

# Large-scale association analyses identify host factors influencing human gut microbiome composition

#### Citation for published version (APA):

Kurilshikov, A., Medina-Gomez, C., Bacigalupe, R., Radjabzadeh, D., Wang, J., Demirkan, A., Le Roy, C. I., Garay, J. A. R., Finnicum, C. T., Liu, X. R., Zhernakova, D. V., Bonder, M. J., Hansen, T. H., Frost, F., Ruhlemann, M. C., Turpin, W., Moon, J. Y., Kim, H. N., Lull, K., ... Jonkers, D. (2021). Large-scale association analyses identify host factors influencing human gut microbiome composition. *Nature* Genetics, 53(2), 156-165. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-020-00763-1

Document status and date: Published: 01/02/2021

DOI: 10.1038/s41588-020-00763-1

**Document Version:** Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

**Document license:** Taverne

#### Please check the document version of this publication:

• A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.

• The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.

 The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

Link to publication

#### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these riahts.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.

You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.umlib.nl/taverne-license

#### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.



# Large-scale association analyses identify host factors influencing human gut microbiome composition

To study the effect of host genetics on gut microbiome composition, the MiBioGen consortium curated and analyzed genome-wide genotypes and 16S fecal microbiome data from 18,340 individuals (24 cohorts). Microbial composition showed high variability across cohorts: only 9 of 410 genera were detected in more than 95% of samples. A genome-wide association study of host genetic variation regarding microbial taxa identified 31 loci affecting the microbiome at a genome-wide significant ( $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ ) threshold. One locus, the lactase (*LCT*) gene locus, reached study-wide significance (genome-wide association study signal:  $P = 1.28 \times 10^{-20}$ ), and it showed an age-dependent association with *Bifidobacterium* abundance. Other associations were suggestive ( $1.95 \times 10^{-10} < P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ ) but enriched for taxa showing high heritability and for genes expressed in the intestine and brain. A phenome-wide association study and Mendelian randomization identified enrichment of microbiome trait loci in the metabolic, nutrition and environment domains and suggested the microbiome might have causal effects in ulcerative colitis and rheumatoid arthritis.

he gut microbiome is an integral part of the human holobiont. In recent years, many studies have highlighted the link between its perturbations and immune, metabolic, neurologic and psychiatric traits, drug metabolism and cancer<sup>1</sup>. Environmental factors, like diet and medication, play a substantial role in shaping the gut microbiome composition<sup>2-4</sup>, although twin, family and population-based studies have shown that the genetic component also plays a role in determining gut microbiota composition, and a proportion of bacterial taxa are heritable<sup>5,6</sup>.

Several studies<sup>7-9</sup> have investigated the effect of genetics on microbiome composition through genome-wide association studies (GWAS) and identified dozens of associated loci. However, little replication across these studies has been observed so far<sup>10,11</sup>. This may be due to a number of factors. First, methodological differences in the collection, processing and annotation of stool microbiota are known to have strong effects on the microbiome profiles obtained<sup>12-14</sup> and can generate heterogeneity and a lack of reproducibility across studies. Second, most association signals are rather weak, which suggests that existing studies of 1,000–2,000 samples<sup>7-9</sup> are underpowered. Finally, some of the GWAS signals related to microbiome compositions may be population specific, that is, they may represent bona fide population differences in genetic structure and/or environment.

To address these challenges and obtain valuable insights into the relationship between host genetics and microbiota composition, we set up the international consortium MiBioGen<sup>11</sup>. In this study, we coordinated 16S ribosomal RNA (rRNA) gene sequencing profiles and genotyping data from 18,340 participants from 24 cohorts from the United States, Canada, Israel, South Korea, Germany, Denmark, the Netherlands, Belgium, Sweden, Finland and the United Kingdom. We performed a large-scale, multiancestry, genome-wide meta-analysis of the associations between autosomal human genetic variants and the gut microbiome. We explored the variation of microbiome composition across different populations and investigated the effects of differences in methodology on the microbiome data. Through the implementation of a standardized pipeline, we then performed microbiome trait loci (mbTL) mapping to identify genetic loci that affect the relative abundance (microbiome quantitative trait loci, or mbQTLs) or presence (microbiome binary trait loci, or mbBTLs) of microbial taxa. Finally, we focused on the biological interpretation of GWAS findings through gene-set enrichment analysis (GSEA), phenome-wide association studies (PheWAS) and Mendelian randomization (MR) approaches.

#### Results

**Landscape of microbiome composition across cohorts.** Our study included cohorts that were heterogeneous in terms of ancestry, age, male/female ratio and microbiome analysis methodology. Twenty cohorts included samples of single ancestry, namely European (16 cohorts; n=13,266), Middle Eastern (1 cohort; n=481), East Asian (1 cohort; n=811), American Hispanic/Latin (1 cohort; n=1,097) and African American (1 cohort; n=114), whereas four cohorts included samples from multiple ancestries (n=2,571; Supplementary Note and Supplementary Tables 1 and 2).

Twenty-two cohorts comprised adult or adolescent individuals (n = 16,632), and two cohorts consisted of children (n = 1,708). The microbial composition was profiled by targeting three distinct variable regions of the 16S rRNA gene: V4 (10,413 samples; 13 cohorts), V3–V4 (4,211 samples; 6 cohorts) and V1–V2 (3,716 samples, 5 cohorts; Fig. 1a). To account for differences in sequencing depth, all datasets were rarefied to 10,000 reads per sample. Next, we performed taxonomic classification using direct taxonomic binning instead of operational taxonomic unit (OTU) clustering methods (Methods)<sup>11,15,16</sup>.

In general, cohorts varied in their microbiome structure at multiple taxonomic levels (Fig. 1b–g). This variation may largely be driven by the heterogeneity between populations and differences in technical protocols (Supplementary Tables 1–3). Combining all samples (n=18,340) resulted in a total richness of 385 genus-level taxonomic groups that had a relative abundance higher than 0.1% in at least one cohort. This observed total richness appeared to be below the estimated saturation level (Fig. 1b), suggesting that a further increase in sample size and a higher sequencing depth are needed to capture the total gut microbial diversity (Fig. 1d).

A full list of authors and affiliations appears at the end of the paper.

#### **NATURE GENETICS**

# ARTICLES



**Fig. 1** | Diversity of microbiome composition across the MiBioGen cohorts. **a**, Sample size, ancestry, genotyping array and 16S rRNA gene profiling method. The SHIP/SHIP-TREND and GEM\_v12/GEM\_v24/GEM\_ICHIP subcohorts were combined in SHIP and GEM, respectively (Methods; see Supplementary Note for cohort abbreviations), resulting in a total of 21 cohorts. **b**, Total richness (number of genera with mean abundance over 0.1%, that is, 10 reads of 10,000 rarefied reads) by number of cohorts investigated. **c**, Number of core genera (genera present in >95% of samples from each cohort) by number of cohorts investigated. **d**, Pearson correlation of cohort sample size with total number of genera. Confidence bands represents the standard error (s.e.) of the regression line. **e**, Unweighted mean relative abundance of core genera across the entire MiBioGen dataset. **f**, Per-sample richness across the 21 cohorts. **g**, Diversity (Shannon index) across the 21 cohorts, with the DanFund and PNP cohorts presenting higher and lower diversity in relation to the other cohorts. In **f** and **g**, asterisks indicate cohorts that differed significantly from all the others (pairwise Wilcoxon rank-sum test; false discovery rate < 0.05). For all box plots (**b**, **c** and **e**), the central line, box and whiskers represent the median, interquartile range (IQR) and 1.5 times the IQR, respectively.

As expected, the core microbiota (the number of bacterial taxa present in over 95% of individuals) decreased with the inclusion of additional cohorts (Fig. 1c and Methods). The core microbiota comprises nine genera, of which seven were previously identified as such<sup>3</sup>, and the genera *Ruminococcus* and *Lachnoclostridium* (Fig. 1e). Of these nine genera, the most abundant genus was *Bacteroides* (18.65% (standard deviation (SD): 8.65%)), followed by *Faecalibacterium* (6.19% (SD: 2.35%)), *Blautia* (3.36% (SD: 2.84%)) and *Alistipes* (3.05% (SD: 1.47%)). Among the European cohorts that compose the largest genetically and environmentally homogeneous cluster, the core microbiota also included *Ruminiclostridium*, *Fusicatenibacter, Butyricicoccus* and *Eubacterium*, genera that typically produce short-chain fatty acids<sup>17</sup>.

The DNA extraction method was the principal contributor to heterogeneity, with a nonredundant effect size of 29% on the microbiome variation (measured as average genus abundance per cohort; stepwise distance-based redundancy analysis adjusted *R* squared  $(R^2 \text{adj}_{\text{DNAext}}) = 0.27$ , adjusted *P* value  $(P_{\text{adj}}) = 7 \times 10^{-4}$ ; Supplementary Table 4). Richness and Shannon diversity also differed significantly

across cohorts. The cohorts with the lowest richness (HCHS/SOL) and highest diversity (DanFund) used specific DNA extraction kits that were not used by other studies, possibly contributing to their outlying alpha diversities (Fig. 1f,g and Supplementary Table 3). Overall, the 16S rRNA domain sequence and the DNA extraction methods used, together with cohort ancestry, accounted for 32.74% of richness variance.

Given the high heterogeneity of microbial composition across cohorts, we applied both per-cohort and whole-study filters for taxa inclusion in GWAS (Methods).

Heritability of microbial taxa and alpha diversity. We performed estimation of heritability ( $H^2$ ) of gut microbiome composition based on the two twin cohorts included in our study (Supplementary Table 5). The TwinsUK cohort, composed of 1,176 samples, including 169 monozygotic (MZ) and 419 dizygotic (DZ) twin pairs, was used to estimate  $H^2$  using the ACE (additive genetic variance (A)/shared environmental factors (C)/non-shared factors plus error (E)) model. The Netherlands Twin Registry (NTR) cohort (only MZ twins; n=312, 156



**Fig. 2 | Heritability of microbiome taxa and its concordance with mbQTL mapping. a**, Microbial taxa that showed significant heritability in the TwinsUK cohort (ACE model; nominal P < 0.05, no adjustment for multiple comparison). Taxa with at least one genome-wide significant (GWS) mbQTL hit are marked in red. Only taxa present in more than 10% of pairs (>17 MZ pairs and >41 DZ pairs) are shown. Circles and diamonds represent heritability values. Error bars represent 95% CIs. **b**, Correlation of MZ ICC between TwinsUK and NTR cohort. Only taxa with significant heritability (ACE model P < 0.05) that were present in both TwinsUK and NTR are shown. Red and blue dots indicate bacterial taxa with and without GWS mbQTLs ( $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ ), respectively. Segments represent 95% CIs. **c**, Correlation between heritability significance ( $-\log_{10} P_{H2}$  TwinsUK) and the number of loci associated with microbial taxon at relaxed threshold ( $P_{mbQTL} < 1 \times 10^{-5}$ ). Taxa with at least one GWS-associated locus are marked in red. Error bars represent 95% CIs.

pairs) was used to replicate the MZ intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC). None of the alpha diversity metrics (Shannon, Simpson and inverse Simpson) showed evidence for heritability (A < 0.01, P=1). Among the 159 bacterial taxa that were present in more than 10% of twin pairs, 19 taxa showed evidence for heritability ( $P_{\text{nominal}} < 0.05$ ; Fig. 2a). The ICC showed concordance between TwinsUK and NTR for these 19 bacterial taxa (R=0.25, P=0.0018; Fig. 2b).

The SNP-based heritability calculated from mbQTL summary statistics using linkage disequilibrium (LD) score regression showed two bacterial taxa, genus *Ruminiclostridium* 9 and family Peptostreptococcaeae, passing the significance threshold given the number of 211 taxa tested (Z < 3.68; Supplementary Table 5). The results of the SNP-based heritability and twin-based heritability showed significant correlation across the tested taxa (R = 0.244,  $P = 7.2 \times 10^{-4}$ ).

Thirty-one loci associated with gut microorganisms through GWAS. First, we studied the genetic background of the alpha diversity (Simpson, inverse Simpson and Shannon diversity indices). We identified no significant hits in the meta-analysis of GWAS ( $P > 5 \times 10^{-8}$ ; Supplementary Table 6 and Supplementary Fig. 1), in line with the observed lack of heritability for these indices.

Next, we used two separate GWAS meta-analysis approaches<sup>18-20</sup> to explore the effect of host genetics on the abundance levels (mbQTL) or presence/absence (mbBTL) of bacterial taxa in the gut microbiota (Methods).

In total, 18,340 samples and 211 taxa were included in the mbQTL mapping analysis (Methods and Supplementary Table 3). We identified genetic variants that mapped to 20 distinct genetic loci associated with the abundance of 27 taxa (Fig. 3, Supplementary Figs. 2 and 3 and Supplementary Tables 7 and 8). MbBTL mapping covered 177 taxa, and 10 loci were found to be associated with presence/absence of bacterial taxa (Fig. 3 and Supplementary Tables 7 and 9). For one taxon, family Peptococcaceae, two independent mbBTLs were detected (Fig. 3 and Supplementary Table 7). Two of 31 mbTLs showed heterogeneity in mbTL effect sizes (Supplementary Note).

In both the mbQTL and mbBTL mapping, only 1 of 31 loci (*LCT* locus: *Bifidobacterium*;  $P = 8.63 \times 10^{-21}$ ) passed the strict correction for the number of taxa tested ( $P < 1.95 \times 10^{-10}$  for 257 taxa included in the analysis). However, the remaining loci included functionally

relevant variants (that is, the *FUT2* gene suggested by earlier studies<sup>21</sup>) and, overall, showed concordance with the heritability of microbial taxa. Seven of the nine taxa that showed the strongest evidence for heritability in the TwinsUK cohort (P < 0.01) also have genome-wide significant mbTLs (Fig. 2b). For the taxa with genome-wide significant mbTLs, the number of independent loci associated with a relaxed threshold of  $1 \times 10^{-5}$  strongly correlated with heritability significance (R = 0.62,  $P = 1.9 \times 10^{-4}$ ; Fig. 2c), suggesting that more mbTLs would be identified for this group of bacteria using a larger sample size.

LCT mbQTL effect shows age and ancestry heterogeneity. The strongest association signal was seen for variants located in a large block of about 1.5 Mb at 2q21.3, which includes the LCT gene and 12 other protein-coding genes. This locus has previously been associated with the abundance of Bifidobacterium in Dutch7, UK6 and US<sup>22</sup> cohorts. Previous studies have also shown a positive correlation of Bifidobacterium abundance with the intake of milk products, but only in individuals homozygous for the low-function LCT haplotype, thereby indicating that gene-diet interaction regulates Bifidobacterium abundance<sup>7</sup>. In our study, the strongest association was seen for rs182549 ( $P=1.28\times10^{-20}$ ), which is a perfect proxy for the functional LCT variant rs4988235 ( $r^2 = 0.996$ ; D' = 1 in European populations). This association showed evidence for heterogeneity across cohorts ( $I^2 = 62.73\%$ , Cochran's  $Q P = 1.4 \times 10^{-4}$ ). A leave-one-out strategy showed that the Copenhagen Prospective Studies on Asthma in Childhood (COPSAC2010) cohort, which includes children with an age range of 4-6 years, contributed the most to the detected heterogeneity (Fig. 4a,b and Supplementary Table 2). When this study was excluded from the meta-analysis, the heterogeneity was reduced ( $I^2 = 51.9\%$ , Cochran's Q P = 0.004). A meta-regression analysis showed that linear effects of age and ancestry accounted for 11.84% of this heterogeneity. Including quadratic and cubic terms of age in the model explained 39.22% of the heterogeneity, and the residual heterogeneity was low (Cochran's Q P = 0.01; Fig. 4c).

Following these observations, we decided to investigate the effect of age and ancestry in the multiancestry GEM cohort, comprising 1,243 individuals with an age range between 6 and 35 years, of which nearly half of the participants are 16 years or younger. Our



**Fig. 3 | Manhattan plot of the mbTL mapping meta-analysis results.** MbQTLs are indicated by letters. MbBTLs are indicated by numbers. For mbQTLs, the Spearman correlation test (two-sided) was used to identify loci that affect the covariate-adjusted abundance of bacterial taxa, excluding samples with zero abundance. For mbQTLs, *P* values (two-sided) were calculated by logistic regression. Horizontal lines define nominal genome-wide significance  $(P=5 \times 10^{-8}; \text{red})$  and suggestive genome-wide  $(P=1 \times 10^{-5}; \text{blue})$  thresholds.

analysis showed a significant SNP-age interaction on the level of Bifidobacterium abundance (P<0.05; Methods). Individuals homozygous for the NC\_000002.11:g.136616754CC (rs182549) genotype showed a higher abundance of the genus Bifidobacterium in the adult group, but not in the younger group (Fig. 4d). The age-genotype interaction was significant in the GEM\_v12 and GEM\_ICHIP subcohorts, both comprising mostly individuals of European ancestry, while the GEM\_v24 cohort is mainly composed of individuals of different Israeli subancestries (Methods) who live in Israel, showed neither an mbQTL effect (beta = -0.002 (95% confidence interval (CI): -0.21, 0.21) nor an interaction with age (P > 0.1). The lack of an LCT mbQTL effect in adults was also observed in another Israeli cohort in the study (Personalized Nutrition Project (PNP): 481 adults, beta = -0.20 (95% CI: -0.61, 0.20)). Altogether, the cohorts that reported the lowest LCT effect sizes were the two cohorts of Israeli ancestry volunteered in Israel (GEM\_v24 and PNP) and a child cohort (COPSAC: beta = -0.18 (95% CI: -0.36, -0.01)).

# **mbTLs are enriched for genes related to metabolism.** Several loci detected at genome-wide significance level were enriched for genes related to metabolism.

In the mbQTL analysis, the *FUT2-FUT1* locus was associated with the abundance of the *Ruminococcus torques* genus group, a genus from the Lachnospiraceae family. The leading SNP (rs35866622 for *R. torques* group;  $P = 2.21 \times 10^{-8}$ ) is a proxy for the

functional variant rs601338 ( $r^2 = 0.8$ ; D' = 0.9 in European populations) that introduces a stop codon in FUT2 (ref. 23). Another proxy of the functional FUT2 SNP, rs281377, showed an association with the Ruminococcus gnavus genus group in the binary analysis; however, this signal was just above the genome-wide significance threshold ( $P = 5.79 \times 10^{-8}$ ; Supplementary Table 9). FUT2 encodes the enzyme alpha-1,2-fucosyltransferase, which is responsible for the secretion of fucosylated mucus glycans in the gastrointestinal mucosa<sup>24</sup>. Individuals homozygous for the stop codon (rs601338\*A/A, non-secretors) do not express ABO antigens on the intestinal mucosa. We observed that the tagging NC\_000019.9:g.49218060C>T (rs35866622 non-secretor) allele was associated with a reduced abundance of the R. torques group and a decreased presence of the R. gnavus group. Ruminococcus sp. are specialized in the degradation of complex carbohydrates<sup>25</sup>, thereby supporting a link between genetic variation in the FUT2 gene, levels of mucus glycans and the abundance of this taxa. When assessing the link between this variant and phenotypes in the LifeLines-DEEP (LLD; n = 875) and Flemish Gut Flora Project (FGFP; n = 2,259) cohorts (Methods), the strongest correlation for the *R. torques* group was seen with fruit intake (LLD: Spearman *R*  $(R_{\rm Sp}) = -0.19, P_{\rm adj} = 3.1 \times 10^{-5}; \text{ FGFP: } R_{\rm Sp} = -0.10, P_{\rm adj} = 1.4 \times 10^{-4};$ Supplementary Tables 10 and 11), in line with the association of FUT2 with food preferences, as discussed in the results of the PheWAS (see below).



**Fig. 4 | Association of the** *LCT* **locus (rs182549) with the genus** *Bifidobacterium.* **a**, Forest plot of effect sizes of rs182549 and abundance of *Bifidobacterium.* Effect sizes and 95% CIs are defined as circles and error bars. Effect sizes were calculated from Spearman correlation *P* values (Methods). **b**, Meta-regression of the association of mean cohort age and mbQTL effect size. Confidence bands represent the s.e. of the meta-regression line. **c**, Meta-regression line. **d**, Age dependence of mbQTL effect size in the GEM cohort. Blue boxes include samples in the age range of 6–16 years. Red boxes include samples with an age of  $\geq$ 17 years. The C/C (rs182549) genotype is a proxy of the NC\_000002.11:g.136608646 = (rs4988235) allele, which is associated with functional recessive hypolactasia. The central line, box and whiskers represent the median, IQR and 1.5 times the IQR, respectively. Cohort abbreviations are available in the Supplementary Note.

Several other suggestive mbQTLs can be linked to genes potentially involved in host-microbiome cross-talk. One of them includes three SNPs in 9q21 (top SNP rs602075,  $P = 3.57 \times 10^{-8}$ ) associated with abundance of Allisonella. The 9q21 locus includes the genes PCSK5, RFK and GCNT1, of which RFK encodes the enzyme that catalyzes the phosphorylation of riboflavin (vitamin B<sub>2</sub>) and GCNT1 encodes a glycosyltransferase involved in biosynthesis of mucin. These products play major roles in the host-microbiota interactions within the intestine, where they are used by bacteria for their metabolism and involved in the regulation of the host immune defense<sup>26</sup>. Another association signal, 10p13 (rs61841503,  $P = 9.8 \times 10^{-9}$ ), which affects the abundance of the heritable family Peptostreptococcaceae, is located in the CUBN gene, the receptor for the complexes of cobalamin (vitamin  $B_{12}$ ) with gastric intrinsic factor (the complex required for absorption of cobalamin). CUBN is expressed in the kidneys and the intestinal epithelium and is associated with B<sub>12</sub>-deficient anemia and albuminuria<sup>27</sup>. Cobalamin is required for host-microbial interactions<sup>28</sup>, and supplementation with cobalamin induced a substantial shift in the microbiota composition of an in vitro colon model<sup>29</sup>. These associations suggest that some members of the gut microbiome community might be affected by genetic variants that regulate the absorption and metabolism of vitamins B<sub>2</sub> and B<sub>12</sub>.

Among mbBTLs, the strongest evidence for association was seen for a block of 10 SNPs (rs7574352,  $P = 1.42 \times 10^{-9}$ ) associated with the family Peptococcaceae, a taxon negatively associated with stool levels of the gut inflammation markers chromogranin A (LLD:  $R_{\rm Sp} = -0.31$ ,  $P_{\rm adj} = 4.4 \times 10^{-18}$ ; Supplementary Table 10) and calprotectin (LLD:  $R_{\rm Sp} = -0.11$ ,  $P_{\rm adj} = 0.058$ ) and with ulcerative colitis (FGFP:  $R_{\rm Sp} = -0.06$ ,  $P_{\rm adj} = 0.09$ ; Supplementary Table 11). The association block is located in the intergenic region in the proximity (220 kb apart) of *IRF1*, which is involved in insulin resistance and susceptibility to type 2 diabetes<sup>30</sup>.

Other highlights of identified mbTLs are available in the Supplementary Note.

**GSEA, FUMA and PheWAS analysis.** To explore the potential functions of the identified mbTLs, we performed functional mapping and annotation of genetic associations with the FUMA platform (Methods)<sup>31</sup>, GSEA and PheWAS, followed by Bayesian colocalization analysis and genetic correlation of *Bifidobacterium* abundance to its PheWAS-related traits. FUMA of 20 mbQTLs returned 139 positional and eQTL genes. GSEA on these genes suggested an enrichment for genes expressed in the small intestine (terminal ileum) and brain (substantia nigra and putamen basal ganglia; Supplementary Fig. 4). The positional candidates for mbBTLs did not show any enrichment in GSEA analysis.



**Fig. 5 | Phenome-wide association study domain enrichment analysis.** The analysis covered top SNPs from 30 mbTLs and 20 phenotype domains. Three thresholds for multiple testing were used: 0.05,  $8.06 \times 10^{-5}$  (Bonferroni adjustment for number of phenotypes and genotypes studied) and  $5 \times 10^{-8}$  (an arbitrary genome-wide significance threshold). Only categories with at least one significant enrichment signal are shown.

To systematically assess the biological outcomes of the mbTLs, we examined the 31 mbTLs in the summary statistics for 4,155 complex traits and diseases using the GWASATLAS<sup>32</sup>. Five of 31 leading SNPs were associated with one or more phenotypes at  $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$  (Supplementary Table 12): rs182549 (*LCT*) and rs35866622 (*FUT1*/*FUT2*), followed by rs4428215 (*FNDC3B*), rs11647069 (*PMFBP1*) and rs9474033 (*PKHD1*).

The variant showing the highest pleiotropy, rs182549 (*LCT*, *Bifidobacterium*), was associated with multiple dietary and metabolic phenotypes, and the causal involvement of the SNP across pairs of traits was confirmed by colocalization testing (PP. H4.abf>0.9) for 49 of 51 tested phenotypes. The NC\_000002.11: g.136616754=(rs182549) allele, which predisposes individuals to lactose intolerance, was negatively associated with obesity<sup>33</sup> and positively associated with type 2 diabetes mellitus diagnosis (odds ratio (OR)=1.057 (95% CI: 1.031, 1.085),  $P=1.74\times10^{-5}$ ), family history of type 2 diabetes mellitus (paternal: OR=1.054 (95% CI: 1.035, 1.073),  $P=1.41\times10^{-8}$ ; maternal: OR=1.035 (95% CI: 1.016, 1.053), P=0.0002; siblings: OR=1.03 (95% CI: 1.009, 1.052))

and several nutritional phenotypes in the UK Biobank cohort<sup>32</sup>. Moreover, the functional *LCT* SNP rs4988235 variant is associated with 1,5-anhydroglucitol ( $P=4.23 \times 10^{-28}$ )<sup>34</sup>, an indicator of glycemic variability<sup>35</sup>. There was a nominally significant genetic correlation ( $r_g$ ) of *Bifidobacterium* with raw vegetable intake ( $r_g=0.36$ , P=0.0016), but this correlation was not statistically significant after correction for multiple testing.

NC\_000019.9:g.49218060 = (rs35866622, *FUT1/FUT2* locus) was positively associated with fish intake and height. The secretor allele was negatively associated with the risks of cholelithiasis and Crohn's disease, alcohol intake frequency, high cholesterol and waist-to-hip ratio (adjusted for body mass index (BMI), with PP.H4. abf > 0.9).

Consistent with the single SNP analysis, gene-based PheWAS also showed a strong link between the *LCT* locus and metabolic traits (for example,  $P=5.7 \times 10^{-9}$  for BMI), whereas several factors including nutritional (for example,  $P=1.26 \times 10^{-20}$  for oily fish intake), immune-related (for example,  $P=1.73 \times 10^{-12}$  for mean platelet volume), gastrointestinal (for example,  $P=8.77 \times 10^{-14}$  for



**Fig. 6 | Mendelian randomization analysis.** The *x* axes show the SNP-exposure effect and the *y* axes show the SNP-outcome effect (SEs denoted as segments). **a**, MR analysis of class Actinobacteria (exposure) and ulcerative colitis (UC; outcome). **b**, MR analysis of genus *Bifidobacterium* (exposure) and ulcerative colitis (outcome). **c**, MR analysis of family Oxalobacteraceae (exposure) and rheumatoid arthritis (RA; outcome).

cholelithiasis) and metabolic signals (for example,  $P = 1.13 \times 10^{-13}$  for high cholesterol) mapped to the *FUT1/FUT2* locus (Fig. 5 and Supplementary Table 13).

Finally, we performed a phenotype domain enrichment analysis (Methods). We observed that top loci were enriched with signals associated with the metabolic domain supported by four mbTLs, followed by nutritional, cellular, immunological, psychiatric, oph-thalmological, respiratory and reproductive traits and the activities domain (Fig. 5 and Supplementary Table 14).

Mendelian randomization analysis. To identify the potential causal links between gut microbial taxa and phenotypes, we performed bidirectional two-sample MR analyses using the TwoSampleMR package<sup>36</sup>. We focused on two groups of phenotypes: diseases (autoimmune, cardiovascular, metabolic and psychiatric) and nutritional phenotypes<sup>37-42</sup>. The complexity of the mechanisms by which host genetics affect microbiome composition, and the limited impact of genetic variants on microbial taxa variability, require caution when performing and interpreting causality estimation using MR analysis<sup>43</sup>. We therefore performed several sensitivity analyses and excluded any results that showed evidence of being confounded by pleiotropy (Methods). Only pairs supported by three or more SNPs were considered. With these strict cutoffs, no evidence for causal relationships between microbiome taxa and dietary preferences was identified (Supplementary Tables 15 and 16). However, our results suggest that a higher abundance of the class Actinobacteria and its genus Bifidobacterium may have a protective effect on ulcerative colitis (Actinobacteria: OR=0.56 (95% CI: 0.44-0.71) for each SD increase in bacterial abundance, Benjamini-Hochberg (BH)-adjusted *P* value for multiple testing  $P_{\text{BHadj}} = 8.8 \times 10^{-4}$ ; Bifidobacterium: OR = 0.51 (95% CI: 0.39–0.71),  $P_{\text{BHadj}} = 9.8 \times 10^{-5}$ ; Fig. 6a,b). We also observed that higher abundance of the family Oxalobacteraceae had a protective effect on rheumatoid arthritis  $(OR = 0.82 (95\% CI: 0.74-0.91), P_{BHadj} = 0.028, Fig. 6c).$ 

#### Discussion

We report here on the relationship between host genetics and gut microbiome composition in 18,340 individuals from 24 population-based cohorts of European, Hispanic, Middle Eastern, Asian and African ancestries. We have estimated the heritability of the human gut microbiome and the effect of host genetics on the presence and abundance of individual microbial taxa. We studied the heterogeneity of the mbTL signals and characterized the impact of technical and biological factors on their effect magnitude. In addition, we explored the relevance of the identified mbTLs to health-related traits using GSEA, PheWAS and MR approaches. Our large, multiancestry study allowed for an informative investigation of the human gut microbiome. However, there was large heterogeneity in the data, which reflects biological differences across the cohorts and methodological differences in the processing of samples. Overall, seven different methods of fecal DNA extraction and three different 16S rRNA regions were used<sup>12,44</sup>. In addition, differences in the ancestries, ages and BMIs of the participants led to a remarkable variation in microbiome richness, diversity and composition across cohorts. Diet, medication and lifestyle, among other factors<sup>2,3</sup>, are known to influence the microbiome but were not included in our analysis because these data were not available for all cohorts. Large variation in the microbiome composition may have reduced the power of our mbTL analysis (Supplementary Note).

We did not detect a host genetic effect on bacterial diversity, in line with a lack of its detectable heritability. Thirty-one taxon-specific mbTLs (20 mbQTLs and 11 mbBTLs) were identified at a *P* value  $< 5 \times 10^{-8}$ . Even with our large sample size, the number of mbTLs identified is rather modest. Only the association of the *LCT* locus with *Bifidobacterium* (*P*=1.28 × 10<sup>-20</sup>) passed the conservative study-wide significance threshold of *P*>1.95 × 10<sup>-10</sup>. However, we observed that heritable taxa tended to have more genome-wide significant loci and suggestively associated loci, and twin-based heritability was significantly correlated with SNP-based heritability. Our results confirm that only a subset of gut bacteria is heritable, and that the genetic architecture affecting the abundance of heritable taxa is complex and polygenic.

The association between the LCT locus and the *Bifidobacterium* genus was the strongest in our study. It has been shown that the functional SNP in the *LCT* locus rs4988235 determines not only the abundance of the *Bifidobacterium* genus but also the strength of the association between this genus and milk/dairy consumption<sup>7</sup>. Here, we showed the ancestry heterogeneity and age-dependent nature of the *LCT* and *Bifidobacterium* association—the effect is weaker in children and adolescents—consistent with existing knowledge on lactose intolerance<sup>45,46</sup>. The strongest mbQTL effect was observed in the Hispanic Community Health Study/Study of Latinos (HCHS/SOL) cohort that comprises individuals of Hispanic/Latin American ancestry and shows the highest prevalence of the lactose intolerant NC\_000002.11:g.136616754CC (rs182549) genotype (683 of 1,097 individuals).

To explore the potential functional effects of mbTLs on health-related traits, we used GSEA, PheWAS and MR approaches. The GSEA indicated enrichment of mbQTLs for genes expressed in the small intestine and brain. These results support the existence of the gut–brain axis mediated by the microbiome and likely influencing gastrointestinal, brain and mood disorders<sup>47–49</sup>. In addition,

#### **NATURE GENETICS**

the PheWAS analysis identified a significant overlap between the genetic variants affecting gut microorganisms and a broad range of host characteristics, including psychiatric, metabolic and immunological traits, and nutritional preferences, among other phenotype groups (Supplementary Table 14). Moreover, genetic determinants of bacterial abundance are involved in regulating host metabolism, particularly obesity-related traits. Among the interesting bacteria, earlier studies have linked the relative abundances of Ruminococcus<sup>50</sup>, Lachnospiraceae51 and Ruminococcaceae52 to obesity. PheWAS analysis also indicated that SNPs from the LCT and FUT2 loci that associated with bacterial taxa are also associated with dietary preference factors, including fish, cereal, bread, alcohol, vegetable and ground coffee intake, along with other dietary phenotypes. Interestingly, other genes found to be associated with mbTLs also included olfactory receptors (OR1F1) and genes involved in the absorption and metabolism of vitamins B<sub>2</sub> and B<sub>12</sub> (*RFK* and *CUBN*).

Genetic anchors to microbiome variation also allow for estimation of causal links with complex traits through MR approaches<sup>53–55</sup>. MR results indicate that Actinobacteria and *Bifidobacterium* might have a protective effect in ulcerative colitis. Cross-sectional studies have reported an increased abundance of Actinobacteria in healthy individuals as compared to patients with inflammatory bowel disease<sup>56,57</sup>, although these results have not always been consistent<sup>58,59</sup>. *Bifidobacterium* was also previously shown to have a beneficial effect on ulcerative colitis in a clinical trial<sup>58,60</sup>. We also revealed that abundance of the family Oxalobacteraceae in the gut microbiome might be protective for rheumatoid arthritis; the abundance of this family in lung showed a negative association with rheumatoid arthritis previously<sup>61</sup>. Protective effects of the bacterial taxa on these diseases support the potential of microbiome-based therapy.

To our knowledge, we report the largest study to date investigating the genetics of the human microbiome across multiple ancestries. Microbiome heterogeneity and high interindividual variability substantially reduces the statistical power of microbiome-wide analyses: similar to earlier microbiome GWAS studies, we report a limited number of associated loci. Nevertheless, our results point to causal relationships between specific loci, bacterial taxa and health-related traits. Heritability estimates suggest that these associations are likely part of a larger spectrum that is undetectable in the current study sample size. This warrants future research that should take advantage of larger sample sizes, harmonized protocols and more advanced microbiome analysis methods, including metagenomics sequencing instead of 16S profiling and quantification of bacterial cell counts. Given the essential role of the gut microbiome in the metabolism of food and drugs, our results contribute to the development of personalized nutrition and medication strategies based on both host genomics and microbiome data.

#### **Online content**

Any methods, additional references, Nature Research reporting summaries, source data, extended data, supplementary information, acknowledgements, peer review information; details of author contributions and competing interests; and statements of data and code availability are available at https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41588-020-00763-1.

Received: 14 February 2020; Accepted: 14 December 2020; Published online: 18 January 2021

#### References

- Gilbert, J. A. et al. Current understanding of the human microbiome. *Nat. Med.* 24, 392–400 (2018).
- Zhernakova, A. et al. Population-based metagenomics analysis reveals markers for gut microbiome composition and diversity. *Science* 352, 565–569 (2016).
- 3. Falony, G. et al. Population-level analysis of gut microbiome variation. *Science* **352**, 560–564 (2016).

- Rothschild, D. et al. Environment dominates over host genetics in shaping human gut microbiota. *Nature* 555, 210–215 (2018).
- Goodrich, J. K. et al. Human genetics shape the gut microbiome. *Cell* 159, 789–799 (2014).
- Goodrich, J. K. et al. Genetic determinants of the gut microbiome in UK twins. *Cell Host Microbe* 19, 731–743 (2016).
- 7. Bonder, M. J. et al. The effect of host genetics on the gut microbiome. *Nat. Genet.* **48**, 1407–1412 (2016).
- Wang, J. et al. Genome-wide association analysis identifies variation in vitamin D receptor and other host factors influencing the gut microbiota. *Nat. Genet.* 48, 1396–1406 (2016).
- 9. Turpin, W. et al. Association of host genome with intestinal microbial composition in a large healthy cohort. *Nat. Genet.* **48**, 1413–1417 (2016).
- Kurilshikov, A., Wijmenga, C., Fu, J. & Zhernakova, A. Host genetics and gut microbiome: challenges and perspectives. *Trends Immunol.* 38, 633–647(2017).
- 11. Wang, J. et al. Meta-analysis of human genome-microbiome association studies: the MiBioGen consortium initiative. *Microbiome* **6**, 101 (2018).
- Sinha, R. et al. Assessment of variation in microbial community amplicon sequencing by the Microbiome Quality Control (MBQC) project consortium. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 35, 1077–1086 (2017).
- Sinha, R., Abnet, C. C., White, O., Knight, R. & Huttenhower, C. The microbiome quality control project: baseline study design and future directions. *Genome Biol.* 16, 276 (2015).
- Vandeputte, D., Tito, R. Y., Vanleeuwen, R., Falony, G. & Raes, J. Practical considerations for large-scale gut microbiome studies. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 41, S154–S167 (2017).
- Quast, C. et al. The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 41, D590–D596 (2012).
- Cole, J. R. et al. The Ribosomal Database Project: improved alignments and new tools for rRNA analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 37, D141–D145 (2009).
- Louis, P., Young, P., Holtrop, G. & Flint, H. J. Diversity of human colonic butyrate-producing bacteria revealed by analysis of the butyryl-CoA:acetate CoA-transferase gene. *Environ. Microbiol.* 12, 304–314 (2010).
- Westra, H.-J. et al. Systematic identification of trans eQTLs as putative drivers of known disease associations. *Nat. Genet.* 45, 1238–1243 (2013).
- Wason, J. M. S. & Dudbridge, F. A general framework for two-stage analysis of genome-wide association studies and its application to case-control studies. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 90, 760–773 (2012).
- Võsa, U. et al. Unraveling the polygenic architecture of complex traits using blood eQTL metaanalysis. Preprint at *bioRxiv* https://doi.org/10.1101/447367 (2018).
- Zhernakova, D. V. et al. Individual variations in cardiovascular-disease-related protein levels are driven by genetics and gut microbiome. *Nat. Genet.* 50, 1524–1532 (2018).
- 22. Blekhman, R. et al. Host genetic variation impacts microbiome composition across human body sites. *Genome Biol.* 16, 191 (2015).
- Machiela, M. J. & Chanock, S. J. LDlink: a web-based application for exploring population-specific haplotype structure and linking correlated alleles of possible functional variants. *Bioinformatics* 31, 3555–3557 (2015).
- Kashyap, P. C. et al. Genetically dictated change in host mucus carbohydrate landscape exerts a diet-dependent effect on the gut microbiota. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 110, 17059–17064 (2013).
- Crost, E. H. et al. Mechanistic insights into the cross-feeding of *Ruminococcus gnavus* and *Ruminococcus bromii* on host and dietary carbohydrates. *Front. Microbiol.* 9, 2558 (2018).
- Yoshii, K., Hosomi, K., Sawane, K. & Kunisawa, J. Metabolism of dietary and microbial vitamin B family in the regulation of host immunity. *Front. Nutr.* 6, 48 (2019).
- Haas, M. E. et al. Genetic association of albuminuria with cardiometabolic disease and blood pressure. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 103, 461–473 (2018).
- Rowley, C. A. & Kendall, M. M. To B<sub>12</sub> or not to B<sub>12</sub>: five questions on the role of cobalamin in host-microbial interactions. *PLoS Pathog.* 15, e1007479 (2019).
- Xu, Y. et al. Cobalamin (vitamin B<sub>12</sub>) induced a shift in microbial composition and metabolic activity in an in vitro colon simulation. *Front. Microbiol.* 9, 2780 (2018).
- Gysemans, C. et al. Interferon regulatory factor-1 is a key transcription factor in murine beta cells under immune attack. *Diabetologia* 52, 2374–2384 (2009).
- Watanabe, K., Taskesen, E., van Bochoven, A. & Posthuma, D. Functional mapping and annotation of genetic associations with FUMA. *Nat. Commun.* 8, 1826 (2017).
- 32. Watanabe, K. et al. A global overview of pleiotropy and genetic architecture in complex traits. *Nat. Genet.* **51**, 1339–1348 (2019).
- 33. Nicklas, T. A. et al. Self-perceived lactose intolerance results in lower intakes of calcium and dairy foods and is associated with hypertension and diabetes in adults. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 94, 191–198 (2011).
- Shin, S.-Y. et al. An atlas of genetic influences on human blood metabolites. Nat. Genet. 46, 543–550 (2014).

#### NATURE GENETICS

- 35. Suhre, K. et al. Metabolic footprint of diabetes: a multiplatform metabolomics study in an epidemiological setting. *PLoS ONE* **5**, e13953 (2010).
- Hemani, G. et al. The MR-Base platform supports systematic causal inference across the human phenome. *eLife* 7, e34408 (2018).
- 37. Qin, J. et al. A metagenome-wide association study of gut microbiota in type 2 diabetes. *Nature* **490**, 55–60 (2012).
- Koeth, R. A. et al. Intestinal microbiota metabolism of L-carnitine, a nutrient in red meat, promotes atherosclerosis. *Nat. Med.* 19, 576–585 (2013).
- 39. Coit, P. & Sawalha, A. H. The human microbiome in rheumatic autoimmune diseases: a comprehensive review. *Clin. Immunol.* **170**, 70–79 (2016).
- 40. Vatanen, T. et al. Variation in microbiome LPS immunogenicity contributes to autoimmunity in humans. *Cell* **165**, 842–853 (2016).
- O'Mahony, S. M., Clarke, G., Borre, Y. E., Dinan, T. G. & Cryan, J. F. Serotonin, tryptophan metabolism and the brain-gut-microbiome axis. *Behav. Brain Res.* 277, 32–48 (2015).
- Karlsson, F. H. et al. Gut metagenome in European women with normal, impaired and diabetic glucose control. *Nature* 498, 99–103 (2013).
- Wade, K. H. & Hall, L. J. Improving causality in microbiome research: can human genetic epidemiology help? Wellcome Open Res. 4, 199 (2019).
- 44. Brooks, J. P. et al. The truth about metagenomics: quantifying and counteracting bias in 16S rRNA studies. *BMC Microbiol.* **15**, 66 (2015).
- Coluccia, E. et al. Congruency of genetic predisposition to lactase persistence and lactose breath test. *Nutrients* 11, 1383 (2019).
- 46. Lapides, R. A. & Savaiano, D. A. Gender, age, race and lactose intolerance: is there evidence to support a differential symptom response? a scoping review. *Nutrients* 10, 1956 (2018).
- Valles-Colomer, M. et al. The neuroactive potential of the human gut microbiota in quality of life and depression. *Nat. Microbiol.* 4, 623–632 (2019).
- 48. Lloyd-Price, J. et al. Multi-omics of the gut microbial ecosystem in inflammatory bowel diseases. *Nature* **569**, 655–662 (2019).
- Vich Vila, A. et al. Gut microbiota composition and functional changes in inflammatory bowel disease and irritable bowel syndrome. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 10, eaap8914 (2018).
- Ottosson, F. et al. Connection between BMI-related plasma metabolite profile and gut microbiota. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 103, 1491–1501 (2018).

- Tun, H. M. et al. Roles of birth mode and infant gut microbiota in intergenerational transmission of overweight and obesity from mother to offspring. *JAMA Pediatr.* **172**, 368–377 (2018).
- Finnicum, C. T. et al. Metataxonomic analysis of individuals at BMI extremes and monozygotic twins discordant for BMI. *Twin Res. Hum. Genet.* 21, 203–213 (2018).
- 53. Sanna, S. et al. Causal relationships among the gut microbiome, short-chain fatty acids and metabolic diseases. *Nat. Genet.* **51**, 600–605 (2019).
- 54. Jia, J. et al. Assessment of causal direction between gut microbiota-dependent metabolites and cardiometabolic health: a bidirectional Mendelian randomization analysis. *Diabetes* 68, 1747–1755 (2019).
- 55. Yang, Q., Lin, S. L., Kwok, M. K., Leung, G. M. & Schooling, C. M. The roles of 27 genera of human gut microbiota in ischemic heart disease, type 2 diabetes mellitus, and their risk factors: a Mendelian randomization study. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* 187, 1916–1922 (2018).
- Rinninella, E. et al. What is the healthy gut microbiota composition? a changing ecosystem across age, environment, diet and diseases. *Microorganisms* 7, 14 (2019).
- Plichta, D. R., Graham, D. B., Subramanian, S. & Xavier, R. J. Therapeutic opportunities in inflammatory bowel disease: mechanistic dissection of host-microbiome relationships. *Cell* **178**, 1041–1056 (2019).
- Frank, D. N. et al. Molecular-phylogenetic characterization of microbial community imbalances in human inflammatory bowel diseases. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 104, 13780–13785 (2007).
- Morgan, X. C. et al. Dysfunction of the intestinal microbiome in inflammatory bowel disease and treatment. *Genome Biol.* 13, R79 (2012).
- Tursi, A. et al. Treatment of relapsing mild-to-moderate ulcerative colitis with the probiotic VSL#3 as adjunctive to a standard pharmaceutical treatment: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study. *Am. J. Gastroenterol.* 105, 2218–2227 (2010).
- 61. Scher, J. U. et al. The lung microbiota in early rheumatoid arthritis and autoimmunity. *Microbiome* **4**, 60 (2016).

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature America, Inc. 2021

Alexander Kurilshikov<sup>1,73</sup>, Carolina Medina-Gomez<sup>2,3,73</sup>, Rodrigo Bacigalupe<sup>4,5,73</sup>, Djawad Radjabzadeh<sup>2,73</sup>, Jun Wang<sup>4,5,6,73</sup>, Ayse Demirkan<sup>1,7</sup>, Caroline I. Le Roy<sup>®</sup>, Juan Antonio Raygoza Garay<sup>10,10</sup>, Casey T. Finnicum<sup>11</sup>, Xingrong Liu<sup>12</sup>, Daria V. Zhernakova<sup>1,13</sup>, Marc Jan Bonder<sup>1</sup>, Tue H. Hansen<sup>14</sup>, Fabian Frost<sup>15</sup>, Malte C. Rühlemann<sup>16</sup>, Williams Turpin<sup>9,10</sup>, Jee-Young Moon<sup>17</sup>, Han-Na Kim<sup>18,19</sup>, Kreete Lüll<sup>22</sup>, Elad Barkan<sup>21</sup>, Shiraz A. Shah<sup>22</sup>, Myriam Fornage<sup>23,24</sup>, Joanna Szopinska-Tokov<sup>25</sup>, Zachary D. Wallen<sup>26</sup>, Dmitrii Borisevich<sup>14</sup>, Lars Agreus<sup>27</sup>, Anna Andreasson<sup>28</sup>, Corinna Bang<sup>16</sup>, Larbi Bedrani<sup>9</sup>, Jordana T. Bell<sup>8</sup>, Hans Bisgaard<sup>22</sup>, Michael Boehnke<sup>29</sup>, Dorret I. Boomsma<sup>30</sup>, Robert D. Burk<sup>31,32</sup>, Annique Claringbould<sup>1</sup>, Kenneth Croitoru<sup>9,10</sup>, Gareth E. Davies<sup>11,30</sup>, Cornelia M. van Duijn<sup>10,33,34</sup>, Liesbeth Duijts<sup>3,35</sup>, Gwen Falony<sup>14,5</sup>, Jingyuan Fu<sup>1,36</sup>, Adriaan van der Graaf<sup>10</sup>, Torben Hansen<sup>14</sup>, Georg Homuth<sup>37</sup>, David A. Hughes<sup>38,39</sup>, Richard G. Ijzerman<sup>40</sup>, Matthew A. Jackson<sup>8,41</sup>, Vincent W. V. Jaddoe<sup>3,33</sup>, Marie Joossens<sup>4,5</sup>, Torben Jørgensen<sup>42</sup>, Daniel Keszthelyi<sup>43,44</sup>, Rob Knight<sup>1</sup>, Markku Laakso<sup>48</sup>, Matthias Laudes<sup>49</sup>, Lenore J. Launer<sup>50</sup>, Wolfgang Lieb<sup>51</sup>, Aldons J. Lusis<sup>1</sup><sup>52,53</sup>, Ad A. M. Masclee<sup>43,44</sup>, Henriette A. Moll<sup>35</sup>, Zlatan Mujagic<sup>43,44</sup>, Qi Qibin<sup>17</sup>, Daphna Rothschild<sup>21</sup>, Hocheol Shin<sup>54,55</sup>, Søren J. Sørensen<sup>56</sup>, Claire J. Steves<sup>8</sup>, Jonathan Thorsen<sup>22</sup>, Nicholas J. Timpson<sup>38,39</sup>, Raul Y. Tito<sup>4,5</sup>, Sara Vieira-Silva<sup>4,5</sup>, Uwe Völker<sup>1</sup><sup>37</sup>, Henry Völzke<sup>57</sup>, Urmo Võsa<sup>1</sup>, Kaitlin H. Wade<sup>38,39</sup>, Susanna Walter<sup>58,59</sup>, Kyoko Watanabe<sup>60</sup>, Stefan Weiss<sup>15,37</sup>, Frank U. Weiss<sup>15</sup>, Omer Weissbrod<sup>61</sup>, Harm-Jan Westra<sup>1</sup>, Gonneke Willemsen<sup>30</sup>, Haydeh Payami<sup>26</sup>, Daisy M. A. E. Jonkers<sup>43,44</sup>, Alejandro Arias Vasquez<sup>25,62</sup>, Eco J. C. de Geus<sup>30,63</sup>, Katie A. Meyer<sup>64,65</sup>, Jakob Stokholm<sup>10</sup><sup>22</sup>,

Eran Segal<sup>®</sup><sup>21</sup>, Elin Org<sup>20</sup>, Cisca Wijmenga<sup>®</sup><sup>1</sup>, Hyung-Lae Kim<sup>66</sup>, Robert C. Kaplan<sup>67</sup>, Tim D. Spector<sup>®</sup><sup>8</sup>, Andre G. Uitterlinden<sup>®</sup><sup>2,3,33</sup>, Fernando Rivadeneira<sup>®</sup><sup>2,3</sup>, Andre Franke<sup>16</sup>, Markus M. Lerch<sup>®</sup><sup>15</sup>, Lude Franke<sup>1</sup>, Serena Sanna<sup>®</sup><sup>1,68</sup>, Mauro D'Amato<sup>®</sup><sup>12,69,70,71</sup>, Oluf Pedersen<sup>®</sup><sup>14</sup>, Andrew D. Paterson<sup>72</sup>, Robert Kraaij<sup>2,74</sup>, Jeroen Raes<sup>®</sup><sup>4,5,74</sup> and Alexandra Zhernakova<sup>®</sup><sup>1,74</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Genetics, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands. <sup>2</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Erasmus MC University Medical Center, Rotterdam, the Netherlands. <sup>3</sup>The Generation R Study, Erasmus MC University Medical Center, Rotterdam, the Netherlands. <sup>4</sup>Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Rega Institute, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium. <sup>5</sup>Center for Microbiology, VIB, Leuven, Belgium. <sup>6</sup>Institute of Microbiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China. <sup>7</sup>Section of Statistical Multi-Omics, Department of Clinical & Experimental Medicine, School of Biosciences & Medicine, University of Surrey, Guildford, UK. 8Department of Twin Research & Genetic Epidemiology, King's College London, London, UK. <sup>9</sup>Department of Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada. <sup>10</sup>Division of Gastroenterology, Mount Sinai Hospital, Toronto, Ontario, Canada. <sup>11</sup>Avera Institute of Human Genetics, Avera McKennan Hospital & University Health Center, Sioux Falls, SD, USA. <sup>12</sup>Center for Molecular Medicine and Clinical Epidemiology Division, Department of Medicine Solna, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden. <sup>13</sup>Laboratory of Genomic Diversity, Center for Computer Technologies, ITMO University, St. Petersburg, Russia. <sup>14</sup>Novo Nordisk Foundation Center for Basic Metabolic Research, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark. <sup>15</sup>Department of Medicine A, University Medicine Greifswald, Greifswald, Germany. <sup>16</sup>Institute of Clinical Molecular Biology, Christian-Albrechts-University of Kiel, Kiel, Germany. <sup>17</sup>Department of Epidemiology and Population Health, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, NY, USA. <sup>18</sup>Medical Research Institute, Kangbuk Samsung Hospital, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea. <sup>19</sup>Department of Clinical Research Design and Evaluation, SAIHST, Sungkyunkwan University, Seoul, Republic of Korea. <sup>20</sup>Estonian Genome Centre, Institute of Genomics, University of Tartu, Tartu, Estonia. <sup>21</sup>Department of Computer Science and Cell Biology, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel. <sup>22</sup>COPSAC, Copenhagen University Hospital, Copenhagen, Denmark. <sup>23</sup>Institute of Molecular Medicine McGovern Medical School, The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, Houston, TX, USA. <sup>24</sup>Human Genetics Center School of Public Health, The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, Houston, TX, USA. <sup>25</sup>Department of Psychiatry, Radboudumc, Donders Institute for Brain, Cognition and Behaviour, Nijmegen, the Netherlands. <sup>26</sup>Department of Neurology, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, USA. <sup>27</sup>Division of Family Medicine and Primary Care, Department of Neurobiology, Care Sciences and Society, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden. <sup>28</sup>Stress Research Institute, Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden. <sup>29</sup>Department of Biostatistics and Center for Statistical Genetics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA. <sup>30</sup>Biological Psychology, Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam, the Netherlands. <sup>31</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, NY, USA. 32Department of Microbiology & Immunology, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, NY, USA. 33Department of Epidemiology, Erasmus MC University Medical Center, Rotterdam, the Netherlands. <sup>34</sup>Nuffield Department of Population Health, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK. 35 Department of Pediatrics, Erasmus MC University Medical Center, Rotterdam, the Netherlands. 36 Department of Pediatrics, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands. <sup>37</sup>Department of Functional Genomics, Interfaculty Institute for Genetics and Functional Genomics, University Medicine Greifswald, Greifswald, Germany. <sup>38</sup>MRC Integrative Epidemiology Unit, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK. <sup>39</sup>Population Health Sciences, Bristol Medical School, Bristol, UK. <sup>40</sup>Department of Endocrinology, Amsterdam University Medical Center, location VUMC, Amsterdam, the Netherlands. <sup>41</sup>Kennedy Institute of Rheumatology, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK. <sup>42</sup>Centre for Clinical Research and Prevention, Bispebjerg/Frederiksberg Hospital, Capital Region of Copenhagen and Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark. 43Division of Gastroenterology-Hepatology, Maastricht University Medical Center+, Maastricht, the Netherlands. 44NUTRIM School of Nutrition and Translational Research in Metabolism, Maastricht University, Maastricht, the Netherlands. 45 Department of Pediatrics, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA. <sup>46</sup>Center for Microbiome Innovation, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA. <sup>47</sup>Center for Microbiome Innovation and Department of Bioengeering, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA. 48 Institute of Clinical Medicine, Internal Medicine, University of Eastern Finland, Kuopio, Finland. 49 Department of Medicine I, University Hospital Schleswig-Holstein, Campus Kiel, Kiel, Germany. 50 Laboratory of Epidemiology and Population Science, National Institute on Aging, Bethesda, MD, USA. <sup>51</sup>Institute of Epidemiology, Kiel University, Kiel, Germany. <sup>52</sup>Departments of Microbiology, Immunology and Molecular Genetics, and Human Genetics, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA. <sup>53</sup>Department of Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA. <sup>54</sup>Department of Family Medicine, Kangbuk Samsung Hospital, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea. 55 Center for Cohort Studies, Total Healthcare Center, Kangbuk Samsung Hospital, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea. 56 Department of Biology, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark. <sup>57</sup>Institute for Community Medicine, University Medicine Greifswald, Greifswald, Germany. <sup>58</sup>Department of Biomedical and Clinical Sciences, University of Linköping, Linköping, Sweden. 59 Department of Gastroenterology, County Council of Östergötland, Linköping, Sweden. 60 Department of Complex Trait Genetics, Center for Neurogenomics and Cognitive Research, Neuroscience Campus Amsterdam, VU University Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands. 61School of Public Health, Harvard University, Boston, MA, USA. 62Department of Human Genetics, Radboudumc, Donders Institute for Brain, Cognition and Behaviour, Nijmegen, the Netherlands. <sup>63</sup>Amsterdam Public Health, Amsterdam UMC, Amsterdam, the Netherlands. <sup>64</sup>Department of Nutrition, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA. 65Nutrition Research Institute, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Kannapolis, NC, USA. 66Department of Biochemistry, Ewha Womans University School of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea. 67Division of Public Health Sciences, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA, USA. 68 Istituto di Ricerca Genetica e Biomedica, National Research Council, Monserrato, Italy. 69 School of Biological Sciences, Monash University, Clayton, Victoria, Australia. 70 Department of Gastrointestinal and Liver Diseases, Biodonostia Health Research Institute, San Sebastián, Spain. 71 Ikerbasque, Basque Science Foundation, Bilbao, Spain. 72 Genetics and Genome Biology, The Hospital for Sick Children Research Institute, Toronto, Ontario, Canada. 73 These authors contributed equally: Alexander Kurilshikov, Carolina Medina-Gomez, Rodrigo Bacigalupe, Djawad Radjabzadeh, Jun Wang. <sup>74</sup>These authors jointly supervised this work: Robert Kraaij, Jeroen Raes, Alexandra Zhernakova. e-mail: alexa.kur@gmail.com; sasha.zhernakova@gmail.com

#### NATURE GENETICS

#### Methods

**Data collection.** A total of 24 cohorts, comprising 18,340 participants of different ancestries and ages, participated in the microbiome GWAS analysis (Supplementary Tables 1 and 2). The Supplementary Note provides detailed descriptions of data collection for each cohort.

**16S microbiome data processing.** The rationale behind the selection of the 16S rRNA processing pipeline was described previously<sup>11</sup>. In short, the divergence in the 16S rRNA gene domains between cohorts makes OTU-level analysis impossible, while the use of a direct taxonomic classification of the reads and an up-to-date reference database allowed us to achieve good between-domain concordance of taxonomic composition and a higher mapping rate.

The participating cohorts varied in their sample collection protocol, selection of DNA purification kits used to extract DNA from fecal samples, the 16S domain selected for PCR (Supplementary Table 1), read length and depth, post-sequencing quality control (QC) and the software used to merge tags of paired-end sequencing. After processing the QC-filtered merged reads, all cohorts implemented the standardized 16S processing pipeline (https://github.com/alexa-kur/miQTL\_ cookbook/) that uses SILVA (release 128)<sup>15</sup> as a reference database, with truncation of the taxonomic resolution of the database to genus level.

Briefly, the procedure was as follows. First, all samples were rarefied to 10,000 reads using a predefined random seed to allow for rarefaction reproducibility. Samples with fewer than 10,000 reads were discarded. Second, RDP classifier (v.2.12)<sup>16</sup> was used to bin the reads to a reference database. For each taxonomic level, the posterior probability of 0.8 was used as a cutoff to bin each read to the corresponding taxon. The posterior cutoff probability was traced for each taxonomic level separately. For example, if the posterior probability passed the cutoff on family level but not on genus level, the read was binned to taxonomy on the family level (all corresponding upper taxonomic levels) and discarded on the genus level. It was also assigned to a special 'NOTAX\_genus' pseudo-taxon to maintain data compositionality.

To characterize the contribution of cohort-wise metadata (16S domain, DNA extraction method, cohort ancestry, lysis temperature and type of lysis buffer) to the microbiome composition, we used a distance-based redundancy analysis test in which each cohort represented a sample and variables represented mean abundances of genera in the corresponding cohort (taxa with prevalence below 20% were discarded). The association of metadata with richness was performed by multivariate linear regression analysis.

The alpha diversity indices, including Shannon, Simpson and inverse Simpson indices, were calculated on genus level with non-adjusted, non-transformed taxa counts. For all other analyses, the taxonomic counts of non-zero samples were natural log transformed and adjusted for potential covariate effects using linear regression. The list of covariates used in the regression models varied between cohorts, but always included sex, age, genetic principal components (PCs) calculated on non-imputed genetic data (3 PCs for monoancesty cohorts, 10 PCs for multiancestry cohorts and 5 PCs for the HCHS/SOL cohort as a multiancestry population of different, but closely related ancestries; see Supplementary Note for cohort descriptions) and cohort-specific potential microbiome batch effects, if applicable. Variables such as the length of time in non-frozen storage and the 16S sequencing batch were also included. The residuals of the adjustment were then scaled and centered (mean = 0 and SD = 1).

In the analysis of microbiome composition heterogeneity, the cohorts SHIP/ SHIP-TREND and GEM\_HCE\_v12/GEM\_HCE\_v24/GEM\_HCE\_ICHIP were merged to SHIP and GEM, respectively, because they were analyzed with exactly the same protocols in the same laboratories. In the microbiome-genetics analysis, these five cohorts were included individually as they differed in the genotyping arrays and/or general populations they represented.

For each cohort, only the taxa present in more than 10% of the samples were included in the mbQTL mapping, whereas taxa present in more than 10% but less than 90% of the samples were included in the mbBTL mapping (Supplementary Table 3). Study-wide cutoffs for mbQTL mapping included an effective sample size of at least 3,000 samples and presence in at least three cohorts. For mbBTLs, a mean abundance higher than 1% in the taxon-positive samples was required. This resulted in 211 taxa (131 genera, 35 families, 20 orders, 16 classes and 9 phyla) that passed taxon inclusion cutoffs for mbQTL analysis and 177 taxa (108 genera, 34 families, 16 orders, 12 classes and 7 phyla) for mbBTL analysis.

**Genetic data processing.** Despite the difference in genotyping array platforms, most cohorts used similar procedures for imputation and post-imputation filtering steps. Twenty-three of 24 cohorts used the Michigan Imputation Server (https://imputationserver.sph.umich.edu/index.html) for imputation, using the HRC 1.0 or 1.1 reference panel<sup>62</sup>. Due to restrictions in manipulating data, the PNP study employed an in-house pipeline for imputation instead, using IMPUTE2 software (v.2.3.2)<sup>63,64</sup> and the 1000G reference panel with addition of population-matched genotypes of Jewish individuals<sup>65</sup>. The post-imputation cutoffs were the same for PNP and the other cohorts.

Post-imputation VCFs were transformed into TriTyper format and filtered using GenotypeHarmonizer software (v.1.4.20)<sup>66</sup>. The following cutoffs were

1,009 GWAS performed before the UK Biobank effort, all categorized under 27 phenotype domains. Next, we tested if any of these 27 domains were enriched by the phenotypes associated with one of the SNPs of interest (using a liberal *P*-value threshold of 0.05 for the SNP–phenotype association) as compared to the expected distributions under the null hypothesis. To obtain the distributions under the null hypothesis, we selected the best matching 1,000 SNPs for each top SNP using SNPSNAP<sup>72</sup>, matched by allele frequency, gene density, number of LD pairs and distance from the closest gene.

We then extracted corresponding results from the GWASATLAS for the matched 30,000 SNPs (1,000 matching SNPs for each top mbTL SNP). The enrichment of each domain was tested by comparing the proportions of observed and expected significant results for the SNPs of interest using the 'prop.test' function in R. This resulted in one-sided *P* values and ORs. Seven domains (aging; body structures; connective tissue; ear, nose and throat; infection; muscular; and social interactions) that included fewer than 20 GWAS tables were excluded from the enrichment tests, resulting in 20 domains. We used a conservative Bonferroni-based *P*-value threshold of  $8.06 \times 10^{-5}$  for the enrichment testing, accounting for 20 domains and a total of 31 mbTL top SNPs derived from both the mbQTL and mbBTL mapping. In addition, we performed gene-based PheWAS lookups in the GWASATLAS for candidate genes of interest within 250 kb around the association peaks, as defined by the FUMA algorithms.

The genetic correlation between *Bifidobacterium* and its PheWAS-related traits (Supplementary Table 12) was estimated following an LD-score regression approach<sup>67</sup> using the 'ldsc' tool. For testing colocalization of the PheWAS signals, we used the approximate Bayes factor approach as implemented by the 'coloc. abf' function from the 'coloc' library in R<sup>73</sup>, using genetic variants within ±250 kb around the top signals.

**Mendelian randomization analysis.** MR analyses were performed in R using TwoSampleMR package (v.0.5.5)<sup>36</sup>. Causality direction was tested between the microbiome and two data types: (1) autoimmune, cardiovascular, metabolic (including weight-related phenotypes) and psychological diseases (GWAS summary statistics from MRBase<sup>36</sup>) known to be associated with microbiome composition<sup>2,3,37–42,47</sup> and (2) 42 nutritional phenotypes and alcohol intake frequency from the UK Biobank round 2 (http://www.nealelab.is/uk-biobank/).

For MR analyses, the combined meta-analysis effects and s.e. values from inverse-variance meta-analysis were used.

To test if a complex trait affected microbiome composition, we selected independent genetic variants associated with complex traits at the genome-wide significant level ( $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ ) and used these as instruments in our MR analyses. For complex diseases, we transformed ORs and CIs to effect sizes and s.e. values using the built-in function of the TwoSampleMR package. To test if microbiome changes were causally linked to complex traits, we first confined ourselves to bacteria with genome-wide significant QTLs. For these, we selected all SNPs with a less stringent cutoff of  $P < 1 \times 10^{-5}$  in our MR analyses as instruments. This strategy was used to increase the number of SNPs available to perform sensitivity analyses, as shown previously53. Independent SNPs were selected as instrumental variables based on  $r^2 < 0.001$  in 1000 G European data, within the TwoSampleMR package. When no shared SNPs were available between exposure and outcome, proxies from the 1000G European data ( $r^2 > 0.8$ ) were added. We kept only the results based on at least three shared SNPs. MR causality tests were performed using the Wald ratio, and Wald ratios were meta-analyzed using the IVW method74. We also estimated the causality using additional methods: the weighted mode method71 which provides an alternative approach to IVW; MR-Egger<sup>76</sup>, which estimates the degree of horizontal pleiotropy in the data; and MR PRESSO77, which estimates the pleiotropy and corrects for it by removing outliers from the IVW model. We also assessed the heterogeneity of the results using Cochran's Q statistic74 and using leave-one-out analyses<sup>36</sup>. We estimated instrument variable strengths using F statistics: the amount of variance explained by instrument variables was calculated for each exposure using the TwoSampleMR package (get\_r\_from\_lor function) for binary traits and phenotypic variation (PVE) as defined by Shim  $r^2 \times (N-1-k)$ et al.<sup>78</sup> for quantitative traits. F statistics were then calculated as  $\frac{r^2 \times (N-1-k)}{(1-r^2) \times k}$ , where

 $r^2$  is the variance explained, *N* is the sample size and *k* is the number of instrument variables. We retained the results for the conventional threshold of *F* statistics > 10 (ref. <sup>79</sup>).

After performing the MR tests, we excluded duplicated GWAS traits, as the same phenotype is often studied in multiple GWAS. To remove the duplicates, we kept the study with the largest sample size among all the tested GWAS studies for each trait.

After excluding duplicates and tests performed with weak instruments (*F* statistics < 10), we applied a BH correction for multiple testing to the results obtained from the IVW MR test, and subsequently used a stringent filtering procedure on the significant results to avoid false positives. Specifically, we removed the MR results that were based on fewer than three SNPs and thus could not be further investigated with sensitivity analyses. We also removed the MR results that were not supported by other MR tests (weighted mode method P > 0.05, MR PRESSO P > 0.05) and those that showed substantial pleiotropy or heterogeneity as estimated by MR-Egger (MR-Egger intercept P < 0.05) or MR PRESSO outliers-adjusted test (P > 0.05), as well as those where leave-one-out

applied for inclusion: minor allele frequency > 0.05, pointwise imputation QC > 0.4 and SNP-wise call rate filtering > 0.95.

Heritability analysis. Heritability was calculated using data collected on 169 MZ and 419 DZ pairs of twins from the TwinsUK cohort (total of 1,176 individuals). Twin-based heritability was calculated by fitting an ACE model using the OpenMx package (v.2.8.3), as previously described<sup>5</sup>. Before heritability estimation, the taxonomic abundance was normalized using inverse rank-sum transformation. Since the NTR cohort comprised only MZ twins, the between-cohort heritability concordance was calculated as the correlation of ICC for MZ twins. The Pearson correlation of ICC between the TwinsUK and NTR cohorts was used to estimate the concordance. For mbQTLs, SNP-based heritability was calculated by LD score regression using the 'LDSC' tool<sup>67</sup>.

# **Microbiome GWAS analysis.** The modified version of the eQTL mapping pipeline (https://github.com/molgenis/systemsgenetics/tree/master/eqtl-mapping-pipeline/) was used to perform mbQTL mapping<sup>18</sup>.

The microbiome GWAS was performed in three ways. First, we performed GWAS on three microbiome alpha diversity metrics (Shannon, Simpson and Inverse Simpson), using the Spearman correlation between SNP dosages and alpha diversity metrics after adjustment for age, sex, technical covariates and genetic PCs.

Second, we used the Spearman correlation to identify loci that affected the covariate-adjusted abundance of bacterial taxa, excluding samples with zero abundance (mbQTLs).

Third, we identified the loci associated with probability of presence versus absence of the bacterial taxon (mbBTLs). To perform mbBTL analysis, we used a two-stage approach composed of fast correlation screening followed by logistic regression analysis as a robust method for binary traits GWAS<sup>19</sup>. First, we calculated the Pearson correlation between SNP dosage and bacterial presence encoded as 0/1, without adjusting for any covariate effect and using the previously mentioned eQTL mapping pipeline, and used weighted z-score meta-analysis to calculate noncentrality for SNP-taxon association. Finally, all SNP-taxon pairs with a P value  $< 1 \times 10^{-4}$  in the first-stage meta-analysis were recalculated using multiple logistic regression (R base package, versions from 3.2.0 to 3.5.1 depending on the group) with bacterial presence as an outcome and using SNP dosage along with the list of covariates as predictors. All the mbBTLs that reached the nominal genome-wide significance threshold ( $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ ) in logistic regression had a Pearson correlation P value (at first stage) more significant than  $P < 10^{-6}$ , presuming the completeness of the two-stage procedure in revealing genome-wide significant mbBTL using a cutoff of  $P < 10^{-4}$  at the first stage of analysis.

**mbTL meta-analysis.** Meta-analysis was performed using a weighted *z*-score method implemented in BinaryMetaAnalyzer (v.1.0.13B available on MiBioGen Cookbook), a part of the eQTL mapping pipeline that was used in large-scale eQTL meta-analyses<sup>18,20</sup>. For each cohort, *z*-scores were calculated from Spearman correlation *P* values using inverse normal transformation, transforming two-tailed *P* values to one-tailed *P* values and tracing the effect directions using the following formula:

$$\operatorname{sign}(R_{Sp}) \times \operatorname{qnorm}(1 - P/2)$$

where sign( $R_{sp}$ ) denotes the sign of Spearman correlation, 'qnorm' denotes the quantile function for the normal distribution and *P* denotes the two-tailed *P* value of the Spearman correlation. For mbQTLs, the cohorts were weighted by the square root of the effective sample size (the number of samples having the bacterial taxon). For mbQTLs, the square root of the reported cohort size was used as a weighting for each study. The summary statistics generated for mbQTLs also include meta-analysis effect sizes and s.e. values. These were generated using the inverse-variance weighted (IVW) meta-analysis method performed on the per-cohort effect sizes and standard errors, backtracked from association *z*-scores and minor allele frequencies using the strategy proposed and implemented by Zhu et al.<sup>68</sup>, who also provide a detailed derivation of the following equations:

$$b = 2S$$

$$S = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2p(1-p)(n+z^2)}}$$

where b is the estimated effect size, S is the estimated s.e., p is the allele frequency and n is the sample size.

**Heterogeneity exploration analysis.** Cross-study heterogeneity of the effects of genetic variants in the relative abundance of taxonomical units was assessed using Cochran's *Q* test for heterogeneity<sup>69</sup>, as implemented in METAL (v2018-08-28)<sup>70</sup>, for all genome-wide significant variants ( $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ ) found in our main analysis. To avoid reporting false-positive associations due to different study designs or data collection methods, we used a stringent threshold of P < 0.05 to reject the null hypothesis of no heterogeneity. This threshold is conservative considering that several variants were tested simultaneously, and no correction for multiple testing

was applied. When there was evidence of heterogeneity, a random-effects model was also implemented at the meta-analysis level to confirm the association results, using the metaphor R package (v.2.0-0; https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/metafor/metafor.pdf).

Additionally, when there was evidence for heterogeneity of a SNP effect across cohorts, we implemented a meta-regression approach using the same package to assess whether variables such as age, ancestry or sequenced region could explain the observed effect-size heterogeneity.

Analysis of SNP-age interaction analysis in the *LCT* locus. To discover whether the association of functional SNPs in the *LCT* locus to the abundance of the *Bifidobacterium* genus varied between groups of adults and infants, we performed age–SNP interaction analysis in the GEM cohort, which comprises three subcohorts that each have a comparable number of individuals above and below pubertal age. The age of 17 years was selected to split the cohort into two groups: adolescents or adults. Since the GEM cohort was composed of three subcohorts of different ancestry composition, we evaluated the interaction in both joint analysis and in each subcohort separately, using the following formula:

$$\begin{array}{ll} Bac = & sex + PC(1-3) + age_{group} + cohort + SNP_{dos} + SNP_{HZ} \\ & + SNP_{GT}: age_{group} \end{array}$$

where 'bac' is the log-transformed count of genus *Bifidobacterium*, 'PC(1–3)' are three floats with the first three genetic PCs, 'cohort' is a batch variable that determines the cohort to which the sample belongs, 'SNP<sub>dos</sub>' is a float-encoded dosage of alternative allele, 'SNP<sub>HZ</sub>' is a Boolean variable describing heterozygosity, 'SNP<sub>GT</sub>' is a genotype encoded as an unordered factor and 'age<sub>group</sub>' is a two-level factor (above or below split level). The inclusion of a numeric dosage variable and a Boolean SNP<sub>HZ</sub> variable allowed us to properly adjust for the recessive effect of the SNP on *Bifidobacterium* abundance without neglecting SNP imputation uncertainty as embedded in SNP dosage.

The analysis was then repeated for each GEM subcohort separately, using the same model.

Association of mbTL-associated taxa with host phenotypes. Bacterial taxa found to be significantly associated with genetic determinants were correlated with 207 host phenotypes, including the intrinsic host properties, diet, disease and medication information, in the LLD and FGFP cohorts. We used the Spearman correlation with BH adjustment for multiple testing to assess the correlation between phenotypes and bacteria that had mbQTLs. For the taxa with mbQTLs, the abundance was transformed to a binary trait encoding presence/absence.

FUMA analyses of meta-analysis results. Functional mapping and annotation of 31 meta-analysis results were performed with FUMA (v1.3.5), an integrated web-based platform<sup>31</sup>. Summary statistics from the mbQTL analyses for each of the 20 independent association signals were used in the analysis. Genome-wide significant loci and their boundaries were defined as nonoverlapping genomic regions that extend across an LD window of  $r^2 \ge 0.4$  (based on the 1000G European reference panel)<sup>71</sup> from the association signals with  $P < 5.0 \times 10^{-8}$ . Independent ( $r^2 < 0.1$ ) lead SNPs from each locus were defined as those most strongly associated with a microbial trait (that is, with the lowest *P* value) at the specific region. Multiple risk loci were merged into a single genomic locus if the distance between their LD blocks was <250 kb.

Functional annotation of all candidate risk SNPs was obtained from different repositories integrated in FUMA. Furthermore, these functionally annotated SNPs were mapped to protein-coding genes using the following two strategies: (1) positional mapping, with the maximum distance of 10 kb to protein-coding genes and (2) eQTL mapping, using information from data repositories such as GTEx v7 and Blood eQTL browser (http://genenetwork.nl/bloodeqtbrowser/)<sup>20</sup>.

As the mbBTL mapping procedure provided accurate statistics for only a subset of SNPs ('Microbiome GWAS analysis'), and we thus lacked full summary statistics, we only performed positional mapping for mbBTLs, taking in the protein-coding genes within a 10-kb distance of the ten leading SNPs for each trait.

All mapped protein-coding genes were combined into one list for either mbQTL or mbBTL analysis before performing GSEA integrated in FUMA. In further investigations, hypergeometric tests of enrichment of all mapped genes were performed not only in tissue-specific (differentially expressed) gene sets, but also in gene sets curated from various sources, for example, MsigDB. We reported all enriched gene sets (22) with a false discovery rate-adjusted *P* value < 0.05.

**PheWAS, genetic correlation and colocalization analysis.** We performed the PheWAS lookups in the summary statistics results of 4,155 traits collected by the GWASATLAS<sup>32</sup> (http://atlas.ctglab.nl/, accessed on 25 September 2019) database for the top SNPs for each mbQTL locus that were revealed by either mbQTL or mbBTL mapping. GWASATLAS includes 600 traits from the UK Biobank and is enriched with extensive phenotypes on proteomics (n = 1,124 proteins), hematology (n = 36), metabolomics (n = 1,145 metabolic features) and immune markers (n = 241), studied across variable sample sizes. It also contains

#### **NATURE GENETICS**

analysis identified one SNP driving the signal (all but one leave-one-out configurations had P < 0.05). Of note, the MR-Egger slope, which represents the causal estimate, was not used as a filtering step given the reduced power to detect causal effects. Furthermore, for all but one of the reported MR results that passed all the filters above, the MR-Egger slope P value was greater than 0.05; therefore, an MR-Egger intercept P < 0.05 cannot be used to exclude the presence of pleiotropy. Even though many of our MR-Egger intercept results provided little evidence of directional pleiotropy, it is worth noting that a P < 0.05 cannot exclude the presence of pleiotropy and requires further understanding of the biological mechanisms underpinning the relationship between genetic variation, the gut microbiome and health outcomes. To exclude more complex causality scenarios, we also removed those results for which the reverse MR P value was below 0.05. Of note, the causal relationship identified for the microbiome feature class Actinobacteria (as exposure) and ulcerative colitis (outcome) showed a consistent effect direction when just using the only genome-wide significant SNP, but with wider CIs  $(OR = 0.40 (95\% CI: 0.22-0.71), P_{nominal} = 0.002).$ 

**Reporting Summary.** Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

#### Data availability

Full GWAS summary statistics for mbQTLs are available at www.mibiogen.org, built using the MOLGENIS framework<sup>80</sup>.

16S data availability:

BSPSPC and FOCUS data is available from the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under accession PRJNA673102.

All CARDIA data, including 16S rRNA sequencing, cannot be made publicly available due to the confidentiality restrictions. The data can be requested from CARDIA Study Data Coordinating Center at the University of Alabama at Birmingham, following CARDIA Confidentiality Certification rules. The process for obtaining data through CARDIA is outlined at https://www.cardia.dopm.uab. edu/publications-2/publications-documents.

COPSAC data are available on SRA (PRJNA683912).

DanFunD data are not deposited on the public databases due to legal and ethical restrictions. Access to the data and biological material can be granted by the DanFunD steering committee (https://www.frederiksberghospital.dk/ckff/sektioner/SBE/danfund/Sider/How-to-collaborate.aspx).

FGFP data are available on the European Genome-Phenome Archive (EGA) under accession EGAS00001004420.

GEM data are available on the SRA (PRJEB14839).

Generation R and Rotterdam Study data cannot be made publicly available due to ethical and legal restrictions; these data are available upon request to the data manager of the Rotterdam Study (f.vanrooij@erasmusmc.nl) or of the Generation R Study (c.kruithof@erasmusmc.nl), subject to local rules and regulations. HCHS/SOL data are available from the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) under accession ERP117287.

KSCS data are available at the public repository, Clinical and Omics data archives in the Korea National Institute of Health under accession R000635.

LLD and MIBS data are available from EGA (EGAS00001001704 and EGAS0000100924).

METSIM data are available on the SRA (SRP097785).

NGRC data are available on the ENA (ERP016332).

The NTR has a data access committee that reviews data requests and will make data available to interested researchers. The data come from extended twin families and pedigree structures with twins, which create privacy concerns and thus cannot be shared on publicly available databases. Researchers may contact eco.de.geus@vu.nl for data requests.

PNP is available on the ENA (PRJEB11532).

POPCOL is available on the EGA (EGAS00001004869).

SHIP and SHIP-TREND data can be obtained from the SHIP data management unit via an online data access application form (https://www.fvcm.med. uni-greifswald.de/dd\_service/data\_use\_intro.php).

TwinsUK data are available on the ENA under accession ERP015317.

#### Code availability

All code used in the study is available on the Consortium GitHub (https://github. com/alexa-kur/miQTL\_cookbook) or on the websites of corresponding software packages.

#### References

- 62. McCarthy, S. et al. A reference panel of 64,976 haplotypes for genotype imputation. *Nat. Genet.* **48**, 1279–1283 (2016).
- 63. Howie, B., Marchini, J. & Stephens, M. Genotype imputation with thousands of genomes. G3 1, 457-470 (2011).
- 64. Howie, B., Fuchsberger, C., Stephens, M., Marchini, J. & Abecasis, G. R. Fast and accurate genotype imputation in genome-wide association studies through pre-phasing. *Nat. Genet.* 44, 955–959 (2012).

- Carmi, S. et al. Sequencing an Ashkenazi reference panel supports population-targeted personal genomics and illuminates Jewish and European origins. *Nat. Commun.* 5, 4835 (2014).
- Deelen, P. et al. Genotype harmonizer: automatic strand alignment and format conversion for genotype data integration. *BMC Res. Notes* 7, 901 (2014).
- 67. Bulik-Sullivan, B. et al. An atlas of genetic correlations across human diseases and traits. *Nat. Genet.* 47, 1236–1241 (2015).
- Zhu, Z. et al. Integration of summary data from GWAS and eQTL studies predicts complex trait gene targets. *Nat. Genet.* 48, 481–487 (2016).
- Cochran, W. G. The combination of estimates from different experiments. Biometrics 10, 101–129 (1954).
- Willer, C. J., Li, Y. & Abecasis, G. R. METAL: fast and efficient meta-analysis of genomewide association scans. *Bioinformatics* 26, 2190–2191 (2010).
- Auton, A. et al. A global reference for human genetic variation. *Nature* 526, 68–74 (2015).
- Pers, T. H., Timshel, P. & Hirschhorn, J. N. SNPsnap: a Web-based tool for identification and annotation of matched SNPs. *Bioinformatics* 31, 418–420 (2015).
- Giambartolomei, C. et al. Bayesian test for colocalisation between pairs of genetic association studies using summary statistics. *PLoS Genet.* 10, e1004383 (2014).
- Bowden, J. et al. A framework for the investigation of pleiotropy in two-sample summary data Mendelian randomization. *Stat. Med.* 36, 1783–1802 (2017).
- Hartwig, F. P., Davey Smith, G. & Bowden, J. Robust inference in summary data Mendelian randomization via the zero modal pleiotropy assumption. *Int.* J. Epidemiol. 46, 1985–1998 (2017).
- Bowden, J., Davey Smith, G. & Burgess, S. Mendelian randomization with invalid instruments: effect estimation and bias detection through Egger regression. *Int. J. Epidemiol.* 44, 512–525 (2015).
- Verbanck, M., Chen, C.-Y., Neale, B. & Do, R. Detection of widespread horizontal pleiotropy in causal relationships inferred from Mendelian randomization between complex traits and diseases. *Nat. Genet.* 50, 693–698 (2018).
- Shim, H. et al. A multivariate genome-wide association analysis of 10 LDL subfractions, and their response to statin treatment, in 1868 caucasians. *PLoS ONE* 10, e0120758 (2015).
- Burgess, S., Butterworth, A. & Thompson, S. G. Mendelian randomization analysis with multiple genetic variants using summarized data. *Genet. Epidemiol.* 37, 658–665 (2013).
- Swertz, M. A. et al. The MOLGENIS toolkit: rapid prototyping of biosoftware at the push of a button. *BMC Bioinformatics* 11, S12 (2010).

#### Acknowledgements

Information on cohort funding and acknowledgements is available in the Supplementary Note. We thank J. Senior and K. McIntyre for critically reading the manuscript.

#### Author contributions

A.K., A.Z., R. Kraaijr, C.M.-G., L.F. and J.R. conceived and designed the study. A.K., C.M.-G., R.B., D.R. and J.W. were responsible for coordinating and performing meta-analysis. A.D., C.L.R., J.A.R.G., C.T.F., X.L., D.Z. and M.J.B. led the specific downstream analyses and should be considered as shared second authors. Specifically, A.D. performed the PheWAS analysis, C.L.R. and C.T.F. performed the heritability analysis in TwinsUK and NTR cohorts, respectively, and J.A.R.G performed the age-related analysis of the LCT locus. X.L. ran and interpreted the FUMA analysis, and D.Z. ran and interpreted the MR analysis. M.J.B. substantially contributed to the development of the analysis pipeline and protocols. R.K., J.R. and A.Z. jointly supervised the project. A.v.d.G., A.C., H.-J.W., Urmo V., M.J.B., S.S. and L.F. developed the pipeline for the meta-analysis and contributed to the methodology and statistical analysis. K.W. contributed to the PheWAS enrichment analysis. A.K., C.M.-G., R.B., D.R., J.W., A.D., C.L.R., J.A.R.G., C.T.F., X.L., D.Z., M.J.B., M.D.A., S.S., R. Kraaij, J.R. and A.Z. wrote the manuscript, with contributions from all authors. K.A.M., L.J.L. and M.F. collected and managed the CARDIA cohort. A.D.P., J.A.R.G., K.C., L.B. and W.T. collected and managed the GEM cohort. H.B., J.S., J.T., S.A.S. and S.J.S collected and managed the COPSAC study. D.B., O.P., T.H., T.J. and T.H.H. collected and managed the DanFunD study. D.A.H., G.F., J.R., J.W., K.H.W., M.J., N.J.T., R.Y.T., R.B. and S.V.-S. collected, genotyped and managed the FGFP study. C.M.-G., F.R., H.A.M., L.D. and V.W.V.J. collected and managed the Generation R study. H.-N.K., H.S. and H.-L.K. collected and managed the KSCS study. C.W., J.F., A.Z., L.F., S.S. and A.K. collected and managed the LLD cohort. A.J.L., E.O., K.L., M. Laaksok and M.B. collected and managed the METSIM cohort. A.A.M.M., D.M.A.E.J., D.K. and Z.M. collected and managed the MIBS-CO cohort. H.P. and Z.D.W. collected and managed the NGRC cohort. C.T.F., D.I.B., E.J.C.G., G.E.D., G.W. and R.G.I. collected and managed the NTR cohort. D. Rothschild, E.B., E.S. and O.W. collected and managed the PNP cohort. A.A., L.A., M.D.A., S. Walter and X.L. collected and managed the PopCol cohort. A.F., C.B., M.C.R., M. Laudes and W.L. collected and managed the BSPSPC and FOCUS cohorts. A.G.U., C.Mv.D, D. Radjabzadeh and R. Kraaij collected and managed the RS cohort data. F.F., F.U.W., G.H., H.V., M.M.L., S. Weiss and U. Völker collected and managed the SHIP and TREND cohorts. L.Y.M., Q.Q., R. Knight, R.C.K. and R.D.B collected and managed the

## **NATURE GENETICS**

# ARTICLES

SOL cohort. C.I.L.R, C.J.S., J.T.B., M.A.J. and T.D.S. collected and managed the TwinsUK cohort. A.A.V. and J.S.-T. contributed to the discussion. All authors approved the final manuscript.

#### **Competing interests**

All authors declare no competing interests.

#### **Additional information**

Supplementary information is available for this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-020-00763-1.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to A.K. or A.Z.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

# nature research

Corresponding author(s): Alexandra Zhernakova

Last updated by author(s): 23-Nov-2020

# **Reporting Summary**

Nature Research wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Research policies, see our <u>Editorial Policies</u> and the <u>Editorial Policy Checklist</u>.

## **Statistics**

For	all st	atistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.
n/a	Cor	ifirmed
	$\boxtimes$	The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
	$\boxtimes$	A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
	$\boxtimes$	The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.
	$\boxtimes$	A description of all covariates tested
	$\boxtimes$	A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
	$\boxtimes$	A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
	$\boxtimes$	For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. F, t, r) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and P value noted Give P values as exact values whenever suitable.
$\boxtimes$		For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
	$\boxtimes$	For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
	$\boxtimes$	Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d, Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated
		Our web collection on <u>statistics for biologists</u> contains articles on many of the points above.

## Software and code

Policy information about availability of computer code no software used for data collection Data collection Partly, the software used in the analysis can be found on consortium GitHub: https://github.com/alexa-kur/miQTL cookbook Data analysis specific steps of analysis: 16S data processing: RDP classifier v.2.12 (https://github.com/rdpstaff/classifier) with database SILVA v.128 (version prepared for RDP classifier in on Consortium GitHub). Genetics data processing: GenotypeHarmonizer v.1.4.20 on Consortium GitHub IMPUTE2 v.2.3.2 (http://mathgen.stats.ox.ac.uk/impute/impute v2.html) both mapping pipeline and meta-analyzer are the parts of Molgenis SystemGenetics pipeline (https://github.com/molgenis/ systemsgenetics). Specific versions used in the analysis: mbQTL mapping: eQTL-mapping-pipeline v1.4, on Consortium GitHub, meta-analysis: BinaryMetaAnalyzer v.1.0.13B, on Consortium GitHub, Heterogeneity analysis: METAL v.2018-08-28 (http://csg.sph.umich.edu/abecasis/metal/) and R package metafor v.2.0-0 (https:// cran.rproject. org/web/packages/metafor/) FUMA and GSEA analysis: FUMA v.1.3.5, https://fuma.ctglab.nl/ PheWAS analysis: https://atlas.ctglab.nl/, accessed 25.09.2019 MR analysis: R package TwoSampleMR v0.4.26 (https://mrcieu.github.io/TwoSampleMR/)

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Research guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

Data

Policy information about availability of data All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable: - Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets - A list of figures that have associated raw data - A description of any restrictions on data availability Full GWAS summary statistics for mbQTLs are available at www.mibiogen.org website built using the MOLGENIS framework. 16S data availability: BSPSPC and FOCUS data is available from Sequence Read Archive (SRA), PRJNA673102 All CARDIA data, including 16S rRNA sequencing, cannot be made available on publicly available databases due to the confidentiality restrictions. The data can be requested from CARDIA Study Data Coordinating Center at the University of Alabama at Birmingham, following CARDIA Confidentiality Certification rules. The process for obtaining data through CARDIA is outlined at: https://www.cardia.dopm.uab.edu/publications-2/publications-documents. COPSAC data is available on SRA (PRJNA683912). DanFunD is not deposited on the public databases due to the legal and ethical restrictions. Access to the data and biological material can be granted by the DanFunD steering committee (https://www.frederiksberghospital.dk/ckff/sektioner/SBE/danfund/Sider/How-to-collaborate.aspx). FGFP data is available on European Genome-Phenome Archive (EGA), EGAS00001004420 GEM data is available on SRA (PRJEB14839). Generation R and Rotterdam Study data cannot be made publicly available due to ethical and legal restrictions; these data are available upon request to the data manager of the Rotterdam Study Frank van Rooij (f.vanrooij@erasmusmc.nl) or of the Generation R Study Claudia Kruithof (c.kruithof@erasmusmc.nl) and subject to local rules and regulations. HCHS/SOL data is available from ENA (European Nucleotide Archive), ERP117287. KSCS data is available at the public repository, Clinical and Omics data archives (CODA) in the Korea National Institute of Health by accession number R000635 (http://coda.nih.go.kr/coda/coda/search/omics/genome/selectSearchOmicsGenomePop/R000635.do). LLD and MIBS data are available from EGA, EGAS00001001704, EGAS0000100924). METSIM data is available on SRA (SRP097785). NGRC data is available on ENA (ERP016332). NTR has a data access committee that reviews data requests and will make data available to interested researchers. The data come from extended twin families and pedigree structures with twins, which create privacy concerns and thus cannot be shared on publicly available databases. Researchers may contact prof Eco de Geus (eco.de.geus@vu.nl) for data request.. PNP is available on ENA (PRJEB11532). POPCOL is available on EGA (EGAS00001004869). SHIP and SHIP-TREND data can be obtained from the SHIP data management unit and can be applied for online through a data access application form (https:// www.fvcm.med.uni-greifswald.de/dd\_service/data\_use\_intro.php) TwinsUK data is available on the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA, accession ERP015317).

# Field-specific reporting

Please select the one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.

Life sciences		Be
---------------	--	----

havioural & social sciences

For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf

Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences

# Life sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

Sample size	The sample size of 18,473 samples used is a total sample size from participaing cohorts, after data exclusion criteria. No prior sample size calculations were performed, thus it was determined by the data availability at the moment of the study initiation					
Data exclusions	The genetics exclusion criteria for the study is the following: (a) ethnic outliers in monoethnic cohorts to avoid false positives driven by outliers; (b) random selection of one individual from the related group (i.e. MZ or DZ twins), if applicable, to make possible the use of GWAS method similar across cohorts, which doesn't allow to stratify for family structure. For microbiome data, individuals with lower than 10,000 16S reads were excluded; this cutoff is assumed to provide an accuracy in estimating 16S taxonomic profiles, up to genus level resolution.					
Replication	Due to the limited power of analysis, no split to discovery/replication group was applied					
Randomization	Given the population-based study design, there was no separation to groups in the study					
Blinding	there was no blinding during the sample collection from the cohorts, since the majority of cohorts utilize population-representative design					

# Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

Materials & experimental systems			Methods	
n/a	Involved in the study	n/a	Involved in the study	
$\ge$	Antibodies	$\ge$	ChIP-seq	
$\ge$	Eukaryotic cell lines	$\ge$	Flow cytometry	
$\ge$	Palaeontology and archaeology	$\ge$	MRI-based neuroimaging	
$\ge$	Animals and other organisms			
	Human research participants			
$\ge$	Clinical data			
$\ge$	Dual use research of concern			

## Human research participants

Policy information about studies involving human research participants

#### BSPSPC (PopGen) Population characteristics The PopGen cohort (mean age 61.5 (16.6), 55% male) is a population-based cohort from the area around Kiel, Schleswig-Holstein. Germany. CARDIA (Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults Study) Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults Study (CARDIA) is a population-based prospective study of the evolution of cardiometabolic disease. African American and European American adults were recruited from four U.S. urban areas (Birmingham, AL; Chicago, IL; Minneapolis, MN; Oakland, CA in 1985-1986) (n=5,115, aged 18-30). They have subsequently been examined nine times. A microbiome study was initiated at the Year 30 follow-up examination (2015-2016) in a subset of participants (n=615) who had not taken antibiotics in the past month. Fecal DNA was extracted with the MoBio PowerSoil kit, and the V3-V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene was sequenced with Illumina MiSeq (2x300bp) at HudsonAlpha Institute for Biotechnology (Huntsville, AL, USA). A subset of cohort participants has been genotyped with the Affymetrix Genome-Wide Human SNP Array 6.0. After quality control and removal of participants with non-overlapping data on microbiome and host genetics, data from 114 African Americans and 257 European Americans (total n=371) were available for analysis. NeuroIMAGE+COMPULS NeuroIMAGE+COMPULS is a cohort consisting of two studies, NeuroIMAGEII and COMPULS, and includes participants of Dutch ethnicity. The cohort represents a combination of adults/adolescents/children diagnosed with ADHD and healthy controls. The overlap between samples with genotyping and microbial 16S sequencing data yielded 133 samples (57 females, 76 males, 17(5) years old) for use in the microbiome GWAS analysis. COPSAC2010 The Copenhagen Prospective Studies on Asthma in Childhood 2010 (COPSAC2010) cohort is a prospective mother-child cohort of 700 children and their families, recruited during week 24 of pregnancy, with written informed consent obtained from all mothers. The participants reside in and around Copenhagen, Denmark. The design builds upon the previous COPSAC2000 cohort and is based on detailed longitudinal clinical assessments of asthma, allergy, eczema and other outcomes. At the latest timepoint, we had both genotype and microbiome data for 380 children to include in this study, 73 of whom had taken antibiotics in the six months before the fecal sample date. DanFunD (The Danish study of Functional Disorders) DanFunD is a population-based cohort initiated to outline the epidemiology of functional somatic syndromes. The study population comprises a random sample of 9,656 men and women aged 18-76 years from the general population who were examined from 2011 to 2015. Genotyping using the Human OmniExpress Bead Array (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) was conducted on human leukocyte DNA for the entire cohort. A subset of 2,464 participants volunteered to provide a fecal sample collected under standardized conditions. In total, 2,396 samples passed the QC for genotyping and 16S sequencing and were included in the GWAS. FGFP (Flemish Gut Flora Project) The FGFP is a population-based study cohort of 2,482 individuals from the Flanders region of Belgium. Blood and stool samples of volunteers were collected between June 2013 and April 2016After quality control, 2,259 samples had genotype and 16S data (1,328 females, 896 males, mean age 52.3 yrs). FOCUS The FoCus cohort (mean age 51.4(14.6) yrs, 42% male) is a population-based cohort from the area around Kiel, Schleswig-Holstein, Germany, and part of the competence network Food Chain Plus (FoCus, http://www.focus.uni-kiel.de/component/ content/article/88.html). GEM (The CCC GEM project) The CCC GEM project is a prospective international research study that is designed to identify the potential triggers that contribute to the onset of Crohn's Disease. Since 2008, the GEM project has recruited over 5,000 healthy first-degree relatives of Crohn's Disease patients with an age range of 6-35 years. We used data from participants recruited in Canada (n=1,115), United States (n=17) and Israel (n=111). Stool DNA was extracted using the QIAamp DNA Stool Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). The V4 hypervariable region of bacterial 16S ribosomal RNA (16S rRNA) was sequenced using a MiSeq platform (Illumina Inc. San Diego, CA, USA) and primers 515F/806R90. The genotyping of the cohort was performed using the HumanCoreEXOME-12v1.1 chip (n=379), HumanCoreEXOME-24v1.0 chip (n=203) and both ImmunoChip and HumanCoreEXOME-12v1.1 chip (n=662) (Illumina, Inc. San Diego, CA, USA). Thus in mbQTL mapping the cohort was split into subcohorts GEM v12, GEM v24 and GEM\_ICHIP respectively. Among subcohorts, GEM\_v24 mostly coprises individuals of Israel ethnicity (70%), while other two

subcohorts are of a European ancestry. Only the sample from one member from each family enrolled in the project was included

in the current microbiome GWAS study. The overlap between samples with genotyping and microbial 16S sequencing data yielded 1,243 samples (676 females, 567 males, median age = 19.0(8.03) yrs) for use in the microbiome GWAS analysis. None had used antibiotic in the three months before fecal collection.

The Generation R Study

The Generation R Study (GenR) is a population-based, prospective, multi-ethnic pregnancy cohort study from fetal life until young adulthood. It is conducted in the city of Rotterdam, the Netherlands91. After stringent quality control, the overlap between samples with genotyping and microbial 16S sequencing data yielded 1,328 samples (656 females, 672 males, mean age

9.8(0.3) years) for use in the microbiome GWAS analysis. None had used antibiotics in the six months before fecal collection. KSCS (Kangbuk Samsung Cohort Study)

The Kangbuk Samsung Cohort Study (KSCS) is a prospective cohort study to evaluate the natural history, prognosis, and genetic

and environmental determinants of a wide range of health traits and diseases among Korean adults. After quality control, 811 samples (319 females, 492 males, mean age 44.1 yrs) with overlapping genotype and 16S data were included in the microbiome

GWAS.

LifeLines-DEEP (LLD)

The LifeLines-DEEP cohort (LLD) is a subcohort of the prospective LifeLines cohort from the northern provinces of the Netherlands (Groningen, Drenthe and Friesland) and includes participant of Dutch ethnicity. The overlap between samples with

genotyping and microbial 16S sequencing data yielded 875 samples (504 females, 371 males, mean age 45.4(13.3) yrs) used for

the microbiome GWAS analysis, of these 70 participants were PPI users and eight people used antibiotics in the six months previous to fecal collection.

METSIM (METabolic Syndrome In Men)

METSIM

The METabolic Syndrome In Men (METSIM) cohort is a longitudinal population-based cross-sectional cohort comprising of 10,197 randomly selected non-diabetic Finnish men (aged from 45 to 73 years) who were examined in 2005-2010. For the current microbiome GWAS study, we used a subset of the METSIM cohort consisting of 522 samples (mean age 61.91 (5.42) yrs)

with overlapping genotyping and microbial 16S sequencing data. For the current microbiome GWAS study, we used a subset of the METSIM cohort consisting of 522 samples (mean age 61.91 (5.42) yrs) with overlapping genotyping and microbial 16S sequencing data.

MIBS (Maastricht Irritable Bowel Syndrome)

The MIBS cohort with biobank aims to identify subgroups of IBS according to phenotypical and genotypical characterization. At present, it includes 520 subjects with a clinical diagnosis of IBS according to the Rome III criteria (from primary-tertiary care) and 220 age- and gender-matched healthy controls. For the present microbiome GWAS study, only controls (N=80, mean age 48.7(18.2), 43% male) were included.

NGRC (NeuroGenetics Research Consortium)

The NeuroGenetics Research Consortium (NGRC) is a collaborative study of gene-environment-microbiome interaction on Parkinson's disease (PD). It is being conducted in the United States. For the microbiome GWAS study, only 133 control participants were used; they were free of neurodegenerative disease at a mean age of 71.9(7.5) years old, 58% were female.

#### NTR (the Netherlands Twin Registry)

The NTR collects data and biological samples on Dutch multiples and their family members. One of each twin pair was randomly selected for inclusion in the GWAS analyses (156 twin pairs, 123 unrelated individuals, 279 individuals total, mean age 35.4(12), 29.8% male). Both MZ twins were included for the ICC calculations between MZ twin pairs for comparison with heritability estimates (156 twin pairs). None of the participants reported using antibiotics within six months of fecal collection.

PNP (Personalized Nutrition Project)

The PNP is a large-scale nutrition initiative in Israel that aims to help people make food choices that would normalize their blood glucose level and improve their health and well-being. The cohort has over 1,000 healthy individuals of Israeli ethnicity living in Israel and aged between 18 and 70 years. The cohort consists of self-reported Ashkenazi (n=508), North African (n=64), Middle Eastern (n=34), Sephardi (n=19), Yemenite (n=13) and 'admixed/other' (n=408) ancestries. 481 individuals were included in the current study (mean age 43.7(13.1), 36.4% male).

#### PopCol (Population-based Colonoscopy)

Population-based Colonoscopy (PopCol) is a cohort study in Stockholm, Sweden, which includes a data-rich set of individuals with data available from bowel symptoms questionnaires, gastroenterology visits, and biospecimensAfter data merging and quality control, we used data from 134 individuals (83 females, 51 males, mean age 54.8(11.3) yrs) in the microbiome-GWAS. Of

these, 6 PopCol participants were proton pump inhibitors (PPI) users and 12 used antibiotics.

#### Rotterdam Study III

The Rotterdam Study (RS) is a prospective population-based cohort study established in 1990 to study determinants of disease

and disability in Dutch adult/elderly individuals, aged  $\geq$  40 years. The overlap between samples with genotyping and microbial 16S sequencing data yielded 1,220 samples (705 females, 515 males, mean age 57(5.9) yrs) for use in the microbiome GWAS analysis. Of these 260 participants used PPI, and none used antibiotics in the six months before fecal collection. SHIP (Study of Health in Pomerania)

The Study of Health in Pomerania (SHIP) is a prospective longitudinal population-based cohort study encompassing two independent cohorts SHIP (N=4,308; baseline examinations 1997-2001) and SHIP-TREND (N=4,420; baseline examinations 2008 -

2012) 1,901 datasets (1,043 females, 858 males, age 53.7(14.0) yrs) with overlapping genotype and microbiome data were included in the current study. Of these, 149 individuals used PPIs and 25 had antibiotics at the time of inclusion. HCHS/SOL

	The Hispanic Community Health Study/Study of Latinos (HCHS/SOL) is a prospective, population-based cohort study of 16,415 Hispanics/Latino adults (ages 18-74 years) who were selected using a two-stage probability sampling design from four US communities (Chicago, IL; Miami, FL; Bronx, NY; San Diego, CA)102,103. The overlap between genetically unrelated subjects with microbial 16S sequencing data yielded 1,097 samples (676 females, 421 males, age 57.2(10.9) yrs) used in the microbiome GWAS analysis. Of these, 341 used medication including PPIs for indigestion, heartburn, or stomach problems, and 321 used antibiotics in the six months before the fecal collection. TwinsUK TwinsUK is a population-based cohort established in 1992 to study the genetic and environmental basis of a range of complex diseases and conditions in adult/elderly twins from the UK One twin out of each pair was randomly excluded from the population of 1,793 individuals, leaving 1,205 volunteers (1,101 females and 104 males, age 61.5(10.7) yrs) on which to conduct the microbiome GWAS analysis. Of these, 78 used PPIs and 62 had antibiotics 6 months prior to sampling.
Recruitment	<ul> <li>The following bias might occur in extrapolation the results to the general population:</li> <li>1. Despite the multi-ethnic setup of the study, the consortium sampling is still dominated by the cohorts of European ancestry and European residence.</li> <li>2. 16S taxonomic profiling method used in the study is known to introduce bias in the microbiome composition. There is a significant imbalance in the cohorts methodology in selection of 16S domains and DNA extraction methods, which might lead to underestimate the genetic effects on bacterial taxa which are not sufficiently covered by the methods used by numerous cohorts.</li> <li>3. Several cohorts participating in the study utilize age-, sex- and symptom-dependent bias in recruitment process.</li> </ul>
Ethics oversight	All participants enrolled had signed the informed consent. For LLD/MIBS cohorts approved as clinical studies, only population controls were used in the current analysis. BSPSPC: approved by the institutional ethical review committee of Kiel University, Germany CARDIA: approved by Institutional Review Boards of University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmigham, AL, Kaiser Permanente Division of Research, Oakland CA, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, and Northwestern University, Chicago, IL. NeurolMAGE+COMPULS: approved by the regional ethics committee of each site (Nijmegen and Utrecht: Commissie Mensgebonden Onderzoek Regio Arnhem-Nijmegen, 2013, NL nr 42004.091.121 COPSAC: approved by Dathis Ethics Committee (H-B-2008-093) and the Danish Data Protection Agency (2008-41-2599) DanFunD: approved by Ethical Committee of Copenhagen County (Ethics Committee: KA-2006-0011; H-3-2011-081; H-3-2012-0015) and the Danish Data Protection Agency (2008-41-2599) DanFunD: approved by the medical ethics committee of the University of Brussels-Brussels University Hospital (approval 143301215505, 5/12/2012). FGCP: approved by the institutional ethical review committee of Kiel University GEM: approved by the institutional ethical review committee of Kiel University Medical Center Rotterdam. KSCS: approved by the Medical Ethical Committee of Frasmus MC, University Medical Center Rotterdam. KSCS: approved by EUMC review board 2014-06-024 and KBSMC review board 2013-01-245. LLD: Each participant signed an informed consent form before participation in the cohort according to the Maastricht University Medical Center (MUMC+) IRB (MMEC 08-2 066.7/pl). NGRC: approved by Ethics Committee of the Northern Savo Hospital District, Finland MIBS: Each participant signed an informed consent form before participation in the cohort according to the Maastricht University Medical Center (MUMC+) IRB (MMEC 08-2 066.7/pl). NGRC: approved by Institutional review boards at the participating institutions: Albany Medical Center, Emory Unive
	Center – Albert Einstein School of Medicine; Chicago Field Center – University of Illinois Chicago; Miami Field Center – University of Miami; San Diego Field Center – San Diego State University) TwinsUK: approved by the Cornell University IRB (Protocol ID 1108002388)

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.