brought to you by

The Open Access Israeli Journal of Aquaculture – Bamidgeh

As from **January 2010** The Israeli Journal of Aquaculture - Bamidgeh (IJA) will be published exclusively as **an on-line Open Access (OA)** quarterly accessible by all AquacultureHub (<u>http://www.aquaculturehub.org</u>) members and registered individuals and institutions. Please visit our website (<u>http://siamb.org.il</u>) for free registration form, further information and instructions.

This transformation from a subscription printed version to an on-line OA journal, aims at supporting the concept that scientific peer-reviewed publications should be made available to all, including those with limited resources. The OA IJA does not enforce author or subscription fees and will endeavor to obtain alternative sources of income to support this policy for as long as possible.

Editor-in-Chief

Dan Mires

Editorial Board

Sheenan Harpaz	Agricultural Research Organization Beit Dagan, Israel	
Zvi Yaron	Dept. of Zoology Tel Aviv University Tel Aviv, Israel	
Angelo Colorni	National Center for Mariculture, IOLR Eilat, Israel	
Rina Chakrabarti	Aqua Research Lab Dept. of Zoology University of Delhi	
Ingrid Lupatsch	Swansea University Singleton Park, Swansea, UK	
Jaap van Rijn	The Hebrew University Faculty of Agriculture Israel	
Spencer Malecha	Dept. of Human Nutrition, Food and Animal Sciences University of Hawaii	
Daniel Golani	The Hebrew University of Jerusalem Jerusalem, Israel	
Emilio Tibaldi	Udine University Udine, Italy	

Published under auspices of **The Society of Israeli Aquaculture and Marine Biotechnology (SIAMB), University of Hawaii at Manoa Library** and **University of Hawaii Aquaculture Program** in association with **AquacultureHub** http://www.aquaculturehub.org







ISSN 0792 - 156X

© Israeli Journal of Aquaculture - BAMIGDEH.

PUBLISHER: Israeli Journal of Aquaculture - BAMIGDEH -Kibbutz Ein Hamifratz, Mobile Post 25210, ISRAEL Phone: + 972 52 3965809 <u>http://siamb.org.il</u>

Copy Editor Ellen Rosenberg



The IJA appears now exclusively as a peerreviewed on-line Open Access journal at <u>http://www.siamb.org.il</u>



Growth and Survival of African Catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*) Larvae Fed Decapsulated *Artemia*, Live Daphnia, or Commercial Starter Diet

K.B. Olurin*, A.B. Oluwo

Department of Plant Science and Applied Zoology, Olabisi Onabanjo University, P.M.B. 2002, Ago-Iwoye Ogun State, Nigeria

(Received 22.6.09, Accepted 25.7.09)

Key words: *Clarias gariepinus*, larval nutrition, decapsulated *Artemia*, daphnia, formulated feed

Abstract

The effects of three diets (decapsulated *Artemia*, live Daphnia spp., and commercial starter diet) on the growth and survival of *Clarias gariepinus* larvae were investigated in the laboratory for seven days using a completely randomized block design. Larvae were hatched by the hypophysation technique and, immediately after resorption of the yolk sac, randomly distributed into nine tanks at a stocking rate of 180 larvae per experimental plastic tank. Triplicate groups were fed treatment diets *ad libitum* twice daily, in the morning and in the evening. The highest growth values were obtained in larvae fed decapsulated *Artemia* (p<0.05), while the survival rate was similar in fish fed decapsulated *Artemia* and live daphnia. It is concluded that feeds of animal origin are more suitable for first feeding of *C. gariepinus* larvae than inert diets.

^{*} Corresponding author. E-mail address: <u>kbolurin@yahoo.com</u>

Introduction

Larval fish nutrition in aquaculture is predominantly dependent on the use of brine shrimp (*Artemia* spp.), particularly for first feedings. However, the cost of brine shrimp is prohibitive for resource-poor farmers in the developing world, which has necessitated investigation into alternative feeds.

Workers have used formulated feeds or combinations of formulated feeds and live feeds in feeding trials with different species of fish larvae (Yilmaz et al., 2003; Panagiotis et al., 2004). In most studies, live foods (e.g., *Artemia*, rotifers, copepods) produced better results in terms of growth and survival than inert diets (Dabrowski, 1984). The use of small rotifers significantly improves initial feeding performance of turbot and, especially, sea bream larvae during early development stages (Polo et al., 1992; Cunha and Planas, 1995).

Attempts have been made to improve the quality of live foods, especially of *Artemia* by enriching it with ascorbic acid (Merchie et al., 1997). There have also been attempts at the biochemical manipulation of live prey, with greater attention to lipids and n-3 HUFA (Le Millinaire et al., 1983; Estevez, 1996). Feeding trials using formulated diets have had little success and some workers recommend regular supplementation of formulated feeds with live feeds (Fernando et al., 1991; Kruger et al., 2001).

Clarias gariepinus is a popular species for aquaculture in sub-Saharan Africa, with fry readily produced in captivity using the hypophysation technique. Decapsulated *Artemia* cysts have been successful for larval rearing of *C. gariepinus* (Verreth et al., 1987; Pector et al., 1994), in addition to other feeds (Adeyemo et al. 1994). This paper aimed to compare the growth of *C. gariepinus* larvae fed decapsulated *Artemia*, live daphnia, or formulated diet.

Materials and Methods

Fry were obtained through the hypophysation technique. On the fourth day after hatching, fry were randomly distributed into nine plastic 10-I bowls in a flow-through system at a density of 210 fish per tank. At the onset of the feeding trials, thirty fry were removed from each experimental tank and batch weighed, leaving 180 fry per tank. Larvae were fed one of the treatment diets (decapsulated *Artemia*, live daphnia, a commercial formulated feed) *ad libitum* in the morning and evening, using the completely randomized block design. Each diet was tested in triplicate.

Temperature and pH were measured daily while ammonia and nitrite values were recorded weekly. Temperature ranged 25-28°C with a mean of 25.4°C and pH ranged 7.0-7.2 with a mean of 7.0. Ammonia (NH₃) remained at 0.0 mg/l and nitrite (NO₂⁻) below 0.3 mg/l, values that were negligible. Tanks were cleaned daily before feeding by siphoning off feces and uneaten food. Dead larvae were siphoned and counted to estimate survival.

At the end of the 7-day experiment, thirty fry were removed from each tank and batch weighed. The growth rate (%/day) was determined as

100[final wt (mg) - initial wt (mg)]/time (days) x initial wt (mg), and the specific growth rate (mg/day) as In final wt (mg) - In initial wt (mg)/day. Survival (%) was calculated as 100 x (no. survived fish)/(no. initial fish).

Data were analyzed using one-way analysis of variance (Steel and Torrie, 1960), and differences in means were compared using the Duncan's multiple range test at p = 0.05.

Results

Growth performance and survival are shown in Table 1. The final weight, growth rate, and specific growth rate were significantly affected by diet, with the highest values obtained in larvae fed decapsulated *Artemia*. Survival was also significantly affected by diet. It was higher in fish fed decapsulated *Artemia* or live daphnia than in those fed the commercial diet.

	Diet		
Parameter	Decapsulated Artemia	Daphnia spp.	Commercial diet
Initial wt (mg)	4.0±1.4	4.1±0.5	5.0±0.6
Final wt (mg)	18.6±3.1ª	10.4±0.3 ^b	9.1 ± 0.9^{b}
Growth rate (%/day)	58.2±16.8ª	22.8±4.4 ^b	12.7±4.4 ^b
Specific growth rate (mg/day)	0.228 ± 0.023^{a}	0.135±0.012 ^b	0.089 ± 0.017^{b}
Survival (%)	80.7±3.9ª	77.2±2.6ª	62.9±1.3 ^b

Table 1. Growth and survival (means±SE) of *Clarias gariepinus* larvae fed decapsulated *Artemia*, daphnia, or a commercial starter diet.

Means in a row with the same superscript are not significantly different (p>0.05).

Discussion

Growth and survival were highest in fish fed decapsulated *Artemia*, as previously reported for the same species (Verreth et al., 1987; Verreth and van Tongeren, 1989). Decapsulated *Artemia* cysts also produce larvae of superior quality in ornamental fish (Dhert et al., 1997). Apart from being directly available as an off-the-shelf product, the major advantage of decapsulated cysts is that cysts with poor hatching quality can still be used as a food source (Dhert et al., 1997). The same pattern of growth and survival has been documented with *Artemia* nauplii and adults in the first feeding of fish larvae (Sorgeloos et al., 2001). *Artemia* is generally well accepted by marine fish larvae with some measure of success. Substantial growth was also witnessed with the use of live daphnia spp.

Artemia successfully provided growth and survival in the early larval stage of exogenous feeding in Atlantic halibut, but had negative effects on pigmentation later on (Naess et al., 1995). However, by introducing wild zooplankton prior to a critical stage (19 days of feeding), these effects could be eliminated. In *C. gariepinus, Heterobranchus bidorsalis*, and *Heteroclarias* reared on the cladoceran, *Moina dubia*, better growth and survival were obtained when fed mixed zooplankton and a commercial dry diet than when fed *Artemia* nauplii (Adeyemo et al., 1994).

The finding in this study that formulated diets resulted in the least growth and survival when used for first feeding of fish larvae is similar to findings in investigations conducted by other workers. In *C. gariepinus*, the earliest weaning time from *Artemia* to crumbles of a commercial trout diet is between 1.8 and 4.1 days (Verreth and van Tongeren, 1989). Growth and survival of Dover sole (*Solea solea*) was best on an artificial diet when live *Artemia* nauplii were offered for the first ten days (Appelbaum, 1985). Survival and growth were lower in grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idella*) fed inert diets than in those fed live foods (Rothman et al., 1991). In most cases, inert diets are fed to marine fish larvae only after being fed live foods for some weeks (Person Le Ruyet et al., 1993; Fernandez-Diaz and Yufera, 1997; Takeuchi et al., 1998).

Freshwater species can be fed formulated diets as early as mouth opening (Cahu and Zambonino Infante, 2001). However, obtaining feeds that satisfy the nutritional needs of larvae is difficult since mechanisms of digestion and absorption, as well as nutritional requirements, change during larval development (Dabrowski, 1984). Although inert diets are well ingested at the early stage, larvae can die with guts full of food, suggesting that they are unable to digest compound diets (Cahu and Zambonino Infante, 2001).

Young larvae may have insufficient digestive enzymes to thrive on compound feeds and, thus, exogenous enzymes provided from live prey are necessary for early stages (Dabrowski and Glogowski, 1977; Lauff and Hofer, 1984; Cahu and Zambonino-Infante, 2001). Nutrient leaching is also a major constraint in the production of suitable diets for fish larvae. Particles must be water stable, palatable, and digestible.

In conclusion, *C. gariepinus* larvae appear to grow best on feeds of animal origin and can be weaned to inert diets after a few days on live foods.

References

Adeyemo A.A., Oladosu G.A. and A.O. Ayinla, 1994. Growth and survival of fry of African catfish species, *Clarias gariepinus* Burchell, *Heterobranchus bidorsalis* Geoffrey and *Heteroclarias*, reared on *Moina dubia* in comparison with other first feed sources. *Aquaculture*, 119(1):41-45.

Appelbaum S., 1985. Rearing of the Dover sole, *Solea solea* (L) through its larval stages using artificial diets. *Aquaculture*, 49(3-4):209-221.

Cahu C.L. and J.L. Zambonino Infante, 2001. Substitution of live food by formulated diets in marine fish larvae. *Aquaculture*, 200:161-180.

Cunha I. and M. Planas, 1995. Ingestion rates of turbot larvae (*Scophthalmus maximus* L) using different-sized live prey. *ICES Mar. Sci. Symp.*, 201:16-20.

Dabrowski K., 1984. The feeding of fish larvae: present state of the art and perspectives. *Reprod. Nutr. Dev.*, 24:807-833.

Dabrowski K. and J. Glogowski, 1977. Studies on the role of exogenous proteolytic enzymes in digestion processes in fish. *Hydrobiologia*, 54:129-134.

Dhert P., Lim L.C., Candreva P., Van Duffel H. and P. Sorgeloos, 1997. Possible applications of modern fish larviculture technology to ornamental fish production. *Aquar. Sci. Conserv.*, 1:119-128.

Estevez A., 1996. *Effects of Lipids and Vitamin A on Pigmentation Success of Flatfish*. Ph.D. Thesis, Univ. Kagoshima, Japan. 149 pp.

Fernandez-Diaz C. and M. Yufera, 1997. Detecting growth in gilthead seabream *Sparus aurata* L. larvae fed microcapsules. *Aquaculture*, 134:269-278.

Fernando A.A., Phang V.P.G. and S.Y. Chan, 1991. Diets and feeding regimes of poeciliid fishes in Singapore. *Asian Fish. Sci.*, 4:99-107.

Kruger D.P., Britz P.J. and J. Sales, 2001. The influence of live feed supplementation on growth and reproductive performance of sword tail (*Xiphophorus helleri* Heckel 1848). *Aquar. Sci. Cons*, 3:275-280.

Lauff M. and R. Hofer, 1984. Proteolytic enzymes in fish development and the importance of dietary enzymes. *Aquaculture*, 37:335-346.

Le Millinaire C., Gatesoupe F.J. and G. Stephan, 1983. Approche du besoin quantitatif en acides gras longs polyinsatures de la serie n-3 chez la larve de turbot (*Scophythalmus maximus*). *Comptes Rendus des Seances de l'Academic des Sciences Serie 3*, 296(65):917-920.

Merchie G., Lavens P., and P. Sorgeloos, 1997. Optimization of dietary vitamin C in fish and crustacean larvae: a review. *Aquaculture*, 155:165-181.

Naess T., Germain-Henry M. and K.E. Naas, 1995. First feeding of Atlantic halibut (*Hippoglossus hippoglossus*) using different combinations of *Artemia* and wild zooplankton. *Aquaculture*, 130:235-250.

Panagiotis A.P. and C.N. Neofitou, 2004. Digestibility of nutrients and energy in diets for the African catfish *Clarias gariepinus* (Burchell 1822). *Isr. J. Aquac. - Bamidgeh*, 56(3):176-187.

Pector R., Tackaert W., Abelin P., Ollivier F. and P. Sorgeloos, 1994. A comparative study on the use of different preparations of decapsulated *Artemia* cysts as food for rearing African catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*) larvae. *J. World Aquac. Soc.*, 25:366-370.

Person Le Ruyet J., Alexandre J.C., Thebaud L. and C. Mugnier, 1993. Marine fish larvae: feeding formulated diets or live preys? *J. World Aquac. Soc.*, 24:211-224. **Polo A., Yufera M. and E. Pascual,** 1992. Feeding and growth of gilthead seabream (*Sparus aurata* L) larvae in relation to size of the rotifer strain used as food. *Aquaculture*, 79:157-161.

Rothman R.W., Shireman J.V. and E.P. Lincoln, 1991. Comparison of three live diets and two dry diets for the intensive culture of grass carp and bighead carp larvae. *Aquaculture*, 96(3-4):269-280.

Sorgeloos P., Dhert P. and P. Candreva, 2001. Use of brine shrimp, *Artemia* spp., in marine fish larviculture. *Aquaculture*, 200:147-159.

Steel R.G.D. and J.H. Torrie, 1960. *Principles and Procedures of Statistics*. McGraw, NY.

Takeuchi T., Ohkuma N., Ishida S., Ishizuka W., Tomita M., Hayasawa H. and H. Miyakawa, 1998. Development of micro-particle diet for marine fish larvae. p. 193. In: *Recent Advances in Finfish and Crustacean Nutrition*, 8th Int. Symp. Nutrition and Feeding of Fish. June 1-4, Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Canary Islands, Spain.

Verreth J. and M. Van Tongeren, 1989. Weaning time in *Clarias gariepinus* (Burchell) larvae. *Aquaculture*, 83:81-88.

Verreth J., Storch V. and H. Segner, 1987. A comparative study on the nutritional quality of decapsulated *Artemia* cysts, micro-encapsulated egg diets and enriched dry feeds for *Clarias gariepinus* (Burchell) larvae. *Aquaculture*, 63(1-4):269-282.

Yilmaz E., Akyurt I. and E. Mutlu, 2003. Effects of energetic diets on growth, blood chemistry, and liver pathology of African catfish, *Clarias gariepinus* (Burchell 1822). *Isr. J. Aquac. - Bamidgeh*, 58(3):191-197.