time has then been spent proving theorems about groups not about numbers.

The presentation of this work is organised as follows. In a first section, we describe what we started from. The main points we want to address are how SREFLECT is organised and how using this dedicated version of Coq differs from using the standard one. In a second section, we outline the main steps of our proofs. Then, in a last section we conclude.

2 From types with decidable equality to finite types

2.1 Types with decidable equalitiy

One of the key decision of SSREFLECT is to base the development on objects not in Type but in eqType, i.e objects for which equality is decidable.

```
Structure eqType : Type := EqType {
 sort :> Set;
    eq : sort -> sort -> bool;
   eqP : forall x y, reflect (x = y) (eq x y)
}.
```
eq is the function that decides equality and eqP the theorem that insures that (eq x y), written in the following as $x == y$, is true iff $x = y$. We call this the adequacy of equality.

Adding decidability on objects has the nice consequence to equate the type bool, the booleans, with the type Prop, the propositions. Of course, these two types are not identified since we are completely compatible with the standard way of doing proofs in Coq. Still, an inductive relation reflect of type Prop -> bool -> Type holds all the information to coerce one into the other.

In practice, booleans are always privileged with respect to propositions. For this, the coercion is_true from booleans to propositions is used.

Coercion is_true $b := b = true$.

As an example, let us consider equality and conjunction. Instead of stating a conjunction of two equalities as $x = y / \zeta = t$, we prefer writing it using booleans as $x == y$ & $z ==$ t. This simple modification gives a classical flavour to the usually intuitionistic prover Coq. Moreover, proof scripts become more similar to the ones of other systems like Hol. In particular, as booleans accommodate the substitutivity property, rewriting becomes the tactic number one. This reflection between bool and Prop is supported by the tactic language with the so-called views. As an example, consider the reflection over conjunction which is represented by the theorem andP

Theorem andP: forall b1 b2 : bool, reflect $(b1 / b2)$ $(b1 \& b2)$.

Suppose now that we have to prove the following goal $x == y \& x = t$. In order to split this goal into two subgoals, we use a combination of two tactics: (apply/andP; split). The first tactic converts the $\&\&$ into a $/\backslash$, the second tactic can then perform the splitting. Similarly for an hypothesis, if the goal is $x == y \& z == t \rightarrow A$ for an arbitrary A, the tactic (move/andP; case) performs the convertion and the destructuring. Note that we can do even shorter combining view and case: case/andP.

Some standard operations are defined on eqType. For example, it is possible to build the set of pairs of objects. The construction is the following:

```
Structure eq_pair (d_1 d_2: eqType): Type := EqPair {
  eq-pi<sub>1</sub>: d_1;
  \mathtt{eq\_pi_2}\colon \quad \mathtt{d}_2}.
Definition pair_eq (d_1 d_2: eqType) (u v: eq_pair d_1 d_2): bool:=
  let EqPair x_1 x_2 := u in
  let EqPair y_1 y_2 := v in (x_1 == y_1) && (x_2 == y_2).
```
Once the adequacy of the equality is proved, we can build the expected type with decidable equality. This is represented by the function prod_eqType with the following type prod_eqType: eqType -> eqType -> eqType.

2.2 Sets

Sets are represented by their indicator function:

Definition set $(d: eqType) := d \rightarrow bool.$

For example, the constructor of a singleton is defined as

Definition set1 $x := fun y => (y == x)$.

A key construction is the one that allows to build a type d_1 with decidable equality from a set A whose carrier is a type d with decidable equality. This is done using the constructor sub_eqType:

sub_eqType: forall d: eqType, set d -> eqType.

 d_1 is then (sub_eqType d A) and elements of d_1 are composed of elements of d and a proof that they belong to A.

```
Structure eq_sig (d: eqType) (A: set d): Set := EqSig {
  val: d;
 valP: A val
}.
```
Equality then only checks the first elements of the two records. As sets are represented as indicators, this equality is adequate (there is only one proof of $x = true$). Over sets, there is also the usual extensional equality, i.e. $A_1 =_1 A_2$ iff $A_1 x == A_2 x$ for all x.

2.3 Sequence

Sequences are represented in a standard way

```
Inductive seq (d: eqType): Type := Seq0 | Adds (x : d) (s : seq d).
```
Sequences are equipped with all the basic operations. In the following, we are going to use two of these operations: size, count. size gives the number of elements of a sequence. count returns the number of elements of a set inside a sequence.

2.4 Finite type

The last construction before defining groups is the one for creating finite types. A finite type is composed of a type sort with decidable equality, its sequence of elements and a proof that the sequence contains each element of sort once and only once.

```
Structure finType: Type := FinSet {
  sort :> eqType;
  enum : seq sort;
 enumP : forall x, count (set1 x) enum = 1
}.
```
Note that this encoding of finite sets gives for free an order on the elements of the finite set, i.e. the index of its occurrence in the sequence. The cardinality of a set A over a finite type S is defined as (count A (enum S)). It is written in the following as (card A).

3 From finite groups to Sylow's theorems

3.1 Finite group, coset and subgroup

A finite group contains a finite set, an unit element, an inverse function and a multiplication with the usual properties.

```
Structure finGroup : Type := Finite {
 element:> finType;
    unit: element;
     inv: element -> element;
```

```
mul: element -> element -> element;
   unitP: forall x, mul unit x = x;
    invP: forall x, mul (inv x) x = unit;
    mulP: forall x1 x2 x3, mul x1 (mul x2 x3) = mul (mul x1 x2) x3
}.
```
Given a multiplicative finite group G and x , y two elements of G , 1 is encoded as (unit G), x^{-1} as (inv G x), and xy as (mul G x y). Given a finite group G, a set H of G and an element a of G, the left coset aH (the right coset Ha) is the set of the elements ax (respectively the set of elements xa) for all x in H. As we have x in aH iff $a^{-1}x$ is in H (respectively x in Ha iff xa^{-1} is in H), we have the following definitions:

Definition lcoset H a: set G := fun x => H $(a^{-1}x)$. Definition rcoset H a: set $G := fun x \Rightarrow H (xa)$.

The function $x \mapsto ax$ is a bijection between H and aH, so both sets have same cardinality. Furthermore, every coset aH can be represented by a canonical element \overline{a} such that $aH = 1$ bH iff $\overline{a} = \overline{b}$. Technically, \overline{a} is encoded as (root (lcoset H) a), which is the first element in the sequence of the finite set that belongs to aH.

Subgroups are not defined as structures but as sets. Their definition is a bit intricate. The idea is to say that a set H is a subgroup if it is not empty, and if x and y are in H so is xy[−]¹ . This is sufficient. Since if H is non empty, it contains at least an element z, so we have zz^{-1} == 1 belongs to H. Also, for all x in \overline{H} , $1x^{-1}$ == x^{-1} also belongs to H. Finally, if x and y belongs to H, we have y⁻¹ belongs to H, so is $x(y^{-1})^{-1} = xy$. In our definition, 1 is used as a witness of non-emptiness. For the second condition, we rewrite it as "if x is in H then H is included in Hx".

```
Definition subgrp H :=
  H 1 && subset H (fun x \Rightarrow subset H (rcoset H x)).
```
where (subset H₁ H₂) is true iff for all x in H₁, x is also in H₂. In this definition, G is given implicitly since the type of H is (set G). This definition is of little use for proving that a set is a subgroup. As we are in a finite setting, a much more practical characterisation of a subgroup is that it is a non-empty set that is stable by multiplication. This is represented in our development by the theorem finstbl_sbgrp:

```
Lemma finstbl_sbgrp: forall G (H : set G) (a : G),
         H a \rightarrow (forall x y, H x \rightarrow H y \rightarrow H (xy)) \rightarrow subgrp H.
```
If H is a subgroup, its left cosets partition G: if z is in the intersection aH and bH, there exist h_1 and h_2 such that ah_1 == z == b h_2 , we get a == b($h_2h_1^{-1}$) and b == a($h_1h_2^{-1}$), so aH $=$ ₁ bH. We denote (lindex H) the number of canonical elements. We then get that card G = lindex H * card H. As in our development groups and subgroups differ in nature, groups hold the carrier while subgroups are only indicators, it is preferable to state Lagrange's theorem at the level of subgroups:

Theorem lLaGrange: forall G (H K: set G), subgrp H \rightarrow subgrp K \rightarrow subset H K \Rightarrow card H $*$ lindex H K = card K. Now, lindex H K denotes the number of coset of H with respect to K. Note that we can always get back to the usual statement, using the fact that G is a subgroup of itself.

3.2 Conjugate, normaliser and normal subgroub

Normal subgroups are needed for the proof of Sylow's theorem. In order to define them, we first define the conjugate operation.

Definition $y^x := x^{-1}yx$.

Then, given an arbitrary element x and an arbitrary set H the conjugate set xHx^{-1} is defined as follows:

Definition conjsg H $x := fun y => H y^x$.

y is in xHx⁻¹ iff x⁻¹yx is in H. We are now ready to define the notion of normal subgroup. H is normal in K iff for all element x in K, $xHx^{-1} = 1$. It is in fact sufficient to require that H is included in xHx^{-1} as both sets have same cardinality. This gives the following definition:

Definition normal H K := subset K (fun x => subset H (conjsg H x)).

Later in the proof of the first Sylow's theorem we use the property that the quotient of a group by a normal subgroup is a group. This is a direct consequence of normality that imposes that the operation of the group behaves well with respect to cosets. The quotient group is represented in our development by the group RG composed with the roots of G with respect to the left coset relation.

Given a subgroup H , it is possible to build its normaliser, the set of all x in K such that xHx^{-1} = H as:

Definition normaliser H K x := (subset K (fun $z \Rightarrow$ (conjsg H $x \neq z = H z)$)) && K x.

By definition, we have that H is normal in (normaliser H K). This is the theorem normaliser_normal:

```
Lemma normaliser_normal:
 forall G (H K : set G), subset H K -> normal H (normaliser H K).
```
3.3 Group actions

Group actions are the key construction for our final theorems. To define an action, we need a group G, a subgroup H and a finite set S. This is written in our development as:

```
Variable G : finGroup.
Variable H : set G.
Hypothesis sgrp_H: subgrp H.
Variable S : finType.
```
An action to is a homomorphism from H to the permutations of S (the bijections from S to S). This is defined as:

Variable to: $G \rightarrow (S \rightarrow S)$. Hypothesis to_bij: forall x, H x -> bijective (to x). Hypothesis to_morph: forall (x y: G) z, $H x \rightarrow H y \rightarrow to (xy) z = to x (to y z).$

where the predicate bijective indicates that the function is a bijection. Note that we have arbitrary chosen to define our action to on G and only require the properties of homomorphism and permutation to hold for elements of H.

For an element a of S, we define its orbit as all the elements of S that can be reached from a by the function to. In other words, it is the image of H by the function that given an x in G associates (to x a).

```
Definition orbit a := image (fun x => to x a) H.
```
We can partition S using the orbits. A key property of group action comes with the notion of stabiliser. Given an element a of S, we call its stabiliser the set of all the elements x of H that leave a unchanged by the function to x. Formally, this gives

Definition stabiliser a := fun $x =$ (((to x a) == a)) && (H x)).

The stabiliser is clearly a subgroup of H but the key property is that the cardinal of the orbit of a and the index of the stabiliser of a are equal.

```
Lemma card_orbit: forall a, card (orbit a) = lindex (stabiliser a) H.
```
to see this we just have to notice that we have (to x a) =_d (to y a) iff $x^{-1}y$ is in (stabiliser a). For this, we write (to y a) as (to x (to $(x^{-1}y)$ a))) and use the fact that to is injective.

In the particular case where H has cardinality p^{α} with p prime, as orbits partition S and their cardinality is an index, Lagrange's theorem gives us that these orbits are of cardinality p^{β} with $\beta \leq \alpha$. Now, if we collect in the set S_0 all the elements of S whose orbit has cardinality $1 = p^0$, i.e elements that are in the stabiliser of every element of H:

Definition S_0 a := subset H (stabiliser a).

we get our central lemma

Lemma mpl: (card S) % p = (card S₀) % p.

where % is the usual modulo operation. All the orbits of cardinality p^{β} with $0 < \beta \leq \alpha$ cancel out in the modulo.

3.4 Cauchy's theorem

The proof of the first Sylow theorem is an inductive proof. Cauchy's theorem solves the base case. This theorem states that if a prime p divides the cardinality of a group, then there exists a subgroup of cardinality p . More precisely, there exists an element a , such that its cyclic group, i.e. the set of all the a^i , is of cardinality p. As we did for Lagrange's, we state this theorem at the level of subgroups. We take H a subgroup of G and a prime p that divides the cardinality of H. We first consider H^{p-1} the cartesian product $H \times \ldots \times H$. An element x

 \sum_{p-1}

of H^{p-1} is written as (h_0, \ldots, h_{p-2}) . We have (card H^{p-1}) = (card H)^{p-1}. We define H^* a subset of H^p as the image of H^{p-1} by the function

 $(h_0, \ldots, h_{p-2}) \mapsto ((\prod_{i=0}^{p-2} h_i)^{-1}, h_0, \ldots, h_{p-2}).$ Clearly, we have $(\text{card } H^*) = (\text{card } H)^{p-1}$ and every element (h_0, \ldots, h_{p-1}) of H^p such that $\prod_{i=0}^{p-1} h_i = 1$ is in H^{*}. Now we consider the additive group \mathbb{Z}_p and the action to from \mathbb{Z}_p to \mathbf{H}^* defined as

 $n \mapsto \{ (h_0, h_1..., h_{p-1}) \mapsto (h_{(0+n)\%p}, h_{(1+n)\%p},..., h_{(p-1+n)\%p}) \}$

Now, if we look at the set S_0 of the elements of orbit with cardinality 1. We can easily prove that S_0 is composed of the elements (h, \ldots, h) such that $h^p = 1$. In one direction, such elements clearly belong to S_0 since they are left unchanged by any permutation of indexes. Conversely, if an element x belongs to S_0 , in particular (to 1 x) is equal to x. So, if we write x as (h_0, \ldots, h_{p-1}) , this means (h_0, \ldots, h_{p-1}) is equal to (h_1, \ldots, h_0) which in turn implies that h_0 is equal to h_1 , h_1 is equal to h_2 and so on. Now, the mpl lemma tells us that (card H^{*}) % p = (card S₀) % p, but the cardinality of H^{*} is divisible by p so we can conclude that the cardinality of S_0 is also divisible by p. As, $p \geq 2$, this means that there exists at least one element a different from 1 in S_0 . For this element, we have $a^p = 1$. We have that the cardinality of the cyclic group of a divides p but as p is prime and a is different of 1, the cardinality of its cyclic group is then exactly p . The exact statement of Cauchy's theorem in our development is

```
Theorem cauchy: forall G, (H : set G) p,
    subgrp H \rightarrow prime p \rightarrow p | (card h) \rightarrowexists a, H a && (card (cyclic a) == p).
```
where \vert denotes the divisibility and cyclic builds the cyclic group of an element.

3.5 Sylow's theorems

The first Sylow theorem tells us that if G is a group and K is a subgroup of G of cardinality $p^n s$ with p prime and p, s relatively prime, then there exists a subgroup of K of cardinality $pⁿ$. Such a subgroup of maximal cardinality in p is called a Sylow p subgroup. It is defined in our development as

```
Definition sylow K p H:=
  subgrpb H && subset H K && card H == expn p (dlogn p (card K)).
```
where expn is the exponential function and $d \log n$ is the divisor logarithm, i.e ($d \log n$ p u) is the maximal power of p that divides u.

The proof of the first Sylow theorem is done by induction. We are going to prove that for all i, $0 < i \leq n$, there exists a subgroup of cardinality p^i . For $i = 1$, the existence is given by Cauchy's theorem. Now, suppose that there exists a subgroup H of cardinality p^i , we are going to prove that there exists a subgroup L of cardinality p^{i+1} . We are acting by left translation with H on the left cosets of H with respect to K as follows:

 $x \mapsto \{ yH \mapsto (xy)H \}$

The mpl lemma gives us (card S_0) % p = (lindex H K) % p. But by Lagrange's theorem we know that (lindex H K) is equal to $p^{n-i}s$. As $i < n$, we can conclude that the cardinal of S_0 is divisible by p. Now, if we look at the cosets that are in S_0 . They are the yH such that

 (xy) H = yH for all x in H. This corresponds to y^{-1} Hy = H so y is in (normaliser H K). So, we can deduce that (card S_0) = (lindex H (normaliser H K)). This means that if we take the quotient of the normaliser (normaliser H K) by H, this is a group (H is normal in its normaliser) and its cardinality which is (lindex H (normaliser H K)) is divisible by p . We can then apply Cauchy's theorem and get the existence of a subgroup L_1 of cardinality p in the quotient. Taking the inverse image of L_1 by the quotient operation, we get a subgroup L of G whose cardinality is card L₁ * card H = p $p^i = p^{i+1}$. This ends the proof of the first Sylow theorem. The exact formal statement of this theorem is the following:

```
Theorem sylow1_cor: forall G (K: set G) p,
        subgrp K \rightarrow prime p \rightarrow 0 \leq dlogn p (card K) ->
        exists H : set G, sylow K p H.
```
The second Sylow theorem says that two Sylow p subgroups L_1 and L_2 of K are conjugate. For the proof, we act by left translation with L_2 on the left coset of L_1 . By the mpl lemma, we know the (card S_0) $\%$ p = (lindex L₁ K) $\%$ p. As L₁ is a Sylow p group, we have by Lagrange's theorem that (lindex L_1 K) is equal to s, so is not divisible by p. This means that (card S_0) is not divisible by p, so there exists an x in K such that xL_1 is in S_0 . But for this x, we know that for all y in L_2 , $(yx)L_1 = xL_1$, this means that L_2 is included in xL_1x^{-1} . As both sets have same cardinality, we have $L_2 = 1 \times L_1 x^{-1}$. The exact formal statement of this theorem is the following:

```
Theorem sylow2_cor: forall G (K: set G) p L_1 L_2,
     subgrp K \rightarrow prime p \rightarrow 0 \leq dlogn p (card K) ->
     sylow K p L_1 -> sylow K p L_2 ->
        exists x : G, K x \wedge L<sub>2</sub> =<sub>1</sub> conjsg L<sub>1</sub> x.
```
The third Sylow theorem gives an indication on the number of Sylow p groups. It says that this number divides the cardinality of K and is equal to 1 modulo p . In order to count the number of Sylow p subgroup, we have to define the sylow subset of the power set of G as:

Definition syset K $p := fun$ (H: powerSet G) => sylow K p (subdE H).

Now, the first part of the third theorem that regards divisibility is proved acting with K on (syset K p) as follows:

 $x \mapsto \{ L \mapsto xLx^{-1} \}$

The second theorem tells us that all the elements of (syset K p) are conjugate. So, from one Sylow p subgroup L we can reach any other by conjugation. This means that (syset K p) contains one single orbit. So, $(card (syst K p)) = (card (orbit L))$. The theorem card_orbit tells us the card (orbit L) is equal to (lindex (stabiliser L) K). Using Lagrange's theorem, we get that it divides (card K). The formal statement of the first part of the third Sylow theorem is the following:

```
Theorem sylow3_div: forall G (K: set G) p,
        subgrp K \rightarrow prime p \rightarrow 0 \leq d \log n p \log d k) \rightarrow(card (syset K p)) | (card K).
```
For the second part, we consider H a Sylow p group for K. We act with H on (syset K p) by conjugation as before:

 $x \mapsto \{ L \mapsto xLx^{-1} \}$

An element L is in S_0 if $xLx^{-1} = 1$ L for all x in H. This means that H is included in (normaliser L K). As we have (sylow K p H), we have also (sylow (normaliser L K) p H). This holds also for L, so we have $(sylow$ (normaliser L K) p L). The second theorem tells us that H and L are then conjugate in (normaliser L K). But as L is normal in its normaliser, this implies that $H = 1$. So (card S_0) is equal to 1. If we apply the mpl lemma we get the expected result. The formal statement of the second part of the third Sylow theorem is the following:

```
Theorem sylow3_mod: forall G (K: set G) p,
        subgrp K \rightarrow prime p \rightarrow 0 \leq d \log n p (card k) ->
        (card (syset K p)) % p = 1.
```
4 Conclusion

Formalising Sylow's theorems has been surprisingly smooth. One reason has to do with the fact that we have built our development on top of SSREFLECT. This base was used by Georges Gonthier for his proof of the four colour theorem. It has already been tested on a large development, so it is quite complete. The only basic construction we had to add is the power set. Another reason that made our life simpler is that we were working in a decidable fragment of the Coq logic. No philosophical issue about constructiveness slowed down our formalisation. Finally, Gregory Constantine's proof was perfect for our formalisation work. The only part of the formalisation that was ad-hoc was the construction of the set H^* . It represents only 360 lines of the 3550 lines of the formalisation. The fact that this experiment was positive is clearly a good sign for further formalisations in group theory.

References

- [1] Gregory M. Constantine. Group Theory. Available at <http://www.pitt.edu/~gmc/algsyl.html>.
- [2] Georges Gonthier. Notation of the Four Colour Theorem proof. Available at <http://research.microsoft.com/~gonthier/4colnotations.pdf>.
- [3] Florian Kammüller and Lawrence C. Paulson. A Formal Proof of Sylow's Theorem. Journal of Automating Reasoning, 23(3-4):235–264, 1999.
- [4] Freek Wiedijk. Formalizing 100 theorems. Available at <http://www.cs.ru.nl/~freek/100/>.
- [5] Helmut Wielandt. Ein beweis für die existenz der sylowgruppen. Archiv der Mathematik, 10:401–402, 1959.

Module groups

Structure finGroup: Type:= Finite { $element:$ fin Type; unit : element; inv : element \rightarrow element; mul : element \rightarrow element \rightarrow element; unit $P : \forall x$, mul unit $x = x$; invP : $\forall x, \text{ mul } (\text{inv } x) x = \text{unit};$ mulP : $\forall x_1 \ x_2 \ x_3, \ mul \ x_1 \ (mul \ x_2 \ x_3) = mul \ (mul \ x_1 \ x_2) \ x_3$ }. Section GroupIdentities. Variable G: finGroup. Lemma $mulgA: \forall x_1 \ x_2 \ x_3: \ G, \ x_1 \times (x_2 \times x_3) = x_1 \times x_2 \times x_3.$ Lemma $mullq: \forall x: G, 1 \times x = x$. Lemma $mulVg: \forall x: G, x^{-1} \times x = 1.$ Lemma mulg_invl: $\forall x$: G, cancel (mulg x) (mulg x^{-1}). Lemma $mulq_injl: \forall x$: G, injective (mulq x). Lemma $mulg1: \forall x: G, x \times 1 = x$. Lemma $invq1: 1^{-1} = 1.$ Lemma $mulg V: \forall x: G, x \times x^{-1} = 1.$ Lemma mulg_invr: $\forall x$: G, monic (mulgr x) (mulgr x^{-1}). Lemma $mulg_injr$: $\forall x$: G, injective (mulgr x). Lemma invg_inv: monic invg invg. Lemma invg_inj: injective invg. Lemma *invg_mul*: $\forall x_1 \ x_2$: $G, (x_2 \times x_1)^{-1} = x_1^{-1} \times x_2^{-1}$. Lemma $mulVg_invl: \forall x: G, monic (mulg x⁻¹) (mulg x).$ Lemma $mulVg_invr$: $\forall x$, monic (mulgr x^{-1}) (mulgr x). Theorem $mulg_{-}s_1: \forall a \ b: G, (b \times a^{-1}) \times a = b.$ Theorem $mulg_{-}s_2$: $\forall a \ b: G, (b \times a) \times a^{-1} = b$. End GroupIdentities. Definition *conjg* (*G*: *finGroup*) $(x, y; G) := x^{-1} \times y \times x$.

INRIA

Section Conjugation. Variable G: finGroup. Lemma $\text{conj}gE: \forall x \ y: \ G, \ x^y = y^{-1} \times x \times y.$ Lemma conjq1: conjq $1 = 1$ id. Lemma conj1g: $\forall x: G, 1^x = 1.$ Lemma *conjg_mul*: $\forall x_1 \ x_2 \ y: \ G, (x_1 \times x_2)^y = x_1^y \times x_2^y$. Lemma *conjg_invg*: $\forall x \ y$: $G, (x^{-1})^y = (x^y)^{-1}$. Lemma *conjg_conj*: $\forall x \ y_1 \ y_2$: $G, (x^{y_1})^{y_2} = x^{y_1 \times y_2}$. Lemma conjg_inv: ∀y: G, monic (conjg y) (conjg y^{-1}). Lemma $\text{conj}g_invV: \forall y: G, \text{monic }(\text{conj}g\text{ }y^{-1})\text{ }(\text{conj}g\text{ }y).$ Lemma conjg_inj: $\forall y$: G, injective (conjg y). Definition *conjg_fp* $(y \ x: G) := x^y =_d x$. Definition *commg* $(x, y; G) := x \times y = y \times x$. Lemma conjg_fpP: $\forall x \ y$: G, reflect (commg x y) (conjg_fp y x). Lemma conjg_fp_sym: $\forall x \ y$: G, conjg_fp x y = conjg_fp y x. End Conjugation. Section SubGroup. Variables $(G: \text{finGroup})$ $(H: \text{set } G)$. Definition lcoset x: set G := fun $y \Rightarrow H(x^{-1} \times y)$. Definition *rcoset* x: set $G = \text{fun } y \Rightarrow H (y \times x^{-1}).$ Definition subgrpb:= H 1 && subset H (fun $x \Rightarrow$ subset H (rcoset x)). Definition subgrp: Prop:= subgrpb. Lemma subgrpP: reflect $(H \perp \wedge \forall x \ y, H \ x \rightarrow H \ y \rightarrow \text{rcoset} \ x \ y)$ subgrpb. Hypothesis Hh: subgrp. Lemma subgrp1: H 1. Lemma $\text{subgrp}\,V: \forall x, H \,x \rightarrow H \,x^{-1}.$ Lemma subgrp $M: \forall x \ y$, $H \ x \rightarrow H \ y \rightarrow H \ (x \times y)$. Lemma subgrpMl: $\forall x \ y$, $H \ x \rightarrow H \ (x \times y) = H \ y$. Lemma subgrpMr: $\forall x \ y$, $H \ x \rightarrow H \ (y \times x) = H \ y$.

Lemma subgrpVl: $\forall x$, H $x^{-1} \rightarrow H$ x. Definition subFinGroup: finGroup. End SubGroup. Lemma subgrp_of_group: $\forall G: \text{fin} Group, \text{sub}$ group, Subgrp G. Coercion subgrp_of_group: $finGroup \gt \gt >sub>subgrp$. Section LaGrange. Variables $(G: \text{finGroup})$ $(H: \text{set } G)$. Hypothesis $(Hh: \text{subgrp } H)$. Lemma $rcoset_refl: \forall x, rcoset$ H x x. Lemma rcoset_sym: $\forall x \ y, \csc H \ x \ y = \csc H \ y \ x.$ Lemma rcoset_trans: $\forall x \ y$, connect (rcoset H) $x \ y = \text{rcoset } H x y$. Lemma $rcoset_csym: \:connect_sym \: (rcoset \: H).$ Lemma rcoset1: rcoset H 1 = $_1$ H. Lemma card_rcoset: $\forall x$, card (rcoset H x) = card H. Definition $rindex := n_comp$ (rcoset H). Theorem $rLaGranae: \forall K: set \ G.$ subgrp $K \to subset H K \to card H \times rindex K = card K$. Theorem $sugrp_divn$: ∀K: set G, subgrp $K \rightarrow subset H K \rightarrow card H \mid card K$. Lemma lcoset_refl: $\forall x$, lcoset H x x. Lemma lcoset_sym: $\forall x \ y$, lcoset H x y = lcoset H y x. Lemma lcoset_trans: $\forall x \ y$, connect (lcoset H) $x \ y =$ lcoset H $x \ y$. Lemma $lcoset_csym: \:connect_sym \: (lcoset \: H).$ Lemma lcoset1: lcoset H $1 =_1$ H. Lemma card_lcoset: $\forall x$, card (lcoset H x) = card H. Definition $\text{index} := n_comp$ (lcoset H). Theorem $lLaGrange: \forall K: set \ G,$ subgrp $K \rightarrow subset H K \rightarrow card H \times linear K = card K$. End LaGrange. Section $FinPart$.

INRIA

Variables $(G: \text{finGroup})$ $(H: \text{set } G)$ $(a: G)$. Hypothesis Ha: H a. Hypothesis Hstable: $\forall x \ y$, H $x \rightarrow H$ $y \rightarrow H$ $(x \times y)$. Lemma heqah: (lcoset H a) $=$ 1 H. Lemma heqxh: $\forall x, H \ x \rightarrow (loset H \ x) =_1 H$. Lemma heqhx: $\forall x, H \ x \rightarrow (r \cos \theta \ H \ x) =_1 H$. Lemma firstbl_sb grp1: H 1. Lemma $\text{firstbl_mul}V: \forall x, H \ x \rightarrow H \ x^{-1}.$ Lemma finstbl_sbgrp: subgrp H. End FinPart. Section Eq. Variable G: finGroup. Theorem eq_subgroup: $\forall a \ b: set \ G, a =_1 b \rightarrow subgrpb \ a = subgrpb \ b.$ End Eq. Section SubProd. Variable G: finGroup. Section SubProd_subgrp. Variables $(H K: set G)$. Hypothesis h_subgroup: subgrp H. Hypothesis k _subgroup: subgrp K . Lemma subprod_sbgrp: prod H K =1 prod K H \rightarrow subgrp (prod H K). Lemma sbgrp_subprod: subgrp (prod H K) \rightarrow prod H K =1 prod K H. End SubProd_subgrp. Variables $(H K: set G)$. Hypothesis h _subgroup: subgrp H. Hypothesis k _subgroup: subgrp K . Lemma sbgrphk_sbgrpkh: subgrpb (prod H K) = subgrpb (prod K H). End SubProd.

Module action

Section Action.

Variable $(G: \text{finGroup})$ $(H: \text{set } G)$. Hypothesis $sqrp_h$: subgrp H. Variable s: finType. Variable to: $G \rightarrow (s \rightarrow s)$. Hypothesis to_bij: $\forall x, H \ x \rightarrow bijective$ (to x). Hypothesis to_morph: $\forall (x, y; G)$ z, H $x \rightarrow H y \rightarrow to (x \times y) z = to x (to y z)$. Theorem to_1 : $\forall x, to 1 \; x = x$. Definition stabiliser a:= setI (fun $x \Rightarrow ((to x a) =_d a))$ H. Definition *orbit* $a:= \text{image } (\text{fun } z \Rightarrow \text{to } z \text{ a})$ H. Theorem *orbit_to*: $\forall a \ x, H \ x \rightarrow orbit \ a \ (to \ x \ a)$. Lemma orbit_refl: $\forall x$, orbit x x. Lemma *orbit_sym*: $\forall x \ y$, *orbit x y = orbit y x*. Lemma orbit_trans: $\forall x \ y$, connect orbit $x \ y =$ orbit $x \ y$. Lemma orbit_csym: connect_sym orbit. Definition S_0 a:= subset H (stabiliser a). Theorem $SOP: \forall a, reflect (orbit \ a =_1 set1 \ a) (S_0 \ a).$ Theorem $stab_1$: $\forall a, stabiliser \ a \ 1.$ Theorem subgr_stab: $\forall a$, subgrp (stabiliser a). Theorem subset_stab: $\forall a$, subset (stabiliser a) H. Theorem *orbit_from*: $\forall a \ x \ (Hx: orbit \ a \ x),$ (setI (roots (lcoset (stabiliser a))) H) (root (lcoset (iinv1 Hx)). Theorem card_orbit: $\forall a$, card (orbit a) = lindex (stabiliser a) H. Theorem card_orbit_div: $\forall a, \text{ card (orbit a) } | \text{ card } H.$ Variable n p: nat. Hypothesis $prime_p$: prime p. Hypothesis card h: card $H = p^n$. Theorem mpl: (card s) $\% p = (card S_0) \% p$. End Action.

Module cyclic

Section Phi.

Definition phi n:= if n is $n_1 + 1$ then card (fun $x \Rightarrow$ coprime n (val x)) else 0. Theorem phi_mult: $\forall m \, n$, coprime $m \, n \rightarrow phi \, (m \times n) = phi \, m \times phi \, n$. Theorem phi_prime_k: $\forall p \; k$, prime $p \to phi \; p^{k+1} = p^{k+1} \cdot p^k$. End Phi. Section Cuclic. Variable G: finGroup. Fixpoint gexpn $(a:G)$ $(n: nat)$ {struct n}: $G=$ if n is $n_1 + 1$ then $a \times (q \exp n \ a \ n_1)$ else 1. Theorem $\text{gerp } 0$: $\forall a, \text{gerp } n \text{ } a \text{ } 0 = 1$. Theorem $qexpn1$: $\forall a, qexpn \ a \ 1 = a$. Theorem $qexp1n$: $\forall n, qexpn 1 n = 1$. Theorem gexpnS: $\forall a \ n, q \in \mathbb{R}$ $(n + 1) = a \times q \in \mathbb{R}$ $n \in \mathbb{R}$. Theorem gexpn_h: $\forall n \ a \ H$, subgrp $H \rightarrow H$ $a \rightarrow H$ (gexpn a n). Theorem gexpn_add: $\forall a \; n \; m$, gexpn a $n \times$ gexpn a $m =$ gexpn a $(n + m)$. Theorem gexpn_mul: $\forall a \; n \; m$, gexpn (gexpn a n) $m = \text{gexpn } a \; (n \times m)$. Fixpoint seq fn (f: $G \rightarrow G$) (n: nat) (a: G) (L: seq G) {struct n}: seq G:= if n is $n_1 + 1$ then if negb $(L \t a)$ then seq $fn f \t n_1$ $(f \t a)$ $(Adds \t a \t L)$ else L else L . Definition seq f f a:= seq fn f (card G) a (Seq0 \Box). Definition cyclic a:= seq_f (fun $x \Rightarrow a \times x$) 1. Theorem cyclic1: $\forall a, cyclic \ a \ 1.$ Theorem cyclicP: $\forall a \ b, \ reflect \ (\exists \ n, \text{gexpn} \ a \ n =_d b) \ (cyclic \ a \ b).$ Theorem cyclic_h: $\forall a \ H$, subgrp $H \rightarrow H$ a \rightarrow subset (cyclic a) H. Theorem cyclic_min: $\forall a \; b$, cyclic a $b \to \exists m$, $(m < card (cyclic a))$ && $(gexpn a m =_d b)$. Theorem cyclic_in: $\forall a$ m, cyclic a (gexpn a m). Theorem subgr_cyclic: $\forall a$, subgrp (cyclic a). Theorem cyclic_expn_card: $\forall a$, gexpn a (card (cyclic a)) =d 1. Theorem cyclic_div_card: $\forall a \; n$, card (cyclic a) | n) = (gexpn a n = d 1). Theorem cyclic_div_g: $\forall a$, card (cyclic a) | card G.

Module normal

Section Normal. Variables $(G: \text{fin}Group)$ $(H~K: \text{set }G)$. Hypothesis $sqrp_h$: subgrp H. Hypothesis $sgrp_k$: subgrp K . Hypothesis $subset$ _{hk: subset} H K. Definition *conjsg* x $y := H(y^x)$. Theorem $\text{conjsg1: } \forall x, \text{conjsg } x 1.$ Theorem $conjs_1g: \forall x$, conjsg 1 $x = H x$. Theorem conjsg_inv: $\forall x \ y$, conjsg $x \ y \rightarrow \text{conjsg } x \ y^{-1}$. Theorem *conjsg_conj*: $\forall x \ y \ z$, *conjsg* $(x \times y) \ z = \text{conjsg } y \ (z^x)$. Theorem $conisq_subarp: \forall x, subarp (conisq x).$ Theorem $conjsg_image: \forall y$, conjsg $y =_1$ image (conjg y^{-1}) H. Theorem $conjsg_inv1$: $\forall x$, $(conjsg x) =_1 H \rightarrow (conjsg x^{-1}) =_1 H.$ Theorem $conjsg_card: \forall x$, card (conjsg x) = card H. Theorem $conjsg_subset: \forall x$, subset H (conjsg x) \rightarrow (conjsg x) = 1 H. Theorem lcoset_root: $\forall x$, lcoset H x (root (lcoset H) x). Definition normalb:= subset K (fun $x \Rightarrow$ subset H (conjsg H x)). Definition normal: Prop:= normalb. Hypothesis $normal_k$: normal. Theorem conjsg_normal: $\forall x, K \; x \rightarrow \text{conjsg } x =_1 H$. Definition $rootSet := subFin$ (setI (roots (lcoset H)) K). Theorem card_rootSet: card rootSet = lindex $H K$. Theorem unit_root_sub: setI (roots (lcoset H)) K (root (lcoset H) 1). Definition unit_root: rootSet. Definition $mult_root: rootSet \rightarrow rootSet \rightarrow rootSet.$

Definition inv_root : $rootSet \rightarrow rootSet$. Theorem unitP_root: $\forall x$, mult_root unit_root $x = x$. Theorem $invP_{root}$: $\forall x$, mult_root (inv_root x) $x = unit_root$. Theorem $mulP_{root}: \forall x_1 \ x_2 \ x_3,$ mult_root x_1 (mult_root x_2 x_3) = mult_root (mult_root x_1 x_2) x_3 . Definition $root_group := (Group.Finite \ unitP_root \ invP_root \ mulP_root).$ Theorem card_root_group: card root_group = lindex $H K$. End Normal. Section NormalProp. Variables $(G: \text{finGroup})$ $(H~K: \text{set } G)$. Hypothesis $sgrp_h$: subgrp H. Hypothesis $sgrp_k$: subgrp K. Hypothesis subset_hk: subset H K. Hypothesis normal_hk: normal H K. Theorem $normal_subset$: $\forall L$, subgrp $L \rightarrow subset H L \rightarrow subset L K \rightarrow normal H L$. Definition RG : $(root_group \ sqrp_h \ sqrp_k \ subset_h k \ normal_h k)$. Theorem th_quotient: $\forall x, K \ x \rightarrow$ (setI (roots (lcoset H)) K (root (lcoset H) x)). Definition quotient: $G \rightarrow RG$. Theorem quotient_lcoset: $\forall x, K \ x \rightarrow \text{lcoset } H \ x \ (\text{val} \ (\text{quotient} \ x)).$ Theorem quotient1: $\forall x, H \; x \rightarrow quotient \; x = 1$. Theorem quotient_morph: $\forall x \ y$, $K x \to K y \to quotient(x \times y) = quotient(x) \times quotient(y).$ Theorem quotient_image_subgrp: $\forall L$, subset H $L \rightarrow$ subset $L K \rightarrow$ subgrp $L \rightarrow$ subgrp (image quotient L). Theorem $quotient_preimage_subgrp: \forall L$, subgrp $L \rightarrow subgrp$ (setI (preimage quotient L) K). Theorem quotient_preimage_subset_h: $\forall L$, subgrp $L \rightarrow$ subset H (setI (preimage quotient L) K). Theorem quotient preimage subset k: $\forall L$, subset (setI (preimage quotient L) K) K. Theorem quotient_index: $\forall L$, subset H $L \rightarrow$ subset L $K \rightarrow$ subgrp $L \rightarrow$ lindex $H L = \text{card } (\text{image quotient } L).$

Theorem quotient_image_preimage: $\forall L$, image quotient (setI (preimage quotient L) K) = 1 L. End NormalProp. Section Normalizer. Variables $(G: \text{fin} Group)$ $(H K: set G)$. Hypothesis $sqrp_h$: subgrp H. Hypothesis $sgrp_k$: subgrp K. Hypothesis subset_hk: subset H K. Definition $normaliser$ $x :=$ $(subset~K~(fun~z \Rightarrow (conjsg~x~z =_{d} H~z)))~\&\&~K~x.$ Theorem normaliser_grp: subgrp normaliser. Theorem normaliser_subset: subset normaliser K. Theorem $subset_normaliser$: $subset$ H normaliser. Theorem normaliser_normal: normal H normaliser. Theorem card_normaliser: $card (root_group \textit{ sgrp_h} \textit{ normaliser_grp} \textit{ subset_normaliser}$ $normaliser_normal$ = lindex H normaliser. End Normalizer. Section Eq. Variables G: finGroup. Theorem eq_conjsg: $\forall a \ b \ x, a =_1 b \rightarrow \text{conjsg } a \ x =_1 \text{conjsg } b \ x$. End Eq. Section Root. Variable $(G: \text{fin}Group)$ $(H: set~G)$. Hypothesis sgrp_h: subgrp H. Theorem $root_lcoset1$: H (root (lcoset H) 1). Theorem root_lcosetd: $\forall a, H$ $(a^{-1} \times root$ (lcoset H) a). End Root.

Module leftTranslation

Section LeftTrans.

Variable $(G: \text{fin}Group)$ $(H~K~L: \text{set }G)$.

Hypothesis $sgrp_k$: subgrp K. Hypothesis sgrp_l: subgrp L. Hypothesis $sgrp_h$: $subgrp$ H. Hypothesis subset_hk: subset H K. Hypothesis $subsetL$ k : $subsetL K$.

Definition ltrans: $G \rightarrow rootSet$ L K $\rightarrow rootSet$ L K. Theorem ltrans_bij: $\forall x$, H $x \rightarrow bijective$ (ltrans x). Theorem $ltrans_morph: \forall x \ y \ z,$ H $x \to H$ $y \to$ ltrans $(x \times y)$ $z =$ ltrans x (ltrans y z).

End LeftTrans.

Module sylow

Section Cauchy.

Variable $(G: \text{fin}Group)$ $(H: \text{set }G)$. Hypothesis sgrp_h: subgrp H.

Variable p: nat. Hypothesis $prime_p$: prime p. Hypothesis $p_divides_h$: $p \mid card$ H.

Theorem cauchy: \exists a,H a && card (cyclic a) $=_d$ p.

End Cauchy.

Section Sylow.

Variable $(G: \text{fin}Group)$ $(K: \text{set }G)$. Hypothesis $sgrp_k$: subgrp K.

Variable p: nat. Hypothesis $prime_p$: prime p.

Let $n:=$ dlogn p (card K).

Hypothesis $n_{-}pos: 0 < n$.

Definition sylow $L = (subgraph L)$ && (subset L K) && (card $L =_d p^n$).

Theorem eq_sylow: $\forall a \ b, a =1 b \rightarrow sylow \ a = sylow \ b$. Theorem sylow_conjsg: $\forall L_1 \ x, K \ x \rightarrow sylow \ L_1 \rightarrow sylow \ (conjsg \ L_1 \ x)$. Theorem sylow1_rec: $\forall i$ Hi, $0 \le i \rightarrow i \le n \rightarrow$ subgrp $Hi \rightarrow subset \ Hi \ K \rightarrow card \ Hi = p^i \rightarrow$ $\exists H: set G,$ subgrp H \land subset Hi H \land subset H K \land normal Hi H \land card H = p^{i+1} . Theorem sylow1: $\forall i, 0 \leq i \rightarrow i \leq n \rightarrow$ $\exists H: set \ G, \ subgroup \ H \ \wedge \ subset \ H \ K \ \wedge \ card \ H = p^i.$ Theorem $sylow1_cor$: $\exists H$: set G, sylow H. Theorem sylow2: $\forall H \ L \ i.0 \ \leq i \rightarrow i \leq n \rightarrow$ subgrp $H \to subset H K \to card H = p^i \to sylow L \to$ $\exists x, (K x) \&\& subset H (conjsg L x).$ Theorem sylow2_cor: $\forall L_1$ L_2 , sylow $L_1 \rightarrow s$ ylow $L_2 \rightarrow$ $\exists x, (K x) \wedge (L_2 =_1 \text{conjsg } L_1 x).$ Definition syset $p:= sylow$ (val p). Theorem $sylow3_div$: card $syst$ | card K. End Sylow. Section SylowAux. Variable $(G: \text{fin}Group)$ $(H~K~L: \text{set }G)$. Hypothesis $sgrp_k$: $subgrp K$. Hypothesis sgrp_l: subgrp L. Hypothesis $sgrp_h$: $subgrp$ H. Hypothesis subset hl: subset H L. Hypothesis subset_lk: subset L K. Variable p: nat. Hypothesis $prime_p$: prime p. Let $n:=$ dlogn p (card K). Hypothesis n_pos : $0 < n$. Theorem sylow_subset: sylow K p H \rightarrow sylow L p H. End SylowAux. Section Sylow3. Variable $(G: \text{finGroup})$ $(K: \text{set } G)$. Hypothesis $sgrp_k$: subgrp K. Variable p: nat.

Hypothesis $prime_p$: prime p. Let $n:=$ dlogn p (card K). Hypothesis $n_{\text{-}pos}: 0 < n$. Theorem sylow3_mod: card (syset K p) $\%$ p = 1. End Sylow3.

Unité de recherche INRIA Sophia Antipolis 2004, route des Lucioles - BP 93 - 06902 Sophia Antipolis Cedex (France)

Unité de recherche INRIA Futurs : Parc Club Orsay Université - ZAC des Vignes 4, rue Jacques Monod - 91893 ORSAY Cedex (France) Unité de recherche INRIA Lorraine : LORIA, Technopôle de Nancy-Brabois - Campus scientifique 615, rue du Jardin Botanique - BP 101 - 54602 Villers-lès-Nancy Cedex (France) Unité de recherche INRIA Rennes : IRISA, Campus universitaire de Beaulieu - 35042 Rennes Cedex (France) Unité de recherche INRIA Rhône-Alpes : 655, avenue de l'Europe - 38334 Montbonnot Saint-Ismier (France) Unité de recherche INRIA Rocquencourt : Domaine de Voluceau - Rocquencourt - BP 105 - 78153 Le Chesnay Cedex (France)

> Éditeur INRIA - Domaine de Voluceau - Rocquencourt, BP 105 - 78153 Le Chesnay Cedex (France) http://www.inria.fr ISSN 0249-0803