



# Real-Parameter Black-Box Optimization Benchmarking 2009: Noisy Functions Definitions

Nikolaus Hansen, Steffen Finck, Raymond Ros, Anne Auger

## ► To cite this version:

Nikolaus Hansen, Steffen Finck, Raymond Ros, Anne Auger. Real-Parameter Black-Box Optimization Benchmarking 2009: Noisy Functions Definitions. [Research Report] RR-6869, INRIA. 2009. inria-00369466

**HAL Id: inria-00369466**

**<https://hal.inria.fr/inria-00369466>**

Submitted on 20 Mar 2009

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



INSTITUT NATIONAL DE RECHERCHE EN INFORMATIQUE ET EN AUTOMATIQUE

***Real-Parameter Black-Box Optimization  
Benchmarking 2009: Noisy Functions Definitions***

Nikolaus Hansen — Steffen Finck — Raymond Ros — Anne Auger

**N° 6869**

March 2009

Thème COG

**R** *apport  
de recherche*

## Real-Parameter Black-Box Optimization Benchmarking 2009: Noisy Functions Definitions

Nikolaus Hansen<sup>\*</sup>, Steffen Finck<sup>†</sup>, Raymond Ros<sup>‡</sup>, Anne Auger<sup>§</sup>

Thème COG — Systèmes cognitifs  
Équipes-Projets Adaptive Combinatorial Search et TAO

Rapport de recherche n° 6869 — March 2009 — 13 pages

**Abstract:** Quantifying and comparing performance of optimization algorithms is one important aspect of research in search and optimization. However, this task turns out to be tedious and difficult to realize even in the single-objective case – at least if one is willing to accomplish it in a scientifically decent and rigorous way. The BBOB 2009 workshop will furnish most of this tedious task for its participants: (1) choice and implementation of a well-motivated real-parameter benchmark function testbed, (2) design of an experimental set-up, (3) generation of data output for (4) post-processing and presentation of the results in graphs and tables. What remains to be done for the participants is to allocate CPU-time, run their favorite black-box real-parameter optimizer in a few dimensions a few hundreds of times and execute the provided post-processing script afterwards. In this report, the testbed of noisy functions is defined and motivated.

**Key-words:** optimization, evolutionary algorithms, benchmarking, black-box

<sup>\*</sup> NH is with the Microsoft Research–INRIA Joint Centre, 28 rue Jean Rostand, 91893 Orsay Cedex, France

<sup>†</sup> SF is with the Research Center PPE, University of Applied Science Vorarlberg, Hochschulstrasse 1, 6850 Dornbirn, Austria

<sup>‡</sup> RR is with the Univ. Paris-Sud, LRI, UMR 8623 / INRIA Saclay, projet TAO, F-91405 Orsay, France.

<sup>§</sup> AA is with the TAO Team, INRIA Saclay, Université Paris Sud, LRI, 91405 Orsay cedex, France

## Contents

<b>0</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>4</b>
0.1	Symbols and Definitions . . . . .	4
0.2	General Setup . . . . .	4
0.3	Noise Models . . . . .	5
<b>1</b>	<b>Functions with moderate noise</b>	<b>7</b>
1.1	Sphere . . . . .	7
1.1.1	101 Sphere with moderate gaussian noise . . . . .	7
1.1.2	102 Sphere with moderate uniform noise . . . . .	7
1.1.3	103 Sphere with moderate seldom cauchy noise . . . . .	7
1.2	Rosenbrock . . . . .	7
1.2.1	104 Rosenbrock with moderate gaussian noise . . . . .	7
1.2.2	105 Rosenbrock with moderate uniform noise . . . . .	7
1.2.3	106 Rosenbrock with moderate seldom cauchy noise . . . . .	7
<b>2</b>	<b>Functions with severe noise</b>	<b>8</b>
2.1	Sphere . . . . .	8
2.1.1	107 Sphere with gaussian noise . . . . .	8
2.1.2	108 Sphere with uniform noise . . . . .	8
2.1.3	109 Sphere with seldom cauchy noise . . . . .	8
2.2	Rosenbrock . . . . .	8
2.2.1	110 Rosenbrock with gaussian noise . . . . .	8
2.2.2	111 Rosenbrock with uniform noise . . . . .	8
2.2.3	112 Rosenbrock with seldom cauchy noise . . . . .	8
2.3	Step ellipsoid . . . . .	9
2.3.1	113 Step ellipsoid with gaussian noise . . . . .	9
2.3.2	114 Step ellipsoid with uniform noise . . . . .	9
2.3.3	115 Step ellipsoid with seldom cauchy noise . . . . .	9
2.4	Ellipsoid . . . . .	9
2.4.1	116 Ellipsoid with gaussian noise . . . . .	9
2.4.2	117 Ellipsoid with uniform noise . . . . .	9
2.4.3	118 Ellipsoid with seldom cauchy noise . . . . .	9
2.5	Different Powers . . . . .	10
2.5.1	119 Different Powers with gaussian noise . . . . .	10
2.5.2	120 Different Powers with uniform noise . . . . .	10
2.5.3	121 Different Powers with seldom cauchy noise . . . . .	10
<b>3</b>	<b>Highly multi-modal functions with severe noise</b>	<b>10</b>
3.1	Schaffer's F7 . . . . .	10
3.1.1	122 Schaffer's F7 with gaussian noise . . . . .	10
3.1.2	123 Schaffer's F7 with uniform noise . . . . .	10
3.1.3	124 Schaffer's F7 with seldom cauchy noise . . . . .	10
3.2	Composite Griewank-Rosenbrock . . . . .	11
3.2.1	125 Composite Griewank-Rosenbrock with gaussian noise . . . . .	11
3.2.2	126 Composite Griewank-Rosenbrock with uniform noise . . . . .	11
3.2.3	127 Composite Griewank-Rosenbrock with seldom cauchy noise . . . . .	11
3.3	Gallagher's Gaussian Peaks, globally rotated . . . . .	11
3.3.1	128 Gallagher's Gaussian Peaks 101-me with gaussian noise . . . . .	11
3.3.2	129 Gallagher's Gaussian Peaks 101-me with uniform noise . . . . .	12
3.3.3	130 Gallagher's Gaussian Peaks 101-me with seldom cauchy noise . . . . .	12
<b>A</b>	<b>Utility Functions</b>	<b>13</b>

## 0 Introduction

In the following the benchmark functions with noise are defined (for a graphical presentation see [2]). For a general motivation of the choice of functions compare [1, 3].

### 0.1 Symbols and Definitions

Used symbols and definitions of, e.g., auxiliary functions are given in the following. Vectors are typeset in bold and refer to column vectors.

$\otimes$  indicates element-wise multiplication of two  $D$ -dimensional vectors,  $\otimes : \mathcal{R}^D \times \mathcal{R}^D \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^D$ ,  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \mapsto \text{diag}(\mathbf{x}) \times \mathbf{y} = (x_i \times y_i)_{i=1, \dots, D}$

$\|\cdot\|$  denotes the Euclidean norm,  $\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 = \sum_i x_i^2$ .

$[\cdot]$  denotes the nearest integer value

$\mathbf{0} = (0, \dots, 0)^T$  all zero vector

$\mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1)^T$  all one vector

$\Lambda^\alpha$  is a diagonal matrix in  $D$  dimensions with the  $i$ th diagonal element as  $\lambda_{ii} = \alpha^{\frac{1}{2} \frac{i-1}{D-1}}$

$f_{\text{pen}} : \mathcal{R}^D \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ ,  $\mathbf{x} \mapsto 100 \sum_{i=1}^D \max(0, |x_i| - 5)^2$

$\mathbf{1}_\pm^+$  a  $D$ -dimensional vector with entries of  $-1$  or  $1$  both drawn equal probability.

**Q, R** orthogonal (rotation) matrices. For each function instance in each dimension a single realization for respectively **Q** and **R** is used. Rotation matrices are generated from standard normally distributed entries by Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization. Columns and rows of a rotation matrix form an orthogonal basis.

**R** see **Q**

$T_{\text{asy}}^\beta : \mathcal{R}^D \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^D$ ,  $x_i \mapsto \begin{cases} x_i^{1+\beta \frac{i-1}{D-1} \sqrt{x_i}} & \text{if } x_i > 0 \\ x_i & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, D$ .

$T_{\text{osz}} : \mathcal{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^n$ , for any positive integer  $n$ , maps element-wise

$$x \mapsto \text{sign}(x) \exp(\hat{x} + 0.049 (\sin(c_1 \hat{x}) + \sin(c_2 \hat{x})))$$

with  $\hat{x} = \begin{cases} \log(|x|) & \text{if } x \neq 0 \\ \in \mathcal{R} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ ,  $\text{sign}(x) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } x < 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ ,  $c_1 = \begin{cases} 10 & \text{if } x > 0 \\ 5.5 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$  and

$$c_2 = \begin{cases} 7.9 & \text{if } x > 0 \\ 3.1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$\mathbf{x}^{\text{opt}}$  optimal solution vector, such that  $f(\mathbf{x}^{\text{opt}})$  is minimal.

### 0.2 General Setup

**Search Space** All functions are defined and can be evaluated over  $\mathcal{R}^D$ , while the actual search domain is given as  $[-5, 5]^D$ .




Figure 1:  $T_{\text{osz}}$  (blue) and  $D$ -th coordinate of  $T_{\text{asy}}$  for  $\beta = 0.1, 0.2, 0.5$  (green)

**Location of the optimal  $\mathbf{x}^{\text{opt}}$  and of  $f_{\text{opt}} = f(\mathbf{x}^{\text{opt}})$**  All functions have their global optimum in  $[-5, 5]^D$ . The majority of functions has the global optimum in  $[-4, 4]^D$ . The value for  $f_{\text{opt}}$  is drawn from a cauchy distributed random variable, with roughly 50% of the values between -100 and 100. The value is rounded after two decimal places and the maximum and minimum are set to 1000 and  $-1000$  respectively. In the function definitions a transformed variable vector  $\mathbf{z}$  is often used instead of the argument  $\mathbf{x}$ . The vector  $\mathbf{z}$  has its optimum in  $\mathbf{z}^{\text{opt}} = \mathbf{0}$ , if not stated otherwise.

**Boundary Handling** On all functions a penalty boundary handling is applied as given with  $f_{\text{pen}}$  (see section 0.1).

**Linear Transformations** Linear transformations of the search space are applied to derive non-separable functions from separable ones and to control the conditioning of the function.

**Non-Linear Transformations and Symmetry Breaking** In order to make relatively simple, but well understood functions less regular, on some functions non-linear transformations are applied in  $x$ - or  $f$ -space. Both transformations  $T_{\text{osz}} : \mathcal{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^n$ ,  $n \in \{1, D\}$ , and  $T_{\text{asy}} : \mathcal{R}^D \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^D$  are defined coordinate-wise (see Section 0.1). They are smooth and have, coordinate-wise, a strictly positive derivative. They are shown in Figure 1.  $T_{\text{osz}}$  is oscillating about the identity, where the oscillation is scale invariant w.r.t. the origin.  $T_{\text{asy}}$  is the identity for negative values. When  $T_{\text{asy}}$  is applied, a portion of  $1/2^D$  of the search space remains untransformed.

### 0.3 Noise Models

In this benchmarking suite three different noise models are used. The first two,  $f_{\text{GN}}()$  and  $f_{\text{UN}}()$ , are multiplicative noise models while the third model,  $f_{\text{CN}}()$ , is an additive noise model. All noise

models are applied to a function value  $f$  under the assumption that  $f \geq 0$ . All noise models reveal *stochastic dominance* between any two solutions and are therefore utility-free (see Appendix A).

**Gaussian Noise** The Gaussian noise model is scale invariant and defined as

$$f_{\text{GN}}(f, \beta) = f \times \exp(\beta \mathcal{N}(0, 1)) . \quad (1)$$

The noise strength is controlled with  $\beta$ . The distribution of the noise is log-normal, thus no negative noise values can be sampled. For the benchmark functions with moderate noise  $\beta = 0.01$ , otherwise  $\beta = 1$ . For small values of  $\beta$  this noise model resembles  $f \times (1 + \beta \mathcal{N}(0, 1))$ .

**Uniform Noise** The uniform noise model is introduced as a more severe noise model than the Gaussian and is defined as

$$f_{\text{UN}}(f, \alpha, \beta) = f \times \mathcal{U}(0, 1)^\beta \max\left(1, \left(\frac{10^9}{f + \epsilon}\right)^{\alpha \mathcal{U}(0, 1)}\right) . \quad (2)$$

The noise model uses two random factors. The first factor is in the interval  $[0, 1]$ , uniformly distributed for  $\beta = 1$ . The second factor,  $\max(\dots)$ , is  $\geq 1$ . The parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  control the noise strength. For moderate noise  $\alpha = 0.01$  ( $0.49 + 1/D$ ) and  $\beta = 0.01$ , otherwise  $\alpha = 0.49 + 1/D$  and  $\beta = 1$ . Furthermore,  $\epsilon$  is set to  $10^{-99}$  in order to prevent division by zero.

The uniform noise model is not scale invariant. Due to the last factor in Eq. (2) the noise strength increases with decreasing (positive) value of  $f$ . Therefore the noise strength becomes more severe when approaching the optimum.

**Cauchy Noise** The Cauchy noise model represents a different type of noise with two important aspects. First, only a comparatively small percentage of function values is disturbed by noise. Second, the noise distribution is comparatively “weird”. Large outliers occur once in a while, and because they stem from a continuous distribution they cannot be easily diagnosed. The Cauchy noise model is defined as

$$f_{\text{CN}}(f, \alpha, p) = f + \alpha \max\left(0, 1000 + \mathbb{I}_{\{\mathcal{U}(0, 1) < p\}} \frac{\mathcal{N}(0, 1)}{|\mathcal{N}(0, 1)| + \epsilon}\right) , \quad (3)$$

where  $\alpha$  defines the noise strength and  $p$  determines the frequency of the noise disturbance. In the moderate noise case  $\alpha = 0.01$  and  $p = 0.05$ , otherwise  $\alpha = 1$  and  $p = 0.2$ . The summand of 1000 was chosen to sample positive and negative “outliers” (as the function value is cut from below, see next paragraph) and  $\epsilon$  is set to  $10^{-199}$ .

**Final Function Value** In order to achieve a convenient testing for the target function value, in all noise models  $1.01 \times 10^{-8}$  is added to the function value and, if the input argument  $f$  is smaller than  $10^{-8}$ , the undisturbed  $f$  is returned.

$$f_{\text{XX}}(f, \dots) \leftarrow \begin{cases} f_{\text{XX}}(f, \dots) + 1.01 \times 10^{-8} & \text{if } f \geq 10^{-8} \\ f & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

# 1 Functions with moderate noise

## 1.1 Sphere

$$f_{\text{sphere}}(\mathbf{x}) = \|\mathbf{z}\|^2$$

- $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^{\text{opt}}$

**Properties** Presumably the most easy continuous domain search problem, given the volume of the searched solution is small (i.e. where pure monte-carlo random search is too expensive).

- unimodal
- highly symmetric, in particular rotationally invariant

### 1.1.1 101 Sphere with moderate gaussian noise

$$f_{101}(\mathbf{x}) = f_{\text{GN}}(f_{\text{sphere}}(\mathbf{x}), 0.01) + f_{\text{pen}}(\mathbf{x}) + f_{\text{opt}} \quad (101)$$

### 1.1.2 102 Sphere with moderate uniform noise

$$f_{102}(\mathbf{x}) = f_{\text{UN}}\left(f_{\text{sphere}}(\mathbf{x}), 0.01\left(0.49 + \frac{1}{D}\right), 0.01\right) + f_{\text{pen}}(\mathbf{x}) + f_{\text{opt}} \quad (102)$$

### 1.1.3 103 Sphere with moderate seldom cauchy noise

$$f_{103}(\mathbf{x}) = f_{\text{CN}}(f_{\text{sphere}}(\mathbf{x}), 0.01, 0.05) + f_{\text{pen}}(\mathbf{x}) + f_{\text{opt}} \quad (103)$$

## 1.2 Rosenbrock

$$f_{\text{rosenbrock}}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{D-1} 100 (z_i^2 - z_{i+1})^2 + (z_i - 1)^2$$

- $\mathbf{z} = \max\left(1, \frac{\sqrt{D}}{8}\right) (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^{\text{opt}}) + 1$
- $\mathbf{z}^{\text{opt}} = \mathbf{1}$

**Properties** So-called banana function due to its 2-D contour lines as a bent ridge (or valley). In the beginning, the prominent first term of the function definition attracts to the point  $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{0}$ . Then, a long bending valley needs to be followed to reach the global optimum. The ridge changes its orientation  $D - 1$  times.

- in larger dimensions the function has a local optimum with an attraction volume of about 25%

### 1.2.1 104 Rosenbrock with moderate gaussian noise

$$f_{104}(\mathbf{x}) = f_{\text{GN}}(f_{\text{rosenbrock}}(\mathbf{x}), 0.01) + f_{\text{pen}}(\mathbf{x}) + f_{\text{opt}} \quad (104)$$

### 1.2.2 105 Rosenbrock with moderate uniform noise

$$f_{105}(\mathbf{x}) = f_{\text{UN}}\left(f_{\text{rosenbrock}}(\mathbf{x}), 0.01\left(0.49 + \frac{1}{D}\right), 0.01\right) + f_{\text{pen}}(\mathbf{x}) + f_{\text{opt}} \quad (105)$$

### 1.2.3 106 Rosenbrock with moderate seldom cauchy noise

$$f_{106}(\mathbf{x}) = f_{\text{CN}}(f_{\text{rosenbrock}}(\mathbf{x}), 0.01, 0.05) + f_{\text{pen}}(\mathbf{x}) + f_{\text{opt}} \quad (106)$$



## 2 Functions with severe noise

### 2.1 Sphere

$$f_{\text{sphere}}(\mathbf{x}) = \|\mathbf{z}\|^2$$

- $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^{\text{opt}}$

**Properties** Presumably the most easy continuous domain search problem, given the volume of the searched solution is small (i.e. where pure monte-carlo random search is too expensive).

- unimodal
- highly symmetric, in particular rotationally invariant

#### 2.1.1 107 Sphere with gaussian noise

$$f_{107}(\mathbf{x}) = f_{\text{GN}}(f_{\text{sphere}}(\mathbf{x}), 1) + f_{\text{pen}}(\mathbf{x}) + f_{\text{opt}} \quad (107)$$

#### 2.1.2 108 Sphere with uniform noise

$$f_{108}(\mathbf{x}) = f_{\text{UN}}\left(f_{\text{sphere}}(\mathbf{x}), 0.49 + \frac{1}{D}, 1\right) + f_{\text{pen}}(\mathbf{x}) + f_{\text{opt}} \quad (108)$$

#### 2.1.3 109 Sphere with seldom cauchy noise

$$f_{109}(\mathbf{x}) = f_{\text{CN}}(f_{\text{sphere}}(\mathbf{x}), 1, 0.2) + f_{\text{pen}}(\mathbf{x}) + f_{\text{opt}} \quad (109)$$

### 2.2 Rosenbrock

$$f_{\text{rosenbrock}}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{D-1} 100 (z_i^2 - z_{i+1})^2 + (z_i - 1)^2$$

- $\mathbf{z} = \max\left(1, \frac{\sqrt{D}}{8}\right) (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^{\text{opt}}) + 1$
- $\mathbf{z}^{\text{opt}} = \mathbf{1}$

**Properties** So-called banana function due to its 2-D contour lines as a bent ridge (or valley). In the beginning, the prominent first term of the function definition attracts to the point  $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{0}$ . Then, a long bending valley needs to be followed to reach the global optimum. The ridge changes its orientation  $D - 1$  times.

- a local optimum with an attraction volume of about 25%

#### 2.2.1 110 Rosenbrock with gaussian noise

$$f_{110}(\mathbf{x}) = f_{\text{GN}}(f_{\text{rosenbrock}}(\mathbf{x}), 1) + f_{\text{pen}}(\mathbf{x}) + f_{\text{opt}} \quad (110)$$

#### 2.2.2 111 Rosenbrock with uniform noise

$$f_{111}(\mathbf{x}) = f_{\text{UN}}\left(f_{\text{rosenbrock}}(\mathbf{x}), 0.49 + \frac{1}{D}, 1\right) + f_{\text{pen}}(\mathbf{x}) + f_{\text{opt}} \quad (111)$$

#### 2.2.3 112 Rosenbrock with seldom cauchy noise

$$f_{112}(\mathbf{x}) = f_{\text{CN}}(f_{\text{rosenbrock}}(\mathbf{x}), 1, 0.2) + f_{\text{pen}}(\mathbf{x}) + f_{\text{opt}} \quad (112)$$

### 2.3 Step ellipsoid

$$f_{\text{step}}(\mathbf{x}) = 0.1 \max \left( |\hat{z}_1|/10^4, \sum_{i=1}^D 10^{2\frac{i-1}{D-1}} z_i^2 \right)$$

- $\hat{\mathbf{z}} = \Lambda^{10} \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^{\text{opt}})$
- $\tilde{z}_i = \begin{cases} \lfloor 0.5 + \hat{z}_i \rfloor & \text{if } \hat{z}_i > 0.5 \\ \lfloor 0.5 + 10 \hat{z}_i \rfloor / 10 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, D$ ,  
denotes the rounding procedure in order to produce the plateaus.
- $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{Q}\tilde{\mathbf{z}}$

**Properties** The function consists of many plateaus of different sizes. Apart from a small area close to the global optimum, the gradient is zero almost everywhere.

- condition number is about 100

#### 2.3.1 113 Step ellipsoid with gaussian noise

$$f_{113}(\mathbf{x}) = f_{\text{GN}}(f_{\text{step}}(\mathbf{x}), 1) + f_{\text{pen}}(\mathbf{x}) + f_{\text{opt}} \quad (113)$$

#### 2.3.2 114 Step ellipsoid with uniform noise

$$f_{114}(\mathbf{x}) = f_{\text{UN}} \left( f_{\text{step}}(\mathbf{x}), 0.49 + \frac{1}{D}, 1 \right) + f_{\text{pen}}(\mathbf{x}) + f_{\text{opt}} \quad (114)$$

#### 2.3.3 115 Step ellipsoid with seldom cauchy noise

$$f_{115}(\mathbf{x}) = f_{\text{CN}}(f_{\text{step}}(\mathbf{x}), 1, 0.2) + f_{\text{pen}}(\mathbf{x}) + f_{\text{opt}} \quad (115)$$

### 2.4 Ellipsoid

$$f_{\text{ellipsoid}}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^D 10^{4\frac{i-1}{D-1}} z_i^2$$

- $\mathbf{z} = T_{\text{osz}}(\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^{\text{opt}}))$

**Properties** Globally quadratic ill-conditioned function with smooth local irregularities.

- condition number is  $10^4$

#### 2.4.1 116 Ellipsoid with gaussian noise

$$f_{116}(\mathbf{x}) = f_{\text{GN}}(f_{\text{ellipsoid}}(\mathbf{x}), 1) + f_{\text{pen}}(\mathbf{x}) + f_{\text{opt}} \quad (116)$$

#### 2.4.2 117 Ellipsoid with uniform noise

$$f_{117}(\mathbf{x}) = f_{\text{UN}} \left( f_{\text{ellipsoid}}(\mathbf{x}), 0.49 + \frac{1}{D}, 1 \right) + f_{\text{pen}}(\mathbf{x}) + f_{\text{opt}} \quad (117)$$

#### 2.4.3 118 Ellipsoid with seldom cauchy noise

$$f_{118}(\mathbf{x}) = f_{\text{CN}}(f_{\text{ellipsoid}}(\mathbf{x}), 1, 0.2) + f_{\text{pen}}(\mathbf{x}) + f_{\text{opt}} \quad (118)$$

## 2.5 Different Powers

$$f_{\text{diffpowers}}(\mathbf{x}) = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^D |z_i|^{2+4\frac{i-1}{D-1}}}$$

- $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^{\text{opt}})$

**Properties** Due to the different exponents the sensitivity relation of the  $z_i$ -variables continuously worsens when approaching the optimum.

### 2.5.1 119 Different Powers with gaussian noise

$$f_{119}(\mathbf{x}) = f_{\text{GN}}(f_{\text{diffpowers}}(\mathbf{x}), 1) + f_{\text{pen}}(\mathbf{x}) + f_{\text{opt}} \quad (119)$$

### 2.5.2 120 Different Powers with uniform noise

$$f_{120}(\mathbf{x}) = f_{\text{UN}}\left(f_{\text{diffpowers}}(\mathbf{x}), 0.49 + \frac{1}{D}, 1\right) + f_{\text{pen}}(\mathbf{x}) + f_{\text{opt}} \quad (120)$$

### 2.5.3 121 Different Powers with seldom cauchy noise

$$f_{121}(\mathbf{x}) = f_{\text{CN}}(f_{\text{diffpowers}}(\mathbf{x}), 1, 0.2) + f_{\text{pen}}(\mathbf{x}) + f_{\text{opt}} \quad (121)$$

## 3 Highly multi-modal functions with severe noise

### 3.1 Schaffer's F7

$$f_{\text{schaffer}}(\mathbf{x}) = \left( \frac{1}{D-1} \sum_{i=1}^{D-1} \sqrt{s_i} + \sqrt{s_i} \sin^2\left(50 s_i^{1/5}\right) \right)^2$$

- $\mathbf{z} = \Lambda^{10} \mathbf{Q} T_{\text{asy}}^{0.5}(\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^{\text{opt}}))$
- $s_i = \sqrt{z_i^2 + z_{i+1}^2}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, D$

**Properties** A highly multimodal function where frequency and amplitude of the modulation vary.

- conditioning is low

#### 3.1.1 122 Schaffer's F7 with gaussian noise

$$f_{122}(\mathbf{x}) = f_{\text{GN}}(f_{\text{schaffer}}(\mathbf{x}), 1) + f_{\text{pen}}(\mathbf{x}) + f_{\text{opt}} \quad (122)$$

#### 3.1.2 123 Schaffer's F7 with uniform noise

$$f_{123}(\mathbf{x}) = f_{\text{UN}}\left(f_{\text{schaffer}}(\mathbf{x}), 0.49 + \frac{1}{D}, 1\right) + f_{\text{pen}}(\mathbf{x}) + f_{\text{opt}} \quad (123)$$

#### 3.1.3 124 Schaffer's F7 with seldom cauchy noise

$$f_{124}(\mathbf{x}) = f_{\text{CN}}(f_{\text{schaffer}}(\mathbf{x}), 1, 0.2) + f_{\text{pen}}(\mathbf{x}) + f_{\text{opt}} \quad (124)$$

### 3.2 Composite Griewank-Rosenbrock

$$f_{\text{f8f2}}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{D-1} \sum_{i=1}^{D-1} \left( \frac{s_i}{4000} - \cos(s_i) \right) + 1$$

- $\mathbf{z} = \max\left(1, \frac{\sqrt{D}}{8}\right) \mathbf{R}\mathbf{x} + 0.5$
- $s_i = 100(z_i^2 - z_{i+1})^2 + (z_i - 1)^2$  for  $i = 1, \dots, D$
- $\mathbf{z}^{\text{opt}} = \mathbf{1}$

**Properties** Resembling the Rosenbrock function in a highly multimodal way.

#### 3.2.1 125 Composite Griewank-Rosenbrock with gaussian noise

$$f_{125}(\mathbf{x}) = f_{\text{GN}}(f_{\text{f8f2}}(\mathbf{x}), 1) + f_{\text{pen}}(\mathbf{x}) + f_{\text{opt}} \quad (125)$$

#### 3.2.2 126 Composite Griewank-Rosenbrock with uniform noise

$$f_{126}(\mathbf{x}) = f_{\text{UN}}\left(f_{\text{f8f2}}(\mathbf{x}), 0.49 + \frac{1}{D}, 1\right) + f_{\text{pen}}(\mathbf{x}) + f_{\text{opt}} \quad (126)$$

#### 3.2.3 127 Composite Griewank-Rosenbrock with seldom cauchy noise

$$f_{127}(\mathbf{x}) = f_{\text{CN}}(f_{\text{f8f2}}(\mathbf{x}), 1, 0.2) + f_{\text{pen}}(\mathbf{x}) + f_{\text{opt}} \quad (127)$$

### 3.3 Gallagher's Gaussian Peaks, globally rotated

$$f_{\text{gallagher}}(\mathbf{x}) = T_{\text{osz}} \left( 10 - \max_{i=1}^{101} w_i \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2D} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}_i)^{\text{T}} \mathbf{R}^{\text{T}} \mathbf{C}_i \mathbf{R} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}_i) \right) \right)^2$$

- $w_i = \begin{cases} 1.1 + 8 \times \frac{i-2}{99} & \text{for } i = 2, \dots, 101 \\ 10 & \text{for } i = 1 \end{cases}$ , three optima have a value larger than 9
- $\mathbf{C}_i = \Lambda^{\alpha_i} / \alpha_i^{1/4}$  where  $\Lambda^{\alpha_i}$  is defined as usual (see Section 0.1), but with randomly permuted diagonal elements. For  $i = 2, \dots, 101$ ,  $\alpha_i$  is drawn uniformly randomly from the set  $\{1000^{2\frac{j}{99}} \mid j = 0, \dots, 99\}$  without replacement, and  $\alpha_i = 1000$  for  $i = 1$ .
- the local optima  $\mathbf{y}_i$  are uniformly drawn from the domain  $[-4.9, 4.9]^D$  for  $i = 2, \dots, 101$  and  $\mathbf{y}_1 \in [-4, 4]^D$ . The global optimum is at  $\mathbf{x}^{\text{opt}} = \mathbf{y}_1$ .

**Properties** The function consists of 101 optima with position and height being unrelated and randomly chosen.

- condition number around the global optimum is about 30
- same overall rotation matrix

#### 3.3.1 128 Gallagher's Gaussian Peaks 101-me with gaussian noise

$$f_{128}(\mathbf{x}) = f_{\text{GN}}(f_{\text{gallagher}}(\mathbf{x}), 1) + f_{\text{pen}}(\mathbf{x}) + f_{\text{opt}} \quad (128)$$

### 3.3.2 129 Gallagher's Gaussian Peaks 101-me with uniform noise

$$f_{129}(\mathbf{x}) = f_{\text{UN}}\left(f_{\text{gallagher}}(\mathbf{x}), 0.49 + \frac{1}{D}, 1\right) + f_{\text{pen}}(\mathbf{x}) + f_{\text{opt}} \quad (129)$$

### 3.3.3 130 Gallagher's Gaussian Peaks 101-me with seldom cauchy noise

$$f_{130}(\mathbf{x}) = f_{\text{CN}}(f_{\text{gallagher}}(\mathbf{x}), 1, 0.2) + f_{\text{pen}}(\mathbf{x}) + f_{\text{opt}} \quad (130)$$

## References

- [1] S. Finck, N. Hansen, R. Ros, and A. Auger. Real-parameter black-box optimization benchmarking 2009: Presentation of the noiseless functions. Technical Report 2009/20, Research Center PPE, 2009.
- [2] S. Finck, N. Hansen, R. Ros, and A. Auger. Real-parameter black-box optimization benchmarking 2009: Presentation of the noisy functions. Technical Report 2009/21, Research Center PPE, 2009.
- [3] N. Hansen, S. Finck, R. Ros, and A. Auger. Real-parameter black-box optimization benchmarking 2009: Noiseless functions definitions. Technical Report RR-6829, INRIA, 2009.

## APPENDIX

### A Utility Functions

The objective when optimizing a noisy function is not immediately obvious. Function values are noisy by definition and the ordering of solutions is not unique, but depends on the realized random values. For this reason, often a utility is defined, which is a *deterministic* function over the search space. Let  $F : \mathcal{R}^D \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$  be the noisy function, i.e. for each  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{R}^D$ ,  $F(\mathbf{x})$  is a random variable with values in  $\mathcal{R}$ . Then a utility maps each  $F(\mathbf{x})$  to a real value and therefore, equivalently maps each  $\mathbf{x}$  to this value. A typical utility is  $\mathbf{x} \mapsto E(F(\mathbf{x}))$ , where  $E$  denotes the expected value (remark that the expectation is not taken over  $\mathbf{x}$ ). The objective can now be clearly stated in that the utility shall be minimized. Most search procedures, like evolutionary algorithms, do not optimize for the expected value. Therefore, evaluating their performance with respect to the utility expected value could render benchmarking result rather meaningless.

In the given testbed, we have chosen to implement **utility-free** noisy functions. We call them utility-free, because any reasonable utility (any utility that is compliant with the minimization task) will eventually lead to the same result: the ordering between solutions will remain the same for all reasonable utility functions and in particular the global optimum will be the same. This property is provided by *stochastic dominance* between the  $F$ -distributions of any two solutions,  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$ . For the cumulative distribution functions  $P$  of  $F(\mathbf{x})$  and  $F(\mathbf{y})$  holds either for all  $z \in \mathcal{R}$  is  $P_{F(\mathbf{x})}(z) < P_{F(\mathbf{y})}(z)$ ,<sup>1</sup> or for all  $z \in \mathcal{R}$  is  $P_{F(\mathbf{x})}(z) > P_{F(\mathbf{y})}(z)$ , or  $F(\mathbf{x})$  and  $F(\mathbf{y})$  have the same distribution. Some compliant utility functions are given.

- $\mathbf{x} \mapsto E(F(\mathbf{x}))$ , the expected value of  $F(\mathbf{x})$ .
- $\mathbf{x} \mapsto E(g(F(\mathbf{x})))$ , for any strictly increasing  $g : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ . A generalization of the previous case.
- any distribution percentile of  $F(\mathbf{x})$ .
- let  $P_p(F(\mathbf{x}))$  be the  $p\%$ -percentile of the distribution of  $F(\mathbf{x})$ , then  $\int_{p=0}^{100} w(p)P_p(F(\mathbf{x}))$  is another possible utility, where  $w(p) \geq 0$ . When  $w$  is dirac, the previous case is recovered.

The advantage of a utility-free testbed is that any minimization algorithm, independent of its explicitly or implicitly build-in utility, can be reasonably evaluated.

---

<sup>1</sup> $P_{F(\mathbf{x})}(z)$  denotes the probability that  $F(\mathbf{x}) \leq z$ .



---

Centre de recherche INRIA Saclay – Île-de-France  
Parc Orsay Université - ZAC des Vignes  
4, rue Jacques Monod - 91893 Orsay Cedex (France)

Centre de recherche INRIA Bordeaux – Sud Ouest : Domaine Universitaire - 351, cours de la Libération - 33405 Talence Cedex  
Centre de recherche INRIA Grenoble – Rhône-Alpes : 655, avenue de l'Europe - 38334 Montbonnot Saint-Ismier  
Centre de recherche INRIA Lille – Nord Europe : Parc Scientifique de la Haute Borne - 40, avenue Halley - 59650 Villeneuve d'Ascq  
Centre de recherche INRIA Nancy – Grand Est : LORIA, Technopôle de Nancy-Brabois - Campus scientifique  
615, rue du Jardin Botanique - BP 101 - 54602 Villers-lès-Nancy Cedex  
Centre de recherche INRIA Paris – Rocquencourt : Domaine de Voluceau - Rocquencourt - BP 105 - 78153 Le Chesnay Cedex  
Centre de recherche INRIA Rennes – Bretagne Atlantique : IRISA, Campus universitaire de Beaulieu - 35042 Rennes Cedex  
Centre de recherche INRIA Sophia Antipolis – Méditerranée : 2004, route des Lucioles - BP 93 - 06902 Sophia Antipolis Cedex

---

Éditeur  
INRIA - Domaine de Voluceau - Rocquencourt, BP 105 - 78153 Le Chesnay Cedex (France)  
<http://www.inria.fr>  
ISSN 0249-6399