

Exploiting Ontologies for Deep Learning: A Case for Sentiment Mining

Citation for published version (APA):

Raaijmakers, S., & Brewster, C. (2018). Exploiting Ontologies for Deep Learning: A Case for Sentiment Mining. In A. Khalili, & M. Koutraki (Eds.), *Proceedings of the Posters and Demos Track of the 14th International Conference on Semantic Systems Co-Located with the 14th International Conference on Semantic Systems (SEMANTiCS 2018), Vienna, Austria, September 10-13, 2018*. (Vol. 2198). CEUR-WS.org. CEUR Workshop Proceedings Vol. 2198

Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/2018

Document license:

CC BY

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.umlib.nl/taverne-license

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

Exploiting ontologies for deep learning: a case for sentiment mining*

Stephan Raaijmakers and Christopher Brewster

TNO, Data Science Department, Anna van Buerenplein 1, The Hague, 2595 DA, The Netherlands

Abstract. We present a practical method for explaining deep learning-based text mining with ontology-based information. Our approach uses the recently proposed OntoSenticNet ontology for sentiment mining, and consists of a composite deep learning classifier for sentiment mining, endowed with an ontology-driven attention module. The attention module analyzes the attention the neural network pays to semantic labels assigned to bigrams in input texts.

1 Introduction and approach

Deep learning continues to achieve state of the art performance in a variety of domains, such as image analysis and text mining. Despite this success, deep learning models remain elusive, and it is quite hard to understand what knowledge is represented in them, and how they generate decisions (see [3] for discussion). The field of *explainable AI* is increasingly gaining traction. Promising results have been reported with attention-based models [4] and latent-space analysis [7]. The link between ontologies and deep learning is actively being explored. For instance, [6] addresses the extraction of OWL information with deep learning from raw text and [2] applies deep learning to ontology extraction. Our approach attempts to leverage the semantic information in ontologies for explaining deep text mining, using neural attention and word embeddings. Ontologies usually contain structured, encyclopedic knowledge, arranged in a semantic, conceptual structure. One such ontology is the recently proposed sentiment ontology OntoSenticNet [1], an extension of the SenticNet ontology. SenticNet (Figure 1(a)) links entities via an intermediate concept level (consisting of semantic categories and relations) to an affective level describing sentiment-based associations, like sadness or joy. OntoSenticNet uses SenticNet to derive affective associations for words and phrases. It is automatically compiled from affective analyses performed with WordNet-Affect, Open Mind Common Sense and GECKA. Figure 1(b) lists the OntoSenticNet entry for “wrong food”. The `primitiveURI` nodes contain the affective labels associated with the multi-word expression “wrong food”. The `semantics` nodes express associations with other `NamedIndividuals` (expressions), based on corpus-based evidence such as collocations, and the static knowledge contained in SenticNet. We embed the ontology information into the

* The research reported in this paper has been carried out within the Research Programme Applied AI of TNO.

ning into sparsity: OntoSenticNet contains 22,935 bigram expressions, and only 3,104 expressions longer than 2 words. The majority of OntoSenticNet entries consists of unigrams (26,912 entries). The beam search operation attempts to retrieve, for any combination (100 total) of the 10 most similar words per word in the bigram, an existing bigram from OntoSenticNet. As an example, 'bad dinner' is not in OntoSenticNet, but one of its GloVe expansions ('wrong food') is. Once such a hit is found, the beam search stops for the given input bigram, the semantic labels are picked up from OntoSenticNet, and search proceeds with the next bigram in the document. The relation between an OntoSenticNet bigram and its labels is stored as an entry in a dictionary. The attested semantic label for every bigram in a document is counted, and for every document, a count vector (with as its length the total number of labels attested in the corpus) is generated and stored. After processing a labeled text corpus in this manner, every document in the corpus becomes represented by two vectors: a GloVe-based vector, and a count vector describing the counts for the semantic labels that apply to the bigrams in the document. Subsequently, we train a neural network (Figure 1(d)) on these joint representations of labeled documents. The network has two branches, each equipped with a separate input layer. First, a branch processes the ontology label vectors, and computes attention scores (probabilities) for the various labels in the vectors. These attention scores indicate the importance ('attention') the network pays to the ontology labels. They are merged with the GloVe vectors by concatenation, and this derived representation is used by a second branch to learn the labeling of documents with sentiment labels. The attention probabilities are optimized during this process in an end-to-end fashion (they are part of the overall weight optimization problem the network is solving). Once learning is complete, for every test case, the attention scores as computed by the trained network for the test document are extracted from the network, and an image is generated that displays the scores. We applied our system to a variety of sentiment labeling datasets: a set of UCI datasets² comprising Yelp, Amazon product and IMDB movie reviews. In addition, we trained and tested on a subjectivity dataset³.

2 Results

Some illustrative results are listed in Figure 2. For the complex emotion expressed in the sentence *The only thing I did like was the prime rib and the dessert section*, the OntoSenticNet labels **anger**, **sadness**, **disgust**, **surprise** score relatively high. Sentences *We'd definitely go back here again* and *Will go back next trip out* both score high for the joint label **joy#surprise**. The negative sentiment of *...least think to refill my water before I struggle to wave you over for 10 minutes* has significant underpinning with **disgust** and **anger** labels. The attention probabilities extracted from our classifier may thus serve to decompose monadic sentiment labels into much more rich and varied descriptions, enhancing

² <https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets/Sentiment+Labelled+Sentences>

³ <https://www.cs.cornell.edu/people/pabo/movie-review-data/>




Fig. 2. Sample attention-based analyses.

the explainability of monadic sentiment labeling. The explanatory advantages of our system will be assessed in future work by submitting the generated analyses to human evaluators in a task-based evaluation setting, and by displaying the underlying words and phrases used by the model for sentiment decomposition. Our code will be shared at <https://github.com/stephanraaijmakers/deeptext>.

References

1. Dragoni, M., Poria, S., Cambria, E.: Ontosenticnet: A commonsense ontology for sentiment analysis. *IEEE Computational Intelligence Magazine* **33(2)** (2018)
2. Hohenecker, P., Lukasiewicz, T.: Deep learning for ontology reasoning. *CoRR abs/1705.10342* (2017)
3. Lipton, Z.C.: The mythos of model interpretability. *CoRR abs/1606.03490* (2016)
4. Liu, S., Wang, X., Liu, M., Zhu, J.: Towards better analysis of machine learning models: A visual analytics perspective. *Visual Informatics* **1(1)**, 48 – 56 (2017)
5. Pennington, J., Socher, R., Manning, C.: Glove: Global vectors for word representation. In: *EMNLP*. vol. 14, pp. 1532–1543 (01 2014)
6. Petrucci, G., Ghidini, C., Rospocher, M.: Ontology learning in the deep. In: *20th International Conference on Knowledge Engineering and Knowledge Management - Volume 10024*. pp. 480–495. *EKAW 2016*, Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., New York, NY, USA (2016)
7. Raaijmakers, S., Sappelli, M., Kraaij, W.: Investigating the interpretability of hidden layers in deep text mining. In: *SEMANTICS*. pp. 177–180. *ACM* (2017)