PEOPLE: International Journal of Social Sciences ISSN 2454-5899

Arceño et al., 2019

Volume 5 Issue 1, pp. 205-216

Date of Publication: 27th March 2019

DOI-https://dx.doi.org/10.20319/pijss.2019.51.205216

This paper can be cited as: Arceño, R. A., Ag, S. I. M., & Adamu, T. I., (2019). Assessment on Dimensions of Authentic Leadership of College Teachers. PEOPLE: International Journal of Social Sciences, 5(1), 205-216.

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial 4.0 International License. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/ or send a letter to Creative Commons, PO Box 1866, Mountain View, CA 94042, USA.

ASSESSMENT ON DIMENSIONS OF AUTHENTIC LEADERSHIP OF COLLEGE TEACHERS

Rose A. Arceño

Faculty and Researcher Palompon Institue of Technology, Palompon, Leyte, Philippines

<u>rose_arceno@yahoo.com</u>

<u>rose.arceno@pit.edu.ph</u>

Saiffullah Idris M. Ag

Senior Lecturer and Senior Researcher, Tarbiyah Faculty and Teacher Training, Ar-Raniry
State Islamic University, Banda Aceh, Indonesia
saifullahnadia@gmail.com

Tanimu Ibrahim Adamu

Faculty and Researcher, Bayero University, Kano, Nigeria <u>tanimuai@gmail.com</u>

Abstract

This study described a theory-based measure of authentic leadership using three separate samples obtained from Indonesia, Ar-Raniry State Islamic University; Nigeria, Bayero University; and the Philippines, Palompon Institute of Technology. A multidimensional model of the authentic leadership construct (the Authentic Leadership Questionnaire [ALQ]) comprising leader self-awareness, relational transparency, internalized moral perspective, and balanced processing was used in gathering the data from the identified school samples. Finally, the results revealed a high level of self-awareness, internalized moral perspective, balanced processing, and relational transparency from the three samples. This implies that the teacher-

respondents as professionals possessed stronger authentic leadership while performing their duties and responsibilities in the classroom. Conclusively, the pattern of leader behavior displayed promotes both positive psychological capacities and a positive ethical climate, fostering positive self-development. The classroom teachers are genuine, mission-driven and focused on results, lead with their heart, not just their minds, and focused on the long-term goals.

Keywords

Authentic Leadership, Balanced Processing, Internalized Moral Perspective, Relational Transparency, Self-Awareness, Ten Roles of Teachers

1. Introduction

When we think of the classroom teacher role and responsibilities, most likely planning instruction, delivering instruction, assessing student learning, and managing the classroom environment come to mind (Stronge, 2007). The preparation of the course content to be integrated into a particular subject is a matter that requires cognitive processes. The arrangement of the lesson from simple to complex in a systematic and cyclical way determines how knowledge is transferred by the teacher to the learners who are endowed with various learning styles, preferences, and priorities. These evolving learner categories need to be addressed to develop their Cs. These are creativity, critical thinking, communication, collaboration, and character. The establishment of lifelong learning habits, higher-order thinking skills, and ability to learn-how-to-learn with technology is now embedded in the knowledge acquisition process to become productive and achieve sustainability in life adversities. This means that education in the second decade of the 21st century is more diversified as what has been perceived by teachers and learners in the past decade.

When planning instruction, teachers must abandon the mentality that they are content experts only and that their responsibility is to transmit a certain canon of knowledge. Instead, to remain effective in the new context where the Cs and learning to learn are central, teachers must plan to be facilitators who provide scaffolding to support students in developing their own personal ways of knowing and thinking. Spitzmuller and Ilies (2010) have proposed that authentic leaders are more likely to be considered as positive transformational leaders by their subordinates, in this case, the students.

Careers for today's students will be increasingly diversified. Rather than sticking with one

static, linear, and predefined career path that former generations tended to follow, today's young citizens are likely to pursue several multidisciplinary jobs in a lifetime with more choices to frame their predictably diverse working experiences.

To prepare students for a multiple career life-path, we will need teachers capable of developing learning plans for students who are ready to fulfill their capacity as a whole person adaptable for whatever career paradigm that will emerge. If trends hold, we will need more students who can specialize in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) areas, but we also will need good journalists, media designers, storytellers, entertainers, and talents for careers that do not even exist now.

Given these multidisciplinary demands, effective teachers will plan lessons and learning units that give priority to the skills students will have to carry across the disciplines and into new and different jobs. Thinking, problem-solving, collaborating and communicating must emerge onto center stage and provide the means for all students, not just a select handful, to traverse this multi-disciplinary landscape but adapting all the conditions that life may offer.

Instead of using uniform strategies for all students, this century's effective teachers must design instruction that motivates each student by providing experiential, authentic, and challenging experiences. These teachers communicate content in such a way that students are able to comprehend based on their individual prior learning and ability.

Because these students are learning in various ways and at different rates, effective teachers deliver their lessons with appropriate differentiation.

Several authors (Marzano et al., 2009) highlight a number of well-known high-yield instructional strategies that are supported by meta-analyses and allow teachers to differentiate their instruction.

Considering that the school curriculum is becoming sophisticated as mandated and modified by different governing bodies across cultures, changes are necessary. To cope with these changes, it requires authentic leadership in the classroom. The teachers are the key players in enforcing all the learning activities. As key players, they are expected to apply authentic leadership in the classroom to elicit behavioral change.

An authentic teacher-leader does not confine learning in the walls of the classroom. The integration of academic freedom on the part of the teachers and learners needs much reconsideration to maximize the learning potential. The goal is to make these roles relevant to today's learning needs.

1.1. Theoretical/Conceptual Framework

The researchers support this study with a theory of authentic leadership created by George, 2016.

As the Harvard Business Review declared in January 2015, "Authenticity has emerged as the gold standard for leadership."

The ways teachers can lead are as varied as teachers themselves. Teacher-leaders assume a wide range of roles to support the school and student success. Whether these roles are assigned formally or shared informally, they build the entire school's capacity to improve. Because teachers can lead in a variety of ways, many teachers can serve as leaders among their peers. With this, there are ten leadership options available to teachers which are samples of many ways teachers can contribute to their schools' success. They can act as a resource provider, instructional specialist, curriculum specialist, classroom supporter, learning facilitator, mentor, school leader, data coach, a catalyst for change, and learner (Harrison et al., 2007).

All the aforementioned roles require self-awareness, transparency, ethics/morality, and balanced processing which were considered as the key factors in promoting authentic leadership in the classroom.

1.2 Problem and Its Scope

It is the intention of the study to seek answers to the following questions.

- (1) On self-awareness: To what degree is the leader aware of his strengths, limitations, how others see his or her and how the leader impacts others?
- (2) On transparency: To what degree does the leader reinforces a level of openness with others that provide them with an opportunity to be forthcoming with their ideas, challenges and opinions?
- (3) On ethical/moral: To what degree does the leader set a high standard for moral and ethical conduct?
- (4) On balanced processing: To what degree does the leader solicit sufficient opinions and viewpoints prior to making important decisions?
- (5) What strategies and activities are recommended for teacher-student interaction to improve authentic leadership in the classroom?

As advocates of authentic leadership in the classroom, the researchers find the study relevant and timely to conduct so that leader self-awareness, relational transparency, internalized

moral perspective, and balanced processing will be determined. Thus, this research was conceptualized.

2. Methodology

The study is descriptive in nature. The instrument used is a multidimensional model of the authentic leadership construct (the Authentic Leadership Questionnaire [ALQ]) comprising leader self-awareness, composed of item numbers, 1, 5, 9 and 13; internalized moral perspective, identified as items 2, 6, 10 and 14; balanced processing, for items 3, 7, 11 and 15; and relational transparency assigned as items 4, 8, 12 and 16. The weighted mean values of the three sample schools were computed to determine the authentic leadership category of the respondents. Complete anonymity of the respondents was observed to objectively get the data.

In categorizing the scores, 16-20 was interpreted as high which means that the respondents have stronger authentic leadership, and 15 and below is interpreted as low, which means weaker authentic leadership.

Moreover, the itemized ranking of each statement of ALQ questionnaire is based on the numerical and descriptive allocation done by the researchers using the five-point scale. The values and qualitative description are detailed in Table 1.

Range of Weighted Mean Values Qualitative Description 1 - 1.80VL- Very Low 1.81 - 2.60ML- Moderately Low 2.61 - 3.40- High Н 3.41 - 4.20- Very High VH 4.21 - 5.00 EΗ Extremely High

 Table 1: Range of Weighted Mean Values and Qualitative Description

In order to have a good representation of the data from three sample schools, universal sampling was used. The respondents who were not around during the first and second administration were excluded in the process.

3. Results and Discussion

The items of multidimensional leadership questionnaire were presented by school to gauge what particular aspect the respondents were strong and weak at. The data came from 364

respondents. One hundred ten from the PIT, one hundred twenty-seven came from ASIU and BU, respectively.

Finally, the results revealed a high level of self-awareness, internalized moral perspective, balanced processing, and relational transparency from the three samples. This supports the findings of multiple studies (Strauss et al., 2009; Belschak and Den Hartog, 2011; Den Hartog and Belschak, 2012; Hong et al., 2016) that positive leadership, such as transformational leadership, has positive predictive effects on proactive behavior.

This means that the teacher as a leader is bestowed with self-awareness. It is not an end in itself but a process in which individuals understand themselves, including their strengths and weaknesses, and the impact they have on others. Self-awareness includes reflecting on core values, identity, emotions, motives, and goals at the deepest level. In addition, it includes being aware of and trusting their own feelings (Kernis, 2003). When leaders know themselves and have a clear sense of who they are, and what they stand for, they have a very strong anchor for their decisions and actions (Gardner et al., 2005). Teacher-leaders who have greater self-awareness are more authentic.

Similarly, relational transparency is also manifested by the teachers. It means that they are open and honest in presenting one's true self to others. It is self-regulatory because individuals can control their transparency with others. Relational transparency occurs when individuals share their core feelings, motives, and inclinations with others in an appropriate manner (Kernis, 2003). It includes the individuals showing both positive and negative aspects of themselves to others. In short, relational transparency is about communicating openly and being real in a relationship with others.

Akin to the mentioned dimensions is the internalized moral perspective. This means that the teacher-respondents apply self-regulatory process whereby they use their internal moral standards and values to guide their behavior rather than allow outside pressures to control them (e.g., group or societal pressure). They have control over the extent to which they allow others to influence them. Others see leaders with an internalized moral perspective as authentic because their actions are consistent with their expressed beliefs and morals.

Moreover, balanced processing is evidenced by a self-regulatory behavior. They have the ability to analyze information objectively and explore other people's opinions before making a decision. In this connection, authentic leadership has recently attracted extensive research attention (Miao et al., 2018).

Table 2: Components that Comprise Authentic Leadership

	Compo				
	Self-	Relational	Internalized	Balanced	Interpretation
Respondent	Awareness	Transparency	Moral	Processing	
Schools			Perspective		
		Weighted M	Iean Values		
Palompon	17.15	15.57	17.51	16.36	High
Institute of					
Technology					
(PIT)					
Ar-Raniry	15.77	16.06	15.97	16.94	High
State Islamic					
University					
(ASIU)					
Bayero	16.35	15.74	15.54	16.09	High
University					
(BU)					
Overall Interpretation High					

The highest dimension of the four categories is the internalized moral perspective from the sample coming from the PIT. It has a value of 17.51, and based on the scoring guide, it is interpreted as high. This is supported by the findings of numerous studies that authentic leaders have a positive predictive effect on psychological capital (Ilies et al., 2005; Han and Yang, 2011; Sun, 2013; Zhang, 2014). Although all the four dimensions fall on a high level, the lowest score comes from Bayero University (BU) but still categorized as high. The point difference as compared with the two schools, PIT and ASIU is negligible.

Marked by the desire to investigate, the researchers made an itemized ranking based on the mean values, hence, present the information in Table 3.

Table 3: Mean Values from Three Schools on ALQ Statements

*Sta	tements	PIT	Interpretation	ASIU	Interpretation	BU	Interpretation
1.	I can list my three greatest weaknesses.	4.47	EH (4)	3.80	VH (13)	4.09	VH (6)
2.	My actions reflect my core values.	4.50	EH (3)	4.01	VH (11)	3.59	VH (14)
3.	I seek others' opinions before making up my own mind.	4.35	EH (5)	4.06	VH (8.5)	3.71	VH (12)
4.	I openly share my feelings with others.	3.75	VH (14)	3.74	VH (14)	4.01	VH (7)
5.	I can list my three greatest strengths.	4.16	VH (10)	3.73	VH (15)	4.17	VH (5)
6.	I do not allow group pressure to control me.	4.24	EH (7)	4.05	VH (10)	3.98	VH (8)
7.	I listen closely to the ideas of	4.01	VH (13)	4.25	EH (4.5)	3.58	VH (15)

those who disagree with me.	1					
8. I let others know who I truly am as a person.	4.15	VH (11.5)	3.84	VH (12)	3.87	VH (11)
9. I seek feedback as a way of understanding who I really am as a person.	4.23	EH (8)	4.06	VH (8.5)	3.67	VH (13)
10. Other people know where I stand on controversial issues.	4.15	VH (11.5)	3.64	VH (16)	3.45	VH (16)
11. I do not emphasize my own point of view at the expense of others.	3.73	VH (15)	4.25	(4.5)	4.36	EH (4)
12. I rarely present a "false" front to others.	3.48	VH (16)	4.16	VH (6)	3.92	VH (10)
13. I accept the feelings I have about myself.	4.28	EH (6)	4.15	VH (7)	4.42	EH (3)
14. My morals guide what I do as a leader.	4.63	EH (1)	4.28	EH (3)	4.52	EH (1)
15. I listen very carefully to the ideas of others before making decisions.	4.57	EH (2)	4.39	EH (1)	4.44	EH (2)
16. I admit my mistakes to others.	4.20	VH (9)	4.32	EH (2)	3.94	VH (9)

^{* (}adopted from Walumbwa et al., 2007)

For BU and PIT respondents their strongest dimension is their morals that guide them as a leader. This item ranked third for ASIU respondents. This is item number 14. This falls on the internalized moral perspective dimension. Listening very carefully to the ideas of others before making decisions is the strongest item for ASIU respondents. This fall on the balanced processing dimension.

In light of the findings, teachers as leaders should spend time and make efforts to build a safe working environment through communication and maintaining their behavioral integrity (Liu et al., 2015; Xu et al., 2017). This portrays the information that the strongest dimension of classroom teachers are on internalized moral perspective and balanced processing. The figures enclosed in parentheses determine the rank of the particular statement based on ALQ.

Their weakest item is that other people know where they stand on controversial issues (item number 10). This is on internalized moral perspective dimension. This is according to ASIU and BU responses. For PIT group, the lowest mean is on item number 12 which says that they rarely present a "false" front to others. This dimension falls on relational transparency.

4. Conclusion

The teachers are well-equipped in the performance of their job. This is manifested by a high category on the four dimensions of authentic leadership. Professional preparation and a

well-rounded exposure to the teaching profession made them fit in the accomplishment of their classroom tasks.

5. Recommendations

The research results showed that the dimension which scored low on their weighted value is on relational transparency. This can be done by adopting a brain-based strategy to elicit behavior change for the total development of the learner. This is shown in Table 4.

 Table 4: *Strategies and Suggested Activities to Elicit Behavior Change for the Learners

	tivities to Elicit Behavior Change for the Learners
Identified Strategies	Suggested Activities
What Research Says	What Should Be Done
Physical Movement	Active learning
 Strengthens learning 	Go outside
 Improves memory retrieval 	 Move around
Enhances learners'	- Stretch
confidence	Role Playing
 Movement increases blood 	
flow and oxygenates the	
brain	
WI AD 1.C	WI (CI IID D
What Research Says	What Should Be Done
■ Use collaboration	- Breakout spaces
Brain is inherently social Furthering to others.	- Small groups
 Explaining to others 	Cooperative learning Crown and individual.
provides information to the students	Group and individual
	accountability
Feel support of peersSmall group decision-	
making skills	
Promotes social	
interactions	
interactions	
What Research Says	What Should Be Done
Stimulating Environment	Colored transparencies
 Color promotes memory 	Colored handouts
and motivation	Colorful posters
 Yellow, light orange, beige 	Colored Markers (whiteboards)
- calming	Highlight notes
 Colorful student work 	
around the room promotes	
student ownership	
What Research Says	What Should Be Done
■ Use Humor	- Funny stories
234 11011101	1 01111

 Reduces stress 	– Jokes
- Boosts immune system	Cartoon in class or test Lake around in small groups
Enhances alertness and	 Joke around in small groups
memory	
What Research Says	What Should Be Done
■ Incorporate Music	- Use as a timer
Alters brain chemistry	Use during transitions
Energizers	 Increase suspense or tension
- Calms	 Welcome to class
 Increase effectiveness for 	 Doing independent work
task completion	
What Research Says	What Should Be Done
■ Problem Solving	Open-ended questions High and accel thinking.
The brain grows by trying to solve problems	Higher level thinking guestions/projects
to solve problems - Need to find the edge of	questions/projects — What if?
what students can do	- What ii - Can you figure this out?
Real-world problem	Can you right this out:Brain teasers
solving promotes creative	- Graphing
and meaningful judgment	Laboratory/Experiments
	J. P.
What Research Says	What Should Be Done
Link to Previous Knowledge	 Check to see what students already
 Start with what they know 	know
and move forward to what	 Expand on what they understand
they need to know	 Know, Want and Learn (KWL)
- Supply background	
information if necessary	
Motivating the studentsHelp students to be more	
successful	
Successiui	
What Research Says	What Should Be Done
Allow Student Choice	- Give students choices when
Lowers stress	possible
 Helps release good brain 	 Option as to how to take note –
chemicals	outline, concept map, etc.
 Give students the choice 	 Which problems to go over
while adult remains in	
control	Will all the D
What Research Says	What Should Be Done
Repetition	Differentiate previewing and reviewing strategies.
 Strengthens connections to the brain 	reviewing strategies
Synapses are not static,	 Interesting approaches and assessments
adapt to activity	Video clips, posters, cooperative
adapt to delivity	. 1215 the posterior, cooperative

	learning, graphic organizers, etc.
What Research Says	What Should Be Done
Use of Images	 Working models
 80-90% of all information 	 Project-based assignments
absorbed by the brain is	 Varied information forms
visual	 Assortment of art supplies
 Concrete visual images contrast, movement, and color attract attention 	 Add pictures, graphics, charts, etc. to presentations

^{*(}Adopted from Wagner, 2006; Lackney, 1998; Hileman, 2006; & Elder, 2008)

References

- Belschak, F. D., Den Hartog, D. N. (2011). Pro-self, prosocial, and pro-organizational foci of proactive behavior: differential antecedents and consequences. J. Occup. Organ. Psychol. 83475–498. https://doi.org/10.1080/00223980.2014.985284
- Den Hartog, D. N., Belschak F. D. (2012). When does transformational leadership enhance employee proactive behavior? The role of autonomy and role breadth self-efficacy. J. Appl. Psychol. 97 194–202. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0024903
- Elder, J. (2008). Twenty-five Brain-Friendly Strategies. [Electronic Version]. Janet Elder Papers. Available: http://readingproof.com/papers.html
- Gardner, W. L., Avolio, B. J., Luthans, F., May, D. R., & Walumba, F. O. (2005). Can you see the real me? A self-based model of authentic leader and follower development. The Leadership Quarterly. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2005.03.003
- George, B. (2016). The Truth About Authentic Leaders. Research and Ideas. This content was originally posted on Harvard Business School Working Knowledge on 7/6/16.
- Harrison, C. and Killion, J. (2007). Ten Roles for Teacher Leaders. Teachers as Leaders, 74-77. 66,1.
- Hileman, S. (2006). Motivating Students Using Brain-Based Teaching Strategies. [Electronic Version]. The Agricultural Education Magazine. Available:

 http://www.allbusines.com/agriculture-forestry-fishing-hunting/1046039-1.html
- Hong, Y., Liao, H., Raub, S., Han, J. H. (2016). What it takes to get proactive: an integrative multilevel model of the antecedents of personal initiative. J. Appl. Psychol. 101 687–701. https://doi.org/10.1037/apl0000064

- Kernis, M. H. (2003). Toward a conceptualization of optimal self-esteem. Psychological Inquiry, 14, 1 26. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327965PLI1401_01
- Lackney, J. (1998). Twelve Design Principles Based on Brain-Based Learning Research. [Electronic Version]. Regional CEFPI Conference Workshop (summary). Available: http://schoolstudio.engr.wisc.edu/brainbased.html
- Liu, S. M., Liao, J. Q., Wei, H. (2015). Authentic leadership and whistleblowing: mediating roles of psychological safety and personal identification. *J. Bus. Ethics* 131 107–119. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-014-2271-z
- Marzano, R. J. and Waters, T. (2009). District Leadership That Works: Striking the Right Balance.
- Miao, C., Humphrey, R. H., Qian, S. (2018). Emotional intelligence and authentic leadership: a meta-analysis. Leadersh. Organ. Dev. J. 39 679–690. https://doi.org/10.1108/LODJ-02-2018-0066
- Spitzmuller, M., Ilies, R. (2010). Do they [all] see my true self? Leader's relational authenticity and followers' assessments of transformational leadership. Eur. J. Work Organ. Psychol. 19 304–332. https://doi.org/10.1080/13594320902754040
- Strauss, K., Griffin, M. A., Rafferty, A. E. (2009). Proactivity directed toward the team and organization: the role of leadership, commitment and role-breadth self-efficacy. *Br. J. Manage*. 20 279–291. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8551.2008.00590.x
- Stronge, J. (2007). Heritage Professor of the College of William and Mary Williamsburg, Virginia.
- Walumbwa, F. O., Avolio, B. J., Garner, W. L., Wernsing, T., Peterson, S. J. Authentic Leadership Questionnaire. Copyright 2007 by the authors.
- Wagner, M. (2006). Ten Easy Ways to Help Kids Learn: A Brain-based Learning Strategy That Really Works. [electronic version]. available:

 http://www.classbrain.com/artteensm/publish/10 brain based learning strategies.shtml
- Xu, B. D., Zhao, S. K., Li, C. R.. Lin, C. J. (2017). Authentic leadership and employee creativity: testing the multilevel mediation model. *Leadersh. Organ. Dev. J.* 38 482–498. https://doi.org/10.1108/LODJ-09-2015-0194