

Topology drives calcium wave propagation in 3D astrocyte networks

Jules Lallouette, Hugues Berry

▶ To cite this version:

Jules Lallouette, Hugues Berry. Topology drives calcium wave propagation in 3D astrocyte networks. European Conference on Complex Systems 2012, 2012, Bruxelles, Belgium. pp.453-463. hal-00758998

HAL Id: hal-00758998 https://hal.inria.fr/hal-00758998

Submitted on 29 Nov 2012

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Topology drives calcium wave propagation in 3D astrocyte networks

Jules Lallouette, Hugues Berry

BEAGLE, INRIA RhôneAlpes, Université de Lyon, LIRIS, UMR5205, Villeurbanne, France {firstname.lastname}@inria.fr

Abstract

Glial cells are non-neuronal cells that constitute the majority of cells in the human brain and significantly modulate information processing via permanent cross-talk with the neurons. Astrocytes are also themselves inter-connected as networks and communicate via chemical wave propagation. How astrocyte wave propagation depends on the local properties of the astrocyte networks is however unknown. In the present work, we investigate the influence of the characteristics of the network topology on wave propagation. Using a model of realistic astrocyte networks (> 1000 cells embedded in a 3d space), we show that the major classes of propagations reported experimentally can be emulated by a mere variation of the topology. Our study indicates that calcium wave propagation is favored when astrocyte connections are limited by the distance between the cells, which means that propagation is better when the mean-shortest path of the network is larger. This unusual property sheds new light on consistent reports that astrocytes in vivo tend to restrict their connections to their nearest neighbors.

1 Introduction

More than half of the cells in the human brain are glial cells. These non-neuronal cells have recently been evidenced to play a direct active role in information transfer in the brain. Indeed astrocytes (the main subtype of glial cells) not only react to but can also modulate synaptic communication between neurons [8, 16]. Astrocytes are also themselves inter-connected as networks on which chemical waves propagate [9]. Understanding astrocyte-astrocyte and astrocyte-neuron cross-talks is thus crucial to understanding the brain [8]. Chemical communication within astrocyte networks is characterized as elevations of intracellular calcium that propagate from cell to cell though protein channels called gap-junctions channels (GJC). However, depending on the experimental conditions, the reported speed and extent of the propagation (the number of cells that participate in the waves) vary over a large range. These discrepancies can be interpreted as many different intracellular biochemistry conditions or as different topologies of the astrocyte networks. Here, we questioned the latter hypothesis, that the various propagation ranges observed experimentally may be explained on the sole basis of the topology of astrocyte networks.

In the brain, astrocytes are believed to occupy sepa-

rate spatial territories, connecting to their nearest astrocyte neighbors only [15, 2]. Beyond this general setting, the structure of astrocyte networks is still unknown. Recent experimental evidence however suggests that astrocytes networks display different topologies depending on the brain region [18] and even that neuronal activity can modify these topologies by regulating inter-astrocyte GJC [9]. In contrast, in the modeling literature, most articles consider astrocyte networks embedded in a two dimensional space [7, 13] and connected using regular lattices [11, 13, 10]. The effect of more complex or more realistic topologies has been restricted to small networks (5 – 10 cells) [6, 12], that do not allow comparison of propagation ranges with biological data.

In the present work, we study a model of realistic astrocyte networks (> 1000 cells embedded in a 3d space) and investigate how the characteristics of the network topology affect calcium wave propagation at a network level. We show that indeed, the major classes of observed propagations can be emulated by a mere variation of the topology. Our study indicates that calcium wave propagation is favored when astrocyte connections are limited by the distance between the cells, which means that propagation is better when the mean-shortest path of the network is larger. Altogether, our findings offer a sound theoretical understanding of calcium wave propagations in astrocyte networks.

2 The Model

Our model consists of two main parts: a model describing calcium dynamics inside each astrocyte on the one hand, and the network topology models to connect astrocytes, on the other hand.

2.1 Calcium dynamics model

Albeit experimental protocols monitor wave propagation as variations of intracellular calcium, the molecule that is transmitted through GJC to connected astrocytes is not calcium but another messenger, called IP₃. When IP₃ level in the cell cytoplasm is large enough, a calcium surge is released from intracellular calcium stores to the cell cytoplasm. In turn, since the IP₃ producing (and degrading) enzymes are activated by cytoplasmic calcium, this calcium elevation will lead to increased IP₃ levels, some of which can be transported through a GJC to a connected astrocyte. The IP_3 entering the connected cell can then regenerate the original calcium signal if the transferred IP_3 amount is large enough.

To model the dynamics of this system we used the ChI model, developed and studied at the single-cell level in [17, 10]. This model uses three coupled nonlinear equations to describe calcium dynamics in each astrocyte i:

$$\frac{dC^{i}}{dt} = J_{chan}(C^{i}, h^{i}, IP_{3}^{i}) + J_{leak}(C^{i}) - J_{pump}(C^{i})$$
$$\frac{dh^{i}}{dt} = (h_{\infty}(C^{i}, IP_{3}^{i}) - h^{i})/\tau_{h}(C^{i}, IP_{3}^{i})$$
$$\frac{dIP_{3}^{i}}{dt} = P_{PLC\delta}(C^{i}, IP_{3}^{i}) - D_{3K}(C^{i}, IP_{3}^{i}) - D_{5P}(IP_{3}^{i}) + J_{net}^{i}$$

where C^i , h^i and IP_3^i are respectively the cell-averaged calcium concentration, the fraction of open intracellular IP₃ receptors at the calcium stores and the cell-averaged concentration of IP₃ messenger of cell *i*. The terms in the RHS represent calcium flux between intracellular compartments or internal enzyme kinetics and can be found in [10, 17]. $J_{net}^i = \sum_{j \in N(i)} J_{j \to i}$ represents the total flux of IP₃ from neighbouring cells *j* to cell *i*. To model the IP₃ flux $J_{j \to i}$ though GJC between cells *j* and *i*, we use a non-linear coupling (see [10] for justification):

$$J_{j \to i} = \frac{F}{2} \left(1 + \tanh\left(\frac{|\Delta_{ji}IP_3| - IP_3^{thr}}{IP_3^{scale}}\right) \right) \frac{\Delta_{ji}IP_3}{|\Delta_{ji}IP_3|}$$

where F is the coupling strength, $\Delta_{ji}IP_3$ is the IP₃ difference between cells j and i and IP_3^{thr} is a threshold below which the flux is small. Note that $J_{j\to i}$ can be positive or negative, depending on the sign of the IP₃ gradient.

Except when explicitly indicated below, all parameters were taken from [10] (FM encoding conditions). All the resulting coupled ODEs were numerically integrated using a 4^{th} order Runge-Kutta scheme with a time step of 10 ms. The model was implemented in C++ and was run on the IN2P3 Computing Center which we thank for providing the computer resources.

2.2 Network topologies

2.2.1 Spatial structure

One major goal of our study is to obtain propagation measures in the model that can be compared to experimental data (propagation extent, speed, etc.). A recent experimental study [19] has exhibited the distribution of the nearest astrocyte-astrocyte distance in mice brains (mean distance $\mu_{exp} = 50 \ \mu m$, coefficient of variation $cv_{exp} = 0.25$, minimum distance $m_{exp} = 20 \ \mu m$). In accordance with these results, we reproduced this distribution by first placing N astrocytes at the nodes of a regular 3-dimensional cubic grid (interspacing distance a_{grid}). The position of each cell was then jittered by adding to its coordinates a random normal variable (zero mean, variance σ). From a simple grid search, the best match between this model and the distribution obtained in [19] was found with $a_{grid} = 70 \ \mu m$, $\sigma = 55 \ \mu m$.

2.2.2 Topological structure

We investigated five kinds of network topologies:

- Regular degree networks are constructed by linking each astrocyte to its k_{reg} nearest neighbors in space.
- Link radius networks are spatial networks in which each astrocyte is linked to all the astrocytes found within distance r_{link} .
- Spatial scale free networks are built incrementally by the classical preferential attachment rule, but taking spatial distances into account (detailed description in [1]). In short, a parameter (r_c) controls the trade-off between scale-free structure and the link restriction to short intercell distances.
- Shortcut networks are based on 3d cubic lattices. Each edge is then rewired with probability p_s : one of the edge end is replaced by a (uniformly) randomly chosen cell. Those networks are similar to the classical *smallworld* topologies.
- Erdős-Rényi networks are the only non-spatial networks: each pair of node is linked with a probability p_{er} independently of distance.

Two-dimensional illustrations of the networks created with each of these models are shown in figure 1. Each of these topologies present specific features that are not necessarily biologically realistic but will provide us insights into what kind of features networks should or should not have in order to support realistic wave propagation. In each case, we varied the parameters specific to the topology so that the mean degree of the network $2 \leq \langle k \rangle \leq 17$. For each parameter value, the measurements were averaged over 20 realizations of the topology with the given parameters. All networks had N = 1331 astrocytes.



Figure 1: Representations of networks obtained with each model. From left to right and top to bottom : regular degree, link radius, spatial scale free, shortcut, Erdős-Rényi and three dimensional version of a regular degree network. Note that most of these illustrations are twodimensional for readability, but the networks used in the present study are all three-dimensional.

2.3 Stimulation

All the experiments are conducted using the same stimulation procedure: we stimulate one astrocyte in the center of the network (to avoid boundary effects on spatial networks) by coupling it during a stimulation time t_{stim} via GJC to a virtual astrocyte whose IP₃ cell concentration is kept constant at IP_3^{bias} . All simulations are carried on during $t_{sim} = 200$ s, giving enough time for a calcium wave to fully propagate to its maximum extent. The total number of activated cells during the simulation was found to be independent of t_{stim} and IP_3^{bias} for $t_{stim} \geq 100$ s and $IP_3^{bias} \geq 2\mu M$, so we fixed during all simulations $t_{stim} = t_{sim}$ and $IP_3^{bias} = 2\mu M$.

3 Results

In order to distinguish topological effects from the effects introduced by GJC or biophysical parameters, we first carried out series of simulations with fixed GJC parameters $(F = 2\mu M.s^{-1} \text{ and } IP_3^{thr} = 0.3\mu M)$ while varying only the network topology.

3.1 Topological influences



Figure 2: Propagation extent N_{act} , as a function of the mean degree of the networks $\langle k \rangle$. Values are grouped in classes of network models and error bars plot the standard deviation in log scale. The inset contains the propagation extent for shortcut networks with $\langle k \rangle = 6$ and as a function of p_s (red curve), and the mean propagation extent for regular degree networks, with parameter $k_{reg} = 6$.

To ease comparison with experimental studies, we quantified the extent of wave propagation by measuring the total number of activated cells during the simulation, N_{act} , where a cell is considered activated at time t if the amount of cytoplasmic calcium it contains is larger than 0.7μ M. Figure 2 presents the variations of N_{act} when the mean degree $\langle k \rangle$ varies; whatever the network model, the higher $\langle k \rangle$, the lower N_{act} . This is a first surprising result of our simulations: whatever the network type, wave propagation is generically improved for networks with small mean degree. This result is however coherent with an intuitive understanding of the way IP₃ diffuses in the network : in order to activate the astrocyte it arrives in (say astrocyte A), transferred IP₃ must overcome a threshold value; therefore if A is connected to a lot of inactive neighbors, most of this IP₃ influx will leak to these inactive neighbors before it can activate A. Moreover, if it becomes activated, A will give less IP₃ to each of its unactive neighbors if it has a lot of unactive neighbors. Consequently, high mean-degree tends to impair calcium wave propagation.

However, for a given value of $\langle k \rangle$, N_{act} can be down to 6-folds smaller in Erdős-Rényi networks than in regular or link radius networks. Therefore, the topology class still has an important effect on the propagation and $\langle k \rangle$ cannot alone explain the observed differences. Indeed, as we can see from the inset of figure 2, the shortcut network model goes from very large (spanning almost all the network) to very small extents, as shortcuts are more frequent while its mean degree is conserved. This behavior could hence be linked to the influence of space on topology: when links are restricted to a spatial neighborhood, the propagation extent is greater than when long distance links (or shortcuts) are added. Additionally, heavy space limitation also prevents the existence of highly connected nodes, influencing the mean degree $\langle k \rangle$. Furthermore, experimental findings indicate that real astrocyte networks are connected via a distance-controlled connectivity, i.e. large distance connexions are not likely (see [9, 8]).

This influence of space on topology can be quantified by the mean-shortest path L of our networks as heavy space limitation yields high L values and the addition of shortcuts reduces it.

Figure 3 presents the relation between the propagation extent, N_{act} and mean-shortest path L. Again, all network models follow the same qualitative behavior: the larger the mean-shortest path, the better the propagation. This is a second surprising finding since it implies that, contrary to the intuition that shortcuts improve signal propagation in networks, the addition of shortcuts, decreasing L, actually prevents long range propagation in our model of astrocytic networks. But, just like for the conditions on $\langle k \rangle$ values, this relation between L and the extent of propagation can be seen as the influence of space on networks.

Indeed, reliable activation of an astrocyte can be ensured if several of its neighbors are simultaneously active (its IP_3 influx is then high enough to trigger a calcium spike) and heavy space constraints on topology is a way to ensure this. The rationale behind this affirmation is exposed by the two insets of figure 3; inset (1) presents a case in which space restriction is strong while inset (2) presents a case in which it is a bit relaxed, because of a "long distance" link (in red). The wave is propagating from the bottom left corner of the networks to the top right corner. An unactivated cell A is linked to an activated cell B in the "wavefront" (green cells); when space influence is high (inset (1)), cell A is spatially close to the wave front, its neighbors (marked C) are hence likely to be activated. Conversely, when space influence is



Figure 3: Relations between propagation extent N_{act} and the mean-shortest path L for each network model; error bars plot standard deviation in log scale. All models display the same qualitative behavior. Inset (1) represents a small example of propagation in an network strongly influenced by space while insert (2) uses a network weakly influenced by space. Green cells are activated cells that form the wave front; the wave is propagating from the bottom left corner to the top right one.

low (inset (2)), the neighbors of A (marked C) are less likely to be in the wavefront because A can be spatially distant from the wavefront. Consequently, in our example, cell A is more likely to get activated when space influence is high (inset (1)) because it has two activated neighbors while it only has one when space influence is low (inset (2)).

However, this space influence cannot be captured by the mean clustering coefficient $\langle C \rangle$ of our networks. Indeed, as it is shown in the inset of figure 2, the propagation extent in 3D lattices ($\langle k \rangle = 6$, $p_s = 0$ and $\langle C \rangle = 0$) is larger than in regular networks ($\langle k \rangle = 6$ and $\langle C \rangle = 0.27 \pm 0.1$). This particular behavior on lattices (also visible on figure 3) can be explained by considering the set of nodes that are within a given topological distance l from a reference node (i.e. the shell l of this reference node). Lattices have no links inside each shell; all the links are between shells. Hence a node in the shell l+1 will have a mean value of $\langle k \rangle/2$ links coming from the shell l. In other network models, there are intrashell links so the number of links between shell l and shell l+1 is comparatively lower and activation is less easily transmited. This will not however be detailed here and is left to a future publication.

Therefore, according to our simulations, calcium wave propagation is expected to be favored in networks with large mean-shortest path, small mean degree and/or strong preference to connect only to nearest neighbors. In vivo, large regenerative waves spanning all the observable cells are sometimes (though rarely) observed (cf [14]). Our results indicate that this could be linked to a transient decrease of gap-junction coupling levels, effectively leading to a reduction of the network mean degree, or to a restriction of gap-junction coupling to a few neighbors.

3.2 Biophysical influences

In order to determine the influence of biophysical and GJC properties on propagation, we now fix the topology to the arguably more biologically realistic one, the link radius model, as links are expected to be restricted to nearest neighbors. We moreover fix the mean degree value to a realistic biological value of $k \approx 12$ (cf [8]). We next studied the influence of the GJC parameters (F and IP_3^{thresh}). The extent of propagation was not significantly affected by F but was found to depend strongly on IP_3^{thresh} , the minimum IP_3 gradient above which IP_3 is significantly transferred between the cells via GJC: the higher this parameter, the more individual astrocytes can store IP_3 before diffusing it to neighboring unactivated astrocytes. Consequently, the propagation range N_{act} was low (less than 50 cells) up to $IP_3^{thresh} = 0.3 \mu M$ and for $IP_3^{thresh} \ge 0.6 \mu M$. However, for a restricted range of values $0.4 \leq IP_3^{thresh} \leq 0.5\mu M$, propagation was strongly enhanced, reaching $N_{act} \sim 300$ activated astrocytes (compared to the ~ 30 activated astrocytes in previous simulations for the same topology).

In order to understand the strong effects of IP_3^{thresh} on the propagation extent, we conducted a systematic analysis of the activation conditions in the small network displayed at the top of figure 4. This network is made of one central cell, linked to k neighbors, that are in turn each linked to one "normal" (stimulable) cell and nb_{sinks} "sink" cells, that are artificially maintained in non activated state (red triangles on the figure). For each test, we chose a number of nb_{stim} "normal" cells, among the k ones that can be stimulated, and stimulate them (green squares on the figure). We then run the simulation and observe whether this number nb_{stim} of stimulated cells is enough to activate the central cell. This simple network was designed so as to test the hypothesis that we formulated hitherto in order to explain how wave propagate in our networks: an activated cell (here the neighbors of the central cell) will more easily activate its neighbors (here we focus on the central cell) if it has few unactivated neighbors (the sink cells) to which IP_3 can leak.

For fixed values of GJC parameters, k and nb_{sinks} , we found that the central cell became activated only if nb_{stim} overcomes a threshold nb_{stim}^{crit} . In stimulated branches of our network, when the cell connected to the central cell becomes activated, it passes a given total IP₃ outflux Q to its nb_{sink} neighbor sinks and to the central cell (there is no flux to the stimulated cell as its IP₃ level is already high). The partial flux received by the central cell from one of the k branches is then $Q/(nb_{sink}+1)$ and the total flux received by the central cell is $nb_{stim} \times Q/(nb_{sink}+1)$. We define the normalized critical total influx needed in order to activate the central cell as :

$$\chi = \frac{nb_{stim}^{crit}}{nb_{sink} + 1}$$

Figure 4 presents the values of χ as k changes and for several values of IP_3^{thresh} . It is apparent from this figure that the IP_3^{thresh} range for which we witnessed high propagation above $(IP_3^{thresh} \in [0.4, 0.5])$ are remarkable here as



Figure 4: Relations between χ and k for different values of IP_3^{thresh} ; error bars plot the standard deviation. The inset represents the simple architecture that we used in order to determine these values.

well, since the values of the threshold χ do not depend on k in this range of IP_3^{thresh} values. This means that, for these IP_3^{thresh} values, the central cell gets activated by a constant number of activating cells (a constant total influx χ), regardless of its degree; IP₃ leaking from the central cell does not prevent its activation. Conversely, for low values of IP_3^{thresh} , the total number of activating cells needed in order to activate the central cell increases linearly with the number of its neighbors. This behavior is not, however, to be confused with a standard threshold model of propagation (cf [3, 5, 21]) where a node gets activated if a fixed fraction of its neighbors are activated; in our case, one has also to take into account the neighborhood of the activated nodes: a given node is less *activating* if its own neighbors are unactivated (because IP_3 will leak to them). Hence, in the specific case of calcium wave propagation in astrocyte networks, one needs to take into consideration the two-hop neighborhood of a node in order to determine whether it will get activated. Interestingly, one can define a generalized version of a threshold model that accounts for these two-hops neighborhood effects; presenting such a generalized model is beyond the scope of the present paper. We just note here that its behavior was found similar to those presented in Figures 2 and 3.

Taken together, these results explain why the propagation extent N_{act} depended on $\langle k \rangle$ in the above results. Indeed, all the above results have been obtained using $IP_3^{thresh} = 0.3$ for which χ increases with k. In this case, the influx threshold is increasingly high, rendering the propagation increasingly difficult, with increasing mean degrees. These results also show that the influence of topology on propagation is itself regulated by biophysical parameters (here GJC parameters); the resulting propagation is a complex interplay between these parameters and the network topology.

Wave Type [exper. ref]	N_{act}	$\langle k \rangle$	L
Locally synchronized [19]	~ 10	~ 8	~ 4
Spatially restricted [4]	~ 40	~ 7	~ 8
Regenerative $[20, 14]$	~ 500	~ 3	~ 14

Table 1: Different types of waves can be reproduced by varying only the network topology.

4 Conclusion

A major point in the results showed above is that we could obtain various extents of wave propagation by sole variations of the network topology; more precisely, two macroscopic measures on the network topology, its mean degree $\langle k \rangle$ and its mean-shortest path L were enough to determine the extent of propagation.

Table 1 presents the three major types of waves reported in experimental articles with their respective extent. In our model, each type of wave can be reproduced using different values of $\langle k \rangle$ and L. Very small extents (locally synchronized event) can be obtained with relatively high degree networks and short mean-shortest path while regenerative waves (propagating to most of the cells) are triggered on small degree networks with high mean-shortest path. Therefore, large scale propagation is achieved when astrocytes are linked to nearest neighbors while linking to more (or more distant) astrocytes decreased propagation extent. Hence, while differences in propagation extent in the biological literature are usually explained by differences in biophysical parameters or in signaling pathways, we showed here that, in our model, topology alone was enough to explain these differences. As it is known that the structure of astrocytic networks varies throughout the brain and could even be shaped by neuronal activity [9], the influence of topology on wave propagation *in vivo* may be a fruitful hypothesis in order to understand the bidirectional relations between neurons and astrocytes at a network level.

For instance, our results could be evoked to formulate an hypothesis concerning the observation that regenerative waves usually occur in cultured astrocytes networks, where space has a very restrictive influence on the links, as these in vitro networks are constrained to a 2D surface. This would strongly reduce the number of close neighbors thus, according to our model, favor long-range propagation.

References

- M. Barthlemy. Spatial networks. *Physics Reports* 499:1-101 (2011), 2010.
- [2] E. A. Bushong, M. E. Martone, Y. Z. Jones, and M. H. Ellisman. Protoplasmic astrocytes in ca1 stratum radiatum occupy separate anatomical domains. *J Neu*rosci, 22(1):183–192, Jan 2002.
- [3] D. Centola, V. M. Eguluz, and M. W. Macy. Cascade dynamics of complex propagation. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 374(1):449 – 456, 2007.

- [4] A. Charles. Intercellular calcium waves in glia. Glia, 24(1):39–49, 1998.
- [5] P. S. Dodds and D. J. Watts. Universal behavior in a generalized model of contagion. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 92(21):218701, May 2004.
- [6] I. Dokukina, M. Gracheva, E. Grachev, and J. Gunton. Role of network connectivity in intercellular calcium signaling. *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena*, 237(6):745 – 754, 2008.
- [7] J. R. Edwards and W. G. Gibson. A model for ca2+ waves in networks of glial cells incorporating both intercellular and extracellular communication pathways. *J Theor Biol*, 263(1):45–58, Mar 2010.
- [8] C. Giaume. Astroglial wiring is adding complexity to neuroglial networking. Front Neuroenergetics, 2, 2010.
- [9] C. Giaume, A. Koulakoff, L. Roux, D. Holcman, and N. Rouach. Astroglial networks: a step further in neuroglial and gliovascular interactions. *Nat Rev Neurosci*, 11(2):87–99, Feb 2010.
- [10] M. Goldberg, M. D. Pitt, V. Volman, H. Berry, and E. Ben-Jacob. Nonlinear gap junctions enable longdistance propagation of pulsating calcium waves in astrocyte networks. *PLoS Comput Biol*, 6(8), 2010.
- [11] T. Höfer, L. Venance, and C. Giaume. Control and plasticity of intercellular calcium waves in astrocytes: a modeling approach. *J Neurosci*, 22(12):4850–4859, Jun 2002.
- [12] M. Kang and H. G. Othmer. Spatiotemporal characteristics of calcium dynamics in astrocytes. *Chaos*, 19(3):037116, Sep 2009.
- [13] V. B. Kazantsev. Spontaneous calcium signals induced by gap junctions in a network model of astrocytes. *Phys Rev E Stat Nonlin Soft Matter Phys*, 79(1 Pt 1):010901, Jan 2009.
- [14] N. Kuga, T. Sasaki, Y. Takahara, N. Matsuki, and Y. Ikegaya. Large-scale calcium waves traveling through astrocytic networks in vivo. *J Neurosci*, 31(7):2607–2614, Feb 2011.
- [15] K. Ogata and T. Kosaka. Structural and quantitative analysis of astrocytes in the mouse hippocampus. *Neuroscience*, 113(1):221–233, 2002.
- [16] G. Perea and A. Araque. Glia modulates synaptic transmission. Brain Res Rev, 63(1-2):93–102, May 2010.
- [17] M. D. Pitt, V. Volman, H. Levine, G. Pioggia, D. D. Rossi, and E. Ben-Jacob. Coexistence of amplitude and frequency modulations in intracellular calcium dynamics. *Phys Rev E Stat Nonlin Soft Matter Phys*, 77(3 Pt 1):030903, Mar 2008.

- [18] L. Roux, K. Benchenane, J. D. Rothstein, G. Bonvento, and C. Giaume. Plasticity of astroglial networks in olfactory glomeruli. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, Oct 2011.
- [19] T. Sasaki, N. Kuga, S. Namiki, N. Matsuki, and Y. Ikegaya. Locally synchronized astrocytes. *Cereb Cortex*, Jan 2011.
- [20] E. Scemes and C. Giaume. Astrocyte calcium waves: What they are and what they do. *Glia*, 54(7):716–725, 2006.
- [21] D. J. Watts. A simple model of global cascades on random networks. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 99(9):5766– 5771, Apr 2002.