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#### Abstract

In this article, we address the interpolation problem of data points per regular $L_{1-}$ spline polynomial curve that is invariant under a rotation of the data. We iteratively apply a minimization method on five data, belonging to a sliding window, in order to obtain this interpolating curve. We even show in the $C^{k}$-continuous interpolation case that this local minimization method preserves well the linear parts of the data, while a global $L_{p}(p \geq 1)$ minimization method does not in general satisfy this property. In addition, the complexity of the calculations of the unknown derivatives is a linear function of the length of the data whatever the order of smoothness of the curve.
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## Introduction

In geometric modelling, a common requirement is that the computational curves 'preserve shape', which means the curves express the geometric properties of the interpolated data in accordance with human perception. These geometric properties are variously interpreted as linearity, monotonicity, convexity and smoothness. Conventional splines, which are calculated by minimizing the square of the $L_{2}$ norm of the second partial derivatives of a cubic piecewise polynomial interpolant, represent sufficiently "smooth" data quite well. However, they often have extraneous, nonphysical oscillations when used for interpolation of data with abrupt changes.

Recently, a new kind of splines called cubic $L_{1}$ splines has arisen (Cf. [5], [6], [7], [9], [15], [17]). Cubic $L_{1}$ splines, which are calculated by minimizing the $L_{1}$ norm of the second derivatives of a $C^{1}$-smooth piecewise cubic interpolant, 'preserve the shape' of data with abrupt changes (Cf. [14], [16]). In [1] and [3],


Fig. 1. $L_{2}$ (dotted line) versus $L_{1}$ (solid line) global interpolations
we show that the univariate interpolating cubic $L_{1}$ spline of a set of points lying over a Heaviside function entirely agrees with the function (i.e the two half lines) except at the jump.

Although many different interpretations of shape preservation can be found in literature (Cf. [13], [23], [24]), there is no widely accepted quantitative description of shape preservation. In the present paper, we accept the observation made by most observers that $L_{1}$ splines preserve shape well as justification for working on improving the algorithm to calculate $L_{1}$ splines and the shape preserving properties (Cf Fig. 1).

The $L_{1}$ spline is issued from the minimization of a nonlinear functional. Since nonlinear programming procedures for minimizing the $L_{1}$ spline functional are not yet practical for global data interpolation, a discretization of this functional is commonly used. Minimization of the discretized $L_{1}$ spline functional which is a nonsmooth convex programming problem, leads to solving of an overdetermined linear system that can be reduced to a linear program for which many methods are available. In literature, a compressed primal affine method has been the most common choice. This method is based on the primal affine algorithm by Vanderbei, Meketon and Freedman (Cf. [27], [28], [25], [26]) and it is described in [16]. The primal-dual algorithm [17,20,24,28] is widely considered to be the most efficient and robust interior-point method.

We introduce a local minimization method based on a sliding window defined over five points so as to interpolate data points smoothly. This local method allows us to define a fast computational algorithm issued from the algebraic calculus of the exact solution over five points only. Furthermore, we show that a five points window allows us to preserve the linear parts of the data points while in general the global method does not satisfy this property. Moreover, we show that if we apply this strategy iteratively we can define $C^{k}$-continuous spline curves with a linear complexity of the calculations. The spline curve
solutions also have shape-preserving properties.
This paper is organized as follows. In Section 1, we give some results concerning $C^{1}$-continuous cubic $L_{1}$ spline interpolation on three and five points. Based on these results, in Section 2 we define a new interpolation strategy with a sliding five points window to create a local $L_{1} C^{1}$ interpolating method. By applying iteratively this method we are able to construct $C^{k}$-continuous interpolating spline curves in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ (with $d \geq 1$ ). In Section 3, we demonstrate that the linear parts of the data are preserved and we also give some other properties. Some conclusions will be drawn in the last section.

## 1 The $C^{1}$-continuous cubic $L_{1}$ spline interpolation on five points

Let $a=u_{1}<u_{2}<\cdots<u_{n}=b$ be an arbitrary and strictly monotonic partition of the finite real interval $[a, b]$. In [2], we deal with the parametric case where we wish to interpolate a set of data points $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n}$ belonging to $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ (with $d \geq 1$ ). The $u_{i}$ are chosen according to the classical chordal partition (see [12] Section 4.4.1 page 201). This choice seems to give the best results in most data configurations. The $C^{1}$ interpolating cubic spline curve is calculated by minimizing the $L_{1}$-norm of the second derivative vector of the spline. If we denote by $\Delta$ the classical forward difference operator, we showed in [2] that the solution to this problem is obtained by minimizing the following functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\|\Delta T_{i}+6 t\left(T_{i+1}+T_{i}-\frac{2}{\Delta u_{i}} \Delta P_{i}\right)\right\|_{1} d t \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $T_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ are the first order derivative vectors at points $P_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$. As $E\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right)$ is not strictly convex, then its minima are not necessarily unique. To reduce the set of solutions, Lavery in [14] added a 'regularization'term so as to select the derivative vectors $T_{i}$ which are as short as possible in the $L_{1}$-norm. Consequently, a $C^{1}$-continuous cubic $L_{1}$ spline is obtained by minimizing the following functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right)+\varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|T_{i}\right|, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon$ is a strictly positive real. As this problem is also nonlinear, this functional is discretized by using the midpoint rule method for each integral. the resulting problems raised by the $L_{1}$-minimization of linear systems ${ }^{1}$ are solved by the Vanderbei, Meketon and Freedman primal affine algorithm defined in [28] and outlined in [16].

[^0]From now on, we shall be interested in calculating the exact solutions to the minimization problem (1) when we have a set of five points. To do so first, we shall study the three-point case.

### 1.1 Univariate cubic $L_{1} C^{1}$ interpolation over three points

The following lemma gives the exact solution to the minimization of (1) with $n=3$.

Lemma 1 Let $\left(u_{i}, z_{i}\right)_{i=1,2,3}$ be three couples of real values where $u_{1}<u_{2}<u_{3}$ and the slopes be defined by $h_{i}=\frac{\Delta z_{i}}{\Delta u_{i}}$ for $i=1,2$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{\left(b_{2}, b_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}} \Phi\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}\right)=\int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left|\Delta b_{1}+6 t\left(b_{2}+b_{1}-2 h_{1}\right)\right| d t+\int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left|\Delta b_{2}+6 t\left(b_{3}+b_{2}-2 h_{2}\right)\right| d t \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

be a univariate $C^{1}$-continuous $L_{1}$ cubic spline interpolation minimization problem where $b_{1}, b_{2}$ and $b_{3}$ are the first derivative values at the three points. The solutions to (3) are
a) if $b_{1}$ is comprised between $h_{1}+\frac{\sqrt{10}+1}{3}\left(h_{2}-h_{1}\right)$ and $h_{1}$ then
$b_{2}=h_{1}+\frac{\sqrt{10}-1}{3}\left(b_{1}-h_{1}\right), b_{3}=h_{2}+\frac{\sqrt{10}-5}{5}\left(h_{1}-h_{2}\right)+\frac{5-2 \sqrt{10}}{5}\left(b_{1}-h_{1}\right)$,
b) if $b_{1}$ is comprised between $h_{1}$ and $h_{1}+\frac{\sqrt{10}-5}{5}\left(h_{2}-h_{1}\right)$ then
$b_{2}=h_{1}-\frac{5+\sqrt{10}}{3}\left(b_{1}-h_{1}\right), b_{3}=h_{2}+\frac{\sqrt{10}-5}{5}\left(h_{1}-h_{2}\right)+\left(b_{1}-h_{1}\right)$,
c) otherwise $b_{2}=b_{3}=h_{2}$.

PROOF. Function $\Phi\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}\right)$ is the sum of two positive convex continuous functions. The minimal value $\frac{2(\sqrt{10}-1)}{3}\left|b_{2}-h_{2}\right|$ of the second integral according to the variables $\left(b_{2}, b_{3}\right)$ is obtained for $b_{3}-h_{2}=\frac{\sqrt{10}-5}{5}\left(b_{2}-h_{2}\right)$. By using the following variables $x=b_{1}-h_{1}$ and $y=b_{2}-h_{1}$, we can infer from Lemma 4 of [3] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{\left(b_{2}, b_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}} \Phi\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}\right)=\min _{y \in \mathbb{R}} H(x, y), \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
H(x, y)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{2(\sqrt{10}-1)}{3}\left|y+h_{1}-h_{2}\right|+|y-x| \quad \text { if }|y-x| \geq 3|x+y|  \tag{7}\\
\frac{2(\sqrt{10}-1)}{3}\left|y+h_{1}-h_{2}\right|+\frac{3}{2}|x+y|+\frac{(y-x)^{2}}{6|x+y|} \text { else. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

If we consider the following function $\varphi_{h_{1}, h_{2}}(x)=\min _{y \in \mathbb{R}} H(x, y)$, then after some calculations we obtain for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ that the minimal values are given for

$$
y=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\min \left(h_{2}-h_{1}, \min \left(\frac{\sqrt{10}-1}{3} x,-\frac{\sqrt{10}+5}{3} x\right)\right) \text { if } h_{2}-h_{1}<0,  \tag{8}\\
\min \left(h_{2}-h_{1}, \max \left(\frac{\sqrt{10}-1}{3} x,-\frac{\sqrt{10}+5}{3} x\right)\right) \text { else. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Case a): If we assume that $h_{2}-h_{1}<0$ then from (8) we infer that for any $b_{1} \in\left[h_{1}+\frac{\sqrt{10}+1}{3}\left(h_{2}-h_{1}\right), h_{1}\right], b_{2}=h_{1}+y=h_{1}+\frac{\sqrt{10}-1}{3}\left(b_{1}-h_{1}\right)$ and $b_{3}=h_{2}+\frac{\sqrt{10}-5}{5}\left(b_{2}-h_{2}\right)=h_{2}+\frac{\sqrt{10}-5}{5}\left(h_{2}-h_{1}\right)+\frac{5-2 \sqrt{10}}{5}\left(b_{1}-h_{1}\right)$. The other cases are obtained from (8) similarly. Then the solutions to (3) given by (5) are satisfying.

In the following subsection we shall calculate the subdifferential of the continuous convex function $\varphi_{h_{1}, h_{2}}(x)=\min _{y \in \mathbb{R}} H(x, y)$ defined in (6). Let us define the following functions :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi_{h_{1}, h_{2}}^{1}(x)=-\frac{3}{2}\left(x+h_{2}-h_{1}\right)-\frac{\left(h_{2}-h_{1}-x\right)^{2}}{6\left(x+h_{2}-h_{1}\right)}, \\
& \varphi_{h_{1}, h_{2}}^{2}(x)=\frac{8-4 \sqrt{10}}{3} x+\frac{2(\sqrt{10}-1)}{3}\left(h_{1}-h_{2}\right), \varphi_{h_{1}, h_{2}}^{3}(x)=\frac{2(\sqrt{10}-1)}{3}\left(h_{1}-h_{2}\right), \\
& \varphi_{h_{1}, h_{2}}^{4}(x)=\varphi_{h_{1}, h_{2}}^{1}(x), \varphi_{h_{1}, h_{2}}^{5}(x)=x-h_{2}+h_{1}, \varphi_{h_{1}, h_{2}}^{6}(x)=-\varphi_{h_{1}, h_{2}}^{1}(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently according to (7) and (8), we can infer that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{h_{1}, h_{2}}(x)=\sigma \varphi_{h_{1}, h_{2}}^{k}(x) \text { if } x \in\left[\min \left(\sigma x_{h_{1}, h_{2}}^{k-1}, \sigma x_{h_{1}, h_{2}}^{k}\right), \max \left(\sigma x_{h_{1}, h_{2}}^{k-1}, \sigma x_{h_{1}, h_{2}}^{k}\right)\right], \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma=\left\{1\right.$ if $h_{2}-h_{1} \geq 0$ and -1 otherwise $\}$ and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{h_{1}, h_{2}}^{0}=-\infty, x_{h_{1}, h_{2}}^{1}=\frac{\sqrt{10}+1}{3}\left(h_{2}-h_{1}\right), x_{h_{1}, h_{2}}^{2}=0, x_{h_{1}, h_{2}}^{3}=\frac{\sqrt{10}-5}{5}\left(h_{2}-h_{1}\right),  \tag{10}\\
x_{h_{1}, h_{2}}^{4}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(h_{2}-h_{1}\right), x_{h_{1}, h_{2}}^{5}=-2\left(h_{2}-h_{1}\right), x_{h_{1}, h_{2}}^{6}=+\infty
\end{array}\right.
$$

### 1.2 Univariate cubic $L_{1} C^{1}$ interpolation over five points

From now on, we shall be interested in giving the solutions to the following univariate $L_{1} C^{1}$ interpolation problem on five points. Let $\left(u_{i}, z_{i}\right)_{i=1, \ldots, 5}$ be five couples of real values where $u_{1}<\cdots<u_{5}$ and the slopes be defined by $h_{i}=\frac{\Delta z_{i}}{\Delta u_{i}}$ for $i=1, \ldots, 5$. Hence, the univariate $L_{1} C^{1}$ spline solution is obtained from

$$
\min _{\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{5}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{5}} \sum_{i=1}^{4} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left|\Delta b_{i}+6 t\left(b_{i+1}+b_{i}-2 h_{i}\right)\right| d t
$$

where the $b_{i}$ are the derivative values of the spline at $u_{i}$. This functional is the sum of positive and convex continuous functions. It can be written by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \min _{b_{3} \in \mathbb{R}}\left(\min _{\left(b_{2}, b_{1}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}} \Phi\left(b_{3}, b_{2}, b_{1}\right)+\min _{\left(b_{4}, b_{5}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}} \Phi\left(b_{3}, b_{4}, b_{5}\right)\right)  \tag{11}\\
& =\min _{b_{3} \in \mathbb{R}} \varphi_{h_{2}, h_{1}}\left(b_{3}-h_{2}\right)+\varphi_{h_{3}, h_{4}}\left(b_{3}-h_{3}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Phi$ is defined by (4) in the previous lemma and $\varphi_{h_{i}, h_{j}}$ by (9). Let us denote by $\partial \varphi_{h_{i}, h_{j}}(x)$ the subdifferential of $\varphi_{h_{i}, h_{j}}(x)$ at $x$ (Cf. [4],[11]). Since (11) is convex and continuous its subdifferential is compact and nonempty.

Let us define $d_{g}(x)=\min \partial \varphi_{h_{2}, h_{1}}\left(x-h_{2}\right)+\min \partial \varphi_{h_{3}, h_{4}}\left(x-h_{3}\right)$ and $d_{d}(x)=$ $\max \partial \varphi_{h_{2}, h_{1}}\left(x-h_{2}\right)+\max \partial \varphi_{h_{3}, h_{4}}\left(x-h_{3}\right)$ respectively the left and right derivative values of (11) at $x$. We define a sorted list $\left\{\beta_{k}\right\}_{k=1 \ldots 10}$ from the abscissa $\left(x_{h_{3}, h_{4}}^{j}+h_{3}, x_{h_{2}, h_{1}}^{j}+h_{2}\right)_{j=1, \ldots, 5}$. As function (11) is convex the minimal value is obtained for any $b_{3}$ such that $d_{g}\left(b_{3}\right) \cdot d_{d}\left(b_{3}\right) \leq 0$. Consequently $b_{3}$ is between $\alpha_{1}=\min _{k \in\{1, \ldots, 10\}}\left(\beta_{k}\right.$ such that $d_{g}\left(\beta_{k}\right) d_{d}\left(\beta_{k}\right) \leq 0$ or $\left.d_{d}\left(\beta_{k}\right) d_{g}\left(\beta_{k}+1\right)<0\right)$ and $\alpha_{2}=\max _{k \in\{1, \ldots, 10\}}\left(\beta_{k}\right.$ such that $d_{g}\left(\beta_{k}\right) d_{d}\left(\beta_{k}\right) \leq 0$ or $\left.d_{d}\left(\beta_{k}-1\right) d_{g}\left(\beta_{k}\right)<0\right)$. Three cases can thus be identified:
(1) $\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}$ : the solution is unique and $b_{3}=\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}$.
(2) $\alpha_{1} \neq \alpha_{2}, d_{d}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=0$ and $d_{g}\left(\alpha_{2}\right)=0$ : The solutions for $b_{3}$ are $\left[\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right]$. In this case we choose $b_{3}=\min _{x \in\left[\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right]}\left|x-\frac{h 2+h 3}{2}\right|$ so as to preserve linear parts when possible.
(3) $\alpha_{1} \neq \alpha_{2}, d_{d}\left(\alpha_{1}\right) \neq 0$ and $d_{g}\left(\alpha_{2}\right) \neq 0$ : The value of $b_{3}$ is unique and it belongs to $] u_{1}, u_{2}[$. We calculate this value by using a dichotomic search algorithm.

Since $b_{3}$ is obtained, we calculate $b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{4}$ and $b_{5}$ by using Lemma 1 .

## 2 Local cubic $L_{1}^{k} C^{k}$ interpolation method

To define a $C^{k}$-continuous parametric spline curve with degree $2 k+1$ which interpolates a set of points $\left(P_{i}\right)_{i=1, \ldots, n}$, one must define the derivative vectors up to the $k^{\text {th }}$ order at these points. We propose to calculate these derivative vectors by applying iteratively for each coordinates the previous $L_{1} C^{1}$ interpolation algorithm within windows which contain only five data for each derivative order. In the following subsections we shall give more detail about this method.

### 2.1 Local cubic $L_{1} C^{1}$ interpolation method

From now on we shall consider the $C^{1}$ case. We define a five-point sliding window on a set of points and we calculate the derivative vector only for the middle point (Cf. Fig. 2). By translating the window, point by point over all the data, we obtain a derivative vector at each interpolation point. Hence, we are able to construct a cubic $L_{1}$-spline.


Fig. 2. Sliding window over the sets of points
If we visually compare the results obtained by Lavery's $L_{1} C^{1}$ global method and our local one (see Figure 3), we can see that they are quite identical. The two parts which differ are a corner ${ }^{2}$ and a point at the bottom ${ }^{3}$.

Since the $L_{1}$ minimization algorithm does not produce invariant curves with respect to the rotation of the data, we proposed in [2] to get it by using a local change of coordinates for 2D data points. On each interval $\left[u_{i}, u_{i+1}\right]$, we define a coordinate system $\left(P_{i}, \overrightarrow{u_{i}}, \overrightarrow{v_{i}}\right)$ such that $P_{i+1}$ coordinates in this system are $\left(\frac{\left\|\overrightarrow{P_{i} P_{i+1}}\right\|}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{\left\|\overrightarrow{P_{i} P_{i+1}}\right\|}{\sqrt{2}}\right)$. This method which has been developed for the global $L_{1} C^{1}$ interpolation method is quite costly in computing time as the dimension of the matrix issued from the primal affine algorithm is multiplied by two and this local change of coordinates cannot easily be extended to $d>2$ dimensional data. In our local algorithm, another reason why this change of coordinates cannot be used is that the functional to minimize thus obtained changed for each set of five points. Here, we simply propose to apply a local change of coordinates to the five points belonging to the sliding window before applying

[^1]
a.Global Method

b.Local Method

Fig. 3. $L_{1} C^{1}$ interpolation


Fig. 4. Star $L_{1} C^{1}$ interpolation
the minimization method. Consequently, the invariance is satisfied and the method minimizes the functional (1) on this new frame. For instance with 3D data points, we define an orthonormal frame based on the points belonging to each sliding window . For any pair of sets of five points equivalent up to a rotation, this local change of coordinate method gives the same derivative vector up to the rotation for the middle point of the sets. As we only keep this middle value to construct the $L_{1}$-spline solution, that result provides a coherent shape on the curve.

### 2.2 Local $L_{1}^{k} C^{k}$ interpolation method $(k \geq 2)$

In [2], a global $L_{1} C^{2}$ method was given so as to construct a parametric quintic spline which interpolates data points. In this method, we need to minimize
the following function

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\Delta u_{i}} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left|\alpha_{i}(t) T_{i+1}+\beta_{i}(t) T_{i}+\gamma_{i}(t) M_{i+1}+\delta_{i}(t) M_{i}+\eta_{i}(t) \Delta P_{i}\right| d t \\
& +\epsilon_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|T_{i}\right|+\epsilon_{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|M_{i}\right| \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

where the $M_{i}$ are the second derivative vectors at points $P_{i}, \alpha_{i}(t)=\frac{3}{2}+15 t-$ $6 t^{2}-60 t^{3}, \beta_{i}(t)=-\frac{3}{2}+15 t+6 t^{2}-60 t^{3}, \gamma_{i}(t)=\left(-\frac{1}{4}-\frac{3}{2} t+3 t^{2}+10 t^{3}\right) \Delta u_{i}$, $\delta_{i}(t)=\left(-\frac{1}{4}+\frac{3}{2} t+3 t^{2}-10 t^{3}\right) \Delta u_{i}$ and $\eta_{i}(t)=\frac{-30 t+120 t^{3}}{\Delta u_{i}}$. Here, $\epsilon_{1}$ and $\epsilon_{2}$ are positive reals.

If we study the resulting curves thus obtained (See Fig. 5) we can see that they are smooth and do not oscillate too much.


Fig. 5. Global $L_{1} C^{2}$ interpolation method

Therefore we have tested a sliding window method with the primal affine algorithm on the sets of points as in the $L_{1} C^{1}$ case. We thought that this method could improve the result so that we could study the functional to minimize. On the contrary, the curves show more oscillations (See Fig. 6)

Nevertheless, we found that the local $L_{1} C^{1}$ interpolation method produces good spline curvature results between the data points even if the spline curves are only $C^{1}$ continuous at the data points. Like Lavery in [20], we decided to use the first derivative vectors obtained by our local $L_{1} C^{1}$ interpolation method, but with another scope. In his article, Lavery calculated the first derivative vectors $T_{i}^{\text {Cubic }}$ by minimizing (2) over ( $u_{i}, P_{i}$ ) and then he found the second derivative vectors $M_{i}$ minimizing (12) by using ( $u_{i}, P_{i}, T_{i}=T_{i}^{C u b i c}$ ). This twostep procedure allows to reduce the complexity of the minimization calculus but it prevents using our previous studies over cubic splines. We propose a new


5 point window
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Fig. 6. Local $L_{1} C^{2}$ interpolation method with windows changing size
$C^{2}$ method, denoted by $L_{1}^{2} C^{2}$ which is obtained by applying twice the local $L_{1} C^{1}$ interpolation method. We firstly apply it on the data points $\left(u_{i}, P_{i}\right)$ so as to obtain the first derivative vectors $T_{i}$. We apply it again onto the $\left(u_{i}, T_{i}\right)$ in order to calculate the second derivative vectors $M_{i}$. As we can see in Figure 7, the quintic spline curve solution has shape preserving properties. As we show further down, our $L_{1}^{k} C^{k}$ method allows us to benefit from the 'shape preserving'property of the local $L_{1} C^{1}$ algorithm.


Fig. 7. $L_{1}^{2} C^{2}$ interpolation method
This $L_{1}^{2} C^{2}$ sliding window method needs a nine-points sliding window. Actually, we need $P_{i-2}, \ldots, P_{i+2}$ so as to calculate vector $T_{i}$ and each vector $M_{i}$ is calculated from vectors $T_{i-2}, \ldots, T_{i+2}$.

If we want to construct $C^{k}$-continuous splines (with $k \geq 2$ ), we have to calculate the derivative vectors up to the $k^{t h}$ order. To do so, we can simply use our local $L_{1} C^{1}$ method repeated $k$ times, which is noted $L_{1}^{k} C^{k}$ for $k \geq 1$ (consequently $L_{1}^{1} C^{1}=L_{1} C^{1}$ ). As our local $L_{1} C^{1}$ algorithm has shape preserving properties, we shall think that this iterative method will produce smooth high degree spline curves. As we can see in Figure 8, the curves are $C^{3}$-continuous and they preserve the data well.


Fig. 8. local $L_{1}^{3} C^{3}$ interpolation method

## 3 Properties of the local $L_{1} C^{1}$ algorithm

### 3.1 Linear shape preservation

For any arbitrary set of points, our local $L_{1} C^{1}$ produces linear curve parts when up to three points lie on a line, contrary to the global $L_{1} C^{1}$ solution curve (Cf. Fig. 9).


Fig. 9. Global $L_{1} C^{1}$ interpolation

In the univariate case, we showed in [1] that for interpolation points belonging to the Heaviside function, where three of them are located on one of the halfline of this function, the derivative vectors at these points are necessarily collinear to this line. Actually, in the parametric case over some sets of five points, we can see in Figure 10 that the $L_{1} C^{1}$ cubic interpolation produces derivative vectors which are collinear when three points lie on a line.

To demonstrate this property, we first study the univariate case. By using the fact that the $u_{i}$ are fixed according to the chordal parametrization, we then


5 aligned points 4 aligned points 3 aligned points 3 aligned points
Fig. 10. $L_{1} C^{1}$ interpolation over 5 points
show that linear parts are preserved in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ (with $d \geq 1$ ), which implies that the derivative vectors $T_{i}$ at such points are collinear.

Lemma 2 Let $\left(u_{i}, \alpha_{i}\right)_{i=1, \ldots, 5}$ be five couples of real values where $u_{1}<u_{2}<$ $\cdots<u_{5}$. We denote by $h_{i}=\frac{\Delta \alpha_{i}}{\Delta u_{i}}$ for $i=1, \ldots, 4$ the slopes between the points. The minimization problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{5}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{5}} \sum_{i=1}^{4}\left(\int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left|\Delta b_{i}+6 t\left(b_{i+1}+b_{i}-2 h_{i}\right)\right| d t\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

has the following solutions:
a) if $h_{i}=h_{i+1}=h$ for $i=1$ (resp. $i=3$ ) except the case $h_{j}=h_{j+1} \neq h$ for $j=3$ (resp. $j=1$ ) then $b_{3}=b_{i}=b_{i+1}=h$,
b) if $h_{2}=h_{3}=h$ and $\left(h_{1}-h\right)\left(h_{4}-h\right)<0$ then $b_{1}=h_{1}+h_{4}+\frac{\sqrt{10}-5}{5}\left(h-h_{1}\right)$, $b_{2}=b_{3}=b_{4}=h$ and $b_{5}=h_{4}+\frac{\sqrt{10}-5}{5}\left(h-h_{4}\right)$,
c) if $h_{2}=h_{3}=h$ and $\left(h_{1}-h\right)\left(h_{4}-h\right)>0$ then $b_{2}=b_{4}=h$ and $b_{3}$ is comprised between $h$ and $\min \left(h+\frac{\sqrt{10}-5}{5}\left(h_{4}-h\right), h+\frac{\sqrt{10}-5}{5}\left(h_{4}-h\right)\right)$,
d) if $h_{1}=h_{2}$ and $h_{3}=h_{4}$ with $h_{1} \neq h_{3}$ (we call it the corner case) then $b_{1}=b_{2}=h_{1}, b_{4}=b_{5}=h_{3}$ and $b_{3}$ is comprised between $h_{1}$ and $h_{3}$.
Moreover if we add $\left|b_{3}-\frac{h_{2}+h_{3}}{2}\right|$ to (13) then the solution is unique in each cases and $b_{3}=\frac{h_{2}+h_{3}}{2}$.

PROOF. From (11), we know that this minimization problem (13) can be written as follows :

$$
\min _{b_{3} \in \mathbb{R}} \varphi\left(b_{3}\right)=\varphi_{h_{2}, h_{1}}\left(b_{3}-h_{2}\right)+\varphi_{h_{3}, h_{4}}\left(b_{3}-h_{3}\right)
$$

where the $\varphi_{h_{i}, h_{j}}$ are positive convex functions. Since $h_{i}=h_{i+1}=h$ (for $i=1$ or $i=3)$ then $\varphi_{h_{i}, h_{i+1}}\left(b_{3}-h\right)=\frac{5}{3}\left|b_{3}-h\right|$. As the minimal value of this strictly convex function at $b_{3}=h$ is equal to zero, consequently whatever the values of the other slopes (except for case d)), $\varphi$ has a unique minimum at $b_{3}=h$. Hence, property a) holds. Case d) : If $h_{1}=h_{2}$ and $h_{3}=h_{4}$ with $h_{1} \neq h_{3}$ then $\varphi\left(b_{3}\right)=\frac{5}{3}\left|b_{3}-h_{1}\right|+\frac{5}{3}\left|b_{3}-h_{3}\right|$ is minimal for any $b_{3}$ comprised between $h_{1}$ and $h_{3}$. Case b) and c) : The minimal value of $\varphi_{h_{2}, h_{1}}\left(b_{3}-h_{2}\right)$ is obtained for $b_{3}$
comprised between $h$ and $h+\frac{\sqrt{10}-5}{5}\left(h_{1}-h\right)$. Similarly for $\varphi_{h_{3}, h_{4}}\left(b_{3}-h_{3}\right)$ the minimum value is obtained for $b_{3}$ comprised between $h$ and $h+\frac{\sqrt{10}-5}{5}\left(h_{4}-h\right)$. Moreover, their minimal values are equal. For $\left(h_{1}-h\right)\left(h_{4}-h\right)>0$, these intervals overlap. Hence, the minimum of $\varphi$ is obtained for $b_{3}$ comprised between $h$ and $\min \left(h+\frac{\sqrt{10}-5}{5}\left(h_{4}-h\right), h+\frac{\sqrt{10-5}}{5}\left(h_{4}-h\right)\right)$. If $\left(h_{1}-h\right)\left(h_{4}-h\right)<0$ then the minimal value of $\varphi$ is only obtained for $b_{3}=h$. Consequently, if we add $\left|b_{3}-\frac{h_{2}+h_{3}}{2}\right|$ to the minimization function $\varphi$, then for each case, $b_{3}=\frac{h_{2}+h_{3}}{2}$ is the unique solution.

The linear parts of the data can be preserved in each cases. For case d) there are two such solutions. The following proposition allows us to extend the previous univariate study to the parametric case.

Proposition 3 Let $P_{1}, P_{2}, \ldots, P_{5}$ be five data points. We associate to each point $P_{i}$ a real value $u_{i}$ such that $u_{1}<u_{2}<\cdots<u_{5}$. The $u_{i}$ are chosen according to the chordal partition. If at least three data points are aligned then the minimization problem (1) has a unique interpolation $L_{1} C^{1}$ cubic spline which preserves the linear part except in the corner problem where there are two such solutions.

PROOF. In this case, we have to minimize (1) with $n=5$. As we use the $L_{1}$-norm, this minimization can be done on each coordinate separately. In addition, the chordal partition allows us to keep the same value for the slope between each consecutive coordinate issued from aligned data points. Consequently, the result can therefore be inferred by using the previous proposition.

Similarly to the $C^{1}$-continuous case, the $L_{1}^{2} C^{2}$ method gives quintic spline with linear parts when the data points lie on a line. Actually, the first use of the local $L_{1} C^{1}$ algorithm always gives first derivative vectors which are collinear with lines defined by the aligned data points. Hence, the second use of this algorithm on these vectors also gives collinear vectors. This property can be extended to $L_{1}^{k} C^{k}$ interpolation methods which are simply obtained by iterating this process.

### 3.2 Good curvature

In Figure 11, we have created curves that interpolate twenty points which lie on a circle. As we can see, the local method gives good curvatures ${ }^{4}$ which are

[^2]almost monotonous contrary to the solution of the global $L_{1} C^{2}$ interpolation case.


Fig. 11. Curvatures for circle approximation by a "closed" 20 points set

The splines obtained by local $L_{1}^{k} C^{k}, k \geq 2$ method are relatively smooth without oscillations (see. Figure 12). This is partly due to the fact that we have a smooth set of derivative vectors by applying many times our local $L_{1} C^{1}$ algorithm. We can see in Figure 12.c that the splines do not oscillate too much despite the high degree of the spline curves ${ }^{5}$.

a. Local $L_{1}^{2} C^{2}$

b. Local $L_{1}^{3} C^{3}$

c. Local $L_{1}^{5} C^{5}$

Fig. 12. Curvatures for a snail like data set interpolated by local $L_{1}^{k} C^{k}$ methods

[^3]
### 3.3 Computational complexity

In our local five-point window algorithm, the time needed to calculate each derivative vector is small and almost constant. Hence, the time needed to calculate the global spline curve is linear and we can interpolate large data sets of points. Moreover, our original iterative approach allows us to calculate $C^{k}$ continuous interpolation spline curves with a linear time complexity. Indeed, to create a $C^{k}$ interpolation spline curve of degree $2 k+1$, we need to apply the local $L_{1} C^{1}$ method, which allows us to preserve this linear complexity, $k$ times consecutively on the successive data.

In addition to this, our local $L_{1} C^{1}$ algorithm can be parallelized on multiprocessor computers by distributing the computation of each five-point window over the processors. That is possible as each calculus is independent at each stage. Furthermore, for the $C^{k}$ continuous interpolating curves, the iterative use of the local $L_{1} C^{1}$ method allows us to start the calculus of the first $k^{\text {th }}$ derivative vector when five $k-1^{\text {th }}$ derivative vectors are known. Consequently, we only need a sliding window of $4 k+1$ points for a $C^{k}$ spline. This allows us to accelerate the calculus.

For example, to compute the local $L_{1}^{2} C^{2}$ quintic spline solution, we only need a nine-point sliding window so as to be able to parallelize the calculus.

## 4 Conclusion

We have defined a local method which is efficient to interpolate sets of data points by univariate cubic splines using the $L_{1}$ norm. This method keep the shape-preserving properties without having the drawback of the commonly used global $L_{1}$ method: Our method use algebraic results which are faster and more stable than the numerical approximations used before. We can use it to calculate $C^{k}$-continuous curves with good curvature despite their high degrees. Furthermore, it is very simple to parallelize the calculus. If we want to reduce the time spent on calculations on a very large number of data, we need a large number of processors. Because our methods can be used in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, the interpolation of data by spline curves from various domains (Cf. [8], [10]) is possible keeping the good properties of our algorithm. We currently use the $L_{1}^{2} C^{2}$ interpolation method for enhanced trajectory planning for machining with industrial six-axis robots (Cf. [22]).
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ One linear system for each coordinate

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ where the minimization problem has a range of solutions for the derivative vectors
    ${ }^{3}$ because the minimization functions are quiet different

[^2]:    $\overline{4}$ the curvature of the spline is represented by an offset according to the curvature value at some points

[^3]:    ${ }^{5}$ eleventh degree spline for $C^{5}$-continuity

