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# F-STABLE SUBMODULES OF TOP LOCAL COHOMOLOGY MODULES OF GORENSTEIN RINGS 

MORDECHAI KATZMAN


#### Abstract

This paper applies G. Lyubeznik's notion of $F$-finite modules to describe in a very down-to-earth manner certain annihilator submodules of some top local cohomology modules over Gorenstein rings. As a consequence we obtain an explicit description of the test ideal of Gorenstein rings in terms of ideals in a regular ring.


## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ will denote a regular local ring of characteristic $p$, and $A$ will be a surjective image of $R$. We also denote the injective hull of $R / m$ with $E$ and for any $R$-module $N$ we write $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(N, E)$ as $N^{\vee}$. We shall always denote with $f: R \rightarrow R$ the Frobenius map, for which $f(r)=r^{p}$ for all $r \in R$ and we shall denote the $e$ th iterated Frobenius functor over $R$ with $F_{R}^{e}(-)$. As $R$ is regular, $F_{R}^{e}(-)$ is exact (cf. Theorem 2.1 in [K].)

For any commutative ring $S$ of characteristic $p$, the skew polynomial ring $S[T ; f]$ associated to $S$ and the Frobenius map $f$ is a non-commutative ring which as a left $R$-module is freely generated by $\left(T^{i}\right)_{i \geq 0}$, and so consists of all polynomials $\sum_{i=0}^{n} s_{i} T^{i}$, where $n \geq 0$ and $s_{0}, \ldots, s_{n} \in S$; however, its multiplication is subject to the rule

$$
T s=f(s) T=s^{p} T \quad \text { for all } s \in S
$$

Any $A[T ; f]$-module $M$ is a $R[T ; f]$-module in a natural way and, as $R$-modules, $F_{R}^{e}(M) \cong$ $R T^{e} \otimes_{R} M$.

It has been known for a long time that the local cohomology module $\mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{m} A}^{\operatorname{dim}} A(A)$ has the structure of an $A[T ; f]$-module and this fact has been employed by many authors to study problems related to tight closure and to Frobenius closure. Recently R. Y. Sharp has described in [S] the parameter test ideal of $F$-injective rings in terms of certain $A[T ; f]$ submodules of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{m} A}^{\operatorname{dim} A}(A)$ and it is mainly this work which inspired us to look further into the structure of these $A[T ; f]$-modules.

The main aim of this paper is to produce a description of the $A[T ; f]$-submodules of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{m} A}^{\operatorname{dim} A}(A)$ in terms of ideals of $R$ with certain properties. We first do this when $A$ is a
complete intersection. The $F$-injective case is described by Theorem 3.5 and as a corollary we obtain a description of the parameter test ideal of $A$. Notice that for Gorenstein rings the test ideal the parameter test ideal coincide (cf. Proposition 8.23(d) in HH1 and Proposition 4.4(ii) in Sm1.) We then proceed to describe the parameter test ideal in the non- $F$-injective case (Theorem 5.3) We generalise these results to Gorenstein rings in section 6

## 2. Preliminaries: $F$-Finite modules

The main tool used in this paper is the notion of $F$-modules, and in particular $F$-finite modules. These were introduced in G. Lyubeznik's seminal work $L$ ] and provide a very fruitful point of view of local cohomology modules in prime characteristic $p$.

One of the tools introduced in $\left[\mathrm{L}\right.$ is a functor $\mathcal{H}_{R, A}$ from the category of $A[T ; f]$-modules which are Artinian as $A$-modules to the category of $F$-finite modules. For any $A[T ; f]$ module $M$ which is Artinian as an $A$-module the $F$-finite structure of $\mathcal{H}_{R, A}(M)$ is obtained as follows. Let $\gamma: R T \otimes_{R} M \rightarrow M$ be the $R$-linear map defined by $\gamma(r T \otimes m)=r T m$; apply the functor ${ }^{\vee}$ to obtain $\gamma^{\vee}: M^{\vee} \rightarrow F_{R}(M)^{\vee}$. Using the isomorphism between $F_{R}(M)^{\vee}$ and $F_{R}\left(M^{\vee}\right)($ Lemma 4.1 in $\boxed{L})$ we obtain a map $\beta: M^{\vee} \rightarrow F_{R}\left(M^{\vee}\right)$ which we adopt as a generating morphism of $\mathcal{H}_{R, A}(M)$.

We shall henceforth assume that the kernel of the surjection $R \rightarrow A$ is minimally generated by $\mathbf{u}=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$. We shall also assume until section 6 that $A$ is a complete intersection. We shall write $u=u_{1} \cdot \ldots \cdot u_{n}$ and for all $t \geq 1$ we let $\mathbf{u}^{t} R$ be the ideal $u_{1}^{t} R+\cdots+u_{n}^{t} R$.

To obtain the results in this paper we shall need to understand the $F$-finite module structure of

$$
\mathcal{H}_{R, A}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{m} A}^{\operatorname{dim} A}(A)\right) \cong \mathrm{H}_{\mathbf{u} R}^{\operatorname{dim} R-\operatorname{dim} A}(R)
$$

this has generating root

$$
\frac{R}{\mathbf{u} R} \xrightarrow{u^{p-1}} \frac{R}{\mathbf{u}^{p} R}
$$

(cf. Remark 2.4 in L .)

Definition 2.1. Define $\mathcal{J}(R, \mathbf{u})$ to be the set of all ideals $I \subseteq R$ containing $\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) R$ with the property that

$$
u^{p-1}(I+\mathbf{u} R) \subseteq I^{[p]}+\mathbf{u}^{p} R
$$

Lemma 2.2. Consider the $F_{R}$-finite $F$-module $M=H_{\mathbf{u} R}^{n}(R)$ with generating root

$$
\frac{R}{\mathbf{u} R} \xrightarrow{u^{p-1}} \frac{R}{\mathbf{u}^{p} R} .
$$

(a) For any $I \in \mathcal{J}(R, \mathbf{u})$ the $F_{R}$-finite module with generating root

$$
\frac{I+\mathbf{u} R}{\mathbf{u} R} \xrightarrow{u^{p-1}} \frac{I^{[p]}+\mathbf{u}^{p} R}{\mathbf{u}^{p} R} \cong F_{R}\left(\frac{I+\mathbf{u} R}{\mathbf{u} R}\right)
$$

is an $F$-submodule of $M$ and every $F_{R}$-finite $F$-submodule of $M$ arises in this way.
(b) For any $I \in \mathcal{J}(R, \mathbf{u})$ the $F_{R}$-finite module with generating morphism

$$
\frac{R}{I+\mathbf{u} R} \xrightarrow{u^{p-1}} \frac{R}{I^{[p]}+\mathbf{u}^{p} R} \cong F_{R}\left(\frac{R}{I+\mathbf{u} R}\right)
$$

is an $F$-module quotient of $M$ and every $F_{R}$-finite $F$-module quotient of $M$ arises in this way.

Proof. (a) For any $I \in \mathcal{J}(R, \mathbf{u})$, the map

$$
\frac{I+\mathbf{u} R}{\mathbf{u} R} \xrightarrow{u^{p-1}} \frac{I^{[p]}+\mathbf{u}^{p} R}{\mathbf{u}^{p} R}
$$

is well defined and is injective; now the first statement follows from Proposition 2.5(a) in LL. If $N$ is any $F_{R}$-finite $F$-submodule of $M$, the root of $N$ is a submodule of the root of $M$, i.e., the root of $N$ has the form $(I+\mathbf{u} R) / \mathbf{u} R$ for some ideal $I \subseteq R$ (cf. $\llcorner\mathrm{L}$, Proposition $2.5(\mathrm{~b}))$ and the structure morphism of $N$ is induced by that of $M$, i.e., by multiplication by $u^{p-1}$, so we must have $u^{p-1} I \subseteq I^{[p]}+\mathbf{u}^{p} R$, i.e., $I \in \mathcal{J}(R, \mathbf{u})$.
(b) For any $I \in \mathcal{J}(R, \mathbf{u})$, the map

$$
\frac{R}{I+\mathbf{u} R} \stackrel{u^{p-1}}{\longrightarrow} \frac{R}{I^{[p]}+\mathbf{u}^{p} R} \cong F_{R}\left(\frac{R}{I+\mathbf{u} R}\right)
$$

is well defined and we have the following commutative diagram with exact rows


Taking direct limits of the vertical maps we obtain an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M^{\prime} \rightarrow M \rightarrow$ $M^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0$ which establishes the first statement of (b).

Conversely, if $M^{\prime \prime}$ is a $F$-module quotient of $M$, say, $M^{\prime \prime} \cong M / M^{\prime}$ for some $F$-submodule $M^{\prime}$ of $M$ use (a) to find a generating root of $M^{\prime}$ of the form

$$
\frac{I+\mathbf{u} R}{\mathbf{u} R} \xrightarrow{u^{p-1}} \frac{I^{[p]}+\mathbf{u}^{p} R}{\mathbf{u}^{p} R}
$$

for some $I \in \mathcal{J}(R, \mathbf{u})$. Looking again at the direct limits of the vertical maps in (1) we establish the second statement of (b).

Definition 2.3. For all $I \in \mathcal{J}(R, \mathbf{u})$ we define $\mathcal{N}(I)$ to be the $F$-module quotient of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathbf{u} R}^{n}(R)$ with generating morphism

$$
\frac{R}{I+\mathbf{u} R} \xrightarrow{u^{p-1}} \frac{R}{I^{[p]}+\mathbf{u}^{p} R} \cong F_{R}\left(\frac{R}{I+\mathbf{u} R}\right) .
$$

Lemma 2.4. Assume that $R$ is complete. Let $H$ be an Artinian $A[T ; f]$-module and write $M=\mathcal{H}_{R, A}(H)$. Let $N$ be a homomorphic image of $M$ with generating morphism $N_{0}$. Then $N_{0}^{\vee}$ is an $A[T ; f]$-submodule of $H$ and $N \cong \mathcal{H}_{R, A}\left(N_{0}^{\vee}\right)$.

Proof. Notice that $M$ (and hence $N$ ) are $F$-finite modules (cf. [L], Theorems 2.8 and 4.2). Let $N_{0}$ be root of $N$ and $M_{0}$ a root of $M$ so that we have a commutative diagram with exact rows

where the vertical arrows are generating morphisms. Apply the functor $\operatorname{Hom}(-, E)$ to the commutative diagram above to obtain the following commutative diagram with exact rows

and recall that $M_{0}$ is isomorphic to $H^{\vee}$ (cf. [L], Theorem 4.2). Since $R$ is complete, $\left(H^{\vee}\right)^{\vee} \cong$ $H$ and we immediately see that $N_{0}^{\vee}$ is a $R$-submodule of $H$. We now show that $N_{0}^{\vee}$ is an $A[T ; f]$ submodule of $H$ by showing that $T N_{0}^{\vee} \subseteq N_{0}^{\vee}$.

The construction of the functor $\mathcal{H}_{R, A}(-)$ is such that for any $h \in H \cong M_{0}^{\vee}, T h$ is the image of $T \otimes_{R} h$ under the map

$$
F_{R}\left(M_{0}\right)^{\vee} \xrightarrow{\mu^{\vee}} M_{0}^{\vee}
$$

and so for $h \in N_{0}^{\vee}, T h$ is the image of $T \otimes_{R} h$ under the map

$$
F_{R}\left(N_{0}\right)^{\vee} \xrightarrow{\nu^{\vee}} N_{0}^{\vee}
$$

and hence $T h \in N_{0}^{\vee}$.
Now the fact that $N \cong \mathcal{H}_{R, A}\left(N_{0}^{\vee}\right)$ follows the construction of the functor $\mathcal{H}_{R, A}(-)$.

Notation 2.5. Let $M$ be a left $A[T, f]$-module. We shall write $A T^{\alpha} M$ for the $A$-module generated by $T^{\alpha} M$. Note that $A T^{\alpha} M$ is a left $A[T, f]$-module. We shall also write $M^{\star}=$ $\bigcap_{\alpha \geq 0} A T^{\alpha} M$.

Lemma 2.6. Assume that $R$ is complete. Let $H$ be an $A[T ; f]$-module and assume that $H$ is $T$-torsion-free. Let $I, J \subseteq A$ be ideals. If, for some $\alpha \geq 0$,

$$
A T^{\alpha} \operatorname{ann}_{H} I A[T ; f]=A T^{\alpha} \operatorname{ann}_{H} J A[T ; f]
$$

then $\operatorname{ann}_{H} I A[T ; f]=\operatorname{ann}_{H} J A[T ; f]$.

Proof. Both $A T^{\alpha} \operatorname{ann}_{H} I A[T ; f]$ and $A T^{\alpha} \operatorname{ann}_{H} J A[T ; f]$ are left $A[T ; f]$-submodules. Now for every $T$-torsion-free $A[T ; f]$-module $M$, and every ideal $K \subseteq A$, if

$$
\left(\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} K T^{i}\right) A T^{\alpha} M=\left(\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} K T^{i+\alpha}\right) M
$$

vanishes then so does

$$
\left(\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} K^{\left[p^{\alpha}\right]} T^{i+\alpha}\right) M=\left(\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} T^{\alpha} K T^{i}\right) M=T^{\alpha}\left(\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} K T^{i}\right) M
$$

and since $M$ is $T$-torsion-free,

$$
\left(\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} K T^{i}\right) M=0
$$

We deduce that gr-ann $A T^{\alpha} M=$ gr-ann $M$. Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { gr-ann } A T^{\alpha}\left(\operatorname{ann}_{H} I A[T ; f]\right)=\text { gr-annann } \operatorname{ang}_{H} I A[T ; f], \\
& \operatorname{gr-ann} A T^{\alpha}\left(\operatorname{ann}_{H} J A[T ; f]\right)=\text { gr-annann} H \\
&
\end{aligned}
$$

and Lemma 1.7 in [ $\underline{S}$ shows that $\operatorname{ann}_{H} I A[T ; f]=\operatorname{ann}_{H} J A[T ; f]$.
3. The $A[T ; f]$ module structure of top local cohomology modules of $F$-Injective Gorenstein Rings

Definition 3.1. As in Sm1 we say that an ideal $I \subseteq A$ is an $F$-ideal if $\operatorname{ann}_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathbf{m} A}^{\operatorname{dim}(A)}(A)} I$ is a left $A[T ; f]$-module, i.e., if $\operatorname{ann}_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{m} A}^{\operatorname{dim}(A)}(A)} I=\operatorname{ann}_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{m} A}^{\mathrm{dim}(A)}(A)} I A[T ; f]$.

Theorem 3.2. Assume that $R$ is complete. Consider the $F_{R}$-finite $F$-module $M=\mathrm{H}_{\mathbf{u} R}^{n}(R)$ with generating root

$$
\frac{R}{\mathbf{u} R} \xrightarrow{u^{p-1}} \frac{R}{\mathbf{u}^{p} R}
$$

and consider the Artinian $A[T ; f]$ module $H=H_{\mathfrak{m} A}^{\operatorname{dim}(A)}(A)$. Let $N$ be a homomorphic image of $M$.
(a) $M=\mathcal{H}_{R, A}(-)(H)$ and has generating root $H^{\vee} \cong R / \mathbf{u} R \xrightarrow{u^{p-1}} R / \mathbf{u}^{p} R \cong F_{R}\left(H^{\vee}\right)$.
(b) If $N$ has generating morphism

$$
\frac{R}{I+\mathbf{u} R} \xrightarrow{u^{p-1}} \frac{R}{I^{[p]}+\mathbf{u}^{p} R}
$$

then $I A$ is an $F$-ideal, $N \cong \mathcal{H}_{R, A}\left(\operatorname{ann}_{H} I A[T ; f]\right)$. If, in addition, $H$ is $T$-torsion free then gr-ann $\operatorname{ann}_{H} I A[T ; f]=I A[T ; f]$ and $I$ is radical.
(c) Assume that $H$ is $T$-torsion free (i.e., $H_{r}=H$ in the terminology of [L]). For any ideal $J \subset R$, the $F$-finite module $\mathcal{H}_{R, A}\left(\operatorname{ann}_{H} J A[T ; f]\right)$ has generating morphism

$$
\frac{R}{I+\mathbf{u} R} \xrightarrow{u^{p-1}} \frac{R}{I^{[p]}+\mathbf{u}^{p} R}
$$

for some ideal $I \in \mathcal{J}(R, \mathbf{u})$ with $\operatorname{ann}_{H} I A[T ; f]=\operatorname{ann}_{H} J A[T ; f]$.

Proof. The first statement is a restatement of the discussion at the beginning of section 2,
Notice that Lemma 2.2 implies that $N$ must have a generating morphism of the form given in (b) for some $I \in \mathcal{J}(R, \mathbf{u})$.

Since $A$ is Gorenstein, $H$ is an injective hull of $A / \mathfrak{m} A$ which we denote $\bar{E}$. Lemma 2.4 implies that $N \cong \mathcal{H}_{R, A}(L)$ where $L=\left(\frac{R}{I+\mathbf{u} R}\right)^{\vee}$ is a $A[T ; f]$-submodule of $H=\bar{E}$. But

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{R}{I+\mathbf{u} R}\right)^{\vee} & =\operatorname{ann}_{E}(I+\mathbf{u} R) \\
& =\operatorname{ann}_{\left(\operatorname{ann}_{\mathbf{u} R} E\right)} I \\
& =\operatorname{ann}_{\bar{E}} I
\end{aligned}
$$

But $L$ is a $A[T ; f]$-submodule of $\bar{E}$ and so $I A$ is an $F$-ideal and $L=\operatorname{ann} \bar{E} I A[T ; f]$. Also,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(0:_{R} \operatorname{ann}_{\bar{E}} I A[T ; f]\right) & =\left(0:_{R} \operatorname{ann}_{E} I\right) \\
& =\left(0:_{R}(R / I)^{\vee}\right) \\
& =\left(0:_{R}(R / I)\right) \\
& =I
\end{aligned}
$$

(where the third equality follows from 10.2 .2 in $[\mathrm{BS}]$ ) If $H$ is $T$-torsion free, Proposition 1.11 in [] implies that $I=$ gr-ann $\operatorname{ann}_{\bar{E}} I A[T ; f]$ and Lemma 1.9 in [S] implies that $I$ is radical.

To prove part (c) we recall Lemma 2.2 which states that $\mathcal{H}_{R, A}\left(\operatorname{ann}_{H} J A[T ; f]\right)$ has generating morphism

$$
\frac{R}{I+\mathbf{u} R} \xrightarrow{u^{p-1}} \frac{R}{I^{[p]}+\mathbf{u}^{p} R}
$$

for some $I \in \mathcal{J}(R, \mathbf{u})$ and we need only show that $\operatorname{ann}_{H} I A[T ; f]=\operatorname{ann}_{H} J A[T ; f]$.

Part (b) implies that $\mathcal{H}_{R, A}\left(\operatorname{ann}_{H} J A[T ; f]\right)=\mathcal{H}_{R, A}\left(\operatorname{ann}_{H} I A[T ; f]\right)$ for some $I \in \mathcal{J}(R, \mathbf{u})$ and Theorem 4.2 (iv) in L implies

$$
\bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} A T^{i}\left(\operatorname{ann}_{H} J A[T ; f]\right)=\bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} A T^{i}\left(\operatorname{ann}_{H} I A[T ; f]\right)
$$

and since $H$ is Artinian there exists an $\alpha \geq 0$ for which $A T^{\alpha}\left(\operatorname{ann}_{H} J A[T ; f]\right)=A T^{\alpha}\left(\operatorname{ann}_{H} I A[T ; f]\right)$ and the result follows from Lemma 2.6

Remark 3.3. Theorem 3.2 can provide an easy way to show that $H=\mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{m} A}^{\operatorname{dim}(A)}(A)$ is not $T$-torsion free. As an example consider $R=\mathbb{K} \llbracket x, y, a, b \rrbracket, u=x^{2} a-y^{2} b$ and $A=R / u R$. Its easy to verify that $\left(x, y, a^{2}\right) R \in \mathcal{J}\left(R, x^{2} a-y^{2} b\right)$ when $\mathbb{K}$ has characteristic 2 , and we deduce that $\mathrm{H}_{(x, y, a, b) A}^{3}(A)$ is not $T$-torsion free.

Theorem 3.4. Assume that $R$ is complete and that $\mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{m} A}^{\operatorname{dim}(A)}(A)$ is $T$-torsion free.
(a) For all $A[T ; f]$-submodules $L$ of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{m} A}^{\operatorname{dim}(A)}(A)$,

$$
L^{\star}=\bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} A T^{i} L
$$

has the form $A T^{\alpha} M$ where $\alpha \geq 0$ and $M$ is a special annihilator submodule in the terminology of [S].
(b) The set $\{\mathcal{N}(I) \mid I \in \mathcal{J}(R, \mathbf{u})\}$ is finite.

Proof. (a) Let $L$ be a $A[T ; f]$-submodule of $H_{\mathfrak{m} A}^{\operatorname{dim}(A)}(A)$. Pick a $I \in \mathcal{J}(R, \mathbf{u})$ such that $\mathcal{N}(I)=$ $\mathcal{H}_{R, A}(L)$. Now use part (b) of Theorem 3.2 and deduce that $\mathcal{N}(I) \cong \mathcal{H}_{R, A}\left(\operatorname{ann}_{H} I A[T ; f]\right)$. Now the result follows from Theorem 4.2 (iv) in LL.
(b) Theorem 3.2 (b) implies that

$$
\{\mathcal{N}(I) \mid I \in \mathcal{J}(R, \mathbf{u})\}=\left\{\mathcal{H}_{R, A}\left(\operatorname{ann}_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{m} A}^{\operatorname{dim} A}(A)} I A[T ; f]\right) \mid I \in \mathcal{J}(R, \mathbf{u})\right\}
$$

now Corollary 3.11 and Proposition 1.11 in $\underline{\underline{S}}$ imply that the set on the right is finite.

The following Theorem reduces the problem of classifying all $F$-ideals of $A$ (in the terminology of [Sm1]) or all special $H_{\mathfrak{m} A}^{\operatorname{dim}(A)}(A)$-ideals (in the terminology of [S]) in the case where $A$ is an $F$-injective complete intersection, to problem of determining the set $\mathcal{J}(R, \mathbf{u})$.

Theorem 3.5. Assume $H:=\operatorname{H}_{\mathfrak{m} A}^{\operatorname{dim}(A)}(A)$ is $T$-torsion free and let $\mathcal{B}$ be the set of all $H$-special $A$-ideals (cf. §0 in [S])
(a) The map $\Psi: \mathcal{J}(R, \mathbf{u}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ given by $\Psi(I)=I A$ is a bijection.
(b) There exists a unique minimal element $\tau$ in $\{I \mid I \in \mathcal{J}(R, \mathbf{u})$, ht $I A>0\}$ and that $\tau$ is a parameter-test-ideal for $A$.
(c) $A$ is $F$-rational if and only if $\mathcal{J}(R, \mathbf{u})=\{0, R\}$.

Proof. (a) Assume first that $R$ is complete. Theorem 3.2(b) implies that $\Psi$ is well defined, i.e., $\Psi(I) \in \mathbf{B}$ for all $I \in \mathcal{J}(R, \mathbf{u})$, and, clearly, $\Psi$ is injective. The surjectivity of $\Psi$ is a consequence of Theorem 3.2 (c).

Assume now that $R$ is not complete, denote completions with $\widehat{\text { and write }} \widehat{H}=\mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{m} \widehat{A}}^{\operatorname{dim}(\widehat{A})}(\widehat{A})$. If $I$ is a $\widehat{H}$-special $\widehat{A}$-ideal, i.e., if there exists an $\widehat{A}[T ; f]$-submodule $N \subseteq \widehat{H}$ such that gr-ann $N=I \widehat{A}[T ; f]$ then $I=\left(0:_{\widehat{A}} N\right)$ (cf. Definition 1.10 in [S]). But recall that $\widehat{H}=H$ and $N$ is a $A[T ; f]$-submodule of $H$; now $I=\left(0:_{\widehat{A}} N\right)=\left(0:_{A} N\right) \widehat{A}$. If we let $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}$ be the set of $H_{\mathfrak{m} \widehat{A}}^{\operatorname{dim}(\widehat{A})}(\widehat{A})$-special $\widehat{A}$-ideals, we have a bijection $\Upsilon: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{B}}$ mapping $I$ to $I \widehat{A}$. This also shows that all ideals in $\mathcal{J}(\widehat{R}, \mathbf{u})$ are expanded from $R$, and now since $\widehat{R}$ is faithfully flat over $R$, we deduce that all ideals in $\mathcal{J}(\widehat{R}, \mathbf{u})$ have the form $I \widehat{R}$ for some $I \in \mathcal{J}(R, \mathbf{u})$. We now obtain a chain of bijections

$$
\mathcal{J}(R, \mathbf{u}) \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{J}(\widehat{R}, \mathbf{u}) \longleftrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{B}} \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{B}
$$

(b) This is immediate from (a) and Corollary 4.7 in [S.
(c) If $A$ is $F$-rational, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{m} A}^{\operatorname{dim}(A)}(A)$ is a simple $A[T ; f]$-module (cf. Theorem 2.6 in Sm2]) and the only $H$-special $A$-ideals must be 0 and $A$. The bijection established in (a) implies now $\mathcal{J}(R, \mathbf{u})=\{0, R\}$.

Conversely, if $\mathcal{J}(R, \mathbf{u})=\{0, R\}$, part (b) of the Theorem implies that $1 \in A$ is a parameter-test-ideal, i.e., for all systems of parameters $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)$ of $A,(\mathbf{x} A)^{*}=(\mathbf{x} A)^{F}=\mathbf{x} A$ where the second equality follows from the fact that $\mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{m} A}^{\operatorname{dim}(A)}(A)$ is $T$-torsion free.

## 4. Examples

Throughout this section $\mathbb{K}$ will denote a field of prime characteristic.

Example 4.1. Let $R$ be the localization of $\mathbb{K}[x, y]$ at $(x, y), u=x y$ and $A=R / u R$. Then $\mathrm{H}_{x y R}^{1}(R)=\mathcal{H}_{R, A}\left(\mathrm{H}_{x A+y A}^{1}(A)\right)$ ought to have four proper $F$-finite $F$-submodules corresponding to the elements $0, x R, y R$ and $x R+y R$ of $\mathcal{J}(R, x y)$.

We verify this by giving an explicit description the $A[T ; f]$-module structure of

$$
H:=\mathrm{H}_{x A+y A}^{1}(A) \cong \underline{\lim }\left(\frac{A}{(x-y) A} \stackrel{x-y}{\longrightarrow} \frac{A}{(x-y)^{2} A} \xrightarrow{x-y} \frac{A}{(x-y)^{3} A} \xrightarrow{x-y} \ldots\right)
$$

First notice that in $H$, for all $n \geq 1$ and $0<\alpha \leq n, x^{\alpha}+(x-y)^{n} A=x+(x-y)^{n-\alpha+1}$ and $y^{\alpha}+(x-y)^{n} A=y+(x-y)^{n-\alpha+1}$ so $H$ is the $\mathbb{K}$-span of $\{x+(x-y) A\} \cup X \cup Y \cup U$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X=\left\{x+(x-y)^{n} A \mid n \geq 2\right\}, \\
& Y=\left\{y+(x-y)^{n} A \mid n \geq 2\right\}, \\
& U=\left\{1+(x-y)^{n} A \mid n \geq 1\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and notice also that the action of the Frobenius map $f$ on $H$ is such that $T\left(x^{\alpha}+(x-y)^{n} A\right)=$ $x^{\alpha p}+(x-y)^{n p} A$ and $T\left(y^{\alpha}+(x-y)^{n} A\right)=y^{\alpha p}+(x-y)^{n p} A$ for all $\alpha \geq 0$.

Next notice that any $A[T, f]$-submodule $M$ of $H$ which contains an element $1+(x-$ $y)^{n} A \in U$ must coincide with $H$ : for $1 \leq m<n$ we have $(x-y)^{n-m}\left(1+(x-y)^{n} A\right)=$ $(x-y)^{n-m}+(x-y)^{n} A=1+(x-y)^{m} A$, whereas for $m>n$, pick an $e \geq 0$ such that $n p^{e}>m$, write

$$
T^{e}\left(1+(x-y)^{n} A\right)=1+(x-y)^{n p^{e}} A \in M
$$

and use the previous case $(m<n)$ to deduce that $1+(x-y)^{m} A \in M$. Since now $U \subseteq M$, we see that $M=H$.

We now show that there are only three non-trivial $A[T, f]$-submodules of $H$, namely $\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{K}} X$ and $\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{K}} Y$, and $\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{K}}\{x+(x-y) A\} \cup X$. By symmetry, it is enough to show that, if $M$ is an $A[T, f]$-submodule of $H$ and $x+(x-y)^{n} A \in M$ for some $n \geq 2$, then $X \subset M$. If $1 \leq m<n$,

$$
x^{n-m}\left(x+(x-y)^{n} A\right)=x^{n-m+1}+(x-y)^{n} A=x+(x-y)^{n-(n-m)} A=x+(x-y)^{m} A
$$

whereas, if $m>n \geq 2$, pick an $e \geq 0$ such that $n p^{e}-p^{e}+1>m$ and write

$$
T^{e}\left(x+(x-y)^{n} A\right)=x^{p^{e}}+(x-y)^{n p^{e}} A=x+(x-y)^{n p^{e}-p^{e}+1} A \in M
$$

and using the previous case ( $m<n$ ) we deduce that $x+(x-y)^{m} A \in M$.
Example 4.2. Let $R$ be the localization of $\mathbb{K}[x, y, z]$ at $\mathfrak{m}=(x, y, z), u=x^{2} y+x y z+z^{3}$ and $A=R / u R$. Fedder's criterion (cf. Propositon 2.1 in (F) implies that $A$ is $F$-pure, and Lemma 3.3 in [ $\mathbb{F}]$ implies that the $A[T ; f]$ module $\mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{m} A}^{1}(A)$ is $T$-torsion-free.

Here $\mathcal{J}(R, u)$ contains the ideals $0, x R+z R$ and $x R+y R+z R$. We deduce that $A$ is not $F$-rational and that its parameter-test-ideal is $x R+z R$. Also, Theorem 3.5(b) implies that the only proper ideals in $\mathcal{J}(R, u)$ are the ones listed above.

Example 4.3. Let $R$ be the localization of $\mathbb{K}[x, y, z]$ at $\mathfrak{m}=(x, y, z)$ and assume that $\mathbb{K}$ has characteristic 2. Let $u=x^{3}+y^{3}+z^{3}+x y z$ and $A=R / u R$. Notice that we can factor
$u=(x+y+z)\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}+x y+x z+y z\right)$. Fedder's criterion implies that $A$ is $F$-pure, and Lemma 3.3 in $[\mathbf{F}]$ implies that the $A[T ; f]$ module $\mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{m} A}^{1}(A)$ is $T$-torsion-free.

Here

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}(R, u) \supseteq \quad & \left\{0,(x+y+z) R,\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}+x y+x z+y z\right) R\right. \\
& (x+z, y+z) R,\left(x+y+z, y^{2}+y z+z^{2}\right) R \\
& (x, y, z) R\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The images in $A$ of the first three ideals have height zero while the images in $A$ of the fourth and fifth ideals have height 1. Using 3.5(b) we conclude that that the parameter test-ideal of $A$ is a sub-ideal of

$$
J=(x+z, y+z) A \cap\left(x+y+z, y^{2}+y z+z^{2}\right) A=\left(x^{2}+y x, y^{2}+x z, z^{2}+x y\right) A
$$

But this ideal defines the singular locus of $A$ and Theorem 6.2 in HH2 implies that the parameter test-element of $A$ contains $J$, so $J$ is the parameter test-ideal of $A$.

## 5. The non-F-InJective case

In this section we extend the results of the previous section to the case where $A$ is not $F$-injective. First we produce a criterion for the $F$-injectivity of $A$.

Definition 5.1. Define

$$
\mathcal{J}_{0}(R, \mathbf{u})=\left\{L \in \mathcal{J}(R, \mathbf{u}) \mid u^{(p-1)\left(1+p+\cdots+p^{e-1}\right)} \in L^{\left[p^{e}\right]}+\mathbf{u}^{p^{e}} R \text { for some } e \geq 1\right\}
$$

Proposition 5.2. (a) For any $L \in \mathcal{J}(R, \mathbf{u}), \mathcal{N}(L)=0$ if and only if $L \in \mathcal{J}_{0}(R, \mathbf{u})$.
(b) $\mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{m} A}^{\operatorname{dim}(A)}(A)$ is $T$-torsion free if and only if $\mathcal{J}_{0}(R, \mathbf{u})=\{R\}$.

Proof. (a) Recall that the $F$-finite module $\mathcal{N}(L)$ has generating morphism

$$
\frac{R}{L+\mathbf{u} R} \xrightarrow{u^{p-1}} \frac{R}{L^{[p]}+\mathbf{u}^{p} R} \cong F_{R}\left(\frac{R}{L+\mathbf{u} R}\right)
$$

Proposition 2.3 in [ $\llcorner$ implies that $\mathcal{N}(L)=0$ if and only if for some $e \geq 1$ the composition

$$
\frac{R}{L+\mathbf{u} R} \stackrel{u^{p-1}}{\longrightarrow} \frac{R}{L^{[p]}+\mathbf{u}^{p} R} \xrightarrow{u^{(p-1) p}} \frac{R}{L^{[p]}+\mathbf{u}^{p^{2}} R} \ldots \xrightarrow{u^{(p-1) p^{e-1}}} \frac{R}{L^{[p]}+\mathbf{u}^{p^{e}} R}
$$

vanishes, i.e., if and only if $u^{(p-1)\left(1+p+\cdots+p^{e-1}\right)} \in L^{\left[p^{e}\right]}+\mathbf{u}^{p^{e}} R$ for some $e \geq 1$.
(b) Write $H=\mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{m} A}^{\operatorname{dim}(A)}(A)$. If $H$ is $T$-torsion free, the existence of the bijection described in Theorem3.5(a) implies that for any non-unit $L \in \mathcal{J}_{0}(R, \mathbf{u})$, ann $_{H} L A[T ; f] \neq \operatorname{ann}_{H} A[T ; f]=$ 0. Theorem 3.2 b) implies $\mathcal{N}(L) \cong \mathcal{H}_{R, A}\left(\operatorname{ann}_{H} L A[T ; f]\right)$ so $\mathcal{H}_{R, A}\left(\operatorname{ann}_{H} L A[T ; f]\right)=0$. But Theorem 4.2(ii) in $\underline{L}$ now implies that $\operatorname{ann}_{H} L A[T ; f]$ is nilpotent, a contradiction.

Assume now that $H$ is not $T$-torsion free, i.e., $H_{n} \neq 0$. The short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow H_{n} \rightarrow H \rightarrow H / H_{n} \rightarrow 0
$$

yields the short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow\left(H / H_{n}\right)^{\vee} \rightarrow \frac{R}{\mathbf{u} R} \rightarrow H_{n}^{\vee} \rightarrow 0
$$

Notice that as the functor $\operatorname{Hom}(-, E)$ is faithful, $H_{n}^{\vee} \neq 0$, and so $H_{n}^{\vee} \cong R / I$ for some ideal $\mathbf{u} R \subseteq I \varsubsetneqq R$. Now $\mathcal{H}_{R, A}\left(H_{n}\right)$ is the $F$-finite quotient of $H$ with generating morphism

$$
\frac{R}{I} \xrightarrow{u^{p-1}} \frac{R}{I^{[p]}}
$$

and this vanishes because of Theorem 4.2(ii) in 【】, i.e., $I \in \mathcal{J}_{0}(R, \mathbf{u})$.
We now describe the parameter test ideal of $A$. Henceforth we shall always denote $\mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{m} A}^{\operatorname{dim}(A)}(A)$ with $H$.

Theorem 5.3. Assume that $R$ is complete. The parameter test ideal of $A$ is given by

$$
\bigcap\{I \in \mathcal{J}(R, \mathbf{u}) \mid \operatorname{ht} I A>0\} .
$$

Proof. Write $\bar{\tau}$ for the parameter test ideal of $A$ and let $\tau$ be its pre-image in $R$. Recall that $\bar{\tau}$ is an $F$-ideal (Proposition 4.5 in Sm1],) i.e., $\operatorname{ann}_{H} \bar{\tau}$ is an $A[T ; f]$-submodule of $H$, and $\mathcal{H}_{R, A}\left(\operatorname{ann}_{H} \bar{\tau}\right)$ has generating morphism

$$
\left(\operatorname{ann}_{H} \bar{\tau}\right)^{\vee} \xrightarrow{u^{p-1}} F_{R}\left(\left(\operatorname{ann}_{H} \bar{\tau}\right)^{\vee}\right)
$$

But

$$
\left(\operatorname{ann}_{H} \bar{\tau}\right)^{\vee} \cong\left((A / \bar{\tau})^{\vee}\right)^{\vee} \cong R /(\tau+\mathbf{u} R)
$$

so the generating morphism of $\mathcal{H}_{R, A}\left(\operatorname{ann}_{H} \bar{\tau}\right)$ is

$$
R /(\tau+\mathbf{u} R) \xrightarrow{u^{p-1}} R /\left(\tau^{[p]}+\mathbf{u}^{p} R\right)
$$

and so we must have $\tau \in \mathcal{J}(R, \mathbf{u})$.
As $A$ is Cohen-Macaulay, $\bar{\tau}=\left(0:_{A} 0_{H}^{*}\right)$ (cf. Proposition 4.4 in Sm1.)
By Theorem 3.2 (b), for each $I \in \mathcal{J}(R, \mathbf{u})$, the ideal $I A$ is an $F$-ideal and, if ht $I>0$, $\operatorname{ann}_{H} I A=\operatorname{ann}_{H} I A[T ; f] \subseteq 0_{H}^{*}$ and so

$$
\bar{\tau}=\left(0:_{A} 0_{H}^{*}\right) \subseteq \bigcap\left\{\left(0:_{A} \operatorname{ann}_{H} I A\right) \mid I A \in \mathcal{J}(R, \mathbf{u}), \text { ht } I A>0\right\} .
$$

But $H$ is an injective hull of $A / \mathfrak{m} A$ so

$$
\left(0:_{A} \operatorname{ann}_{H} I A\right)=\left(0:_{A} \operatorname{Hom}(A / I A, H)\right)=\left(0:_{A} A / I A\right)=I A
$$

and

$$
\bar{\tau} \subseteq \bigcap\{I A \mid I A \in \mathcal{J}(R, \mathbf{u}), \text { ht } I A>0\}
$$

But as $\bar{\tau}$ is one of the ideals in this intersection, we obtain $\bar{\tau}=\bigcap\{I A \in \mathcal{J}(R, \mathbf{u}) \mid$ ht $I A>$ $0\}$.

## 6. The Gorenstein case

In this section we generalise the results so far to the case where $A$ is Gorenstein.
Write $\delta=\operatorname{dim} R-\operatorname{dim} A$ and $\bar{E}=E_{A}(A / \mathfrak{m} A)$. Local duality implies $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{\delta}(A, R)=$ $\mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\operatorname{dim} A}(A)^{\vee} \cong \operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{m} A}^{\operatorname{dim} A}(A), \bar{E}\right)$ and since $A$ is Gorenstein this is just $A=R / \mathbf{u} R$.

Now $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{\delta}(R / \mathbf{u} R, A) \cong R / \mathbf{u} R, \operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{\delta}\left(R / \mathbf{u}^{p} R, A\right) \cong R / \mathbf{u}^{p} R$ and $\mathcal{H}_{R, A}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{m} A}^{\operatorname{dim} A}\right)=\mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\delta}(R)$ has generating morphism $R / \mathbf{u} \rightarrow R / \mathbf{u}^{p} R$ given by multiplication by some element of $R$ which we denote $\varepsilon(\mathbf{u})$ (this is unique up to multiplication by a unit.) Unlike the complete intersection case, the map $R / \mathbf{u} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon(\mathbf{u})} R / \mathbf{u}^{p} R$ may not be injective, i.e., this generating morphism of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\delta}(R)$ is not a root. However, if define

$$
K_{\mathbf{u}}:=\bigcup_{e \geq 0}\left(\mathbf{u}^{p^{e+1}} R:_{R} \varepsilon(\mathbf{u})^{1+p+\cdots+p^{e}}\right)
$$

we obtain a root $R / K_{\mathbf{u}} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon(\mathbf{u})} R / K_{\mathbf{u}}^{[p]}$ (cf. Proposition 2.3 in Lـ.)
We now extend naturally our definition of $\mathcal{J}(R, \mathbf{u})$ when $A$ is Gorenstein as follows.

Definition 6.1. If $A=R / \mathbf{u} R$ is Gorenstein we define $\mathcal{J}(R, \mathbf{u})$ to be the set of all ideals $I$ of $R$ containing $K_{\mathbf{u}}$ for which $\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}) I \subseteq I^{[p]}$.

Now a routine modification of the proofs of the previous sections gives the following two theorems.

Theorem 6.2. Assume $A$ is Gorenstein and that $H_{\mathfrak{m} A}^{\operatorname{dim} A}(A)$ is $T$-torsion-free.
(a) The map $I \mapsto I A$ is a bijection between $\mathcal{J}(R, \mathbf{u})$ and the $A$-special $H_{\mathfrak{m} A}^{\operatorname{dim} A}(A)$-ideals.
(b) There exists a unique minimal element $\tau$ in $\{I \mid I \in \mathcal{J}(R, \mathbf{u})$, ht $I A>0\}$ and that $\tau$ is a parameter-test-ideal for $A$.
(c) $A$ is $F$-rational if and only if $\mathcal{J}(R, \mathbf{u})=\{0, R\}$.

Theorem 6.3. Assume that $R$ is complete and that $A$ is Gorenstein. The parameter test ideal of $A$ is given by

$$
\bigcap\{I \in \mathcal{J}(R, \mathbf{u}) \mid \text { ht } I A>0\}
$$
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