



MPAgenomics : An R package for multi-patients analysis of genomic markers

Quentin Grimonprez, Alain Celisse, Samuel Blanck, Meyling Cheok, Martin Figeac, Guillemette Marot

► To cite this version:

Quentin Grimonprez, Alain Celisse, Samuel Blanck, Meyling Cheok, Martin Figeac, et al.. MPAgenomics : An R package for multi-patients analysis of genomic markers. BMC Bioinformatics, BioMed Central, 2014, 15, pp.394. 10.1186/s12859-014-0394-y . hal-00933614v3

HAL Id: hal-00933614

<https://hal.inria.fr/hal-00933614v3>

Submitted on 14 Jan 2015

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

SOFTWARE

Open Access

MPAgenomics: an R package for multi-patient analysis of genomic markers

Quentin Grimonprez^{1*}, Alain Celisse^{1,2}, Samuel Blanck¹, Meyling Cheok³, Martin Figeac⁴ and Guillemette Marot^{1,5}

Abstract

Background: Last generations of Single Nucleotide Polymorphism (SNP) arrays allow to study copy-number variations in addition to genotyping measures.

Results: *MPAgenomics*, standing for multi-patient analysis (MPA) of genomic markers, is an R-package devoted to: (i) efficient segmentation and (ii) selection of genomic markers from multi-patient copy number and SNP data profiles. It provides wrappers from commonly used packages to streamline their repeated (sometimes difficult) manipulation, offering an easy-to-use pipeline for beginners in R.

The segmentation of successive multiple profiles (finding losses and gains) is performed with an automatic choice of parameters involved in the wrapped packages. Considering multiple profiles in the same time, *MPAgenomics* wraps efficient penalized regression methods to select relevant markers associated with a given outcome.

Conclusions: *MPAgenomics* provides an easy tool to analyze data from SNP arrays in R. The R-package *MPAgenomics* is available on CRAN.

Keywords: SNP arrays, Segmentation of genomic data, Marker selection, Multi-patient analysis, R package

Background

Genome-wide Single Nucleotide Polymorphism (SNP) arrays have been widely used over the past few years [1]. First generations were measuring only genetic variations of Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms, which are single base pair mutations at specific loci. Last generations (e.g. SNP5.0, SNP6.0) also include non-polymorphic probes in order to study copy-number variations along the genome in addition to genotyping measures. These arrays are especially used to study the impact of diseases, e.g. cancer, on the human genome.

Analyzing data from genome-wide SNP arrays within R requires several packages, e.g. *aroma* for normalization of Affymetrix® SNP arrays [2,3], *changepoint* or *cghseg* for segmentation of copy number profiles [4], *cghcall* for labelling segments [5], and *glmnet* for penalized regressions [6]. Each package performs a specific task along the whole analysis but none of them is related to the others. Output formats of given packages

are often not compatible with input formats required by the other, making their use tricky for beginners in R. One main contribution of the *MPAgenomics* R package is to aggregate these commonly used packages, providing wrappers to inter-relate them automatically.

At each step of the analysis a large amount of packages are available to perform normalization, segmentation or marker selection. A careful choice of only a few methods is required to provide an easy-to-use and efficient tool.

In this software article, we describe two different pipelines implemented in the R package *MPAgenomics*. Both of them perform the whole analysis from raw data to normalization, and then either successive segmented profiles, or a list of genomic markers selected from all available profiles.

Implementation

MPAgenomics is implemented in R [7]. The package is divided in four main parts: data normalization, segmentation, calling and marker selection. Each part depends on different packages. *MPAgenomics* provides wrappers for some functions of these packages and facilitates the interaction between outputs and inputs of different functions.

*Correspondence: quentin.grimonprez@inria.fr

¹MODAL team, Inria Lille-Nord Europe, Villeneuve-d'Ascq, France
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

It remedies some problems with the wrapped packages such as confusing parameter names.

Data normalization

The normalization process in `MPAgenomics` contains *technical biases correction* and *copy number and allele B fraction estimation*. Following [8], *allele B fraction* refers to the proportion of the total signal coming from allele B. Normalization methods are available for Affymetrix® arrays (10K, 100K, 500K, GenomeWideSNP 5 & 6, and CytoScanHD). The estimation of the total copy number and allele B fraction is made by `CRMAv2` [9] originally implemented in the `aroma` packages. For studies with matched normal-tumor samples, a better estimation is suggested and implemented for the allele B fraction of the tumoral sample with the `TumorBoost` method [8].

The use of `aroma` packages is difficult for neophytes due to the strict folder architecture it requires and the documentation of the project which is mainly dedicated to experts able to criticize each method proposed and understand details of each procedure.

`MPAgenomics` provides documentation with a detailed example explaining how to quickly analyze data. The tutorial can be accessed in R by running the following commands:

```
library(MPAgenomics)
vignette("MPAgenomics")
```

More details on each step or wrapper are given to help advanced users to run each function separately.

Several features in the original `aroma` packages create new folders and files within the architecture. Matching files from different processes associated with a given sample can be tricky for neophytes. `MPAgenomics` implements a wrapper to build the folder architecture, check filenames automatically, process `CRMAv2` and `TumorBoost` normalization steps. Miscellaneous functions are also provided to ease some actions like signal extraction. Furthermore, different graphs such as the copy number profile can be saved in the working directory for further visualization.

The following steps (segmentation, calling and/or selection of genomic markers) are available in two settings. One is `aroma`-based and exploits the folder architecture and the files generated along the process. The second does not depend on `aroma` and allows advanced users to use their own normalized data.

Segmentation

Although the use of manual annotations provides the best segmentation results [10], it appears essential for multi-patient analysis to avoid relying on them since they are time-consuming.

Therefore, following simulation results of [10], `MPAgenomics` wraps the `CGHSEG` [11,12] and `PELT`

(Pruned Exact Linear Time) [13] segmentation methods which appeared to be those with the best overall performance.

`PELT` and `CGHSEG` methods fit a Gaussian maximum likelihood model but they differ in the way they choose the number of segments. `CGHSEG` requires the maximal number of segments as input. In `MPAgenomics`, the optimal number of segments is chosen according to a penalty $C \times K \times (2.5 + \log(\frac{P}{K}))$ with a profile of length P , K the number of segments and $C > 0$ a parameter to choose [14]. This choice is performed using slope heuristics [15]. The `PELT` method returns a segmentation with a number of segments automatically chosen by the algorithm according to a penalty $K\rho \log(P)$ with $\rho > 0$ a parameter to choose. The choice of the penalty parameter has been raised in [4]. `MPAgenomics` suggests an automatic sample-specific choice of ρ chromosome by chromosome (see package vignette for details on the method). In `MPAgenomics`, the two methods, `CGHSEG` with the slope heuristic and `PELT` with our calibration method, are proposed. By default, `CGHSEG` is used because it is quicker than `PELT` due to the multiple execution required by the ρ calibration method we propose.

The implemented segmentation methods are independently available for both copy number and allele B fraction profiles. In the case of allele B fraction segmentation, only heterozygous SNPs are kept. First, a naive genotype call [8] is performed on each normal sample in order to separate heterozygous SNPs from homozygous SNPs. Naive genotyping method assumes SNPs are bi-allelic and therefore is not recommended for tumor samples. Thus allele B fraction segmentation in `MPAgenomics` requires matched normal-tumor pairs. Then, following [16], the resulting signal is centered on 0.5 and symmetrized, which makes it similar to the usual copy number.

Calling

From each segmented profile, the `CGHcall` method [17] is run to label every copy-number segment in terms of *loss*, *normal*, and *gain*.

`CGHcall` depends on a parameter, named *cellularity*, corresponding to the contamination of a sample with healthy cells. In `MPAgenomics`, this parameter can be modified by users, by default its value is 1 meaning that tumor samples are pure.

In the `aroma`-dependent function, segmentation and calling are performed with the same wrapper. The calling is run for each profile separately. Results are saved in text format in the working folder architecture.

Selection of genomic markers

The goal is to select genomic markers (e.g. SNPs or CNV) associated with a given response from all patient profiles simultaneously. There is no need to perform segmentation

and calling before the multi-patient analysis, marker selection is made over all copy-number profiles. However, segmentation can be performed before marker selection if wanted, in order to reduce the noise and the dimensionality of the problem.

Assuming I individuals and P potential markers, then for each individual i , y_i denotes the response and $x_{i,p}$ the corresponding normalized value of copy number or allele B fraction signal at genomic position p .

Due to the huge number of markers ($P \gg I$), MPAGENOMICS uses by default the *lasso* [18] regularization method to select very few ones. This method offers two advantages: (i) it selects only few variables, easing the interpretability of results, (ii) there exist some algorithms such as the *lars* [19] to solve quickly the *lasso* problem and support high-dimensional data.

The lasso regularization method consists in minimizing $g_\lambda : \beta \in \mathbb{R}^P \mapsto g_\lambda(\beta)$, where

$$g_\lambda(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^I (y_i - (X\beta)_i)^2 + \lambda \sum_{p=1}^P |\beta_p| ,$$

with $(X\beta)_i = \sum_p x_{i,p}\beta_p$ and $\lambda > 0$ controlling the number of non-zero coordinates of β . After minimization, non-zero coefficients β_p correspond to influential positions to predict the response.

MPAGENOMICS genomic marker selection drastically improves currently available packages in terms of computation time. With the linear regression model, it efficiently provides the exact solution by using the new R package HDPENREG, which is an optimized implementation of the *lars* algorithm [19] specially dedicated to a huge number of markers.

Since the theoretical grounding of Lasso when $P \gg I$ relies on a theoretical condition (see [20]) that cannot be easily checked in practice, the spike and slab algorithm [21,22] – a three steps algorithm performing filtering, estimation and variable selection – is also provided in MPAGENOMICS as an alternative.

Logistic regression is also available for binary responses. In this case, MPAGENOMICS wraps the *glmnet* package [6] in the whole process. Unlike HDPENREG it does not provide the exact solution but is computationally very efficient. With *glmnet* and HDPENREG, the regularization parameter λ is chosen by k -fold cross-validation [23]. The selected variables are the most relevant ones regarding the response.

Discussion

MPAGENOMICS is mainly dedicated to beginners in SNP array analyses. It solves problems commonly encountered by neophytes such as interaction between different packages or specialized documentation dedicated to experts in the field. In addition, MPAGENOMICS suggests careful and

automatic choices of crucial parameters at each part of the analysis.

To achieve simplicity of usage, MPAGENOMICS does not propose all options implemented in the wrapped packages, especially for normalization. However, outputs are generated in such a way that interaction between wrapped packages and MPAGENOMICS is facilitated. For example, the strict directory structure of *aroma* packages is built by MPAGENOMICS. Therefore, advanced users may directly use specific options of *aroma* to enhance their analysis without renormalizing data from scratch.

As specified in the data normalization section, segmentation, calling and marker selection steps can be performed without the use of *aroma*. This allows users to provide their own normalized data into matrices. This is useful for non-Affymetrix® SNP arrays, CGH arrays or high-throughput sequencing data. For the latter, count data might need a variance-stabilizing transformation into Gaussian data before using current segmentation, calling and marker selection. For example, the Anscombe transform [24] can be used in addition to appropriate normalization specific to the used technology (target sequencing, whole-genome sequencing).

Currently, copy number and allele B fraction are segmented independently from each other. Research is ongoing to propose joint segmentation methods allowing to detect uniparental disomies, fragments which present a normal copy number but a loss of heterozygosity in the corresponding allele B fraction.

Conclusions

MPAGENOMICS provides user-friendly wrappers for normalization and multi-patient analysis of high-throughput genomic data. It offers a guideline for beginners in copy-number variation analysis focusing on proven methods for their effectiveness. MPAGENOMICS also provides automatic choices of crucial parameters for segmentation and selection of markers.

Even though normalization is provided for Affymetrix® arrays, other steps (segmentation, calling, and marker selection) can be applied to normalized data from other DNA arrays and next-generation sequencing data.

Availability and requirements

Project name: MPAGENOMICS

Project home page: <http://cran.at.r-project.org/package=MPAGENOMICS>

Operating system(s): Platform independent

Programming language: R

Other requirements: none

License: GNU GPL (>=2)

Any restrictions to use by non-academics: None

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

QG implemented the first versions of MPAGenomics and HDPenReg. He helped in their maintenance and drafted the manuscript and the vignette of the package. AC contributed for choices of crucial parameters in segmentation, and helped draft the manuscript. SB maintained MPAGenomics and its vignette. MC and MF participated in discussions on data analysis and results. GM conceived of the project and managed it, selected key packages for wrapping. She occasionally participated to the implementation and helped draft the manuscript and the vignette. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We thank Serge Iovleff for his help implementing HDPenReg. We also thank Claude Preudhomme and Olivier Nibourel for providing data presented in the vignette of the package, and for their helpful clinical competences to interpret the results. The development of this package was funded by the Inria Technological Development Action (ADT) named MPAGenomics.

Author details

¹MODAL team, Inria Lille-Nord Europe, Villeneuve-d'Ascq, France. ²Laboratoire Paul Painlevé, Université Lille 1, Villeneuve-d'Ascq, France. ³Inserm, U837, Team 3, Cancer Research Institute of Lille, Lille, France. ⁴Plate-forme de génomique fonctionnelle et structurale, IFR-114, Université Lille 2, Lille, France. ⁵EA 2694, Université Lille 2, Lille, France.

Received: 23 July 2014 Accepted: 19 November 2014

Published online: 14 December 2014

References

1. LaFramboise T: **Single nucleotide polymorphism arrays: a decade of biological, computational and technological advances.** *Nucleic Acids Res* 2009, **37**(13):4181–4193.
2. Bengtsson H, Simpson K, Bullard J, Hansen K: **aroma.affymetrix: A generic framework in R for analyzing small to very large Affymetrix data sets in bounded memory.** Technical Report 745, Department of Statistics, University of California, Berkeley; 2008.
3. Bengtsson H, Bullard J, Hansen K, Neuvial P, Purdomand E, Robinson M, Simpson K: **Aroma project.** 2010. [http://www.aroma-project.org/]
4. Killick R, Eckley I: **Changepoint: An R Package for Changepoint Analysis.** 2013. R package version 1.1, [http://www.lancs.ac.uk/~killick/Pub/KillickEckley2011.pdf]
5. van de Wiel M, Vosse S: **CGHcall: Calling Aberrations for Array CGH Tumor Profiles.** 2012. R package version 2.20.0 [http://www.bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/vignettes/CGHcall/inst/doc/CGHcall.pdf]
6. Friedman JH, Hastie T, Tibshirani R: **Regularization paths for generalized linear models via coordinate descent.** *J Stat Softw* 2010, **33**(1):1–22.
7. R Core Team: **R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing.** Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2014. [http://www.R-project.org/]
8. Bengtsson H, Neuvial P, Speed TP: **Tumorboost: Normalization of allele-specific tumor copy numbers from a single pair of tumor-normal genotyping microarrays.** *BMC Bioinformatics* 2010, **11**:245.
9. Bengtsson H, Wirapati P, Speed TP: **A single-array preprocessing method for estimating full-resolution raw copy numbers from all Affymetrix genotyping arrays including GenomeWideSNP 5 & 6.** *Bioinformatics* 2009, **25**(17):2149–2156.
10. Hocking T, Schleiermacher G, Janoueix-Lerosey I, Boeva V, Cappo J, Delattre O, Bach F, Vert J-P: **Learning smoothing models of copy number profiles using breakpoint annotations.** *BMC Bioinformatics* 2013, **14**(1):164.
11. Picard F, Robin S, Lavielle M, Vaisse C, Daudin J-J: **A statistical approach for array CGH data analysis.** *BMC Bioinformatics* 2005, **6**(1):27.
12. Rigai G: **Pruned dynamic programming for optimal multiple change-point detection.** arXiv e-print, 2010, arXiv/1004.0887.
13. Killick R, Fearnhead P, Eckley IA: **Optimal detection of changepoints with a linear computational cost.** *J Am Stat Assoc* 2012, **107**(500):1590–1598.
14. Lebarbier E: **Detecting multiple change-points in the mean of gaussian process by model selection.** *Signal Process* 2005, **85**(4):717–736.
15. Birgé L, Massart P: **Minimal penalties for gaussian model selection.** *Probability Theory Related Fields* 2007, **138**(1-2):33–73.
16. Staaf J, Lindgren D, Vallon-Christersson J, Isaksson A, Göransson H, Juliusson G, Rosenquist R, Höglund M, Borg Å, Ringnér M: **Segmentation-based detection of allelic imbalance and loss-of-heterozygosity in cancer cells using whole genome SNP arrays.** *Genome Biol* 2008, **9**(9):R136.
17. van de Wiel MA, Kim KI, Vosse SJ, van Wieringen WN, Wilting SM, Ylstra B: **CGHcall: calling aberrations for array CGH tumor profiles.** *Bioinformatics* 2007, **23**(7):892–894.
18. Tibshirani R: **Regression shrinkage and selection via the lasso.** *J R Stat Soci Series B* 1994, **58**:267–288.
19. Efron B, Hastie T, Johnstone I, Tibshirani R: **Least angle regression.** *Ann Stat* 2004, **32**:407–499.
20. Ravikumar P, Wainwright M. J, Raskutti G, Yu B: **High-dimensional covariance estimation by minimizing l_1 -penalized log-determinant divergence.** *Electron J Stat* 2011, **5**:935–980.
21. Ishwaran H, Rao JS: **Spike and slab variable selection: frequentist and bayesian strategies.** *Ann Stat* 2005, **33**(2):730–773.
22. Ishwaran H, Rao JS: **Generalized ridge regression: geometry and computational solutions when p is larger than n.** Technical Report, Department of Public Health Sciences Division of Biostatistics, University of Miami, Miller School of Medicine; 2010.
23. Arlot S, Celisse A: **A survey of cross-validation procedures for model selection.** *Stat Surv* 2010, **4**:40–79.
24. Anscombe FJ: **The transformation of poisson, binomial, and negative-binomial data.** *Biometrika* 1948, **35**(3/4):246–254.

doi:10.1186/s12859-014-0394-y

Cite this article as: Grimonprez et al.: MPAGenomics: an R package for multi-patient analysis of genomic markers. *BMC Bioinformatics* 2014 **15**:394.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

