brought to you by

The Open Access Israeli Journal of Aquaculture – Bamidgeh

As from **January 2010** The Israeli Journal of Aquaculture - Bamidgeh (IJA) will be published exclusively as **an on-line Open Access (OA)** quarterly accessible by all AquacultureHub (<u>http://www.aquaculturehub.org</u>) members and registered individuals and institutions. Please visit our website (<u>http://siamb.org.il</u>) for free registration form, further information and instructions.

This transformation from a subscription printed version to an on-line OA journal, aims at supporting the concept that scientific peer-reviewed publications should be made available to all, including those with limited resources. The OA IJA does not enforce author or subscription fees and will endeavor to obtain alternative sources of income to support this policy for as long as possible.

Editor-in-Chief

Dan Mires

Editorial Board

Sheenan Harpaz	Agricultural Research Organization Beit Dagan, Israel
Zvi Yaron	Dept. of Zoology Tel Aviv University Tel Aviv, Israel
Angelo Colorni	National Center for Mariculture, IOLR Eilat, Israel
Rina Chakrabarti	Aqua Research Lab Dept. of Zoology University of Delhi
Ingrid Lupatsch	Swansea University Singleton Park, Swansea, UK
Jaap van Rijn	The Hebrew University Faculty of Agriculture Israel
Spencer Malecha	Dept. of Human Nutrition, Food and Animal Sciences University of Hawaii
Daniel Golani	The Hebrew University of Jerusalem Jerusalem, Israel
Emilio Tibaldi	Udine University Udine, Italy

Published under auspices of **The Society of Israeli Aquaculture and Marine Biotechnology (SIAMB), University of Hawaii at Manoa Library** and **University of Hawaii Aquaculture Program** in association with **AquacultureHub** http://www.aquaculturehub.org







ISSN 0792 - 156X

© Israeli Journal of Aquaculture - BAMIGDEH.

PUBLISHER: Israeli Journal of Aquaculture - BAMIGDEH -Kibbutz Ein Hamifratz, Mobile Post 25210, ISRAEL Phone: + 972 52 3965809 <u>http://siamb.org.il</u>

Copy Editor Ellen Rosenberg

137

GROWTH AND BODY COMPOSITION OF EUROPEAN CATFISH (SILURUS GLANIS L.) FED DIETS CONTAINING DIFFERENT PERCENTAGES OF PROTEIN

Suleyman Bekcan^{1*}, Levent Dogankaya¹ and Gul Celik Cakirogullari²

¹ Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Ankara, 06110 Diskapi, Ankara, Turkey

² Ankara Provincial Control Laboratory, Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs, 06171 Yenimahalle, Ankara, Turkey

(Received 26.1.06, Accepted 8.4.06)

Key words: European catfish, growth, optimal protein requirement, protein level, Silurus glanis, survival

Abstract

European catfish (*Silurus glanis*) were fed anchovy-based diets containing 30, 35, 40, or 44% crude protein to satiation for 112 days. Data were collected to determine the relationship between dietary protein level and mean weight gain, specific growth rate (SGR), feed conversion ratio (FCR), protein efficiency ratio (PER), daily growth index (DGI), average daily growth (ADG), feed efficiency (FE), and survival. The highest mean weight gain and SGR were obtained with the 40% (59.94 g and 0.74, respectively) and 44% (56.15 g and 0.71) diets. The best FCR (0.97), PER (2.62), DGI (2.18), ADG (1.14), and FE (1.04) were obtained with the 40% protein diet (2.75 kcal/g, digestible energy). Survival was 100% in all treatments. Results indicate that the optimum level of dietary protein for European catfish is 40%.

Introduction

The European catfish, *Silurus glanis*, is also known as wels or sheatfish. It has been cultivated extensively in ponds in central and eastern Europe for over 100 years, was introduced in France in the 1950s, and introduced in Spain more recently (Linhart et al., 2002). *Silurus glanis* has very good growth performance when cultured in cages and intensive indoor recy-

cling units (Adamek et al., 1999). Production of farmed European catfish in ten countries (Austria, Bulgaria, Croatia, Czech Republic, France, Hungary, Greece, Macedonia, Poland, and Rumania) averaged approximately 2000 tons in 2002 (Linhart et al., 2002). This species has not yet been cultured in Turkey although it is an economically valuable fish that lives in

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +90-312-5961645; fax: +90-312-3185298; e-mail: bekcan@agri.ankara.edu.tr

almost all inland waters and 912 tons were captured in 2003 (Anon, 2005).

The protein requirements of channel catfish (Ictalurus punctatus), African catfish (Clarias gariepinus), bagrid catfish (Pseudobagrus), walking catfish (Clarias batrachus), and black catfish (Rhamdia quelen) have been well studied. Most commercial catfish diets contain 32% crude protein (NRC, 1993). Yet other concentrations of dietary protein have also been reported. The optimum dietary protein content for black catfish fry is 37% (Salhi et al., 2004). A diet containing 42% protein and 19% lipid is suitable for optimum growth and effective protein utilization in bagrid catfish fingerlings (Kim and Lee, 2005). Hybrid catfish (C. batrachus x C. gariepinus) postlarvae require 35-40% dietary crude protein for maximum growth (Giri et al., 2003). The protein requirement of C. gariepinus and C. isheriensis (30-40 g fingerlings) ranged 37-40% (Hoffman et al., 1997).

The present study was designed to determine the quantitative dietary crude protein requirements for optimum growth of European catfish fingerlings using anchovy-based diets.

Materials and Methods

Experimental fish. Silurus glanis fingerlings from the Keban Dam of the General Directorate of State Hydraulic Works were brought to the laboratory of the Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture of the Faculty of Agriculture at the University of Ankara. The fingerlings were acclimated for one month during which they were fed a commercial salmonid diet to satiation twice per day. Since the nocturnal *S. glanis* may be reluctant to feed during the daytime (Boujard, 1995), fingerlings were accustomed to expect food after knocking on the tanks, a strategy that proved efficient.

Experimental facilities and procedures. The experiment was conducted in 150-l conical fiberglass tanks containing 100 l of water for 112 days. A 14 h light:10 h dark photoperiod was used to simulate the natural light cycle. An aquaponic system (Rakocy et al., 2004) integrating fish culture and plant production was used. The plants (tomatoes and cucumbers), embedded in a gravel filter, extract organic wastes from the water and the purified water is recycled back to the fish tanks at a daily exchange rate of 5% (1-1.5 l/min) of the tank volume. *Nitrosomonas* and *nitrobacter* bacteria were added to the gravel beds to enhance the decomposition of nitrogenous compounds.

Three replicates of 10 fish per tank were established for each treatment. The average individual weight of the fingerlings was 46.4 g at the beginning of the experiment. The length and weight of all fish were measured every two weeks. Fish were anesthetized with 0.05 ml/l Quinaldine (Merck Schuchard, FRG) before weighing. Fish were fed *ad libitum* twice per day with one of four experimental diets based on anchovy fishmeal as the sole protein source (Table 1). Proximate analyses of the moisture, crude protein (N x 6.25), lipid, and ash of the feed were determined in triplicate by standard methods (AOAC, 1997). Digestible energy was calculated from the diet ingredients.

Water quality, tested weekly according to APHA (1980), was 0.12 ± 0.003 ppm total ammonia (NH₃-N), 0.50 ± 0.043 ppm nitrite (NO₂-N), 0.47 ± 0.034 ppm nitrate (NO₃-N), 8.15 ± 0.016 pH, and 6.0 ± 0.058 oxygen. The water temperature was maintained at $27\pm1^{\circ}$ C.

Measurements and calculations. At the end of the experiment, growth performance, body composition, and food utilization were calculated as follows: avg daily growth (ADG%) = 100 [W_t - W_i/W_i x T]; specific growth rate (SGR,%/day) = ([InW_t - InW_i]/T) x 100; daily growth index (DGI) = 100 x [(W_t)^{1/3} - (W_i)^{1/3}]/T; feed efficiency (FE) = wt gain/feed fed; protein efficiency ratio (PER) = (W_t -W_i)/crude protein fed; feed conversion ratio (FCR) = (C x T)/(W_t - W_i); and condition factor (K) = 100 x (wt/length³), where W = weight, W_i = initial weight of fish, W_t = final weight of fish, C = daily food intake, and T = duration.

Statistical analysis. Data were analyzed by analysis of variance (ANOVA) with the SAS package. Duncan's multiple-range test was used to compare differences among individual means. Treatment effects were considered significant at p<0.05. Percentage and ratio data were transformed to arcsine values prior to analysis (Zar, 1984).

		Diet (%	protein)	
	Diet 1 (30)	Diet 2 (35)	Diet 3 (40)	Diet 4 (44)
Ingredient				
Soybean oil cake	40	40	40	40
Fishmeal (anchovy)	12.5	20.5	28.5	35
Wheat	12.5	12.5	12.5	10.5
Cornstarch	20.5	12.5	4.5	-
Wheat bran	5	5	5	5
Gelatin	5	5	5	5
Bentonite	3	3	3	3
Salt	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
Vitamin mix ¹	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
Mineral mix ²	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
Proximate analysis				
Crude protein	29.86	35.22	39.45	43.43
Lipid	1.88	2.41	3.01	2.77
Ash	6.16	6.86	5.76	9.74
Dry matter (% of air-dry wt)	92.37	92.37	92.87	92.47
Digestible energy (DE; Kcal/g diet) ³	2.78	2.76	2.75	2.76
Protein:DE (mg protein/kcal)	107.41	127.61	143.46	157.36

Table 1. Composition and proximate analysis of experimental diets (% of raw material).

¹ Rovimix 123-T 25 K (per 2.5 kg): Vitamin A 12,000,000 UI; vitamin D_3 2,000,000 UI; vitamin E 35,000 mg; vitamin K₃ 4,000 mg; vitamin B₁ 3,000 mg; vitamin B₂ 7,000 mg; vitamin B₆ 5,000 mg; vitamin B₁₂ 15 mg; vitamin C 50,000 mg; niacin 20,000 mg; folic acid 1,000 mg; calcium D-pantothenate 10,000 mg; biotin 45 mg; choline chloride 125,000 mg.

² Remineral S 25K (per kg): Fe 60,000 mg; Cu 5000 mg; Mn 80,000 mg; Co 200 mg; Zn 60,000 mg; I 1,000 mg; Se 150 mg.

³ Based on estimated values of diet ingredients according to NRC (1983, 1993).

Results

The final average weight, mean weight gain, ADG, SGR, PER, DGI, and FE of fish fed diet 3 were significantly higher than those of fish fed diets 1 and 2 but did not significantly differ from those of fish fed diet 4 (Table 2). The feed conversion ratio (FCR) of fish fed diet 3 was significantly lower than that of fish fed diets 1 and 2 but not significantly different from that of fish fed diet 4. The mean initial and final condition factors (K) did not significantly differ among groups. Survival was high for all treatments.

		Diet (% p	notein)	
	Diet 1 (30)	Diet 2 (35)	Diet 3 (40)	Diet 4 (44)
Mean initial wt (g)	46.38±0.907ª	46.44±1.192ª	46.41±1.266a	46.37±1.348ª
Mean final wt (g)	76.42±2.699b	82.37±2.568b	106.35±2.465a	102.52±3.742ª
Mean wt gain (g)	30.04±3.650b	35.93±0.648b	59.94±0.042ª	56.15±0.343ª
Mean wt gain (%) ¹	64.8	77.4	129.2	121.1
Mean initial length (mm)	20.87±0.156ª	20.75±0.181ª	20.72±0.195ª	20.68±0.203ª
Mean final length (mm)	24.92±0.320b	25.64±0.304b	28.01±0.237ª	27.30±0.336ª
Mean initial condition factor (K)	0.51±0.006ª	0.52±0.005a	0.52±0.005ª	0.52±0.008ª
Mean final condition factor (K)	0.49±0.005a	0.49±0.005a	0.48±0.004ª	0.50±0.011a
Food consumed (g) ²	548.36±3.41 ^b	546.78±6.06b	579.03±6.64ª	566.89±6.75ªb
Avg daily growth (ADG; g/fish/day)	0.57±0.067b	0.69±0.015b	1.14±0.004a	1.07±0.008a
Specific growth rate (SGR; %/day)	0.45±0.041 ^b	0.51±0.009b	0.74±0.002ª	0.71±0.004a
Food conversion ratio (FCR) ¹	1.85±0.214ª	1.52±0.011a	0.97±0.010b	1.01±0.018 ^b
Protein efficiency rate (PER) ¹	1.83±0.212 ^b	1.87±0.013b	2.62±0.028ª	2.28±0.041a
Daily growth index (DGI)	1.24±0.126 ^b	1.44±0.025 ^b	2.18±0.0042ª	2.07±0.011a
Feed efficiency (FE) ¹	0.55±0.063b	0.66±0.005b	1.04±0.011a	0.99±0.018ª
Survival (%)	100	100	100	100

Table 2. Growth performance, feed conversion, and protein efficiency of European catfish (Silurus glanis) fingerlings fed diets con-taining different protein levels for 16 weeks.

Bekcan et al.

Values with different superscripts differ significantly (*p*<0.05). ¹ Expressed as the percent of the initial body weight after 16 weeks. ² Moisture-free basis.

140

Discussion

Data from the present study indicate that fish fed diets containing 30% (diet 1) and 35% (diet 2) protein had lower growth performance than those fed diets with 40% (diet 3) and 44% (diet 4) protein. Our weight gain results concur with those found by Soon et al. (2001) who reported that weight gains of 7.6 g bagrid catfish, fed 10 weeks, were 49.3%, 62.9%, 97.6%, 86.6%, 134.7%, 120.6%, and 96.3% with feeds containing 20.2%, 24.4%, 29.5%, 36.8%, 41.0%, 47.1%, and 53.1% protein respectively. Li and Robinson (1998) found that I. punctatus fed diets containing 24% crude protein gained less weight than those fed 32% or 28%. Li and Lovell (1992a) showed that dressing percentage increased as dietary protein increased from 24 to 36% and decreased as dietary protein increased from 36 to 40% in second-year channel catfish (1. punctatus). Similar results were obtained by Li and Lovell (1992b); when the percentage of dietary protein increased from 26 to 32%, the dressing protein percentage increased and when the percentage of dietary protein increased from 32 to 38%, the dressing protein percentage decreased in channel catish grown from 60 g to market size.

In our study, growth declined in fish fed the diet containing more than 40% protein. This result is consistent with findings in salmonids (Arzel et al., 1995) and American eel (Tibbetts et al., 2000), explained by the assumption that dietary protein is not utilized beyond a certain level for metabolic functions such as tissue growth and is, therefore, superfluous.

Our PER, mean weight gain, and SGR values increased as protein levels rose to 40% but decreased beyond that level, in agreement with findings in hybrid *Clarias* catfish (Giri et al., 2003) and black catfish (Salhi et al., 2004). Lower protein levels resulted in decreased PER values in *C. batrachus* fry (Chuapoehuk, 1987) and *C. gariepinus* fingerlings (Degani et al., 1989).

The present study indicates that the best results were obtained with the diet containing 40% protein, similar to results for other catfish species: 35%-40% for hybrid catfish (*C. batrachus* x *C. gariepinus*) postlarvae (Giri et al.,

2003), 37% and 40% respectively for *C. gariepinus* and *C. isheriensis* fingerlings (Hoffman et al., 1997), 40% for *C. batrachus* (Erfanullah and Jafri, 1998), 37% for black catfish fry (Salhi et al., 2004) and 42% for bagrid catfish fingerlings (Kim and Lee, 2005).

References

Adamek Z., Fasaie K. and M.A. Siddiqui, 1999. Prey selectivity in wels (*Silurus glanis*) and African catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*). *Ribarstvo*, 57(2):47-60.

Anon., 2005. *Fishery Statistics 2003.* State Institute of Statistics, Prime Ministry, Republic of Turkey, publ. no. 2937, Ankara.

AOAC, 1997. *Official Methods of Analysis*, 16th ed. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, VA.

APHA, 1980. *Standards Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater*. 15th ed. American Public Health Association, Washington DC.

Arzel J., Metailler R., Kerleguer C., Delliou L.H. and J. Guillaume, 1995. The protein requirement of brown trout (*Salmo trutta*) fry. *Aquaculture*, 130:67-78.

Boujard T., 1995. Diel rhythms of feeding activity in the European catfish, *Silurus glanis*. *Physiol. Behavior*, 58(4):641-645.

Chuapoehuk W., 1987. Protein requirements of walking catfish, *Clarias batrachus* (Linnaeus), fry. *Aquaculture*, 63:215-219.

Degani G., Ben-Zvi Y. and D. Levanon, 1989. The effect of different protein levels and temperatures on feed utilization, growth and body composition of *Clarias gariepinus* (Burchell 1822). *Aquaculture*, 76:293-301.

Erfanullah and A.K. Jafri, 1998. Effect of dietary carbohydrate-to-lipid ratio on growth and body composition of walking catfish (*Clarias batrachus*). *Aquaculture*, 161:159-168.

Giri S.S., Sahoo S.K., Sahu A.K. and P.K. Meher, 2003. Effect of dietary protein level on growth, survival, feed utilisation and body composition of hybrid *Clarias* catfish (*Clarias batrachus* x *Clarias* gariepinus). Anim. Feed Sci. Technol., 104:169-178.

Hoffman L.C., Prinsloo J.F. and G. Rukan,

1997. Partial replacement of fish meal with either soybean meal, brewers yeast or tomato meal in the diets of African sharptooth catfish *Clarias gariepinus. Water SA*, 23(29):181-186.

Kim L.O. and S.M. Lee, 2005. Effects of the dietary protein and lipid levels on growth and body composition of bagrid catfish, *Pseudobagrus fulvidraco. Aquaculture*, 243: 323-329.

Li M. and R.T. Lovell, 1992a. Growth, feed efficiency and body composition of secondand third-year channel catfish fed various concentrations of dietary protein to satiety in production ponds. *Aquaculture*, 103:153-163. Li M. and R.T. Lovell, 1992b. Comparison of satiate feeding and restricted feeding of channel catfish with various concentrations of dietary protein in production ponds. *Aquaculture*, 103:165-175.

Li M.H. and E.H. Robinson, 1998. Effects of supplemental lysine and methionine in low protein diets on weight gain and body composition of young channel catfish *Ictalurus punctatus*. Aquaculture, 163:297-307.

Linhart O., Stech L., Svarc J., Rodina M., Audebert J.P., Grecu J. and R. Billard, 2002. The culture of the European catfish, *Silurus glanis*, in the Czech Republic and in France. *Aquat. Living Resour.*, 15:139-144. **NRC**, 1983. *Nutrient Requirements of Warmwater Fishes and Shellfishes*, revised ed. National Research Council, National Academy Press, Washington DC.

NRC, 1993. *Nutrient Requirements of Fish.* National Research Council, National Academy Press, Washington DC.

Rakocy J.E., Bailey D.S., Shultz R.C. and E.S. Thoman, 2004. Update on tilapia and vegetable production in the UVI Aquaponic System. pp. 676-691. In: *ISTA 6th Int. Symp. Tilapia in Aquaculture*, Vol. 2. Sep. 12-16, 2004, Philippines.

Salhi M., Bessonart M., Chediak G., Bellagamba M. and D. Camevia, 2004. Growth, feed utilization and body composition of black catfish, *Rhamdia quelen*, fry fed diets containing different protein and energy levels. *Aquaculture*, 231:435-444.

Soon S.C. and R. Hashim, 2001. The dietary protein requirement of a bagrid catfish, *Mystus nemurus* (Cuvier & Valenciennes), determined using semipurified diets of varying protein level. *Aquacult. Nutr.*, 7:45-51.

Tibbetts S.M., Lall S.P. and D.M. Anderson, 2000. Dietary protein requirement of juvenile American eel (*Anguilla rostrata*) fed practical diets. *Aquaculture*, 186:145-155.

Zar J.H., 1984. *Biostatistical Analysis*, 2nd ed. Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ. 718 pp.