Final Report

FHWA/IN/JTRP-2001/5

A REAL-TIME OFFSET TRANSITIONING ALGORITHM FOR COORDINATING TRAFFIC SIGNALS

By

Darcy Bullock Professor of Civil Engineering Principal Investigator

and

Montasir M. Abbas Research Assistant

School of Civil Engineering Purdue University

Joint Transportation Research Program Project No. C-36-37II File No. 8-4-52 SPR-2391

Prepared in Cooperation with the Indiana Department of Transportation and the U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration

The contents of this report reflect the views of the authors who are responsible for the facts and the accuracy of the data presented herein. The contents do not necessarily reflect the official views of or policies of the funding agencies. This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation.

Purdue University West Lafayette, Indiana 47907 November 2001

			TECHNICAL REPORT ST	ANDARD TITLE PAGE
1. Report No. FHWA/IN/JTRP-2001/5	2. Government Accession	No.	3. Recipient's Catalog No.	
4. Title and Subtitle			5. Report Date	
A Real-Time Offset Transitioning Algorithn	n for Coordinating Traf	fic Signals	November 2001	
			6. Performing Organization	ı Code
7. Author(s)			8. Performing Organization	Report No.
Darcy Bullock and Montasir Abbas			FHWA/IN/ITRP-2001/	/5
9. Performing Organization Name and Address			10. Work Unit No.	
Joint Transportation Research Program				
1284 Civil Engineering Building				
Purdue University West Lafavette Indiana 47007 1284				
west Larayette, morana 47907-1284				
			11. Contract or Grant No. SPR-2391	
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address			13. Type of Report and Peri	iod Covered
Indiana Department of Transportation			Final Danart	
State Office Building			Гіпаї Кероп	
Indianapolis, IN 46204				
			14. Sponsoring Agency Code	e
15. Supplementary Notes				
Prepared in cooperation with the Indiana De	epartment of Transporta	tion and Federal Highw	vay Administration	
16. Abstract	partition of framporta			
designed to work with traditional coordinat Traffic Signals (PRO-TRACTS) adds to the pattern, providing an intermediate solution facilitate implementation, a new National actuation at the controller's level is define upstream detectors is used by a newly define The procedure is modeled after the analysise performance and adjust it accordingly. Simular in total number of stops for the coordinated with primarily through traffic. Heavy moves which movement should be favored. PRO- designed offsets using current setups of the familiarity of traffic engineers and personne signal coordination. Future research is nee traffic patterns caused by situations such a algorithm should be extended to improve two	ed-actuated systems. The controllers the ability between traditional contransportation Commed in this report. The ed metric to examine the of variance testing. The ulations of two case stup movement when applie ements from the side states to the side states of the side states of the side states of the current actures and the current actures of the states of the st	the Purdue Real-Time C y to adaptively change pordinated-actuated con- nunication for ITS Pr unique cycle-based ta- ne existence of shockwa is procedure is perform dies revealed 0-16% sa d to systems with poor reets onto the arterial oblems such as early-ru- in the US. The algorith ated control system to bility of the algorithm phases due to light to on instead of one-way p	offset Transitioning Algo e their offsets in responsi- ntrol systems and adapti- otocol (NTCIP) object bulation of volume and aves generated due to a p ed on cycle-by-cycle bas avings in total travel time offsets. The algorithm is make it difficult for the eturn-to-green, waiting of thm capitalizes on the e o provide a cost-effective with highly dispersed p raffic volume. It is also progression.	orithm for Coordinating se to changes in traffic ve control systems. To for capturing detector cocupancy profiles at boor offset downstream. is to evaluate the offset e and up to 44% saving best suited for arterials algorithm to determine queues, and improperly existing knowledge and e solution to improving platoons and oscillatory recommended that the
17 Kan Wanda		10 D: 4 7 4 6 6		
17. Key words		18. Distribution Statemen	u .	
Offset Tuning, Traffic Signal Coordination, Coordinated- Actuated Operation, NTCIP, ITS, Shockwave, PRO-TRACTS, Purdue, Real-Time, Platoon, CORSIM, Case Study.		the public through the ngfield, VA 22161		
19. Security Classif. (of this report)	20. Security Classif. (of th	is page)	21. No. of Pages	22. Price
Unclassified	Unclassifie	d	400	



TECHNICAL Summary

INDOT Research

Technology Transfer and Project Implementation Information

TRB Subject Code: 54-5 Traffic Control Devices Publication No.: FHWA/IN/JTRP-2001/5, SPR-2391

November 2001 Final Report

A REAL-TIME OFFSET TRANSITIONING ALGORITHM FOR COORDINATING TRAFFIC SIGNALS

Introduction

Over the last few decades, extensive efforts have been made in the development of adaptive and responsive traffic control systems. The United States Department of Transportation (US DOT) has sponsored a great amount of research and field deployment of new traffic adaptive algorithms, such as OPAC and RHODES. Internationally, systems like SCOOT and SCATS, developed in the United Kingdom and Australia respectively, have seen increasing deployments. These adaptive control systems are based on applying specific proprietary software to a signal control system. It is estimated that travel time savings from these adaptive systems could range between 8% and 25%.

Adaptive control systems typically require an extensive input of system parameters and weighting factors for favoring individual movements plus a large number of vehicle detectors to collect movement-specific traffic data. A major drawback of these systems is the extensive effort required for training personnel on the new proprietary architecture. Operation and maintenance of these systems can also be very costly and time consuming.

In a parallel track, the private sector has developed closed loop systems that are operated by coordinated-actuated controllers. These systems provide actuated control capabilities through their ability to respond to cycle-by-cycle variation in traffic demand while still being able to provide progression for the arterial movement. Unfortunately though, the quality of vehicle progression depends on both the initial setting of offsets and the stochastic nature of traffic on individual intersections, causing waiting queues and what is known as the early-return-to-green phenomenon.

Expanding the control logic for modern coordinated- actuated systems to account for problems such as early-return-to-green, waiting queues, and improperly designed offsets would address many of the day to day problems associated with closed loop systems. Additional training would be minimized, in comparison to fully adaptive systems, since traffic engineers and technicians managing traffic signal operating systems are already familiar with coordinatedactuated logic. Fundamental concepts and communication systems for coordinated-actuated systems would also remain the same. Additionally, extra cost would be kept to a minimum since no extra detectors or infrastructure would be required.

The objective of this research is to introduce an adaptive real-time offset transitioning expansion to actuated controllers to improve coordination of traffic signals. This algorithm, the Purdue Real-time Offset Transitioning Algorithm for Coordinating Traffic Signals (PRO-TRACTS) is designed to mitigate the effect of early-returnto-green problems experienced with coordinatedactuated controllers and accounts for downstream vehicle queues that may impede vehicle progression. PRO-TRACTS fine-tunes the offsets at signalized intersections continuously to improve progression regardless of the offsets' initial values. The algorithm presented in this report works with both the internal model of the CORSIM simulation package and actual NTCIP controllers.

Findings

The report introduced a new metric by which offset performance can be evaluated. The new metric, namely the F' model, makes use of the fact that bad offsets at downstream intersections generate backward shockwaves that can extend to the upstream detector location. The metric developed in this research tests for the significance of the presence of shockwaves by calculating a proxy to the variance of the reciprocal of the speed at the upstream detector. The philosophy behind this procedure is that a large variance in speed suggests that a shockwave has a significant effect on the traffic flow. This procedure is modeled after the analysis of variance F distribution testing. Discriminant analysis is used to develop thresholds to which the F' value is compared to determine the amount of offset adjustment needed.

A new NTCIP object for capturing a cyclebased detector actuation profile at the controller level was defined in this report. The defined object will enable the implementation of PRO-TRACTS in the field by allowing real-time exchange of the data required to fine-tune the offsets.

It was found that in some situations, PRO-TRACTS caused an increase in total network delay compared to system-optimum timings when activated with optimum timing plans. These results were not surprising since favoring a directional movement is an additional constraint in the system optimization function. When enforcing a constraint such as favoring a certain traffic movement, the best that can be achieved in the overall system objective function is to keep it constant. However, if the current timing plans were not the system optimum plans, PRO-TRACTS can result in a reduction in total system delay as well.

Adjusting offsets in real-time caused some stability problems when PRO-TRACTS was run with CORSIM's internal control model. A hardware-in-the-loop simulations of the US 31 traffic network in Kokomo, Indiana revealed that

Implementation

Two simulation case studies were conducted on two traffic networks: SR 26 in Lafayette, Indiana and US31 in Kokomo, Indiana. The studies showed that for a network with poor or sub-optimal offsets, PRO-TRACTS consistently resulted in an improvement in both field traffic controllers are more stable than CORSIM's internal model in responding to realtime offset adjustment.

The platooning phenomenon has an effect on all coordination schemes since platoon dispersion can make it impossible to fit the whole platoon within a downstream green window. The platooning phenomenon was found to have significant impacts on the performance of PRO-TRACTS. When the traffic turning from the side streets is a low percentage compared to the through-movement, arterial's good а coordination scheme would align the arterial through-movement with the green window, leaving the turning traffic from the side streets to face the red window. This situation causes only a weak shockwave resulting from the turning traffic compared to the strong shockwave that results from a bad offset that align the platoon with the red window. However, if the side street traffic volume approaches that of the arterial's through, even the turning traffic from the side streets will generate a strong shockwave that could cause PRO-TRACTS activation.

The ideal location for a PRO-TRACTS detector is between the termination of the shockwave generated by the side street turning traffic and the shockwave generated by the arterial's traffic. The algorithm is best suited for arterials with primarily through-traffic since a wider separation is provided between the two shockwaves.

Another factor that affected the stability of PRO-TRACTS was the frequency of phase skips and oscillatory traffic patterns caused by certain situations, such as spillbacks or lane blockages. Since PRO-TRACTS is a reactive algorithm, it assumes that the next cycle's arrivals are comparable to the current cycle's arrivals. With phase skips, the discrepancy in arrival patterns of succeeding cycles causes PRO-TRACTS offsets to fluctuate, reducing its efficiency. Future research should address this issue.

the travel time and the number of stops in the arterial direction to which it was applied, with a greater impact in reducing the number of stops. In the SR26 case study, PRO-TRACTS achieved a performance level close to that of Synchro's timing plans, while it achieved a better

performance than Synchro's plans in the US 31 case study. The magnitude of such an improvement depends on the existing performance of the network offsets. Obviously, if there is only minor room for improvement, one can only expect that much. On the other hand, when starting with a system with poor offsets, computer simulations revealed up to 16% savings in the total travel time and up to 43% savings in the total number of stops for the coordinated movement.

The case studies investigated in this research found that PRO-TRACTS has always caused an improvement in the direction to which it was applied. However, the impact on the other direction was typically an increase in the travel time. Although coordination usually takes place during the peak period when traffic is typically at its highest in one direction, there might be situations when the two direction of traffic are almost equal, in which a case, it is difficult to decide which direction to coordinate. Future research should also consider this situation by expanding the algorithm to work in two directions.

Contacts

For more information:

Prof. Andrzej Tarko

Principal Investigator Purdue University 1284 Civil Engineering Building West Lafayette, IN 47907-1284 Phone: 765-494-5027 Fax: 765-496-1105

Prof. Darcy Bullock

Principal Investigator Purdue University 1284 Civil Engineering Building West Lafayette, IN 47907-1284 Phone: 765-494-2226 Fax: 765-496-1105

Indiana Department of Transportation

Division of Research 1205 Montgomery Street P.O. Box 2279 West Lafayette, IN 47906 Phone: 765-463-1521 Fax: 765-497-1665

Purdue University

Joint Transportation Research Program School of Civil Engineering West Lafayette, IN 47907-1284 Phone: 765-494-9310 Fax: 765-496-1105

Implementation Report

Over the last few decades, extensive efforts have been made in the development of adaptive and responsive traffic control systems. The Federal Highway Administration has estimated that travel timesavings from these adaptive systems could range between 8% and 25%. However, adaptive control systems typically require an extensive input of system parameters and weighting factors for favoring individual movements plus a large number of vehicle detectors to collect movement-specific traffic data. A major drawback of these systems is the extensive effort required for training personnel on the new proprietary architecture. Operation and maintenance of these systems can also be very costly and time consuming. Consequently, deployment of these fully adaptive systems is not very likely in Indiana.

In a parallel track, the private sector has developed closed loop systems that are operated by coordinated-actuated controllers. These systems are widely used in Indiana. These systems provide actuated control capabilities through their ability to respond to cycle-by-cycle variation in traffic demand while still being able to provide progression for the arterial movement. Unfortunately though, the quality of vehicle progression depends on both the initial setting of offsets and the stochastic nature of traffic on individual intersections, causing waiting queues and what is known as the early-return-to-green phenomenon. It takes a significant amount of man power to continually retime these systems.

Expanding the control logic for modern coordinated- actuated systems to account for problems such as early-return-to-green, waiting queues, and improperly designed offsets would address many of the day to day problems associated with closed loop systems. Additional training would be minimized, in comparison to fully adaptive systems, since traffic engineers and technicians managing traffic signal operating systems are already familiar with coordinated-actuated logic. Fundamental concepts and communication systems for coordinated-actuated systems would also remain the same. Additionally, extra cost would be kept to a minimum since no extra detectors or infrastructure would be required.

The objective of this research was to evaluate an adaptive real-time offset transitioning expansion to actuated controllers to improve coordination of traffic signals. This algorithm, the Purdue Real-time Offset Transitioning Algorithm for Coordinating Traffic Signals (PRO-TRACTS) was designed to mitigate the effect of early-return-to-green problems experienced with coordinated-actuated controllers and accounts for downstream vehicle queues that may impede vehicle progression (Figures 5-3 and 5-4). PRO-TRACTS fine-tunes the offsets at signalized intersections continuously to improve progression regardless of the offsets' initial values. The US 31 corridor in Kokomo, IN was selected for evaluating the feasibility of this algorithm since this corridor had INDOT funding programmed for improving the traffic signal system. The generality of the algorithm was also evaluated by applying it to the SR 26 corridor in Lafayette, IN.

In order to ensure that this algorithm could be directly implemented on any controller, the algorithm was implemented using the National Transportation Communications For ITS Protocol (NTCIP) and new data objects were defined with the framework of the NEMA TS 3.5 MIB. The

algorithm presented in this report was evaluated using both CORSIM controller models, and Econolite Controllers running the NTCIP Protocol.

For the SR 26 evaluation, the algorithm performance was as almost as good as offsets generated with Synchro. For the US 31 corridor, the algorithm performed similar to Synchro for the morning peak, but reduced delay between 1% and 11% (Table 11-1).

Although this algorithm shows considerable promise because offsets fine tune themselves automatically, it is not clear that these relatively modest benefits warrant the cost of a full scale implementation in Indiana at this point.

TABLE OF CONTENT

	J	Page
LIST OF TAE	BLES	v
LIST OF FIG	URES	ix
CHAPTER 1-	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Research Motivation	1
1.2	Research Overview	2
CHAPTER 2- SYSTEMS	LITERATURE REVIEW: CURRENT STATE OF TRAFFIC CONTR	ROL 4
2.1	A Historical Perspective of Traffic Control Systems	4
2.1	2.1.1 Microprocessor-Based Controllers	5
	2.1.2 Model 170 Controllers	5
	2.1.3 Advanced Transportation Controller (ATC)	6
	2.1.4 Urban Traffic Control Software (UTCS)	6
2.2	Adaptive Control Systems and Algorithms	7
	2.2.1 History of Adaptive Control Systems	7
	2.2.2 Adaptive Control Algorithms	8
	2.2.2.1 SCATS	9
	2.2.2.2 SCOOT	10
	2.2.2.3 Optimized Policies for Adaptive Control (OPAC)	11
	2.2.2.4 Real-Time, Hierarchical, Optimized, Distributed, and	1
	Effective System (RHODES)	12
2.3	Actuated Traffic Control Systems	15
	2.3.1 Actuated Control Parameters	15
	2.3.2 Coordinated-Actuated Control	18
2.4	Current Signal Timing Procedures	23
2.5	Online Offset Tuning Procedure	25
2.6	Definition of Adaptive Offset Algorithm Opportunity	26
CHAPTER 3-	COMPARATIVE STUDY OF THEORETICAL, SIMULATION, AN	D
FIELD PLAT	OON DATA	28
3.1	Platoon Dispersion	28
3.2	Literature Review	
3.3	Field Data Collection	

			Page
	3.4	Data Processing	33
	3.5	Data Analysis	34
	3.6	CORSIM Simulation	38
	3.7	Robertson's Theoretical Model	38
	3.8	Determination of Green Windows for Particular Percentage of Plato	ons 41
	3.9	42	latoon
	3.10	Discussion	47
	3.11	Chapter's Conclusion	48
CHA	APTER 4	- CALIBRATION OF CORSIM PLATOON DISPERSION MODEL.	50
	4.1	Introduction	50
	4.2	Diffusion Theory	50
	4.3	Calculation of Dispersion Factor from Speed Study	51
	4.4	Calculation of Dispersion Factor Using Pacey's Model	52
	4.5	Conversion of the Normal Distribution Into A Decile Distribution	53
	4.6	Effect of Platoon Dispersion on Signal Coordination Benefits	56
	4.7	Chapter's Conclusion	59
CHA	APTER 5	- RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN OFFSETS AND SHOCKWAVES	61
	5.1	Overview of Shockwaves	61
	5.2	Shockwaves at Signalized Intersections	64
	5.3	Effect of Offsets on Shockwaves	67
	5.4	Effect of High Turning Percentage on Shockwave Formation	73
	5.5	Chapter's Conclusion	76
CHA	APTER 6	- PRO-TRACTS CONCEPT AND STRUCTURE	77
	6.1	PRO-TRACTS Structure	78
	6.2	Link Profiling and Tabulation	79
	6.3	PRO-TRACTS Concept: The Skewness Model	86
		6.3.1 The need for an Activation Mechanism	88
		6.3.2 Modified Skewness Functions	94
		6.3.3 Calculation of Skewness Around the Count Profile's Mean	102
		6.3.4 Offset Transitioning Warrant	105
		6.3.5 Direction of Offset Transitioning	107
		6.3.6 Network Level Coordination	111
		6.3.7 Effect of Detector Location	111
	6.4	Evaluation Network and Procedure	111
		6.4.1 Adapting from Incorrect Offsets	115
		6.4.2 Adjusting Offsets to Adapt for Early-Return-to-Green	121
	6.5	General Findings and Results	124
CHA	APTER 7	- THE F' MODEL AND DISCRIMINANT ANALYSIS	125
	71	Limitation of the Skewness Model	125
	,.1	7.1.1 False Alarms with the Skewness Model	125

		Page
	7.1.2 Singularity Cases	128
	7.1.3 Effects of Turning Traffic from Side Streets	130
7.2	Factors Affecting Offset Performance	131
	7.2.1 Link Length	131
	7.2.2 Cycle Length/Green Split	133
	7.2.3 Traffic Volumes	134
	7.2.4 Detector Location	134
	7.2.5 Turning Traffic from Side Street	137
7.3	Design of Experiment and Statistical Testing	137
	7.3.1 Design of Experiment	137
	7.3.2 Statistical Testing	145
	7.3.2.1 Blocking	145
	7.3.2.2 Nested Factors	
	7.3.2.3 Split-Plot Factorial Design	146
	7.3.3 Expected Mean Squares and Testing for Significance	147
7.4	The F' Model	157
	7.4.1 ANOVA Results of the F' Model	160
7.5	Discriminant Analysis	162
,	7.5.1 Overview of Discriminant Analysis	163
	7.5.2 Offset Warrant Thresholds	163
7.6	Chapter's Conclusion	165
CHAPTER 8	3- CASE STUDY: SR 26, LAFAYETTE, INDIANA	167
0.1	Dhoos Timingo	167
0.1 8 2	Phase Thinings	/ 10 160
0.2 9.2	FRO-TRACIS Farallelels	100
0.5	9.2.1 A M. Troval Time. Stone and Dalay	109
	8.2.2 A M Offset and Cusla Transitioning	170 177
	8.2.2 A.M. Offset and Cycle Hansholming	// 1
	8.2.4 DM Offset and Cycle Transitioning	104
Q /	6.5.4 P.W. Offset and Cycle Halistioning	100
0.4		192
CHAPTER 9	- HARDWARE-IN-THE-LOOP SIMULATION WITH NTCIP	
COMPLIAN	T CONTROLLERS	193
91	Overview of Hardware-in-the-Loon Simulation	194
2.1	9.1.1 Motivation of Hardware-in-the-Loop Simulation	194
	9.1.2 Basic Concepts of Hardware-in-the-Loop Simulation	195
92	Overview of NTCIP	199 198
.2	9.2.1 NTCIP Protocols for Center to Field Communications	202
	9.2.1 Application Message Bits and Rytes	202 203
93	Hardware-in-the-Loon Simulation with NTCIP Offset Download	203 206
9.4	Proposed NTCIP Object	200 211
	9.4.1 Proposed Field Implementation	211 211
		211

		Page
	9.4.2 NTCIP Object Definitions for PRO-TRACTS Application 213	Support
	9.4.3 Memory Requirements	233
9.5	Chapter's Conclusion	233
CHAPTER 1	0- CASE STUDY: US31, KOKOMO, INDIANA	235
10.1	Phase Timings	237
10.2	PRO-TRACTS Parameters	237
10.3	Simulation Results	238
	10.3.1 A.M. Travel Time, Stops, and Delay	
	10.3.1.1 CORSIM's Internal Model Simulation	242
	10.3.1.2 Hardware-in-the-Loop Simulation	
	10.3.2 A.M. Offset and Cycle Transitioning	250
	10.3.3 P.M. Travel Time, Stops, and Delay	253
	10.3.4 P.M. Offset and Cycle Transitioning	
10.4	Chapter's Conclusion	
CHAPTER 1	1- CONCLUSION AND FUTURE RESEARCH	
11.1	Theoretical Contribution	
11.2	Deployment Contribution	
11.3	Case Studies	
11.4	Limitations and Future Research	
LIST OF RE	FERENCES	272
Apper	ndix A- SR 26 Study Network and Controller Parameters	
APPE	NDIX B- US 31 NETWORK and CONTROLLER PARAMETERS	291
APPE	NDIX C- SAS CODE AND RESULTS	307
Apper	ndix D- Glossary	398

LIST OF TABLES

TablePageTable 2-1 Common Actuated Traffic Controller Parameters [Source: Econolite, 1996]18
Table 3-1Number of Data Points Collected at Each Distance for Varying Speeds and
Initial Discharge
Table 3-2 Regression Analysis Coefficients for Field Data, CORSIM Simulation, and
Robertson's Model43
Table 4-1 β Parameter Values for Computing the Decile Distribution-UFPCT(I)
Table 6-1 Sample Occupancy Actuation
Table 6-2 Descriptive Statistics for Occupancy in Table 6-1 Obtained by Direct
Substitution
Table 6-3 Repetition of Bin Values According to Occupancy Value in
Table 6-4 A histogram analysis for bin values in Table 6-399
Table 6-5 Descriptive Statistics for Bin Values in Table 6-3 100
Table 6-6 Test Bed General Phase Parameters (All Intersections) 114
Table 6-7 Test Bed Coordination Parameters 114
Table 6-8 Test Bed Entry Volumes per Period (VPH)
Table 7-1 Offset Values for 3000 Feet Link- 90 Seconds Cycle 139
Table 7-2 Offset Values for 3000 Feet Link- 120 Seconds Cycle140
Table 7-3 Offset Values for 3000 Feet Link- 150 Seconds Cycle141
Table 7-4 Offset Values for 1000 feet Link-90 Seconds Cycle142
Table 7-5 Offset Values for 1000 Feet Link-120 Seconds Cycle 143
Table 7-6 Offset Values for 1000 Feet Link-150 Seconds Cycle144
Table 7-7 Expected Mean Square Derivation for Nested Split-Plot Design (Table 1 of 6)

TablePageTable 7-8 Expected Mean Square Derivation for Nested Split-Plot Design (Table 2 of 6)
Table 7-9 Expected Mean Square Derivation for Nested Split-Plot Design (Table 3 of 6)
Table 7-10 Expected Mean Square Derivation for Nested Split-Plot Design (Table 4 of 6) 151
Table 7-11 Expected Mean Square Derivation for Nested Split-Plot Design (Table 5 of 6)
Table 7-12 Expected Mean Square Derivation for Nested Split-Plot Design (Table 6 of 6) 153
T 11 7 12 01 M 1 12 ANOVA
Table 7-13 Skewness Model's ANOVA
Table 7-14 Offset Volume Interactions for the Skewness Model 156
Table 7-15 Offset Turn Interaction for the Skewness Model
Table 7-16 F' Model's ANOVA 161
Table 7-17 Offset Turn Interactions of the F' Model
Table 7-18 Linear Discriminant Function Equations 164
Table 8-1 SR 26 (South) Coordination Plans and Splits 168
Table 8-2 SR 26 (South) Entry Volumes per Period (VPH) 170
Table 8-3 SR 26 (South) Turning Movements (Percentages – Table 1 of 2)180
Table 8-4 SR 26 (South) Turning Movements (Percentages – Table 2 of 2)181
Table 9-1 TEA21 Requirements for Conformity with National Architecture [Source:
Fischer, 1998]
Table 9-2 TEA21 Requirements for NTCIP [Source: NEMA, 1999A]
Table 9-3 SNMP and STMP Comparison [Source: NEMA, 1999A]
Table 9-4 Example NTCIP message for Download an Offset Change of 10 Seconds to
Controller
Table 9-5 TS 3.5 Object Definition Hierarchy
Table 9-6 Table of Tables for New Object's ASN Definitions and Example Data214
Table 9-7 PRO-TRACTS Detectors Object 215
Table 9-8 Example of PRO-TRACTS Detectors 215

TablePTable 9-9 PRO-TRACTS Physical Channel Table	age 217
Table 9-10 PRO-TRACTS Detectors Assignment	218
Table 9-11 PRO-TRACTS Parameters (table 1 of 3)	224
Table 9-12 PRO-TRACTS Parameters (table 2 of 3)	225
Table 9-13 PRO-TRACTS Parameters (table 3 of 3)	226
Table 9-14 Example of PRO-TRACTS Parameters	227
Table 9-15 PRO-TRACTS Saved Cycles	228
Table 9-16 Example of PRO-TRACTS Saved Cycles	228
Table 9-17 PRO-TRACTS Occupancy Table	230
Table 9-18 PRO-TRACTS Count Table	232
Table 9-19 Example Memory Requirements	233
Table 10-1 US 31 Coordination Plans and Splits	237
Table 10-2 US 31 Detailed Traffic Volume (vph Table 1 of 3)	239
Table 10-3 US 31 Detailed Traffic Volume (vph Table 2 of 3)	240
Table 10-4 US 31 Detailed Traffic Volume (vph Table 3 of 3)	241
Table 11-1 Summary of PRO-TRACTS Improvements Using Internal and Hardware-i	n-
the-Loop Simulation on US 31	270
Appendix Tables Table A- 1 Intersection Pocket Lengths and Detector Locations	284
Table A- 2 Intersection Detector Configuration (CID Logic Only)	285
Table A- 3 General Phase Parameters (SR 26 – South)	286
Table A- 4 SR 26 (South) Coordination Plans and Splits	287
Table A- 5 Entry Volumes per Period (VPH)	288
Table A- 6 Turning Movements (Percentages – Table 1 of 2)	289
Table A- 7 Turning Movements (Percentages – Table 2 of 2)	290
Table B- 1 Intersection Pocket Lengths and Detector Locations (Table 1 of 2)	299
Table B- 2 Intersection Pocket Lengths and Detector Locations (Table 2 of 2)	300
Table B- 3 Intersection Detector Configuration (CID Logic Only)	301
Table B- 4 General Phase Parameters (US 31)	302

Table Table B- 5 US 31 Coordination Plans and Splits	Page 303
Table B- 6 Detailed Traffic Volume (vph – Table 1 of 3)	304
Table B- 7 Detailed Traffic Volume (vph – Table 2 of 3)	305
Table B- 8 Detailed Traffic Volume (vph – Table 3 of 3)	306

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure I Figure 2-1 Hierarchy Framework of RHODES [Source: Head et al, 1992]. I	Page 14
Figure 2-2 Typical Dual Ring Structure for Coordinated Actuated Operation	18
Figure 2-3 Coordinated-actuated ring structure [Source: Shoup, 1998]	21
Figure 2-4 Coordinated-actuated time-space diagrams [Source: Shoup, 1998]	22
Figure 2-5 NAZTEC Algorithm [Source: Black, 1998]	26
Figure 3-1 Sampling Procedure	35
Figure 3-2 Platoon Extraction Algorithm	37
Figure 3-3 Flow Profiles of Field Data and as Predicted by Robertson's Model	40
Figure 3-4 Field Data Platoon Distribution (20 Second Saturated Platoon)	44
Figure 3-5 CORSIM Simulation Platoon Distribution (20 Second Saturated Platoon).	45
Figure 3-6 Robertson's Model Platoon Distribution (20 Second Saturated Platoon)	46
Figure 4-1 Platoon Dispersion Data	52
Figure 4-2 Pacey's Expected Flow versus Actual Flow	53
Figure 4-3 CORSIM Speed Distribution Parameters	56
Figure 4-4 Flow Profile at Different Downstream Locations	57
Figure 4-5 SR26 Travel Time With Calibrated Versus Default Distribution	58
Figure 4-6 US31 Travel Time with Calibrated Versus Default Distribution	59
Figure 5-1 Shockwave Fundamentals [Source: May, 1990]	64
Figure 5-2 Shockwaves at Signalized Intersections [Source: May, 1990]	66
Figure 5-3 A Shockwave Originated by a Bad Offset	70
Figure 5-4 A Shockwave Originated by a Good Offset	72
Figure 5-5 A Shockwave Originated by a Bad Offset and High Turning Traffic	74
Figure 5-6 A Shockwave Originated by a Good Offset and High Turning Traffic	75

FigurePageFigure 6-1 Shared Memory Structure
Figure 6-2 LinkPro Screen Capture for a tuned Offset
Figure 6-3 Detectors Occupancy Data at Selected Downstream Locations
Figure 6-4 Manual Offset Change in LinkPro
Figure 6-5 LinkPro Tabular Format
Figure 6-6 LinkPro Screen Capture for an un-tuned offset
Figure 6-7 Condensed Platoon's Occupancy and Count Profiles Over 25 Cycles, Green
Phase 45 to 90
Figure 6-8 An End-Dispersed Platoon's Occupancy and Count Profiles Over 25 Cycles,
Green Phase 45 to 90
Figure 6-9 An End-Dispersed Platoon's Occupancy and Count Profiles Over 25 Cycles
With a Wide Green Window, Green Phase 25 to 9091
Figure 6-10 A Dispersed Platoon's Occupancy and Count Profiles Over 25 Cycles, Green
Phase 45 to 9092
Figure 6-11 A Dispersed Platoon's Occupancy and Count Profiles Over 25 Cycles With a
Wide Green Window, Green Phase 25 to 9093
Figure 6-12 Distribution of an Artificially Generated Sample Occupancy Actuation in
Table 6-196
Figure 6-13 Distribution of Occupancy when directly substituted
Figure 6-14 A Histogram Graph for Values in Table 6-4100
Figure 6-15 Good Offset With a Turning Traffic Profiles
Figure 6-16 Bad Offset With a Turning Traffic Profiles104
Figure 6-17 Differential Skewness between Occupancy and Counts Histograms 106
Figure 6-18 Determination of Offset Transitioning Direction in PRO-TRACTS109
Figure 6-19 Validation of Offset Transitioning Direction in PRO-TRACTS110
Figure 6-20: Test Bed Study Network
Figure 6-21 Test Bed Phase Sequence Ring Diagram for Nodes 2, 3, 4, and 5114
Figure 6-22 Test Bed North Bound Cumulative Travel Time-90 sec Cycle116
Figure 6-23 Test Bed South Bound Cumulative Travel Time-90 sec Cycle117

Figure Page Figure 6-24 Test Bed Offset and Cycle Transitions-Bad offset Study
Figure 6-25 Test Bed Total Delay and Number of Stops-90 sec Cycle120
Figure 6-26 Test Bed North Bound Cumulative Travel Time-Case 1-5, 120 sec Cycle 122
Figure 6-27 Test Bed Second Hour Cumulative Travel Time-Case 5, 120 sec Cycle 123
Figure 7-1 False Alarm With Low Traffic Volume
Figure 7-2 Singularity of Differential Skewness- Case 1
Figure 7-3 Singularity of Differential Skewness- Case 2
Figure 7-4 Effect of Platoon Dispersion on Progression
Figure 7-5 Tuning Offsets for Purpose of Reducing Platoon Dispersion
Figure 7-6 Effect of Detector Location on PRO-TRACTS Activation Mechanism 136
Figure 7-7 Experiment Network
Figure 7-8 Effect of offset on Speed variance
Figure 7-9 Linear Discriminant Functions for Different Offset Classes
Figure 8-1 SR 26 (South) Network – Earl Avenue to Creasy Lane
Figure 8-2 SR 26 (South) East Bound Cumulative Travel Time-A.M. Period172
Figure 8-3 SR 26 (South) West Bound Cumulative Travel Time-A.M. Period173
Figure 8-4 SR 26 (South) East Bound Cumulative Number of Stops-A.M. Period 174
Figure 8-5 SR 26 (South) West Bound Cumulative Number of Stops-A.M. Period 175
Figure 8-6 SR 26 (South) Total Delay and Number of Stops-A.M. Period 176
Figure 8-7 SR 26 (South) Offset and Cycle Transitions-A.M. Period179
Figure 8-8 Effect of Phase Skips on Progression
Figure 8-9 Offset Correction for Phase Skips
Figure 8-10 SR 26 (South) East Bound Cumulative Travel Time-P.M. Period185
Figure 8-11 SR 26 (South) West Bound Cumulative Travel Time-P.M. Period186
Figure 8-12 SR 26 (South) East Bound Cumulative Number of Stops-P.M. Period 187
Figure 8-13 SR 26 (South) West Bound Cumulative Number of Stops-P.M. Period 188
Figure 8-14 SR 26 (South) Total Delay and Number of Stops-P.M. Period189
Figure 8-15 SR 26 (South) Offset and Cycle Transitions-P.M. Period191

Figure Page
Figure 9-1 Schematic of the Hardware-in-the-Loop Simulation Environment [Source:
Bullock and Urbanik 2000] 196
Figure 9-2 Controller Interface Device (CID)
Figure 9-3 Hardware-in-the-Loop Concept
Figure 9-4 SNMP Bits and Bytes [Source: NEMA, 1999A]
Figure 9-5 STMP Bits and Bytes [Source: NEMA, 1999A]206
Figure 9-6 Hardware-in-the-Loop with NTCIP Offset Download
Figure 9-7 Sample of NTCIP Lab Equipment
Figure 9-8 Schematic Proposal of PRO-TRACTS Implementation
Figure 9-9 PRO-TRACTS Detectors Assignment
Figure 9-10 Effect of the updateFrequency Parameter on Offset Transitioning
Figure 9-11 PRO-TRACTS Parameters
Figure 9-12 Occupancy Profile Table
Figure 9-13 Count Profile Table
Figure 10-1 US 31 – Lincoln Street and Sycamore Drive
Figure 10-2 US 31 North Bound Cumulative Travel Time-A.M. Period
Figure 10-3 US 31 South Bound Cumulative Travel Time-A.M. Period
Figure 10-4 US 31 Total Delay and Number of Stops-A.M. Period245
Figure 10-5 US 31 North Bound Cumulative Travel time-A.M. Period with Hardware-in-
the-loop
Figure 10-6 US 31 South Bound Cumulative Travel time-A.M. Period with Hardware-in-
the-loop
Figure 10-7 US 31 Total Delay and Number of Stops- A.M. Period with Hardware-in-
the-Loop
Figure 10-8 US 31 Offset and Cycle Transitions-A.M. Period, Internal CORSIM Model
Figure 10-9 US 31 Offset and Cycle Transitions-A.M. Period with Hardware-in-the-Loop

Figure Figure 10-10 US 31 North Bound Cumulative Travel Time-P.M. Period, Internal Mod	'age lel
	254
Figure 10-11 US 31 South Bound Cumulative Travel Time-P.M. Period, Internal Mod	lel
	.255
Figure 10-12 US 31 Total Delay and Number of Stops-P.M. Period, Internal Model	256
Figure 10-13 US 31 North Bound Cumulative Travel time-P.M. Period with Hardward	e-
in-the-loop	.257
Figure 10-14 US 31 South Bound Cumulative Travel time-P.M. Period with Hardward	e-
in-the-loop	.258
Figure 10-15 US 31 Total Delay and Number of Stops-P.M. Period with Hardware-in-	-
the-Loop	. 259
Figure 10-16 US 31 Offset and Cycle Transitions-P.M. Period	261
Figure 10-17 US 31 Offset and Cycle Transitions-P.M. Period with Hardware-in-the-	
Loop	.262
Figure 10-18 Main Street Green at Nodes 8 and 9	. 263
Figure 10-19 Lane Blockage at Node 9	.264

Appendix Figures

Figure A- 1 SR 26 (South) Network – Earl Avenue to Creasy Lane	279
Figure A- 2 SR 26 Geometries	280
Figure A- 3 Intersection Ring Structures for SR 26	281
Figure A- 4 SR 26 (South) Approach Labels	282
Figure A- 5 External Control File (CID) for Phasing	283
Figure B- 1 US 31 Network – US 26 to Road 450	292
Figure B- 2 US 31 Geometries	293
Figure B- 3 US 31 Geometries-Continued	294
Figure B- 4 Intersection Ring Structures for US 31	295
Figure B- 5 Intersection Ring Structures for US 31-Continued	296
Figure B- 6 US 31 Approach Labels	297
Figure B- 7 External Control File (CID) for Phasing	298

CHAPTER 1- INTRODUCTION

1.1 <u>Research Motivation</u>

Over the last few decades, extensive efforts have been made in the development of adaptive and responsive traffic control systems. The United States Department of Transportation (US DOT) has sponsored a great amount of research and field deployment of new traffic adaptive algorithms, such as OPAC [Gartner, 1983] and RHODES [Head et al., 1992]. Internationally, systems like SCOOT [Hurt et al., 1991] and SCATS [Lowrie, 1992], developed in the United Kingdom and Australia respectively, have seen increasing deployments. These adaptive control systems are based on applying specific proprietary software to a signal control system [Pooran, 1997]. It is estimated that travel time savings from these adaptive systems could range between 8% and 25% [FHWA, 1996].

Adaptive control systems typically require an extensive input of system parameters and weighting factors for favoring individual movements plus a large number of vehicle detectors to collect movement-specific traffic data. A major drawback of these systems is the extensive effort required for training personnel on the new proprietary architecture. Operation and maintenance of these systems can also be very costly and time consuming. In a parallel track, the private sector has developed closed loop systems that are operated by coordinated-actuated controllers. These systems provide actuated control capabilities through their ability to respond to cycle-by-cycle variation in traffic demand while still being able to provide progression for the arterial movement. Unfortunately though, the quality of vehicle progression depends on both the initial setting of offsets and the stochastic nature of traffic on individual intersections, causing waiting queues and what is known as the early-return-to-green phenomenon.

Expanding the control logic for modern coordinated- actuated systems to account for problems such as early-return-to-green, waiting queues, and improperly designed offsets would address many of the day to day problems associated with closed loop systems. Additional training would be minimized, in comparison to fully adaptive systems, since traffic engineers and technicians managing traffic signal operating systems are already familiar with coordinated-actuated logic. Fundamental concepts and communication systems for coordinated-actuated systems would also remain the same. Additionally, extra cost would be kept to a minimum since no extra detectors or infrastructure would be required.

1.2 <u>Research Overview</u>

The objective of this research is to introduce an adaptive real-time offset transitioning expansion to actuated controllers to improve coordination of traffic signals. This algorithm, the Purdue Real-time Offset Transitioning Algorithm for Coordinating Traffic Signals (PRO-TRACTS) is designed to mitigate the effect of early-return-to-green problems experienced with coordinated-actuated controllers and accounts for downstream vehicle queues that may impede vehicle progression. PRO-TRACTS fine-tunes the offsets at signalized intersections continuously to improve progression regardless of the offsets' initial values. The algorithm presented in this report works with both the internal model of the CORSIM simulation package [Kaman, 1997A] and actual NTCIP controllers.

Chapter 2 includes a review of traffic control systems, including adaptive traffic control, from a historical perspective. Chapter 2 also discusses the current state of actuated controllers and addresses the issues associated with their operation. Due to the close relationship between the quality of progression and vehicle platooning effects, Chapter 3 presents a comparative study between theoretical, simulation, and field platoon data and Chapter 4 addresses the calibration of the CORSIM platoon dispersion model. The theory of shockwaves and how it relates to the introduced offset tuning algorithm are discussed in Chapter 5, while Chapter 6 discusses the basic structure and concept of the introduced algorithm. Chapter 7 introduces a more general model underlining PRO-TRACTS activation and Chapter 8 evaluates the performance of PRO-TRACTS with a real traffic network of SR26 in Lafayette, IN. Chapter 9 discusses the integration of hardware-in-the-loop simulation with NTCIP controllers and defines a new NTCIP object for capturing detector actuation at the controller's level. The database needed for deployment of the algorithm in the field can be implemented at the controller's level, using the new NTCIP object defined in Chapter 9 of this report. A before-and-after study with both CORSIM's internal model and hardware-in-the-loop simulation of US 31 in Kokomo, Indiana is presented in Chapter 10. Finally, Chapter 11 summarizes the conclusions drawn from the research and provides suggestions for future work.

CHAPTER 2- LITERATURE REVIEW: CURRENT STATE OF TRAFFIC CONTROL SYSTEMS

This chapter provides an overview of the current state of traffic control systems and their historical development through the past few decades. The state of the art in adaptive traffic control systems is discussed and their key features are highlighted. The chapter also describes the operation of actuated controllers and their parameters. Issues associated with coordinated-actuated control systems such, as the early-return-to-green phenomenon, are discussed as well as the efforts made to address them. Finally, current signal timing procedures are discussed to show the need for an algorithm that adapts offsets in real-time in response to change in traffic pattern and early-return-to-green.

2.1 <u>A Historical Perspective of Traffic Control Systems</u>

Traffic control concepts were first introduced when manually turned semaphores were developed in London, England in 1868 [Wolkomir, 1986]. Forty years later, similar devices were introduced to the U.S. in New York. The first electrically operated traffic signal was installed in Cleveland, Ohio in 1914. Five years later, New York began converting from hand-cranked semaphores to electromechanical controllers. By 1932, "the last hand-cranked semaphore on Parkside Avenue in Brooklyn was replaced by an electromechanical controller" [Bullock and Urbanik, 2000]. Electromechanical controllers dominated the traffic signal market from the 1920s until the 1970s [Kell and Fullerton, 1991].

2.1.1 Microprocessor-Based Controllers

The first computerized traffic signal control system was installed in Toronto, Canada in 1963 [Bullock and Urbanik, 2000]. In 1970, advancements in microprocessor technology and standardization effort in hardware and software led to the introduction of many new controller features. In order to standardize those new features, a group of vendors drafted a standard specification commonly referred to as TS1 [NEMA, 1989], which defined the operation and electrical pins on the A, B, and C connectors for actuated controllers.

TS1 provided a basic set of features and standard connectors. However, to provide more advanced operations such as coordinated-actuated operation, it was necessary for manufacturers to provide a set of complementary features. These proprietary features created incompatibility between controllers supplied from different vendors. In response, the NEMA TS1 specification was updated in the late 1980s and early 1990s to provide coordinated-actuated operation, preemption, and an optional serial bus to simplify cabinet wiring [NEMA TS2].

2.1.2 Model 170 Controllers

The Model 170 controllers were developed in a parallel track to the NEMA developments. The California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) and the New York

Department of Transportation adopted a standard for providing precise specifications for a generic traffic control microcomputer, and specifications for the Model 170 controllers provide definitions for microprocessors, memory, input and output addresses, serial ports, mechanical form factor, and electrical connectors. This standard allowed agencies to purchase the controller software and competitively procure additional Model 170 controllers based on their need. This strategy, however, led to only a limited number of software vendors staying in the market for developing and updating Model 170 software.

2.1.3 Advanced Transportation Controller (ATC)

In 1989, Caltrans prepared a report documenting some of the Model 170 deficiencies and recommended a new platform, which was to embrace commercial standards rather than static technology [Quinlan, 1989]. The new model was called the 2070 model and was anticipated to benefit from new technology at the same rate as desktop computers. The broadened interest in this new development effort led to the emergence of the new ATC, which is less dependent on the microprocessor and operating system [Bullock and Urbanik, 2000].

2.1.4 Urban Traffic Control Software (UTCS)

In the 1970's, as centralized control of traffic signals became more popular around the globe, the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) began to develop a structured approach to centralized traffic signal control, called urban traffic control software (UTCS). Various levels of traffic control, ranging from time of day plan selection to real-time adaptive signal timing were defined in the UTCS; and although the UTCS did not achieve many of its objectives, the UTCS effort resulted in the development of many concepts and system displays that are currently used in traffic operation centers.

2.2 Adaptive Control Systems and Algorithms

There are two primary motivations for the development of adaptive control algorithms. The first motivation is the need for the controllers to react to unexpected deviations from historical traffic patterns, either as a result of incidents or day-to-day random variations of the magnitude and temporal distribution of the demand peaks. The second motivation is that even for predicted traffic conditions there are a finite number of time-of-day plans that can be handled by current controllers. Also, pre-selected plans do not typically perform well during periods of temporal transitions in traffic patterns in real-time, either reactively or proactively. Reactive adaptive strategies "follow" the change in traffic patterns and therefore are always lagging. Whereas, proactive strategies try to "predict" the change in traffic pattern, aiming at a better performance.

2.2.1 History of Adaptive Control Systems

The UTCS project was directed toward the development and testing of a variety of advanced network control concepts and strategies developed over three generations:

The first generation control (1-GC) used a library of pre-stored signal timing plans calculated off-line, based on historical traffic data, in the same way as the pre-timed

control strategies. The original 1-GC selected a particular timing plan by either time-ofday or pattern matching every 15 minutes. A later modification of the 1-GC control consisted of the system automatically selecting a timing plan when conditions warrant its implementation. This strategy became known as 1.5-generation control (1.5-GC) [Gartner et al., 1995; McShane and Roess, 1990].

The second generation control (2-GC) used surveillance data and predicted values to compute and implement timing plans in real-time. Timing plans were updated no more than once per 10-minute period to avoid transition disturbances from one implemented plan to the next, [Gartner et al.,1995; McShane and Roess, 1990].

The third generation control (3-GC) used on-line optimization to update the cycle lengths, splits, and offsets in real-time, with a sampling period duration of 60-120 seconds [McShane and Roess, 1990]. The 3-GC differs from the 2-GC in its shorter periods after which the timing plans are revised. The cycle length in 3-GC was also allowed to vary among the signals, as well as the same signal, during the control period [Gartner et al., 1995].

2.2.2 Adaptive Control Algorithms

The experience with the 3-GC control in the UTCS experiments of the 1970's revealed that new strategies for adaptive control needed to be developed [Gartner et al., 1995]. Adaptive control attempts to achieve real-time optimization of signal operations by using current short-term vehicle information obtained from advanced detectors. However, the performance of the adaptive control system response is entirely dependent

on the quality of the prediction model [Gartner, 1995]. The implementation of adaptive control logic is not always superior to pre-timed and actuated control, especially when traffic is highly peaked [Stewart et al., 1998].

Significant advances in adaptive traffic control were achieved with the introduction of four control strategies. Namely SCOOT, SCATS, OPAC, and RHODES. SCOOT (Split, Cycle, Offset Optimization Technique) was developed in the United Kingdom [Hunt et al., 1981] and is considered a UTCS-3-GC, although some authors put it into the 2-GC category [Gartner et al., 1995]. SCATS (Sydney Coordinated Adaptive Traffic System) was developed in Australia [Lowrie, 1992] and is considered to be a variant of the UTCS 1.5-GC variant. OPAC (Optimized Policies for Adaptive Control) was introduced by Gartner in the U.S. and involved the determination of when to switch between successive phases based on actual arrival data at the intersection [Sen and Head, 1997]. RHODES (Real-Time, Hierarchial, Optimized, Distributed and Effective System) consists of a distributed hierarchical framework that operates in real-time to respond to the natural stochastic variation in traffic flow [Head et el., 1992].

2.2.2.1 <u>SCATS</u>

Outside of Australia, SCATS has seen limited deployment. Each SCATS controller has a micro-controller that uses stop line detector information. The philosophy of SCATS is that it has no comprehensive plans, rather, it selects from a library of offsets and phase splits to optimize timing plans in real-time. SCATS divides the network into sub-regions with homogeneous flow characteristics. In each region, the intersection with the highest saturation determines the cycle length of the region. As traffic patterns

change, a computer at a higher level "marries" and "divorces" intersections by reassigning them to regions with similar flow characteristics. Each subsystem makes independent decisions regarding timing parameters involving cycle, offset, and phase lengths. The timing plans are incrementally adjusted to varying traffic conditions [Head et al., 1992]. SCATS has limited deployment in the U.S., with the most recent in Minneapolis. However, Minneapolis operators have not been particularly comfortable with the system because of the significant differences between SCATS conventions and NEMA standards.

2.2.2.2 <u>SCOOT</u>

SCOOT is widely deployed all over the world but has had limited deployment in the U.S.. The main advantage of SCOOT is that it is based on the TRANSYT optimization model that runs in the background called the SCOOT Kernel. SCOOT uses a central computer and immediate downstream detectors to optimize timing plans in realtime. SCOOT uses cyclic flow profiles (CFP) the same way TRANSYT [Robertson, 1969] does. Since SCOOT has evolved from an on-line model of TRANSYT, it can be used to optimize performance indices such as the number of stops, delay, or a mix of both. SCOOT timing parameters are communicated to the controller immediately. The controller makes incremental adjustments to the cycle lengths, phase lengths, and offsets for the current and next cycles [Head et al., 1992].

2.2.2.3 Optimized Policies for Adaptive Control (OPAC)

OPAC is different from other models that try to optimize timing parameters using average traffic volumes. Instead, OPAC uses actual traffic data at intersections to decide when to switch to different phases. [Gartner, 1983].

OPAC uses detectors placed far upstream the intersections to predict vehicle arrivals at the intersection and proactively determines the phase timings [Gartner, 1981]. The algorithm has gone through several development efforts ranging from OPAC I through OPAC-RT [Gartner, 1995]

OPAC breaks the signal optimization problem into sub-problems with the use of dynamic programming (DP), an approach that leads to more efficient computation. OPAC-1 assumed an infinite horizon and used DP to optimize the performance index (minimizes delay). The main limitation of OPAC I was its need for elaborate, and most likely very costly, surveillance detectors since it needs the arrival data for the entire planning horizon. It was also impossible to implement the DP on-line in real-time because of the extensive time required to compute the optimal settings [Gartner, 1983].

OPAC II used an optimal sequential constraint search (OSCO) to calculate the total delay for all possible phase switching options. The optimal solution was determined as the phase switching that produces the lowest total delay values, and OPAC-2 was found to derive solutions with performance indices within 10% of those generated with OPAC-I [Gartner, 1983].

Although OPAC-II was faster than OPAC-I, it still suffered from the need for vehicle arrival information for the entire planning stage, which was 50-100 seconds in length. Gartner developed the rolling horizon approach, which he called ROPAC, to overcome this problem [Gartner, 1983]. ROPAC was later converted to an algorithm that can be implemented in real-time called, OPAC-RT version 1.0. OPAC-RT was developed for a simple two-phase fully actuated isolated intersection. The algorithm was found to be superior to actuated control with high traffic volumes [Gartner et al., 1991].

OPAC-RT version 2.0 was an extension of version 1.0 that allowed the control of an eight-phase intersection. OPAC-RT version 2.0 controlled the through-phases only, and the minor phases (left-turn phases) were controlled by the gap/out or max/out functionality of the actuated controller. OPAC-RT 2.0 showed an improvement in terms of total delay and percent stops [Gartner et al., 1991].

OPAC-RT version 3.0 had several enhancements and added features, which include the ability to optimize all eight phases, skip phases, and an algorithm to coordinate adjacent signals. The OPAC-RT version 3.0 performed best under oversaturated conditions and demand conditions change for the arterial [Andrews et al., 1997].

2.2.2.4 <u>Real-Time, Hierarchical, Optimized, Distributed, and Effective System</u> (<u>RHODES</u>)

Head et al. introduced an adaptive control strategy entitled RHODES in 1992. RHODES is reported to be better than SCOOT and SCATS in the way it responds to the natural stochastic variation in traffic flow proactively [Head et al., 1992]. RHODES is entirely based on dynamic programming, and it formulates a strategy that makes phase switching decisions based on vehicle arrival data [Sen and Head, 1997]. Like SCOOT, RHODES has the ability to use a variety of performance measures, including delays, queues, and stops. In addition, it allows for phase sequencing to be optimized in addition to the various timing parameters.

The design of RHODES is based on dividing the traffic control problem into subproblems by use of network hierarchy. The sub-problems include network-loading problem, network flow control problem, and intersection control problem.

At the top of the hierarchy (Figure 2-1) is the network-loading problem. At this level, link loads and the prediction of the trends in the change of loads from real-time data are estimated. RHODES uses this information proactively to predict future platoon sizes near the boundaries of the system [Head et al., 1992].





Figure 2-1 Hierarchy Framework of RHODES [Source: Head et al, 1992].

The middle level consists of the network flow problem and involves the selection of signal timing to optimize the overall flow of vehicles in the network [Head et al., 1992]. The decisions are made in this level every 200-300 seconds. A platoon prediction logic model called REALBAND, which was created by Dell Olmo and Mirchandani [1995], is used at this level, using both the information in Level One and the actual detector data. Network optimization is also established at this level and its results are used as constraints for the decision made in the next level [Head et al., 1998].

The lowest level of the control strategy is the one at the intersection and is responsible for making the final second-by-second decisions regarding traffic signal operation. This level uses two sublevels of logic. The first is the Link Flow Prediction Logic that uses data from upstream detectors' target timings of the upstream signal to estimate vehicle arrivals at the intersection being optimized. The other level is the Controlled Optimization of Phases (COP) [Sen and Head, 1997], which uses the information from the network flow problem, in addition to the results from the link prediction logic, to determine whether the current phase should be extended or terminated.

Although there are several adaptive control strategies that attempts to adapt to traffic patterns either reactively or proactively, each of these strategies perform differently under different type of conditions. [Head et al., 1992].

2.3 <u>Actuated Traffic Control Systems</u>

There have been tremendous improvements in traffic signal control hardware since its evolution from one-ring logic and pre-determined green splits into the multi-ring control logic controllers. This evolution led to the development of actuated traffic controllers in their current form.

An actuated traffic controller uses both detector information and a set of control parameters to operate the intersection in a more efficient way. With the use of actuated controllers, intersection approaches are allocated green times based on their current demand. If there is low demand on an intersection approach, less green time is allocated to the approach, providing the opportunity for other approach demands to be served.

2.3.1 Actuated Control Parameters

Although today's control strategies have numerous features and configuration parameters, three parameters have the most impact on system performance. These parameters are cycle length, splits, and offset. The cycle length is the time it takes the controller to cycle through all phases. Phase splits are the maximum percent of cycle allocated to each of the various phases. Offset is the time at which the main street green ends referenced to system cycle.

The cycle, split, and offset parameters are used in two different control architectures; pre-timed control and actuated control. In both cases, plans are usually implemented by either a time of day (TOD) or by traffic responsive approaches. The TOD approach typically divides the day into morning peak, afternoon peak, and off peak periods and timing plans are selected according to a global clock. The Traffic Responsive Program (TRP) selects plans based on the traffic demand defined by detector counts and occupancy and are based on user pre-set thresholds. The TRP collects system detector information, scales it, and smoothes it by factoring the current values with the previous values. Each detector is pre-assigned to a detector group. Information coming from each detector group is then combined into a group value. The information from all groups' is then combined into a final value and the master controller compares the final value to user pre-selected thresholds to determine the appropriate plan for the current demand. Besides the difficulty in choosing the optimum set of thresholds, "TRP can be very unpredictable, particularly when traffic conditions are rapidly changing, or do not closely resemble any of the existing case scenarios" [Nelson, 2000].

Downtown grid systems are still predominantly using fixed—time control. However, actuated control is used much more than fixed time control on arterials,
especially when arrival patterns are less predictable or when intersections are spaced far apart.

Table 2-1 shows the basic parameters for operating an actuated controller in its simplest mode or what is called a "free" operation. The "Minimum Green" time as defined in the table is allocated to a phase once a detector associated with that phase registers a call. If vehicles continue to pass over the detector while the phase is green, an additional green time equal to the "Vehicle Extension" time is added to the phase. The green can be extended until it reaches the "Maximum Green" at which time the phase terminates in a condition called a "Max Out." However, if no detector call is registered within a "Vehicle Extension" period, the phase is terminated in a condition called a "Gap Out." The "Maximum Green" parameter is only active when there is a detector call for a conflicting phase. If no call is present, the phase green continues until the phase gaps out. Non-conflicting phases, e.g. southbound and northbound through movements, can show green simultaneously. The sequence by which the green is allocated to phases is determined by their sequence in the ring structure as shown in Figure 2-2.

CONTROLLER ACTUATED PARAMETER	DESCRIPTION
Minimum Green	Shortest possible green time prior to vehicle extensions.
Maximum Green	Maximum green time allowed for a phase.
Vehicle Extension	Length of added time periods to minimum green based on frequency of actuations.

Table 2-1 Common Actuated Traffic Controller Parameters [Source: Econolite, 1996]

2.3.2 Coordinated-Actuated Control

Figure 2-2 shows a typical dual ring structure that corresponds to the standards of the National Electrical Manufacturing Agency (NEMA) phase numbers [NEMA, 1992]. The figure shows an additional parameter, the force off, which is a very important parameter for the coordinated actuated operation.



Figure 2-2 Typical Dual Ring Structure for Coordinated Actuated Operation

A force off point is a point in time beyond which the phase cannot be extended and is computed from the split. However, a phase is allowed to terminate prior to its force off point if there is not enough demand to warrant the phase extension. This force off parameter overrides the "Maximum Green" parameter. The engineer usually "inhibits" the "Maximum Green" parameter when operating the controller in a coordinated actuated logic. Alternatively, the "Maximum Green" parameter can be set to a very high value so that it does not interfere with the operation of the force off parameter. It should be noted in some traffic controllers, splits are entered instead of force offs. However, the force offs are calculated and used internally.

Unlike the "free" operation, the coordinated actuated operation must operate under a constant cycle length to ensure coordination between adjacent signalized intersections. Another important setting for the coordinated actuated operation is the declaration of a coordinated phase in each ring as a coordinated phase. This coordinated phase will receive all the extra green not used by previous phases.

In Figure 2-2, phases 2 and 6 are the coordinated phases and typically, those phases would be assigned to the main street through movements. Note that the offset is referenced to the end of the coordinated phases, which is necessary because the beginning of the coordinated phases are not deterministic since they receive the unused green from previous phases in their ring. This means that the force off points immediately preceding the coordinated phase corresponds to a latest start time. However, the coordinated phases can start earlier in what is called "the-early-return-to-green" phenomenon.

Early-return-to-green can have very negative impacts on arterial coordination. If an upstream signal experiences an early-return-to-green, a vehicle platoon will be released earlier, only to be stopped by a red interval downstream. This problem violates the driver's expectations and causes unnecessary delays. Figure 2-3 and Figure 2-4 illustrate the negative impacts of early-return-to-green. Figure 2-3-a shows a case where traffic demand is high enough for all phases to reach their force off points. Note that if traffic volume is constant at that level, the start of green on the main street through movement will be deterministic. Figure 2-3-b shows a case where the non-coordinated phases gap out causing all the excess green time to be allocated to the coordinated phases. In such a case, the coordinated phases experience an early-return-to-green.

Figure 2-4 illustrates the effect of early-return-to-green on the arterial progression and Figure 2-4-a shows a case where traffic volume on the actuated phases is high enough for the phases to extend to their force offs. In such a case, green on the coordinated phases occur at its expected time and the offset perform the way it was originally designed. However, in Figure 2-4-b, early-return-to-green at different intersections causes disruption of signal progression, mainly due to the fact that different intersections will experience different amount of early return to green because of the difference in their traffic conditions.



F.O. = Force off

(a) All phases max out and are forced off



(b) Phases gap out before reaching force offs and extra green time is allocated to $\phi 2$ and $\phi 6$

Figure 2-3 Coordinated-actuated ring structure [Source: Shoup, 1998]



(b) Start of green band when some phases gap out early



2.4 Current Signal Timing Procedures

The two oldest, popular signal timing programs, PASSER and TRANSYT-7F, were designed to model fixed-time controllers. The stochastic behavior of actuated signals, in response to the stochastic nature of traffic patterns, is not modeled in these [Tighe, 1987], although some features of modern actuated controllers have been incorporated in recent versions of TRANSYT-7F [Skabardonis, 1996; Husch, 1998].

An iterative actuated control design procedure for phase splits based on empirical formulas is included in Chapter 9, Appendix 2, of the 1997 Highway Capacity Manual. These formulas are developed to account for the inherent characteristics of dual-ring logic structure [Pei-Sung, 1996]. However, they do not address cycle offset parameters.

More recently, the signal timing software, SYNCHRO, has introduced procedures that design traffic signal offsets based on the probability of the time when an "early-return-to-green" will occur in a controller given the input traffic demands [Husch, 1998].

It is very important to note that although some of the traffic signal design software programs have started to model the early-return-to-green and stochastic nature of traffic, they can only provide an offset value that will perform best "on average". If the traffic demand varies significantly over the course of the time period, an algorithm that adapts offsets in real-time in response to a change in traffic pattern and early-return-to-green will be of great value.

This phenomenon has been identified and investigated by several researchers [Chang, 1996; Ficklin, 1973; Jovanis et al., 1986; Kuzbari, 1996; Skabardonis, 1996].

23

Skabardonis used the average green times allocated to the non-coordinated phases in computing offsets with TRANSYT-7F [Skabardonis, 1988]. This study assumed that actuated phases are always experiencing a high degree of saturation for the critical movement. The method provided encouraging results when evaluated with NETSIM models based upon actual arterial conditions. These promising results led to the inclusion of the option to estimate actuated green times based on a high degree of saturation rates in TRANSYT-7F.

Skabardonis suggested two strategies. The first strategy was to operate the signalized intersections at the boundaries as fixed-time to provide a fixed leading edge for the arterial bandwidth [1996]. Although this strategy would ensure that through vehicles entering the arterial at the arterial boundary edges would not be released prematurely, it does not account for vehicles entering the arterial from mid-link arterial sources or arterial boundaries. Another problem with this procedure is that the operation of the boundary signal in a fixed-time mode reduces its efficiency.

Skabardonis' second strategy was to set the coordinated through phase as actuated and the non-coordinated phases as fixed-time. Although this method provides a fixed leading edge for the coordinated phase and removes the "early-return-to-green" effect, it allocates the extra green to the side street rather than the more demanding arterial, which defies the basic concept of actuated traffic signal operation.

Chang suggested the design of offsets with a fixed-time signal optimization package such as PASSER-II and observing the average green times for the non-actuated phases at each intersection in the field. Once the average green times are entered, a second optimization run for the offsets could be performed with the expected green times entered as constraints for the maximum green times [1996]. Chang did not provide quantitative data about the results of this strategy.

Kuzbari [1996] suggested recording the actual times for when the coordinated phase begins and ends in the background cycle at each of the signalized intersections of an arterial. A time-space diagram based on the average times for the coordinated phase was used to improve an arterial bandwidth for one direction. Actual field data in California were collected and significant improvements in the arterial bandwidth were reported for one direction.

It should be noted that all of these procedures discussed to this point are off-line procedures that solely depend on collected data, none of them adapt to the current traffic conditions in real-time.

2.5 Online Offset Tuning Procedure

NAZTEC implemented an adaptive signal control algorithm [Black, 1998] to modify the time-of-day splits according to the actuated phases demand (Figure 2-5). The algorithm allows the controller to reduce each actuated split by one second each cycle if the phase gaps out prior to its force off point. The "slack" time is added to the end of the coordinated phases to reduce the early-return-to-green problem. Since the offsets in actuated controllers are measured from the end of green, offset values may hence be increased by a maximum of this slack time. If the actuated phases are later forced off, then one second is added back to their splits. Although this procedure adapts responsively to the side street demand, it counts on perfectly designed offsets. Moreover, since the leading edge positions of the coordinated phases always remain constant, the algorithm will not be able to adapt to waiting queues or different traffic conditions in the arterial, e.g. slower travel speed on the arterial due to high arterial volume or vice versa [Shoup, 1998].



Figure 2-5 NAZTEC Algorithm [Source: Black, 1998]

2.6 Definition of Adaptive Offset Algorithm Opportunity

To date, there has not been any real-time offset tuning algorithm that accounts for early-return-to-green phenomenon as well as changes in the coordinated phases travel time. Such an adaptive offset algorithm would be of significant benefit to drivers because of its ability to reduce delay times, travel times, number of stops, and vehicular emissions in response to the change in traffic patterns. The objective of this research is to develop an integrated adaptive control algorithm that can be readily added to current actuated traffic controllers. This would provide a cost effective procedure to improve the performance of current traffic control systems without adding major cost to the system. Additionally, such an approach would capitalize on the existing knowledge and familiarity of traffic engineers and personnel with the current actuated control system. Such an algorithm would not only mitigate the "early-return-to-green" problem and account for downstream queues, but it would also respond to both short and long-term changes in traffic patterns.

CHAPTER 3- COMPARATIVE STUDY OF THEORETICAL, SIMULATION, AND FIELD PLATOON DATA

3.1 <u>Platoon Dispersion</u>

Vehicle platoons are initially limited in size by the green window at the upstream location. However, as the platoon travels downstream, it disperses because of vehicles traveling at different speeds. Platoon dispersion along arterial links is an important factor in determining whether adjacent signals should be coordinated. The amount of dispersion also determines, to a great extent, the number of vehicular stops and total delay in the coordinated movements. The objective of this chapter is to compare and contrast the percentage of platoon arriving within particular green windows as portrayed by theoretical models, simulation, and field data: 1) to evaluate the impact of distance on the dispersion of platoons discharged from intersections using data collected in the field and 2) to determine if similar conditions could be modeled with reasonable accuracy using the theoretical or simulation models. This is important because the performance of the model is heavily influenced by platoon dispersion.

Both field data and CORSIM simulation were used to estimate the amount of platoon dispersion downstream from traffic signals. Field data was also used to calibrate the Robertson's theoretical model and the results were tabulated and plotted such that comparison could be made [Wasson et al., 1999].

3.2 <u>Literature Review</u>

There have been several published papers that describe various platoon dispersion models. The earliest reported work is on diffusion theory, developed by Pacey [1956]. That model assumes that speeds in a platoon are normally distributed and, hence, the dispersion of vehicles in the platoon can be described by the dispersion in speeds. Subsequent research led to the development of the recurrence model, an empirical platoon dispersion model using a discrete iterative technique. The recurrence model, also known as the Robertson's model [Robertson, 1969; Rouphail et al., 1992], is utilized in the TRANSYT software package. Robertson's model operates under the assumption of the binomial distribution of vehicle travel time and is considered an improvement on the Pacey model [Denney, 1989] because of the ease of computation.

Much of the previous research focused on calibrating the platoon dispersion parameters of Robertson's model [Manar and Baass, 1996; Baass and Lefebvre, 1988; Castle and Bonniville, 1985; McCoy et al., 1983; Seddon P.A., 1972; Collins and Gower, 1974]. Denney [1989] tested the diffusion and recurrence models that are used to replicate platoon dispersion. Manar and Baass [1996] and Baass and Lefebvre [1988] researched the effects of external and internal friction on the calibration factors respectively. Castle and Bonniville [1985] researched the calibration of TRANSYT dispersion factors with respect to long road links.

Robertson's model can be represented by the following equation:

$$q_{2}(j) = \frac{1}{1 + \alpha \beta \tau} q_{1}(j) + (1 - \frac{1}{1 + \alpha \beta \tau}) q_{2}(j-1)$$
(2-1)

Where:

 $\begin{aligned} \alpha &= platoon \ dispersion \ factor, \\ \beta &= travel \ time \ factor, \\ \tau &= average \ travel \ time, \\ q2(j) &= \ discharge \ volume \ at \ downstream \ location \ 2 \ for \ bin \ j, \\ q1(j) &= \ discharge \ volume \ at \ upstream \ location \ 1 \ for \ bin \ j, \\ q2(j-1) &= \ discharge \ volume \ at \ downstream \ location \ 2 \ for \ bin \ (j-1) \end{aligned}$

TRANSYT software uses Robertson's model to transform the flow histogram at the upstream traffic stop bar into an arrival pattern at the downstream signal. The model can be varied by changing the platoon dispersion factor, α , and the travel time factor, β . The TRANSYT manual suggests values for these two parameters for a variety of conditions and many researchers have performed additional research to calibrate and analyze these parameters [McCoy et al., 1983].

Although previous research is invaluable for users of the TRANSYT software, its results are not tabulated in a manner that allows comparison with microscopic simulation models. This chapter presents the platoon dispersion data in a graphical format, by which the percentage of traffic arriving at a downstream traffic signal during an allocated green time can be estimated.

3.3 Field Data Collection

Several field sites were selected for platoon data collection in this study. To insure that a downstream-signalized intersection would not impact the platoon, sites were chosen with a distance of at least 5,000 feet between signalized intersections. It was also desirable to have a minimal impact on the platoon from merging and diverging vehicles, and therefore, sites with a minimum number of side streets and driveways were selected. Data collection occurred during peak and off-peak hours in order to gain platoon dispersion data for varying sizes of platoons. The detailed data collection procedure is described in the report by Wasson et al. [1999].

Table 3-1a shows the number of platoons collected in the field for each combination of speed, platoon discharge, and downstream distance. Table 3-1b shows the number of vehicles collected for the same combinations of speed, platoon discharge, and downstream distance.

Speed	Saturated	D/S Distance (feet)								
(mph)	Discharge	500	1000	1500	2000	2500	3000	3500	4000	4500
	Interval									
	(sec)									
30	10	22	22	20	11	17	14	2	-	-
	20	8	8	19	26	19	15	8	-	-
	30	4	1	3	15	10	10	5	-	-
	40	11	17	4	7	1	1	2	-	-
40	10	20	7	23	23	20	19	21	21	-
	20	7	2	11	11	11	11	18	17	-
50	10	8	9	9	10	9	9	9	8	8
	20	6	5	5	9	10	8	5	5	5
55	10	10	-	11	9	5	4	7	8	-
	20	3	-	1	2	1	1	1	-	-
60	10	5	8	12	12	8	8	5	5	5
	20	10	10	9	11	12	11	5	5	5

Table 3-1Number of Data Points Collected at Each Distance for Varying Speeds and Initial Discharge

a) Number of Platoons in Each Cell

Speed	Saturated	D/S Distance (feet)								
(mph)	Discharge	500	1000	1500	2000	2500	3000	3500	4000	4500
	Interval									
	(sec)									
30	10	101	108	92	67	77	73	8	-	-
	20	66	70	184	323	188	159	64	-	-
	30	50	15	39	250	142	159	63	-	-
	40	215	338	65	144	15	35	37	-	-
40	10	78	31	87	108	79	79	88	88	-
	20	92	27	117	116	92	99	150	143	-
50	10	35	37	40	41	37	42	41	60	60
	20	53	64	64	113	132	109	67	67	67
55	10	36	-	45	17	13	14	17	31	-
	20	25	-	7	15	10	11	4	-	-
60	10	33	32	63	63	46	46	29	29	34
	20	131	130	84	126	144	132	62	62	53

b) Number of Vehicles in Each Cell

The Hewlett Packard 48GX scientific calculators were used to record the observations; one was used to record the signal transition times and the second was used to record the downstream arrival times of every vehicle. The two calculators were

synchronized to a common reference time before they were used for data collection. The signal observer used three pre-programmed keys to collect data at the intersection: start of green, end of green, and vehicle departure time. The signal observer used the vehicle departure time key to record each time a vehicle entered the downstream side of the arterial. Vehicle arrivals included vehicles performing through movements through the intersection, as well as vehicles making left and right turns onto the downstream side of the arterial.

The calculator used by the downstream observer was programmed to record vehicle arrival times at the downstream observation location, which occurred at 500-foot intervals from the upstream traffic signal. Vehicle arrivals at those locations were correlated with the departure times recorded by the signal state observer to generate downstream histograms for the platoon.

3.4 Data Processing

The data collected by the calculators were downloaded to a desktop computer and extracted into a database. The database for this study consisted of six tables: the intersection table, the observation table, the field green table, the field data table, the CORSIM green table, and the CORSIM data table. The intersection table included a sketch of the intersection and the names of intersection legs. The observation table included information about the location and start time of the downstream observation. The location was recorded as the distance downstream from the upstream signal location. The table also contained information regarding the physical characteristics of the site, including the number of lanes and the width of each lane (typically two and 12 feet respectively). The field green table contained the green start times and green end times for the upstream traffic signal. The field data table contained the times each vehicle arrived at the downstream observer. The CORSIM green and CORSIM data tables were used to save the simulation data generated by the CORSIM traffic simulation software.

Organizing the data into a database allowed easy retrieval for analysis. Using the query tool within the database, the data needed for analysis was extracted and analyzed in a spreadsheet.

3.5 Data Analysis

Vehicle platoons disperse as they travel downstream on the arterial link. However, a platoon is expected to reach a downstream location within an expected range of time depending on the downstream distance, the average travel speed, and the initial platoon discharge length. The time t_a in Figure 3-1 represents the projected time window during which the dispersed platoon is expected to arrive, which is obtained by projecting the upstream green window using a speed higher than the speed limit to locate the start of t_a and a speed lower than the speed limit when locating the end of t_a . Field data reduction was therefore performed in two steps. First, the vehicle arrival times at the observation location lying within the time period t_a were extracted from the database for each discharged platoon using a data base query, and the second step was to extract the platoon from that window.



Different traffic conditions (gaps between successive platoons) were observed to affect the speed of the platoon, making it a difficult task to predict the exact time of platoon arrival at the downstream location within the extracted window. Extracting a wider range of projected upstream green window reduced the problem to the recognition of the platoon from the turning movement traffic preceding and following it. If the window was too large, the projected window would also contain the trailer of the platoon released from the previous cycle and the start of the platoon released from the following cycle as illustrated in Figure 3-1.

The platoon within the projected green window was recognized using the algorithm illustrated in Figure 3-2. The narrow gap and wide gap thresholds used were three and eight seconds respectively.



Figure 3-2 Platoon Extraction Algorithm

3.6 CORSIM Simulation

CORSIM simulations were run for different combinations of travel speed and initial platoon discharge lengths that replicated observed field data conditions. Initial platoon discharge predetermined lengths were obtained by putting the main street traffic in an oversaturated condition and setting the main street green time to the required length. This setup insured that the green time would be fully utilized by the waiting vehicles. The arrival time of vehicles at particular downstream distances, at 500 feet intervals were obtained by extracting vehicle positions from the CORSIM animation file. The start of aplatoon was easily identified in the simulation part of the analysis since no right turns on red were allowed in simulation. The headway gap between different platoons was made distinguishable by setting long side-street greens with no turning movement traffic.

3.7 <u>Robertson's Theoretical Model</u>

Robertson's model was calibrated for the filed data histograms at each observation point. Histograms were predicted for each pair of α and β values. α and β were varied over the ranges of 0.0-1.0 and 0.5-1.0 respectively with an increment of 0.01. The values of α and β that produced the minimum sum of square at all downstream locations were picked as the calibrated values. It was observed that very close values of the minimum sum of square could be obtained with different pairs of α and β . To provide a basis of comparison with earlier research about platoon dispersion, the β parameter was fixed at 0.8 and the α parameter was varied to obtain the minimum sum of squares so the squares for models calibrated for a fixed β parameter of 0.8 were not

observed to vary significantly from those calibrated over the whole range of β . Calibrated α parameters for β =0.8 for speeds of 30 and 40 mph were found to be 0.18 and 0.12 respectively. Figure 3-3 shows example comparisons between field data flow profiles and profiles predicted by Robertson's model for different speeds and at different locations. Figure 3-3-a shows the flow profiles at 2,000 feet downstream for 30 mph speed, while Figure 3-3-b shows the flow profiles at 1,500 feet downstream for 40 mph speed.



Figure 3-3 Flow Profiles of Field Data and as Predicted by Robertson's Model

3.8 <u>Determination of Green Windows for Particular Percentage of Platoons</u>

The green windows needed for particular percentages of a platoon to pass through were determined by analyzing the vehicle arrival times. After the different platoons were identified and classified, the arrival times of vehicles in each platoon were referenced to the arrival time of the start of their platoon. A histogram analysis was then conducted for all the platoons at different downstream locations to determine the percentage of the platoon length arriving at different points in time, measured from the start of the platoon.

The histogram analysis was useful in finding the green window required for different percentages of the platoon at varying downstream distances. The green window needed represents the time required for a certain percentage of the platoon to pass when the first vehicle in the platoon arrives at the start of green at a certain downstream location. For example, t_{50} would represent the time difference between the passage of the 50th percentile vehicle and the first vehicle of the platoon at a certain downstream location.

In order to obtain the percentage of the platoon passing at each cumulative bin time, the histograms were standardized by dividing the number of vehicles in each bin range by the total number of vehicles in the analysis period. The time at which specific percentages of the platoon passed, e.g. 100%, 95 %, 75%, 50%, and 25%, passed were then determined by interpolation.

3.9 Plots of Green Windows Required by Different Percentages of the Platoon

Times at which predetermined percentages (100%, 95 %, 75%, 50%, and 25%) of the platoon passed when the first vehicle in the platoon arrived at the start of green were tabulated and plotted versus several downstream locations. The plots were produced for 30 and 40 mph posted speed limits and an initial platoon discharge of 20 seconds. A regression analysis was then conducted for arrival times for the specified percentages of the platoon versus the downstream distance, and resulting regression models were used to plot the relationships. For example, the time at which 75% of the platoon passed could be determined by the regression model:

$$t_{75} = a_{75} + b_{75}X \tag{2-2}$$

Where:

 a_{75} = intercept for 75% of platoon model, b_{75} = linear coefficient for 75% of platoon model,

 t_{75} = time at which 75% of the platoon pass,

X = downstream distance in thousand feet.

Table 3-2 summarizes the regression analysis coefficients for the reduced data, the CORSIM simulation, and the histograms produced by Robertson's model. Figure 3-4, Figure 3-5, and Figure 3-6 show plots of average values of raw data with regression lines for the observed field data, the CORSIM data, and the histograms produced by Robertson's model respectively.

Green Window required to pass	Equation $t = a +$	R Squared Value							
X% of the platoon (t_x)	А								
a) Field Data (20 second saturated platoon, Speed: 30 MPH)									
100	20.00	10.24	0.92						
95	19.00	6.29	0.85						
75	15.00	1.42	0.85						
50	10.00	0.5	0.64						
25	5.00	1.0	0.64						
b) Fie	ld Data (20 second s	saturated platoon, Speed: 40	MPH)						
100	20.00	9.41	0.93						
95	19.00	4.90	0.92						
75	15.00	1.55	0.76						
50	10.00	0.31	0.73						
25	5.00	0.22	0.78						
c) CORSIN	I Simulation (20 sec	cond saturated platoon, Spee	ed: 30 MPH)						
100	20.00	12.34	0.98						
95	19.00	10.12	0.99						
75	15.00	9.09	0.99						
50	10.00	8.24	0.98						
25	5.00	6.02	0.94						
d) CORSIM Simulation (20 second saturated platoon, Speed: 40 MPH)									
100	20.00	10.74	0.99						
95	19.00	8.25	0.99						
75	15.00	7.35	0.99						
50	10.00	6.69	0.99						
25	5.00	5.13	0.99						
a) Roberts	on's Model (20 seco	ond saturated platoon, Speed	1: 30 MPH)						
100	20.00	13.54	0.99						
95	19.00	6.95	0.98						
75	15.00	3.28	0.99						
50	10.00	2.73	0.99						
25	5.00	2.25	0.98						
b) Robertson's Model (20 second saturated platoon, Speed: 40 MPH)									
100	20.00	6.57	0.99						
95	19.00	3.11	0.97						
75	15.00	1.56	1.00						
50	10.00	1.46	0.99						
25	5.00	1.24	0.99						

Table 3-2 Regression Analysis Coefficients for Field Data, CORSIM Simulation, and Robertson's Model







b) 40 mph speed

Figure 3-5 CORSIM Simulation Platoon Distribution (20 Second Saturated Platoon)



b) 40 mph speed



3.10 Discussion

Platoons with a saturated discharge of 20 seconds were analyzed because the best sample size distribution was obtained for the field data collected for that discharge, which is illustrated in Table 3-1.

CORSIM simulation demonstrated an overall platoon dispersion similar to the field data, as can be seen by examining Figure 3-4 and Figure 3-5. However, CORSIM simulation tended to have more platoon dispersion in the beginning of the platoon when compared to the field data. For example, Figure 3-5-a and Figure 3-5-b show that the lines for 25% of the platoon are much higher than their equivalents in both Figure 3-4-a and Figure 3-4-b. This trend is probably due to the car following logic in the CORSIM simulation that tends to aggressively disperse the front vehicles in the platoon. This logic makes CORSIM simulation somewhat conservative in quantifying the benefits of coordinating downstream signals for smaller green windows downstream as it suggests that a smaller percentage of the platoon benefits from that coordination.

Since Robertson's model was calibrated for the same set of data, graphs of that model were very similar to those of the field data. However, it should be noted that when the α parameter increases, Robertson's model predicts that most of the dispersion occurs at the end of the platoon. This can be observed when comparing Figure 3-6-a to Figure 3-6-b in that the lines for 95% and 100 % of the platoon in Figure 3-6-a are much higher than their equivalents in Figure 3-6-b. When studying Figure 3-3-a and Figure 3-3-b, which have α values of 0.18 and 0.12 respectively, one can observe that higher values of the α parameter cause platoon tails to extend much longer than platoon beginnings. This

phenomenon makes Robertson's model conservative in quantifying the benefits of coordinating downstream signals for large green windows downstream with higher α values as it predicts that a smaller percentage of the platoon benefits from that coordination.

3.11 Chapter's Conclusion

This chapter compared field platoon profiles to those produced by simulation and Robertson's model. Graphs showing arrival times of different percentages of platoons relative to the first vehicle in the platoon were produced for field, simulation, and Robertson's model. Also, these graphs were presented to quantify the percentage of a platoon that can pass through a particular green window downstream if the first vehicle of the platoon arrived at the start of the green according to each model's parameters. Such graphs can provide valuable information when evaluating the benefits from coordinating two traffic signals, by quantifying the percentage of a platoon that would benefit of a particular green window provided at the downstream intersection. Alternatively, they may suggest the green time required at the downstream intersection if a certain percentage of a platoon's passage was desired.

When compared to the field platoon data, the CORSIM was observed to be more conservative in quantifying the benefit of traffic signal coordination for small green windows. This observation suggests that the CORSIM platoon dispersion model need to be calibrated before analysis of different coordination plans is conducted. The following chapter discusses the calibration of CORSIM platoon dispersion model in order to evaluate different coordination plans.

CHAPTER 4- CALIBRATION OF CORSIM PLATOON DISPERSION MODEL

4.1 Introduction

The CORSIM model is one of the most widely-used simulation programs in the U.S. and is used quite often for analyzing ITS projects involving traffic signal systems. Although the calculations of total delay and vehicular stops in the arterial systems depend on the platoon dispersion parameters, very little is explained about calibrating the CORSIM platoon dispersion model in the literature. The objective of this chapter is to 1) quantitatively show the impact of dispersion on the results generated by CORSIM and 2) contribute to the platoon dispersion calibration literature by showing a systematic approach for calibrating the CORSIM platoon dispersion factor calculations are demonstrated using both speed study analysis and Pacey's model.

4.2 <u>Diffusion Theory</u>

As a platoon of vehicles moves downstream of traffic signals, it diffuses and increases in length. This phenomenon significantly affects the benefits that can be achieved by coordinating traffic signals. The basic assumption of the diffusion theory [Pacey, 1956] is that the speeds of the cars in the platoon are distributed normally so that the spread of the platoon can be described by the dispersion of car speeds. Pacey's model had some simplifications, such as 1) it allows free passing of cars; 2) it assumes that the

speed of the car is constant and 3) it assumes that speed of the car is independent of its location in the platoon. Nevertheless, Pacey was able to show that his model gave a good fit to moderate traffic volume data. This can be explained by considering that the platoon behaves as if it diffused from an extrapolated initial condition in accordance with the kinematical model [Muriel and Renfrey, 1985]. Seddon [1972] summarized the diffusion theory with the formula:

$$q_2(j) = \sum_{i} q_1(i)g(j-i)$$
(4-1)

Where i and j are counts of discrete intervals of time at the first and second observation points respectively, i.e,. the flow at the jth interval at the second point is equal to the sum over all the values of i, of the flow at the ith interval at the first observation location multiplied by the probability of a travel time of (j-i) intervals.

4.3 <u>Calculation of Dispersion Factor from Speed Study</u>

The dispersion factor (α) can be defined as the standard deviation of the free flow speed divided by the mean free flow speed [Seddon, 1972]. The dispersion factor can be directly calculated if a speed study is conducted between two observation locations and each car was identified. Figure 4-1 below shows some platoon data analysis that was conducted on SR26 in Lafayette, Indiana. Careful investigation of the platoon data allowed correlation of the arrival time of each individual car. In such a case, mean free flow speed and standard deviation of free flow speed could be easily calculated. The α parameter could then be calculated as the standard deviation divided by the mean of the free flow speed. In the SR26 case, the α parameter was calculated as 0.14.



Figure 4-1 Platoon Dispersion Data

4.4 <u>Calculation of Dispersion Factor Using Pacey's Model</u>

Alternatively, if the arrival time of each car could not be identified, the diffusion equation could be used to estimate the mean speed and the standard deviation. The mean speed and standard deviation of the arrival profiles are identified as the mean and standard deviation that correspond to the least mean square error between the expected and observed profile at the second observation location, as can be seen in Figure 4-2. The α parameter is then calculated as the standard deviation divided by the mean of the free
flow speed as previously defined. Pacey's model was used to find the dispersion factor for a site in US3 in Kokomo, Indiana, which was found to be 0.03. US31 will be studied in Chapter 10.



Figure 4-2 Pacey's Expected Flow versus Actual Flow

4.5 Conversion of the Normal Distribution Into A Decile Distribution

CORSIM uses a decile distribution to assign free flow speeds to vehicles entering the network. The decile distribution used is based on the types of drivers of the vehicles, where the first decile corresponds to the least aggressive driver and the last decile is the most aggressive. The free flow speed of each vehicle is determined by multiplying the decile value assigned to the vehicle's driver type times the mean free flow speed for the link. The decile value assigned to each driver type is specified in record type 147 in the simulation file. The record has default values ranging between 75% and 127% of the mean free flow speed.

In order to transform the normal distribution of the free flow speed into a decile distribution, each 10 percentile of the normal distribution curve is replaced with the center of gravity of the percentile area, which was calculated using numerical integration. Each percentile area was divided into 1,000 segment, and the area was calculated by multiplying each segment by the density function, where the center of gravity for each segment was calculated by multiplying the segment by its x-axis value. The center of gravity of the area was then calculated by dividing the sum of gravity of the 1,000 segments by the total area. Since the center of gravity of the percentile depends on the dispersion factor, the center of gravity for each percentile area was calculated for four different platoon dispersion factors (α) (5,10,15, and 20) and a regression analysis was conducted to obtain an equation for calculation of the center of gravity for each percentile. The ten percentiles' center of gravity can then be entered in record 147 in the CORSIM simulation file as the decile distribution for the free flow speed.

Although the normal distribution shape implies that the center of gravity equation is a curvilinear function, the increase of the center of gravity in relation to the dispersion factor (α) was found to be linear with an R² of 1. Equation (4-2) shows the general equation for the decile distribution where the β parameter values for each of the 10 decile values are shown in Table 4-1.

$$UFPCT(I) = 100 + \beta_I * \alpha \tag{4-2}$$

Where

UFPCT (I): Free-Flow speed percentage for driver type I,

 β_I : Linear parameter value for driver type I,

and α is the dispersion factor in percent

Table 4-1 β Parameter Values for Computing the Decile Distribution-UFPCT(I)

Ι	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
β	-1.745	-1.044	-0.677	-0.386	-0.126	0.126	0.387	0.677	1.045	1.760

Figure 4-3 shows the speed decile distribution for two sites, SR26 and US31, in Indiana and compares them to the CORSIM default decile distribution.



Figure 4-3 CORSIM Speed Distribution Parameters

4.6 Effect of Platoon Dispersion on Signal Coordination Benefits

Platoon dispersion significantly affects the benefits attained by coordinating traffic signals. Figure 4-4 shows the flow profile at several locations downstream for a traffic signal with both the default and calibrated speed distributions. Figure 4-4-a through Figure 4-4-d show the flow profile at different downstream locations when CORSIM default parameters are used. Figure 4-4-e and Figure 4-4-f show the flow profile calibrated for the US31 site at the stop bar and 3,000 feet downstream. By comparing Figure 4-4-d and Figure 4-4-f, it can be observed that the flow profiles at 3,000 feet are significantly different when using the calibrated parameters versus the default ones.



To study the effect of platoon dispersion on signal coordination benefits, the travel time along the corridor was used as a measure of performance for the coordinated traffic signal system (10). Figure 4-5 shows the tabulated CORSIM output for SR26 with

the default speed parameters and with the calibrated value, while Figure 4-6 shows the results for US31. It should be noted that the results differed significantly for US31 case, but no significance difference was found for SR 26. This is due to the fact that the calibrated parameters for SR 26 were very close to the default values (Figure 4-3). These results illustrate that it is very important to calibrate the parameters before a conclusion regarding signal coordination can be drawn.



Figure 4-5 SR26 Travel Time With Calibrated Versus Default Distribution



Figure 4-6 US31 Travel Time with Calibrated Versus Default Distribution

4.7 Chapter's Conclusion

This chapter discussed the importance of calibrating the CORSIM platoon dispersion model and demonstrated two methods by which the platoon dispersion factor (α) can be calculated, from speed study data or alternatively by using Pacey's formula. Once the dispersion factor is calculated, the decile distribution used by CORSIM to replicate platoon dispersion can be calculated using Equation (4-2) and Table 1. The chapter also showed the effect of calibrating the CORSIM model by comparing the results of a calibrated model versus a model using default dispersion values for two sites in Indiana (SR26 and US31). The US31 study showed that the effect of platoon

dispersion calibration in CORSIM resulted in a 15% reduction in travel time in comparison to the default calibration values.

CHAPTER 5- RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN OFFSETS AND SHOCKWAVES

This chapter lays the theoretical and conceptual foundations for the algorithm developed in this report providing an overview of the shockwave theory and how it relates to the platooning phenomenon and the offset at the downstream signalized intersection. The conceptual foundation of this chapter is carried through Chapters 6 and 7, where new metrics are defined and used to capture the effects of shockwaves using upstream detector actuation.

5.1 Overview of Shockwaves

Several researchers and engineers have studied the traffic flow-density-speed relationship and have provided insights into the phenomenon [May, 1990; Lighthill and Whitham, 1955]. When traffic conditions change in time or space, boundaries demarking different flow states in the time-space domains are formed. Those boundaries are called shockwaves, and there are several situations where shockwaves form including the increase in traffic demand, lane drops, incidents, etc.

Figure 5-1-a shows the fundamentals of shockwave analysis as illustrated by May [1990]. State A in the figure represents a steady-state flow with flow (q_a) , density (k_a) , and speed (u_a) . If the traffic volume decreases after some period of time, its state will be represented by state B with flow (q_b) , density (k_b) , and speed (u_b) . Note how the new state

will have a higher speed because it has a lower density and vehicles will travel more freely. The traffic of state B will eventually catch up with the traffic of state A.

Figure 5-1-b shows a space-distance diagram of the two conditions. Note that, for illustration purposes, the scales of time and distance diagram were selected such that lines representing speeds in the space-distance diagram are parallel to those in the flow distance diagram. The heavy line (W_{AB}) in Figure 5-1-b represents the shockwave between states A and B. Figure 5-1-c shows a slice of the highway at time t as well as the upstream traffic speed u_b , the downstream traffic speed u_a and the speed of the shockwave W_{ab} . This case shows a forward moving shockwave.

Note that a line originating from the origin of the flow density curve and ending at any point in the same curve represents the speed at that point or state. Where the chord between any two points represents the shockwave forming if the system states transitioned between the two points.

The continuity condition enforces that, as the shockwave progresses, the number of vehicles leaving state A (N_a) must be equal to the number of vehicles entering state B (N_b). This is certainly true since no cars are created or destroyed in the process. The number of vehicles leaving state B in time t is determined by the speed of the shockwave relative to the speed of the vehicles in state B. N_b can therefore be calculated by the equation:

$$N_{B} = q_{B}t = (u_{B} - w_{AB})k_{B}t$$
(5-1)

Similarly, the number of vehicles entering state A can be calculated by the equation:

$$N_{A} = q_{A}t = (u_{A} - w_{AB})k_{A}t$$
(5-2)

From Equations (5-1) and (5-2) and solving for w_{AB} :

$$w_{AB} = \frac{q_A - q_B}{k_A - k_B} \tag{5-3}$$

therefore, the speed of the shockwave traveling between different flow-density states is equal to the change in flow divided by the change in density of those two states.



Figure 5-1 Shockwave Fundamentals [Source: May, 1990]

5.2 <u>Shockwaves at Signalized Intersections</u>

Of particular interest to this research is the formation of shockwaves at signalized intersections. Consider a case where the traffic signal has enough capacity to

accommodate the demand. However, traffic can only pass through the signal during the green interval. Figure 5-2 illustrates an example of traffic flow approaching a traffic signal. When the signal interval is green (between times t_0 and t_1), the same traffic condition exists both upstream and downstream of the signal (traffic condition A). At time t_1 , the signal turns red causing three different traffic conditions to form: a) traffic condition B upstream of the signal where queues start to form; b) traffic condition D downstream of the signal with zero density; and c) and traffic condition A of the traffic that has not been affected by the queues yet. At the start of the red interval (t_1), the forward moving shockwave w_{AB} form. The direction of shockwave movements can also be determined by looking at Figure 5-2-b. The speed of those shockwaves can be calculated by applying Equation (5-3).



Figure 5-2 Shockwaves at Signalized Intersections [Source: May, 1990]

At time t_2 , the signal indication changes to green and traffic starts to depart from the intersection with the saturation flow rate. At that point, shockwave w_{DB} terminates and w_{BC} and w_{DC} start forming, separating the different regions of traffic flow conditions B, C, and D. At time t_3 , the two backward moving shockwaves w_{AB} and w_{BC} meet and terminate. A new forward moving shockwave w_{AC} is formed. This is the time at which the queues start to dissipate. At time t_4 , the shockwave w_{AC} crosses the stop bar and the flow decreases from saturation flow to arrival flow.

At time t_5 , the shockwave w_{AD} is created in a similar pattern to the previous cycle. At time t_6 , the two shockwaves w_{AC} and w_{AD} meet together and form the shockwave w_{CD} . From that point on and as long as the traffic pattern remains constant, the shockwave pattern will repeat itself.

This introduction illustrates that when traffic faces a red interval at a downstream signalized intersection, a backward moving shock wave is formed and extended upstream of the traffic signal. If a detector is placed within the range of that shockwave, it can capture its existence. This information can be very useful in determining whether the traffic signal offset is properly adjusted.

5.3 Effect of Offsets on Shockwaves

The greater the proportion of the traffic that faces the red interval, the farther back the shockwave extends. Therefore, if the offset was not performing well, e.g. due to early-return-to-green or poor initial design, a long queue will form due to the shockwave.

Figure 5-3 illustrates a poor offset at the downstream signal where the platoon arrives during the red interval. The figure shows a relatively low traffic volume passing through the upstream detector between times t_1 and t_2 from side street turning traffic. The red interval at the signal causes three different traffic conditions to form: a) traffic condition C upstream of the signal where queues start to form; b) traffic condition D

downstream of the signal with zero density; and c) traffic condition A of the traffic that has not been affected by the queues yet. This situation causes the formation of three shockwaves: the forward moving shockwave w_{AD} , the frontal stationary shockwave w_{DC} , and the backward moving shockwave w_{CA} . The arrival of the platoon (traffic condition B) causes the formation of the shockwave w_{AB} that meets the shockwave w_{CA} and they both terminate forming a new shockwave w_{CB} . The new shockwave w_{CB} extends faster than the shockwave w_{CA} because of the higher traffic volume of the platoon. The low traffic volume from the side street follows the platoon, causing the shockwave w_{AB} to form again. When the shockwave w_{AB} meets the shockwave w_{CB} , they cause the formation of shockwave w_{CA} .

When the signal turns green, traffic starts to depart from the intersection with the saturation flow rate. At that point, shockwave w_{DC} terminates, and w_{DE} and w_{CE} start forming separating the different regions of traffic flow conditions D, E, and C. The two backward moving shockwaves w_{CE} and w_{CA} meet and terminate, and a new forward moving shockwave w_{AE} is formed. When the shockwave w_{AE} crosses the stop bar and the flow decreases from saturation flow to arrival flow, the shockwave w_{AD} is created in a similar pattern to the previous cycle. The two shockwaves w_{AE} and w_{AD} meet and form the shockwave w_{ED} , and from that point on and as long as the traffic pattern remains constant, the shockwave pattern will repeat itself.

Note the effect of the shockwave at the detector's occupancy shown at the bottom of the figure. Between times t_1 and t_2 , low traffic volume turning from the side street

passes through the upstream detector at a higher speed, theoretically speaking. Although they could, in practicality, be traveling at a lower speed than the arterial through movement, which causes low occupancy at the detector. As the shockwave w_{AB} crosses the upstream detector at time t_2 , the platoon starts to arrive with higher volume and lower speed, causing an increase in the occupancy between times t_2 and t_3 . The traffic queues over the detector between times t_3 and t_4 , raising the occupancy to 1.0 (100%). The queue starts to dissipate between times t_4 and t_5 with the saturation flow rate causing the occupancy to drop from 1.0. Finally, as the low traffic volume from the side street passes through the detector between times t_5 and t_6 , the occupancy drops to its lowest value. This variation in occupancy at the upstream detector denotes the existence of a strong shockwave due to a bad offset.



A well-designed offset however, will align the green window with the platoon, minimizing the proportion of traffic arriving at the signal during the red interval. Figure 5-4 shows a comparatively good offset at the downstream signalized intersection. Note that the effect of the weak shockwave caused by the low traffic volume from the side street does not reach the upstream detector and the variation in occupancy at the detector therefore remains minimal.



Figure 5-4 A Shockwave Originated by a Good Offset

5.4 Effect of High Turning Percentage on Shockwave Formation

Traffic coordination benefits accrue due to the platooning phenomenon. The concentration of arterial traffic into platoons makes it feasible to align the green interval such that the platoon can pass through the intersection without stopping. If the vehicle platoons are highly dispersed or if vehicles arrive at the traffic signalized intersection in a random fashion, little or no benefits can be achieved from traffic signal coordination. In such a case, no matter how the green window is moved, almost an equal number of vehicles would arrive during the red interval.

Figure 5-5 and Figure 5-6 show a bad offset and a good offset respectively, where traffic volume turning from the side street approaches the arterial's traffic volume. Figure 5-5 shows a case of a bad offset where the platoon (traffic condition B) is aligned with the red interval, note that the shockwaves generated are very similar to the shockwaves in Figure 5-3, except that the occupancy during flow regime A is slightly higher due to the higher traffic volume and slower speed of traffic condition A.

On the other hand, Figure 5-6 shows a case of a good offset that attempts to align the platoon (traffic condition B) with the green window. However, one can observe that the figure shows a shockwave pattern is observed in the figure similar to the one generated due to the bad offset situation in Figure 5-5. This is due to the fact that the secondary traffic volume is very close in value to the primary traffic volume.



Figure 5-5 A Shockwave Originated by a Bad Offset and High Turning Traffic



Figure 5-6 A Shockwave Originated by a Good Offset and High Turning Traffic

5.5 Chapter's Conclusion

This chapter laid the theoretical and conceptual foundations for the algorithm developed in this research. The effect of offsets on shockwaves under different traffic conditions is discussed and how that reflects on the occupancy profile at the upstream detector. Chapters 6 and 7 will introduce two models by which the existence of shockwaves generated due to a bad offset can be captured and accounted for, namely, the skewness model and the F' model. The skewness model is discussed in Chapter 6 when the algorithm developed in this research is introduced. The limitations of the skewness model are discussed in Chapter 7 and the more general F' model is introduced. Chapter 7 also conducts an analysis of variance for the two models and compares their potential usefulness under different conditions that may affect the offset performance, including the case where the volume of turning traffic from the side street approaches that of the arterial's through traffic.

CHAPTER 6- PRO-TRACTS CONCEPT AND STRUCTURE

This chapter introduces an adaptive real-time offset transitioning expansion to actuated controllers to improve coordination of traffic signals. This algorithm, Purdue Real-time Offset Transitioning Algorithm for Coordinating Traffic Signals (PRO-TRACTS), mitigates the effect of the early-return-to-green problem experienced with coordinated-actuated controllers and accounts for downstream vehicle queues that may impede vehicle progression. The chapter describes the basic concept and methods by which PRO-TRACTS captures the shockwave information through the use of advance detectors actuation. The skewness model is introduced and evaluated with a test bed case study and general findings and conclusions are presented at the end of the chapter.

PRO-TRACTS can be viewed as an integrated optimization approach that is designed to work with traditional coordinated-actuated systems. The objective of the proposed algorithm is to add to the actuated controllers the ability of adaptively changing their offsets in response to changes in an arterial's traffic demand. This model will provide an intermediate solution between traditional coordinated actuated control systems and adaptive control systems.

PRO-TRACTS assumes a fixed cycle length (selected by either the time of day or some traffic responsive technique) and splits decided by each local controller, subject to maximum and minimum constraints traditionally imposed by coordinated-actuated signal systems. The unique aspect of the proposed algorithm is that the end of green offsets at each intersection are continually adjusted in real-time with the objective of providing smooth progression of a platoon through an intersection using the volume and occupancy profile of advance detectors. This automatic tuning process is analogous to an engineer or technician standing beside the cabinet and "tuning" the offset so that the coordinated phase turns green at the appropriate time to facilitate smooth progression of the upstream platoon.

6.1 PRO-TRACTS Structure

PRO-TRACTS utilizes an internal structure that profiles the occupancy and count actuation on a second by second basis for approach detectors. The algorithm keeps records of the latest 30 cycles actuation, although for actual field implementation, the number of cycles used would likely be reduced to say 10 cycles. The occupancy and count actuation are stored in a bin-based storage ($occ_{i,j}$ and cnt $_{i,j}$). For example, the occupancy at any time (t) bin is stored as $occ_{i,j}$ where i and j are the time into cycle and the current cycle number respectively, determined by the following equations:

$$i = \operatorname{mod}((t - t_{ref}), cycle) \tag{6-1}$$

$$j = \operatorname{int}((t - t_{ref}) / cycle) \tag{6-2}$$

where t_{ref} is the reference time, which may be the start of simulation or perhaps midnight in a deployed system.

For development purposes, the algorithm is currently implemented as external control logic in CORSIM [Kaman, 1997B]. The algorithm has the ability to work with either CORSIM internal logic or alternatively with a set of NTCIP NEMA controllers

through hardware-in-the-loop simulation [Bullock and Catarella 1998]. The algorithm exchanges information with the CORSIM simulation package through its run time extension DLL in real time.

6.2 Link Profiling and Tabulation

A utility module (LinkPro) has been developed to visually evaluate the effect of a particular offset in an arterial and/or to evaluate and visualize the impact of waiting queues in the arterial that might disrupt traffic progression. The implementation of the graphical utility requires a shared memory structure to allow for information exchange between the different programs involved in the simulation. The graphical utility (LinkPro) exchanges information with CORSIM through its run time extension DLL. Therefore there was a need for an intermediate shared memory structure was needed that contains the exchanged information. Figure 6-1 shows a sketch of the implementation of the shared memory structure that enables the graphical utility to interact with CORSIM and the real-time offset tuning program.



Figure 6-1 Shared Memory Structure

LinkPro allows the evaluation of the performance of the offsets currently set in the arterial system by selectively showing occupancy or traffic count measures over particular CORSIM system detectors in graphical and/or tabular format. The screen shows the traffic measures aggregated through several cycles (one to10 cycles) over the upstream detectors, along with the current green window at the traffic signal. The graphs have increments of cycle length on the X-axis and the traffic measure (occupancy/count) on the Y-axis as shown in Figure 6-2. Actuation of other detectors in the system can also be shown by selecting the detector number from LinkPro menu, as shown in Figure 6-3.



b) Average Count in each bin

Figure 6-2 LinkPro Screen Capture for a tuned Offset



c) Advanced Detector 400 feet Upstream

Figure 6-3 Detectors Occupancy Data at Selected Downstream Locations

LinkPro also allows manual change of offset value at any intersection during the

simulation for illustration purposes, as can be seen in Figure 6-4.



Figure 6-4 Manual Offset Change in LinkPro

By double-clicking the chart that shows the profile of any selected detector, a tabular format of the detector actuation is displayed. The actuation is displayed in a second by second basis. Vehicle counts/occupancy measures are output to the window in a one-second time increment referenced to the starting time of the cycle. The display window shows the current cycle and the previous cycles information (30 cycles). When the rows of the table are completely filled, all of the actuations of previous cycles are shifted down by one row and the current actuation is shown in the higher row. Figure 6-5 illustrates the display of the occupancy. Note that since the whole cycle does not fit in

one row, a slider bar is used to shift the display in order to observe actuation at any point in the cycle.



Figure 6-5 LinkPro Tabular Format

6.3 PRO-TRACTS Concept: The Skewness Model

As discussed in the previous chapter, occupancy distribution over advanced detectors is significantly affected by the state of the downstream signal offset. A good offset causes a smaller variation in the occupancy distribution than a bad offset does since it does not generate a shockwave strong enough to reach the advanced detector. The small variation of occupancy distribution with a good offset will cause the occupancy profile to look very similar to the count profile when tabulated over the cycle. In the bad offset case, however, the platoon of vehicles starts to slow down as its the strong shockwave and eventually queues over the detector. This phenomenon results in the surveillance detector observing a larger number of slow moving, or stopped vehicles, through the cycle. Consequently, the occupancy profile will have a significantly different shape from that of the count profile. To illustrate the point further, Figure 6-2 shows LinkPro screen captures that illustrate detector actuation for a surveillance detector 60 meters upstream of an intersection with a good offset. Figure 6-2-a shows the occupancy actuation and Figure 6-2-b shows the count actuation. Occupancy and count detector actuation are shown to have similar shapes in the figure when the downstream signal offset is tuned. Figure 6-6 shows LinkPro screen captures showing detector actuation for a surveillance detector upstream of an intersection with an un-tuned offset. Figure 6-6-a shows the occupancy actuation and Figure 6-6-b shows the count actuation. Occupancy and count detector actuation are shown to have different shapes when the downstream signal offset is un-tuned. This difference in actuation shapes is utilized by PRO-TRACTS as the basic concept for offset transitioning.



Figure 6-6 LinkPro Screen Capture for an un-tuned offset

6.3.1 The need for an Activation Mechanism

Poor offsets affect the shapes of the occupancy and count distributions in different ways, depending on 1) the direction and degree by which the offset is shifted from the ideal offset, and 2) the characteristics of the platoon approaching the signal. Figure 6-7 through Figure 6-11 show how the shapes of the occupancy and count profiles for different platoon conditions are affected by the offset deviation from the ideal value. Figure 6-7 shows a condensed platoon with a negatively shifted offset, an ideal offset, and a positively shifted offset. One can easily recognize in the figure the direction of the offset shift that has been applied to the signal by looking at the shapes of the profiles. Figure 6-8 shows an end-dispersed platoon, where vehicles are released from the upstream signal with the saturation flow rate followed by a lower flow rate. Note that in such a case, the direction of the offset shift is not as clear as it was with condensed platoons. Note that even with the ideal offset, not all vehicles are aligned within the green window. Figure 6-9 shows the same end-dispersed platoon but with a wide green window at the downstream signal that can easily accommodate the platoon, and one can easily recognize the offset shift type needed is easily seen. Figure 6-10 shows a dispersed platoon where the direction of movement is again not clear. Figure 6-11 shows the same dispersed platoon but with a wide green window where the shift type can be identified. All of these figures show that it is not always easy to decide whether the offset needs to be changed, nor its direction. The decision becomes even more complex with the effect of turning traffic from side street. Some activation mechanism therefore is needed that decides whether the offset at the downstream signal needs to be modified.


Figure 6-7 Condensed Platoon's Occupancy and Count Profiles Over 25 Cycles, Green Phase 45 to 90



Figure 6-8 An End-Dispersed Platoon's Occupancy and Count Profiles Over 25 Cycles, Green Phase 45 to 90



c) Positive Offset Shift

Figure 6-9 An End-Dispersed Platoon's Occupancy and Count Profiles Over 25 Cycles With a Wide Green Window, Green Phase 25 to 90



Figure 6-10 A Dispersed Platoon's Occupancy and Count Profiles Over 25 Cycles, Green Phase 45 to 90



Figure 6-11 A Dispersed Platoon's Occupancy and Count Profiles Over 25 Cycles With a Wide Green Window, Green Phase 25 to 90

6.3.2 Modified Skewness Functions

The difference in shapes between the occupancy and count profiles due to a bad offset can be quantified by means of the difference in the profiles' skewness. PRO-TRACTS calculates the absolute difference in occupancy and count actuation skewness to determine if offset transitioning is warranted. The calculation is performed at a predefined frequency that can be input at the user level.

Skewness characterizes the degree of asymmetry of a distribution around its mean where positive skewness indicates a distribution with an asymmetric tail extending toward more positive values and negative skewness indicates a distribution with an asymmetric tail extending toward more negative values.

The Skewness of a distribution can be calculated by Equation (6-1).

$$skewness = \frac{n}{(n-1)(n-2)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{x_i - \bar{x}}{stdev} \right)^3$$
(6-1)

where x_i is the ith observation of variable x, x is the mean value, stdev is the standard deviation of variable x, and n is the number of observations.

Equation (6-2) can be used for calculating the skewness for the detector actuation by substituting the detector actuation per bin (act) instead of x in Equation (6-1). The standard deviation can be calculated through Equation (6-3), where n is the number of bins in the cycle.

$$skewness = \frac{n}{(n-1)(n-2)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{act_i - act}{stdev} \right)^3$$
(6-2)

$$Stdev = \left(\frac{n\sum act^2 - (\sum act)^2}{n(n-1)}\right)^{1/2}$$
(6-3)

However, looking more deeply into the skewness equation, one can observe that direct substitution of detector actuation into Equation (6-1) does not preserve the intended meaning of skewness. Using Equation 6-2 would statistically have a different meaning. To illustrate this, an artificial occupancy actuation is shown in Table 6-1 and is plotted in Figure 6-12. The distribution was obtained by the normal distribution function NORMDIST in Microsoft Excel for a mean value of 10 and a standard deviation value of 1.5.

Table 6-1 Sample Occupancy Actuation

Bin	Occupancy (%)
5	0.001
6	0.008
7	0.036
8	0.109
9	0.213
10	0.266
11	0.213
12	0.109
13	0.036
14	0.008
15	0.001



Figure 6-12 Distribution of an Artificially Generated Sample Occupancy Actuation in Table 6-1

Note that the normal distribution bell shape shown in Figure 6-12 is portrayed by drawing the actuation as an ordinate for each bin, which means that each actuation value stands for the number of repetitions of each bin value. For example, bin "9" was repeated

0.213 times, whereas bin "10" was repeated "0.266" times (213 and 266 times in a 0.001 scale). This is not the same as substituting the actuation as the bin values.

Table 6-2 shows the descriptive statistics for the occupancy values in Table 6-1, where skewness could be obtained by direct substitution of occupancy in Equation (6-2) and (3). Note that Table 6-2 shows a skewness value of 0.775 instead of 0 (for a perfectly normal distribution). Also, Table 6-2 shows values of 0.09 and 0.99 for the mean and standard deviation respectively, instead of the 10 and 1.5 used to generate the distribution. These values are correct for a different distribution where the occupancy values are treated as bin values and the ordinates are the number of repetitions of each bin value, as shown in Figure 6-13.

0.091
0.030
0.036
0.001
0.100
0.010
-1.006
0.775
0.265
0.001
0.266
1.000
11.000

Table 6-2 Descriptive Statistics for Occupancy in Table 6-1 Obtained by Direct Substitution



Figure 6-13 Distribution of Occupancy when directly substituted

In order to preserve the meaning of skewness as statistically defined, each bin value has to be repeated several times as determined by the occupancy, or count, actuation. This is illustrated in Table 6-3 where the bin values are repeated according to the occupancy value at each bin (multiplied by one thousand). Table 6-4 shows the histogram analysis for the values in Table 6-3; note that the frequency of each bin is the same as the occupancy values in Table 6-1 multiplied by one thousand. Figure 6-14 shows the histogram graph for the bin values; note that Figure 6-14 looks the same as Figure 6-12.

Table 6-3 Repetition of Bin Values According to Occupancy Value in

Table 6-1 (occupancy multiplied by a thousand)



Table 6-4 A histogram analysis for bin values in Table 6-3

Bin	Frequency
5	1
6	8
7	36
8	109
9	213
10	266
11	213
12	109
13	36
14	7
15	1
More	0



Figure 6-14 A Histogram Graph for Values in Table 6-4

Table 6-5 shows the descriptive statistics calculated for the bin values listed in Table 6-3. Note that the skewness, mean, and standard deviation values are now correct (0,10, and 1.5 with the rounding error).

Mean	10
Standard Error	0.047234969
Median	10
Mode	10
Standard Deviation	1.492206433
Sample Variance	2.22668004
Kurtosis	-0.041755857
Skewness	4.28467E-17
Range	10
Minimum	5
Maximum	15
Sum	9980
Count	998

Table 6-5 Descriptive Statistics for Bin Values in Table 6-3

In order to preserve the meaning of skewness, the skewness and standard deviation equations should be modified. The modified equations are as follows:

$$skewness' = \frac{n'}{(n'-1)(n'-2)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} act_i \left(\frac{(bin_i - m')}{stdev'}\right)^3$$
(6-4)

$$Stdev' = \left(\frac{n'\sum act_{i}(bin_{i})^{2} - (\sum bin_{i} * act_{i})^{2}}{n'(n'-1)}\right)^{1/2}$$
(6-5)

where:

$$n' = \sum_{i=1}^{n} act_i \tag{6-6}$$

$$m' = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} bin_i * act_i}{n'}$$
(6-7)

- act_i: actuation at bin i in the cycle
- bin: interval over which actuation is aggregated, 5 seconds was used in this study.
- n: number of actuation bins (cycle length in seconds devided by bin size)

These new equations allow the calculation of the occupancy and count skewness from the bins/actuation values. It should be noted that multiple-second bins can be used instead of one-second bins. This can improve PRO-TRACTS performance in two ways: a) data normalization, where noise due to the stochastic arriaval of vehicles can be reduced, in contrast to real changes in travel pattern due to improper offset values; and b) histograms can be built from a smaller number of cycles, which leads to a more responsive performance (more frequent offset evaluation operation).

6.3.3 Calculation of Skewness Around the Count Profile's Mean

A small percentage of traffic turning from the side street during the red period, which is the beginning of the cycle since the cycle is referenced to the end of green, usually shows similar occupancy and count profiles regardless of the offset value (Figure 6-16 and Figure 6-16). This is due to the fact that the gap between the stop bar and the algorithm detector can accommodate low traffic volumes before showing a significant change in the acuation profiles at the detector location. Althought the shapes of the profiles are similar, the effect of the turning traffic is not cancelled out if count and occupancy skewness are calculated around each distribution's mean, which has a different location for the two distributions. To cancel the effect of the turning movement and at the same time improve the ability of the algorithm to detect bad offsets, the skewness of both distributions is calculated around the count distribution's mean.



a) Count Profile



b) Occupancy Profile

Figure 6-15 Good Offset With a Turning Traffic Profiles



a) Count profile



b) Occupancy profile

Figure 6-16 Bad Offset With a Turning Traffic Profiles

6.3.4 Offset Transitioning Warrant

An experiment was conducted to quantify the concept of PRO-TRACTS with different sets of cycles, percent green ratios, and offsets. Figure 6-17-a shows the differential skewness values for the combinations of six different offset shift levels and three different percent greens for a surveillance detector 30 meter upstream and 60 seconds in cycle length. Figure 6-17-b shows the same histograms, but for a 120 second cycle length. Figure 6-17-c and Figure 6-17-d show the same histograms as in Figure 6-17-a and Figure 6-17-b but for a surveillance detector 60 meters upstream. The experiment illustrates that the more the offset shifts from the ideal range, the more pronounced the difference in actuation skewness. These figures empirically show that a differential skewness threshold of 0.25 can be used for triggering PRO-TRACTS.







Figure 6-17 Differential Skewness between Occupancy and Counts Histograms

6.3.5 Direction of Offset Transitioning

Once the application of PRO-TRACTS is warranted, the algorithm follows a greedy approach to determine the optimum direction of offset transitioning. PRO-TRACTS moves the current green window such that more of the current occupancy actuation is included within the new green window. The philosophy here is that for the same traffic volume, higher occupancy typically denotes slower moving, or even stopped, traffic. Therefore, lowering the occupancy actuation can be used as a proxy objective to obtain better progression. This objective can be achieved by moving the green window so that more of the current occupancy actuation histogram is included within the new window location. Figure 6-18-a illustrates how this decision is made. Note that if the green window was moved to the right, the estimated increase in occupancy histogram aligned within the green window will be area "d" – area "b." If the green window was moved to the left, the estimated increase in the occupancy histogram aligned within the green window will be area "a" - area "c." Following this approach, the green window should be moved to the left if (a-c) > (d-b), which can also be algebraically expressed as (a+b) > (c+d). Areas (a+b) and (c+d) in Figure 4-a are calculated as OccL and OccR respectively in Equations (6-8) and (6-9). PRO-TRACTS transitions the offset in the direction of the greater area calculated in Equations (6-8) and (6-9).

$$OccL = \sum_{l=1}^{n} \sum_{k=Gs-Shift}^{Gs+Shift} occ_{k,j-l}$$
(6-8)

and

$$OccR = \sum_{l=1}^{n} \sum_{k=Ge-Shift}^{Ge+Shift} occ_{k,j-l}$$
(6-9)

where:

- Occl: Estimated increase in occupancy area under the green window if offset was transitioned to the left
- OccR: Estimated increase in occupancy area under the green window if offset was transitioned to the right
- n: number of cycles included in PRO-TRACTS evaluation (1 cycle was used in this study)
- Ge: green end time of the Last cycle
- Gs: green start time. Calculated by subtracting the average green duration from green end (Ge)
- Shift: PRO-TRACTS Window shift parameter (5 seconds is used in this study) and
- j: current cycle as defined in Equation (6-2)

Figure 6-18-b shows the occupancy actuation that resulted from moving the green

window in Figure 6-18-a to the left.



a) Occupancy Actuation Before Offset Transition



b) Occupancy Actuation After Offset Transition



Figure 6-19 shows the results of an experiment to test and validate the offset transitioning direction algorithm in PRO-TRACTS. The figure shows the results of 18 simulation runs with offsets shifted by multiple of five seconds from the ideal between the runs. For each case, the area of the occupancy profile within the green window is calculated, along with the anticipated area if the green window was moved five seconds to the left and right (negative or positive shifts respectively). The figure shows that the shortest path to the optimum offset is in the direction of the maximum anticipated occupancy profile's area within the green window.



Detector Occupancy Within the Green Window Vs Offset Shift Offset Step =5 sec, V=1200 veh/hr

Figure 6-19 Validation of Offset Transitioning Direction in PRO-TRACTS

6.3.6 Network Level Coordination

Once an offset adjustment takes place at a particular intersection, PRO-TRACTS changes the offset at all the downstream intersections by the same amount such that the intersections do not loose coordination. This mechanism insures that the offset of any intersection referenced to its upstream intersection remains the same. If the downstream intersection needed an offset adjustment as well, the cumulative adjustment in offset is then applied.

6.3.7 Effect of Detector Location

The farther the surveillance detector location is set back, the less sensitive it becomes, especially for short cycle lengths because of the small queue size which is also the case with low traffic volume. This is clearly illustrated by comparing Figure 6-17-a and Figure 6-17-c, where a 60 meter upstream detector could not capture the effect of offset shifts where a 30 meter upstream detector did. It should be noted that it is also not desirable to have the detector too close to the downstream signal so as not to capture the turning-movement traffic noise from side streets. In this chapter, a surveillance detector 60 meter upstream was used.

6.4 <u>Evaluation Network and Procedure</u>

Two studies were conducted to test the basic concept of PRO-TRACTS. The first study evaluated PRO-TRACTS with a set of incorrect offsets at three intersections. The second study evaluated the adaptation of PRO-TRACTS to an offset previously tuned for an early-return-to-green. The evaluation network used to test the effect of PRO-TRACTS is shown in Figure 6-20. The network had 11-meter stop bar detectors at the side streets and the arterial left-turn pockets, and 1.8-meter advanced detectors 92s meter3 upstream for the through-arterial phases. Figure 6-21 shows the common ring structure for all intersection in the studied network. Table 6-6 lists the general phase parameters for all intersections (minimum green, vehicle extension, etc). Table 6-7 and Table 6-8 list the coordination parameters for all intersections and the entry volumes per periods for all intersections for the two studies. Turning percentages were 80% through, 10% right, and 10%, left for all approaches.





Figure 6-21 Test Bed Phase Sequence Ring Diagram for Nodes 2, 3, 4, and 5

	~		
Table 6-6 Test	Bed General Phase	Parameters (A	Il Intersections)

DESCRIPTION (SEC)	Phase Number							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
MINIMUM GREEN		20		10		20		10
VEHICLE EXTENSION		3.5		3.5		3.5		2.0
MAXIMUM GREEN		99		99		99		99
YELLOW CLEARANCE		3.0		3.0		3.0		3.0
RED CLEARANCE		2.0		2.0		2.0		2.0
MINIMUM GAP TIME		3.5		3.5		3.5		3.5

Study	Cycle	INT	Offset	Force Off for Each Phase							
				1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Cases 1-5	120	2	0		120		70		120		70
		3	23		120		65		120		65
		4	83		120		60		120		60
		5	70		120		55		120		55
Bad Offsets	90	2	0		90		45		90		45
		3	68		90		40		90		40
		4	30		90		35		90		35
		5	68		90		30		90		30

Table 6-7 Test Bed Coordination Parameters

	INT	Study		Early Return to Green						
		Cycle			120			90		
		Case	1	1 2 3 4 5						
	2	NB	1150	1150	1150	1150	1150	1350		
		EB								
		WB	1800	1800 1800 1800 1800 1800						
		EB	850	850	850	850	850	850		
	3	WB	1600	1600	1600	1600	1600	1250		
		EB	100	100	100	100	100	100		
	4	WB ¹	100 400 700 1000 1300				1300	900		
		EB	400	400	400	400	400	400		
	5	WB	1300	1300	1300	1300	1300	600		
		SB	400	400	400	400	400	400		
¹ volume changing at intersection approach										

Table 6-8 Test Bed Entry Volumes per Period (VPH)

6.4.1 Adapting from Incorrect Offsets

The first study evaluated the effect of PRO-TRACTS applied to an arterial with poor offsets. Figure 6-22 shows the northbound cumulative travel time during four consecutive hours, with and without running PRO-TRACTS. This figure was obtained by setting the poor offsets in the three labeled intersections and running CORSIM simulation five times with different number seeds without PRO-TRACTS, and five times with PRO-TRACTS. The figures show a statistically significant savings in travel time with PRO-TRACTS as shown by the 95% error bars with PRO-TRACTS reducing total travel time by 27.9, 32.7, 33.7, and 34.5 percents, during the first, second, third, and fourth hours respectively. Figure 6-23 shows the southbound cumulative travel time during four consecutive hours with and without running PRO-TRACTS for the same simulation. The figure shows a statistically non-significant decrease in travel time with PRO-TRACTS totaling 0, 3.6, 4.9, and 5.1 percents during the first, second, third, and fourth hours respectively.





Figure 6-24-a shows how the offsets converged with PRO-TRACTS during one simulation run. Note that although the Node 5's offset transitioned from 68 to 73 seconds, the figure shows the initial offset value of 68 as 158 seconds (68 plus 90 seconds cycle length) indicating the path of the offset until it was stabilized. This long path was taken because the immediate upstream signal's offset was simultaneously decreasing. This long path was not taken, however, when the immediate upstream signal offset was constant as was the case with Node 3' offset. Figure 6-24-b shows the cycle length during the simulation. Note that because the offsets were changed by small increments, there were no major irregularities in the controllers' cycle lengths.

The total delay and number of stops, with and without PRO-TRACTS, are shown in Figure 6-25, where the delay and number of stops for all approaches are seen to have decreased with PRO-TRACTS, 29.0% and 27.6% percent respectively, by the end of the fourth hour.



a) Offset Transitioning



Figure 6-24 Test Bed Offset and Cycle Transitions-Bad offset Study



6.4.2 Adjusting Offsets to Adapt for Early-Return-to-Green

Figure 6-26 shows the result when one intersection (Node 4) experienced different amounts of early-return-to-green. The Figure shows the northbound cumulative travel time for five different side street traffic volumes, with and without running PRO-TRACTS, at Node 4. The offset at Node 4 was originally tuned for the early-return-to-green at the lowest traffic volume. Further, PRO-TRACTS increases the arterial travel speed to closely match the free trajectory speed for cases of high side street traffic volume.

Figure 6-27 further illustrates the case of the highest side street traffic volume of Figure 11, showing the north and southbound cumulative travel time at the second hour with and without PRO-TRACTS. Application of PRO-TRACTS is shown to significantly decrease the travel time in the north direction by 21.8 % and in the south direction by 1.7 %. It should also be noted that the total decrease in the north bound travel time was statistically significant while the total decrease in the south bound travel time was statistically insignificant.





Figure 6-27 Test Bed Second Hour Cumulative Travel Time-Case 5, 120 sec Cycle

6.5 General Findings and Results

This chapter introduced the structure and basic concept of PRO-TRACTS. The unique aspect of PRO-TRACTS is its use of occupancy and count profiles to estimate the offset performance. Difference between the occupancy and count profiles skewness was calculated and compared to pre-determined thresholds to decide whether the algorithm is to be activated. Once activated, PRO-TRACTS uses a greedy approach to determine the direction of offset movement. This approach was evaluated with a set of incorrect offsets at several nodes and early return to green at one node. PRO-TRACTS was able to significantly reduce total travel time in the coordinated direction by up to 34.5% (Figure 6-22). The algorithm significantly decreased the total delay and the number of stops for all approaches by 29.0 and 27.6 percent respectively (Figure 6-25).
CHAPTER 7- THE F' MODEL AND DISCRIMINANT ANALYSIS

As previously discussed in Chapters 5 and 6, a bad offset at the downstream intersection results in a strong backward shockwave that affects the relationship between the shapes of the occupancy and count profiles. Chapter 6 discussed the skewness model, which calculates the difference in occupancy and count profiles skewness to capture that information and use it in real-time to evaluate the offset performance. This chapter will discuss the limitations of the skewness model and will introduce the more general F' model. The two models are compared under different traffic network conditions using the analysis of variance, and a discriminant analysis is conducted to develop some thresholds to which F' values, calculated from the occupancy and count profiles, can be compared to estimate the offset performance.

7.1 Limitation of the Skewness Model

The skewness model proved very useful and effective when applied to the test bed network discussed in Chapter 6. However, only minor savings in travel time were observed when applied to networks with high turning traffic [Tompos, 2000]. In addition, several conditions were observed to have negative effects on the performance of the skewness model.

7.1.1 False Alarms with the Skewness Model

One limitation of the skewness model observed was a tendency to be unstable with low traffic volumes. Figure 7-1 illustrates a case where a good offset is associated with a high differential skewness value, which occurred because of the sensitivity of the skewness to the shape of short platoons.



a) Count Profile, Skewness = -0.11



b) Occupancy Profile, Skewness= -0.33

Figure 7-1 False Alarm With Low Traffic Volume

7.1.2 Singularity Cases

It was also observed that the differential skewness function was singular because of the singularity of the occupancy skewness function. Figure 7-2 and Figure 7-3 illustrate the singularity in the occupancy skewness function and show the occupancy and count profiles averaged over the last five cycles. A case where the last vehicle in the platoon queues over the advance detector during two of the five cycles is shown in Figure 7-2, causing an average skewness value of '2' between times 65 and 95 in the cycle. Figure 7-3 shows another sample where the last vehicle in the platoon does not queue over the detector. Note that altough the two cases occurred with the same offset case, the first case has a much larger occupancy skewness value. The skewness function is threfore singular at the point where the shockwave extends back just to touch the advance detector's location. This critical point in the system occurs when average traffic volume is at the level where some cycle platoons queue over the advance detectors and some other cycle platoons do not. This situation causes instability in the algorithm activation mechanism.



a) Count Profile, Skewness=0.40



b) Occupancy Profile, Skewness = 4.69 (Differential Skewness=4.29)

Figure 7-2 Singularity of Differential Skewness- Case 1



a) Count Profile, Skewness=0.32



b) Occupancy Profile, Skewness= 1.11 (Differential skewness=0.79)
 Figure 7-3 Singularity of Differential Skewness- Case 2

7.1.3 Effects of Turning Traffic from Side Streets

Although calculating the skewness of the occupancy profile around the count profile's mean, discussed in Section 6.3.3, tends to reduce the effect of turning traffic from side street, the method is not robust when the traffic volume from a side street is a

large percentage of the total traffic volume. Turning traffic has a direct impact on the shape of the occupancy and count profiles, and the performance of PRO-TRACTS was observed to degrade when the percentage of turning traffic increases [Tompos, 2000].

7.2 Factors Affecting Offset Performance

7.2.1 Link Length

Link length has an effect on the amount of platoon dispersion, making it impossible to fit the whole platoon in the green window without introducing some delay at the signal. When platoons are dispersed to the extent that they do not fit within the downstream green window, it may be optimum to design the upstream signal timing such that the platoon is condensed before being allowed to pass through the signal.

For example, Figure 7-4-a shows a dispersed platoon released from intersection 1. The dispersed portion at the end of the platoon cannot pass through the downstream green window and it has to wait for the next cycle to pass through. This not only causes delay to that part of the platoon, but it also causes delay to the leading portion of the next cycle's platoon that has to wait for the waiting queue before it can progress. This case could be a result of an early-return-to-green situation at the signal upstream of intersection 1. The early-return causes the green window to be wider than expected, which in turn results in an end-dispersed platoon because of the random arrivals at the end of the green period at the upstream signal. Figure 7-4-b, on the other hand, shows a condensed platoon released from intersection 1 that can progress well through the arterial system.



a) A Dispersed Platoon at intersection 1



Figure 7-4 Effect of Platoon Dispersion on Progression

Condensing the platoon can be achieved by applying a positive delta shift to the ideal offset value at the upstream signal as shown in Figure 7-5. This will cause the vehicles in the leading edge of the platoon to slow down, causing a platoon condensation such that the platoon can pass through the arterial system. There is of course a trade-off between the amount of delay induced by this procedure on the leading platoon vehicles and the amount of delay saved from the lagging platoon vehicles. The net benefit of this procedure depends on both the degree of platoon dispersion and g/C ratio at the upstream signal.



Figure 7-5 Tuning Offsets for Purpose of Reducing Platoon Dispersion

Testing the effect of the link length factor not only tests for the obvious effect of link length on dispersion, but it also tests platoon dispersion effect on PRO-TRACTS and examines whether there is a need to change its activation parameters to accommodate platoon dispersion.

7.2.2 Cycle Length/Green Split

The optimum cycle length in a traffic signal is the minimum cycle length that provides enough green to accommodate all the phases. Larger cycle lengths introduce extra delay to the red interval phases and smaller cycle lengths do not allow full dissipation of queues. Given that the Cycle Length/ Green split were designed efficiently, larger cycle lengths can cause larger queues and hence will interact with the upstream detector location's effect. The green split has to be large enough to offer enough capacity at the signal. It can either be just large enough to dissipate the queues or even larger to accommodate the whole dispersed platoon. The selection of the cycle length depends upon the network optimization criteria (minimizing the total delay versus minimizing the total number of stops). The red interval can affect the strength of the shockwave traveling upstream of the signal. Cycle Length/Green Split can have an effect similar to moving the detector closer to the signal.

7.2.3 Traffic Volumes

Larger traffic volumes require larger green splits and can cause stronger shockwaves when facing the red interval because of a bad offset. Larger traffic volumes can also construct rich profiles that are more robust for estimating offset performance.

7.2.4 Detector Location

Detector location significantly affects the activation mechanism in PRO-TRACTS. If the detector was very far upstream, PRO-TRACTS will not capture the existence of the shockwave caused by the downstream bad offset. On the other hand, if the detector was very close to the signal, PRO-TRACTS will be affected by the weaker shockwave caused by the turning traffic, which is illustrated in Figure 7-6. Note that detector 1 in Figure 7-6-a and Figure 7-6-b captures the existence of the strong shockwave generated by the bad offset, as well as the weaker shockwave generated by the turning traffic from side street, respectively. Detector 3, on the other hand, cannot capture any information since both shockwaves terminate before reaching its position. As the figure suggests, the ideal detector location, e.g. detector 2, lies between the point where the weak shockwave terminates and the point where the strong shockwave terminates.





7.2.5 Turning Traffic from Side Street

Turning traffic from a side street can have a significant effect on both the optimum detector location and the algorithm performance. In fact, the turning traffic percentage can affect all coordination schemes. If the turning traffic volume approaches the volume of the primary traffic, little or no coordination benefits can be achieved from signal coordination. The effect of the turning traffic on the PRO-TRACTS detector location is based on the strength of the shockwave caused by the turning traffic, which will always face the red interval unless an abundant amount of green is available, relative to the shockwave caused by the arterial platoon if it faces a red interval. Generally speaking, PRO-TRACTS will perform best if the detector is placed such that it only captures the shockwave caused by the arterial's platoon.

7.3 Design of Experiment and Statistical Testing

7.3.1 Design of Experiment

In order to test the effect of all of the previous factors on the offset performance, 972 simulation files were prepared. To test the effect of cycle length, three levels of the cycle's length were evaluated: 90, 120, and 150-seconds cycle length (typical cycle ranges). For each cycle length, three green splits were tested: 1/3, 1/2, and 2/3. For each of these combinations, three levels of traffic volumes were tested: high (1350* (g/c) veh/hr/lane), medium (900 * (g/c) veh/hr/lane), and low (450* (g/c) veh/hr/lane). These three traffic volume levels correspond to 82%, 55%, and 27% of the arterial's capacity, respectively. Turning percent volume of 0, 0.25, and 0.5 for each combination of the above, were tested. The whole set was repeated at link length of 1,000 feet and 3,000 feet, and each simulation file had five detectors at 150, 200, 250, 300, and 350 feet upstream. The network used for conducting the experiment is shown in Figure 7-7.





Figure 7-7 Experiment Network

Synchro was used to calculate the optimum offset for each combination of factors. Once the optimum offset was calculated, six different cases were prepared for each simulation file by incrementing the offset value by (Cycle Length/6). Table 7-1 through Table 7-6 list the offset values for each case for the 3,000 and 1,000 feet link lengths.

Traffic	Volume (ve	eh/hr)	g/c	Of	fset Case	s (Case 1	is Synch	ro Offset	.)
Thru	Turning	Total		1	2	3	4	5	6
1800	0	1800	0.67	51	66	81	6	21	36
1350	450	1800		29	44	59	74	89	14
900	900	1800		12	27	42	57	72	87
1350	0	1350	0.50	51	66	81	6	21	36
1013	338	1351		41	56	71	86	11	26
675	675	1350		27	42	57	72	87	12
900	0	900	0.33	51	66	81	6	21	36
675	225	900		56	71	86	11	26	41
450	450	900		39	54	69	84	9	24
1200	0	1200	0.67	51	66	81	6	21	36
900	300	1200		45	60	75	0	15	30
600	600	1200		6	21	36	51	66	81
900	0	900	0.50	51	66	81	6	21	36
675	225	900		47	62	77	2	17	32
450	450	900		42	57	72	87	12	27
600	0	600	0.33	51	66	81	6	21	36
450	150	600		48	63	78	3	18	33
300	300	600		44	59	74	89	14	29
600	0	600	0.67	51	66	81	6	21	36
450	150	600		51	66	81	6	21	36
300	300	600		51	66	81	6	21	36
450	0	450	0.50	51	66	81	6	21	36
338	113	451		50	65	80	5	20	35
225	225	450		51	66	81	6	21	36
300	0	300	0.33	51	66	81	6	21	36
225	75	300		51	66	81	6	21	36
150	150	300		51	66	81	6	21	36

Table 7-1 Offset Values for 3000 Feet Link- 90 Seconds Cycle

Traffic	Volume (ve	eh/hr)	g/c	Of	fset Case	s (Case 1	is Synch	ro Offset	;)
Thru	Turning	Total		1	2	3	4	5	6
1800	0	1800	0.67	51	71	91	111	11	31
1350	450	1800		18	38	58	78	98	118
900	900	1800		117	17	37	57	77	97
1350	0	1350	0.50	51	71	91	111	11	31
1013	338	1351		38	58	78	98	118	18
675	675	1350		17	37	57	77	97	117
900	0	900	0.33	51	71	91	111	11	31
675	225	900		57	77	97	117	17	37
450	450	900		62	82	102	2	22	42
1200	0	1200	0.67	51	71	91	111	11	31
900	300	1200		44	64	84	104	4	24
600	600	1200		26	46	66	86	106	6
900	0	900	0.50	51	71	91	111	11	31
675	225	900		45	65	85	105	5	25
450	450	900		39	59	79	99	119	19
600	0	600	0.33	51	71	91	111	11	31
450	150	600		47	67	87	107	7	27
300	300	600		51	71	91	111	11	31
600	0	600	0.67	51	71	91	111	11	31
450	150	600		51	71	91	111	11	31
300	300	600		51	71	91	111	11	31
450	0	450	0.50	51	71	91	111	11	31
338	113	451		51	71	91	111	11	31
225	225	450		51	71	91	111	11	31
300	0	300	0.33	51	71	91	111	11	31
225	75	300		51	71	91	111	11	31
150	150	300		51	71	91	111	11	31

Table 7-2 Offset Values for 3000 Feet Link- 120 Seconds Cycle

Traffic	Volume (ve	eh/hr)	g/c	Of	fset Case	s (Case 1	is Synch	ro Offset	;)
Thru	Turning	Total		1	2	3	4	5	6
1800	0	1800	0.67	51	76	101	126	1	26
1350	450	1800		7	32	57	82	107	132
900	900	1800		132	7	32	57	82	107
1350	0	1350	0.50	51	76	101	126	1	26
1013	338	1350		34	59	84	109	134	9
675	675	1350		7	32	57	82	107	132
900	0	900	0.33	51	76	101	126	1	26
675	225	900		58	83	108	133	8	33
450	450	900		64	89	114	139	14	39
1200	0	1200	0.67	51	76	101	126	1	26
900	300	1200		42	67	92	117	142	17
600	600	1200		32	57	82	107	132	7
900	0	900	0.50	51	76	101	126	1	26
675	225	900		44	69	94	119	144	19
450	450	900		36	61	86	111	136	11
600	0	600	0.33	51	76	101	126	1	26
450	150	600		46	71	96	121	146	21
300	300	600		51	76	101	126	1	26
600	0	600	0.67	51	76	101	126	1	26
450	150	600		51	76	101	126	1	26
300	300	600		51	76	101	126	1	26
450	0	450	0.50	51	76	101	126	1	26
338	113	451		51	76	101	126	1	26
225	225	450		51	76	101	126	1	26
300	0	300	0.33	51	76	101	126	1	26
225	75	300		51	76	101	126	1	26
150	150	300		51	76	101	126	1	26

Table 7-3 Offset Values for 3000 Feet Link- 150 Seconds Cycle

Traffic	Volume (ve	eh/hr)	g/c	Of	fset Case	s (Case 1	is Synch	ro Offset	;)
Thru	Turning	Total		1	2	3	4	5	6
1800	0	1800	0.67	17	32	47	62	77	2
1350	450	1800		26	41	56	71	86	11
900	900	1800		36	51	66	81	6	21
1350	0	1350	0.50	17	32	47	62	77	2
1013	338	1351		6	21	36	51	66	81
675	675	1350		83	8	23	38	53	68
900	0	900	0.33	17	32	47	62	77	2
675	225	900		22	37	52	67	82	7
450	450	900		5	20	35	50	65	80
1200	0	1200	0.67	17	32	47	62	77	2
900	300	1200		11	26	41	56	71	86
600	600	1200		62	77	2	17	32	47
900	0	900	0.50	17	32	47	62	77	2
675	225	900		13	28	43	58	73	88
450	450	900		8	23	38	53	68	83
600	0	600	0.33	17	32	47	62	77	2
450	150	600		14	29	44	59	74	89
300	300	600		10	25	40	55	70	85
600	0	600	0.67	17	32	47	62	77	2
450	150	600		17	32	47	62	77	2
300	300	600		17	32	47	62	77	2
450	0	450	0.50	17	32	47	62	77	2
338	113	451		15	30	45	60	75	0
225	225	450		17	32	47	62	77	2
300	0	300	0.33	17	32	47	62	77	2
225	75	300		17	32	47	62	77	2
150	150	300		17	32	47	62	77	2

Table 7-4 Offset Values for 1000 feet Link-90 Seconds Cycle

Traffic	Volume (ve	eh/hr)	g/c	Of	fset Case	s (Case 1	is Synch	ro Offset	;)
Thru	Turning	Total		1	2	3	4	5	6
1800	0	1800	0.67	17	37	57	77	97	117
1350	450	1800		103	3	23	43	63	83
900	900	1800		83	103	3	23	43	63
1350	0	1350	0.50	17	37	57	77	97	117
1013	338	1351		0	20	40	60	80	100
675	675	1350		103	3	23	43	63	83
900	0	900	0.33	17	37	57	77	97	117
675	225	900		23	43	63	83	103	3
450	450	900		28	48	68	88	108	8
1200	0	1200	0.67	17	37	57	77	97	117
900	300	1200		9	29	49	69	89	109
600	600	1200		112	12	32	52	72	92
900	0	900	0.50	17	37	57	77	97	117
675	225	900		11	31	51	71	91	111
450	450	900		5	25	45	65	85	105
600	0	600	0.33	17	37	57	77	97	117
450	150	600		13	33	53	73	93	113
300	300	600		17	37	57	77	97	117
600	0	600	0.67	17	37	57	77	97	117
450	150	600		17	37	57	77	97	117
300	300	600		17	37	57	77	97	117
450	0	450	0.50	17	37	57	77	97	117
338	113	451		17	37	57	77	97	117
225	225	450		17	37	57	77	97	117
300	0	300	0.33	17	37	57	77	97	117
225	75	300		17	37	57	77	97	117
150	150	300		17	37	57	77	97	117

Table 7-5 Offset Values for 1000 Feet Link-120 Seconds Cycle

Traffic	Volume (ve	eh/hr)	g/c	Of	fset Case	s (Case 1	is Synch	ro Offset	;)
Thru	Turning	Total		1	2	3	4	5	6
1800	0	1800	0.67	17	42	67	92	117	142
1350	450	1800		123	148	23	48	73	98
900	900	1800		98	123	148	23	48	73
1350	0	1350	0.50	17	42	67	92	117	142
1013	338	1350		145	20	45	70	95	120
675	675	1350		123	148	23	48	73	98
900	0	900	0.33	17	42	67	92	117	142
675	225	900		24	49	74	99	124	149
450	450	900		30	55	80	105	130	5
1200	0	1200	0.67	17	42	67	92	117	142
900	300	1200		8	33	58	83	108	133
600	600	1200		148	23	48	73	98	123
900	0	900	0.50	17	42	67	92	117	142
675	225	900		10	35	60	85	110	135
450	450	900		2	27	52	77	102	127
600	0	600	0.33	17	42	67	92	117	142
450	150	600		11	36	61	86	111	136
300	300	600		17	42	67	92	117	142
600	0	600	0.67	17	42	67	92	117	142
450	150	600		17	42	67	92	117	142
300	300	600		17	42	67	92	117	142
450	0	450	0.50	17	42	67	92	117	142
338	113	451		17	42	67	92	117	142
225	225	450		17	42	67	92	117	142
300	0	300	0.33	17	42	67	92	117	142
225	75	300		17	42	67	92	117	142
150	150	300		17	42	67	92	117	142

Table 7-6 Offset Values for 1000 Feet Link-150 Seconds Cycle

7.3.2 Statistical Testing

Analysis of variance was conducted to test for the significance of the factors discussed above on the skewness model. In order to properly test for significance, it was necessary to define to estimate the expected Mean Squares (EMS) tables. The experiment conditions are discussed below.

7.3.2.1 Blocking

Blocking design is used when the experiment is not completely randomized, i.e., when only a limited number of observations can be taken per day. However, it only applies when the blocked factor might introduce other confounding factors not accounted for in the experiment. In that case, the blocked factor is used as a block to eliminate the effect of the other factors. Although this experiment was conducted over several days, it was obvious that no blocking was needed since the computer simulation would produce the same results regardless of the sequence by which each individual file was run.

7.3.2.2 Nested Factors

Nested models in factorial design are generally used when for two levels A and B, different levels of factor B are not the same under different levels of factor A. In such a case, factor B is said to be nested within factor A. The traffic volume factor in the experiment has three different levels: high, medium, and low. Each level has a different value under a different level of the green split. Recall that the volume is determined from the equation v=x*g/C, where x= 1350 for the high level, x= 900 for the medium level,

and x=450 for the low level respectively. Therefore, the volume factor is nested within the green split factor. Similarly the split factor is also nested within the cycle length. This is due to the difference in the effect of a 0.5 split in a 90-seconds cycle length from that of a 0.5 split in a 150-second cycle length. The difference in the effect is due to the different duration of red interval in the two cases that can cause different shockwave strengths.

7.3.2.3 Split-Plot Factorial Design

The split plot design is another case of incomplete randomization in the experiment design. This type of design is typically recognized when different treatments are applied to the same subject without changing the other experiment factors when applying the different treatments. Typically, the within subject variation is much less than the between subject variation since the between subject variation might include some confounding factors that are not accounted for, where the within subject part is very controlled. Testing a factor in the plot part will generally give more accurate results.

Both the offset and detector location factors were tested in the within plot part of the split plot design. Although the computer simulator generates traffic randomly, the same traffic pattern is generated again when the computer simulation is rerun (for a given number seed). Randomness in the simulation run can be observed in the cycle length (due to uncalled actuated phases) or in the green split (due to early-return-to-green). Traffic pattern and behavior also change from cycle to cycle because every time a random number seed is used, another random number seed is generated. However, when the simulation was rerun, the same random number seeds sequence was reused and the same set of factor levels are repeated exactly, except for the offset factor. Since detector actuations at different detectors were collected for the same sample, the detector location factor was also tested in the within plot part of the experiment.

7.3.3 Expected Mean Squares and Testing for Significance

In order to test for the effect of the previous factors, a statistical model with the above factors and their interactions were used. The complete model is attached in Appendix C. The expected mean Squares were derived in Table 7-7. Note that an additional factor, rep, was added to the model to represent the replicate of each run. The addition of this factor allows for the split plot testing of factorial effects. By comparing the EMS in Table 7-7, it is apparent that the length, cycle, split, volume, and turn factors and their interactions should be tested by the replicate residuals. while the offset and detector location factors, and their interactions with the above five factors, should be tested by their interactions of the offset and detector location factors and their higher level interactions are to be tested by the three-way interaction of offset, detector location, and the replicate factor.

			2	3	3	3	3	5	5	6	1		
			F	F	F	F	F	R	F	F	R		
Num	Source	DF	I	J	к	L	m	r	N	ο	е	EMS	F Test by Term #:
1	Li	1	0	3	3	3	3	5	5	6	1	3750 φL+ 30 σ ² R+σ ²	20
2	C _j	2	2	0	3	3	3	5	5	6	1	8100 φC+ 30 σ ² R+σ ²	20
3	S _{(j)k}	2	2	1	0	3	3	5	5	6	1	2700 φS+ 30 σ ² R+σ ²	20
4	V _{(k)I}	6	2	3	1	0	3	5	5	6	1	2700 φV + 30 σ R+σ ²	20
5	T _m	2	2	3	3	3	0	5	5	6	1	8100 φT + 30 σ ² R+σ ²	20
6	LC _{ij}	2	0	0	3	3	3	5	5	6	1	4050 φLC+ 30 σ ² R+σ ²	20
7	LS _{i(j)k}	2	0	1	0	3	3	5	5	6	1	1350 ϕ LS+ 30 σ^2 R+ σ^2	20
8	LV _{i(k)I}	6	0	3	1	0	3	5	5	6	1	1350 φLV + 30 σ ² R+σ ²	20
9	LT _{im}	2	0	3	3	3	0	5	5	6	1	4050 ϕ LT + 30 σ^2 R+ σ^2	20
10	CV _{j(k)I}	12	2	0	1	0	3	5	5	6	1	900 ϕ CV + 30 σ^2 R+ σ^2	20
11	CT _{jm}	4	2	0	3	3	0	5	5	6	1	$2700 \text{\phi}\text{CT} + 30 \sigma^2\text{R} + \sigma^2$	20

Table 7-7 Expected Mean Square Derivation for Nested Split-Plot Design (Table 1 of 6)

12	ST _{(j)km}	4	2	1	0	3	0	5	5	6	1	900 ϕ ST + 30 σ^2 R+ σ^2	20
13	VT _{(k)Im}	12	2	3	1	0	0	5	5	6	1	900 ϕ VT + 30 σ^2 R+ σ^2	20
14	LCV _{ij(k)I}	12	0	0	1	0	3	5	5	6	1	450 ϕ LCV + 30 σ^2 R+ σ^2	20
15	LCT _{ijm}	4	0	0	3	3	0	5	5	6	1	1350 φLCT + 30 $σ^2$ R+ $σ^2$	20
16	LST _{i(j)km}	4	0	1	0	3	0	5	5	6	1	450 φLST + 30 $σ^2$ R+ $σ^2$	20
17	LVT _{i(k)lm}	12	0	3	1	0	0	5	5	6	1	1450 φLVT + 30 σ ² R+σ ²	20
18	CVT _{j(k)Im}	8	2	0	1	0	0	5	5	6	1	$300 \phi \text{CST} + 30 \sigma^2 \text{R} + \sigma^2$	20
19	LCVT _{ij(k)Im}	8	0	0	1	0	0	5	5	6	1	150 φLCV + 30 σ ² R+σ ²	20
20	R _{(ijklm)r}	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	5	6	1	$30 \sigma^2 R + \sigma^2$	
21	Oo	5	2	3	3	3	3	5	5	0	1	4050 φO+ 5 σ ² OR+σ ²	41
22	OL _{io}	5	0	3	3	3	3	5	5	0	1	2025 φOL+ 5 σ ² OR+σ ²	41
23	OC _{jo}	10	2	0	3	3	3	5	5	0	1	1350 φOC+ 5 σ ² OR+σ ²	41
24	OS _{(j)ko}	10	2	1	0	3	3	5	5	0	1	450 φOS+ 5 σ ² OR+σ ²	41
25	OV _{(k)lo}	30	2	3	1	0	3	5	5	0	1	450 ϕ OV + 5 σ ² OR+σ ²	41
26	OT _{mo}	10	2	3	3	3	0	5	5	0	1	1350 φOT+ 5 σ ² OR+σ ²	41

Table 7-8 Expected Mean Square Derivation for Nested Split-Plot Design (Table 2 of 6)

												2 2	
27	OLC _{ijo}	10	0	0	3	3	3	5	5	0	1	675 φOLC+ 5 σ ² OR+σ ²	41
28	OLS _{i(j)ko}	10	0	1	0	3	3	5	5	0	1	225 ϕ OLS+ 5 σ^2 OR+ σ^2	41
29	OLV _{i(k)lo}	30	0	3	1	0	3	5	5	0	1	225 φOLV + 5 σ ² OR+σ ²	41
30	OLT _{imo}	10	0	3	3	3	0	5	5	0	1	675 φOLT + 5 σ ² OR+σ ²	41
31	OCV _{j(k)lo}	60	2	0	1	0	3	5	5	0	1	150 ϕ OCV + 5 σ ² OR+ σ ²	41
32	OCT _{jmo}	20	2	0	3	3	0	5	5	0	1	450 ϕ OCT + 5 σ ² OR+σ ²	41
33	OST _{(j)kmo}	20	2	1	0	3	0	5	5	0	1	150 ϕ OST + 5 σ ² OR+ σ ²	41
34	OVT _{(k)Imo}	60	2	3	1	0	0	5	5	0	1	150 φOVT + 5 σ ² OR+σ ²	41
35	OLCV _{ij(k)lo}	60	0	0	1	0	3	5	5	0	1	75 ϕ OLCV + 5 σ ² OR+ σ ²	41
36	OLCT _{ijmo}	20	0	0	3	3	0	5	5	0	1	225	41
37	OLST _{i(j)kmo}	20	0	1	0	3	0	5	5	0	1	75 ϕ OLS + 5 σ ² OR+σ ²	41
38	OLVT _{i(k)Imo}	60	0	3	1	0	0	5	5	0	1	75 ϕ OLVT + 5 σ ² OR+ σ ²	41
39	OCVT _{j(k)Imo}	40	2	0	1	0	0	5	5	0	1	50 ϕ OCVT+ 5 σ ² OR+σ ²	41
40	OLCVT _{ij(k)Imo}	40	0	0	1	0	0	5	5	0	1	25 ϕ OLCVT+ 5 σ ² OR+σ ²	41
41	OR _{(ijklm)ro}	25	1	1	1	1	1	1	5	0	1	$5 \sigma^2 OR + \sigma^2$	

Table 7-9 Expected Mean Square Derivation for Nested Split-Plot Design (Table 3 of 6)

42	D _n	4	2	3	3	3	3	5	0	6	1	4860 φD+ 6 σ ² DR+σ ²	62
43	LD _{in}	4	0	З	З	3	3	5	0	6	1	2430 ϕ LD+ 6 σ^2 DR+ σ^2	62
44	CD _{jn}	8	2	0	3	3	3	5	0	6	1	1620 φCD + 6 σ ² DR+σ ²	62
45	SD _{(j)kn}	8	2	1	0	3	3	5	0	6	1	540 ϕ SD+ 6 σ ² DR+ σ ²	62
46	VD _{(k)In}	24	2	3	1	0	3	5	0	6	1	540 ϕ VD + 6 σ^2 DR+ σ^2	62
47	TD _{mn}	8	2	3	3	3	0	5	0	6	1	1620 ϕ TD + 6 σ ² DR+ σ ²	62
48	LCD _{ijn}	8	0	0	З	3	3	5	0	6	1	810 ϕ LCD + 6 σ^2 DR+ σ^2	62
49	LSD _{i(j)kn}	8	0	1	0	3	3	5	0	6	1	270 ϕ LSD + 6 σ^2 DR+ σ^2	62
50	LVD _{i(k)In}	24	0	З	1	0	3	5	0	6	1	270 ϕ LVD + 6 σ^2 DR+ σ^2	62
51	LTD _{imn}	8	0	3	3	3	0	5	0	6	1	810 ϕ LTD + 6 σ^2 DR+ σ^2	62
52	CVD _{j(k)In}	48	2	0	1	0	3	5	0	6	1	180 ϕ CVD + 6 σ ² DR+ σ ²	62
53	CTD _{jmn}	16	2	0	3	3	0	5	0	6	1	540 ϕ CTD + 6 σ^2 DR+ σ^2	62
54	STD _{(j)kmn}	16	2	1	0	3	0	5	0	6	1	180 ϕ STD + 6 σ^2 DR+ σ^2	62
55	VTD _{(k)Imn}	48	2	3	1	0	0	5	0	6	1	180 ϕ VTD + 6 σ^2 DR+ σ^2	62
56	LCVD _{ij(k)In}	48	0	0	1	0	3	5	0	6	1	90 ϕ LCVD+ 6 σ^2 DR+ σ^2	62

Table 7-10 Expected Mean Square Derivation for Nested Split-Plot Design (Table 4 of 6)

57	LCTD _{ijmn}	16	0	0	3	3	0	5	0	6	1	270 ϕ LCTD+ 6 σ^2 DR+ σ^2	62
58	LSTD _{i(j)kmn}	16	0	1	0	3	0	5	0	6	1	90 φLSTD+ 6 σ^2 DR+ σ^2	62
59	LVTD _{i(k)Imn}	48	0	3	1	0	0	5	0	6	1	90 φLVTD+ 6 σ^2 DR+ σ^2	62
60	CVTD _{j(k)Imn}	32	2	0	1	0	0	5	0	6	1	60 ϕ CVTD+ 6 σ ² DR+ σ ²	62
61	LCVTD _{ij(k)Imn}	32	0	0	1	0	0	5	0	6	1	30 φLCVTD+ 6 σ^2 DR+ σ^2	62
62	DR _{(ijklm)nr}	20	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	6	1	$6 \sigma^2 DR + \sigma^2$	
63	OD _{no}	20	2	3	3	3	3	5	0	0	1	810 ϕ OD+ σ^2 ODR+ σ^2	83
64	LOD _{ino}	20	0	3	3	3	3	5	0	0	1	405 $φ$ LOD+ $σ$ ² ODR+ $σ$ ²	83
65	COD _{jno}	40	2	0	3	3	3	5	0	0	1	270 ϕ COD+ σ^2 ODR+ σ^2	83
66	SOD _{(j)kno}	40	2	1	0	3	3	5	0	0	1	90 ϕ SOD+ σ^2 ODR+ σ^2	83
67	VOD _{(k)Ino}	120	2	3	1	0	3	5	0	0	1	90 ϕ VOD + σ^2 ODR+ σ^2	83
68	TOD _{mno}	40	2	3	3	3	0	5	0	0	1	270 ϕ TOD + σ^2 ODR+ σ^2	83
69	LCOD _{ijno}	40	0	0	3	3	3	5	0	0	1	135 φLCOD+ σ ² ODR+σ ²	83
70	LSOD _{i(j)kno}	40	0	1	0	3	3	5	0	0	1	45 φLSOD+ $σ^2$ ODR+ $σ^2$	83
71	LVOD _{i(k)Ino}	120	0	3	1	0	3	5	0	0	1	45 φLVOD + σ^2 ODR+ σ^2	83

Table 7-11 Expected Mean Square Derivation for Nested Split-Plot Design (Table 5 of 6)

72	LTOD _{imno}	40	0	3	3	3	0	5	0	0	1	135 ϕ LTOD + σ^2 ODR+ σ^2	83
73	CVOD _{j(k)Ino}	240	2	0	1	0	3	5	0	0	1	$30 \phi CVOD + \sigma^2 ODR + \sigma^2$	83
74	CTOD _{jmno}	80	2	0	3	3	0	5	0	0	1	90 ϕ CTOD + σ^2 ODR+ σ^2	83
75	STOD _{(j)kmno}	80	2	1	0	3	0	5	0	0	1	$30 \phi \text{STOD} + \sigma^2 \text{ODR} + \sigma^2$	83
76	VTOD _{(k)Imno}	240	2	3	1	0	0	5	0	0	1	$30 \phi VTOD + \sigma^2 ODR + \sigma^2$	83
77	LCVOD _{ij(k)Ino}	240	0	0	1	0	3	5	0	0	1	15 $φ$ LCVOD + $σ^2$ ODR+ $σ^2$	83
78	LCTOD _{ijmno}	80	0	0	3	3	0	5	0	0	1	45 φLCTOD + σ^2 ODR+ σ^2	83
79	LSTOD _{i(j)kmno}	80	0	1	0	3	0	5	0	0	1	15 ϕ LSTOD + σ ² ODR+σ ²	83
80	LVTOD _{i(k)Imno}	240	0	3	1	0	0	5	0	0	1	15 φLVTOD + σ^2 ODR+ σ^2	83
81	CVTOD _{j(k)Imno}	160	2	0	1	0	0	5	0	0	1	10 ϕ CVTOD + σ^2 ODR+ σ^2	83
82	LCVTOD _{ij(k)Imno}	160	0	0	1	0	0	5	0	0	1	$5 \phi LCVTOD + \sigma^2 ODR + \sigma^2$	83
83	ODR _{(ijklm)nor}	80	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	$\sigma^2 ODR + \sigma^2$	84
84	ɛ(ijklmnor)e	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	σ²	

Table 7-12 Expected Mean Square Derivation for Nested Split-Plot Design (Table 6 of 6)

Table 7-13 shows the F statistic for the skewness model with an F value of 5.77. Most of the interaction terms were found significant at the 0.05 significance level and therefore the cell means were analyzed further. An interesting output to look at is the interaction between the offset and traffic volume and the offset and turn percentage shown in Table 7-14 and Table 7-15 respectively.

Table 7-14 shows that there were only four significant groups: offset class 4 with a high traffic volume; offset class 4 with medium volume and offset class 3 with a high volume; and offset class 3 with medium volume; and the remaining combinations formed a fourth group. The largest differential skewness was obtained with the case of the worst offset class and high volume (strongest shockwave) and was significantly different from all other group. The differential skewness obtained from the second worst offset class and high volume, or the worst offset class and medium volume, were not significantly different from each other (assigned the same letter under the SNK grouping column), but were significantly different from other groups. The second worst offset class and medium volume case was significantly different from all other cases. The results also showed that the rest of the offset-volume combinations were not found to be significantly different from one another, which means that the skewness model will not be able to distinguish between offset class 1, offset class 2, offset class 3 with low volume, or offset class 4 with low volume.

Table 7-15 shows a case where several groups were not found significantly different from the others. The trend in Table 7-15 is that if the turning percentage

increases, the offset classification is insignificantly different with the lower offset classification with less turning percentage.

Table 7-13 Skewness Model's ANOVA

The GLM Procedure

Dependent Variable: diff_skw

Sum of										
Source		DF	Squar	es	Mean S	Square	F Value	Pr > F		
Model		809	5473	3.4	6	.76568	5.77	<.0001		
Error	23	3490	2753	6.1	1	.17225				
Correcte	1299	3300	9.5							
	R-Square	Coeff	Var	Roo	t MSE	diff_sl	w Mean			
	0.165814	583.9	568	1.08	2704	0.18	5408			

Table 7-14 Offset Volume Interactions for the Skewness Model

Means with the same letter are not significantly different.

SNK Grouping	Mean	Ν	off_vol
А	0.83695	1350	41
B B	0.68626	1350	42
B	0.58699	2700	31
С	0.39955	2700	32
D	0.11003	1350	43
D	0.02549	2700	33
DDD	-0.01599	2700	22
D D	-0.02362	1350	12
D D	-0.03007	2700	23
D D	-0.03465	1350	13
D D	-0.05531	2700	21
D D	-0.05896	1350	11

Table 7-15 Offset Turn Interaction for the Skewness Model

Means with the same letter are not significantly different.

SNK Grouping	Mean		Ν	off_turn
А		0.83415	1350	41
B		0.53374	1350	42
B		0.51314	2700	31
C		0.36356	2700	32
C		0.26536	1350	43
D		0.13533	2700	33
E D E D		0.09503	1350	11
E D E D E	F	0.03378	2700	21
E G G	F F	-0.03167	2700	23
G	F	-0.07253	1350	12
G	F	-0.10348	2700	22
G		-0.13973	1350	13

7.4 <u>The F' Model</u>

The F' Model is named after the F statistics testing approach in ANOVA. The philosophy behind this approach is to test for the effect of the shockwave created by the red light facing the platoon at the downstream signal using the detector actuation. The F test in ANOVA compares the F value obtained by dividing the Mean Square Error (MSE) of the model by the MSE of the error term to test whether the resulting value follows the F distribution. If the resulting value exceeds the F value predicted by the F distribution,

this is interpreted as a significant existence of the tested factor variance in the model variance (versus the effect of the error term variance alone). Through the use of count and occupancy profile, one can calculate the MSE of each as an estimate of their variance. Equation (7-1) shows how the occupancy relates to vehicle speed and length.

$$E[occ] = \frac{1}{I} E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{L_i^{\nu} + L^d}{S_i^{\nu}}\right]$$
(7-1)

where:

I: interval length over which occupancy is calculated (bin width)

- L_i^v : length of vehicle I]
- L^d : length of detector
- S_i^{ν} : speed of vehicle I

Let's define a variable x_i such that:

$$x_{i} = \frac{L_{i}^{v} + L^{d}}{I * S_{i}^{v}}$$
(7-2)

The occupancy equation can be written as:

$$E[occ] = \operatorname{var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i\right)$$
(7-3)

And since:

$$\operatorname{var}(occ) = \operatorname{var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i\right) = \operatorname{var}(N)E[x^2] + (E[N])^2 \operatorname{var}(x)$$
(7-4)

(summation of variance of random number of random variables) then the F' value defined as the var (occ)/var(count) can be calculated as:

$$F'Value = \frac{\operatorname{var}(occ)}{\operatorname{var}(count)} = \frac{\operatorname{var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} x_{i}\right)}{\operatorname{var}(N)}$$
(7-5)

or alternatively:

$$F'Value = E[x^{2}] + \frac{(E[N])^{2}}{\operatorname{var}(N)}\operatorname{var}(x)$$
(7-6)

Since:

$$x_i = \frac{L_i^v + L^d}{I * S_i^v}$$

Assuming a small variation in vehicle length compared to vehicle speed, as well as no change in the variance of vehicle length in relation to the offset.

$$x_i = \frac{L}{S_i^{\nu}}$$

where L is a constant

substituting in Equation (7-6)

$$F'Value = L^{2}E[\frac{1}{S_{i}^{\nu^{2}}}] + \frac{(E[N])^{2}}{\operatorname{var}(N)}L^{2}\operatorname{var}(\frac{1}{S_{i}^{\nu}})$$

or alternatively

$$F'Value = L^{2} \left[E[\frac{1}{S_{i}^{\nu 2}}] + \frac{(E[N])^{2}}{\operatorname{var}(N)} \operatorname{var}(\frac{1}{S_{i}^{\nu}}) \right]$$
(7-7)

Equation (7-7) suggests that the F' value increases as the variance of the reciprocal of the speed increases, which can be used to test whether there is an effect of the shockwave in the F' value. Figure 7-8 illustrates the effect of offset on speed variance. Note that when the offset is well designed, vehicle platoons continue through the signal without interruption. When the offset is not performing well, some vehicles experience a delay or even come to a complete stop. This tends to increase the variation of speed in the platoon, a phenomenon that can be used to identify a bad offset.



b) High Speed Variance Due to a Bad offset

Figure 7-8 Effect of offset on Speed variance

7.4.1 ANOVA Results of the F' Model

An ANOVA was conducted for the F' Model to test for its sensitivity for different parameters and the ANOVA output is attached in Appendix C. Table 7-16 shows the F'
model ANOVA output. The Table shows an F value higher than that of the Skewness model (7.03), which means that the F' model has higher significance than the skewness model. As can be seen in the output, most of the interaction terms were found to be significant with P values less than 0.05. Table 7-17 shows an interesting output, the interaction between the offset class and the turn percentage. The table shows that most of the offset classes were grouped together regardless of the turn percentage (assigned the same letter under the SNK grouping column). Note that the significant effect of turning percentage was a major drawback in the Skewness model. This result show a promising advantage of the F' model.

Table 7-16 F' Model's ANOVA The GLM Procedure

Dependent Variable: fvalue

Source	DF	Squares	Mean S	quare	F Valu	e Pr > F
Model	809	1103.9	1.364	508	7.03	<.0001
Error	23490	4556.5	0.193	978		
Corrected Total	24299	5660.4				
R-Squ	are Coef	f Var R	oot MSE	fvalue	e Mean	
0.1950	18 105.	0454 0.	440429	0.419	9275	

Means with the same letter are not significantly different.

SNK Grouping	Mean	Ν	off_turn
A A	0.62917	1350	41
A A	0.61900	1350	42
B A B	0.57820	1350	43
B C B C	0.53232	2700	31
B C C	0.52248	2700	32
C	0.50607	2700	33
D	0.36017	2700	23
E D E D	0.31353	1350	13
E D E D E	0.31097	2700	22
E E	0.28576	2700	21
F	0.20180	1350	12
F F	0.16971	1350	11

7.5 Discriminant Analysis

Discriminant analysis was used to develop threshold values by which offsets can be classified into four different groups, namely, level 1 for a good offset, level 2 for 1/6 of a cycle deviation from the good offset, level 3 for 2/6 deviation from the good offset, and level 4 which is ½ a cycle away from the good offset. PRO-TRACTS compares the F' values calculated from the occupancy and count profiles to these thresholds to determine the class of the current cycles's offset. This information is used in determining the magnitude of offset shift needed to fine tune the offset.

7.5.1 Overview of Discriminant Analysis

Classificatory discriminant analysis is used to classify observations into known groups on the basis of one or more quantitative variables. The method used can be either parametric, for approximately normal within-class distribution, or non-parametric for a non-normal within class distribution. Discriminant analysis also provides error rates (probability of misclassification) that can be used as a measure of performance.

Unlike cluster analysis, discriminant analysis requires prior knowledge of the observations' classes. Discriminant analysis makes use of the posterior probabilities of observations to determine the groups to which the observations belong, using maximum likelihood rules.

7.5.2 Offset Warrant Thresholds

Discriminant analysis was used to develop thresholds to identify offset classes using the data from the previous experiment. The SAS Discrim procedure was used to develop the thresholds that divide different groups of offset classes. The SAS code and sample results are attached in Appendix C. Figure 7-9 shows the linear discriminant functions for different classes of offset. For any observation value of F', the discriminant function with the highest value determines the class to which the observation belongs. The point at which any two lines intersect identifies a threshold value for a higher offset class. For example, Figure 7-9 shows that the offset class 1 has the highest value of discriminant function until the point where offset class 1 line intersects with offset class 6 line. This point defines a threshold beyond which observations are classified into offset class 6. The threshold can be calculated by solving for the intersection point of the two offset class line equations shown in Table 7-18. By solving for X in the equation:

-1.958 + 1.794 X = -2.373 + 3.355 X, the threshold between class 1 and class 6 was found to be 0.26. Note that both offset class 2 and offset class 6 denote a 1/6 deviation from the good offset, only in a different direction.

By solving the line equations for the intersection points, the three thresholds (rounded) were found to be 0.25, 0.75, and 1.0 to identify a deviation of 1/6, 2/6, and 1/2 of the cycle length from the good offset, respectively.

rube / 10 Enter Diserminant Function Equations											
Offset Class	1	2	3	4	5	6					
Constant	-1.958	-4.415	-6.629	-6.411	-4.998	-2.373					
Variable Coeff	1.794	7.127	9.677	9.457	7.879	3.355					

Table 7-18 Linear Discriminant Function Equations



Figure 7-9 Linear Discriminant Functions for Different Offset Classes

7.6 Chapter's Conclusion

This chapter introduced the F' model, which captures the shockwave effect by calculating a value related to the speed variance from the occupancy and count profiles. The effects of factors such as traffic volume and the percentage of turning traffic on the performance of offsets were also discussed. Analysis of variance was conducted for both the skewness and the F' models, under different conditions, with the conclusion that the F' model was found to be less sensitive to turning traffic percentage than the skewness model. The skewness model was found to be insensitive to offset changes with low traffic volume, or if the change was relatively small, with no significant difference found

between class 1 and class 2. Discriminant analysis was used to develop thresholds to which the F' values could be compared, to estimate the performance of the current cycle's offset. These values will be used in the following chapters to activate PRO-TRACTS and to determine the amount of change that needs to be applied to the current offset.

CHAPTER 8- CASE STUDY: SR 26, LAFAYETTE, INDIANA

This chapter discusses the results of the application of PRO-TRACTS to SR26 in Lafayette, Indiana during the a.m. and p.m. peak periods. The studied network consists of seven intersections between Earl Avenue and Creasy Lane, as shown in Figure 8-1. The intersection layout, ring structure, timings, detector configuration, phase parameters, traffic volumes, and turning percentages are listed in Appendix A. Transitioning in offset and cycle length are also discussed at the end of each section.



Figure 8-1 SR 26 (South) Network - Earl Avenue to Creasy Lane

8.1 <u>Phase Timings</u>

Phase timings were designed with Synchro for both the a.m. and p.m. peak periods. The best cycle length for the a.m. peak (COS=111) was found to be 75 seconds,

while the optimum cycle length for the p.m. peak (COS=311) was found to be 120 seconds. Table 8-1 lists the offsets and phase splits for the seven studied intersections.

INT	COS	CYC	OFF	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	111	75	0	4	30	3	18	3	31	5	16
1	311	120	0	10	44	3	43	4	51	14	32
2	111	75	2	13	18	7	17	6	26	9	15
2	311	120	108	19	33	17	33	15	36	20	30
3	111	75	40	13	26	-	21	8	32	-	21
3	311	120	36	6	82	-	18	14	74	-	18
4	111	75	37	9	25	-	27	9	25	5	17
4	311	120	30	14	50	-	42	10	54	5	32
5	111	75	31	3	22	-	15	3	22	-	15
5	311	120	38	5	61	-	17	9	57	-	17
6	111	75	20	5	28	5	17	6	28	5	17
6	311	120	23	5	74	5	17	8	71	5	17
7	111	75	69	11	17	11	17	6	22	13	15
7	311	120	84	11	34	31	25	14	31	28	28

Table 8-1 SR 26 (South) Coordination Plans and Splits

8.2 <u>PRO-TRACTS Parameters</u>

The test of PRO-TRACTS was run with the CORSIM internal control logic through CORSIM's real-time extension DLL. PRO-TRACTS detectors were set 150 feet upstream of each traffic signal. The incremental thresholds used for the activation for PRO-TRACTS were set at 0.25, 0.75, and 1.0 as discussed in Section 7.4.3 in Chapter 7. The algorithm was configured to evaluate the cycle profiles at the end of every cycle. Maximum offset step was set to 35 seconds to minimize the frequency of activating the unpredictable CORSIM's internal cycle transitioning mechanisms.

8.3 <u>Simulation Results</u>

CORSIM simulations were run with varying demand volumes over a four hours period for both a.m. and p.m. peak periods (6-10 a.m. and 2-6 p.m. respectively). The simulations were run with five different random number seeds to account for the stochastic nature of traffic. Table 8-2 shows the entry volume to intersection approaches by the period. These volumes were obtained from actual INDOT turning movement counts and tube counts. Note that the volumes were considerably higher in the p.m. period.

The following three scenarios were studied:

- A base case with the traffic network operating with Synchro's timing plans, except that the offsets were changed such that they provided poor progression for the eastbound traffic. This case was run with normal CORSIM simulation run without activating PRO-TRACTS.
- A scenario similar to the base case, but with PRO-TRACTS running to improve the coordination of eastbound traffic.
- A scenario with the network operating with offsets designed by Synchro, which was included as a benchmark for comparison purposes.

						-						-	
INT	BEG	7AM	8AM	9AM	10A	11A	12P	1PM	2PM	3PM	4PM	5PM	6PM
	END	8AM	9AM	10A	11A	12P	1PM	2PM	3PM	4PM	5PM	6PM	7PM
1	EB	311	342	460	530	654	674	563	706	813	705	709	575
1	WB	590	620	605	551	690	712	692	758	604	695	696	548
1	NB	406	334	388	351	478	457	382	506	713	433	536	316
1	SB	292	341	453	429	538	565	437	544	608	552	515	356
2	EB	425	456	582	665	864	857	669	904	1050	905	910	715
2	WB	690	867	785	717	880	889	845	933	788	899	816	729
2	NB	735	600	676	817	1060	1134	1057	1254	1228	1117	1251	883
2	SB	765	615	768	783	1000	1063	1024	1049	962	909	1099	784
3	EB	590	573	700	744	1060	1080	901	1042	1096	1003	1178	912
3	WB	657	843	746	648	836	820	861	933	842	972	794	788
3	NB	11	6	20	10	40	120	66	40	12	28	49	31
3	SB	180	191	186	203	219	207	205	216	155	232	274	220
4	EB	530	559	685	718	961	1012	873	979	994	959	1132	940
4	WB	743	957	757	669	905	806	880	959	930	1019	803	777
4	NB	197	215	212	237	336	304	247	258	381	348	367	231
4	SB	49	53	106	133	158	194	176	186	130	180	186	174
5	EB	607	649	738	810	1095	1135	956	1040	1172	1134	1339	1057
5	WB	737	948	736	635	869	790	850	933	857	952	778	761
5	NB	49	30	18	26	32	24	25	77	102	61	28	23
5	SB	71	169	91	104	141	165	142	107	147	191	174	102
6	EB	560	661	705	787	1102	1150	954	1048	1176	1166	1374	1074
6	WB	768	872	716	599	811	730	799	897	829	890	736	769
6	NB	59	23	11	8	24	18	22	116	177	72	51	21
6	SB	86	55	72	80	73	111	126	122	114	111	125	114
7	EB	600	655	694	770	1083	1123	953	1122	1314	1206	1392	1072
7	WB	860	991	794	599	846	752	824	868	833	732	654	778
7	NB	635	600	514	572	759	606	830	1180	1220	1555	1423	1179
7	SB	715	641	572	575	799	622	712	726	707	994	1094	898

Table 8-2 SR 26 (South) Entry Volumes per Period (VPH)

8.3.1 A.M. Travel Time, Stops and Delay

Figure 8-2 and Figure 8-3 show the cumulative travel time through the arterial for eastbound and westbound traffic, respectively. The three different lines in the figures represent the results from the three different scenarios discussed above. Figure 8-2 shows that PRO-TRACTS reduced the eastbound travel time by 4%, approaching the performance of Synchro (6%). Figure 8-3 shows only a minor improvement of 1% in westbound cumulative travel time with PRO-TRACTS, while Synchro improved the cumulative travel time by 9%. This result was expected since PRO-TRACTS was only

configured to improve the eastbound signal coordination. Figure 8-4 and Figure 8-5 show the cumulative number of east and westbound stops respectively. Figure 8-4 shows that PRO-TRACTS reduced the cumulative number of eastbound stops during the four hours of simulations by 10%, 9%, 13%, and 13 % respectively. Figure 8-5 shows a minor increase in the cumulative number of westbound stops of 1% and 2 % during the last two hours. Figure 8-6, which shows the total delay and number of stops on an hour-by-hour basis, indicates a reduction in the total number of stops and system delay of 3% and 1 %, respectively, with PRO-TRACTS during the four hours. These results can be further explained by studying the offset and cycle transitioning graphs discussed in the next section.



Figure 8-2 SR 26 (South) East Bound Cumulative Travel Time-A.M. Period



Figure 8-3 SR 26 (South) West Bound Cumulative Travel Time-A.M. Period



Figure 8-4 SR 26 (South) East Bound Cumulative Number of Stops-A.M. Period



Figure 8-5 SR 26 (South) West Bound Cumulative Number of Stops-A.M. Period





8.3.2 A.M. Offset and Cycle Transitioning

Figure 8-7 shows the transitioning in the offsets and cycle length for each of the seven intersections studied. Figure 8-7-a shows the transitioning in the offset values. An interesting pattern is the continuous decrease in node 6's offset during the course of simulation. Studying Figure 8-7-a more closely, one can observe that node 7's offset (the uppermost line from the left) continues to decrease at a lower rate than node 6's offset. The effect of node 6's offset at node 7's offset is caused by PRO-TRACTS coordination logic that references all offsets to their immediate upstream intersection. When the upstream intersection goes through an offset change, all downstream intersections change their offsets accordingly, such that they do not lose coordination. Other nodes show a fairly stable transitioning throughout the course of simulation.

Instability in offset transitioning can be explained by two factors, the first of which is the arrival type at the intersection. For example, looking at node 6's traffic pattern in Table 8-4, there is a very high turning percentage of traffic into the eastbound (from north bound right and southbound left). This high percentage translates into a continuous arrival of vehicles at node 7, both from the through and turn traffic from node 6. This phenomenon negatively affects the benefit of coordinating node 7 with node 6.

The other factor is the low traffic volume at nodes 3, 5, and 6 side streets that can be observed by studying Table 8-2. Low side street volumes cause side street phases to be skipped, allowing the eastbound traffic to continue through the extended green and arrive with the downstream signal at a different pattern than if the side street phases were not skipped. This situation is illustrated in Figure 8-8 and Figure 8-9. Figure 8-8 shows three cycles, where the side street phase is skipped during the first cycle but not during the second cycle for node 5. During the first cycle, the low traffic volume turning from the side street at node 4 (traffic condition A) arrives at node 5 during the red interval and waits for the main street green interval to be released. At that time, the main street through-movement (traffic condition B) arrives and the two traffic streams travel together to reach node 6 at the start of the green. Note that in such a case, the offset at node 6 does not need to be changed. However, during the following cycle, the low traffic volume at node 5 causes a phase skip, allowing the low traffic volume (traffic condition A) turning from the side street to continue through the uninterrupted green and arrive at the downstream node 6 earlier than it did in the previous cycle. When the main street through-movement (traffic condition B) arrives at the downstream node 6, it faces volume A queues, forming a different traffic pattern from that of the previous cycle. If the green window at node 6 was wide enough to fit the new traffic pattern without inducing delays, PRO-TRACTS would shift the offset such that the queues of traffic condition A would pass before the arrival of traffic condition B, as shown in Figure 8-9.

Since PRO-TRACTS is a reactive algorithm, it adjusts the offset such that it adapts to the current cycle's pattern. If the side street phases were not skipped at the next cycle, the offsets would not be appropriate and PRO-TRACTS would adjust them accordingly. This process will cause PRO-TRACTS to fluctuate around the optimum value of the offset without reaching it, which can degrade its performance. The phase skips can be observed by studying Figure 8-7, which shows cycle lengths approaching up to 360 seconds at node 5.

Actual Offset Transitioning



a) Offset Transitioning



Cycle Transitioning

Figure 8-7 SR 26 (South) Offset and Cycle Transitions-A.M. Period

INT	BEG END	7AM 8AM	8AM 9AM	9AM 10A	10A 11A	11A 12P	12P 1PM	1PM 2PM	2PM 3PM	3PM 4PM	4PM 5PM	5PM 6PM	6PM 7PM
	EBLT	13	11	10	10	6	10	9	7	7	5	6	5
US 52 (node 2) EARL AVENUE (node 1) Z	EBTH	81	82	82	82	85	83	83	84	85	90	87	92
de	EBRT	6	7	8	8	9	7	8	9	8	5	7	3
no	WBLT	13	14	12	14	15	18	14	15	16	16	17	19
E	WBTH	70	68	73	68	67	65	68	69	71	68	70	72
NO NO	WBRT	17	18	15	18	18	17	18	16	13	16	13	9
Ē	NBLT	5	5	15	10	6	7	7	7	9	6	6	7
AV	NBTH	69	73	66	66	69	70	71	67	68	71	69	69
Г	NBRT	26	22	19	24	25	23	22	26	23	23	25	24
AR	SBLT	23	30	29	34	35	34	27	33	32	31	31	31
E,	SBTH	72	65	60	60	60	62	67	59	64	64	65	59
	SBRT	5	5	11	6	5	4	6	8	4	5	4	10
	EBLT	19	20	18	16	18	18	19	18	19	16	16	14
	EBTH	70	67	68	65	62	62	60	62	62	64	69	67
	EBRT	11	13	14	19	20	20	21	20	19	20	15	19
6	WBLT	20	24	22	27	27	27	26	28	27	24	24	28
le	WBTH	57	54	55	49	48	48	51	50	47	51	55	51
noc	WBRT	23	22	23	24	25	25	23	22	26	25	21	21
5 (1	NBLT	9	11	12	12	13	13	15	14	12	13	11	12
ic i	NBTH	72	65	68	69	63	62	62	66	69	67	67	63
n n	NBRT	19	24	20	19	24	25	23	20	19	20	22	25
	SBLT	20	20	22	20	27	25	25	22	22	22	25	27
	SBTH	63	66	66	67	60	62	65	67	69	68	65	64
	SBRT	17	14	12	13	13	13	10	11	9	10	10	9
	EBLT	19	14	10	11	9	11	15	14	15	14	13	10
\sim	EBTH	80	85	88	87	84	82	83	83	83	83	84	87
G G	EBRT	1	1	2	2	7	7	2	3	2	3	3	3
opo	WBLT	1	1	1	1	6	5	2	1	0	2	3	5
u U	WBTH	85	88	87	89	83	85	82	85	84	79	81	80
CE	WBRT	14	11	12	10	11	10	16	14	16	19	16	15
Η	NBLT	55	17	35	80	60	48	42	38	33	32	45	13
IO	NBTH	9	0	10	0	0	4	2	4	9	4	10	3
H	NBRT	36	83	55	20	40	48	56	58	58	64	45	84
OS	SBLT	30	35	31	34	25	33	43	42	50	47	44	55
Ъ	SBTH	0	0	0	1	1	2	3	0	1	0	1	2
	SBRT	70	65	69	65	74	65	54	58	49	53	55	43
	EBLT	3	6	8	8	9	9	8	9	9	7	5	7
(+	EBTH	86	85	84	85	85	85	85	85	84	87	90	89
ode	EBRT	11	9	8	7	6	6	7	6	7	6	5	4
(nc	WBLT	18	14	11	12	12	12	13	13	17	12	13	9
VE	WBTH	81	82	84	81	81	83	81	81	78	80	80	85
RI	WBRT	1	4	5	7	7	5	6	6	5	8	7	6
D	NBLT	17	15	21	17	17	19	23	20	13	19	18	16
Ë	NBTH	7	8	10	15	12	13	11	18	9	11	8	15
BI	NBRT	76	77	69	68	71	68	66	62	78	70	74	69
RA	SBLT	4	17	16	29	25	35	29	26	31	31	26	35
FA	SBTH	53	34	22	21	16	17	19	18	17	19	28	13
	SBRT	43	49	62	50	29	48	52	56	52	50	46	52

Table 8-3 SR 26 (South) Turning Movements (Percentages – Table 1 of 2)

INT	BEG END	7AM 8AM	8AM 9AM	9AM 10A	10A 11A	11A 12P	12P 1PM	1PM 2PM	2PM 3PM	3PM 4PM	4PM 5PM	5PM 6PM	6PM 7PM
de 5)	EBLT	13	8	9	7	6	6	6	6	7	6	5	5
	EBTH	86	90	90	92	94	93	93	94	93	93	94	95
	EBRT	1	2	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0
poi	WBLT	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
L (L	WBTH	90	89	94	92	93	91	92	92	92	93	93	95
E	WBRT	9	10	5	7	6	8	7	7	7	7	7	5
TR	NBLT	90	63	44	65	66	63	56	69	61	69	54	39
LS	NBTH	2	17	6	16	6	20	4	13	20	8	25	4
Н	NBRT	8	20	50	19	28	17	40	18	19	23	21	57
6Т	SBLT	48	42	35	35	45	55	39	53	45	51	63	56
3	SBTH	1	2	2	0	1	1	2	2	1	1	0	0
	SBRT	51	56	63	65	54	44	59	45	54	48	37	44
		4	4	5	6	5	6	5	5	5	6	6	7
	EBTH	96	95	94	94	95	93	94	95	95	94	94	93
	EBRT	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
(9	WBLT	10	3	2	1	2	1	1	2	5	1	1	0
0^ de	WBTH	89	94	94	94	94	94	94	94	91	95	93	92
P	WBRT	1	3	4	5	4	5	5	4	4	4	6	8
ΞĔ	NBLT	7	22	18	38	13	17	23	9	7	7	12	10
町切	NBTH	10	8	9	12	16	16	13	9	6	10	12	9
E HS	NBRT	83	70	73	50	71	67	64	82	87	83	76	81
	SBLT	15	20	32	33	27	37	33	25	38	45	49	49
	SBTH	16	7	1	1	0	2	1	1	1	2	1	0
	SBRT	69	73	67	66	73	61	66	74	61	53	50	51
		6	8	9	8	7	9	8	8	7	9	7	9
E	EBTH	69	70	63	63	63	61	63	64	67	62	67	65
le	EBRT	25	22	28	29	30	30	29	28	26	29	26	26
noc	WBLT	14	13	19	18	21	19	20	20	17	18	18	20
Е	WBTH	65	61	59	57	56	59	54	55	57	54	52	51
Z	WBRT	21	26	22	25	23	22	26	25	26	28	30	29
LA	NBLT	25	35	36	36	35	38	35	30	25	28	24	27
X	NBTH	56	48	46	48	46	51	47	50	55	54	56	52
AS	NBRT	19	17	18	16	19	11	18	20	20	18	20	21
RE	SBLT	35	40	38	34	42	42	41	37	45	42	43	45
D	SBTH	58	51	51	57	49	49	50	54	48	52	52	49
	SBRT	7	9	11	9	9	9	9	9	7	6	5	6

Table 8-4 SR 26 (South) Turning Movements (Percentages – Table 2 of 2)



Figure 8-8 Effect of Phase Skips on Progression



Figure 8-9 Offset Correction for Phase Skips

8.3.3 P.M. Travel Time, Stops and Delay

Figure 8-10 and Figure 8-11 show the cumulative travel time through the arterial for eastbound and westbound, respectively. The figures show a significant reduction of 13%, 16%, 17%, and 16% in eastbound cumulative travel time during the four simulation hours and only and increase of 7% and 8% in the westbound cumulative travel time during the first hour and the last three hours respectively with PRO-TRACTS, while Synchro plans improved the eastbound travel time by 26%, 25%, 24%, and 22 % during the four simulation hours respectively and increased the westbound travel time by 3%, 1%, 1%, and 2% during the four simulation hours respectively. Figure 8-12 and Figure 8-13 show the cumulative number of stops on east and west bound respectively. PRO-TRACTS significantly reduced the cumulative number of stops on the eastbound by 30%, 39%, 43%, and 43 % respectively while it only increased the number of stops by 8% and 6% for the westbound during the first two hours and the last two hours respectively. These figures illustrate that PRO-TRACTS had higher impacts on the eastbound number of stops, closely approaching Synchro's performance of 50%, 51%, 51%, and 49% during the hour hours respectively. Figure 8-14 shows the total delay and number of stops on an hour-by-hour basis and indicates a reduction in the total number of stops with PRO-TRACTS of 7%, 9%, 12%, and 11% and an increase in the total delay of 3%, 9%, 8%, and 2% during the four hours of simulation.



Figure 8-10 SR 26 (South) East Bound Cumulative Travel Time-P.M. Period



Figure 8-11 SR 26 (South) West Bound Cumulative Travel Time-P.M. Period



Figure 8-12 SR 26 (South) East Bound Cumulative Number of Stops-P.M. Period



Figure 8-13 SR 26 (South) West Bound Cumulative Number of Stops-P.M. Period



8.3.4 P.M. Offset and Cycle Transitioning

Figure 8-15 shows the transitioning in the offsets and cycle length for each of the seven studied intersections. Note that although the offset values continued to decrease during the first three hours of simulation, they became fairly stable during the fourth hour. Figure 8-15-b explains this, whereby somewhat less transitioning in cycle length can be observed during the fourth hour. When comparing Figure 8-15-b with Figure 8-7-b, one should note that the p.m. peak cycle length was 120 seconds where the a.m. cycle length was only 75 seconds. Despite the larger cycle length, Figure 8-15-b shows a maximum cycle of 257 seconds, compared to 360 seconds in the a.m. period.

Table 8-2 shows that the side street volumes increased during the p.m. period, causing less frequency in phase skips. This has an effect on the stability of PRO-TRACTS since cycle profiles are more reliable in estimating the next cycle profile when few phase skips occur.

Actual Offset Transitioning



a) Offset Transitioning

Cycle Transitioning





8.4 Chapter's Conclusion

This chapter studied the performance of PRO-TRACTS on the SR 26 network in Lafayette, Indiana. The study showed that, when started with a scenario of offsets that provided poor progression, PRO-TRACTS consistently resulted in an improvement in both the travel time and the number of stops in the arterial direction to which was applied, but without reaching Synchro's performance. It was observed that PRO-TRACTS had a greater impact in reducing the number of stops, however, PRO-TRACTS caused an increase in total network delay compared to Synchro's timing plans. These results were not surprising since favoring a directional movement is an additional constraint in the system optimization function. Timing plans obtained with Synchro are assumed to be optimum plans that produce minimum delay of the total network. When enforcing a constraint such as favoring a certain traffic movement, the best that can be achieved in the overall system objective function is to keep it constant. However, the overall delay could be expected to degrade unless the original plans were not optimum plans for the current traffic patterns.

An important factor on the performance of PRO-TRACTS was the frequency of phase skips, which is mainly because PRO-TRACTS is a reactive algorithm and therefore assumes that the next cycle's arrivals are comparable to the current cycle's arrivals. With phase skips, the discrepancy in arrival patterns of succeeding cycles causes PRO-TRACTS' offsets to fluctuate, reducing its efficiency. Subsequent research could take phase skips into consideration.

CHAPTER 9- HARDWARE-IN-THE-LOOP SIMULATION WITH NTCIP COMPLIANT CONTROLLERS

Chapters 6 through 8 discussed the integration of PRO-TRACTS with CORSIM's internal control model to provide a preliminary evaluation tool. However, the ultimate objective of the development of PRO-TRACTS is to implement it in the field with real traffic controllers, such that the controllers have the ability of adaptively tuning their offsets in response to changes in traffic patterns. Prior to field implementation of PRO-TRACTS, two steps were necessary. The first step was to evaluate the performance of PRO-TRACTS with actual controllers to fully understand its effect on the controller transitioning algorithms and to examine whether actual controllers would benefit from PRO-TRACTS applications. The second step was to define an NTCIP object for capturing detector actuations at the controller level to provide efficient low bandwidth communications for field implementation.

This chapter provides an overview of hardware-in-the-loop simulation and illustrates its concept in addition to an overview of NTCIP and how it was integrated with the hardware-in-the-loop simulation. Finally, a section is provided to describe the proposed field implementation and define a new NTCIP object for capturing detector actuation at the controller level.

9.1 Overview of Hardware-in-the-Loop Simulation

9.1.1 Motivation of Hardware-in-the-Loop Simulation

As described in Chapter 2, there have been extensive efforts in the development of adaptive control systems over the last few years, both nationally and internationally. Adaptive control systems such as OPAC, RHODES, SCOOT, and SCATS are currently deployed in several cities and regions around the world. Meanwhile, emerging algorithms and several improvements to existing ones are evolving. In this development process, several vendors are implementing unique proprietary features in their signal systems. Most of those features do not exist in traffic evaluation or simulation software. Hence came the need for a method or an environment in which such new and proprietary features could be evaluated prior to deployment, or alternatively what is called Hardwarein-the-loop simulation [Bullock and Catarella, 1998].

Hardware-in-the-loop simulation allows rigorous evaluation of new features in traffic signals and technologies by 1) using both the ability of traffic simulation software to provide stochastic traffic variation and summarizing useful measures of effectiveness (MOEs), and 2) using the new features that are only available in actual traffic controllers.

The concept behind the hardware-in-the-loop simulation is to use the detector actuation provided by the traffic simulators (which also generate the traffic patterns) to stimulate controllers that determine the status of signal indications according to the logic provided by actual control algorithm. The signal indications provided by the traffic controllers are then presented to the traffic simulation software, and with the software provision of MOEs at the end of the simulation run, the engineer or the analyst can evaluate the performance of the actual traffic signal logic or feature and perhaps compare it to other logics.

Hardware-in-the-loop simulation can also be used to train personnel on new hardware features and help them fine tune systems before actual implementation in the field.

9.1.2 Basic Concepts of Hardware-in-the-Loop Simulation

There have been several efforts in the United States to integrate microscopic simulation programs with the traffic control hardware in a hardware-in-the-loop environment [Bullock and Catarella, 98; Engelbrecht et al., 99; Huch, 1999]. A typical hardware-in-the-loop architecture is shown in Figure 9-1. The three main components of a hardware-in-the-loop environment are:

- 1. A controller interface device (CID) (Figure 9-2) that provides the interface between the traffic controller and the computer running the microscopic simulation.
- A software interface module that provides the interface between the CID and the microscopic simulation program, typically a Dynamic Link Library (DLL).
- 3. A microscopic simulator that generates and models the traffic and is also used to tabulate MOE's at the end of the simulation.

In this research the traditional hardware-in-the-loop environment is integrated with the NTCIP communication protocol to provide a simulation environment where PRO-TRACTS' performance can be evaluated with field controllers, as shown in Figure 9-3. The next section provides an overview of the NTCIP.



Figure 9-1 Schematic of the Hardware-in-the-Loop Simulation Environment [Source: Bullock and Urbanik 2000]



Figure 9-2 Controller Interface Device (CID)


Figure 9-3 Hardware-in-the-Loop Concept

9.2 Overview of NTCIP

The initial TEA 21 legislation [Fischer, 1998] is quite aggressive in specifying the use of standards for ITS projects (Table 9-1 and Table 9-2). However, the NTCIP standard supporting traffic signal control has been evolving at a relatively slow rate. According to the NTCIP guide [NEMA, 1999A], the 1998 interim guidance appeared to soften the standards' requirement to conditions "where applicable," presumably because the standards were continuing to evolve. In 1999, the NTCIP standard suites begin to see their first implementations [NEMA, 1999B; NEMA, 1999C; NEMA, 1999D]. These initial deployments have demonstrated that the standard is viable. However, they have also indicated that many of the interchangeability goals of NTCIP cannot be achieved without very carefully planning the data objects. If these data objects are not precisely defined, essential data objects must be defined by implementing vendors in a proprietary object. Such proprietary objects defeat the interchangeability of an NTCIP system. In the case of traffic signal systems, interchangeability is an extremely important goal in growing a system in an orderly manner over several years where individual phases are competitively bid.

Table 9-1 TEA21 Requirements for Conformity with National Architecture [Source: Fischer, 1998]

"SEC. 5206. NATIONAL ARCHITECTURE AND STANDARDS.

(a) IN GENERAL.-

(1) DEVELOPMENT, IMPLEMENTATION, AND MAINTENANCE.-Consistent with section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note; 110 Stat. 783), the Secretary shall develop, implement, and maintain a national architecture and supporting standards and protocols to promote the widespread use and evaluation of intelligent transportation system technology as a component of the surface transportation systems of the United States.

(2) INTEROPERABILITY AND EFFICIENCY.-To the maximum extent practicable, the national architecture shall promote interoperability among, and efficiency of, intelligent transportation system technologies implemented throughout the United States.

(3) USE OF STANDARDS DEVELOPMENT ORGANIZATIONS.-In carrying out this section, the Secretary may use the services of such standards development organizations as the Secretary determines to be appropriate.

(b) REPORT ON CRITICAL STANDARDS.-Not later than June 1, 1999, the Secretary shall submit a report to the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure and the Committee on Science of the House of Representatives identifying which standards are critical to ensuring national interoperability or critical to the development of other standards and specifying the status of the development of each standard identified.

(e) CONFORMITY WITH NATIONAL ARCHITECTURE.- (1) IN GENERAL.-Except as provided in paragraphs (2) and (3), the Secretary shall ensure that intelligent transportation system projects carried out **using funds made available from the Highway Trust Fund**, including funds made available under this subtitle to deploy intelligent transportation system technologies, **conform to the national architecture, applicable standards or provisional standards**, and protocols developed under subsection (a)."

Table 9-2 TEA21 Requirements for NTCIP [Source: NEMA, 1999A]

"The Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century (known as "TEA-21") requires that federally funded ITS projects "conform" with the National ITS Architecture. As defined in TEA 21, the term "intelligent transportation system" means "electronics, communications, or information processing used singly or in combination to improve the efficiency or safety of a surface transportation system". The National ITS Architecture defines both the functions performed in implementing ITS, and the information flows between transportation subsystems. In its October 2, 1998 report entitled "Interim Guidance on Conformity with the National ITS Architecture and Standards", the US DOT stated "Highway Trust Fund recipients shall take the appropriate actions to ensure that development of the project(s): (a) engages a wide range of stakeholders, (b) enables the appropriate electronic information sharing between shareholders, (c) facilitates future ITS expansion, and (d) considers the use of applicable ITS standards." The terms interchangeability and interoperability are used throughout the TEA-21 legislation, but interoperability is used extensively. While the simple view of NTCIP often focuses on interchangeability, interoperability is actually far more important, for two reasons. First, since the communications infrastructure is usually the most costly element in a new system, using this infrastructure for multiple purposes lowers the overall cost of the system. Second, and more important in terms of the TEA-21 legislation, interoperability suggests the sharing of data, thereby enhancing the operators ability to efficiently manage these transportation systems, even to the extent of sharing data across jurisdictional boundaries."

The following sections of this chapter describe a proposed extension to NTCIP to facilitate the implementation of a new algorithm in an open architecture environment that would permit competitive procurement of traffic control hardware and software. The significance of this definition is that it is based upon the NTCIP standard and provides a mechanism for a public agency to precisely define the functions and associated protocol necessary for supporting new systems functions. The resulting specification is directly usable by all vendors who conform to the NTCIP standard. In the past, specifying new system functions was not practical, as competitive procurement would require unique specifications for each possible vendors' proprietary protocol and menu screens.

A communications protocol is a set of standards for how messages are coded and transmitted between electronic devices [NEMA, 1999A]. In order to communicate successfully, the equipment at each end of a data transmission must use the same

protocol. The use of NTCIP allows future expansion of transportation systems and provides both interchangeability and interoperability. The term interchangeability reflects the ability to use multiple brands of a device on the same communications channel, where the term interoperability reflects the ability to use many different types of devices on the same communications channel [NTCIP TS3.1...TS3.5, 1996].

NTCIP consists of a whole suite of protocols covering the spectrum, from simple point-to-point command/response protocols to quite sophisticated object-oriented techniques. NTCIP supports continuous, automated data transmissions with no human in the loop, but it can also involve a human operator making requests or issuing instructions.

NTCIP provides communications standards for two different types of ITS communications: center-to-center and center-to-field. An example of the center-to-center communication, also called peer-to-peer communication, is communication between two traffic management centers exchanging information. This "center-to-center" communication can involve communication between any number of systems in a balanced, many-to-many network. It is important to note that NTCIP specifies the data objects and precisely defines the data to be communicated, but it does not completely define the functionality of the central or field devices to which it applies.

The center-to-field type of communication includes the communication between traffic signal management systems and field traffic signals controllers, where the center continuously polls each field device in an unbalanced one-to-many network. Another example is a freeway management system communicating with detectors on the freeway. This type of communication is recommended for the field implementation of PRO- TRACTS to avoid the complications of peer-to-peer communications and allow for system monitoring at the control center.

9.2.1 NTCIP Protocols for Center to Field Communications

Center-to-field applications, such as Simple Transportation Management Protocol (STMP) [NTCIP TS3.3, 1996], and several sets of *new* standard data elements called "objects," were the first NTCIP standards developed. The initial NTCIP center-to-field protocol used two *existing* standards – the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP) [Stallings, 1995] standard at the application level, and the High-level Data Link Control (HDLC) standard at the subnetwork level.

The SNMP protocol is based on the Internet protocol for managing networking equipment and computers [Stallings, 1995]. It is a simple, but bandwidth-inefficient, protocol for center-to-field applications; SNMP is suitable only for networks with high bandwidth or low volumes of messages. An extension of SNMP, the STMP, uses dynamic composite objects to send center-to-field messages more efficiently. STMP is better suited to networks with low bandwidth and high volumes of messages, including traffic signal systems. Table 9-3 summarizes the services offered by the two protocols and their implementation requirements.

9.2.1.1.1.1.1.1 Table 3.1 SNMP and STMP Comparisons											
	SNMP	STMP									
Can send any base object?	Yes	Yes									
Bandwidth Efficiency – inverse of packet overhead	Worst	Best (uses dynamic composite objects)									
Supports routing & dial-up	Options	Options									
Message Set	Supported	Limited to 13									
Ease of implementation	Easiest	Hardest									

Table 9-3 SNMP and STMP Comparison [Source: NEMA, 1999A]

Different devices with unique addresses can share the same communications line with other devices using the same subnetwork, e.g., a traffic signal and a dynamic message sign. The management system can communicate with only one of the devices on the line or channel at a time, by sending a message addressed to that device. The NTCIP protocols can also broadcast messages to all devices, e.g., a time clock update, but no device can reply to a broadcast message.

9.2.2 Application Message Bits and Bytes

The *Tag-Length-Value* representation method defined in ISO 8825, Basic Encoding Rules (BER) is used to define the actual bits and bytes of an SNMP message. All objects can be expressed as *Tag* (or Type) of either SEQUENCE, INTEGER, OCTET STRING, or OBJECT IDENTIFIER. The *Tag* indicates whether the *Value* component is a number, string (or text), or an identifier. It can also indicate that what follows is a series of data that is expressed as a *Tag-Length-Value*. The *Length* component of the object

represents how many bytes it takes to store the object in memory. The third component of an object is its *Value*, which is stored in a hexadecimal form [NEMA, 1999A].

An SNMP message is defined as a SEQUENCE and Length of two predefined fields, one of which describes the protocol and the other defines the data carried by the protocol. A data field that follows it describes the operation that is to be performed. Figure 9-4 shows an SNMP message. Note how the SetRequest PDU (protocol data unit) field at the end of a row is expanded in the row below. An expanded field starts with the *Tag* of the operation, followed by the *Length* of the data and consists of *Values* of three *Tag-Length-Value* fields. From a communications perspective, each of the objects defined in one of the Object Definitions Standards, such as TS 3.4 or TS 3.5, has two components: an *identity* and a *value* [NEMA, 1999A].

The fixed overhead of SNMP messages that are used to get or set one or more objects is 26 bytes, as shown in Figure 9-4.



Figure 9-4 SNMP Bits and Bytes [Source: NEMA, 1999A]

The actual bits and bytes of an STMP message are defined using Octet Encoding Rules (OER), as described in NTCIP 1102 – NTCIP Octet Encoding Rules (OER). The STMP message is defined prior to being sent, and hence it is possible to eliminate a number of fields and reduce overhead significantly. In STMP, if the *Tag*, *Length*, or *Value* is known, the component is eliminated. Since all data are expressed as a

SEQUENCE, all SEQUENCE *Tags* and SEQUENCE *Lengths* are eliminated [NEMA, 1999A]. This reduces a lot of overhead as shown in Figure 9-5.



Figure 9-5 STMP Bits and Bytes [Source: NEMA, 1999A]

9.3 Hardware-in-the-Loop Simulation with NTCIP Offset Download

Hardware-in-the-loop simulation is used to evaluate PRO-TRACTS' performance prior to its deployment for safety and efficiency reasons. Using simulation will eliminate the inconveniences to real traffic real traffic of the experimenting with new algorithms, while using the real traffic controllers will provide more realistic results. The schematic presented in Figure 9-1 illustrates how PRO-TRACTS was integrated with the traditional hardware-in-the-loop simulation. In this schema, the CORSIM simulation package was used for two objectives: 1) to generate the detector calls caused by the simulated traffic and 2) to gather the output statistics and MOEs. The CIDs shown in Figure 9-2 transfer the detector calls from the CORSIM simulation to the actual controllers and then transfer back the phase indicators from the controllers to the CORSIM simulation. PRO-TRACTS uses the detector actuation from the CORSIM simulation and the phase indications to fine-tune the offsets and send the updated offsets values to the database of a traffic management software, such as ICONS database. The updated offsets are downloaded to the controllers using the SNMP protocol functions provided by Siemen's Gardner Systems ICONS software.



Figure 9-6 Hardware-in-the-Loop with NTCIP Offset Download



Figure 9-7 Sample of NTCIP Lab Equipment

Figure 9-7 shows the actual lab equipment used for the PRO-TRACTS evaluation. The NTCIP controllers models ASC 2S'S were provided by Econolite. The serial analyzer was used to study the NTCIP messages during the development process. Table 9-4a shows the ASCII code of the message observed from the Data Terminal Equipment (DTE) and Data Communications Equipment (DCE), while Table 9-4b shows the DTE and DCE messages bytes for offset download. The downloaded offset value is shown with a black background in the figure.

Table 9-4 Example NTCIP message for Download an Offset Change of 10 Seconds to Controller

a) Equivalent ASCII Code for DTE and DCE Message Bytes

DTE																
~				0			7			a	d	m	i	n	i	S
Т	r	а	t	0	r	•	#							0		0
			•						6							
			•		2											
DCE																
~				0			7			а	d	m	i	n	i	S
t	r	а	t	0	r	•	#							0		0
									6							
					~											

b) DTE and DCE Message Bytes for Offset Download

DTE

D_{1L}																			
7E	05	33	C1	30	82	00	37	02	01	00	04	0D	61	64	6D	69	6E	69	73
74	72	61	74	6F	72	A3	23	02	01	00	02	01	00	02	01	00	30	18	30
82	00	14	06	0F	2B	06	01	04	01	89	36	03	05	01	04	16	01	04	01
02	01	0A	99	D3	7E														
DCE																			
7E	05	13	C1	30	82	00	37	02	01	00	04	0D	61	64	6D	69	6E	69	73
74	72	61	74	6F	72	A2	23	02	01	00	02	01	00	02	01	00	30	18	30
82	00	14	06	0F	2B	06	01	04	01	89	36	03	05	01	04	16	01	04	01
02	01	0A	A8	EE	7E														
POR	Г 101																		
OFFS	SET =	= 10																	
COLOR CODES:																			
Black: byte contains offset value																			
Dark Grey: download ok																			
Bold:	Bold: CRC or FCS value																		

9.4 Proposed NTCIP Object

9.4.1 Proposed Field Implementation

In order to implement PRO-TRACTS in the field, a new set of NTCIP objects for capturing detector actuations needed to be defined. The proposed NTCIP object will enable the exchange of the data required to fine-tune the offsets in real-time. Figure 9-8 shows a schematic proposal of the anticipated deployment where PRO-TRACTS is deployed on a system level using center-to-field communication. The circled intersections in the figure are controlled by NTCIP traffic signal controllers that communicate their detectors' actuation to the computer running the ICONS software or its equivalent. The proposed NTCIP object will enable the transfer of the actuation information to PRO-TRACTS through a TCP/IP protocol, and PRO-TRACTS will then calculate the offset adjustment and download the updated offsets to the NTCIP controllers.

The proposed NTCIP object will capture the information from the actuation profiles introduced in Figure 6-2. The proposed object will enable the tabulation of critical detector information at the controller level as well for possible future implementation of the algorithm on the actual controller. Although local implementation is still a possibility, activating PRO-TRACTS at the system level will probably be the preferred approach to avoid the complication of peer-to-peer communications when implementing the coordination logic discussed in Section 6.3.6.



Figure 9-8 Schematic Proposal of PRO-TRACTS Implementation

9.4.2 NTCIP Object Definitions for PRO-TRACTS Application Support

One approach would be to transmit detector information to a central system every time a PRO-TRACTS detector is activated. However, such an approach would consume a tremendous amount of bandwidth. Alternatively, storing and processing detector actuation at the controller level will enable a significantly lower bandwidth demand while using NTCIP. Tabulating the detector information at the controller level also allows the controllers to work independently if necessary. For example, controllers at the coordination system boundaries can "fine-tune" themselves to the arrival pattern if vehicle platoons at the boundaries existed.

This section defines the proposed new NTCIP object for capturing detector actuation at the controller level, patterned on the NTCIP TS 3.5 standards. The attributes of the new object are described and the abstract syntax notation (ASN) for each attribute is provided. The X label at the beginning of the defined ASN is the node to which the object is attached. Most likely, X will be 8 in section 2.3 of TS 3.5 object definition hierarchy shown in Table 9-5. In such a case, all "X" labels in the following section shall be replaced by the notation "2.3.8". Table 9-6 shows a table of tables that provides the reader with a link between this chapter's ASN definitions and example data for the new object's attributes. Note that supporting PRO-TRACTS implementation is optional and therefore the PRO-TRACTS detectors object is optional. However, if the controller supports PRO-TRACTS implementation, it must support all of the object attributes of PRO-TRACTS, and therefore all of the attributes are mandatory.

TS 3.5 Section	Object Definition	
2.1	MIB Header	
2.2	Phase Parameters	
2.3	Detector Parameters	
	2.3.1	Maximum Vehicle Detectors
	2.3.2	Vehicle Detector Parameter Table
	2.3.3	Maximum Vehicle Detector Status Group
	2.3.4	Vehicle Detector Status Group Table
	2.3.5	Volume/Occupancy Report
	2.3.6	Maximum Pedestrian Detectors
	2.3.7	Pedestrian Detector Parameter Table
2.4	Unit Parameters	
2.5	Coordination Parameters	
2.6	Time Base Parameters	
2.7	Preempt Parameters	
2.8	Ring Parameters	
2.9	Channel Parameters	
2.10	Overlap Parameters	
2.11	TS2 Port 1 Parameters	

Table 9-5 TS 3.5 Object Definition Hierarchy

Table 9-6 Table of Tables for New Object's ASN Definitions and Example Data

ASN Definition	Example Data
Table 9-7	Table 9-8
Table 9-9	Table 9-10
Table 9-11, Table 9-12, and Table 9-13	Table 9-14
Table 9-15	Table 9-16
Table 9-17	Figure 9-12
Table 9-18	Figure 9-13

NTCIP TS 3.5 standards define the detectors' objects for NTCIP compliant controllers. From those detectors, only a limited number need to support PRO-TRACTS implementation. Although current implementation of PRO-TRACTS requires only one detector per device (controller), future work might need more than one detector. For

example, to account for two-way coordination, a PRO-TRACTS detector will be required on at least two different approaches.

The maximum number of PRO-TRACTS detectors, along with the number of PRO-TRACTS detectors currently activated in the controller is determined by the maxPRO-TRACTSDetectors and activePRO-TRACTSDetectors parameters respectively as described in Table 9-7. Example values of maxPRO-TRACTSDetectors and activePRO-TRACTSDetectors are shown in Table 9-8.

Table 9-7 PRO-TRACTS Detectors Object

X PRO-TRACTS detectors PRO-TRACTSDetector OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::={detector X} -- This node contains the object necessary to support PRO-TRACTS application X.1 Maximum PRO-TRACTS detectors maxPRO-TRACTSDetectors OBJECT-TYPE SYNTAX INTEGER (0..255) ACCESS read-only STATUS mandatory DESCRIPTION "The maximum number of PRO-TRACTS detectors supported in this device. This value indicates how many rows are in the physicalChannelsTable object" X.2 Active PRO-TRACTS detectors activePRO-TRACTSDetectors OBJECT-TYPE SYNTAX INTEGER (0..255) ACCESS read-write STATUS mandatory DESCRIPTION "The number of PRO-TRACTS detector in this device. This object value multiplied by

the value of cyclesSaved object indicates how many rows are in the occcupancyProfileTable and countProfileTable objects"

Table 9-8 Example of PRO-TRACTS Detectors

MaxPRO-TRACTSDetectors	10
ActivePRO-TRACTSDetectors	3

Since PRO-TRACTS detectors are basically advanced detectors, they possess all of the attributes of the ordinary detectors plus some special attributes. The relationship between PRO-TRACTS detectors and the advanced detectors is specified in the physical channels table (Table 9-9). This table maps PRO-TRACTS detectors to the controller detectors object. Table 9-10 shows an example of a PRO-TRACTS detectors assignment to the controller's detectors of the intersection shown in Figure 9-9. In the figure, controller detector number 2 is declared as the first PRO-TRACTS detector, while controller detector number 10 is declared as the second PRO-TRACTS detector. The third detector is shown to include both detectors 2 and 10.

In general, the PRO-TRACTS algorithm uses just one lane. However, Table 9-8 shows alternate detector configurations that may be considered.

Table 9-9 PRO-TRACTS Physical Channel Table

X.3 Physical Channels Table

physicalChannelsTable OBJECT-TYPE SYNTAX SEQUENCE OF physicalChannelsEntry ACCESS not-accessible STATUS mandatory DESCRIPTION "A table containing physical channel definitions for PRO-TRACTS detectors" physicalChannelsEntry OBJECT-TYPE SYNTAX physicalChannelsEntry ACCESS not-accessible STATUS mandatory DESCRIPTION "The physical channel address for one of PRO-TRACTS detectors" INDEX {PRO-TRACTSDetectorNumber} physicalChannelsEntry::= SEQUENCE{ PRO-TRACTSDetectorNumber INTEGER, PRO-TRACTSDetectorChannel INTEGER }

X.3.1 PRO-TRACTS Detector Number

PRO-TRACTSDetectorNumber OBJECT-TYPE SYNTAX INTEGER (0..255) ACCESS read-only Status mandatory DESCRIPTION "PRO-TRACTS Detector Number for this entry. This value shall not exceed activePRO-TRACTSDetectors object value"

X.3.2 PRO-TRACTS Detector Channel

PRO-TRACTSDetectorChannel OBJECT-TYPE SYNTAX INTEGER (0..255) ACCESS read-write Status mandatory DESCRIPTION "PRO-TRACTS detector channel for this entry. This value shall not exceed vehicleDetectorNumber* object value"

* Defined in TS 3.5 section 2.3.2



Figure 9-9 PRO-TRACTS Detectors Assignment

		Tab	ole 9	-10	PRO)-TF	RAC	CTS	Dete	ector	s As	sign	men	t		
PRO-TRACTS	Inte	ersec	tion	Dete	ctors	(2.3))									
Detectors																
(X)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
		**														
l		X														
2										Х						
3		Х								Х						

All PRO-TRACTS parameters necessary for the algorithm to function properly are specified in the PRO-TRACTS parameter table (Table 9-14). The ASN definitions for those parameters are defined in Table 9-11 through Table 9-13 and example data are

shown in Table 9-14. The PRO-TRACTS parameters table lists the thresholds needed for activation of PRO-TRACTS. As discussed in Chapter 7, PRO-TRACTS is activated at three different levels, depending on the value of the calculated F'. The default values for the three F' thresholds are 0.25, 0.75, and 1.0, respectively. These values were developed and discussed in Section 7.4.3 of Chapter 7. The first level moves the offset by the value of the offsetStep1 parameter; the second level moves the offset by the value of the offsetStep2 parameter; and the third level moves the offset by the value of the offsetStep3 parameter. Although the F' thresholds were developed using increments of 1/6 of the cycle, it is recommended that the offset steps be of smaller values based on the fact that PRO-TRACTS is a reactive algorithm that uses previous cycle's information to adjust the offset. Since the next cycle's information might not be the same, it is better not to commit to high offset shifts. The default values for these parameters are 5 seconds, 2/12, and 3/12of the cycle length. A small value is recommended for the offsetStep1 parameter so that a higher resolution of offset tuning can be achieved. Table 9-14 shows example default values calculated for a 90-second cycle length.

Once the algorithm is activated, the offset shift direction is determined by calculating the occupancy profile's areas to the left and to the right of the green window and the offset is moved in the direction of the largest area. The summation threshold determines how much the occupancy profile's area in one side of the green window has to exceed the occupancy profile's area at the other side of the green window for the algorithm to move the offset. This threshold is needed for stability purposes. PRO-TRACTS will not move the offset unless the difference in areas exceeds the threshold. The default value for this parameter is five seconds.

The binSize parameter determines the duration in seconds over which the occupancy and count values are averaged. Averaging occupancy and count over bin sizes greater than one second helps to filter noise in data. However, averaging over too-large bin sizes causes the algorithm to smooth out detector actuation that may be important in determining the performance of the current offset. The default value for this parameter is five seconds.

The numberOfCycles parameter determines the number of cycles over which the actuation profiles are averaged. It should be noted that averaging the profiles over several cycles introduces stability into the algorithm performance, by averaging out the effect of the noise in the traffic pattern data. However, the algorithm responds slower to the changes in traffic patterns in this case. The default number of the numberOfCycles parameter is one cycle.

The shiftValue parameter specifies the width of the abscissa of the occupancy profile's area to either side of the green window that is used in determining the direction of the offset shift. This is not necessarily the shift in the offset applied by the algorithm, which is only affected by the cycle length and the maxStep parameters.

The updateFrequency parameter determines how frequently PRO-TRACTS evaluates the performance of the current offsets. This parameter should be coupled with the numberOfCycles parameter, such that the algorithm uses the information of the 'n' previous cycles if it is activated every 'n' number of cycles. However, this is not mandatory, rather it is an option for the operator of the system. Activating PRO-TARCTS every two or three cycles, instead of every cycle, could be useful to minimize or avoid the effects introduced by cycle transitioning. For example, in Figure 9-10-a, the updateFrequency was configured to be 1. In Figure 9-10-b, the update frequency was configured to be 5. If cycle transitioning introduces instabilities to the system, it might be beneficial to delay evaluating the performance of the offset until the system stabilizes. However, if the cycle transitioning does not significantly affect the system state, evaluating PRO-TRACTS every few cycles might lead to sluggishness in the algorithm performance by not responding to changes in traffic demand instantaneously.

Actual Offset Transitioning





Actual Offset Transitioning





Figure 9-10 Effect of the updateFrequency Parameter on Offset Transitioning

The maxStep parameter determines the maximum value the offset can be incremented or decremented at the controller. The parameter needs to be set to a maximum value that will not trigger the plans' transitioning algorithms. This parameter is only provided to control the plan transitioning algorithms in the controller. If the transitioning algorithms are not activated or the offset download does not activate them, which could be the case, then this parameter need not be set.

Figure 9-11 shows a conceptual illustration of some of PRO-TRACTS parameters.





Table 9-11 PRO-TRACTS Parameters (table 1 of 3)

X.4 PRO-TRACTS Parameter Table **PRO-TRACTSParameters OBJECT-TYPE** SYNTAX PRO-TRACTSParameters ACCESS not-accessible **STATUS** mandatory DESCRIPTION "PRO-TRATCS control parameters" PRO-TRACTSParameters::= SEQUENCE{ F-Threshold1 INTEGER. F-Threshold2 INTEGER, F-Threshold3 INTEGER, sumThresh INTEGER, binSize INTEGER, numberOfCycles INTEGER, shiftValue INTEGER, updateFrequency INTEGER, offsetStep1 INTEGER.

offsetStep2 INTEGER, offsetStep3 INTEGER, maxStep INTEGER { X.4.1 F-Threshold1 F-Threshold1 OBJECT-TYPE SYNTAX INTEGER (0..255) ACCESS read-write

Status mandatory

DESCRIPTION

"F' threshold multiplied by a 100 to invoke PRO-TRACTS and apply an offset change determined by offsetStep1 parameter. This value should range between 0 and 100"

X.4.2 F-Threshold2

F-Threshold2 OBJECT-TYPE SYNTAX INTEGER (0..255) ACCESS read-write Status mandatory DESCRIPTION

"F' threshold multiplied by a 100 to invoke PRO-TRACTS and apply an offset change determined by offsetStep2 parameter. This value should range between 0 and 100 "

X.4.3 F-Threshold3

F-Threshold3 OBJECT-TYPE SYNTAX INTEGER (0..255) ACCESS read-write Status mandatory DESCRIPTION

"F' threshold multiplied by a 100 to invoke PRO-TRACTS and apply an offset change determined by offsetStep3 parameter. This value should range between 0 and 100"

Table 9-12 PRO-TRACTS Parameters (table 2 of 3)

X.4.4 sumThreshold sumThreshold OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX INTEGER (0..255) ACCESS read-write Status mandatory DESCRIPTION

"Sum threshold. Determines the difference between occupancy profile areas to the right and left of the current green window to invoke PRO-TRACTS. This value should range between 0 and 255 "

X.4.5 Bin Size

binSize OBJECT-TYPE SYNTAX INTEGER (0..255) ACCESS read-write Status mandatory DESCRIPTION

"Bin size measured in seconds over which count and occupancy are averaged in each cycle"

X.4.6 Number of Cycles numberOfCycles OBJECT-TYPE SYNTAX INTEGER (0..255) ACCESS read-write Status mandatory DESCRIPTION "Number of cycles over which count and occupancy profiles are to be aggregated" X.4.7 Shift Value shiftValue OBJECT-TYPE SYNTAX INTEGER (0..255) ACCESS read-write Status mandatory DESCRIPTION "A parameter that specifies how many seconds PRO-TRACTS uses to estimate the potential benefit if offset was moved in either direction" X.4.8 Update Frequency updateFrequency OBJECT-TYPE SYNTAX INTEGER (0..255) ACCESS read-write Status mandatory DESCRIPTION "A parameter that specifies how often (in cycles) PRO-TRACTS evaluates and updates the offsets"

Table 9-13 PRO-TRACTS Parameters (table 3 of 3)

X.4.9 Offset Step1

OffsetStep1 OBJECT-TYPE SYNTAX INTEGER (0..255) ACCESS read-write Status mandatory DESCRIPTION

"A parameter that specifies by how many seconds PRO-TRACTS increments or decrements the offset once the calculated F' value exceeds F-Threshold1 but not F-Threshold2"

X.4.10 Offset Step2

offsetStep OBJECT-TYPE SYNTAX INTEGER (0..255) ACCESS read-write Status mandatory DESCRIPTION

"A parameter that specifies by how many seconds PRO-TRACTS increments or decrements the offset once the calculated F' value exceeds F-Threshold2 but not F-Threshold3"

X.4.11 Offset Step3

offsetStep OBJECT-TYPE SYNTAX INTEGER (0..255) ACCESS read-write Status mandatory DESCRIPTION

"A parameter that specifies by how many seconds PRO-TRACTS increments or decrements the offset the calculated F' value exceeds F-Threshold3"

X.4.12 Max Offset Step

maxStep OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX INTEGER (0..255)

ACCESS read-write

Status mandatory

DESCRIPTION

"A parameter that specifies the maximum number of seconds PRO-TRACTS can increment or decrement the offset once offset transitioning is warranted. This value overrides the fraction of the cycle suggested by the F thresholds"

PRO-TRACTS Parameter	Value
F-Threshold1	0.25
F-Threshold2	0.75
F-Threshold3	1.0
sumThresh	5
binSize	5
numberOfCycles	1
shiftValue	10
updateFrequency	1
offsetStep1	5
offsetStep2	15
offsetStep3	30
maxStep	40

 Table 9-14 Example of PRO-TRACTS Parameters

PRO-TRACTS saves the actuation of several previous cycles to use in its evaluation of the offset performance. The number of cycles' actuation needed for evaluation purposes depends on the numberOfCycles and the updateFrequency parameters discussed in the previous section. Although several cycles' actuation could be used, controllers that support PRO-TRACTS implementation should define how many cycles could be stored. The maxCycleSaved parameter determines how many cycles' actuation can be saved in this controller where the cycleSaved parameter determines the actual number of cycles' actuation saved as determined by the user. The ASN for saved cycles attributes is shown in Table 9-15. An example value for cyclesSaved is shown in Table 9-16.

Table 9-15 PRO-TRACTS Saved Cycles

X.5 Max Number of Saved Cycles
maxCyclesSaved OBJECT-TYPE
SYNTAX INTEGER (0255)
ACCESS read-only
Status mandatory
DESCRIPTION
"Maximum number of cycles over which count and occupancy profiles can be saved"
X.6 Number of Saved Cycles
cyclesSaved OBJECT-TYPE
SYNTAX INTEGER (0255)
ACCESS read-write
Status mandatory
DESCRIPTION
"The number of cycles over which latest count and occupancy profiles are saved. This
number is not to exceed the value of maxCycleSaved object"

5

areal a a Carrad	
evelessaveo	
c y cicoba v ca	

The occupancy table stores the occupancy profiles over all saved cycles and for all PRO-TRACTS detectors. Each entry in the table is the occupancy profile of one PRO-TRACTS detector over a previous cycle. The table is laid out such that each entry is a PRO-TRACTS detector number, a cycle number, and an occupancy profile. The combination of the PRO-TRACTS detector number and the cycle number form the primary key in the table. The occupancy profile is a sequence of occupancy values averaged over the bin size, as defined earlier.

Figure 9-12 shows a conceptual occupancy profile's table. The ASN for the occupancy profile is shown in Table 9-17.





Table 9-17 PRO-TRACTS Occupancy Table

X.7 Occupancy Table occupancyProfileTable OBJECT-TYPE SYNTAX SEQUENCE OF occupancyProfileEntry ACCESS not-accessible STATUS mandatory DESCRIPTION

"A table containing occupancy profile collected for all PRO-TRACTS detectors over the cycles saved in this unit. The number of rows in this table is equal to the active PRO-TRACTSDetectors object value multiplied by cyclesSaved object value" occupancyProfileEntry OBJECT-TYPE SYNTAX occupancyProfileEntry ACCESS not-accessible

STATUS mandatory

DESCRIPTION

"The occupancy profile data over the bins collected for one of the PRO-TRACTS

detectors in the device over one of the saved cycles"

INDEX {PRO-TRACTSDetectorNumber, cycleNumber}

occupancyProfileEntry::= SEQUENCE{

cycleNumber INTEGER,

occupancyProfileBins occupancyProfileBins}

X.7.1 Occupancy Profile Cycle Number

occupancyCycleNumber OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX INTEGER (0..255)

ACCESS read-only

Status mandatory

DESCRIPTION

"Cycle number for objects in this row. The value shall not exceed the cyclesSaved object value"

X.7.2 Occupancy Profile

occupancyProfileBins OBJECT-TYPE SYNTAX occupancyProfileBins

ACCESS not-accessible

STATUS mandatory

DESCRIPTION

DESCRIPTION

"The occupancy profile data over the bins collected for one of the PRO-TRACTS detectors in the device over occupancyCycleNumber object value" occupancyProfileBins::= SEQUENCE{ occupancyBin INTEGER}

X.7.2.1 Occupancy Profile bin dataoccupancyBinOBJECT TYPESYNTAXINTEGER (0..255)ACCESSread-onlySTATUSmandatory

DESCRIPTION

"Average PRO-TRACTS detector occupancy collected over binSize object value. This value should range from 0 to 100."

The count table stores the count profiles over all saved cycles and for all PRO-TRACTS detectors. Similar to the occupancy table, each entry in the table is a PRO-TRACTS detector number, a cycle number, and a count profile as shown in Figure 9-13. The ASN definition is shown in Table 9-18.





Table 9-18 PRO-TRACTS Count Table

X.8 Count Table

countProfileTable OBJECT-TYPE SYNTAX SEQUENCE OF countProfileEntry ACCESS not-accessible STATUS mandatory DESCRIPTION

"A table containing count profile collected for all PRO-TRACTS detectors over the cycles saved in this unit. The number of rows in this table is equal to the active PRO-TRACTSDetectors object value multiplied by cyclesSaved object value" countProfileEntry OBJECT-TYPE SYNTAX countProfileEntry ACCESS not-accessible

STATUS mandatory

DESCRIPTION

"The count profile data over the bins collected for one of the PRO-TRACTS detectors in the device over one of the saved cycles"

INDEX {PRO-TRACTSDetectorNumber, cycleNumber}

countProfileEntry::= SEQUENCE{

cycleNumber INTEGER,

countProfileBins countProfileBins}

X.8.1 Count Profile Cycle Number

countCycleNumber OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX INTEGER (0..255)

ACCESS read-only

Status mandatory

DESCRIPTION

"Cycle number for objects in this row. The value shall not exceed the cyclesSaved object value"

X.8.2 count Profile

countProfileBins OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX countProfileBins

ACCESS not-accessible

STATUS mandatory

DESCRIPTION

"The count profile data over the bins collected for one of the PRO-TRACTS detectors in the device over countCycleNumber object value" countProfileBins::= SEQUENCE{

countBin INTEGER}

X.8.2.1 Count Profile bin datacountBinOBJECT TYPESYNTAXINTEGER (0..255)ACCESSread-onlySTATUSmandatory

DESCRIPTION

"Average PRO-TRACTS detector count collected over binSize object value in a scale of 0 to 100 where 100 to 1 vehicle/second"
9.4.3 Memory Requirements

The occupancy and count profile tables are the most demanding PRO-TRACTS parameters in terms of memory. The memory size needed for both tables would obviously depend on the maxCyclesSaved and maxPRO-TRACTSDetectors parameters. A memory requirement estimate is shown in Table 9-19. Note that the calculation takes into account the extreme case of the user selecting a binSize of 1, which will require 255 bins in the occupancy and count profiles. It was also assumed that each of the occupancyBin and countBin values can be stored in one byte. In the example, one can see that the memory required would be 12,750 bytes plus a few hundred additional bytes to hold the information defined in Table 9-7, Table 9-9, Table 9-11, Table 9-12, Table 9-13 and Table 9-15. Alternatively, if a bin size of five seconds was selected, the memory required would be a more modest 2,550 bytes for the occupancy and count profiles.

Table 9-19 Example Memory Requirements

maxPRO-TRACTSDetectors	10
maxCyclesSaved	5
Occupancy Table	10 (detectors)*5(cycles)*255(bins)*1(byte)=6,375 Bytes
Count Table	10 (detectors)*5(cycles)*255(bins)*1(byte)=6,375 Bytes

9.5 Chapter's Conclusion

This chapter provided an overview of hardware-in-the-loop simulation and NTCIP communication and described how hardware-in-the-loop simulation is integrated with NTCIP compliant controllers to evaluate PRO-TRACTS performance prior to field

implementation. Finally, a new NTCIP object was defined for capturing detector actuation at the controller level. These objects were defined in ASN.1 and example data screens were presented. The chapter concluded by showing that the memory demands of new PRO-TRACTS detectors would be on the amount of 3,000 to 13,000 bytes, depending upon the binSize (X.4.5) selected, which are relatively modest memory requirements given the capabilities of most controllers.

Finally, for conceptual simplicity "binSize" was defined instead of the minimum number of bins. In a future deployment, the minimum number of bins may be a better method of specifying the Spacing of the count and occupancy tables.

CHAPTER 10- CASE STUDY: US31, KOKOMO, INDIANA

This chapter discusses the results of the application of PRO-TRACTS to US 31 in Kokomo, Indiana both with CORSIM's internal Model and hardware-in-the-loop with the NTCIP controllers described in the previous chapter in section 9.3. The studied network consists of seven intersections in US31 between Lincoln Street and Sycamore Drive, shown in Figure 10-1. The intersection layout, ring structure, timings, detector configuration, phase parameters, traffic volumes, and turning percentages are listed in Appendix B. The impacts of applying PRO-TRACTS during the a.m. and p.m. peak periods will be discussed. The application of the hardware-in-the-loop with NTCIP controllers and offset transitioning will be given special emphasis.



Figure 10-1 US 31 – Lincoln Street and Sycamore Drive

10.1 Phase Timings

All phase timings and parameters were obtained from INDOT. Those timings were designed with Synchro for both the a.m. and p.m. peak periods. Table 10-1 lists the offsets and phase splits for the seven studied intersections.

			OFFSET									
INT	TIME	CYC	COR	CON	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	Am	92	0	37	15	37	15	19	15	37		34
1	Pm	116	0	99	15	64	16	21	23	56		37
2	Am	92	55	83	14	46	14	18	24	36	14	18
2	Pm	116	64	46	14	65	14	23	33	46	14	23
3	Am	92	13	44	26	31		35	14	43	14	21
3	Pm	116	18	112	29	50		37	14	65	14	23
4	Am	92	3	34	14	43	14	21	14	43		35
4	Pm	116	107	90	26	53	15	22	15	64		37
5	Am	92	10	44	24	33	14	21	17	40	14	21
5	Pm	116	67	55	22	54	21	19	17	59	21	19
6	Am	92	48	80	20	36	15	21	14	42	15	21
6	Pm	116	24	10	20	56	16	24	15	61	16	24
7	Am	92	77	6	18	51		23	16	53		23
7	Pm	116	50	25	17	66		33	12	71		33
8	Am	92	38	47	24	52		16	11	65		16
8	Pm	116	12	98	23	70		23	16	77		23
9	Am	92	68	12	16	36	15	25	14	38	19	21
9	Pm	116	68	72	19	39	32	26	15	43	26	32
10	Am	92	31	52	16	53		23	16	53		23
10	Pm	116	28	7	14	68		34	20	62		34
11	Am	92	83	22	14	43	14	21	14	43	14	21
11	Pm	116	11	113	22	53	14	27	14	61	14	27

Table 10-1 US 31 Coordination Plans and Splits

10.2 PRO-TRACTS Parameters

PRO-TRACTS was run with both CORSIM's internal control logic and hardwarein-the-loop in order to 1) to test the NTCIP offset download procedure, and 2) compare and contrast the results obtained with CORSIM's internal model to the results obtained with hardware-in-the-loop simulation. PRO-TRACTS detectors were set 150 feet upstream of each traffic signal. The incremental thresholds used for the activation for PRO-TRACTS were set at 0.25, 0.75, and 1.0 as discussed in Chapter 7, Section 7.4.2. The algorithm was set to evaluate the cycle profiles at the end of every cycle. Offsets were set to change by 5, 15, and 35 seconds, respectively, depending on the threshold exceeded.

10.3 <u>Simulation Results</u>

CORSIM simulations were run to evaluate the performance of PRO-TRACTS for both a.m. and p.m. peak periods, 6-10 a.m. and 2-6 p.m., respectively, with five different random number seeds. Traffic volumes during the a.m. and p.m. peak periods covered a wide range of traffic demand. Table 10-2 through Table 10-4 show the detailed entry volumes to intersection approaches by the period.

Simulations were conducted with the existing traffic patterns and offsets to study the effect of traffic pattern variation on the performance of PRO-TRACTS. As well as to compare and contrast the results obtained by internal CORSIM's logic and hardware-inthe-loop. PRO_TRACTS was run to coordinate the northbound traffic.

INT	BEG	7AM	8AM	9AM	10A	11A	12P	1PM	2PM	3PM	4PM	5PM	6PM
	END	8AM	9AM	10A	11A	12P	1PM	2PM	3PM	4PM	5PM	6PM	7PM
	EBLT	188	184	159	96	97	113	122	122	148	116	127	127
	EBTH	66	81	72	60	54	57	79	53	78	97	107	116
	EBRT	98	58	60	46	60	44	47	62	45	61	83	73
	WBLT	57	70	57	40	36	37	37	31	37	65	52	44
	WBTH	72	108	101	71	75	70	73	67	90	133	113	114
1 20	WBRT	56	81	77	73	68	102	77	98	68	83	63	66
SR	NBLT	57	100	82	53	53	61	40	64	58	68	86	95
	NBTH	798	982	762	590	551	521	526	581	631	532	684	726
	NBRT	45	36	53	37	41	26	28	45	20	54	41	71
	SBLT	38	59	52	49	51	77	84	78	88	114	95	124
	SBTH	485	654	533	503	478	540	563	585	757	921	993	948
	SBRT	41	78	62	68	100	126	115	109	153	230	207	193
	EBLT	44	63	53	66	85	123	130	112	110	86	97	108
	EBTH	35	79	62	51	87	103	149	125	119	144	153	145
	EBRT	8	21	27	15	32	43	57	45	46	60	73	47
_	WBLT	59	56	68	55	44	45	62	72	53	93	72	67
Dad	WBTH	48	78	69	62	54	88	91	94	107	131	82	165
R	WBRT	112	173	199	158	150	227	227	181	231	162	183	175
nter	NBLT	26	43	45	53	41	63	99	75	79	58	88	73
Cer	NBTH	1042	1326	1105	838	776	887	829	833	1016	787	1008	916
Ŭ	NBRT	49	43	43	31	31	36	40	33	42	33	52	64
	SBLT	72	135	159	182	218	322	418	256	331	335	364	358
	SBTH	568	726	606	657	723	790	796	869	1102	1315	1507	1409
	SBRT	13	35	42	42	59	104	105	95	104	131	133	116
	EBLT	16	36	25	40	43	47	55	59	48	41	40	45
	EBTH	31	46	86	70	105	121	158	113	119	113	160	151
	EBRT	1	6	4	6	11	10	14	20	18	18	24	20
	WBLT	35	64	59	48	62	73	92	88	93	99	125	110
рі	WBTH	43	68	105	91	91	122	129	121	101	135	135	126
Roź	WBRT	34	47	43	34	44	48	71	43	46	40	40	57
[0]	NBLT	121	255	273	275	264	317	386	361	301	362	361	386
Al	NBTH	1093	1490	1124	772	718	864	846	720	1014	860	959	931
	NBRT	64	99	64	57	55	75	50	25	94	45	83	56
	SBLT	15	37	59	63	65	110	118	61	52	42	69	131
	SBTH	425	673	601	536	660	789	877	711	1138	1326	1363	1203
	SBRT	6	29	46	58	66	71	76	50	60	48	64	57
	EBLT	117	162	125	109	121	185	197	184	182	129	185	190
	EBTH	36	83	126	114	123	200	200	156	153	227	263	376
	EBRT	8	3	6	16	22	33	35	36	39	54	56	57
-i	WBLT	16	23	31	14	22	55	23	30	29	49	55	63
lve	WBTH	63	101	151	121	150	199	205	166	190	231	233	210
y E	WBRT	22	45	59	39	44	49	100	96	72	88	50	87
wa	NBLT	7	21	37	37	37	52	49	60	66	55	69	70
ith	NBTH	, 1015	340	1088	848	761	856	863	800	1073	869	953	907
Sou	NBRT	16	36	34	25	10	36	29	33	34	29	44	54
	SRIT	15	14	36	<u> </u>	60	122	152	108	107	115	164	16/
	SBLI	408	678	711	666	789	960	89/	862	1098	448	297	465
	SBPT	33	78	03	63	116	218	122	130	1/1	175	173	168
	SDLI	55	10	75	05	110	210	122	150	141	1/3	1/3	100

Table 10-2 US 31 Detailed Traffic Volume (vph Table 1 of 3)

r	I	ir	Т		n		r		r	r	r		
INT	BEG	7AM	8AM	9AM	10A	11A	12P	1PM	2PM	3PM	4PM	5PM	6PM
1111	END	8AM	9AM	10A	11A	12P	1PM	2PM	3PM	4PM	5PM	6PM	7PM
	EBLT	56	82	99	113	146	186	204	175	236	256	178	278
	EBTH	280	339	240	137	164	184	416	281	367	323	296	301
ıt	EBRT	45	89	63	60	101	78	110	145	162	296	136	235
	WBLT	41	29	73	55	96	137	121	93	141	241	280	320
tree	WBTH	230	182	199	128	213	140	239	166	446	343	395	327
S	WBRT	85	158	124	100	151	253	175	95	191	234	192	146
solr	NBLT	252	209	119	122	102	272	114	123	236	122	97	137
jinc	NBTH	709	1119	950	868	770	1016	970	934	1026	1056	1118	1146
Г	NBRT	232	407	210	63	68	41	138	129	106	79	51	90
	SBLT	140	189	122	94	91	206	219	179	147	127	117	144
	SBTH	416	698	695	771	763	1132	934	1053	1012	1237	1175	1269
	SBRT	83	91	53	54	100	83	80	117	183	121	97	99
	EBLT	58	121	61	42	77	84	107	71	208	180	95	89
	EBTH	157	237	139	107	110	262	266	205	389	395	239	216
	EBRT	47	107	119	115	120	236	193	217	216	302	229	259
di.	WBLT	86	99	60	57	66	120	87	76	189	118	99	87
Av	WBTH	276	293	192	144	207	395	249	170	590	403	338	165
rd	WBRT	35	43	38	25	65	176	52	40	92	64	56	34
eva	NBLT	80	191	149	164	135	263	225	160	231	211	159	153
Boule	NBTH	721	985	909	853	1010	1306	1200	1034	1217	1284	1311	1214
	NBRT	97	90	86	47	68	103	36	74	80	80	69	67
	SBLT	85	68	50	36	44	176	138	95	152	83	61	62
	SBTH	572	778	823	828	768	988	1074	963	1145	1173	1113	1185
	SBRT	96	49	58	50	66	102	66	102	77	116	54	55
	EBLT	33	52	63	78	119	228	198	235	204	204	197	197
	EBTH	6	30	22	28	16	37	32	25	26	31	32	32
	EBRT	57	45	49	73	77	152	115	186	130	146	119	119
	WBLT	2	7	6	18	15	39	20	18	15	17	13	13
н Н	WBTH	2	13	15	14	15	34	27	24	35	24	26	26
r S	WBRT	5	15	27	28	40	39	38	29	29	27	33	33
ffe	NBLT	50	95	98	69	56	117	121	138	103	114	110	110
Ho	NBTH	680	897	813	805	826	1104	1095	1185	1287	1245	1163	1163
	NBRT	5	21	17	14	8	15	14	13	15	13	9	9
	SBLT	12	18	42	37	33	41	42	24	31	24	33	33
	SBTH	819	761	772	810	779	1231	1040	1256	1129	1212	1162	1162
	SBRT	41	65	84	107	99	276	168	213	148	208	151	151
	EBLT	1	0	7	12	36	89	53	98	76	67	60	83
	EBTH	0	4	6	6	15	19	15	16	17	17	25	27
	EBRT	3	5	25	14	60	100	60	88	82	103	86	103
	WBLT	5	20	17	23	16	12	9	20	25	18	10	14
.:	WBTH	7	13	14	12	19	23	16	17	17	25	21	28
Ď	WBRT	8	24	30	27	26	21	9	25	17	35	33	32
voy	NBLT	7	13	32	63	94	218	101	157	121	133	126	148
Sav	NBTH	741	968	792	865	1039	1247	626	1134	1161	1487	1337	1306
	NBRT	6	1	8	4	5	7	3	8	1	12	6	5
	SBLT	4	12	7	15	24	38	17	25	31	28	66	61
	SBTH	970	937	973	857	1085	1265	646	1156	1471	1291	1342	1265
	SBRT	1	8	3	22	56	93	49	94	89	63	76	89
	~~~~										~~		~-

Table 10-3 US 31 Detailed Traffic Volume (vph Table 2 of 3)

	1		1										
INT	BEG	7AM	8AM	9AM	10A	11A	12P	1PM	2PM	3PM	4PM	5PM	6PM
1111	END	8AM	9AM	10A	11A	12P	1PM	2PM	3PM	4PM	5PM	6PM	7PM
	EBLT	55	78	83	105	107	160	188	177	204	298	215	324
	EBTH	103	164	214	294	390	488	435	440	514	620	567	602
e.	EBRT	37	38	47	68	66	130	76	79	73	106	76	107
	WBLT	88	102	133	154	238	240	241	245	286	376	253	270
Av	WBTH	133	258	242	222	351	408	457	382	500	525	467	471
pr	WBRT	104	133	135	121	149	215	200	164	220	278	227	246
clar	NBLT	55	96	100	90	106	151	159	117	142	189	158	150
ark	NBTH	645	689	614	614	651	734	749	688	948	1067	933	964
Σ	NBRT	70	116	103	201	171	299	213	259	219	282	256	301
	SBLT	88	106	132	176	215	238	197	204	231	270	288	258
	SBTH	726	712	741	598	640	755	644	664	939	986	798	868
	SBRT	49	83	109	109	110	119	114	87	113	107	92	119
	EBTH	22	59	65	41	73	115	81	105	133	151	182	194
	EBRT	26	38	30	45	50	67	98	58	65	57	71	87
	WBLT	6	23	23	16	8	22	45	39	27	42	28	32
Ħ.	WBTH	24	55	70	45	58	79	125	115	123	111	105	97
rS	WBRT	58	89	59	50	40	67	72	96	122	139	124	103
Inte	NBLT	18	35	27	49	44	100	77	63	72	94	65	56
Ca	NBTH	731	923	732	730	768	976	994	1003	1203	1428	1265	1236
	NBRT	5	10	13	4	10	25	27	28	15	16	19	28
	SBLT	52	61	78	33	54	115	79	94	102	132	141	125
	SBTH	924	1035	999	851	853	1092	1058	1075	1141	1223	1035	1150
	SBRT	15	24	31	23	48	52	31	38	39	45	37	55
	EBTH	27	48	41	36	35	57	61	62	51	115	116	106
	EBRT	56	51	62	89	83	114	94	102	85	173	124	128
	WBLT	33	56	105	67	67	73	86	76	59	80	97	106
Rc	WBTH	53	92	101	54	60	74	65	55	73	102	93	98
ore	WBRT	25	26	15	21	18	10	33	46	14	15	20	21
mu	NBLT	55	108	120	98	129	148	169	158	205	188	186	133
ycĩ	NBTH	946	853	688	622	762	941	922	980	1430	1253	1370	1174
Ś	NBRT	46	56	61	36	48	64	55	72	52	74	73	69
	SBLT	3	15	8	8	10	15	14	10	25	28	25	12
	SBTH	1030	927	829	825	847	960	838	921	1153	1089	1113	1105
	SBRT	48	58	44	53	55	70	79	65	64	64	69	65

Table 10-4 US 31 Detailed Traffic Volume (vph Table 3 of 3)

### 10.3.1.1 CORSIM's Internal Model Simulation

The performance of the algorithm during the a.m. period with the internal model is documented in Figure 10-2 to Figure 10-4. Figure 10-2 shows the northbound cumulative travel time through the arterial, while Figure 10-3 shows the southbound cumulative travel time. Both figures were obtained with CORSIM's internal logic simulation. The two lines in the figure represent the results from the case with Synchro timing plans and the case with PRO-TRACTS running for the north bound direction. Figure 10-2 shows a reduction of 7%, 4%, and 3% in the northbound travel time during the first hour, second hour, and last two hours, respectively. Figure 10-3 shows a reduction of 2% in southbound travel time during the first hour of simulation and an increase of 1% during the last two hours of simulation. The total system delay shown in Figure 10-4 decreased by 28% during the first hour and then increased by 16%, 65%, and 10% during the last three hours respectively. The total number of stops decreased by 27% during the first hour and increased by 2%, 17%, and 4% during the last three hours. These results show that PRO-TRACTS performed better than Synchro's plans during the first hour only. It should be emphasized that these results were obtained using CORSIM's internal simulation model, which has a very primitive offset transitioning algorithm. Subsequent sections will discuss the significance of the offset transitioning algorithm. However, the impact of just changing the offset can have on a system is illustrated in the third hour in Figure 10-4. The delay with the offset seeking increased by 65%.







# 10.3.1.2 Hardware-in-the-Loop Simulation

The performance of the algorithm with hardware-in-the-loop simulation during the a.m. period is shown in Figure 10-5 through Figure 10-7. Hardware-in-the-loop simulation did not show a difference in travel time during the four hours of simulation, as shown in Figure 10-5 and Figure 10-6. Nevertheless, hardware-in-the-loop simulation resulted in a reduction of 1% in cumulative travel time during the first hour, followed by 2% during the last three hours respectively. The overall system delay averaged to zero, with a decrease of 1% and 2 % during the first and last hours and about 1% and 3 % during the second and third hours respectively.









# 10.3.2 A.M. Offset and Cycle Transitioning

Figure 10-8 shows the transitioning in the offsets and cycle length for each of the seven studied intersections evaluated using CORSIM's internal model. Figure 10-8-a shows the transitioning in the offset values with the internal model's run. Figure 10-8-a shows a stable transitioning during the first hour, with a continuous decrease in offset during the remainder of the simulation. Figure 10-9 shows the transitioning for each of the seven studied intersections, evaluated using hardware-in-the-loop. Unlike the CORSIM internal model's offset transitioning, hardware-in-the-loop simulation showed a more stable transitioning because it uses the actual offset transitioning provided by Econolite controllers. An interesting pattern that can be observed in Figure 10-9-a is the cyclic offset transitioning at node 9 instead of the continuous decrease in offset that the node went through in Figure 10-8-a. Figure 10-8-b shows a higher fluctuation in the cycle length with CORSIM's internal model than with the Econolite controller depicted in Figure 10-9-b.

Actual Offset Transitioning



a) Offset Transitioning



Cycle Transitioning

b) Cycle Transitioning

Figure 10-8 US 31 Offset and Cycle Transitions-A.M. Period, Internal CORSIM Model

Actual Offset Transitioning



a) Offset Transitioning

Cycle Transitioning



b) Cycle Transitioning

Figure 10-9 US 31 Offset and Cycle Transitions-A.M. Period with Hardware-in-the-Loop

#### 10.3.3 P.M. Travel Time, Stops, and Delay

Figure 10-10 and Figure 10-11 show the cumulative travel time for northbound and southbound, respectively, with the internal model's simulation. Figure 10-10 shows a reduction of 1%, 2%, 2%, and 3 % in northbound cumulative travel time, while Figure 10-11 shows an increase of 12% during the four hours of simulation. The overall system delay increased by about 14% during the four hours of simulation, as shown in Figure 10-12. The figure also shows an increase in the total number of stops of 4% during the first three hours and 2% during the last hour.

Figure 10-13 to Figure 10-15 show the performance of the algorithm during the p.m. period for the hardware-in-the-loop simulation. Hardware-in-the-loop performed much better than the internal model during the p.m. period. The reduction in cumulative travel time was 7% during the four hours as shown in Figure 10-13 while the increase in travel time for the south bound stayed at 2% during the first three hours and increased during the last hour to 6%.

The overall system delay was reduced by 10%, 14%, 11 % and 9 % respectively as shown in Figure 10-15. The total number of stops was reduced by 4%, 2%, 1% and 11% respectively.

Note that Figure 10-10 and Figure 10-13 show larger error bars at the nodes outside of the hardware-in-the-loop system in Figure 10-11 and Figure 10-13. The offset values at these nodes remained fixed and were not adjusted to reference the upstream node's offset during the simulation because only seven controllers were available in the lab.















b) Total Number of Stops

Figure 10-15 US 31 Total Delay and Number of Stops-P.M. Period with Hardware-in-the-Loop

### 10.3.4 P.M. Offset and Cycle Transitioning

Figure 10-16 and Figure 10-17 show the transitioning in the offsets and cycle length for each of the seven studied intersections for CORSIM's internal model's run and the hardware-in-the-loop simulation respectively. Note that the offset transitioning patterns are different in the two cases. Looking more closely, it can be seen that nodes 6, 7, and 8 had almost the same transitioning pattern in both figures, while nodes 9, 10, and 11 transitioned quite differently. Figure 10-18 provides an insight into the reason for this phenomenon, whereby it shows the main street green during a normal simulation run (without PRO-TRACTS). Note the cyclic pattern of early-return-to-green at node 9 compared to node 8. Figure 10-16-b and Figure 10-17-b also show a more stable cycle transitioning with the hardware-in-the-loop simulation.

Actual Offset Transitioning



a) Offset Transitioning

Cycle Transitioning



b) Cycle Transitioning

Figure 10-16 US 31 Offset and Cycle Transitions-P.M. Period

Actual Offset Transitioning



a) Offset Transitioning

Cycle Transitioning



b) Cycle Transitioning

Figure 10-17 US 31 Offset and Cycle Transitions-P.M. Period with Hardware-in-the-Loop



Some spill back and lane blockage were observed when looking at CORSIM's animation file, especially at node 9 which explains in part the cyclic early return to green pattern, these conditions are shown in Figure 10-19.



Figure 10-19 Lane Blockage at Node 9

#### 10.4 Chapter's Conclusion

This chapter studied the adaptation of PRO-TRACTS to changes in traffic patterns of US31 in Kokomo, Indiana. Simulations were run with both CORSIM's internal model and hardware-in-the-loop. The study found that PRO-TRACTS consistently resulted in an improvement in travel time in the arterial direction to which it was applied.

Although PRO-TRACTS running with CORSIM's internal model showed some reduction in travel time, the internal transitioning mechanism in CORSIM had a negative effect on PRO-TRACTS stability. On the other hand, the hardware-in-the-loop simulation was found to be more stable than CORSIM's internal model. The hardware-in-the-loop simulation was also found to be more robust than CORSIM's internal model for unstable on oscillatory traffic patterns. The benefits averaged to 7 % savings in travel time for the northbound direction over Synchro's timing plans with an average saving of 11% in the total network delay.

# CHAPTER 11- CONCLUSION AND FUTURE RESEARCH

Over the last few decades, several efforts have been made in the development of adaptive and responsive traffic control systems that can account for short and long-term changes in traffic patterns in their real-time optimization of traffic network. However, adaptive control systems typically require an extensive input of system parameters and weighting factors for favoring individual traffic movements, plus a large number of vehicle detectors to collect movement-specific traffic data.

In a parallel track, coordinated-actuated systems continued to be deployed in arterial systems to provide efficient operation with their ability to respond to cycle-bycycle variations in traffic demand, while still being able to provide progression for the arterial movement. Traffic signals with a variable actuated-phases demand operating under actuated logic, save a significant amount of delay in arterial systems when compared to fixed-time systems. However, since only the coordinated phase's end of green is deterministic under actuated operations, the same logic that provides efficient operation of intersections also creates what is know as the early-return-to-green phenomenon, a phenomenon that is caused by the stochastic nature of traffic flow on individual intersections.

An adaptive real-time offset transitioning algorithm (PRO-TRACTS) was developed in this research. PRO-TRACTS can be viewed as an integrated optimization approach designed to work with traditional coordinated-actuated systems and adds to the

266

controllers the ability to adaptively change their offsets in response to changes in traffic patterns. This algorithm provides an intermediate solution between traditional coordinated-actuated control systems and adaptive control systems.

# 11.1 <u>Theoretical Contribution</u>

The report introduced a new metric by which offset performance can be evaluated. The new metric, namely the F' model, makes use of the fact that bad offsets at downstream intersections generate backward shockwaves that can extend to the upstream detector location. Figure 5-3 and Figure 5-4 illustrate this concept. The metric developed in this research tests for the significance of the presence of shockwaves by calculating a proxy to the variance of the reciprocal of the speed at the upstream detector. The philosophy behind this procedure is that a large variance in speed suggests that a shockwave has a significant effect on the traffic flow. This procedure is modeled after the analysis of variance F distribution testing. Discriminant analysis is used in Chapter 7 to develop thresholds to which the F' value is compared to determine the amount of offset adjustment needed.

The algorithm uses a unique cycle-based tabulation of occupancy and count profiles at the upstream detector to calculate the F' value. The effect of shockwaves generated due to the offset on the occupancy and count profile can be clearly seen in Figure 6-2 and Figure 6-6.

### 11.2 Deployment Contribution

A new NTCIP object for capturing a cycle-based detector actuation profile at the controller level was defined in this report (Figure 9-12 and Figure 9-13). The defined object will enable the implementation of PRO-TRACTS in the field by allowing real-time exchange of the data required to fine-tune the offsets.

# 11.3 Case Studies

Two simulation case studies were conducted on two traffic networks: SR 26 in Lafayette, Indiana and US31 in Kokomo, Indiana. The studies showed that for a network with poor or sub-optimal offsets, PRO-TRACTS consistently resulted in an improvement in both the travel time and the number of stops in the arterial direction to which it was applied, with a greater impact in reducing the number of stops. In the SR26 case study, PRO-TRACTS achieved a performance level close to that of Synchro's timing plans, while it achieved a better performance than Synchro's plans in the US 31 case study. The magnitude of such an improvement depends on the existing performance of the network offsets. Obviously, if there is only minor room for improvement, one can only expect that much. On the other hand, when starting with a system with poor offsets, computer simulations revealed up to 16% savings in the total travel time and up to 43% savings in the total number of stops for the coordinated movement.

#### 11.4 Limitations and Future Research

It was found that in some situations, PRO-TRACTS caused an increase in total network delay compared to system-optimum timings when activated with optimum

268
timing plans. These results were not surprising since favoring a directional movement is an additional constraint in the system optimization function. When enforcing a constraint such as favoring a certain traffic movement, the best that can be achieved in the overall system objective function is to keep it constant. However, if the current timing plans were not the system optimum plans, PRO-TRACTS can result in a reduction in total system delay as well.

Adjusting offsets in real-time caused some stability problems when PRO-TRACTS was run with CORSIM's internal control model. The hardware-in-the-loop simulations of the US 31 traffic network in Kokomo, Indiana revealed that field traffic controllers are more stable than CORSIM's internal model in responding to real-time offset adjustment. For example, compare Figure 10-16 and Figure 10-17. Table 11-1 shows a summary of MOEs, comparing the results obtained with the internal model and the hardware-in-the-loop simulations. The table shows better performance obtained with the hardware-in-the-loop simulation, which provides a more realistic results.

MOE	Hour	A.M. Period		P.M. Period		
		Hardware-	Internal	Hardware-	Internal	
		in-the-Loop	Model	in-the-Loop	Model	
			Simulation		Simulation	
NB (PRO-TRACTS)	1	0 %	7 %	6 %	1 %	
Cumulative Travel	2	0 %	4 %	6 %	2 %	
Time in Comparison	3	0 %	3 %	7 %	2 %	
to Synchro's Plan	4	0 %	3 %	7 %	3 %	
SB Cumulative Travel	1	0 %	2 %	-2 %	-12 %	
Time in Comparison	2	0 %	0 %	-3 %	-12 %	
to Synchro's Plan	3	0 %	-1 %	-2 %	-12 %	
	4	0 %	-1 %	-6 %	-12 %	
Total System Delay in	1	-1 %	28 %	10 %	-15 %	
Comparison to	2	1 %	-16 %	14 %	-16 %	
Synchro's Plan	3	3 %	-65 %	11 %	-13 %	
	4	-2 %	-10 %	9 %	-13 %	
Total Number of Stops	1	1 %	27 %	4 %	-4 %	
in Comparison to	2	2 %	-2 %	2 %	-4 %	
Synchro's Plan	3	2 %	-17 %	1 %	-2 %	
	4	2 %	-4 %	11 %	-2 %	

Table 11-1 Summary of PRO-TRACTS Improvements Using Internal and Hardware-inthe-Loop Simulation on US 31

The platooning phenomenon has an effect on all coordination schemes since platoon dispersion can make it impossible to fit the whole platoon within a downstream green window (Figure 7-4). The platooning phenomenon was found to have significant impacts on the performance of PRO-TRACTS. When the traffic turning from the side streets is a low percentage compared to the arterial's through-movement, a good coordination scheme would align the arterial through-movement with the green window, leaving the turning traffic from the side streets to face the red window. This situation causes only a weak shockwave resulting from the turning traffic (Figure 5-4) compared to the strong shockwave that results from a bad offset that align the platoon with the red window (Figure 5-3). However, if the side street traffic volume approaches that of the arterial's through, even the turning traffic from the side streets will generate a strong shockwave (Figure 5-6) that could cause PRO-TRACTS activation.

The ideal location for a PRO-TRACTS detector is between the termination of the shockwave generated by the side street turning traffic and the shockwave generated by the arterial's traffic (Figure 7-6). The algorithm is best suited for arterials with primarily through-traffic since a wider separation is provided between the two shockwaves.

Another factor that affected the stability of PRO-TRACTS was the frequency of phase skips and oscillatory traffic patterns caused by certain situations, such as spillbacks or lane blockages. Since PRO-TRACTS is a reactive algorithm, it assumes that the next cycle's arrivals are comparable to the current cycle's arrivals. With phase skips (Figure 8-8), the discrepancy in arrival patterns of succeeding cycles causes PRO-TRACTS offsets to fluctuate, reducing its efficiency. Future research should address this issue.

The case studies, summarized in Table 11-1, investigated in this research found that PRO-TRACTS has always caused an improvement in the direction to which it was applied. However, the impact on the other direction was typically an increase in the travel time. Although coordination usually takes place during the peak period when traffic is typically at its highest in one direction, there might be situations when the two direction of traffic are almost equal, in which a case, it is difficult to decide which direction to coordinate. Future research should also consider this situation by expanding the algorithm to work in two directions.

## LIST OF REFERENCES

Andrews, C. M., and S. M. Elahi. "Evaluation of New Jersey Route 18 OPAC/MIST Traffic Control System." Presented at the 76th Annual Meeting of the Transportation Research Board, Washington, DC, January 1997.

Avery, D. J. Jr., and Kenneth G. "Method for Reviewing and Assessing PASSER II and TRANSYT 7-F Signal Timing Optimization outputs." Proceedings of 4th International Conference on Microcomputers in Transportation. American Society of Civil Engineers, New York, NY, 1993, pp. 297-308.

Baass, Karsten G. and Serge Lefebvre. "Analysis of Platoon Dispersion with Respect to Traffic Volume." Transportation Research Record 1194, Transportation Research Board, National Research Council, Washington, DC, 1988, pp. 64-76.

Black, John R. "Richardson, Texas Advanced Traffic Management System." <u>http://www.naztec.com/richatms/richatms.htm</u>. Accessed 1998.

Bullock, D. and A. Catarella. "A Real-Time Simulation Environment for Evaluating Traffic Signal Systems." Transportation Research Record, #1634, National Research Council, Washington, DC, 1998, pp. 130-135.

Bullock, D and T Urbanik. "Traffic Signal Systems: Addressing Diverse Technologies and Complex User Needs." Transportation in the New Millennium. National Research Council, Washington DC, 2000.

Castle, David E., and John W. Bonniville. "Platoon Dispersion over Long Road Links." Transportation Research Record 1021, Transportation Research Board, National Research Council, Washington, DC, 1985, pp. 36-44.

Chang, E. C. P. and Joseph Koothrappally. "Field Verification of Coordinated Actuated Control." Transportation Research Record 1456. Transportation Research Board, National Research Council, Washington DC, 1994, pp. 83-90.

Chang, E. C. P. "Guidelines for Actuated Controllers in Coordinated Systems." Transportation Research Record 1554, Transportation Research Board, National Research Council, Washington DC, 1996, pp. 61-73. Collins, J.F., and P. Gower. "Dispersion of Traffic Platoons on A4 in Hounslow." TRRL Report SR 29UC, U.K. Transport and Road Research Laboratory, Crowthorne, Berkshire, England, 1974.

Denney, Richard W. "Traffic Platoon Dispersion Modelling." Journal of Transportation Engineering, American Association of Civil Engineers, New York, NY, March 1989.

Econolite Control Products. <u>Advanced System Controller ASC/2 Programming Manual</u>. Anaheim, CA, 1996.

Engelbrecht, R., C. Poe, and K. Balke. "Development of a Distributed Hardware-In-The-Loop Simulation System for Transportation Networks," Transportation Research Board Annual Meeting, National Research Council, Washington, DC, 1999, Preprint #990599.

Federal Highway Administration. <u>Transportation Planning and ITS: Putting Pieces</u> <u>Together.</u> Sarah J. Siwek & Associates, United States Department of Transportation, Public Technologies INC, Washington DC, 1996.

Ficklin, N.C. "For and Against Semi-actuated Signals." Traffic Engineering, March 1973.

Fischer. J. W., "The Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century (TEA21) and the Federal Budget", CRS Report 98-749 E, September 4, 1998.

Gartner, Nathan H. "OPAC: A Demand-Responsive Strategy for Traffic Signal Control." Transportation Research Record 906. Transportation Research Board, National Research Council, Washington DC, 1983.

Gartner, Nathan H., Philip J. Tarnoff and Christina M. Andrews. "Evaluation of Optimized Policies for Adaptive Control Strategy." Transportation Research Record 1324. TRB, National Research Council, Washington DC, 1991.

Gartner, Nathan H., Chronis Stamatiadis, and Phillip J. Tarnoff. "Development of Advanced Traffic Signal Control Strategies for Intelligent Transportation Systems: Mulitlevel Design." Transportation Research Record 1494, Transportation Research Board, National Research Council, Washington DC, 1995.

Gordon, Robert I., R.A. Reiss, H. Haenel, E.R. Case, R.L. French, A. Mohaddes, and Wolcott. <u>Traffic Control Systems Handbook.</u> FHWA, United States Department of Transportation, Washington DC, 1996.

Head, K. Larry, Pitu B. Mirchandani and Dennis Sheppard. "Hierarchical Framework for Real-Time Traffic Control." Transportation Research Record 1360, Transportation Research Board, National Research Council, Washington DC, 1992. Head, K. Larry. "Event-Based Short-Term Traffic Flow Prediction Model." Transportation Research Record 1510, Transportation Research Board, National Research Council, Washington DC, 1995.

Head, K. Larry, Pitu B. Mirchandani, and Steve Shelby. "The RHODES Prototype: A Description and Some Results." Proceedings from the 77th Annual Meeting of the Transportation Research Board. Transportation Research Board, Washington DC, 1998.

"Highway Capacity Manual." Transportation Research Board Special Report 209, 3rd Edition, TRB, Washington, D.C., 1997.

Hunt, P.B., D.I. Robertson, R.D. Bretherton, and R.I. Winton. "SCOOT- A Traffic Method of Coordinating Signals." Laboratory Report No. LR 1014. Transportation and Road Research, Crowthorne, Bershire, England, 1981.

Husch, David. SimTraffic 1.0 User Guide. Trafficware, Trafficware, Berkely, CA. 1998.

Husch, David. Synchro 3.2 User Guide. Trafficware, Trafficware, Berkely, CA. 1998.

Husch, D, SimTraffic CI Supplemental Guide, Trafficware, Berkely, CA. 1999.

ITS National Architecture, Federal Highway Administration, 1998.

Jovanis, P.P. and J.A. Gregor. "Coordination of Actuated Arterial Traffic Signal Systems." Journal of Transportation Engineering, Volume 112, Number 4. American Society of Civil Engineers, New York, NY, July 1986.

Kaman Sciences Corporation. <u>TSIS User's Guide, Version 4.01</u>. Colorado Springs, CO, 1997A.

Kaman Sciences Corporation. <u>CORSIM User's Manual, Version 1.03</u>. Colorado Springs, CO, 1997B.

Kell, J.H., and I.J. Fullerton. <u>Manual of Traffic Signal Design</u>. Institute of Transportation Engineers, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1991.

Kuhne, R., J. Palen, C. Gardner, S. Ritchie. "Loop-based Travel Time Measurement." Applications of Advanced Technologies in Transportation, American Society of Civil Engineers, Newport Beach, CA, 1998, pp. 175-182.

Lam, J.K. "Studies of a Platoon Dispersion Model and Its Practical Applications." Seventh International Symposium on Transportation and Traffic Theory, Institute of Systems Science Research, Kyoto, Japan, 1977. Lighthill, M.J. and G.B. Whitham "On Kinematic Waves. II. A Theory of Traffic Flow on Long Crowded Roads." Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, Series A, Mathematical and Physical Sciences, Volume 229, Issue 1178, May 10, 1955, pages 317-345.

Manar, Abdelaziz, and Karsten G. Baass. "Traffic Platoon Dispersion Modeling on Arterial Streets." Transportation Research Record 1566, Transportation Research Board, National Research Council, Washington, DC, November 1996, pp. 49-53.

May, Adolf D. Traffic Flow Fundamentals. Englewood Cliffs, N.J. : Prentice Hall, 1990.

McCoy, Patrick T., Elizabeth A., Balderson, Richard T. Hsueh, and Abbas K. Mohaddes "Calibration of TRANSYT Platoon Dispersion Model for Passenger Cars Under Low-Friction Traffic Flow Conditions." Transportation Research Record 905, Transportation Research Board, National Research Council, Washington, DC, 1983, pp. 48-52.

Grace, Muriel J. and Renfrey B. Potts. (12 1964), A Theory of the Diffusion of Traffic Platoons, Opns Res., 255-275.

National Electrical Manufacturers Association. <u>National Transportation Communications</u> <u>for ITS Protocol – the NTCIP Guide. NTCIP 9001 V02.06 (Draft</u>), Washington, D.C., 1999A.

National Electrical Manufacturers Association. <u>National Transportation Communications</u> <u>for ITS Protocol – Virginia DOT Statewide VMS Project. NTCIP 9002 V01.04</u>, Washington, DC, 1999B.

National Electrical Manufacturers Association. <u>National Transportation Communications</u> <u>for ITS Protocol – Washington State DOT NTCIP VMS Software Upgrade</u>. NTCIP 9003 V01.04, Washington, DC, 1999C.

National Electrical Manufacturers Association. <u>National Transportation Communications</u> for ITS Protocol – City of Phoenix, Arizona Phoenix Advanced Transportation <u>Management System. NTCIP 9004 V01.05 (Draft)</u>, Washington, DC, 1999D.

National Electrical Manufacturers Association. <u>National Transportation Communications</u> <u>for ITS Protocol – Overview.</u> Standards Publication No. TS3.1-1996, Washington, DC, 1996.

National Electrical Manufacturers Association. <u>National Transportation Communications</u> <u>for ITS Protocol – Simple Transportation Management Framework</u>. Standards Publication No. TS3.2-1996, Washington, DC, 1996. National Electrical Manufacturers Association. <u>National Transportation Communications</u> <u>for ITS Protocol – Class B Profile.</u> Standards Publication No. TS3.3- 1996, Washington, DC, 1996.

National Electrical Manufacturers Association. <u>National Transportation Communications</u> <u>for ITS Protocol Global Object Definitions</u>. Standards Publication No. TS3.4-1996, Washington, DC, 1996.

National Electrical Manufacturers Association. <u>National Transportation Communications</u> for ITS Protocol Object Definitions for Actuated Traffic Signal Controller Units. Standards Publication No. TS3.5-1996, Washington, DC, 1996.

National Electrical Manufacturers Association. <u>Standards Publication No. TS 1</u>, Washington, DC, 1989.

National Electrical Manufacturers Association. <u>Standards Publication No. TS 2</u>, Washington, DC, 1992.

Nelson, Eric J. "Quantitative Evaluation of Closed-Loop Signal Systems" Master's Thesis, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, 2000.

Pacey, G.M., "The Progress of a Bunch of Vehicles Released from a Traffic Signal." *Research Note No. RN/2665/GMP*, Road Research Laboratory, Crowthorne, Berkshire, England, 1956.

Quinlan, T. Evaluation of Computer Hardware and High-Level Language Software for Field Traffic Control. Technical Report, California Department of Transportation, Sacramento, CA, 1989.

Robertson, D.I., TRANSYT: "A Traffic Network Study Tool." Report No. LR253. Transport and Road Research Laboratory, United Kingdom, 1969.

Rouphail, Nagui, Andrzej Tarko, and Jing Li. "Traffic Flow at Signalized Intersections." Traffic Flow Theory: A State-of-the-Art Report, Transportation Research Board, National Research Council, Washington DC, 1992.

Seddon, P.A., "Another Look at Platoon Dispersion – 3: The Recurrence Relationship." Traffic Engineering and Control, February 1972, pp. 442-444.

Shoup, G. "Traffic Signal System Offset Tuning Procedure Using Travel Time Data." Master's Thesis, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, 1998.

Shoup, G. and D. Bullock, "A Dynamic Offset Tuning Procedure Using Real-Time Travel Data," Transportation Research Record #1683, Transportation Research Board, National Research Council, Washington, DC, 1999, pp. 84-94. Shoup, G. and D. Bullock, "Performance Evaluation of Coordinated-Actuated Traffic Signal Systems." Proceedings of the 1999 ITE Conference, August 1-4, 1999.

Skabardonis, A. "Determination of Timings in Signal Systems with Traffic-Actuated Controllers. Transportation Research Record 1554, Transportation Research Board, National Research Council, Washington, DC, 1996, pp. 18-26.

Stallings, William. "SNMP, SNMPv2, and CMIP: The Practical Guide to Netwoerk Management Standards." Addision Wesley Publishing Company, Inc., Reading, MA, 1995.

Stewart, J. Allan, Katrin Lepik, and M. Van Aerde. "Benefit Sensitivities of Adaptive Traffic Control Strategies at Isolated Traffic Signals." Proceedings from the 77th Annual Meeting of the Transportation Research Board. Transportation Research Board, Washington DC, 1998.

Tompos, Attila. "Real-Time Corridor Simulation Volume Sensitivity Analysis." Master's Project, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, 2000.

"Traffic Controller Assemblies with NTCIP Requirements," TS 2-1998, National, Electrical Manufacturer Association.

*Transportation Infrastructure, Benefits of Traffic Control Signal Systems Are Not Being Fully Realized.* GAO/RCED-94-105, Report to the Chairman, Committee on Energy and Commerce, House of Representatives, United States General Accounting Office, Washington, DC, March 1994.

Wasson, J. M. Abbas, D. Bullock, A. Rhodes, and C. Zhu, "Reconciled Platoon Accommodations at Traffic Signals." FHWA/IN/JTRP-99/01. Joint Transportation Research Program, Purdue University, WL, IN, December 1999.

Wolkomir, R. "A High-Tech Attack on Traffic Jams Helps Motorists Go with the Flow." *Smithsonian*, Vol. 17, No. 1, April, 1986, pp. 40-51.

Appendix A- SR 26 Study Network and Controller Parameters



Figure A- 1 SR 26 (South) Network – Earl Avenue to Creasy Lane



a) Node 1: SR 26 & Earl Avenue



c) Node 3: SR 26 & Post Office



e) Node 5: SR 26 & 36th Street



g) Node 7: SR 26 & Creasy Lane

Figure A- 2 SR 26 Geometries





d) Node 4: SR 26 & Farabee Drive



f) Node 6: SR 26 & Shenendoah Drive

1	2	3	4
ſ			
5 _介	6 پال	7	8
	[L]		ŃĨŔ

1	2	3	4 پال
ſ	) [[]	$\widehat{}$	
ج ج 1	6 پال	7	8
			îr

a) Node 1: SR 26 & Earl Avenue

1	2 行行	4
5	و 10	8 Alfr

c) Node 3: SR 26 & Post Office

1	2	8		4
	Ŷ		JIL	
ſ		hîr		
	~			
5 ①	6 少贝나			

e) Node 5: SR 26 & 36th Street

1	2	3	4
			பிட
	Îſ	5	
	J.	- JF -	
5	<u></u> 6	_ _↑ 7	8
_ ثر	Y	ц ^с	
	×		
			71167

g) Node 7: SR 26 & Creasy Lane

Figure A- 3 Intersection Ring Structures for SR 26

h	Node	$\gamma$ .	SR	26	&	US	52	(Sagamore)	۱
υ	) INOUE	∠.	лc	20	α	US	52	(Sagamore)	J

1	م ۲		4 2003
5	6 مالال	7 L}	8
	~		~ <u>`</u> ][[r'

d) Node 4: SR 26 & Farabee Drive

1	2		3	4
				,
	î			PIG
C		5		
	$\downarrow$			
5	6		7	8
-	î⊆ Î			-
<u>ر</u> تے		$\Box$		
	<u>ک</u>			
	$\sim$			

f) Node 6: SR 26 & Shenendoah Drive



COM [PortID] 1 CIDdef [CID, Base ID] 1, 1 2, 3 3, 5 4, 7 5, 9 6, 11 7, 13 NodeAssign[Node, CID] 1, 1 2, 2 3, 3 4, 4	3, 3, 3, 4, 3, 4, 3, 4, 4, 1, 4, 1, 4, 1, 4, 2, 4, 2, 4, 3, 4, 3, 4, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4,	R L T R L T R L T R L T R L T R L T R L T R L T R L T R L T R L T R L T R L T	8, Prot 7, PP 4, Prot 5, PP 2, Prot 2, Prot 1, PP 6, Prot 6, Prot 3, PP 8, Prot 8, Prot 7, PP 4, Prot
5, 5 6, 6	4, 4, 5, 1,	R, Т.	4, Prot 5. PP
7, 7	5, 1,	т,	2, Prot
Phases [Node, Approach, L/T/R,	5, 1,	R,	2, Prot
Phase#, Pro/Per/PP]	5, 2,	L,	1, PP
1, 1, L, 5, PP 1, 1, T, 2, $Prot$	5, 2,	Т,	6, Prot
1, 1, R, 2, Prot	5, 2,	к, L.	8. Prot
1, 2, L, 1, PP	5, 3,	_, Т,	8, Prot
1, 2, T, 6, Prot	5,3,	R,	8, Prot
1, 2, R, 6, Prot	5, 4,	L,	4, Prot
1, 3, L, 3, PP	5, 4,	т,	4, Prot
1, 3, T, 8, Prot	5, 4,	R,	4, Prot
1, 3, R, 8, Prot	6, 1,	ц,	5, PP
1, 4, L, 7, PP	6, 1, 1	Т,	2, Prot
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6, 1,	к, т	2, Prot
$2 1 I_{1} 5 Prot$	0, <u>2</u> , 6 2	ш, т	i, pp 6 Prot
2, 1, 1, 2, Prot	6.2.	т, R.	6. Prot
2, 1, R, 2, Prot	6, <u>3</u> ,	L,	3, PP
2, 2, L, 1, Prot	6, 3,	, Т,	8, Prot
2, 2, T, 6, Prot	6, 3,	R,	8, Prot
2, 2, R, 6, Prot	6, 4,	L,	7, PP
2, 3, L, 3, Prot	б, 4,	т,	4, Prot
2, 3, T, 8, Prot	6, 4,	R,	4, Prot
2, 3, R, 8, Prot	7, 1,	ь,	5, Prot
2, 4, L, 7, Prot	7, 1,	Т,	2, Prot
2, 4, 1, 4, Prot	/, ⊥, 7 0	к, т	9, Prot
2, 4, K, 4, PIOL 3 1 I. 5 DD	7 2	ц, т	i, piot
3, 1, T, 2, Prot	7.2.	R.	11. Prot
3, 1, R, 2, Prot	7, 3,	L,	3, PP
3, 2, L, 1, PP	7,3,	т,	8, Prot
3, 2, T, 6, Prot	7,3,	R,	12, Prot
3, 2, R, 6, Prot	7,4,	L,	7, PP
3, 3, L, 3, PP	7, 4,	т,	4, Prot
3, 3, T, 8, Prot	7,4,	R,	4, Prot

Figure A- 5 External Control File (CID) for Phasing

SR 26	6 (SOUTH	POC	KET	STOP BAR DETECTOR			RACEWAY		
	ST)	LENGT	HS (FT)	LI	ENGTHS (F	T)	DETECT	ORS (FT)	
INT	MOVE	LEFT	RIGHT	LEFT	THRU	RIGHT	THRU	DIST	
1	EB	100		36			6	300	
1	WB	150		36	36		6	300	
1	NB	70		36	36	36			
1	SB			36	36				
2	EB	205		36			6	300	
2	WB	550		36			6	300	
2	NB	390	305	36			6	300	
2	SB	380	275	36			6	300	
3	EB	315	55	36			6	300	
3	WB	200	140	36			6	300	
3	NB				36				
3	SB				36				
4	EB	180	95	36			6	300	
4	WB	200	140	36			6	300	
4	NB				36	36			
4	SB			36	36				
5	EB	140		36			6	300	
5	WB	110		36			6	300	
5	NB	50			36	36			
5	SB				36				
6	EB	200		36			6	300	
6	WB	250	200	36			6	300	
6	NB			36	36				
6	SB			36	36				
7	EB	200	170	36			6	300	
7	WB	180	160	36			6	300	
7	NB	350	190	36					
7	SB	500		36					

Table A-1 Intersection Pocket Lengths and Detector Locations

NOTE: "PREV" DENOTES THAT THE TURNING BAY BEGINS AT THE PREVIOUS INTERSECTION.

INT	MOVE-	DET	STAT	PUL		INT	MOVE-	DET	STAT	PUL
IIN I	MENT	NUM	ID	PRS		11N 1	MENT	NUM	ID	PRS
1	EBLT	5	121	PRS		2	EBLT	5	205	PRS
1	EBTH	2	118	PRS		2	EBTH	2	202	PRS
1	WBLT	1	117	PRS		2	WBLT	1	201	PRS
1	WBTH	6	122	PRS		2	WBTH	6	206	PRS
1	NBLT	3	119	PRS		2	WBRT	14	214	PRS
1	NBTH	8	124	PRS		2	NBLT	3	203	PRS
1	NBRT	16	132	PRS		2	NBTH	8	208	PRS
1	SBTH	7	123	PRS		2	SBLT	7	207	PRS
1	SBTH	4	120	PRS		2	SBTH	4	204	PRS
INT	MOVE-	DET	STAT	PUL		INT	MOVE-	DET	STAT	PUL
1111	MENT	NUM	ID	PRS		1111	MENT	NUM	ID	PRS
3	EBLT	5	305	PRS		4	EBLT	5	405	PRS
3	EBTH	2	302	PRS		4	EBTH	2	402	PRS
3	WBLT	1	301	PRS		4	WBLT	1	401	PRS
3	WBTH	6	306	PRS		4	WBTH	6	406	PRS
3	NBTH	8	308	PRS		4	NBTH	8	408	PRS
3	NBTH	16	316	PRS		4	NBRT	16	416	PRS
3	SBTH	4	304	PRS		4	SBLT	7	407	PRS
3	SBTH	12	312	PRS		4	SBTH	4	404	PRS
INT	MOVE-	DET	STAT	PUL		INT	MOVE-	DET	STAT	PUL
118.1	MENT	NUM	ID	PRS		1181	MENT	NUM	ID	PRS
5	EBLT	5	505	PRS		6	EBLT	5	605	PRS
5	EBTH	2	502	PRS		6	EBTH	2	602	PRS
5	WBLT	1	501	PRS		6	WBLT	1	601	PRS
5	WBTH	6	506	PRS		6	WBTH	6	606	PRS
5	NBTH	8	508	PRS		6	NBLT	3	603	PRS
5	NBRT	16	516	PRS		6	NBTH	8	608	PRS
5	SBTH	4	504	PRS		6	SBLT	7	607	PRS
5	SBTH	12	512	PRS		6	SBTH	4	604	PRS
					-					
INT	MOVE-	DET	STAT	PUL						
118.1	MENT	NUM	ID	PRS						
7	EBLT	5	705	PRS						
7	EBTH	2	702	PRS						
7	WBLT	1	701	PRS						
7	WBTH	6	706	PRS						
7	NBLT	3	703	PRS						
7	NBTH	8	708	PRS						
7	SBLT	7	707	PRS	]					

Table A- 2 Intersection Detector Configuration (CID Logic Only)

7

SBTH

4

704

PRS

IN	DESCRIPTION (SEC)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	MINIMUM GREEN	4	15	4	8	4	15	4	8
1	VEHICLE EXTENSION	1.5	3	1.5	2	1.5	3	1.5	2
1	MAXIMUM GREEN	20	40	20	30	20	35	20	30
1	YELLOW CLEARANCE	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
1	RED CLEARANCE	1.5	2.0	1.5	1.5	1.5	2.0	1.5	1.5
1	MINIMUM GAP TIME	1.5	3	1.5	2	1.5	3	1.5	2
	MINIMUM GREEN								1
2	VEHICLE EXTENSION	1.5	3.5	1.5	4	1.5	3.5	2	3.5
2	MAXIMUM GREEN	50	90	40	60	50	90	60	60
2	YELLOW CLEARANCE	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
2	RED CLEARANCE	1.5	2.0	1.5	2.0	1.5	2.0	1.5	2.0
2	MINIMUM GAP TIME	1.5	3.5	1.5	4	1.5	3.5	2	3.5
	MINIMUM GREEN								
3	VEHICLE EXTENSION	1.5	4	-	3	1.5	4	-	3.0
3	MAXIMUM GREEN	40	70	-	40	40	70	-	40
3	YELLOW CLEARANCE	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
3	RED CLEARANCE	1.5	2.0	-	1.5	1.5	2.0	-	1.5
3	MINIMUM GAP TIME	1.5	4	-	3	1.5	4	-	3.0
	MINIMUM GREEN								
4	VEHICLE EXTENSION	1.5	3.5	-	2	1.5	3.5	1.5	2
4	MAXIMUM GREEN	40	70	-	70	50	70	40	70
4	YELLOW CLEARANCE	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
4	RED CLEARANCE	1.5	2.0	-	1.5	1.5	2.0	1.5	1.5
4	MINIMUM GAP TIME	1.5	3.5	-	2	1.5	3.5	1.5	2
	MINIMUM GREEN								
5	VEHICLE EXTENSION	2	4.5	2	2.5	2	4.5	0	0
5	MAXIMUM GREEN	40	70	60	60	40	70	0	0
5	YELLOW CLEARANCE	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	0	0
5	RED CLEARANCE	1.5	2.0	1.5	1.5	1.5	2.0	0	0
5	MINIMUM GAP TIME	2	4.5	2	2.5	2	4.5	0	0
	MINIMUM GREEN								
6	VEHICLE EXTENSION	2.5	5.0	2.5	5.0	2.5	5.0	2.5	5.0
6	MAXIMUM GREEN	35	80	35	45	35	80	35	45
6	YELLOW CLEARANCE	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
6	RED CLEARANCE	1.5	2.0	1.5	1.5	1.5	2.0	1.5	1.5
6	MINIMUM GAP TIME	2.5	5.0	2.5	5.0	2.5	5.0	2.5	5.0
	MINIMUM GREEN								
7	VEHICLE EXTENSION	1.5	5	1.5	3.5	1.5	5	1.5	3.5
7	MAXIMUM GREEN	40	40	35	60	40	40	45	15
7	YELLOW CLEARANCE	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
7	RED CLEARANCE	1.5	2	1.5	2	1.5	2	1.5	2
7	MINIMUM GAP TIME	1.5	5	1.5	3.5	1.5	5	1.5	3.5

Table A- 3 General Phase Parameters (SR 26 – South)

INT	COS	CYC	OFF	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	111	75	0	4	30	3	18	3	31	5	16
1	211	120	0	8	51	3	38	3	56	13	28
1	311	120	0	10	44	3	43	4	51	14	32
2	211	95	114	21	30	18	33	14	36	22	29
2	311	120	108	19	33	17	33	15	36	20	30
3	211	95	37	6	80	-	20	13	73	-	20
3	311	120	36	6	82	-	18	14	74	-	18
4	211	95	51	13	57	-	36	11	59	5	26
4	311	120	30	14	50	-	42	10	54	5	32
5	211	95	41	5	61	-	17	9	57	-	17
5	311	120	38	5	61	-	17	9	57	-	17
6	211	95	54	5	74	5	17	8	71	5	17
6	311	120	23	5	74	5	17	8	71	5	17
7	211	95	95	19	34	26	22	12	41	28	20
7	311	120	84	11	34	31	25	14	31	28	28

Table A- 4 SR 26 (South) Coordination Plans and Splits

NOTE: Offsets are referenced from the beginning of the first coordinated phase. COS 111 represents timing plan for morning peak, COS 211 for mid-day, and COS 311 for after-noon peak traffic

INT	BEG	7AM	8AM	9AM	10A	11A	12P	1PM	2PM	3PM	4PM	5PM	6PM
	END	8AM	9AM	10A	11A	12P	1PM	2PM	3PM	4PM	5PM	6PM	7PM
1	EB	311	342	460	530	654	674	563	706	813	705	709	575
1	WB	590	620	605	551	690	712	692	758	604	695	696	548
1	NB	406	334	388	351	478	457	382	506	713	433	536	316
1	SB	292	341	453	429	538	565	437	544	608	552	515	356
2	EB	425	456	582	665	864	857	669	904	1050	905	910	715
2	WB	690	867	785	717	880	889	845	933	788	899	816	729
2	NB	735	600	676	817	1060	1134	1057	1254	1228	1117	1251	883
2	SB	765	615	768	783	1000	1063	1024	1049	962	909	1099	784
3	EB	590	573	700	744	1060	1080	901	1042	1096	1003	1178	912
3	WB	657	843	746	648	836	820	861	933	842	972	794	788
3	NB	11	6	20	10	40	120	66	40	12	28	49	31
3	SB	180	191	186	203	219	207	205	216	155	232	274	220
4	EB	530	559	685	718	961	1012	873	979	994	959	1132	940
4	WB	743	957	757	669	905	806	880	959	930	1019	803	777
4	NB	197	215	212	237	336	304	247	258	381	348	367	231
4	SB	49	53	106	133	158	194	176	186	130	180	186	174
5	EB	607	649	738	810	1095	1135	956	1040	1172	1134	1339	1057
5	WB	737	948	736	635	869	790	850	933	857	952	778	761
5	NB	49	30	18	26	32	24	25	77	102	61	28	23
5	SB	71	169	91	104	141	165	142	107	147	191	174	102
6	EB	560	661	705	787	1102	1150	954	1048	1176	1166	1374	1074
6	WB	768	872	716	599	811	730	799	897	829	890	736	769
6	NB	59	23	11	8	24	18	22	116	177	72	51	21
6	SB	86	55	72	80	73	111	126	122	114	111	125	114
7	EB	600	655	694	770	1083	1123	953	1122	1314	1206	1392	1072
7	WB	860	991	794	599	846	752	824	868	833	732	654	778
7	NB	635	600	514	572	759	606	830	1180	1220	1555	1423	1179
7	SB	715	641	572	575	799	622	712	726	707	994	1094	898

Table A- 5 Entry Volumes per Period (VPH)

		7A	8A	0.4			100		2P	3P	4P	5P	6P
DIT	BEG	Μ	Μ	9A	10A	11A	12P	1PM	М	М	Μ	Μ	М
INI	END	8A	9A	M	11A	12P	IP	2PM	3P	4P	5P	6P	7P
		М	М	10A			М		М	М	М	М	М
	EBLT	13	11	10	10	6	10	9	7	7	5	6	5
	EBTH	81	82	82	82	85	83	83	84	85	90	87	92
	EBRT	6	7	8	8	9	7	8	9	8	5	7	3
E	WBLT	13	14	12	14	15	18	14	15	16	16	17	19
N	WBTH	70	68	73	68	67	65	68	69	71	68	70	72
VE	WBRT	17	18	15	18	18	17	18	16	13	16	13	9
A	NBLT	5	5	15	10	6	7	7	7	9	6	6	7
R	NBTH	69	73	66	66	69	70	71	67	68	71	69	69
[A]	NBRT	26	22	19	24	25	23	22	26	23	23	25	24
щ	SBLT	23	30	29	34	35	34	27	33	32	31	31	31
	SBTH	72	65	60	60	60	62	67	59	64	64	65	59
	SBRT	5	5	11	6	5	4	6	8	4	5	4	10
	~		-		~	-		~	~		-	-	
	EBTH	70	67	68	65	62	62	60	62	62	64	69	67
Ē	EBRT	11	13	14	19	20	20	21	20	19	20	15	19
)RI	WBLT	20	24	22	27	27	27	26	28	27	24	24	28
ЫЩ	WBTH	57	54	55	49	48	48	51	50	47	51	55	51
[A]	WBRT	23	22	23	24	25	25	23	22	26	25	21	21
AG	NBLT	9	11	12	12	13	13	15	14	12	13	11	12
S	NBTH	72	65	68	69	63	62	62	66	69	67	67	63
52	NBRT	19	24	20	19	24	25	23	20	19	20	22	25
SU	SBLT	20	20	22	20	27	25	25	22	22	22	25	27
	SBTH	63	66	66	67	60	62	65	67	69	68	65	64
	SBRT	17	14	12	13	13	13	10	11	9	10	10	9
	52111				10	10	10	10			10	10	-
	EBTH	80	85	88	87	84	82	83	83	83	83	84	87
	EBRT	1	1	2	2	7	7	2	3	2	3	3	3
Щ	WBLT	1	1	1	1	6	5	2	1	0	2	3	5
IC	WBTH	85	88	87	89	83	85	82	85	84	79	81	80
Ë	WBRT	14	11	12	10	11	10	16	14	16	19	16	15
0	NBLT	55	17	35	80	60	48	42	38	33	32	45	13
LS	NBTH	9	0	10	0	0	4	2	4	9	4	10	3
PC	NBRT	36	83	55	20	40	48	56	58	58	64	45	84
	SBLT	30	35	31	34	25	33	43	42	50	47	44	55
	SBTH	0	0	0	1	1	2	3	0	1	0	1	2
	SBRT	70	65	69	65	74	65	54	58	49	53	55	43
	EBTH	86	85	84	85	85	85	85	85	84	87	90	89
[T]	EBRT	11	9	8	7	6	6	7	6	7	6	5	4
Ξ	WBLT	18	14	11	12	12	12	13	13	17	12	13	9
JR.	WBTH	81	82	84	81	81	83	81	81	78	80	80	85
	WBRT	1	4	5	7	7	5	6	6	5	8	7	6
EE	NBLT	17	15	21	17	17	19	23	20	13	19	18	16
AE	NBTH	7	8	10	15	12	13	11	18	9	11	8	15
₫R	NBRT	76	77	69	68	71	68	66	62	78	70	74	69
F,	SBLT	4	17	16	29	25	35	29	26	31	31	26	35
	SBTH	53	34	22	21	16	17	19	18	17	19	28	13
	SBRT	43	49	62	50	29	48	52	56	52	50	46	52

Table A- 6 Turning Movements (Percentages – Table 1 of 2)

		7A	8A	0.4			120	1P	2P	3P	4P	5P	6P
INT	BEG	Μ	Μ	9A M	10A	11A	12F	Μ	Μ	Μ	Μ	Μ	Μ
118.1	END	8A	9A	10.4	11A	12P	IP M	2P	3P	4P	5P	6P	7P
		Μ	Μ	10A			IVI	Μ	Μ	Μ	Μ	Μ	Μ
	EBLT	13	8	9	7	6	6	6	6	7	6	5	5
	EBTH	86	90	90	92	94	93	93	94	93	93	94	95
	EBRT	1	2	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0
E	WBLT	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
RF	WBTH	90	89	94	92	93	91	92	92	92	93	93	95
LL	WBRT	9	10	5	7	6	8	7	7	7	7	7	5
S	NBLT	90	63	44	65	66	63	56	69	61	69	54	39
EL	NBTH	2	17	6	16	6	20	4	13	20	8	25	4
36	NBRT	8	20	50	19	28	17	40	18	19	23	21	57
	SBLT	48	42	35	35	45	55	39	53	45	51	63	56
	SBTH	1	2	2	0	1	1	2	2	1	1	0	0
	SBRT	51	56	63	65	54	44	59	45	54	48	37	44
ш	EBTH	96	95	94	94	95	93	94	95	95	94	94	93
$\geq$	EBRT	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
DR	WBLT	10	3	2	1	2	1	1	2	5	1	1	0
IF	WBTH	89	94	94	94	94	94	94	94	91	95	93	92
Ψŀ	WBRT	1	3	4	5	4	5	5	4	4	4	6	8
0	NBLT	7	22	18	38	13	17	23	9	7	7	12	10
Z	NBTH	10	8	9	12	16	16	13	9	6	10	12	9
Z	NBRT	83	70	73	50	71	67	64	82	87	83	76	81
HE	SBLT	15	20	32	33	27	37	33	25	38	45	49	49
$\sim$	SBTH	16	7	1	1	0	2	1	1	1	2	1	0
	SBRT	69	73	67	66	73	61	66	74	61	53	50	51
	EBTH	69	70	63	63	63	61	63	64	67	62	67	65
	EBRT	25	22	28	29	30	30	29	28	26	29	26	26
E	WBLT	14	13	19	18	21	19	20	20	17	18	18	20
[A]	WBTH	65	61	59	57	56	59	54	55	57	54	52	51
I	WBRT	21	26	22	25	23	22	26	25	26	28	30	29
S	NBLT	25	35	36	36	35	38	35	30	25	28	24	27
EA	NBTH	56	48	46	48	46	51	47	50	55	54	56	52
CR	NBRT	19	17	18	16	19	11	18	20	20	18	20	21
	SBLT	35	40	38	34	42	42	41	37	45	42	43	45
	SBTH	58	51	51	57	49	49	50	54	48	52	52	49
	SBRT	7	9	11	9	9	9	9	9	7	6	5	6

Table A- 7 Turning Movements (Percentages – Table 2 of 2)

APPENDIX B- US 31 NETWORK and CONTROLLER PARAMETERS



Figure B-1 US 31 Network – US 26 to Road 450



a) Node 1: US 31 & US 26



c) Node 3: US 31 & Alto Road



e) Node 5: US 31 & Lincoln



g) Node 7: US 31 & Hoffer Str.



b) Node 2: US 31 & Center Road



d) Node 4: US 31 & Southway Blvd.



f) Node 6: US 31 & Boulevard Ave.



h) Node 8: US 31 & Savoy Dr.

Figure B- 2 US 31 Geometries



a) Node 9: US 31 & Markland Ave



c) Node 11: US 31 & Sycamore Dr.



e) Node 13: US 31 & Morgan St.





b) Node 10: US 31 & Carter Str.



d) Node 12 : US 31 & North Str.



a) Node 1: US 31 & US 26



c) Node 3: US 31 & Alto Road



e) Node 5: US 31 & Lincoln



g) Node 7: US 31 & Hoffer Str.





d) Node 4: US 31 & Southway Blvd.



f) Node 6: US 31 & Boulevard Ave.





Figure B- 4 Intersection Ring Structures for US 31



3 ¢J[[L ግ JL 5 6 7 L Îŕ

a) Node 9: US 31 & Markland Ave

1	2	3	4
ካ	J]	Ĵ	ĴĴ
5	6 Îr	7	8 ه

c) Node 11: US 31 & Sycamore Dr.

1 2	3	1 1 ↓
5	Ĵ	ĴĴ
5 6 L Î <b>ř</b>		8

b) Node 10: US 31 & Carter Str.



2

3

d) Node 12 : US 31 & North Str.

e) Node 13: US 31 & Morgan St.





Figure B- 6 US 31 Approach Labels

COM [PortID]
1
CIDdef [CID, Base ID]
1, 1
2.3
3 5
2, 3 A 7
· · ·
5, 9
6, 11
7, 13
NodeAssign[Node, CID]
5, 1
6, 2
7, 3
8, 4
9, 5
10.6
11 7
$T_{T}$ , $T_{T}$
Phaget Dro (Dor (DD)
5, 1, L, 1, PP
5, 1, 1, 6, Prot
5, 1, R, 6, Prot
5, 2, L, 7, PP
5, 2, T, 4, Prot
5, 2, R, 4, Prot
5, 3, L, 3, PP
5, 3, T, 8, Prot
5. 3. R. 8. Prot
5 4 T. 5 DD
$5, \pm, \pm, 5, \pm$
5, 4, 1, 2, PIOL
5, 4, R, 2, Prol
6, 1, L, 1, PP
6, 1, T, 6, Prot
6, 1, R, 6, Prot
6, 2, L, 7, PP
6, 2, T, 4, Prot
6, 2, R, 4, Prot
6, 3, L, 3, PP
6. 3. T. 8. Prot
6 3 R 8 Prot
6 4 T. 5 DD
$(0, \pm, \pm), (0, \pm)$
0, 4, 1, 2, PIOL
6, 4, R, Z, Prol
7, 1, Ц, 1, РР
7, 1, T, 6, Prot
7, 1, R, 6, Prot
7, 2, L, 4, PP
7, 2, T, 4, Prot
7, 2, R, 4, Prot
7, 3, L, 8, PP
7, 3, T, 8, Prot
7. 3. R. 8. Prot
7, 4, L, 5, PP

Figure B-7 External Control File (CID) for Phasing

S	SR 26	POCKET		STOP BAR DETECTOR				
(SOI	UTH ST)	LENGT	HS (FT)	LE	ENGTHS (F	T)		
INT	MOVE	LEFT	RIGHT	LEFT	THRU	RIGHT		
1	NB	600	600	36				
1	SB	600	600	36				
1	EB	265	-	36	36			
1	WB	230	-	36	36			
2	NB	220	500	36				
2	SB	355	468	36				
2	EB	125	T w/ R	36	36			
2	WB	125	PREV	36	36			
3	NB	755	755	36				
3	SB	525	700	36				
3	EB	T w/ L	T w/ R		36			
3	WB	515	T w/ R		36			
4	NB	550	755	36				
4	SB	570	570	36				
4	EB	PREV	T w/ R		36			
4	WB	T w/ L	T w/ R	36	36			
5	NB	670	890	36				
5	SB	670	670	36				
5	EB	155	T w/ R		36			
5	WB	310	310		36			
6	NB	680	680	36				
6	SB	680	680	36				
6	EB	400	T w/ R	36	36			
6	WB	190	T w/ R	36	36			
7	NB	665	665	36				
7	SB	450	450	36				
7	EB	PREV	T w/ R	36	36			
7	WB	PREV	T w/ R	36	36			

Table B-1 Intersection Pocket Lengths and Detector Locations (Table 1 of 2)

NOTE: "PREV" DENOTES THAT THE TURNING BAY BEGINS AT THE PREVIOUS INTERSECTION. T w/ L : left turn lane is shared with through. T w/ R : right turn is shared with through

1	US 31	POCKET		STOP	BAR DETH	ECTOR
		LENGT	HS (FT)	LI	ENGTHS (F	T)
INT	MOVE	LEFT	RIGHT	LEFT	THRU	RIGHT
8	NB	665	430	36		
8	SB	450	670	36		
8	EB	T w/L	180	36	36	
8	WB	T w/ L	85	36	36	
9	NB	700	700	36		
9	SB	700 &	700	36		
9	EB	565	T w/ R	36	36	
9	WB	230	PREV	36	36	
10	NB	450	450	36		
10	SB	450	185	36		
10	EB	435	T w/ R		36	
10	WB	130	T w/ R		36	
11	NB	550	255	36		
11	SB	500	500	36		
11	EB	380	T w/ R		36	
11	WB	140	T w/ R	36	36	
12	NB	550	595	36		
12	SB	550	600	36		
12	EB	190	T w/ R		36	
12	WB	225	T w/ R		36	
13	NB	680	680	36		
13	SB	470	PREV	36		
13	EB	PREV	T w/ R	36	36	
13	WB	250	T w/ R	36	36	
14	NB	550	550	36		
14	SB	550	550	36		
14	EB	120	250	36		
14	WB	280	180	36		
15	NB	550	550	36		
15	SB	550	550	36		
15	EB	150	200	36		
15	WB	250	200	36		

Table B- 2 Intersection Pocket Lengths and Detector Locations (Table 2 of 2)

NOTE: "PREV" DENOTES THAT THE TURNING BAY BEGINS AT THE PREVIOUS INTERSECTION. T w/ L : left turn lane is

INT	MOVE-	DET	STAT	PUL		INT	MOVE-	DET	STAT	PUL
1111	MENT	NUM	ID	PRS			MENT	NUM	ID	PRS
5	EBLT	6	106	PRS		6	EBLT	3	203	PRS
5	EBTH	7	107	PRS		6	EBTH	8	208	PRS
5	EBRT	8	108	PRS		6	EBRT	16	216	PRS
5	WBLT	3	103	PRS		6	WBLT	7	207	PRS
5	WBTH	4	104	PRS		6	WBTH	4	204	PRS
5	WBRT	5	105	PRS		6	WBRT	12	212	PRS
5	NBLT	1	101	PRS		6	NBLT	1	201	PRS
5	SBLT	2	102	PRS		6	SBLT	5	205	PRS
					-					
INT	MOVE-	DET	STAT	PUL		INT	MOVE-	DET	STAT	PUL
1111	MENT	NUM	ID	PRS		1111	MENT	NUM	ID	PRS
7	EBLT			PRS		8	EBLT			PRS
7	EBTH	8	308	PRS		8	EBTH	8	408	PRS
7	EBRT	16	316	PRS		8	EBRT	16	416	PRS
7	WBLT			PRS	1	8	WBLT			PRS
7	WBTH	4	304	PRS	]	8	WBTH	4	404	PRS
7	WBRT	12	312	PRS	1	8	WBRT	12	412	PRS
7	NBLT	1	301	PRS	1	8	NBLT	1	401	PRS
7	SBLT	5	305	PRS	1	8	SBLT	5	405	PRS
					-					
INT	MOVE-	DET	STAT	PUL	]	INT	MOVE-	DET	STAT	PUL
INT	MOVE- MENT	DET NUM	STAT ID	PUL PRS		INT	MOVE- MENT	DET NUM	STAT ID	PUL PRS
INT 9	MOVE- MENT EBLT	DET NUM 3	STAT ID 503	PUL PRS PRS		INT 10	MOVE- MENT EBLT	DET NUM 	STAT ID 	PUL PRS PRS
INT 9 9	MOVE- MENT EBLT EBTH	DET NUM 3 8	STAT ID 503 508	PUL PRS PRS PRS		INT 10 10	MOVE- MENT EBLT EBTH	DET NUM  8	STAT ID  608	PUL PRS PRS PRS
INT 9 9 9	MOVE- MENT EBLT EBTH EBRT	DET NUM 3 8 16	STAT ID 503 508 516	PUL PRS PRS PRS PRS		INT 10 10 10	MOVE- MENT EBLT EBTH EBRT	DET NUM  8 16	STAT ID  608 616	PUL PRS PRS PRS PRS
INT 9 9 9 9	MOVE- MENT EBLT EBTH EBRT WBLT	DET NUM 3 8 16 7	STAT ID 503 508 516 507	PUL PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS		INT 10 10 10 10	MOVE- MENT EBLT EBTH EBRT WBLT	DET NUM  8 16 	STAT ID  608 616 	PUL PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS
INT 9 9 9 9 9 9	MOVE- MENT EBLT EBTH EBRT WBLT WBTH	DET NUM 3 8 16 7 4	STAT ID 503 508 516 507 504	PUL PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS		INT 10 10 10 10 10	MOVE- MENT EBLT EBTH EBRT WBLT WBTH	DET NUM  8 16  4	STAT ID  608 616  604	PUL PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS
INT 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	MOVE- MENT EBLT EBTH EBRT WBLT WBTH WBRT	DET NUM 3 8 16 7 4 12	STAT ID   503   508   516   507   504   512	PUL PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS		INT 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	MOVE- MENT EBLT EBTH EBRT WBLT WBTH WBRT	DET NUM  8 16  4 12	STAT ID  608 616  604 612	PUL PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS
INT 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	MOVE- MENT EBLT EBTH EBRT WBLT WBTH WBRT NBLT	DET NUM 3 8 16 7 4 12 1	STAT ID   503   508   516   507   504   512   501	PUL PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS		INT 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	MOVE- MENT EBLT EBTH EBRT WBLT WBTH WBRT NBLT	DET NUM  8 16  4 12 1	STAT ID  608 616  604 612 601	PUL PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS
INT 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	MOVE- MENT EBLT EBTH EBRT WBLT WBTH WBRT NBLT SBLT	DET NUM 3 8 16 7 4 12 1 5	STAT ID   503   508   516   507   504   512   501   505	PUL PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS		INT 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	MOVE- MENT EBLT EBTH EBRT WBLT WBTH WBRT NBLT SBLT	DET NUM  8 16  4 12 1 5	STAT ID  608 616  604 612 601 605	PUL PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS
INT 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	MOVE- MENT EBLT EBTH EBRT WBLT WBTH WBRT NBLT SBLT	DET NUM 3 8 16 7 4 12 1 5	STAT ID   503   508   516   507   504   512   501   505	PUL PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS		INT 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	MOVE- MENT EBLT EBTH EBRT WBLT WBTH WBRT NBLT SBLT	DET NUM  8 16  4 12 1 5	STAT ID  608 616  604 612 601 605	PUL PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS
INT 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	MOVE- MENT EBLT EBTH EBRT WBLT WBLT WBRT NBLT SBLT MOVE-	DET NUM 3 8 16 7 4 12 1 5 5 DET	STAT ID   503   508   516   507   504   512   501   505	PUL PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS		INT 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	MOVE- MENT EBLT EBTH EBRT WBLT WBTH WBRT NBLT SBLT	DET NUM  8 16  4 12 1 5	STAT ID  608 616  604 612 601 605	PUL PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS
INT 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 1NT	MOVE- MENT EBLT EBTH EBRT WBLT WBTH WBRT NBLT SBLT MOVE- MENT	DET NUM 3 8 16 7 4 12 1 5 5 DET NUM	STAT ID   503   508   516   507   504   512   501   505	PUL PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS		INT 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	MOVE- MENT EBLT EBTH EBRT WBLT WBTH WBRT NBLT SBLT	DET NUM  8 16  4 12 1 5	STAT ID  608 616  604 612 601 605	PUL PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS
INT 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 1 NT 11	MOVE- MENT EBLT EBRT WBLT WBTH WBRT NBLT SBLT MOVE- MENT EBLT	DET NUM 3 8 16 7 4 12 1 5 5 DET NUM 3	STAT ID   503   508   516   507   504   512   501   505   STAT   ID   703	PUL PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS		INT 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	MOVE- MENT EBLT EBRT WBLT WBTH WBRT NBLT SBLT	DET NUM  8 16  4 12 1 5	STAT ID  608 616  604 612 601 605	PUL PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS
INT 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 1 NT 11 11	MOVE- MENT EBLT EBRT WBLT WBLT WBTH WBRT NBLT SBLT MOVE- MENT EBLT EBTH	DET NUM 3 8 16 7 4 12 1 5 5 DET NUM 3 8	STAT ID   503   508   516   507   504   512   501   505   STAT ID   703   708	PUL PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PUL PRS PRS PRS PRS		INT 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	MOVE- MENT EBLT EBRT WBLT WBTH WBRT NBLT SBLT	DET NUM  8 16  4 12 1 5	STAT ID  608 616  604 612 601 605	PUL PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS
INT 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 1 NT 11 11 11	MOVE- MENT EBLT EBTH EBRT WBLT WBTH WBRT NBLT SBLT SBLT EBLT EBTH EBRT	DET NUM 3 8 16 7 4 12 1 5 5 DET NUM 3 8 16	STAT ID   503   508   516   507   504   512   501   505   STAT ID   703   708   716	PUL PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS		INT 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	MOVE- MENT EBLT EBTH EBRT WBLT WBTH WBRT NBLT SBLT	DET NUM  8 16  4 12 1 5	STAT ID  608 616  604 612 601 605	PUL PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS
INT 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 1 NT 11 11 11 11	MOVE- MENT EBLT EBTH EBRT WBLT WBTH WBRT NBLT SBLT EBLT EBTH EBRT WBLT	DET NUM 3 8 16 7 4 12 1 5 5 DET NUM 3 8 16 7	STAT ID   503   508   516   507   504   512   501   505   STAT ID   703   708   716   707	PUL PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS		INT 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	MOVE- MENT EBLT EBTH EBRT WBLT WBTH WBRT NBLT SBLT	DET NUM  8 16  4 12 1 5	STAT ID  608 616  604 612 601 605	PUL PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS
INT 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	MOVE- MENT EBLT EBTH EBRT WBLT WBTH WBRT NBLT SBLT EBLT EBTH EBRT WBLT WBTH	DET NUM 3 8 16 7 4 12 1 5 5 DET NUM 3 8 16 7 4	STAT ID   503   508   516   507   504   512   501   505   STAT ID   703   708   716   707   704	PUL PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS		INT 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	MOVE- MENT EBLT EBTH EBRT WBLT WBTH WBRT NBLT SBLT	DET NUM  8 16  4 12 1 5	STAT ID  608 616  604 612 601 605	PUL PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS
INT 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	MOVE- MENT EBLT EBTH EBRT WBLT WBTH WBRT NBLT SBLT EBLT EBTH EBRT WBLT WBTH WBRT	DET NUM 3 8 16 7 4 12 1 5 5 DET NUM 3 8 16 7 4 12	STAT ID   503   508   516   507   504   512   501   505   STAT ID   703   708   716   707   704   712	PUL PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS		INT 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	MOVE- MENT EBLT EBTH EBRT WBLT WBTH WBRT NBLT SBLT	DET NUM  8 16  4 12 1 5	STAT ID  608 616  604 612 601 605	PUL PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS
INT 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	MOVE- MENT EBLT EBTH EBRT WBLT WBTH WBRT NBLT EBLT EBTH EBRT WBLT WBTH WBRT NBLT	DET NUM 3 8 16 7 4 12 1 5 DET NUM 3 8 16 7 4 12 1	STAT ID   503   508   516   507   504   512   501   505   STAT ID   703   708   716   707   704   712   701	PUL PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS		INT 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	MOVE- MENT EBLT EBTH EBRT WBLT WBTH WBRT NBLT SBLT	DET NUM  8 16  4 12 1 5	STAT ID  608 616  604 612 601 605	PUL PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS
INT 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	MOVE- MENT EBLT EBTH EBRT WBLT WBTH WBRT NBLT EBLT EBTH EBRT WBLT WBTH WBRT NBLT SBLT	DET NUM 3 8 16 7 4 12 1 5 DET NUM 3 8 16 7 4 12 1 4 5	STAT ID   503   508   516   507   504   512   501   505   STAT ID   703   708   716   707   704   712   701   705	PUL PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS		INT 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	MOVE- MENT EBLT EBTH EBRT WBLT WBTH WBRT NBLT SBLT	DET NUM  8 16  4 12 1 5	STAT ID  608 616  604 612 601 605	PUL PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS PRS

Table B- 3 Intersection Detector Configuration (CID Logic Only)

INT	DESCRIPTION (SEC)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	MINIMUM GREEN	5	10	5	10	5	10	5	10
1	VEHICLE EXTENSION	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
1	MAXIMUM GREEN		99	99	99	99	99	99	99
1	YELLOW CLEARANCE		3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
1	RED CLEARANCE	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5
1	MINIMUM GAP TIME	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
	MINIMUM GREEN								1
2	VEHICLE EXTENSION	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
2	MAXIMUM GREEN	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99
2	YELLOW CLEARANCE	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
2	RED CLEARANCE	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5
2	MINIMUM GAP TIME	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
	MINIMUM GREEN								
3	VEHICLE EXTENSION	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
3	MAXIMUM GREEN	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99
3	YELLOW CLEARANCE	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
3	RED CLEARANCE	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5
3	MINIMUM GAP TIME	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
	MINIMUM GREEN								
4	VEHICLE EXTENSION	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
4	MAXIMUM GREEN	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99
4	YELLOW CLEARANCE	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
4	RED CLEARANCE	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5
4	MINIMUM GAP TIME	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
	MINIMUM GREEN								
5	VEHICLE EXTENSION	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
5	MAXIMUM GREEN	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99
5	YELLOW CLEARANCE	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
5	RED CLEARANCE	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5
5	MINIMUM GAP TIME	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
	MINIMUM GREEN								
6	VEHICLE EXTENSION	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
6	MAXIMUM GREEN	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99
6	YELLOW CLEARANCE	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
6	RED CLEARANCE	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5
6	MINIMUM GAP TIME	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
	MINIMUM GREEN								
7	VEHICLE EXTENSION	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
7	MAXIMUM GREEN	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99
7	YELLOW CLEARANCE	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
7	RED CLEARANCE	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5
7	MINIMUM GAP TIME	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2

Table B- 4 General Phase Parameters (US 31)

			OFFSET									
INT	TIME	CYC	COR	CON	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	Am	92	0	37	15	37	15	19	15	37		34
1	Pm	116	0	99	15	64	16	21	23	56		37
2	Pm	116	64	46	14	65	14	23	33	46	14	23
3	Pm	116	18	112	29	50		37	14	65	14	23
4	Pm	116	107	90	26	53	15	22	15	64		37
5	Pm	116	67	55	22	54	21	19	17	59	21	19
6	Pm	116	24	10	20	56	16	24	15	61	16	24
7	Pm	116	50	25	17	66		33	12	71		33
8	Pm	116	12	98	23	70		23	16	77		23
9	Pm	116	68	72	19	39	32	26	15	43	26	32
10	Pm	116	28	7	14	68		34	20	62		34
11	Pm	116	11	113	22	53	14	27	14	61	14	27

Table B- 5 US 31 Coordination Plans and Splits

INT	BEG	7AM	8AM	9AM	10A	11A	12P	1PM	2PM	3PM	4PM	5PM	6PM
	END	8AM	9AM	10A	11A	12P	1PM	2PM	3PM	4PM	5PM	6PM	7PM
	EBLT	188	184	159	96	97	113	122	122	148	116	127	127
	EBTH	66	81	72	60	54	57	79	53	78	97	107	116
	EBRT	98	58	60	46	60	44	47	62	45	61	83	73
	WBLT	57	70	57	40	36	37	37	31	37	65	52	44
9	WBTH	72	108	101	71	75	70	73	67	90	133	113	114
SR 20	WBRT	56	81	77	73	68	102	77	98	68	83	63	66
	NBLT	57	100	82	53	53	61	40	64	58	68	86	95
	NBTH	798	982	762	590	551	521	526	581	631	532	684	726
	NBRT	45	36	53	37	41	26	28	45	20	54	41	71
	SBLT	38	59	52	49	51	77	84	78	88	114	95	124
	SBTH	485	654	533	503	478	540	563	585	757	921	993	948
	SBRT	41	78	62	68	100	126	115	109	153	230	207	193
	EDTU	25	70		51	07	102	1.40	105	110	1.4.4	150	1.4.5
	EBIH	35	79	62	51	87	103	149	125	119	144	153	145
	EBRT	8	21	27	15	32	43	57	45	46	60	73	47
p.	WBLT	59	56	68	55	44	45	62	72	53	93	72	6/
toa	WBTH	48	78	69	62	54	88	91	94	107	131	82	165
r F	WBRT	112	173	199	158	150	227	227	181	231	162	183	175
nte	NBLT	26	43	45	53	41	63	99	75	79	58	88	73
Ce	NBTH	1042	1326	1105	838	776	887	829	833	1016	787	1008	916
	NBRT	49	43	43	31	31	36	40	33	42	33	52	64
	SBLT	72	135	159	182	218	322	418	256	331	335	364	358
	SBTH	568	726	606	657	723	790	796	869	1102	1315	1507	1409
	SBRT	13	35	42	42	59	104	105	95	104	131	133	116
	EBTU	31	16	86	70	105	121	158	112	110	113	160	151
	EDIT	1	40	<u> </u>	6	105	121	130	20	119	115	24	20
	WRIT	25	64	50	48	62	73	02	20	03	00	125	110
	WDLI	12	69	105	40	02	122	120	121	93 101	125	125	126
oac	WDT	43	47	105	34	<u>91</u> 44	122	71	121	101	40	135	57
0 R	NDI T	121	255	43	275	264	317	286	<del>4</del> 5 261	301	362	361	386
Alto	NETU	121	1400	1124	273	204 718	864	<u> </u>	720	1014	860	050	031
4	NBRT	64	00	64	57	55	75	50	25	0/	45	83	56
	SBLT	15	37	50	63	65	110	118	61	52	43	60	131
	SBLI	13	673	601	536	660	780	877	711	1138	1326	1363	1203
	SBRT	425	29	46	58	66	709	76	50	60	1320	64	57
	SDRI	0	2)	40	50	00	/1	70	50	00	40	04	51
South way Blvd.	EBTH	36	83	126	114	123	200	200	156	153	227	263	376
	EBRT	8	3	6	16	22	33	35	36	39	54	56	57
	WBLT	16	23	31	14	22	55	23	30	29	49	55	63
	WBTH	63	101	151	121	150	199	205	166	190	231	233	210
	WBRT	22	45	59	39	44	49	100	96	72	88	50	87
	NBLT	7	21	37	37	37	52	49	60	66	55	69	70
	NBTH	1015	340	1088	848	761	856	863	800	1073	869	953	907
	NBRT	16	36	34	25	10	36	29	33	34	29	44	54
	SBLT	15	14	36	48	60	122	152	108	107	115	164	164
	SBTH	408	678	711	666	789	969	894	862	1098	448	297	465
	SBRT	33	78	93	63	116	218	122	130	141	175	173	168
			1 1 1							-			

Table B- 6 Detailed Traffic Volume (vph – Table 1 of 3)
INT	BEG	7AM	8AM	9AM	10A	11A	12P	1PM	2PM	3PM	4PM	5PM	6PM
1111	END	8AM	9AM	10A	11A	12P	1PM	2PM	3PM	4PM	5PM	6PM	7PM
	EBLT	56	82	99	113	146	186	204	175	236	256	178	278
	EBTH	280	339	240	137	164	184	416	281	367	323	296	301
	EBRT	45	89	63	60	101	78	110	145	162	296	136	235
st	WBLT	41	29	73	55	96	137	121	93	141	241	280	320
tree	WBTH	230	182	199	128	213	140	239	166	446	343	395	327
S	WBRT	85	158	124	100	151	253	175	95	191	234	192	146
llo	NBLT	252	209	119	122	102	272	114	123	236	122	97	137
inc	NBTH	709	1119	950	868	770	1016	970	934	1026	1056	1118	1146
Г	NBRT	232	407	210	63	68	41	138	129	106	79	51	90
	SBLT	140	189	122	94	91	206	219	179	147	127	117	144
	SBTH	416	698	695	771	763	1132	934	1053	1012	1237	1175	1269
	SBRT	83	91	53	54	100	83	80	117	183	121	97	99
	EBTH	157	237	139	107	110	262	266	205	389	395	239	216
	EBRT	47	107	119	115	120	236	193	217	216	302	229	259
e.	WBLT	86	99	60	57	66	120	87	76	189	118	99	87
Aı	WBTH	276	293	192	144	207	395	249	170	590	403	338	165
urd	WBRT	35	43	38	25	65	176	52	40	92	64	56	34
eva	NBLT	80	191	149	164	135	263	225	160	231	211	159	153
oluc	NBTH	721	985	909	853	1010	1306	1200	1034	1217	1284	1311	1214
B	NBRT	97	90	86	47	68	103	36	74	80	80	69	67
	SBLT	85	68	50	36	44	176	138	95	152	83	61	62
	SBTH	572	778	823	828	768	988	1074	963	1145	1173	1113	1185
	SBRT	96	49	58	50	66	102	66	102	77	116	54	55
	EBTH	6	30	22	28	16	37	32	25	26	31	32	32
	EBRT	57	45	49	73	77	152	115	186	130	146	119	119
	WBLT	2	7	6	18	15	39	20	18	15	17	13	13
Ħ.	WBTH	2	13	15	14	15	34	27	24	35	24	26	26
r S	WBRT	5	15	27	28	40	39	38	29	29	27	33	33
offe	NBLT	50	95	98	69	56	117	121	138	103	114	110	110
Hc	NBTH	680	897	813	805	826	1104	1095	1185	1287	1245	1163	1163
	NBRT	5	21	17	14	8	15	14	13	15	13	9	9
	SBLT	12	18	42	37	33	41	42	24	31	24	33	33
	SBTH	819	761	772	810	779	1231	1040	1256	1129	1212	1162	1162
	SBRT	41	65	84	107	99	276	168	213	148	208	151	151
	EBTH	0	4	6	6	15	19	15	16	17	17	25	27
	EBRT	3	5	25	14	60	100	60	88	82	103	86	103
	WBLT	5	20	17	23	16	12	9	20	25	18	10	14
Dr.	WBTH	7	13	14	12	19	23	16	17	17	25	21	28
уI	WBRT	8	24	30	27	26	21	9	25	17	35	33	32
IVO	NBLT	7	13	32	63	94	218	101	157	121	133	126	148
Sa	NBTH	741	968	792	865	1039	1247	626	1134	1161	1487	1337	1306
	NBRT	6	1	8	4	5	7	3	8	1	12	6	5
	SBLT	4	12	7	15	24	38	17	25	31	28	66	61
	SBTH	970	937	973	857	1085	1265	646	1156	1471	1291	1342	1265
1	GDDT	1	0	2	22	56	02	40	04	80	62	76	00

Table B- 7 Detailed Traffic Volume (vph – Table 2 of 3)

INT	BEG	7AM	8AM	9AM	10A	11A	12P	1PM	2PM	3PM	4PM	5PM	6PM
11N 1	END	8AM	9AM	10A	11A	12P	1PM	2PM	3PM	4PM	5PM	6PM	7PM
	EBLT	55	78	83	105	107	160	188	177	204	298	215	324
	EBTH	103	164	214	294	390	488	435	440	514	620	567	602
	EBRT	37	38	47	68	66	130	76	79	73	106	76	107
e.	WBLT	88	102	133	154	238	240	241	245	286	376	253	270
Av	WBTH	133	258	242	222	351	408	457	382	500	525	467	471
pu	WBRT	104	133	135	121	149	215	200	164	220	278	227	246
kla	NBLT	55	96	100	90	106	151	159	117	142	189	158	150
[ar]	NBTH	645	689	614	614	651	734	749	688	948	1067	933	964
Σ	NBRT	70	116	103	201	171	299	213	259	219	282	256	301
	SBLT	88	106	132	176	215	238	197	204	231	270	288	258
	SBTH	726	712	741	598	640	755	644	664	939	986	798	868
	SBRT	49	83	109	109	110	119	114	87	113	107	92	119
	EBTH	22	59	65	41	73	115	81	105	133	151	182	194
	EBRT	26	38	30	45	50	67	98	58	65	57	71	87
	WBLT	6	23	23	16	8	22	45	39	27	42	28	32
Ĥ.	WBTH	24	55	70	45	58	79	125	115	123	111	105	97
T S	WBRT	58	89	59	50	40	67	72	96	122	139	124	103
urte	NBLT	18	35	27	49	44	100	77	63	72	94	65	56
C	NBTH	731	923	732	730	768	976	994	1003	1203	1428	1265	1236
	NBRT	5	10	13	4	10	25	27	28	15	16	19	28
	SBLT	52	61	78	33	54	115	79	94	102	132	141	125
	SBTH	924	1035	999	851	853	1092	1058	1075	1141	1223	1035	1150
	SBRT	15	24	31	23	48	52	31	38	39	45	37	55
	EBTH	27	48	41	36	35	57	61	62	51	115	116	106
	EBRT	56	51	62	89	83	114	94	102	85	173	124	128
	WBLT	33	56	105	67	67	73	86	76	59	80	97	106
R	WBTH	53	92	101	54	60	74	65	55	73	102	93	98
ore	WBRT	25	26	15	21	18	10	33	46	14	15	20	21
am	NBLT	55	108	120	98	129	148	169	158	205	188	186	133
yca	NBTH	946	853	688	622	762	941	922	980	1430	1253	1370	1174
S	NBRT	46	56	61	36	48	64	55	72	52	74	73	69
	SBLT	3	15	8	8	10	15	14	10	25	28	25	12
	SBTH	1030	927	829	825	847	960	838	921	1153	1089	1113	1105
	SBRT	48	58	44	53	55	70	79	65	64	64	69	65

Table B- 8 Detailed Traffic Volume (vph – Table 3 of 3)

# APPENDIX C- SAS CODE AND RESULTS

```
data offsets;
INFILE 'offsets.inp';
input length 1-6 offset 7-12 cycle 13-18 split 19-24 turn 25-30 vol 31-36 det_loc 37-42 cnt_skw 43-50
occ skw 51-57;
diff skw=occ skw-cnt skw;
IF offset=5 THEN offset2=3;
        ELSE IF offset=6 THEN offset2=2;
        ELSE offset2=offset;
run;
data repli;
INFILE 'rep.inp';
input rep 1-1;
data all;
merge offsets repli;
PROC GLM DATA=all;
class length cycle split vol turn rep;
model diff skw=
length Cycle split(cycle) vol(split) turn
length*cycle length*split(cycle) length*vol(split) length*turn
cycle*vol(split) cycle*turn turn*split(cycle) turn*vol(split)
rep(length cycle split vol turn)
offset2
offset2*length offset2*Cycle offset2*split(cycle) offset2*vol(split) offset2*turn
offset2*rep(length cycle split vol turn)
det loc
det_loc*length det_loc*Cycle det_loc*split(cycle) det_loc*vol(split) det_loc*turn
det loc*rep(length cycle split vol turn)
```

offset2*det_loc

offset2*det_loc*rep(length cycle split vol turn);

```
RANDOM rep(length cycle split vol turn) offset2*rep(length cycle split vol turn)
det_loc*rep(length cycle split vol turn) offset2*det_loc*rep(length cycle split vol turn)
/test;
```

```
test H=length
                        E=rep(length cycle split vol turn);
test H=cycle
                        E=rep(length cycle split vol turn);
test H=split(cycle)
                        E=rep(length cycle split vol turn);
test H=vol(split)
                        E=rep(length cycle split vol turn);
                        E=rep(length cycle split vol turn);
test H=turn
test H=length*cycle
                                        E=rep(length cycle split vol turn);
                                        E=rep(length cycle split vol turn);
test H=length*split(cycle)
test H=length*vol(split)
                                        E=rep(length cycle split vol turn);
test H=length*turn
                                        E=rep(length cycle split vol turn);
test H=cycle*vol(split)
                                        E=rep(length cycle split vol turn);
test H=cycle*turn
                                        E=rep(length cycle split vol turn);
test H=turn*split(cycle)
                                        E=rep(length cycle split vol turn);
test H=turn*vol(split)
                                        E=rep(length cycle split vol turn);
test H=offset2
E=offset2*rep(length cycle split vol turn);
test H=offset2*length
E=offset2*rep(length cycle split vol turn);
test H=offset2*Cycle
E=offset2*rep(length cycle split vol turn);
test H=offset2*split(cycle)
E=offset2*rep(length cycle split vol turn);
test H=offset2*vol(split)
E=offset2*rep(length cycle split vol turn);
test H=offset2*turn
E=offset2*rep(length cycle split vol turn);
test H=det loc
E=det loc*rep(length cycle split vol turn);
test H=det loc*length
E=det_loc*rep(length cycle split vol turn);
```

```
test H=det_loc*Cycle
E=det_loc*rep(length cycle split vol turn);
test H=det_loc*split(cycle)
E=det_loc*rep(length cycle split vol turn);
test H=det_loc*vol(split)
E=det_loc*rep(length cycle split vol turn);
test H=det_loc*turn
E=det_loc*rep(length cycle split vol turn);
```

test H=offset2*det_loc E=offset2*det_loc*rep(length cycle split vol turn);

output out=resplot p=pred_off r=resid; RUN;

PROC plot data=resplot; plot pred_off*resid; run;

## F' Model GLM

The SAS System

15:43 Sunday, March 18, 2001 1

### The GLM Procedure

Class	Levels	Values
length	2	1000 3000
cycle	3	90 120 150
split	3	1 2 3
vol	3	1 2 3
turn	3	1 2 3
offset2	4	1 2 3 4
rep	5	1 2 3 4 5
len_vol	6	10001 10002 10003 30001 30002 30003
cyc_vol	9	901 902 903 1201 1202 1203 1501 1502 1503
spl_turn	9	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33
vol_turn	9	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33
off_len	8	1010 1020 1030 1040 3010 3020 3030 3040
off_cyc	10	100 110 120 130 140 150 160 170 180 190
off_spl	12	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43

	off_vol	1:	2 11	12 13 23	1 22 2	23 31	32 33	41 4	2 43			
	off_turn	1:	2 11	12 13 23	1 22 2	23 31	32 33	41 4	2 43			
	det_len	1	0 101	.0 1020 2	1030 1	1040	1050 3	010 3	020	3030 3	3040	3050
	det_vol	1	5 11	12 13 23	1 22 2	23 31	32 33	41 4	2 43	51 52	2 53	
	det_turn	1	5 11	12 13 23	1 22 2	23 31	32 33	41 4	2 43	51 52	2 53	
_ 15:43 Sunday, March 18,	2001 2		Number	• of obse The	ervat: SAS S	ions Syste	243 m	00				
				The GLM	M Prod	cedur	e					
Dependent Variable: fva	lue											
> F	Source		DF	ŝ	Sum o Square	of es	Mean	Squa	re	F Va	alue	Pr
<.0001	Model		3239	2156	.78049	94	0	.6658	79	4	ł.00	
	Error		21060	3503	.64988	87	0	.1663	65			
	Corrected Total		24299	5660	.43038	81						
		R-Square 0.381028	Coe 97	ff Var	F	Root 1	MSE 879	fval [.] 0	ue M .419	ean 275		
> F	Source		DF	Туј	pe I S	SS	Mean	Squa	re	F Va	alue	Pr

- 0001	off_turn	11	483.287859	43.935260	264.09	
<.0001	o*re(le*cy*sp*vo*tu)	3228	1673.492635	0.518430	3.12	
<.0001						
	Source	DF	Type III SS	Mean Square	F Value	Pr
> F						
	off_turn	0	0.000000			•
<.0001	o^re(le^cy^sp^vo^tu)	3228	16/3.492635	0.518430	3.12	
	0001 0		The SAS Syste	em		
15:43 Sunday, March 18,	2001 3					
			The GLM Procedur	e		
	Source	Туре	e III Expected Me	an Square		
	off_turn	0				
	o*re(le*cy*sp*vo*tu	) Var	(Error) + 7.5 Var	(o*re(le*cy*sp*	vo*tu))	
_ 15:43 Sunday, March 18,	2001 4		The SAS Syste	em		
			The GLM Procedur	e		
		Student-1	Newman-Keuls Test	for fvalue		
NOTE: This test con	trols the Type I experime	ntwise e	rror rate under t	he complete nul	l hypothesi	s but
not under partiar nurr			hypotheses.			

Alpha		0.05
Error D	Degrees of Freedom	3228
Error M	lean Square	0.51843

Harmonic Mean of Cell Sizes 1800

NOTE: Cell sizes are not equal.

 Number of Means
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9

 10
 11
 12
 Critical Range
 0.0470582
 0.0562765
 0.0616907
 0.0655068
 0.0684369
 0.0708071
 0.0727915
 0.0744948

 0.0759842
 0.0773059
 0.0784925
 0.0784925
 0.0784925
 0.0784925
 0.0784925
 0.0784925
 0.0784925
 0.0784925
 0.0784925
 0.0784925
 0.0784925
 0.0784925
 0.0784925
 0.0784925
 0.0784925
 0.0784925
 0.0784925
 0.0784925
 0.0784925
 0.0784925
 0.0784925
 0.0784925
 0.0784925
 0.0784925
 0.0784925
 0.0784925
 0.0784925
 0.0784925
 0.0784925
 0.0784925
 0.0784925
 0.0784925
 0.0784925
 0.0784925
 0.0784925
 0.0784925
 0.0784925
 0.0784925
 0.0784925
 0.0784925
 0.0784925
 0.0784925
 0.0784925
 0.0784925
 0.0784925
 0.0784925
 0.0784925
 0.0784925
 0.0784925
 0.0784925
 0.0784925
 0.0784925
 0.0784925
 0.07849

Means with the same letter are not significantly different.

SNK Grouping		Mean	Ν	off_turn
	A	0.62917	1350	41
	A A A	0.61900	1350	42
B	A	0.57820	1350	43
B	C	0.53232	2700	31
B	B C C	0.52248	2700	32
	C	0.50607	2700	33
	D	0.36017	2700	23
E	D	0.31353	1350	13
E	D	0.31097	2700	22
E		0.28576	2700	21
	F F	0.20180	1350	12

F 0.16971 1350 11

The SAS S	System
-----------	--------

Saturday, March 17, 2001 1

# The GLM Procedure

Class	Levels	Values
length	2	1000 3000
cycle	3	90 120 150
split	3	1 2 3
vol	3	1 2 3
turn	3	1 2 3
rep	5	1 2 3 4 5
len_vol	6	10001 10002 10003 30001 30002 30003
cyc_vol	9	901 902 903 1201 1202 1203 1501 1502 1503
spl_turn	9	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33
vol_turn	9	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33
off_len	8	1010 1020 1030 1040 3010 3020 3030 3040
off_cyc	10	100 110 120 130 140 150 160 170 180 190
off_spl	12	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43
off_vol	12	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43

	off_turn	12	2 11	12 13 21 2	2 23 31	32 33 4	1 42 43	3	
	det_len	10	101	0 1020 103	0 1040	1050 301	.0 3020	3030 3040	3050
	det_vol	15	5 11	12 13 21 2	2 23 31	32 33 4	1 42 43	3 51 52 53	
	det_turn	15	5 11	12 13 21 2	2 23 31	32 33 4	1 42 43	3 51 52 53	
_ Saturday, March 17, 20	01 2		Number	of observ The SA	ations S Syste	2430( m	)		23:44
				The GLM P:	rocedur	e			
Dependent Variable: fv	alue								
> F	Source		DF	Sui Squi	m of ares	Mean S	Square	F Value	Pr
<.0001	Model		809	1103.88	7260	1.3	864508	7.03	
	Error		23490	4556.54	3121	0.1	93978		
	Corrected Total		24299	5660.43	0381				
		R-Square	Coe	eff Var	Root	MSE f	Value N	lean	
		0.195018	10	05.0454	0.440	429	0.419	9275	
> F	Source		DF	Туре	I SS	Mean S	Square	F Value	Pr

		len_	vol	5	685.6270772	137.1254154	706.91	
<.0001		re(]	e*cv*sp*vol*tur)	804	418 2601828	0 5202241	2 68	
<.0001		10(1		001	110.2001020	0.5202211	2.00	
> F		Sour	ce	DF	Type III SS	Mean Square	F Value	Pr
0.001		len_ re(1	vol e*cy*sp*vol*tur)	0 804	0.0000000 418.2601828	0.5202241	2.68	
<.0001 _ Saturday,	March 17, 2	001 3			The SAS Syste	em		23:44
					The GLM Procedur	ce		
			Source	Туре	e III Expected Me	ean Square		
			len_vol	0				
_ Saturday,	March 17, 2	001 4	re(le*cy*sp*vol*tur)	Var	(Error) + 30 Var The SAS Syste	(re(le*cy*sp*vol em	*tur))	23:44
					The GLM Procedu	ce		
			St	udent-1	Newman-Keuls Test	for fvalue		
NOTE: not under	This test c partial nul	ontrols l	the Type I experiment	wise e	rror rate under t	the complete nul	l hypothes	is but

hypotheses.

Alpha		0.05
Error	Degrees of Freedom	804
Error	Mean Square	0.520224

<i>c</i>	Number of Means	2	3	4	5
0	Critical Range	0.0314619	0.037635	0.0412639	0.043821
0.0457862					

# Means with the same letter are not significantly different.

	SNK Grouping	Mean	Ν	len_vol	
	А	0.60692	4050	30001	
	А				
	А	0.60310	4050	10001	
	В	0.46659	4050	30002	
	В				
	В	0.44198	4050	10002	
	С	0.20465	4050	30003	
	С				
	С	0.19241	4050	10003	
_		The SA	AS System	m	23:44
Saturday, March 17, 2001 5					

The GLM Procedure

Class	Levels	Values
length	2	1000 3000
cycle	3	90 120 150
split	3	1 2 3

vol	3	1 2 3
turn	3	1 2 3
rep	5	1 2 3 4 5
len_vol	6	10001 10002 10003 30001 30002 30003
cyc_vol	9	901 902 903 1201 1202 1203 1501 1502 1503
spl_turn	9	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33
vol_turn	9	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33
off_len	8	1010 1020 1030 1040 3010 3020 3030 3040
off_cyc	10	100 110 120 130 140 150 160 170 180 190
off_spl	12	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43
off_vol	12	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43
off_turn	12	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43
det_len	10	1010 1020 1030 1040 1050 3010 3020 3030 3040 3050
det_vol	15	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43 51 52 53
det_turn	15	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43 51 52 53

Number	of	observations	24300	
		The SAS System		23:44

_ Saturday, March 17, 2001 6

The GLM Procedure

Dependent Variable: fvalue

				Sun	n of			
	Source		DF	Squa	ares Mea	n Square	F Value	Pr
> F								
	Model		800	1102 005	2260	1 26/500	7 0 2	
<.0001	MOGET		809	1103.007	200	1.304500	7.03	
	Error		23490	4556.543	3121	0.193978		
	Commented Motel		24200		201			
	Corrected Total		24299	5660.430	1381			
		R-Square	Coeff	Var	Root MSE	fvalue	Mean	
		0 105010	105	0454	0 440420	0 41	0.075	
		0.195018	105.	0454	0.440429	0.41	19275	
	Source		DF	Type I	SS Mea	n Square	F Value	Pr
> F								
	cvc vol		8	868.0857	904 108	.5107238	559.40	
<.0001			-					
	re(le*cy*sp*vol	*tur)	801	235.8014	697 0	.2943839	1.52	
<.0001								
	Source		DF	Type III	SS Mea	n Square	F Value	Pr
> F								
	1		0	0 0000				
	cyc_voi re(le*cv*sp*vol	*tur)	801	235 8014	1000 1697 0	2943839	1 52	•
<.0001		cur)	001	255.0011		. 27 13037	1.52	
_				The SAS	S System			23 <b>:</b> 44
Saturday, March 17, 20	01 7							

The GLM Procedure

	Source	Type III Expected Mean Square	
	cyc_vol	0	
_ Saturday, March 17, 2001	<pre>re(le*cy*sp*vol*tur) 8</pre>	Var(Error) + 30 Var(re(le*cy*sp*vol*tur)) The SAS System	23:44
-			

The GLM Procedure

Student-Newman-Keuls Test for fvalue

NOTE: This test controls the Type I experimentwise error rate under the complete null hypothesis but not under partial null

hypotheses.

			Alpha		0.05	
			Error Degree	s of Freedom	801	
			Error Mean S	quare 0	.294384	
Number of Means	2	3	4	5	б	7
8 9						
Critical Range	0.0289865	0.0346738	0.0380173	0.0403732	0.0421838	0.0436489
0.0448759 0.045	9293					

Means with the same letter are not significantly different.

SNK Grouping	Mean	N	cyc_vol
A	0.69470	2700	1501
B	0.62149	2700	1201
В	0.60733	2700	1502

С	0.49885	2700	901
D	0.45603	2700	1202
Е	0.29950	2700	902
F	0.22581	2700	1503
G	0.19080	2700	1203
G	0.17897 The SAS	2700 S System	903

### The GLM Procedure

23:44

Class Level Information

Class	Levels	Values
length	2	1000 3000
cycle	3	90 120 150
split	3	1 2 3
vol	3	1 2 3
turn	3	1 2 3
rep	5	1 2 3 4 5
len_vol	б	10001 10002 10003 30001 30002 30003
cyc_vol	9	901 902 903 1201 1202 1203 1501 1502 1503
spl_turn	9	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33

323

	vol_turn	9	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33	
	off_len	8	1010 1020 1030 1040 3010 3020 3030 3040	
	off_cyc	10	100 110 120 130 140 150 160 170 180 190	
	off_spl	12	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43	
	off_vol	12	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43	
	off_turn	12	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43	
	det_len	10	1010 1020 1030 1040 1050 3010 3020 3030 3040 30	)50
	det_vol	15	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43 51 52 53	
	det_turn	15	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43 51 52 53	
_ Saturday, March 17, 200	1 10	Nur	mber of observations 24300 The SAS System 2	23:44
			The GLM Procedure	
Dependent Variable: fva	lue			
> F	Source	I	Sum of DF Squares Mean Square F Value	Pr
<.0001	Model	80	09 1103.887260 1.364508 7.03	
	Error	2349	90 4556.543121 0.193978	
	Corrected Total	2429	99 5660.430381	

		R-Square	Coef	f Var	Root I	MSE fv	value Mean	1	
		0.195018	105	5.0454	0.4404	429	0.41927	5	
> F	Source		DF	Туре	I SS	Mean So	quare 1	? Value	Pr
. 0001	spl_turn		8	61.48	7298	7.68	35912	39.62	
<.0001	re(le*cy*sp*vo	l*tur)	801	1042.39	9962	1.30	)1373	6.71	
> F	Source		DF	Type II	I SS	Mean So	quare 1	7 Value	Pr
<.0001	spl_turn re(le*cy*sp*vo	l*tur)	0 801	0.00 1042.39	0000 9962	1.30	)1373	6.71	
_ Saturday, March 17, 20	001 11			The SA	S Syster	n			23:44
				The GLM P	rocedure	9			
	Source		Туре	e III Expe	cted Mea	an Square	2		
	spl_turn		0						
_ Saturday, March 17, 20	re(le*cy*)	sp*vol*tur)	Var(	Error) + The SA	30 Var(1 S System	re(le*cy* n	sp*vol*tu	ır))	23:44
				The GLM P	rocedure	e			
		St	udent-N	Jewman-Keu	ls Test	for fval	ue		

NOTE: This test controls the Type I experimentwise error rate under the complete null hypothesis but not under partial null

hypotheses.

			Alpha		0.05	
			Error Degree	es of Freedom	801	
			Error Mean S	Square 1	.301373	
Number of Means	2	3	4	5	б	7
8 9						
Critical Range	0.0609451	0.0729031	0.0799327	0.0848862	0.0886931	0.0917735
0.0943533 0.09	6568					

Means with the same letter are not significantly different.

SNK Grouping	Mean	Ν	spl_turn
A	0.48735	2700	13
A A	0.46341	2700	23
A A	0.45426	2700	12
A A	0.44226	2700	11
A A	0.44161	2700	22
A	0.42945	2700	21
В	0 36135	2700	33
B	0 24708	2700	20
В	0.34798	2700	J 4

0.34580 2700 31 The SAS System

23:44

Saturday, March 17, 2001 13

_

The GLM Procedure

В

Class	Levels	Values
length	2	1000 3000
cycle	3	90 120 150
split	3	1 2 3
vol	3	1 2 3
turn	3	1 2 3
rep	5	1 2 3 4 5
len_vol	6	10001 10002 10003 30001 30002 30003
cyc_vol	9	901 902 903 1201 1202 1203 1501 1502 1503
spl_turn	9	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33
vol_turn	9	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33
off_len	8	1010 1020 1030 1040 3010 3020 3030 3040
off_cyc	10	100 110 120 130 140 150 160 170 180 190
off_spl	12	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43
off_vol	12	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43

	off_turn	12	11 12 13 21	22 23 31 3	2 33 41 42 4	3	
	det_len	10	1010 1020 1	030 1040 10	50 3010 3020	3030 3040	3050
	det_vol	15	11 12 13 21	22 23 31 3	2 33 41 42 4	3 51 52 53	
	det_turn	15	11 12 13 21	22 23 31 3	2 33 41 42 4	3 51 52 53	
_ Saturday, March 17, 200	1 14	Nun	ber of obse The	rvations SAS System	24300		23:44
			The GLM	Procedure			
Dependent Variable: fva	lue						
> F	Source	Γ	)F S	Sum of quares	Mean Square	F Value	Pr
<.0001	Model	80	9 1103.	887260	1.364508	7.03	
	Error	2349	4556.	543121	0.193978		
	Corrected Total	2429	9 5660.	430381			
		R-Square	Coeff Var	Root MS	E fvalue	Mean	
		0.195018	105.0454	0.44042	9 0.41	9275	
> F	Source	Γ	)F Typ	e I SS	Mean Square	F Value	Pr

			vol_	turn	8	712.6528282	89.0816035	459.24	
<.0001			re(]	e*cv*sp*vol*tur)	801	391,2344318	0.4884325	2.52	
<.0001			20(2		001	07112011010		2.02	
			Sour	се	DF	Type III SS	Mean Square	F Value	Pr
> F.									
			vol_	turn	0	0.000000	•		
< 0001		:	re(l	e*cy*sp*vol*tur)	801	391.2344318	0.4884325	2.52	
_						The SAS Syste	em		23:44
Saturday,	March 17,	2001	15						
						The GLM Procedur	e		
				Source	Тур	e III Expected Me	an Square		
				vol_turn	0				
				re(le*cy*sp*vol*tur)	Var	(Error) + 30 Var(	re(le*cy*sp*vol	*tur))	
_		0 0 0 1				The SAS Syste	em		23:44
Saturday,	March 17,	2001	16						
						The GLM Procedur	e		
				St	udent-	Newman-Keuls Test	for fvalue		
NOTE:	This test	cont	rols	the Type I experiment	wise e	rror rate under t	he complete nul	l hypothes	is but
not under	partial nu	ıll					-		

hypotheses.

Alpha		0.05
Error	Degrees of Freedom	801
Error	Mean Square	0.488432

	Number of	f Means	2	3	4	5	б	7
8		9						
	Critical	Range	0.0373371	0.0446629	0.0489695	0.0520042	0.0543365	0.0562236
0	.0578041	0.05910	509					

Means with the same letter are not significantly different.

SNK Grouping	Mean	Ν	vol_turn
A	0.68195	2700	13
В	0.59077	2700	12
С	0.54232	2700	11
D	0.46244	2700	21
ם D	0.45541	2700	22
D	0.44500	2700	23
E	0.21275	2700	31
E F	0.19768	2700	32
E	0.18516 The S	2700 AS System	33 n

23:44

The GLM Procedure

Class Level Information

Class

Values

Levels

length	2	1000 3000
cycle	3	90 120 150
split	3	1 2 3
vol	3	1 2 3
turn	3	1 2 3
offset2	4	1 2 3 4
rep	5	1 2 3 4 5
len_vol	6	10001 10002 10003 30001 30002 30003
cyc_vol	9	901 902 903 1201 1202 1203 1501 1502 1503
spl_turn	9	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33
vol_turn	9	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33
off_len	8	1010 1020 1030 1040 3010 3020 3030 3040
off_cyc	10	100 110 120 130 140 150 160 170 180 190
off_spl	12	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43
off_vol	12	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43
off_turn	12	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43
det_len	10	1010 1020 1030 1040 1050 3010 3020 3030 3040 3050
det_vol	15	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43 51 52 53
det_turn	15	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43 51 52 53

_ Saturday, March 17, 20	001 18		Number	of observ The SA	vations AS Syste	2430 em	0		23:44
				The GLM H	Procedur	e			
Dependent Variable: fv	value								
> F	Source		DF	Sı Sqı	um of Nares	Mean	Square	F Value	Pr
<.0001	Model		3239	2156.78	30494	0.	665879	4.00	
	Error		21060	3503.64	19887	0.	166365		
	Corrected Total		24299	5660.43	80381				
		R-Square	Coet	f Var	Root	MSE	fvalue N	Mean	
		0.381028	97	.28191	0.407	879	0.419	9275	
> F	Source		DF	Туре	I SS	Mean	Square	F Value	Pr
. 0001	off_len		7	462.94	12471	66.	134639	397.53	
<.0001	o*re(le*cy*sp*v	ro*tu)	3232	1693.83	88023	0.	524084	3.15	
> F	Source		DF	Type II	II SS	Mean	Square	F Value	Pr

off_len 0 0.000000 o*re(le*cy*sp*vo*tu) 3.15 3232 1693.838023 0.524084 <.0001 The SAS System 23:44 Saturday, March 17, 2001 19 The GLM Procedure Source Type III Expected Mean Square off_len 0 o*re(le*cy*sp*vo*tu) Var(Error) + 7.5 Var(o*re(le*cy*sp*vo*tu)) The SAS System 23:44 Saturday, March 17, 2001 20 The GLM Procedure Student-Newman-Keuls Test for fvalue NOTE: This test controls the Type I experimentwise error rate under the complete null hypothesis but not under partial null hypotheses. Alpha 0.05 Error Degrees of Freedom 3232 Error Mean Square 0.524084 Harmonic Mean of Cell Sizes 2700 NOTE: Cell sizes are not equal. Number of Means 2 3 5 6 4 7 8 Critical Range 0.0461994 0.0506441 0.0537769 0.0561823 0.0386318 0.058128 0.0597572

Means	with	the	same	letter	are	not	significantly	different.

SNK Grouping	Mean	Ν	off_len
А	0.63703	2025	3040
В	0.58055	2025	1040
C	0.53494	4050	3030
C	0.50564	4050	1030
D	0.32832	4050	1020
D D	0.30961	4050	3020
E	0.23018	2025	3010
E E	0.22651 The S	2025 AS Syste	1010 m

### The GLM Procedure

23:44

Class	Levels	Values
length	2	1000 3000
cycle	3	90 120 150
split	3	1 2 3
vol	3	123

turn	3	1 2 3
offset2	4	1 2 3 4
rep	5	1 2 3 4 5
len_vol	6	10001 10002 10003 30001 30002 30003
cyc_vol	9	901 902 903 1201 1202 1203 1501 1502 1503
spl_turn	9	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33
vol_turn	9	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33
off_len	8	1010 1020 1030 1040 3010 3020 3030 3040
off_cyc	10	100 110 120 130 140 150 160 170 180 190
off_spl	12	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43
off_vol	12	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43
off_turn	12	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43
det_len	10	1010 1020 1030 1040 1050 3010 3020 3030 3040 3050
det_vol	15	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43 51 52 53
det_turn	15	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43 51 52 53

Number	of	observations	24300	
		The SAS System		23:44

_ Saturday, March 17, 2001 22

The GLM Procedure

Dependent Variable: fvalue

					Sum of				
N F	Source		DF	S	quares	Mean	Square	F Value	Pr
> r			2.02.0	0156	<b></b>			4	
<.0001	Model		3239	2156.	780494	0	.665879	4.00	
	Error		21060	3503.	649887	0	.166365		
	Corrected Total		24299	5660.	430381				
		R-Square	Coeff	Var	Root	MSE	fvalue	Mean	
		0.381028	97.2	8191	0.40	7879	0.41	9275	
> F	Source		DF	Тур	e I SS	Mean	Square	F Value	Pr
	off_cyc		9	529.	571726	58	.841303	353.69	
<.0001	o*re(le*cy*sp*v	o*tu)	3230	1627.	208769	0	.503780	3.03	
<.0001									
	Source		DF	Туре	III SS	Mean	Square	F Value	Pr
> F									
	off_cyc o*re(le*cy*sp*v	O*t11)	0 3230	0. 1627	000000 208769	0	. 503780	3 03	•
<.0001		c cu,	5250	The	SIS Svata	-m		5.05	23:44
_ Saturday, March 17, 200	01 23			1116	DAD DYSU				23.44

The GLM Procedure

	Source	Type III Expected Mean Square	
	off_cyc	0	
_ Saturday, March 17, 2001 24	o*re(le*cy*sp*vo*tu)	<pre>Var(Error) + 7.4985 Var(o*re(le*cy*sp*vo*tu)) The SAS System</pre>	23:44

The GLM Procedure

Student-Newman-Keuls Test for fvalue

NOTE: This test controls the Type I experimentwise error rate under the complete null hypothesis but not under partial null

hypotheses.

Alpha	0.05
Error Degrees of Freedom	3230
Error Mean Square	0.50378
Harmonic Mean of Cell Sizes	2250

NOTE: Cell sizes are not equal.

Number of Means 5 7 2 3 4 б 8 9 10 Critical Range 0.0496189 0.0543926 0.0577572 0.0414911 0.0603407 0.0624305 0.0641802 0.0656819 0.0669952

Means with the same letter are not significantly different.

SNK	Grouping	Mean	N	off_cyc
	А	0.77960	1350	190

В	0.64960	2700	180
С	0.53029	2700	150
D	0.43633	2700	160
E	0.38098	2700	120
E	0.36523	2700	170
F	0.32049	2700	130
F	0.31465	2700	140
F	0.27701	2700	110
G	0.21816 The SA	1350 AS Syste	100 m

The GLM Procedure

23:44

Class	Levels	Values
length	2	1000 3000
cycle	3	90 120 150
split	3	1 2 3
vol	3	1 2 3
turn	3	1 2 3
offset2	4	1 2 3 4

	rep	5	1 2 3 4 5
	len_vol	б	10001 10002 10003 30001 30002 30003
	cyc_vol	9	901 902 903 1201 1202 1203 1501 1502 1503
	spl_turn	9	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33
	vol_turn	9	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33
	off_len	8	1010 1020 1030 1040 3010 3020 3030 3040
	off_cyc	10	100 110 120 130 140 150 160 170 180 190
	off_spl	12	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43
	off_vol	12	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43
	off_turn	12	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43
	det_len	10	1010 1020 1030 1040 1050 3010 3020 3030 3040 3050
	det_vol	15	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43 51 52 53
	det_turn	15	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43 51 52 53
_ Saturday, March 17, 2001 26		Nur	ber of observations 24300 The SAS System 23:44
			The GLM Procedure
Dependent Variable: fvalue			
> F	ce	E	Sum of DF Squares Mean Square F Value Pr

<.0001	Model	3239	2156.780	494	0.665879	4.00	
	Error	21060	3503.649	887	0.166365		
	Corrected Total	24299	5660.430	381			
	R-Squ	are Coe	ff Var	Root MS	E fvalue M	lean	
	0.381	028 97	.28191	0.40787	9 0.419	9275	
> F	Source	DF	Туре І	SS	Mean Square	F Value	Pr
< 0001	off_spl	11	562.953	310	51.177574	307.62	
<.0001	o*re(le*cy*sp*vo*tu)	3228	1593.827	185	0.493751	2.97	
> F	Source	DF	Type III	SS	Mean Square	F Value	Pr
~ 0001	off_spl o*re(le*cy*sp*vo*tu)	0 3228	0.000 1593.827	000 185	0.493751	2.97	
- Saturday, March 17, 2003	1 27		The SAS	System			23:44
			The GLM Pr	ocedure			
	Source	Тур	e III Expec	ted Mean	Square		
	off_spl	0					
o*re(le*cy*sp*vo*tu)

tu) Var(Error) + 7.5 Var(o*re(le*cy*sp*vo*tu)) The SAS System 23:44

Saturday, March 17, 2001 28

The GLM Procedure

#### Student-Newman-Keuls Test for fvalue

NOTE: This test controls the Type I experimentwise error rate under the complete null hypothesis but not under partial null

hypotheses.

				1	Alpha			0.05	
				]	Error Degre	ees of Fre	edom	3228	
				]	Error Mean	Square	0.4	493751	
				]	Harmonic Me	ean of Cell	l Sizes	1800	
					NOTE: Ce	ll sizes a:	re not equa	al.	
	Number of Means	2	3	4	5	б	7	8	9
10	11	12							
	Critical Range	0.0459244	0.0549206	0.0602044	0.0639286	0.0667881	0.0691011	0.0710378	0.0727

0.0741536 0.0754434 0.0766015

SNK Grouping	Mean	Ν	off_spl
A	0.71015	1350	42
B	0.63634	1350	41
C B C	0.59111	2700	31

	0.57182	2700	32
D	0.47988	1350	43
E	0.39795	2700	33
E	0.35926	2700	21
F	0.30160	2700	22
F	0.29604	2700	23
G	0.24240	1350	13
G	0.23067	1350	11
G	0.21197 The SAS	1350 Svatem	12
	THC DAD	DIDUCIII	

The GLM Procedure

23:44

Class Level Information

Class	Levels	Values
length	2	1000 3000
cycle	3	90 120 150
split	3	1 2 3
vol	3	1 2 3
turn	3	1 2 3
offset2	4	1 2 3 4

С

	rep	5	1 2 3 4 5					
	len_vol	6	10001 10002	10003 300	001 3000	2 30003	3	
	cyc_vol	9	901 902 903	1201 1202	2 1203 1	501 150	)2 1503	
	spl_turn	9	11 12 13 21	22 23 31	32 33			
	vol_turn	9	11 12 13 21	22 23 31	32 33			
	off_len	8	1010 1020 1	.030 1040 3	3010 302	0 3030	3040	
	off_cyc	10	100 110 120	130 140 1	50 160	170 180	) 190	
	off_spl	12	11 12 13 21	22 23 31	32 33 4	1 42 43	3	
	off_vol	12	11 12 13 21	22 23 31	32 33 4	1 42 43	3	
	off_turn	12	11 12 13 21	22 23 31	32 33 4	1 42 43	3	
	det_len	10	1010 1020 1	.030 1040 1	.050 301	0 3020	3030 3040	3050
	det_vol	15	11 12 13 21	22 23 31	32 33 4	1 42 43	3 51 52 53	
	det_turn	15	11 12 13 21	22 23 31	32 33 4	1 42 43	3 51 52 53	
_ Saturday, March 17, 2001 30		Nut	mber of obse The	ervations SAS System	24300 n			23:44
			The GLM	I Procedure	2			
Dependent Variable: fvalue								
> F	ce	]	DF S	Sum of Gquares	Mean S	quare	F Value	Pr

<.0001	Model		3239	2156.780	)494	0.665879	4.00	
	Error		21060	3503.649	887	0.166365		
	Corrected Total		24299	5660.430	381			
		R-Square	Coeff	Var	Root M	SE fvalue	Mean	
		0.381028	97.2	8191	0.4078	79 0.41	9275	
> F	Source		DF	Type I	SS	Mean Square	F Value	Pr
< 0001	off_vol		11	1300.163	3984	118.196726	710.47	
<.0001	o*re(le*cy*sp*vc	o*tu)	3228	856.616	5510	0.265371	1.60	
> F	Source		DF	Type III	SS	Mean Square	F Value	Pr
- 0001	off_vol o*re(le*cy*sp*vc	o*tu)	0 3228	0.0000 856.6165	0000 5103	0.2653707	1.60	
Saturday, March 17, 2003	ch 17, 2001 31							23:44
			T	he GLM Pr	cocedure			
	Source		Туре	III Expec	cted Mea	n Square		
	off_vol		0					

o*re(le*cy*sp*vo*tu) Var(Error) + 7.5 Var(o*re(le*cy*sp*vo*tu)) The SAS System 23:44

Saturday, March 17, 2001 32

The GLM Procedure

#### Student-Newman-Keuls Test for fvalue

NOTE: This test controls the Type I experimentwise error rate under the complete null hypothesis but not under partial null

hypotheses.

			1	Alpha			0.05	
			I	Error Degre	ees of Free	edom	3228	
			I	Error Mean	Square	0.2	65371	
			I	Harmonic Me	ean of Cell	l Sizes	1800	
				NOTE: Cel	ll sizes an	re not equa	1.	
Number of Means	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
10 11	12							
Critical Range	0.0336679	0.0402632	0.0441368	0.0468671	0.0489634	0.0506591	0.0520789	0.0532975

0.0543632 0.0553088 0.0561578

SNK Grouping	Mean	N	off_vol
А	0.83899	1350	41
B	0.74394	1350	42
B	0.73900	2700	31

	С	0.61303	2700	32
	D	0.48307	2700	21
	E	0.34695	1350	11
	F	0.28868	2700	22
	G	0.24345	1350	43
	H	0.20884	2700	33
I	H	0.18515	2700	23
I	H	0.17834	1350	12
T I		0.15975	1350	13

## **Skewness Model GLM**

The SAS System

16:00 Sunday, March 18, 2001 1

The GLM Procedure Class Level Information

Class	Levels	Values
length	2	1000 3000
cycle	3	90 120 150
split	3	1 2 3
vol	3	1 2 3
turn	3	1 2 3
offset2	4	1 2 3 4
det_loc	5	1 2 3 4 5
rep	5	1 2 3 4 5
len_vol	б	10001 10002 10003 30001 30002 30003
cyc_vol	9	901 902 903 1201 1202 1203 1501 1502 1503
cyc_turn	9	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33
vol_turn	9	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33
off_cyc	10	100 110 120 130 140 150 160 170 180 190
off_spl	12	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43

		off_vol		12	11	12	13	21	22	23	31	32	33	41	42	43				
		off_tur	'n	12	11	12	13	21	22	23	31	32	33	41	42	43				
		det_vol		15	11	12	13	21	22	23	31	32	33	41	42	43	51	52	53	
		det_tur	'n	15	11	12	13	21	22	23	31	32	33	41	42	43	51	52	53	
_ 16:00 Sunday, March 18,	2001	2		Nur	nber	of (	obse The	erva SAS	atic S Sy	ons vste	em	243	300							
Dependent Variable: dif	fskw					me	GTI	M PJ	LOCE	aur	e									
Dependente variabies ari	T_011W																			
> F	Source			I	OF		2	Sur Squa	n of ares	5	Ν	lear	ı Sç	quar	re	F	Va	alue		Pr
<.0001	Model			404	49	12	2073	3.62	1178	3			2.9	9818	37		2	2.88		
	Error			2025	50	20	0935	5.92	1414	Ł			1.0	)338	37					
	Correc	ted Tota	.1	2429	99	33	3009	9.52	2592	2										
			R-Square	(	Coeff	Vai	r		Roc	ot N	ISE		dif	f_s	skw	Mea	n			
			0.000/01		510.	1000	0		±•0					C			5			
> F	Source			I	OF		Тур	pe I	I SS	5	Ν	lear	ı Sç	quar	ce	F	Va	alue		Pr

	det_vol	14	1703.47161	121.67654	117.69	
<.0001	d*re(le*cy*sp*vo*tu)	4035	10370.14017	2.57005	2.49	
<.0001						
> F	Source	DF	Type III SS	Mean Square	F Value	Pr
		0	0 00000			
	d*re(le*cy*sp*vo*tu)	4035	10370.14017	2.57005	2.49	•
<.0001			The SAS Syste	⊃m		
	2001 3					
			The GLM Procedu	re		
	Source	Туре	e III Expected Me	ean Square		
	det_vol	0				
	d*re(le*cy*sp*vo*tu	) Var	(Error) + 6 Var(d	l*re(le*cy*sp*vo	o*tu))	
_ 16:00 Sunday, March 18,	2001 4		The SAS Syste	em		
			The GLM Procedu	re		
	S	tudent-Ne	ewman-Keuls Test	for diff_skw		
NOTE: This test con	trols the Type I experime	ntwise e	rror rate under t	the complete nul	l hypothesi.	.s but
not under partiar nutt			hypotheses.			

Alpha		0.05
Error	Degrees of Freedom	4035
Error	Mean Square	2.570047

	Number of Means	2	3	4	5	б
7	8					
	Critical Range	0.110435	0.132066	0.14477	0.1537241	0.1605989
0.166	51598 0.1708158					
	Number of Means	9	10	11	12	13
14	15					
	Critical Range	0.1748119	0.1783063	0.1814071	0.184191	0.1867146
0.189	0.191143					

SNK Grouping	Mean	Ν	det_vol
А	0.61713	1620	31
A B A	0.53475	1620	41
B	0.41301	1620	21
B	0.41220	1620	22
B	0.39686	1620	51
B B	0.38865	1620	12
C	0.21822	1620	32
C D C	0.11791	1620	42
D D	0.06014	1620	13
D D	0.05449	1620	52
D D	0.01189	1620	53

D				
D		0.01057	1620	43
D				
D		-0.00951	1620	33
D				
D		-0.01790	1620	23
	Е	-0.42728	1620	11

The SAS System

00:18 Sunday, March 18, 2001 1

## The GLM Procedure

## Class Level Information

Class	Levels	Values
length	2	1000 3000
cycle	3	90 120 150
split	3	1 2 3
vol	3	1 2 3
turn	3	1 2 3
rep	5	1 2 3 4 5
len_vol	б	10001 10002 10003 30001 30002 30003
cyc_vol	9	901 902 903 1201 1202 1203 1501 1502 1503
cyc_turn	9	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33
vol_turn	9	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33
off_cyc	10	100 110 120 130 140 150 160 170 180 190
off_spl	12	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43
off_vol	12	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43
off_turn	12	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43
det_vol	15	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43 51 52 53

# det_turn 15 11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43 51 52 53

Number of observations 24300 The SAS System

00:18 Sunday, March 18, 2001 2

The GLM Procedure

Dependent Variable: diff_skw

> F	Source		DF	Sur Squa	n of ares	Mean Square	F Value	Pr
<.0001	Model		809	5473.43	3852	6.76568	5.77	
	Error		23490	27536.08	3740	1.17225		
	Corrected Tota	al	24299	33009.52	2592			
		R-Square	Coeff	Var	Root M	SE diff_skw	Mean	
		0.165814	583.	9568	1.0827	04 0.18	5408	
> F	Source		DF	Туре 1	I SS	Mean Square	F Value	Pr
< 0001	len_vol		5	389.438	3307	77.887661	66.44	
<.0001	re(le*cy*sp*v	ol*tur)	804	5084.000	0211	6.323383	5.39	

> F	Source	DF	Type III SS	Mean Square	F Value	Pr
<.0001	len_vol re(le*cy*sp*vol*tur)	0 804	0.000000 5084.000211	6.323383	5.39	
00:18 Sunday, March 18,	2001 3		THE SAS SYSC	em		
		r	The GLM Procedu:	re		
	Source	Туре	III Expected M	ean Square		
	len_vol	0				
- 00.18 Sunday March 18	re(le*cy*sp*vol*tur	) Var(]	Error) + 30 Var The SAS Syste	(re(le*cy*sp*vo em	l*tur))	
outo Sunday, March 10,	2001 4		The GLM Procedu:	re		
	S	tudent-New	wman-Keuls Test	for diff_skw		
NOTE: This test con not under partial null	trols the Type I experime	ntwise er:	ror rate under hypotheses.	the complete nu	ll hypothesis	but
		Alpha Error Deg Error Mea	grees of Freedo an Square	0.05 m 804 6.323383		
Nui	mber of Means	2	3	4	5	
6 Cr: 0.1596299	itical Range 0.1096	895	0.1312112	0.1438631	0.1527783	

|--|

SNK Grouping	Mean	Ν	len_vol
A	0.31712	4050	10001
A A	0.29667	4050	30001
A A	0.24155	4050	30002
A A	0.23504	4050	10002
В	0.01288	4050	10003
В		4050	20002
В	0.00920 The SA	4050 AS Syste	30003 m

______00:18 Sunday, March 18, 2001 5

The GLM Procedure

Class Level Information

Class	Levels	Values
length	2	1000 3000
cycle	3	90 120 150
split	3	1 2 3
vol	3	1 2 3
turn	3	1 2 3
rep	5	1 2 3 4 5

		len_vol	6	100	001 1	0002	100	03	300	01	300	02	300	003				
		cyc_vol	9	902	1 902	903	120	1 1	202	12	03	150	)1 1	1502	2 15	503		
		cyc_turn	9	11	12 1	3 21	22	23	31	32	33							
		vol_turn	9	11	12 1	3 21	22	23	31	32	33							
		off_cyc	10	100	0 110	120	130	14	0 1	50	160	) 17	70 1	180	190	)		
		off_spl	12	11	12 1	3 21	22	23	31	32	33	41	42	43				
		off_vol	12	11	12 1	3 21	22	23	31	32	33	41	42	43				
		off_turn	12	11	12 1	3 21	22	23	31	32	33	41	42	43				
		det_vol	15	11	12 1	3 21	22	23	31	32	33	41	42	43	51	52	53	
		det_turn	15	11	12 1	3 21	22	23	31	32	33	41	42	43	51	52	53	
_ 00:18 Sunday, March 18,	2001	6	Numb	oer (	of ob Th	serva e SAS	atio S Sy	ns ste	m	243	00							
				5	The G	LM Pi	roce	dur	е									
Dependent Variable: dif:	f_skw																	
> F	Source		DF	Ŧ		Sur Squa	m of ares		M	ean	ı Sg	luar	e	F	' Va	alue	9	Pr
<.0001	Model		809	9	54	73.43	3852				6.7	656	58		Ę	5.77	7	
	Error		23490	)	275	36.08	8740				1.1	722	25					

	Corrected Tota	.1	24299	33009.5	2592			
		R-Square	Coeff	Var	Root MS	E diff_skw	Mean	
		0.165814	583.9	9568	1.08270	4 0.18	\$5408	
> F	Source		DF	Туре	I SS	Mean Square	F Value	Pr
< 0.001	cyc_vol		8	733.77	7994	91.722249	78.24	
<.0001	re(le*cy*sp*vc	ol*tur)	801	4739.66	0524	5.917179	5.05	
> F	Source		DF	Type II	I SS	Mean Square	F Value	Pr
< 0001	cyc_vol re(le*cy*sp*vc	l*tur)	0 801	0.00 4739.66	0000 0524	5.917179	5.05	
00:18 Sunday, March 18,	2001 7			The SA	S System	l		
	Source		Туре					
	cyc_vol		0					
_ 00:18 Sunday, March 18,	re(le*cy* 2001 8	sp*vol*tur	) Var(I	Error) + The SA	30 Var(r S System	re(le*cy*sp*vol N	*tur))	
			r	The GLM P	rocedure	2		

### Student-Newman-Keuls Test for diff_skw

NOTE: This test controls the Type I experimentwise error rate under the complete null hypothesis but not under partial null

hypotheses.

			Alpha		0.05	
			Error Degree	es of Freedom	801	
			Error Mean S	Square S	5.917179	
Number of Means	2	3	4	5	б	7
8 9						
Critical Range	0.1299558	0.1554541	0.1704438	0.1810063	0.1891239	0.1956923
0.2011933 0.20593	159					

SNK Grouping	Mean	N	cyc_vol	
A	0.54656	2700	901	
B	0.31890	2700	1502	
B	0.29752	2700	1201	
B	0.24643	2700	1202	
C B	0.14955	2700	902	
C	0.07660	2700	1501	
C	0.05429	2700	1503	
C	-0.00428	2700	1203	

-0.01	L690	2700	903
The	SAS	System	

00:18 Sunday, March 18, 2001 9

The GLM Procedure

C C

Class Level Information Values Class Levels 1000 3000 length 2 cycle 3 90 120 150 123 split 3 123 vol 3 1 2 3 turn 3 1 2 3 4 5 5 rep len_vol 10001 10002 10003 30001 30002 30003 б 901 902 903 1201 1202 1203 1501 1502 1503 cyc_vol 9 11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 cyc_turn 9 vol_turn 11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 9 100 110 120 130 140 150 160 170 180 190 off_cyc 10 off_spl 11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43 12 off_vol 12 11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43 11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43 off_turn 12

	det_vol		15	11 12	13 21	. 22	23	31 3	32 3	334	1 4	2 4	3 51	L 52	53	
	det_tur	'n	15	11 12	13 21	. 22	23	31 3	32 3	334	1 4	2 4	3 51	L 52	53	
_ 00:18 Sunday, March 18,	2001 10		Numbe	er of o	bserv The SA	vatio AS Sy	ons vste	2 m	243(	00						
				The	GLM F	roce	edur	e								
Dependent Variable: dif	f_skw															
> F	Source		DF		Su Squ	um of lares	5	Me	ean	Squ	lare	:	FΥ	/alu@	9	Pr
<.0001	Model		809	Ę	5473.4	13852	2		e	5.76	568			5.7	7	
	Error		23490	27	7536.0	8740	)		-	1.17	225					
	Corrected Tota	1	24299	33	3009.5	52592	2									
		R-Square	Coe	eff Var	î	Roc	ot M	SE	c	diff	_sk	w M	lean			
		0.165814	58	3.9568	3	1.0	827	04			0.	185	408			
> F	Source		DF		Туре	I SS	5	M€	ean	Squ	lare		FΥ	/alu@	e	Pr
. 0001	cyc_turn		8	24	451.22	21357	7		306	.402	670	I	26	51.38	8	
<.0001	re(le*cy*sp*vc	ol*tur)	801	30	)22.21	.7161	_		3	.773	055			3.22	2	

Source DF Type III SS Mean Square F Value Pr > F cyc_turn 0 0.000000 . . . re(le*cy*sp*vol*tur) 3.773055 3.22 801 3022.217161 <.0001 The SAS System 00:18 Sunday, March 18, 2001 11 The GLM Procedure Source Type III Expected Mean Square 0 cyc_turn re(le*cy*sp*vol*tur) Var(Error) + 30 Var(re(le*cy*sp*vol*tur)) The SAS System 00:18 Sunday, March 18, 2001 12 The GLM Procedure Student-Newman-Keuls Test for diff_skw NOTE: This test controls the Type I experimentwise error rate under the complete null hypothesis but not under partial null hypotheses. Alpha 0.05 Error Degrees of Freedom 801 Error Mean Square 3.773055 Number of Means 2 3 4 5 б 7 8 9

# Critical Range 0.1037731 0.1241342 0.1361038 0.1445383 0.1510204 0.1562654 0.1606582 0.1644293

Means with the same letter are not significantly different.

SNK Grouping	Mean	Ν	cyc_turn
A	0.77516	2700	11
В	0.52115	2700	12
С	0.37260	2700	13
C	0.34088	2700	21
D	0.13693	2700	22
E	-0.02952	2700	23
E F E	-0.10452	2700	31
F F	-0.16739	2700	32
F F	-0.17660	2700	33
	The SAS	System	

00:18 Sunday, March 18, 2001 13

The GLM Procedure

Class Level Information

Class	Levels	Values
length	2	1000 3000
cycle	3	90 120 150

split	3	1 2 3
vol	3	1 2 3
turn	3	1 2 3
rep	5	1 2 3 4 5
len_vol	6	10001 10002 10003 30001 30002 30003
cyc_vol	9	901 902 903 1201 1202 1203 1501 1502 1503
cyc_turn	9	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33
vol_turn	9	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33
off_cyc	10	100 110 120 130 140 150 160 170 180 190
off_spl	12	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43
off_vol	12	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43
off_turn	12	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43
det_vol	15	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43 51 52 53
det_turn	15	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43 51 52 53

Number	of	obse	ervat	tions	24300
		The	SAS	System	

_____00:18 Sunday, March 18, 2001 14

The GLM Procedure

Dependent Variable: diff_skw

	Source	DF	Sum of Squares	E Mean Square	F Value	Pr
> F			-	-		
<.0001	Model	809	5473.43852	6.76568	5.77	
	Error	23490	27536.08740	) 1.17225		
	Corrected Total	24299	33009.52592	2		
	R-Square	Coef	f Var Roo	ot MSE diff_skw	<i>i</i> Mean	
	0.165814	583	.9568 1.0	0.1	85408	
> F	Source	DF	Type I SS	5 Mean Square	F Value	Pr
< 0.001	vol_turn	8	768.348940	96.043618	81.93	
<.0001	re(le*cy*sp*vol*tur)	801	4705.089578	3 5.874019	5.01	
> F	Source	DF	Type III SS	5 Mean Square	F Value	Pr
<.0001	vol_turn re(le*cy*sp*vol*tur)	0 801	0.000000 4705.089578	) . 3 5.874019	5.01	
00:18 Sunday, March 18,	2001 15		The SAS Sy	ystem		
			The GLM Proce	edure		
	Source	Тур	e III Expected	l Mean Square		
		364				

vol_turn

re(le*cy*sp*vol*tur) Var(Error) + 30 Var(re(le*cy*sp*vol*tur))
The SAS System

00:18 Sunday, March 18, 2001 16

The GLM Procedure

Student-Newman-Keuls Test for diff_skw

NOTE: This test controls the Type I experimentwise error rate under the complete null hypothesis but not under partial null

0

hypotheses.

			Alpha Error Degre Error Mean	es of Freedom Square	0.05 801 5.874019	
Number of Means	2	3	4	5	6	7
Critical Range 0.2004582 0.2051	0.129481 635	0.1548861	0.169821	0.1803449	0.1884329	0.1949773

SNK Grouping	Mean	Ν	vol_turn
A	0.47176	2700	11
A A A	0.44895	2700	21
B A B	0.31687	2700	12

В	С	0.18949	2700	22
	С			
D	С	0.13205	2700	13
D	С			
D	С	0.09081	2700	31
D	С			
D	С	0.07645	2700	23
D				
D		-0.01567	2700	32
D				
D		-0.04202	2700	33
		The SAS	System	

_____00:18 Sunday, March 18, 2001 17

The GLM Procedure

Class Level Information

Class	Levels	Values
length	2	1000 3000
cycle	3	90 120 150
split	3	1 2 3
vol	3	1 2 3
turn	3	1 2 3
offset2	4	1 2 3 4
rep	5	1 2 3 4 5
len_vol	6	10001 10002 10003 30001 30002 30003
cyc_vol	9	901 902 903 1201 1202 1203 1501 1502 1503

	cyc_turn	9	11 12 13	21 22 23 3	31 32 33		
	vol_turn	9	11 12 13	21 22 23 3	31 32 33		
	off_cyc	10	100 110 1	120 130 140	150 160 170 1	.80 190	
	off_spl	12	11 12 13	21 22 23 3	31 32 33 41 42	43	
	off_vol	12	11 12 13	21 22 23 3	31 32 33 41 42	43	
	off_turn	12	11 12 13	21 22 23 3	31 32 33 41 42	43	
	det_vol	15	11 12 13	21 22 23 3	31 32 33 41 42	43 51 52 53	
	det_turn	15	11 12 13	21 22 23 3	31 32 33 41 42	43 51 52 53	
_ 00:18 Sunday, March 18 Dependent Variable: dit	, 2001 18 Ff skw	Numbe	er of obse The The GLM	ervations SAS System M Procedure	24300		
Dependent Variable. un	LT_97/M			Sum of			
> F	Source	DF	5	Squares	Mean Square	F Value	Pr
<.0001	Model	3239	11916	5.03966	3.67893	3.67	
	Error	21060	21093	3.48627	1.00159		
	Corrected Total	24299	33009	9.52592			
	R-Square	Coe	eff Var	Root MS	E diff_skw	Mean	

		0.360988	539.	7791	1.0007	95 0.18	35408	
> F	Source		DF	Туре	I SS	Mean Square	F Value	Pr
. 0001	off_cyc		9	1004.5	59396	111.62155	111.44	
<.0001	o*re(le*cy*sp*	vo*tu)	3230	10911.4	44570	3.37816	3.37	
<.0001								
> F	Source		DF	Type II	II SS	Mean Square	F Value	Pr
. 0001	off_cyc o*re(le*cy*sp*	vo*tu)	0 3230	0.0 10911.4	00000 44570	3.37816	3.37	•
- 00:18 Sunday, March 18,	2001 19			The SA	AS Syste	m		
				The GLM I	Procedur	e		
	Source		Туре	e III Expe	ected Me	an Square		
	off_cyc		0					
	o*re(le*c	o*re(le*cy*sp*vo*tu) Var(Error) + 7.4985 Var(o*re(le*cy*sp*vo*tu))						
00:18 Sunday, March 18,	2001 20			The SA	AS Syste	m		
				The GLM I	Procedur	e		
		St	udent-Ne	wman-Keu	ls Test	for diff_skw		
NOTE: This test con not under partial null	trols the Type	I experimen	twise er	ror rate	under t	he complete nul	l hypothesi	s but

hypotheses.

			Alpha		0.05	
			Error De	grees of Free	dom 3230	)
			Error Me	an Square	3.378157	,
			Harmonic	Mean of Cell	Sizes 2250	)
			NOTE :	Cell sizes ar	e not equal.	
Number of Means	2	3	4	5	6	7
8 9	10					
Critical Range 0.1661959 0.170	0.1074422 0848 0.173	0.1284892 4855	0.1408508	0.1495636	0.1562536	0.161665

SNK Gro	uping	Mean	Ν	off_cyc
	A	0.66530	1350	190
	B	0.36566	2700	150
	B B	0.34408	2700	180
C	B	0.30229	2700	120
C	D D	0.20905	2700	160
	D	0.15675	2700	130
	D	0.11910	1350	100
	D	0.11717	2700	110

E	-0.06633	2700	140
E			
E	-0.15222	2700	170
	The SAS	System	

_____00:18 Sunday, March 18, 2001 21

The GLM Procedure

Class Level Information

Class	Levels	Values
length	2	1000 3000
cycle	3	90 120 150
split	3	1 2 3
vol	3	1 2 3
turn	3	1 2 3
offset2	4	1 2 3 4
rep	5	1 2 3 4 5
len_vol	6	10001 10002 10003 30001 30002 30003
cyc_vol	9	901 902 903 1201 1202 1203 1501 1502 1503
cyc_turn	9	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33
vol_turn	9	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33
off_cyc	10	100 110 120 130 140 150 160 170 180 190
off_spl	12	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43

	off_vol		12	11	12	13	21	22	23	31	32	33	41	42	43				
	off_tur	'n	12	11	12	13	21	22	23	31	32	33	41	42	43				
	det_vol		15	11	12	13	21	22	23	31	32	33	41	42	43	51	52	53	
	det_tur	'n	15	11	12	13	21	22	23	31	32	33	41	42	43	51	52	53	
_ 00:18 Sunday, March 18,	2001 22		Numb	er (	of d	obse The	erva SAS	atic S Sy	ons rste	em	243	300							
				1	Гhе	GLN	ИРı	roce	dur	re									
Dependent Variable: dif	f_skw																		
> F	Source		DF	1		S	Sur Squa	n of ares	•	Ν	lear	n So	quai	re	F	Va	alue	2	Pr
<.0001	Model		3239	I	11	L910	5.03	3966				3.6	5789	93		3	8.67		
	Error		21060	)	21	L093	3.48	3627				1.0	0015	59					
	Corrected Tota	ıl	24299	)	33	3009	9.52	2592											
		R-Square	Co	eff	Vai	2		Roc	ot №	ISE		dif	Ēf_s	skw	Mea	n			
		0.360988	5	39.7	7791	L		1.0	007	795			(	).18	3540	8			
> F	Source		DF	1		Тур	pe I	I SS	;	ľ	lear	n So	quar	re	F	Va	alue	2	Pr

. 0001	off_:	spl	11	4161.974562	378.361324	377.76	
<.0001	o*re	(le*cy*sp*vo*tu)	3228	7754.065095	2.402127	2.40	
<.0001							
、 P	Sour	ce	DF	Type III SS	Mean Square	F Value	Pr
- F							
	off_:	spl	0 3228	0.000000	2 402127	2_40	•
<.0001	0 16	(ie cy sp vo cu)	5220	7754.005095	2.402127	2.40	
	2001	23		The SAS Syst	em		
	2001	23					
				The GLM Procedu	re		
		Source	Туре	e III Expected M	ean Square		
		off_spl	0				
		o*re(le*cy*sp*vo*tu)	Var(	(Error) + 7.5 Va	r(o*re(le*cy*sp*	vo*tu))	
00:18 Sunday, March 18,	2001	24		The SAS Syst	em		
				The GLM Procedu	re		
		St	udent-Ne	ewman-Keuls Test	for diff_skw		
NOTE: This test con	trols	the Type I experimen	itwise er	cror rate under	the complete nul	l hypothesi	s but
not under partial null				hypotheses.			

Alpha	0.05
Error Degrees of Freedom	3228
Error Mean Square	2.402127
Harmonic Mean of Cell Sizes	1800

NOTE: Cell sizes are not equal.

 Number of Means
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9

 10
 11
 12
 10
 12
 10
 12
 10
 12
 10
 10
 12
 10
 12
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 <td

SNK Grouping	Mean	N	off_spl
А	1.05657	1350	41
В	0.90610	2700	31
С	0.75365	1350	42
D	0.24939	2700	32
D D D	0.15692	1350	11
D D	0.15607	2700	21
E	-0.11384	1350	12
E	-0.12101	2700	22
E	-0.13642	2700	23
E E	-0.14345	2700	33
E E	-0.16031	1350	13
E	-0.17698	1350	43

_____00:18 Sunday, March 18, 2001 25

## The GLM Procedure

## Class Level Information

Class	Levels	Values
length	2	1000 3000
cycle	3	90 120 150
split	3	1 2 3
vol	3	1 2 3
turn	3	1 2 3
offset2	4	1 2 3 4
rep	5	1 2 3 4 5
len_vol	6	10001 10002 10003 30001 30002 30003
cyc_vol	9	901 902 903 1201 1202 1203 1501 1502 1503
cyc_turn	9	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33
vol_turn	9	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33
off_cyc	10	100 110 120 130 140 150 160 170 180 190
off_spl	12	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43
off_vol	12	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43
off_turn	12	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43

	det_vol		15	11 12 13	21 22 23	31 32 33 41 42	43 51 52 53			
	det_tur	'n	15	11 12 13	21 22 23	31 32 33 41 42	43 51 52 53			
_ 00:18 Sunday, March 18,	2001 26		Number of observations 24300 The SAS System							
					The GLM Procedure					
Dependent Variable: dif	f_skw									
> F	Source		DF		Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Value	Pr		
<.0001	Model		3239	1191	6.03966	3.67893	3.67			
	Error		21060	2109	3.48627	1.00159				
	Corrected Total		24299	3300	9.52592					
		R-Square	Coe	eff Var	Root M	4SE diff_skw	Mean			
	0.360988		539.7791 1.00		1.000	0795 0.185408				
> F	Source		DF	Ту	pe I SS	Mean Square	F Value	Pr		
< 0.001	off_vol		11	2143	.991901	194.908355	194.60			
<.0001	o*re(le*cy*sp*vo*tu)		3228	9772	.047756	3.027276	3.02			

Source DF Type III SS Mean Square F Value Pr > F off vol 0 0.000000 . . . o*re(le*cy*sp*vo*tu) 3.027276 3.02 3228 9772.047756 <.0001 The SAS System 00:18 Sunday, March 18, 2001 27 The GLM Procedure Source Type III Expected Mean Square off_vol 0 o*re(le*cy*sp*vo*tu) Var(Error) + 7.5 Var(o*re(le*cy*sp*vo*tu)) The SAS System 00:18 Sunday, March 18, 2001 28 The GLM Procedure Student-Newman-Keuls Test for diff_skw NOTE: This test controls the Type I experimentwise error rate under the complete null hypothesis but not under partial null hypotheses. Alpha 0.05 Error Degrees of Freedom 3228 Error Mean Square 3.027276 Harmonic Mean of Cell Sizes 1800

NOTE: Cell sizes are not equal.
Number of Means
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9

 10
 11
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 137146
 0.1359902
 0.1490735
 0.158295
 0.1653755
 0.1711029
 0.1758983
 0.1800142

 0.1836134
 0.1868072
 0.1896746
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 <td

SNK

Means with the same letter are not significantly different.

Grouping	Mean	Ν	off_vol
A	0.83695	1350	41
В	0.68626	1350	42
В	0.58699	2700	31
С	0.39955	2700	32
D	0.11003	1350	43
D	0.02549	2700	33
D D	-0.01599	2700	22
D D	-0.02362	1350	12
D D	-0.03007	2700	23
D D	-0.03465	1350	13
D D	-0.05531	2700	21
D D	-0.05896	1350	11 m
	IIIC DF	a byste	

00:18 Sunday, March 18, 2001 29

The GLM Procedure

Class	Levels	Values
length	2	1000 3000
cycle	3	90 120 150
split	3	1 2 3
vol	3	1 2 3
turn	3	1 2 3
offset2	4	1 2 3 4
rep	5	1 2 3 4 5
len_vol	6	10001 10002 10003 30001 30002 30003
cyc_vol	9	901 902 903 1201 1202 1203 1501 1502 1503
cyc_turn	9	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33
vol_turn	9	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33
off_cyc	10	100 110 120 130 140 150 160 170 180 190
off_spl	12	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43
off_vol	12	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43
off_turn	12	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43
det_vol	15	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43 51 52 53
det_turn	15	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43 51 52 53

Class Level Information

_ 00:18 Sunday, March 18,	2001 30		Number	of observ The SA	vations AS System	24300 1		
				The GLM H	Procedure	2		
Dependent Variable: dif	f_skw							
> F	Source		DF	Sı Sqı	um of lares	Mean Square	F Value	Pr
<.0001	Model		3239	11916.0	3966	3.67893	3.67	
	Error		21060	21093.4	48627	1.00159		
	Corrected Tota	1	24299	33009.9	52592			
		R-Square	Coeff	Var	Root MS	E diff_skw	Mean	
		0.360988	539.	7791	1.00079	0.18	35408	
> F	Source		DF	Туре	I SS	Mean Square	F Value	Pr
- 0001	off_turn		11	1781.2	25914	161.93265	161.68	
<.0001	o*re(le*cy*sp*	vo*tu)	3228	10134.7	78052	3.13965	3.13	
> F	Source		DF	Type I	II SS	Mean Square	F Value	Pr

off_turn 0 0.00000 3.13965 o*re(le*cy*sp*vo*tu) 3228 10134.78052 3.13 <.0001 The SAS System 00:18 Sunday, March 18, 2001 31 The GLM Procedure Source Type III Expected Mean Square off_turn 0 o*re(le*cy*sp*vo*tu) Var(Error) + 7.5 Var(o*re(le*cy*sp*vo*tu)) The SAS System 00:18 Sunday, March 18, 2001 32 The GLM Procedure Student-Newman-Keuls Test for diff_skw NOTE: This test controls the Type I experimentwise error rate under the complete null hypothesis but not under partial null hypotheses. Alpha 0.05 Error Degrees of Freedom 3228 Error Mean Square 3.139647 Harmonic Mean of Cell Sizes 1800 NOTE: Cell sizes are not equal. Number of Means 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 11 12 10 Critical Range 0.1158058 0.1384912 0.1518151 0.1612062 0.1684169 0.1742496 0.1791332 0.1833248 0.1869902 0.1902427 0.1931629 380

SNK	Groupi	ng	Mean	Ν	off_turn
	A		0.83415	1350	41
	В		0.53374	1350	42
	B		0.51314	2700	31
	С		0.36356	2700	32
	C C		0.26536	1350	43
	D		0.13533	2700	33
E	D D		0.09503	1350	11
E	D D	F	0.03378	2700	21
E E	G	F F	-0.03167	2700	23
	G G	F F	-0.07253	1350	12
	G G	F F	-0.10348	2700	22
	G G		-0.13973	1350	13
			The SAS Sys	stem	

Means with the same letter are not significantly different.

00:18 Sunday, March 18, 2001 33

The GLM Procedure

Class Level Information

Class

Values

Levels

length	2	1000 3000
cycle	3	90 120 150
split	3	1 2 3
vol	3	1 2 3
turn	3	1 2 3
offset2	4	1 2 3 4
rep	5	1 2 3 4 5
len_vol	6	10001 10002 10003 30001 30002 30003
cyc_vol	9	901 902 903 1201 1202 1203 1501 1502 1503
cyc_turn	9	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33
vol_turn	9	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33
off_cyc	10	100 110 120 130 140 150 160 170 180 190
off_spl	12	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43
off_vol	12	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43
off_turn	12	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43
det_vol	15	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43 51 52 53
det_turn	15	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43 51 52 53

Number of observations 24300

The SAS System

00:18 Sunday, March 18, 2001 34

# The GLM Procedure

Dependent Variable: diff_skw

> F	Source		DF	Sum Squa	res Mea	an Square	F Value	Pr
<.0001	Model		821	4836.70	136	5.89123	4.91	
	Error	-1	23478	28172.82	456	1.19997		
	corrected for	R-Square 0.146524	24299 Coeff 590.	Var 8207	Root MSE	diff_skw 0.18	Mean 35408	
> F	Source		DF	Type I	SS Mea	an Square	F Value	Pr
<.0001 <.0001	det_vol d*re(le*cy*sp	*vo*tu)	14 807	1703.471 3133.229	611 12 751	21.676544 3.882565	101.40 3.24	
> F	Source		DF	Type III	SS Mea	an Square	F Value	Pr
<.0001	det_vol		11	1086.258	206 9	98.750746	82.29	

## d*re(le*cy*sp*vo*tu) 807

## 3133.229751 3.882565 3.24

<.0001

The SAS System

00:18 Sunday, March 18, 2001 35

The GLM Procedure

### Student-Newman-Keuls Test for diff_skw

NOTE: This test controls the Type I experimentwise error rate under the complete null hypothesis but not under partial null

hypotheses.

			Alpha Error Error	a r Degrees of Fr r Mean Square	0.05 reedom 807 3.882565	
7	Number of Means	2	3	4	5	6
0.204	Critical Range 46406 0.210393	0.1358992	0.1625633	0.1782382	0.1892834	0.1977721
14	Number of Means	9	10	11	12	13
0.232	Critical Range 29004 0.2355256	0.2153314	0.2196508	0.2234866	0.2269267	0.2300478

Means with the same letter are not significantly different.

SNK	Groupi	ng	Mean	Ν	det_vol
		A	0.61713	1620	31
	В	A A	0.53475	1620	41
	В	А	0.534/5	TOZO	41

R				
B B D		0.41301	1620	21
B		0.41220	1620	22
B B		0.39686	1620	51
B B		0.38865	1620	12
	С	0.21822	1620	32
D	C C	0.11791	1620	42
D D	C C	0.06014	1620	13
D D	C C	0.05449	1620	52
D D		0.01189	1620	53
D D		0.01057	1620	43
D D		-0.00951	1620	33
D D		-0.01790	1620	23
-	E	-0.42728	1620	11
		The SAS S	System	

______00:18 Sunday, March 18, 2001 36

The GLM Procedure

Class Level Information

Class	Levels	Values
length	2	1000 3000
cycle	3	90 120 150

385

split	3	1 2 3
vol	3	1 2 3
turn	3	1 2 3
offset2	4	1 2 3 4
rep	5	1 2 3 4 5
len_vol	б	10001 10002 10003 30001 30002 30003
cyc_vol	9	901 902 903 1201 1202 1203 1501 1502 1503
cyc_turn	9	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33
vol_turn	9	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33
off_cyc	10	100 110 120 130 140 150 160 170 180 190
off_spl	12	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43
off_vol	12	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43
off_turn	12	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43
det_vol	15	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43 51 52 53
det_turn	15	11 12 13 21 22 23 31 32 33 41 42 43 51 52 53

Number of observations 24300 The SAS System

00:18 Sunday, March 18, 2001 37

The GLM Procedure

Dependent Variable: diff_skw

				S	um of			
> F	Source		DF	Sq	uares	Mean Square	F Value	Pr
<.0001	Model		821	4063.	90957	4.94995	4.01	
	Error		23478	28945.	61636	1.23288		
	Corrected Tota	1	24299	33009.	52592			
		R-Square	Coeff	Var	Root M	ISE diff_skw	Mean	
		0.123113	598.	8691	1.1103	52 0.18	35408	
> F	Source		DF	Туре	I SS	Mean Square	F Value	Pr
0001	det_turn		14	574.9	09653	41.064975	33.31	
<.0001	d*re(le*cy*sp*	vo*tu)	807	3488.9	99912	4.323420	3.51	
> F	Source		DF	Type I	II SS	Mean Square	F Value	Pr
	det_turn		11	313.4	66410	28.496946	23.11	
<.0001	d*re(le*cy*sp*	vo*tu)	807	3488.9	99912	4.323420	3.51	
00:18 Sunday, March 18,	2001 38			The S	AS Syste	m		

#### The GLM Procedure

## Student-Newman-Keuls Test for diff_skw

NOTE: This test controls the Type I experimentwise error rate under the complete null hypothesis but not under partial null

hypotheses.

			Alpha	L	0.05	
			Error	Degrees of Fi	reedom 807	
			Error	Mean Square	4.32342	
	Number of Means	2	3	4	5	6
7	8					
0.215	Critical Range 9465 0.2220167	0.1434073	0.1715445	0.1880854	0.1997409	0.2086985
14	Number of Means 15	9	10	11	12	13
0.245	Critical Range 7676 0.2485379	0.2272279	0.2317859	0.2358337	0.2394638	0.2427573

#### Means with the same letter are not significantly different.

SNK (	Groupi	ng	Μ	lean	N	det_	turn
	A		0.43	8035	1620	21	
	A A		0.42	2777	1620	31	
Б	A		0.26		1620	11	
B	A		0.50	0214	1020	41	
В	А	С	0.27	866	1620	51	
В	A	С					

388

В	A	С	0.26282	1620	22
В	A	С			
В	A	С	0.25398	1620	32
В		С			
В	D	С	0.19922	1620	42
В	D	С			
В	D	С	0.18693	1620	11
	D	С			
	D	С	0.14408	1620	33
	D	С			
	D	С	0.11638	1620	52
	D	С			
	D	С	0.11415	1620	23
	D	С			
	D	С	0.10188	1620	43
	D	С			
	D	С	0.06820	1620	53
	D				
E	D		-0.01458	1620	12
E					
E			-0.15085	1620	13

## Discriminant Analysis Code

data offsets; INFILE 'n:\offsets.inp'; input length 1-6 offset 7-12 cycle 13-18 split 19-24 turn 25-30 vol 31-36 det_loc 37-42 cnt_skw 43-50 occ_skw 51-57;

IF offset=5 THEN offset2=3; ELSE IF offset=6 THEN offset2=2; ELSE offset2=offset;

```
IF split=1 THEN split2=0.33;
        ELSE IF split=2 THEN split2=0.5;
        ELSE split2=0.67;
run;
```

data ftrn;

INFILE 'n:\fval-trn.inp'; input c_SSE 1-6 o_SSE 7-15; IF c SSE=0 THEN fvalue=0; ELSE fvalue=o_SSE/c_SSE; run; data ftst; INFILE 'n:\fval-tst.inp'; input c_SSE 1-6 o_SSE 7-15; IF c_SSE=0 THEN fvalue=0; ELSE fvalue=o SSE/c SSE; run; data all trn; merge offsets ftrn; drop cnt_skw occ_skw split o_SSE c_SSE; data all_tst; merge offsets ftst; drop cnt_skw occ_skw split o_SSE c_SSE; proc sort data=all_trn; by length turn vol det_loc; run; proc sort data=all_tst; by length turn vol det_loc; run; proc discrim data=all_trn testdata=all_tst outstat=fvalstat method=normal pool=yes list; by length turn vol det_loc; class offset; priors prop;

var fvalue;
run;

## Sample Discriminant Output

----- LENGTH=1000 TURN=1 VOL=1 DET_LOC=1 ------

## Discriminant Analysis

270	Observations	269	DF	Total
1	Variables	264	DF	Within Classes
6	Classes	5	DF	Between Classes

### Class Level Information

	OFFSET	Frequency	Weight	Proportion	Prior Probability
	1	45	45.0000	0.166667	0.166667
	2	45	45.0000	0.166667	0.166667
	3	45	45.0000	0.166667	0.166667
	4	45	45.0000	0.166667	0.166667
	5	45	45.0000	0.166667	0.166667
	б	45	45.0000	0.166667	0.166667
			The SAS System		01:09
Monday, April 9, 2001 2					
		LENGTH=100	0 TURN=1 VOL=1	DET_LOC=1	
	Discriminar	nt Analysis	Pooled Covari	ance Matrix In	formation
	Cov Mat	variance crix Rank	Natural Log of of the Covaria	the Determinan nce Matrix	at

	1	-2.2701264	
	LENGTH=1000	TURN=1 VOL=1 DET_LOC=1	
Discriminant	Analysis Pairwise	e Generalized Squared Dist	tances Between Groups
	2	-1	-
	D (i j) = (X - X ) i j	'COV (X - X) - 2 ln PI i j	rior j
Generalized So	quared Distance to OF	FSET	

б	From OFFSET	1	2	3	4	5
2 025	1	3.58352	6.52166	10.00334	9.64864	7.40828
5 0535	2	6.52166	3.58352	4.25532	4.14399	3.64189
7.7129	3	10.00334	4.25532	3.58352	3.58856	3.91765
7.4294	4 15	9.64864	4.14399	3.58856	3.58352	3.84062
5.6978	5 30	7.40828	3.64189	3.91765	3.84062	3.58352
3.5835	6 52	3.83516	5.05357	7.71293	7.42945	5.69780
Monday	v, April 9, 20	01 4		The SAS System	1	01:09
			LENGTH=	1000 TURN=1 VOL=1	DET_LOC=1	

Discriminant Analysis Linear Discriminant Function

			j	j	j		j	
					OFFSET			
6		1	2		3	4		5
0 2520	CONSTANT	-1.95801	-4.41547		-6.62893	-6.41065	-4.9980	)9
3.35488	FVALUE	1.79409	7.12729		9.67748	9.45661	7.8790	)0
Monday,	April 9, 2001	5		Th	e SAS System		01:0	)9
			LENGT	'H=1000	TURN=1 VOL=1 D	ET_LOC=1		
WORK.AL	L_TRN	Discriminant Ana	lysis Cla ubstitution S	ssifica	tion Summary f	or Calibration D	ata: tion	
each OF	General FSET:	ized Squared Dista	ance Function	.:	Posterior	Probability of M	embership ir	1
( <b>v</b> ))	2 D (X) =	(x-x)' COV (x-2	- K ) - 2 ln PR	IOR	Pr(j X) =	2 exp(5 D (X)) /	SUM exp(5	2 5 D
(A))	j	j	j	j		j	k	k
		Number of Observa	ations and Pe	ercent C	lassified into	OFFSET:		
6	From OFFSET Total	1	2		3	4	5	
1	1 45	44	0		0	0	0	

2.22	100.00	97.78	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
2	2	4	17	7	2	12	
3	45	8.89	37.78	15.56	4.44	26.67	
0.07	3	4	7	20	6	8	
0	45	8.89	15.56	44.44	13.33	17.78	
0.00	100.00						
1	4 45	0	18	17	4	5	
2.22	100.00	0.00	40.00	37.78	8.89	11.11	
10	5	0	14	10	7	4	
22.22	100.00	0.00	31.11	22.22	15.56	8.89	
	б	26	9	0	1	0	
9	45	57.78	20.00	0.00	2.22	0.00	
20.00	100.00		65	- 4		0.0	
24	Total 270 Dorgont	78	65 24 07	20 00	20	10 74	
8.89	100.00	20.07	21.07	20.00	/.41	10.74	
0.1667	Priors	0.1667	0.1667	0.1667	0.1667	0.1667	
Mondorr		6		The SAS Syste	em	C	01:09
monuay, i	АБТТТ Э, 2001	0					

----- LENGTH=1000 TURN=1 VOL=1 DET_LOC=1 -----Discriminant Analysis Classification Summary for Calibration Data: WORK.ALL TRN Resubstitution Summary using Linear Discriminant Function Error Count Estimates for OFFSET: 1 2 3 4 5 б Total 0.0222 0.6222 0.5556 0.9111 Rate 0.9111 0.8000 0.6370 Priors 0.1667 0.1667 0.1667 0.1667 0.1667 0.1667 The SAS System 01:09 Monday, April 9, 2001 7 ----- LENGTH=1000 TURN=1 VOL=1 DET LOC=1 -----Discriminant Analysis Classification Summary for Test Data: WORK.ALL TST Classification Summary using Linear Discriminant Function Generalized Squared Distance Function: Posterior Probability of Membership in each OFFSET: -1 _ 2 2 2 D(X) = (X-X)' COV (X-X) - 2 ln PRIOR Pr(j|X) = exp(-.5 D(X)) / SUM exp(-.5 D(X)) / S(X)) j j j k k i j Number of Observations and Percent Classified into OFFSET:

б	From OFFSET Total	1	2	3	4	5
0	1	45	0	0	0	0
0	45	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.00	100.00					
4	2 45	4	18	11	3	5
8.89	100.00	8.89	40.00	24.44	6.67	11.11
	3	4	8	24	3	6
0	45	8.89	17.78	53.33	6.67	13.33
0.00	100.00					
5	4 45	0	12	20	3	5
11.11	100.00	0.00	26.67	44.44	6.67	11.11
	5	0	15	8	4	2
16	45	0.00	22 22	17 79	0 00	1 11
35.56	100.00	0.00		17.70	0.09	1.11
10	6	27	1	2	0	2
13	45	60.00	2.22	4.44	0.00	4.44
28.89	100.00					
38	Total 270	80	54	65	13	20
14.07	Percent 100.00	29.63	20.00	24.07	4.81	7.41

0 1667	Priors	0.1	667 0.166	0.1667	0.1667	0.1667				
Monday,	April 9, 20(	)1 8		The SAS	System	01:09				
			LE	NGTH=1000 TURN=1	VOL=1 DET_LOC=1					
	Discriminant Analysis Classification Summary for Test Data: WORK.ALL_TST									
			Classificatio	on Summary using	Linear Discrimin	ant Function				
	Error	Count Estim	ates for OFFSET:							
6	Total	1	2	3	4	5				
Rate 0.7111	0.6111	0.0000	0.6000	0.4667	0.9333	0.9556				
Prior 0.1667	S	0.1667	0.1667	0.1667	0.1667	0.1667				

--

Appendix D-Glossary

- ATC: Advanced Transportation Controller
- ASN: Abstract Syntax Notation
- CORSIM: Corridor Simulation Program
- DCE: Data Communications Equipment
- DOT: Department of Transportation
- DTE: Data Terminal Equipment
- EMS: Expected Mean Squares
- MSE: Mean Square Error
- G/C ratio: Green per Cycle Ratio
- HDLC: High-level Data Link Control
- ICONS: Software Package for Communicating With and Managing Traffic Signal Controller in Real-Time
- **MOE:** Measures of Effectiveness
- NEMA: The National Electrical Manufacturers Association
- NTCIP: National Transportation Communication for ITS Protocol
- **OPAC: Optimized Policies for Adaptive Control**
- PDU: protocol data unit
- PRO-TRACTS: Purdue Real-time Offset Transitioning Algorithm for Coordinating Traffic Signals

RHODES: Real-Time, Hierarchical, Optimized, Distributed and Effective System

- SCATS: Sydney Coordinated Adaptive Traffic System
- SCOOT: Split, Cycle, Offset Optimization Technique
- SNMP: Simple Network Management Protocol
- STMP: Simple Transportation Management Protocol
- SYNCHRO: Software Package for Optimizing Cycle, Splits, and Offsets
- TEA-21: Transportation Equity Act for the 21st century
- TOD: Time of Day
- TRANSYT: Traffic Network Study Tool
- TRP: Traffic Responsive Program
- TS1: Traffic Control Systems (a NEMA standard)
- TS2: Traffic Controller Assemblies with NTCIP Requirements (a NEMA standard)
- UTCS: Urban Traffic Control Software