THE JOURNEY TO WORK A SINGULAR BASIS FOR TRAVEL PATTERN SURVEYS

NOVEMBER 1967

unt

ighuray

Lesearch

rojec

NO. 29

G.A. SHUNK

PURDUE UNIVERSITY LAFAYETTE INDIANA



### Progress Report

#### THE JOURNEY TO WORK:

### A SINCHIAR EASIS FOR THAVEL PATTERN SURVEYS

To: G. A. Leonards, Director	November 28, 1967
Prove H L. Mahasi Associate Director	File No: 3-7-2
Joint Highway Research Project	Project Nov C-36-69E

'he attached Progress Report on the HPR-1(5) Fart I project "Urban Transportation Planning Process" is subsitted for revies and comment. The report is titled "The Journey to Works A Singular Easis for Travel Fattern Surveys" and has been authored by Garlan A. Shunk under the direction of Professor W. L. Grecco.

Mis progress report to plates the initial and avaraged work under Part II of the project, the trip distribution part. The findings of this research indicate additional work on arrilloation to a transportation study is desirable. Fine are currently being made to include such continued work and a proposal for such will be proposed following review of this reacht is all cooperating agencies

The research reported hous documents the use of work usin data from the Indianarolis Transportation S. to ir the preparation of total travel patterns for that commity. In addition the use of work priv data to prepare weak hour travel patterns and to compare these with overall daily travel pattern was completed. The research indicates work trip data can provide a travel pattern which is quite similar to the overall travel pattern obtained from the standard crigin-destination study-

the report is presented for royley and comment and for the record. It will be forwarded to the 1810 and the NPP for similar purposes.

Respectfull; superivied,

Har le & Michael figs

Harold, L. Michael Associate Director

HIM:m?

Attachment.

Cory: F. L. Ashtaucher R. H. Lari L. W. L. Dolch W. H. Goetz W. L. Grecco G. K. Hallock M. E. Farr

R. H. Mariell G. F. Schular J. A. Havers H. B. Scout V. E. Harvey V. M. Spencer J. F. Helsaghlin H. R. J. Malsh F. B. Maninal all K. R. Woods R. D. Mikes F. N. Pet J. C. Owe Lender

Progress Report

### THE JOURNEY TO WORK:

A SINCHIAR BASIS FOR TRAVEL PATTERN SURVEYS

by

Gordon A. Shunk Graduate Instructor in Research

Joint Highway Research Project

Project No. C-36-69B

File No. 3-7-2

Prepared as Part of an Investigation

Conducted by

Joint Nighway Research Froject Engineering Experiment Station Furdue University

in cooperation with the

Indiana State Highway Commission

and the

U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration Surreau of Public Monds

The opinions, findings and conclusions expressed in this publication are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the Eureau of Fublic Roads.

Not Released for Publication

Subject to Change

Not Reviewed By Indiana State Righway Commission or the Bureau of Fublic Roads

Purdue University Lafayette, Indiana November 28, 1967

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author wishes to express his most sincere appreciation to Professor William L. Grecco for his encouragement and guidance in the conception and execution of this research. Professor Grecco's assistance in review of the manuscript was also most valuable.

The assistance of Professor Virgil L. Anderson in development of the statistical analysis and review of the manuscript was very much appreciated. Thanks is also due to Professor Harold L. Michael for the opportunity to undertake this work and for his review of the manuscript.

The author wishes to thank the Joint Highway Research Project of Purdue University and the Indiana State Highway Commission for the financial assistance which, together with the support of the Bureau of Public Roads of the U. S. Department of Transportation, made this research possible.

Thanks is also given to personnel of the Bureau of Public Roads, Barton-Aschmann and Associates, and Vogt-Ivers and Associates for their assistance in the preparation of data for analysis.

Greatest appreciation is extended to the author's wife for her patient encouragement and assistance, and to his son, Stephen, for his inspiration. Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2011 with funding from LYRASIS members and Sloan Foundation; Indiana Department of Transportation

http://www.archive.org/details/journeytoworksin6729shun

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
LIST OF TABLES	iv
LIST OF FIGURES	vii
ABSTRACT	viii
CHAPTER I. URBAN TRANSPORTATION PLANNING	1
CHAPTER II. DEVELOPMENT OF THE THESIS	13
CHAPTER III. PROPOSAL OF THE HYPOTHESES	29
CHAPTER IV. DATA PREPARATION PROCEDURES	42
CHAPTER V. ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES	56
CHAPTER VI. ANALYTICAL RESULTS	71
CHAPTER VII. APPLICATION OF THE METHOD	90
CHAPTER VIII. CONCLUSIONS	96
CHAPTER IX. RECOMMENDED EXTENSIONS AND RESEARCH	98
LIST OF REFERENCES	100
APPENDIX A	105
APPENDIX B	123
APPENDIX C	139
VITA	151

# LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1.	Data from Home-Interview Trip Record (No. 2 Card) Used in Various Stages of the Analysis	43
2.	Analysis of Variance for Freeway L.R.I	74
З.	Analysis of Variance for Arterial L.R.I	75
4.	Simple Regression Analysis	78
5.	Parameters and Coefficients Multiple Linear Regression Selected Predictors Normal Dependent Conditions Entire Street System (FAC)	84
6.	Parameters and Coefficients Multiple Linear Regression Selected Predictors Special Dependent Conditions Entire Street System (FAC)	85
7.	Parameters and Coefficients Multiple Linear Regression Selected Predictors Normal Predicted Situations Freeway and Arterial Classes (FA)	86
8.	Parameters and Coefficients Multiple Linear Re- gression Selected Predictors Special Predicted Situations Freeway and Arterial Classes (FA)	87
9.	Relative Cost in Hours of Three Alternative Survey Procedures	94
Appe Tabl	ndix e	
Al.	Analysis of Variance for Travel Volume	107
A2.	Analysis of Variance for Average Trip Length	108
A3.	Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test for Normality Maximum Differences for MOD-2 L.R.I	109
A4.	Bartlett's Test for Variance Homogeneity Chi-Square for MOD-2 L.R.I	110

iv

LIST OF TABLES (continued)

Appendix Table	
A5. Significance Order and Related Parameters Multiple Linear Regression Prediction of Total Day Vehicle Trips (DV) All Street Classes and Combinations	111
A6. Significance Order and Related Parameters Multiple Linear Regression Prediction of Total Day Person Trips (DP) All Street Classes and Combinations	112
A7. Significance Order and Related Parameters Multiple Linear Regression Prediction of Peak Hour Vehicle Trips (HV) All Street Classes and Combinations	113
A9. Significance Order and Related Parameters Multiple Linear Regression Prediction of Peak Hour Person Trips (HP) All Street Classes and Combinations	114
A9. Proportion of Explained Variation (R <sup>2</sup> ) Multiple Linear Regression Selected Predictors Normal Prediction Situations All Street Classes and Combinations	115
AlO. Standard Error of Estimate (S.E.) Multiple Linear Regression Selected Predictors Normal Prediction Situations All Street Classes and Combinations	116
All. Coefficient of Variation (C.V.) Multiple Linear Regression Selected Predictors Normal Prediction Situations All Street Classes and Combinations	117
Al2. Proportion of Variation Explained (R <sup>2</sup> ) Multiple Linear Regression Prediction of Total Day Vehicle Trips (DV) Peak Hour Person Trips (HP) Based on Total Day Person Trips (DP) All Street Classes and Combinations	118
Al3. Standard Error of Estimate (S.E.) Multiple Linear Regression Prediction of Total Day Vehicle Trips (DV) Peak Hour Person Trips (HP) Based on Total Day Person Trips (DP) All Street Classes and Combinations	119

v

LIST OF TABLES (continued)

Appendix Table		Page
A14.	Coefficient of Variation (C.V.) Multiple Linear Regression Prediction of Total Day Vehicle Trips (DV) Peak Hour Person Trips (HP) Based on Total Day Person Trips (DP) All Street Classes and Combinations	120
A15.	Proportion of Variation Explained (R <sup>2</sup> ) Multiple Linear Regression Prediction of Peak Hour Vehicle Trips (HV) Three Special Prediction Situations All Street Classes and Combinations	121
A16.	Standard Error of Estimate (S.E.) Coefficient of Variation (C.V.) Multiple Linear Regression Prediction of Peak Hour Vehicle Trips (HV) Three Special Prediction Situations All Street Classon and Combinations	122

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figu	are	Page
1.	The Urban Transportation Planning Process	8
2.	Developing the Street Network Data	49
3.	Developing Travel Data	51

#### ABSTRACT

Shunk, Gordon Arthur. Ph.D., Purdue University, January 1968. THE JOURNEY TO WORK: A SINGULAR BASIS FOR TRAVEL PATTERN SURVEYS. Major Professor: William L. Grecco.

This research involved a study of the feasibility of using the patterns of work trips alone to represent the patterns of travel for all purposes in an urban area. Further, the feasibility of using peak hour travel patterns to represent those of the entire day was investigated. The objective was to develop an approach to travel surveys which would satisfactorily reproduce the results of a conventional home interview survey.

Using data from a 1964 comprehensive transportation survey in Indianapolis, Indiana, an analysis of variance was run to determine the effect of the commonly defined factors, mode, purpose, and time, on trip volume and average trip length. Based on the extremely high significance of all main effects and interactions, a second variance analysis was run to determine the effect of more specific purpose, time, and mode factors on the traffic assigned to the freeway and arterial links of the highway system. The significance of all main effects and a mode-purpose interaction was the basis for regression models accounting for mode, the peak hour, and the work purpose. A high degree of the variation in total trips on all major street system links was explained by multiple linear regression equations based on link volumes for the work purpose. Using the same regression approach, high degrees of explanation were achieved for total day, all purpose trips using all peak hour trips, and for all peak hour trips using total day work trips.

The results of this research recommend that travel patterns could be represented by surveys at the destination of trips. One of these would involve tabulation of the residence address from employer records. A second would obtain trip information by employee interviews. The third would, in addition, tabulate arrivals at major shopping areas. Decision on the form of the revised procedure would be on the basis of cost and feasibility. This research has provided for the study director alternate procedures for replacing the costly home-interview survey.

ix

## CHAPTER I. URBAN TRANSPORTATION PLANNING

The current condition of intracity transportation systems poses one of the gravest problems faced by urban areas today. The very life of the city depends on the ease with which people and goods can move. The analogy to the human internal circulation system is guite valid. Urban transportation or circulation is highly complex in its own right. But considered in its proper perspective as an integral part of the total function of the urban body, the ramifications of intracity movement are nearly beyond conceivable complexity. Without effective means of moving the necessary nourishment between its organs, the urban complex will stagnate, wither or die. The solution of existing problems and prevention of their recurrence are the objectives of the activity known as urban transportation planning. Properly executed as a concordant phase of a comprehensive urban development program, the implementation of planned transportation improvements can be a major catalyst in the realization of broader social and economic objectives. In a time when the problems of urbanity are of such concern, the possibilities presented by transportation planning are of even more interest than usual (Turner, 1967).

In seeking a solution to any problem, it is well to reflect on just what led to development of the undesirable

situation. The first half of the twentieth century saw marked advances in the technology of nearly every field of endeavor. The advent of improved products and procedures was multiplicative, yielding more than singular results in advancement of the economy. The effects of the advancing economy were passed on to consumers through higher wages and more purchasing power. One of the products of the improved technology was very attractive to the newly affluent. The automobile would permit one to expand his choice of environment by traveling from his place of residence with ease and at relatively low cost. The automobile became a symbol of the affluence of the new economy and the society it fostered. With higher productivity came more leisure time in which to enjoy the benefits of affluence. Time became a more significant factor in everyday life, and means of saving time were important. The automobile permitted one to come and go when he wished and to travel the routes he desired.

There was little problem due to the automobile before World War II. As the economy and technology had advanced to where people could afford the large number of cars being produced, the depression retarded the trend in both phases. Automobile manufacturing during the war was curtailed because of the demand on resources for the defense effort. Following the war, the high level of the economy and increased production capacity combined to produce an explosion in auto sales. The romance with the automobile occurred so rapidly, in fact, that it would veritably defy prediction. The concomitant

increase in traffic volume came much too fast for street improvements to keep pace. By its very nature government is slow to adjust to dynamic situations. But the magnitude of the postwar auto boom left war oriented administrations nearly helpless. The possible problem had been suggested prior to the war (U. S. Congress, 1939) and had even been accounted for in policy as early as 1944 (U. S. Congress, 1944). These documents were primarily concerned with intercity movement. These still reflected an unawareness of the magnitude of the intracity transportation problem. When the auto boom occurred, there were not enough streets to handle the cars, and the traffic problem, as we know it today, had begun.

The traffic problem is not merely one of numbers. Considerably less trouble would occur if existing travel were spread uniformly over time. But the economies of scale that improved productivity also taught the logic of centralized activity which is feasible on a large scale only if adequate transportation is available. Centralized mass production requires simultaneous servicing, thereby demanding that all workers participate in their respective activities at the same time. This fosters the periodic high volumes of traffic which cause the greatest problems for transportation systems.

The magnitude of traffic volumes required new street designs to handle them. The most obvious remedy was to provide additional traffic handling capacity. From this was born the multilane facility and eventually divided expressways. But it was even difficult to design adequate expressways,

since the volume of traffic on them could not be predicted reliably. There were so many deficient streets and the deficiencies were so great that the normal lag time between design and construction increased. This effect was compounded by the greater than expected traffic increase over time. An additional factor causing trouble was the large volume of traffic using new facilities that had not traveled by car before, as well as that diverted from other facilities. All these situations contributed to the immediate overloading so often observed on new urban street facilities.

In 1952 congress launched the first overt attempt to accelerate construction of highways adequate to handle the huge traffic volumes (U. S. Congress, 1952). Following the Korean involvement, in 1956, Congress emphasized the importance of the Interstate System by increasing the rate of government participation in financing to 90 percent of the total cost (U. S. Congress, 1956). It had taken over ten years for Congress to gain sufficient realization of the gravity of the highway traffic problem to make a concerted effort toward its alleviation. The problems in this situation soon became apparent; perhaps it was the very magnitude of the Interstate program which brought out its shortcomings. The amount of money available for construction of the Interstate System permitted such programs as a 10 million dollar per mile freeway through the heart of an urban area. Situations such as this awoke the public to the magnitude of their monster.

Although principally an intercity network, the Interstate System also contained substantial mileage within urban areas. These segments would be used extensively for intracity travel and would have to depend on urban traffic projections for design volume estimates. High quality facilities require much space and would displace considerable amounts of urban activity at commensurately high costs. It was an economic necessity to base design on future traffic volumes considerably more reliable than had previously been available.

Work had begun in the late 1940's to develop a more valid basis for highway design than mere factoring of existing traffic volumes. This work recognized the already apparent inability of projections to cope with the problem of forecasting urban traffic volumes. The new approach considered travel rather than traffic. It looked at traffic volume as merely a minor part of a much more complex universe of entire trips between an origin and a destination for a specific purpose. Travel, it was theorized, could be much more reliably predicted for a future time than could traffic, because there was an inherent basis for growth projection. It was the development of this approach and the realization of the need to plan for highways as an integral part of the community that led to the Federal Aid Highway Act of 1962 (U. S. Congress, 1962). This legislation requires that all urban highways must be designed as part of a comprehensive planning program. Such a program must account for land using activity

and its capability for generating trips. It must predict land use and thereby develop travel patterns for location of facilities and traffic volumes on which size is based. This new approach to traffic volume prediction is commonly termed Urban Transportation Planning (UTP).

The UTP approach to traffic volume prediction examines highways in their proper perspective as an integral part of urban activity. It provides not only volume or dimensional design data but locational information as well. It also considers non-highway modes of transport in order to attain balanced transportation systems. UTP provides more than a mere projection of current travel patterns; it permits modification of historic trends due to variations in development policies. The results of UTP are a much more valid and a firm basis for design of transportation facilities.

UTP is based on surveys of current and historic patterns of travel, development and the economy of the area. The elements of urban activity are isolated by thorough analysis of these survey data. The growth and development characteristics of those elements which influence travel are then established. The character of such elements is readily understood and predictable because of the traditional background of the elements as urban activity parameters. These elements then are projected or predicted to some future target date. Prediction here denotes variation from the results due to simple projection of trends. Based on the future levels of the chosen elements, future travel patterns

are developed for use in design. This procedure takes advantage of the reliable prediction of basic elements for which the growth patterns are known and stable. The relation between these basic elements and travel patterns in the survey year is used, in perhaps a modified form, to determine travel patterns in the target year. The results are based on underlying causal factors and are assumed to be more valid than simple volume projection.

The execution of the UTP process is quite intricate. Figure 1 shows a general diagram of the UTP procedure. It requires detailed study of the urban area and careful design of the respective surveys to account for all important local conditions. The surveys conducted are of varying depth and character. The economic base study surveys the current and historic condition of the local economy, its elements and interactions, strength and potential. The land use survey examines the qualitative and quantitative aspects of activity on every parcel of land in the urban area. It also includes analysis of the reasons for observed development patterns. Population studies determine the several important compositions of the local community. Other surveys may be of interest depending on the background and conditions of the local area.

The most detailed surveys are concerned with travel and the transportation system. The transportation system is inventoried in depth to establish the characteristics of the network of each available mode. The network is defined by



Figure 1. The Urban Transportation Planning Process.

a series of links describing each constituent of the system. Links are paths connecting nodes or junction points of the network. By using a series of links and nodes, any given route, i.e., transit route or street, may be represented in numeric form suitable for analysis. The entire network, be it highway or transit, is a compendium of interlinking and overlapping routes. Access to the network is via centroids located at activity centers termed origins or destinations. The network inventory not only establishes a link and node configuration, it also places on each link (or node) certain restrictions which define the character of that segment of the system (e.g., travel time, fare, capacity). Also obtained are existing volumes on each network link. The result is a mathematical description of the various components of the transportation system suitable for further analytical examination.

The survey of travel patterns involves considerable effort. Travel is surveyed in three phases, each of which examines only a sample of the universe of trips it represents. The trips made by trucks and taxis during an entire day are surveyed by examining the drivers' delivery records at the places where the vehicles are garaged. Truck surveys may also determine the type of goods carried. Trips into and out of the study area are examined by a "cordon" interview technique. Drivers of vehicles entering or leaving the area are queried as they cross a boundary which circumscribes the study area. Each interview concerns only the immediate trip

of the vehicle. The cordon survey is done in coordination with a volume counting program; expansion of the trip sample to total day travel is based on the volume count at the point of interview. The major phase of the travel pattern survey involves a personal interview at the dwelling unit to examine all trips made by all members of the respective household during an entire day. The home interview survey is probably the most expensive single activity in the entire UTP process. All travel pattern surveys obtain in some form information on the geographic origin and destination of trips and the purposes for which the trips were made.

The information obtained in the home-interview survey, and to a certain extent the other surveys, is organized by analysis zones. These zones are geographic entities developed in a manner to facilitate execution and expansion of the sample surveys. Trips are coded according to the zone numbers at their origins and destinations. Land use is grouped to indicate the activity in each zone; population studies are broken down to the zone level. It is the same zone that is represented by the centroid in the link-node description of the transportation system.

The data obtained from the several surveys are summarized for each of the analysis zones. The networks are processed to obtain the effective separation (time or distance) between all zone pairs. These data are used to calibrate a mathematical representation or model describing propensity to travel between zones for various trip purposes. Such a model

may take any of several forms and relates the reluctance to travel to the separation between the zones. The model is termed a distribution model for it describes the manner in which trips are arrayed over the area according to the attractions observed in various zones. Calibration of a distribution model involves defining the function of certain parameters in the equation for the current pattern of travel. These parameters describe the manner in which zonal separation affects the propensity to travel in the given urban area at the current time. Other parameters may also be evaluated. It is assumed that the observed relation will continue to exist or will change in a predictable manner to the target year. Thus the observed (or modified) parameters are used with predicted attraction values to determine travel patterns in the target year.

The elements affecting travel are then predicted for the target year. Population is projected in the standard manner; the activity of the local economy is projected in a manner which accounts for stimulative or restraining factors which are expected to occur. The population and economic activity increases are then allocated to available land in such a manner as to account for the land development policies in existence or desired. Based on the density and location of the various urban activities, zonal aggregate trip origins and destinations are developed. The origins are distributed among the predicted destinations by use of the previously calibrated travel distribution model. The distributed trips

~11

are then assigned to the respective transportation networks. This process places trips between zones on links of the route connecting the zones, thereby yielding traffic volumes. Where the currently available facilities are inadequate to handle the future volumes, new design must be undertaken to accommodate the target year traffic. A program is then developed to facilitate implementation of necessary improvements according to deficiency, continuity and developmental considerations.

## CHAPTER II. DEVELOPMENT OF THE THESIS

In examining urban travel, it is appropriate to analyze the individual trips which make up the overall travel patterns. Several dimensional parameters of each trip, alone or in combination, describe the overall effect or importance of the trip to the transportation system. Consideration of importance requires definition of those factors which best represent demand on the system. Since the function of the transportation system is to move travelers (and goods), it follows that any trip that is in the system represents a demand. It further follows that the greater demands are due to movements that are in the system longer. The immediate deduction is that those trips which go the farthest distance in the system are the most important. This may seem to contradict notions of the importance of travel time; but importance to the system is the object of the current examination. Since the transportation system is basically a spatial rather than a temporal entity, length is a better demand indicator than time.

Trip length alone may not be a sufficient indication of importance. A few long trips would constitute fewer tripmiles than a large number of shorter trips. Since importance implies utilization, it is necessary to consider the number of trip occurrences as well as trip length. The most important components of travel to the transportation system are those with the greatest lengths and occurring most often, for they provide the greatest trip-mile utilization.

The third dimension in travel patterns is concerned with time of occurrence. The time when a trip is undertaken, relative to the timing of other demands on the system, is a significant factor in trip importance. Since the system is dynamically loaded, i.e., trips continually move, stress occurs when the arrival rate on a system component exceeds the ability of that segment to adequately process the trips. The time rate of occurrence, or volume, relative to the processing capability, or capacity, indicates the importance that travel of a specific class assumes in patterns of travel on a transportation system. If the occurrence rates vary significantly throughout the day, the system will be less than ideally efficient, unless the distributions are complementary rather than cumulative.

A fourth dimension of travel is utilization of facilities. The importance of a particular trip is a function of which portion of the total system it uses. Trips made on system segments commonly traveled by many other trips contribute to high stress loadings. The same trip, made on less heavily traveled facilities, would contribute less to system stress and to more efficient system use. Whether or not a trip occurs on the major street system contributes to the importance it assumes in regard to the entire transportation system.

The foregoing importance dimensions are descriptive parameters common to every trip. Their conditions determine the level of significance each particular trip assumes in total urban travel. Certain other descriptive factors are merely elements of trip character rather than importance. They are used as a means of classification to facilitate analytical examination. These factors have traditionally been treated as dimensions, despite their true character as descriptors. The principal descriptive factor is trip purpose, the reason travel is undertaken. Each of the many possible reasons for travel can usually be classified in one of five general groups:

1. Work

2. Shopping

3. School

4. Personal Business

5. Social-Recreational

Classification by purpose implies that a difference in the motivation of travel affects the character of trips that result. The importance parameters assume typical conditions for each of these purpose groups. The fact of this effect is apparent from examination of travel survey data in any urban area. For this reason, trip purpose is usually a means of stratifying trip groups in order to better examine, evaluate, and predict their parameters.

Mode or means of travel is also used for analytical classification. The most basic modal breakdown is between

highway and transit; under each of these may be subgroups. Highway travel may be broken into truck, taxi, and automobile trips. The latter may be further split into driver and passenger groups. The breakdown of transit travel depends on the diversity of the transit system. The mode groups define different, and usually exclusive, parts of the entire transportation system. The mode chosen for study reflects the orientation of the particular transportation analysis undertaken.

In examining which components of total urban travel are most important to transportation, it is first necessary to define the system of interest. The present research is concerned with private vehicles and non-transit passengers on the highway system. The next step involves comparing the parameters which measure the effect that trip groups have on the transportation system. It is well known that in most urban areas the single most frequent trip is to the place of employment. The journey to work characteristically exhibits the greatest average trip length (Smith, 1961). Work trips also occur in a much more clustered manner than other travel. It follows that the single most important trip group for the highway-person transportation system is that concerned with the journey to work.

Examination of the total importance of transportation should give proper consideration to effects other than those on the transport system itself. Transportation has extensive ramifications for the larger aspect of community activity.

The community as a social entity is totally dependent on economic activity for its existence. The factors most representative of economic activity are goods movements, communications, and person movements represented by the work trip. Except in a few very extraordinary cases, the number of persons engaged in productive or service activity at a given location presents an excellent demonstration of the level of economic involvement. Work travel then is important to the community as a whole because it represents the lifeblood circulation.

The journey to work is also important to the individual elements of urban existence: the individual persons and their households. It represents the means of livelihood of the household and takes precedence over other travel. The place of residence depends to a large extent on location of the work place. The work trip usually occurs at least twice daily, on weekdays, for each wage earner. At least one person in every household is engaged in some form of income producing activity. Work travel occurs at the same time every day. It usually uses the same routes since the travel orientation in most cases doe not vary. Work travel determines the need for purchase of an automobile. Trips other than work are fitted around the availability of the family car and the time of travel to and involvement in work.

The journey to work is then as important to the individual and the community as to the transportation system. It is obvious that this important portion of the total travel

pattern should be pre-eminent in the planning for total transportation. Because of the level of significance, it could even be rationalized that system planning should center on work travel, leaving complementary system usage to the travel which supplements personal and community activity.

The importance of the journey to work had been recognized by many persons at least as early as the beginning of the current century. The concern first occurred in older, more established countries where development had reached such a density that travel problems were occurring. Several European countries and Japan examined work travel patterns, primarily in connection with census studies. Britain also realized the significance of work travel, as evidenced by a 1921 census study. When it came time to plan for rebuilding the destruction effected in World War II, several more British studies were undertaken. Parkes (1941) examined the location of homes and workplaces in relation to the journey to work as a part of postwar planning for Birmingham. Liepmann (1944) considered certain economic aspects of the work trip relative to the mobility of workers and their choice among alternate places of employment. Further work was done by the London Transit System (1949) and by Glass (1956) and Westergaard (1957).

The problems due to work travel in the United States were not severe enough to cause concern until World War II. The allocations implicit in rationing and the traffic volumes to defense plants motivated investigations of war worker travel. The problems due to prosperity following the war generated more interest in the patterns of work travel, but concern was not yet sufficient to motivate government action. Using information from traffic origin-destination (O-D) studies, several people began to examine the problem. Carroll (1950) suggested that "forces" tending to minimize the length of the journey to work have a concentrative effect on urban residential arrangement. Work by Adams and Mackesey (1955) and by Beyer (1951) considered the commutation to work in small urban and rural areas. The continuing prosperity and concomitant increase in travel motivated many studies of the patterns of all urban travel, each of which placed increasing emphasis on work travel. The obvious significance of work travel in the pattern of all travel was behind inclusion of questions regarding the journey to work in the 1960 census. Further concern is evinced by the consideration of amplifying the previous queries for use in the 1970 census (Bureau of Public Roads, 1966b).

Recent works by Loewenstein (1965) and Lapin (1964) have indicated further recognition of the important role of work in total urban travel. Loewenstein presents an analysis of locational aspects of urban residences and workplaces. His interest in location implies a recognition of the properties of the work trip. He implies that his concept of distribution may present a feasible approach for eliminating conventional travel survey procedures (Loewenstein, 1965). He has retained nearly the same concept of trip distribution accepted by

transportation planners, but his ideas of the requirements and use of travel pattern information for expressway or transit planning appear guite deficient. This is emphasized by his allusion to "trip distribution" procedures when he actually discussed O-D studies. The approach he proposes would estimate the interzonal distribution of work trips as a percent of total trips originating in a zone, based on proportions derived from O-D data of several cities. The procedure would designate as few as five zones (or rings) in even large cities and utilize a single set of distribution factors for all cities. In comparison with patterns derived from an O-D study the approach badly overestimated intrazone trips in four of the five zones, and in only one case approached an acceptable tolerance in estimating total zonal destinations (Loewenstein, 1965). Loewenstein (1965) admits his procedure is crude and merely an attempt to develop a new approach to travel survey. As such, it is consistent with the philosophy of the study reported here.

Lapin's study emphasized the work journey itself, but included analysis of the place of work and residence. He investigated the relations between the several characteristics of work trips and their termini. He also defined the character of several parameters of work travel and related these to changes over time. His objective was apparently to increase the knowledge of this important fraction of total urban travel. Although no direct allusions were made, interpretation of his presentation in the context of the current

research detected inferences that the journey to work might indeed present sufficient basis for total travel representation. Lapin's work had excellent basis and was very thorough. His implications regarding work trip character and prediction could well be the basis for application of the technique proposed in this report. He also suggested that the vast compilation of data on the work trip and all travel could well lead to future synthetic predictions.

The importance of the work component in the total daily pattern of urban travel has been recognized by many others. The Bureau of Public Roads underscored the place that the work trip holds in this respect. "Major emphasis should be placed on that segment of travel which has the most influence on the design of transport systems." The "work trip is the most ritualistic," the "most free from deviations," and "work trips logically end at jobsites" (Bureau of Public Roads, 1966a). Work travel is the most stable component of a community's daily travel (Smith, 1961). The consistency in work travel character and patterns between cities as pointed out by Lapin has also been emphasized by Voorhees (1958). It is this common knowledge which permits omission of countless articles which re-emphasize these facts. Bouchard and Pyers (1965) found less discrepancy in travel patterns for work than for any other purpose.

Lapin (1964) has suggested that the emphasis on travel analysis should be placed more on the destination terminus than has historically been the case. It is at that point

where the most difficult traffic problems occur. Stewart (1948) has suggested the existence of a worker potential field about each workplace. This situation was corroborated by Lapin's destination analysis, and was therein likened to the assumed gravitation field about the residence (Lapin, op. cit.). The residential distribution of central business district (CBD) workers about the CBD approaches the distribution of all residences about the CED. For non-central areas, however, worker residences are more clustered about the work place (Carroll, 1952). This situation is attributed primarily to the better established transportation oriented to the CBD (Mitchel & Rapkin, 1954). The newer peripheral centers do not have the historic transportation base, so workers must cluster nearer to them in order to realize the same level of accessibility (Mitchel & Rapkin, ibid.). The distributions of residences about workplaces are similar in most urban areas (Loewenstein, 1965). But account may have to be taken for variations within specific urban areas by stratifying according to work type and social group (Loewenstein, *ibid.*, and Voorhees, 1955). The influence of the social class is seen in ethnic clusters in larger cities. Lapin discusses Osofsky's (1959) approach to a distribution function, and then proposes a similar solution based on a hyperbolic rather than a linear form (Lapin, 1964). This approach is apparently his recommended basis for prediction, although he occasionally alludes to the gravity relationship.

The distributional patterns of work travel are dependent upon the income of the traveler, the mode of travel, the peaking of trips, and the distribution of employment opportunities about the work place (Voorhees, et al., 1966). Lapin (op. cit.) proposed that these characteristics are sufficiently consistent to be amenable to easy prediction. This is one of the most attractive aspects of a work trip oriented approach to travel analysis. Work travel is consistently proportional to total area population. The consistency of this relation between work travel and population is affected little by income or car ownership (Smith, 1961). People must have a livelihood regardless of the rate of earnings or the means of getting there. The number of work trips increases slightly with decrease in occupation class (Shuldiner & Oi, 1962). This is due to "moonlighting" necessary to support a household on a low income skill. Work trips per household increase with household size but at a slower rate than total trips (Shuldiner & Oi, ibid.). The increase in travel potential with family size is realized primarily from the non-work trips.

The labor force constitutes around 40 percent of the population in most cities. In a study of 50 cities, work and business trips accounted for nearly 40 percent of the travel to and from the home (Curran & Stegmaier, 1958). The average family makes seven trips daily, about one-third of which are for the work purpose (Voorhees, 1955). A study of day by day variations indicated that the number of internal person work
trips per day varies least of all purposes, only <sup>+</sup> 4 percent from the mean (Sullivan, 1963). Contributing to the work trip problem is the low vehicle occupancy rate that occurs for work trips. It is about three-fourths of the average for all purposes and less than that for any other single purpose (Lapin, 1964).

The lengths of work trips in an urban area depend on the size and physical structure of the city, the character of the transportation network and the socio-economic situations that prevail (Voorhees, et al., 1966). In Toronto longer trips were made more often for work than for other purposes (Hill & Dodd, 1966). The different distributions of worker residences about workplaces in the CBD and the peripheral areas lead to variations in work trip lengths (Lapin, 1964). Hoover and Vernon (1962), however, claim that family amenities are rated more important than work access when choosing a residence. The variation in work trip length has been shown to be related to the 0.6 power of the distribution of opportunities (Voorhees, et al., 1966). The value of the exponent or friction factor is significantly lower for work trips than for any other trip purpose (Whitmore, 1965). This is a further demonstration of workers' willingness to travel farther in order to obtain the right jobs, and yet maintain a desirable atmosphere for their families.

The importance of work travel is emphasized by its distribution over time. Perhaps distribution in the common sense is somewhat of a misnomer in this instance, for the work

travel in urban areas is characterized by its clustered occurrence. These clusters of trips are commonly termed "rush" hours. In the terms of traffic engineering they are known as "peak" hours, for during these periods the maximum consistent hourly volumes occur on the transportation system. It is peak hour volume or an approximation to it that is used to design transportation facilities. The peak hour on a given transportation segment may not always be due to work travel. But on an extremely large number of transportation segments within most urban areas, the peak hour volume is composed primarily of work trips (Spiegelman & Duke, 1963). The U. S. Bureau of Public Roads (1966a) has stated that "work trips alone constitute the majority of trips" during the peak hours.

The peak demand periods occur generally between 7:00-9:00 in the morning and from 4:00-6:00 in the evening. They are composed principally of work trips, but shopping travel is also present in the afternoon. Auto driver trips are greater in number in the afternoon peak, but trips by all modes are greater in the morning peak (Wynn, 1959). This situation can be attributed to the high volume of school trips by bus included in the morning peak hour person travel. In Baltimore 60-70 percent of the p.m. peak travel was for work, while 20 percent was for shopping (Knox, 1962). During these four hours of heaviest travel,39 percent of all auto trips and 49 percent of all transit trips occurred (Wynn, 1959). School trips account for 60 percent of the non-auto

driver peak, 8:00-9:00 a.m. About 55 percent of all employed persons travel between 8:00-9:00 a.m., 80 percent travel between 7:00-10:00 a.m. (Williams & Robertson, 1965). Variations in the proportion that peak hour is of total daily travel can be attributed to land use at points of origin and destination (Voorhees, 1958). The morning peak volume is fairly consistent for all week days; the evening peak volume is slightly (10 percent) larger on Friday than during the rest of the week (Nixon, 1961). Variations in the proportion that peak hour is of total daily travel were attributed to the effects of capacity and total day volume (Nixon, <u>ibid</u>.). The proportion that the peak is of the total day is affected by city size (Lapin, 1964).

A peaking tendency of the magnitude observed for work trips does not occur for other major purposes. One explanation may be complementary use of the system. Non-work trips (except school trips) have even been observed to be uniformly distributed throughout the day (Smith, 1961). In fact, travel purposes other than work and school only become significant after 9:00 a.m. (Williams & Robertson, 1965). School trips, although significant in person trip considerations in the morning peak, are not really worthy of extensive concern. They were primarily short, local trips on other than major streets and do not contribute to major congestion of the system. The composition of the evening peak period has historically included substantial commercial or shopping travel (Wynn, 1959). This has been primarily due to suburban

area shopping that occurs during the journey from work to home (Voorhees, 1958). Recent trends to evening shopping hours in large suburban centers have led a shift of the evening shopping peak to 7:00-8:00 p.m. rather than 4:00-5:00 p.m. (Wynn, 1959). In Toronto this broadened the effect of the evening peak hour (Hill & Dodd, 1966). The peak of travel to shopping in the CBD occurs at 10:00 a.m. leading to a maximum accumulation of persons in the CBD at between 12:00 noon to 2:00 p.m. (Voorhees, 1958; Lapin, 1964).

The peaking of work trips may require more than the current aggregative analysis. There appears to be sufficient variability in travel character between the several employment groups to warrant examining them separately. Lapin has given considerable time to this aspect of travel character (Lapin, <u>op. cit.</u>). The work travel of top social classes is more concentrated in the peak hour due to similarity in job hour and vehicle availability (Williams & Robertson, 1965).

The characteristics emphasized in the preceding discussion are to be the subject of the research reported henceforth. It should be apparent that the importance, regularity and stability of work and peak hour travel are such as to be amenable to their use as a means of prediction. These factors lend themselves well to forecasting. The importance of these elements (work and peak hour travel) are underscored by the extent to which they have been examined by others. But there is one thread missing. In spite of all these obvious advantages no emphasis has been placed on the singular

use of work as a means of prediction of total urban travel. It is not the purpose of this study to imply that work patterns are identical to the patterns for all travel. It is merely proposed that work travel is so demanding of transportation that its satisfaction will define a system sufficient to serve other purposes or will adequately represent a system that does in fact serve all travel. Similarly, the use of the peak hour as a design standard has been relegated to obscurity for qualitative reasons. It is proposed that the work to follow will examine the feasibility of the approach to transportation planning based only on work and peak hour travel.

## CHAPTER III. PROPOSAL OF THE HYPOTHESES

It is important to discuss the concept of complementarity as applied here to urban area travel. Complementarity is based on the principle of impenetrability, which states that no two material objects can occupy the same place at the same time. It implies that, of two objects competing for positions, that which has the greater utility for the position will take priority in assignment of the location sought. Ullman (1956) originally proposed the concept in connection with activity occurrence. As applied to urban area travel, it implies that purposes which have rigid restrictions of some type (e.g., work) will take precedence over those with less demanding constraints (e.g., shop). Given a fixed transportation system of defined space capacity per unit time, trips with the most inelastic requirements will attain either total satisfaction of their demand or total usurpation of system capacity prior to relinquishing system usage to other trips.

The ramifications of complementarity may be observed in the purpose-time distribution of urban travel. Work trips occur during certain time periods because of the importance of having a job, the punctuality requirements of employers, and the various factors which promote common business hours. Work trips dominate these periods because of their large volume in relation to system capacity and the resulting reluctance of persons to travel for less necessary purposes during the same hours. Trips of non-work purposes occur during hours when they do not have to compete with work trips for the transportation system, since their timing is less critical.

Based on the complementarity between purposes over time. there is a concomitant relationship between purposes over space or street system capacity. Peak hour traffic, by definition, stresses the highway network more than traffic during any other hour in the day. The travel pattern during the peak hour may thus be assumed to provide the best definition of the highway system, since the maximum stress situation provides the optimal condition for defining any system. The principle of least resistance implies that total travel in other than the peak hour will use the same basic system, to the extent that origin and destination permit. It follows that since the work purpose dominates peak hour travel, it is responsible for defining the basic street system, the same system that is used by other purpose trips in other than the peak hour. This concept of the representative nature of work travel patterns is the basic thesis of the research reported here

The existence of a single basic system is quite apparent upon examination of travel patterns in urban areas of most any

size. Peak hour traffic jams occur on its constituents. Shopping centers develop along its margins. Industry insists on its close proximity for accessibility and advertising advantages. Hosts of commercial establishments develop along it. Residential areas, although not likely to locate on it, want the accessibility to other land uses that it provides. The fact that all traffic uses the one system is apparently due to the universal appeal of the system to every type of non-residential establishment.

The correspondence between the work trip system and the non-work trip system cannot be assumed perfect. The nonwork group contains more purposes and, therefore, has more possible destinations. Non-work trip length is also less, in general, than that for work. This implies relatively more use of peripheral (local or collector) systems by nonwork trips than by work trips. Non-work trips, therefore, contribute a greater proportion of the volume on the peripheral system than do work trips. But these systems also contain substantially more miles of street than the major street systems and their design is based on land access rather than traffic service. The resulting number of vehicle-miles of travel is extremely low, implying the relative unimportance of the peripheral systems.

The complementary use of a single basis street system by the several purpose groups implies a highly desirable degree of efficiency. Such efficiency is certainly not complete, nor is it conceivably a conscious objective of urban

travelers. It is more probably correctly described as a "blind" optimization procedure by which trip makers unwittingly achieve a balance between their multiple resources of time, energy, comfort and convenience. The above emphasizes the importance of the major street system in the transportation planning process.

The representivity exhibited by the work trip in regard to urban travel patterns can be expected to increase as city size decreases. Such an effect would be attributable to the lower absolute volumes of non-work travel and to the less highly developed nature of the peripheral streets. The latter situation requires non-work trips to use the work or basic street system for a greater portion of their length, thereby increasing the amount of agreement observed in street importance. The purpose of trips on streets near a zone centroid is significantly influenced by the function of the zone.

Work travel is a very convenient variable for analysis. The work purpose trip is the most stable and ritualistic component of urban travel (Bureau of Public Roads, 1966a). It occurs between the two most readily predictable land uses. It serves only two activities, the residence and the workplace, and is less influenced by separation than most any other purpose (Bouchard and Pyers, 1965). Work trip generation rates are characteristically stable and predictable. Work is the highest density activity in the urban area. In general, no other function concentrates more people per unit of area than the work process. Because of this property, there is a related concentration of traffic at the workplace. Traffic intensity on facilities serving centralized work places or very large single workplaces can extend for considerable distances.

The important role that work oriented travel assumes in the total picture of urban transportation should be apparent from the previous discussion. Travel in nearly every city is dominated by the journey to work. Only in cities whose function is somehow extraordinary can instances be seen where work travel is of lesser importance. The characteristics of work travel as a sufficient representative of the total urban travel pattern are essential elements of predictability. Based on the attributes enumerated above, it is proposed that work travel would be a valid and sufficient means for prediction of total urban travel patterns. It is hypothesized that, for use in the urban transportation planning process, the pattern of travel developed using work oriented trips alone presents a sufficient representation of the major street system used by all urban travel. It is further proposed that this implication is nearly as valid for home-based work trips as for all work trips. These assumtions are to be tested by the several statistical procedures described hereinafter.

The feasibility of representing the distribution pattern of trips of all purposes by the distribution pattern of work purpose trips alone will be tested. These travel

patterns will be examined over the entire system of major streets and highways. Zone-to-zone travel patterns cannot be used as the basis for testing, however, since they are strictly dominated by the functions of respective zones. Since the desired results of the prediction procedure concern the major street system, the links of the network representing this system will be used as the basis for comparison. Travel of the respective purpose groups will be assigned to the network, and a test of the representivity will be made on a link-by-link basis. In this manner, the zone centroid influence is virtually eliminated in favor of testing the conditions on the major street network.

Throughout the previous discussion it has been implied that the peak hour is an important factor in urban transportation. Similarly, it was argued that work travel is a dominant aspect of the peak hour. It is then apparent that system design based on peak hour volumes may be feasible. The principal advantage of this approach is that the result is a functional basis for design. The methods by which average daily traffic (ADT) volumes are obtained in current planning practice contain considerable inherent error. Further compounding this by introducing a factor to obtain a design hour volume seems unreasonable. By the time factoring has been accomplished, the care of a very expensive survey might well have been wasted.

The peak hour is the one most consistent and significant point of stress on the transportation system. It would

seem only too obvious to deal directly with the maximum loading condition rather than to factor to it. The most significant argument raised against peak hour oriented design is that peaks occur at different times at different locations and for different purposes. Such a situation can only be examined empirically, but it seems that the theory of complementarity would be as applicable in this situation as previously. To follow this argument, assume a system based on a single peak hour, say for work. Beyond this system, heavy non-work volumes, whenever their peak, could be accounted for by specialized surveys or analyses. The residential end is served by the work oriented system. Regarding the time shifts of peak volumes, as long as the maximum volume has been defined relative to the system, the location of its occurrence is immaterial. The trips involved are still full trips, from origin to destination, and will sometime during the peak hour contribute to the volume. This situation, of course, is contingent upon a peaking definition such as used here, i.e., maximum trips on the entire system (see Chapter IV).

The hypothesis proposed for test in this second instance involves the representivity of the ADT loadings by peak hour loadings. Continuing then, the reproducibility of peak hour loadings using a survey of work trips alone might also be feasible.

The hypotheses proposed are directed at elimination of the home-interview survey technique and replacement thereof by a special survey of work purpose trips only. Such a survey

could take any of several forms. One of these, useful principally for an updating function, involves the current proposal to obtain workplace information of employed persons as a portion of the decennial census (Bureau of Public Roads, 1966b). The single question currently proposed would ascertain the address of the location at which the interviewee was most often employed during the week preceding the interview. The possibilities of such an application may be further examined after evaluation of research such as that proposed here. Study of the feasibility of this situation has also been undertaken by the Bureaus of Public Roads and the Census.

One of the main reasons interviewing has traditionally been conducted at the dwelling unit is the requirement to obtain trips of all purposes by all members of the household. Quite naturally if the interest in trip making were confined to a single purpose of travel, the interview place should if possible be oriented to the destination of trips for that purpose. Thus evolves the concept of the destination place interview.

To temporarily diverge somewhat, the concept of a destination place interview can be seen on reflection to be of quite obvious utility. The destination place of any trip purpose group is in every case at least as densely attractive as the residential end of the trip. In most situations the very concept of activity or service provision on a production line basis requires high density trip confluence. The question

immediately arises of why interview at the household? The ramifications are not immediately obvious although certainly valid. They involve such factors as trip generation potential of the household and its excellent predictability as regards future time travel estimation. Also to be considered is the opportunity to obtain information on travel by all persons in the household. The home is the terminus for 70 percent of trips in the urban area. This adds to the body of knowledge of urban area travel, but its true utility may be questioned in light of the theoretical implications of complementarity. Because of the high cost of home-interview surveys, only a small sample is generally taken. As a result the number of reported work trips, the most important purpose group, is small.

On the other hand, the implication of a concentrationor destination-place travel survey is only too apparent. What traveler has not at some time or another been caught in a traffic jam in the central business area or near an industrial plant at shift change or near the site of a major recreation area? At all these locations a service or activity is offered which attracts persons from all residential areas to one relatively small location. Such activity can exist only if major interest can be focused upon it and transportation service provided for it. Very rarely, if ever, do traffic problems occur at the location of residence; probably the only case, concerns high density residential areas, which, of course, fall into a destination place type situation. The

object of transportation planning should be provision of service at locations of maximum stress, i.e., the points of traffic concentration. But for the facets of predictability and multipurpose considerations, the household interview approach is somewhat less attractive than the destination place survey. Destination place surveys could also, depending on the procedure, obtain measures of non-home trips. The problem reverts again to consideration of the theory of complementarity. If the basic system indicated by home-based trips is developed, it will function for non-home-based travel as well, providing the exceptions are taken into account.

The consideration of destination place interviews is not unique or novel. Cherniak (1960) proposed such an approach to travel surveys. He suggested that "for planning future urban expressways, sample trip and correlative data should be assembled, not in the homes but in areas where people are concentrated during the day." "Those are the areas on which traffic converges, where traffic is concentrated...and where...additional...vehicular capacities are... needed (Cherniak, ibid.)." Cherniak further impled that generation studies should be oriented to the concentration points rather than the residential destinations, and that all information from travel surveys should reflect the patterns of peak hour travel. In discussion of the proposals by Cherniak, two points of note were raised. Campbell (1960) suggested that destination point interviews

would yield "no total frame of reference" as a quide or basis for transportation planning. The implication is that total trips or total vehicle miles of travel are not available when surveying only one facet of travel. No demonstration is given, however, of why total travel is so important to system analysis, especially if valid patterns and design hour volumes are available. Lynch (1960) implied that a concentration place interview might involve a less "scientifically selected sample" than the home interview. It is not apparent why "scientific" selection of a sample could not as readily be applied to concentration place interviews as otherwise, as long as the nature of the population is considered. Lynch also decried the lack of a universe for expansion and questioned the ability to evaluate result accuracy. His experience with such work, however, may cause concern in regard to his implication that employer cooperation might be difficult to attain.

The applicability of the destination-place interview concept to work oriented travel points to surveys at the place of employment. Depending on whether or not non-home oriented trips are necessary, such a survey would take one of two forms. A survey of home-based travel would be confined to examination of employee records for coding the address of workers' residences. Such a procedure eliminates all response error due to interviewing. Survey costs are reduced to the cost of coding the information. Should it be desirable to obtain information on non-home trips, an alternate procedure

would be to utilize either a questionnaire or an interview procedure. If vehicle trip information was desired, a means of eliminating non-drivers could easily be determined.

Certain advantages accrue from a survey of this type in comparison to the household interview. The interviewer is dealing with the traveler in an environment which implies good recall of the trip. The trip is very ritualistic and stable; most work travelers could define the exact routes they took to work and why these were chosen. Employer permitting, the interview or form completion should be done during working hours. The psychological implications of the company's interest being sufficient to dedicate "its" time and the undeniably desirable opportunity for the employee to stop work lend an atmosphere very conducive to the ultimate in cooperation.

Needless to say such interview procedures require an excellent public relations program directed to the employers in order to obtain the ultimate in their cooperation. Fulfillment of such ideals may be realized in smaller cities more than in larger cities. The cooperation will probably be better from large than from small employers.

The ritualism of the work trip will provide a reduction in the experimental error of the survey. Contact of a greater proportion of those involved in trips reduces sampling error. A one in twenty sample, such as was used in Indianapolis, yields five percent of the trips in the area. Interviewing at work and obtaining information on say 90 percent of total

employees within an urban area yields data on (90 percent of trips that are 40 percent of the total) over 35 percent of the trips in the area! Reductions of the various error sources offset standard errors of the estimating procedure used. It is even conceivable that the employment place interview could obtain a good proportion of the information obtained in the home interview, particularly if good design of survey procedures was employed. Further advantages in speed of data collection and reduced travel costs for this procedure are apparent.

9

There will probably occur in any city studied certain specific situations which cannot be handled by the proposed procedures alone. In such cases modifications or augmentation of the basic survey will probably be sufficient to yield valid results. Traffic studies of concentrated movements or travel pattern studies at major generators would probably provide sufficient additional information to yield adequate system definition.

CHAPTER IV. DATA PREPARATION PROCEDURES

The data used in this study was obtained from the Indianapolis Regional Transportation and Development Study (IRTADS) through one of its sponsors, the Indiana State Highway Commission. The decision to use the IRTADS data was due to its convenience and availability. It was also current, being from surveys conducted in 1964 as part of a planning process approved by the Bureau of Public Roads (BPR). Such approval was important from the aspect that this research was aimed at recommending changes in BPR procedures. The result of the proposed revisions should necessarily reproduce results obtained by the procedures to be changed. Use of data from a study area the size of Indianapolis should imply the generality of any results to any city of similar character. All surveys were complete and the entire data file used by IRTADS to develop transportation recommendations was available for use in this research.

The specific information selected from the IRTADS data file was the travel data from the home interview survey, as coded and punched on "number 2" cards. Among other items, these cards included the information listed in Table 1 which was utilized at least in part in some phase of the present research. No use was made of either the truck-taxi or the

Table	1.	Data	from Home-	Interview '	Trip	Record (No.	2 Card)
		Used	in Various	Stages of	the	Analysis.	

1.	Sample Number
2.	Person Number
3.	Trip Number
4.	Residence Zone
5.	Origin Zone
б.	Destination Zone
7.	From Purpose
8.	To Purpose
9.	Passenger Purpose
10.	Start Time
11.	Arrive Time
12.	Mode

external survey data since the principal objective of this work was to attack the home interview survey. The inventory of the 1964 street network as punched in standard (BELMN) format was also obtained. Transit network information was not used because the proposed work was principally highway oriented. Transit trips were included in one phase of the analysis only because no network information was required.

The complete file of home-interview travel data was pre-processed to put it in a suitable form for subsequent manipulation. Dwelling unit (No. 1) cards were not used at all. All trips with an origin or destination outside the study area were eliminated because there was lack of information on the external terminus and the difference in motivation and character between those trips and the wholly internal trips. Without a home-interview survey, such trips could still be tabulated at external cordon interview stations. This deletion eliminated 2416 trips from the basic file. The remaining trip cards were grouped according to home orientation. Home-based trips, having at least one terminus at the residence of the trip maker, were placed in one file and sorted on zone of residence. Non-home based trips, having no terminus in the residence zone, were placed in a second file and sorted on zone of origin. These files were the input for the travel data processing programs for the total day condition.

In order to select a representative peak period the combined home- and non-home-based files with externals deleted

were processed by the program PEAKS (Appendix C). PEAKS scanned the standard trip survey cards for trip purpose, mode, and times of start and arrival. The times were recorded separately according to the mode and purpose of the trip. PEAKS then computed the number of trips entering and leaving the transportation system, by mode and purpose and in tenth of an hour increments throughout the day. PEAKS then aggregated the incremental periods into successive one hour blocks and produced the number of trips in progress, by mode and purpose, for contiguous one hour periods, successively by tenth of an hour increments through the day. The hours during which trips in progress by each mode and purpose reached their maximum were then available. PEAKS also aggregated modes and purposes into total tables. Optionally PEAKS will yield tenth of an hour accumulations only, in order to permit the user to aggregate peak periods other than a single hour.

The output from PEAKS as applied to the IRTADS data is shown in Appendix B. Note that the values shown are card counts, not factored traffic volumes. The peak hour selected for use in the current analysis was that defined for all auto driver trips. This was the condition considered to place the most stress on the highway system. A single hour was selected because the objective in the peak hour phase of this study was to obtain a single hour volume on which to base design recommendations. The peak hour selected for subsequent study was from 16.4 to 17.4 hours (4:24 to 5:24 p.m.).

Processing of the travel and network data involved extensive use and total reliance on the computer program package disseminated by the Bureau of Public Roads for use in operational transportation planning studies. Use of the system was decided upon because of its ready availability and comparatively error free function. It is designed for high speed, high volume processing of the type required by the subject research. The IRTADS data had been coded according to formats required by the system since IRTADS also used these programs. The package is known as BELMN, and is based on the Bell Telphone Laboratory monitor system for the IBM 7090 computer. BELMN consists of a set of programs that feed between one another in a sequential processing setup under user control with a minimum of external supervision (Bureau of Public Roads, 1964 & 1965). The system worked quite satisfactorily in most instances with the principal problems being due to inadequate documentation.

Several decisions regarding format of the final data to be analyzed had to be made prior to the initiation of bulk processing with the FELMN system. It was decided that trips of three specific purpose groups would be obtained in addition to the all-purpose group. The selected purposes were home-based work, home-based shop, and non-home-based work. The differentiation between home and non-home-based trips has previously been made. A home-based work or shop trip is one that has a purpose on the non-home end of work or shop respectively. Home-based trips must be either to or from the zone of residence; the home orientation at either end determines the classification. Non-home-based trips, however, could reasonably be classified by either the to or from purpose since neither is at the place of residence. For the current study a non-home-based work trip is one having a work purpose at either end of the trip.

The three specific purpose categories also included small groups of trips which were of similar respective character. These were serve-passenger trips with passenger purpose the same as the specific group in which it was included. This addition was due to the action by IRTADS interviewers of "linking" trips at the time of interview. Thus the normally defined serve passenger trips had been linked out of the IRTADS survey data (Bureau of Public Roads, 1964, pp. IV-4). The nominal serve-passenger trips were "busing" trips and should be included in the respective categories. Servepassenger trips that were not of a busing nature retained sufficient influence from the passenger's purpose to permit assumption of the passenger's purpose at the serve-passenger end. (Linking is the term used to describe the process of removing from consideration an intermediate trip terminus, the purpose of which is subordinate to the final destination purpose.)

The modes selected for analysis were auto driver and highway person, reflecting the decision to maintain a highway orientation. The former represented vehicle trips and could be indicative of traffic volume. Highway person trips

included auto driver trips as well as passengers in automobiles, trucks, and taxis. Use of a person trip orientation in revised survey procedures would, of course, permit development of modal split relations. No transit or school bus trips were included because of the lack of knowledge of a network for either group. Two periods, total day and the single afternoon peak hour, defined the time conditions to be considered.

Further processing of the basic data in preparation for the analysis used the BELMN program package. Program numbers are those of the standard writeups. The shorter of two phases using BELMN involved processing of the street network. The 1964 street inventory had been coded and punched on cards by IRTADS. These cards were then processed according to the diagram in Figure 2. Program PR-6 processed the cards containing among other information the length of, speed on, and node number at the terminals of every link in the street system. The description of the network prepared was stored in binary format preparatory to further processing. Program PR-1 scanned the network description for link direction and travel time and the terminal node numbers. It then proceeded to build "trees" from each zone to all other zones in the study area. These trees are the link-by-link description of the path taken in moving from one zone to another. Since there could be a very large number of such paths if there were no restrictions on their selection, the path which exhibits a selected type of optimality is defined. For the



STREET FACILITIES INVENTORY

CODE STREET NETWORK

BUILD NETWORK DESCRIPTION (PR-6)

BUILD ZONE-TO-ZONE TREES (PR-1)

SKIM ZONE-TO-ZONE TREES (PR-130)

Figure 2. Developing the Street Network Data.

current study, the minimum travel-time path was chosen. Travel-time includes terminal time at both ends of the trip. The procedure for selection of this optimum path is based on an algorithm developed by Moore (1957). PR-1 prepared a binary tape describing the minimum time path trees, link-bylink, for all zones in the study area. Program PR-130 further processed the binary tree information by summing the time to traverse the links in each tree, producing the accumulated time to move between each zone pair on the minimum time path. This zone-to-zone cumulative time is known as a "skimmed tree." The skimmed tree data were used as described in Chapter V. The network description and zone-to-zone tree data were used as described below.

The major utilization of the BELMN programs was concerned with processing the travel data. Figure 3 shows the procedure employed and described below. The necessary preprocessing of the trip cards was described previously. Input to program PR-133 was in two phases, home-based and non-homebased trip cards. This separation was necessary because of the requirements of PR-133. The procedures were, however, essentially identical, and the results were combined at a later stage. PR-133 accumulated the trips from each origin to each destination zone according to groups based on the trip purpose and travel mode specified previously. Output from PR-133 were "trip tables," cumulative zone-to-zone movements by purpose and mode. The normal PR-133 expansion of the trip data was not used in order to retain exactly the



character of the sample. The blanket expansion used is explained subsequently. Because of the nature of PR-133, all final trips tables are complementary; i.e., they do not overlap. Program PR-152 was used to merge certain tables in an additive manner in order to obtain the purpose combinations specified for analysis.

Since trips on links of the network had been selected as the decision variable, the trip tables had to be assigned to the street system. The traffic assignment program, PR-2, uses a factoring procedure to conserve space in core storage and on tape. It divides the actual trip volume by four in order to store the number in a field width of two less positions (binary digits). Such a procedure is not critical for high volumes, but proves extremely damaging in cases where there are many low volumes. Since the trip data had not been expanded as would normally have been the case, the discrepancies here were quite serious. As a means of overcoming the problem, it was proposed to multiply the volumes by twenty prior to assignment. This would permit retention of the exact character of the sample and would eliminate the loss of critical volumes. It would also yield figures of a magnitude similar to observed traffic volumes. Program PR-151 was used to expand the recombined results from PR-152 by a factor of twenty. The entire trip processing and assignment procedure was executed for both the total day and the peak hour situations.

The traffic assignment process, utilizing program PR-2, assigned to each link of the minimum time path tree the zoneto-zone movements given in the trip table. This process, known as "loading the network," accumulated the trip volumes on each link for all zone-to-zone movements. No attempt was made to apply capacity restraint (iterative assignments to account for the change in travel speed with volume) to the loaded networks, since the differences in absolute volumes would have yielded inconsistent results from such a procedure. The objective of the research was to match the control loading condition; that having been accomplished, restraint procedures would be applied to the synthesized loadings. There were sixteen separate network loadings, made up by the twelve specified purpose situations and four totals. The loaded networks were summarized by program PR-124 to obtain a more readily processable output format and a listing of the loading on each link. The output from PR-124 was processed by a data reduction program which summarized for each link the loading under each of the sixteen specified conditions.

Since the principal influence of the hypothesis was intended to be over the major street system, it was necessary to select the links in this group. In order to provide an objective basis for the selection, the functional street classifications developed by IRTADS were used to group the links. The IRTADS system was composed of five groups: local, collector, arterial, expressway, and freeway. Because of the small number in their groups, expressway and freeway links

were combined under the latter title. The resulting arterial and freeway groups were considered the major street system. Because of an interest in examining results beyond those on the major street system, the collector group was retained for compatible analysis. All local links, centroid and external node connectors, were removed because of domination of travel on them by the zone represented by the centroid. Links connecting between different groups were considered collectors. The groups at this point represented a modification of the IRTADS system and were referred to as Mod-1 system:

Freeway	231	links,
Arterials	307	links,
Collectors	2123	links.

Examination of the volume distributions in the respective groups indicated certain conditions for which modifications were appropriate. The link volumes for the auto driver, total day, all purpose condition were chosen as the criterion since they were the best available representation of actual traffic volumes. Eased on the estimated standard error of the group, all links with volumes less than 140 were deleted. This was because the true volumes on these links in the average situation might be reasonably considered not different from zero. Links that were the only connection between the system and local links were deleted for the same reason as the local links. Links previously classified arterial or freeway, but having volumes less than 1000, were merged with collectors. Collectors with volumes greater than 5000 but

less than 12,000 were merged with arterials; those with volumes over 12,000 were merged with freeways.

The rationale for these modifications was based on the fact that no capacity restraint was used in the assignment process. As a result, trips were assigned to the absolute minimum time path without consideration for the capacity of the links used. Such a situation would explain the failure of links to carry volumes commensurate with their functional classification. In order to correct for the situation, links having arterial level volumes were defined as arterials, etc. This was the reasoning behind volume considerations when reorganizing the groups. The volume criteria for each group were established by a generalized capacity analysis of the respective street classes.

The Mod-2 system, used in the final analyses, was composed of the following:

Freeways	218	links,
Arterials	529	links,
Collectors	1793	links.

## CHAPTER V. ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES

The analytical procedures employed to test the proposed hypotheses fell into two distinct phases. The first was directed toward establishing a basis for consideration of the second. The second phase was directly concerned with testing the principal hypotheses regarding work and peak hour travel.

Phase one undertook examination of the hypothesis that the several factors of trip purpose, mode of travel, and time of trip do significantly influence the character of person movement in an urban area. The objective was to obtain a quantitative definition, in probabilistic terms, of that interrelationship which most practitioners have come to accept in a qualitative sense. The variables chosen for examination were travel volume and length of trip. These were considered sufficiently representative of the distributional characteristics of urban travel to permit valid generalization and extension of the effects observed.

Travel volume was defined as the number of trips made, where each survey card represents a trip. Trip length was the time required to complete a given trip on the minimum time path from the zone of origin to the destination zone. The purpose of a trip was that indicated on the survey card at the point of destination. Purpose was considered in six groups:

- 1. Work
- 2. Shopping
- Social-Recreational/Eat Meal
- 4. Personal Business/Medical-Dental
- 5. School
- 6. Other

Groups three and four are combinations of purposes originally tabulated separately. The merger was due to assumed similarities in the character of travel for these purposes. Mode of travel was defined in three groups:

- 1. Auto Driver
- 2. Non-transit passenger
- 3. Transit passenger

The definition of transit includes school buses as well as other bus vehicles; there is no other form of transit in Indianapolis. The non-transit passenger group includes passengers in private automobiles, taxis, and trucks. Time was defined in 24 one hour groups. The mean of the start and arrive times reported for the trip maker was used to place the trip in its time group.

The basic data source was the IRTADS home interview survey file (No. 2 cards) consisting of 76,396 records, each describing one trip, wholly within the area, made by a resident of a household selected for interview. The sampling unit for the survey was the household. The households had been selected in a systematic manner from public utility records and represented approximately five percent of the dwelling units in the study area.

The trip records were sorted by zone of trip origin and then by zone of trip destination using the IBM 7094 IESYS Generalized Sorting System. The length (in time) of travel from each zone to every other zone was computed from the minimum time path tree by a tree skimming program (PR-130). that is part of the BELMN package. The skimmed tree provides the overall time by summing the times to traverse each link in the minimum time path over all links in the path. It is, therefore, the minimum possible zone-to-zone travel time. The appropriate skimmed tree time was then appended to the individual record of each trip by the program LENGTH (Appendix C), being made an additional permanent part of each trip record.

The nature of the hypothesis to be tested was appropriate for investigation by the analysis of variance technique (ANOVA). This statistical procedure involves classification of the observed variable according to several factors, the object of the investigation being to determine the extent to which the factors affect the observed variable. Thus an observation occurring under a particular set of conditions would be grouped only with observations which occurred under similar circumstances. In the type of study undertaken here, termed a complete factorial, there are the same number of such groups, or cells, as there are combinations of possible conditions (levels) of the factors considered. This procedure isolates the quantitative effect that each factor has on the variable analyzed, but also permits evaluation of effects occurring due to factors acting in combination (interaction).

In order to test the significance of the effects due to factors and interactions, the ANOVA uses an estimate of experimental error, i.e., natural variability, not due to the factors analyzed, to be expected in the occurrence of the variable. One means of obtaining such an estimate in experimentation is to replicate or repeat at least a portion of the experiment, since variability in observations made under identical conditions can be attributed to experimental error. For the present investigation it was decided to select four random subsamples from the basic trip file. These four complete subsamples provided the necessary estimate of experimental error. In order to simplify the sample selection procedure, the observation selected for testing was the mean trip length value over all trips in each cell.

The equations representing the analyses, commonly called analysis of variance models were:

 $X_{ijkl} = \mu + P_i + M_j + T_k + PM_{ij} + PT_{ik} + MT_{jk} + PMT_{ijk}$  $+ \epsilon_{(ijk)l}$ 

where:

- X ijkl represents trip volume or trip length, depending on the analysis, for the i-th purpose, by the j-th mode, in time period k, for the l-th subsample;
- $\mu$  is the respective overall mean;
- P, is the effect of the i-th purpose, i=1,...,6;
| мj               | is        | the         | effect   | of  | the  | j-th mode, j=1,,3;                   |
|------------------|-----------|-------------|----------|-----|------|--------------------------------------|
| Τ <sub>κ</sub>   | is<br>k=] | the<br>1,   | effect   | of  | the  | k-th time period (hour),             |
| PM<br>ij         | is        | the         | effect   | of  | the  | purpose-mode interaction;            |
| MT jk            | is        | the         | effect   | of  | the  | mode-time interaction;               |
| PT <sub>ik</sub> | is        | the         | effect   | of  | the  | <pre>purpose-time interaction;</pre> |
| PMT<br>ijk       | is<br>act | the<br>ion; | effect   | of  | the  | purpose-mode-time inter-             |
| € (ijk)]         | is.       | s the       | e experi | mer | ntal | error;                               |

1 is the number of the subsample, l=1,...,4.

It will be noted that all effects are fixed, i.e., they are not random samples from an infinite population of such values. The inference permitted can, therefore, only be considered applicable for those levels of the respective factors included in this analysis. The analysis described is known as a complete three-factor factorial analysis with four observations per factor level combination. The assumptions reouired for use of the ANOVA were considered sufficiently satisfied. The effects were additive because of the simplicity of the counting process. The experimental errors were considered normally and independently distributed due to the averaging of the trip length variable.

A theoretical consideration at this point involved the inference space of the results. The objective was to imply validity not only for the city of Indianapolis, but for the nation as a whole. Such an implication is valid if the trip data used is considered a randomly selected single cluster sample from a nationwide population of trips.

The random subsamples of the basic systematic sample may be considered random samples of trips in Indianapolis. When four subsamples of 10,000 each were drawn from the original 76,396 trips that represented a five percent sample, each subsample was effectively a sample of less than one in one hundred and fifty and was considered drawn from an infinite population. Under these circumstances infinite theory was closely approximated, and finite population correction was not necessary.

Selection and processing of the four samples was accomplished by the program SAMPLR (Appendix C). Input to SAMPLR was four sets of unique, sorted random numbers developed by the program RANDOM (Appendix C), and the sorted trip card file, augmented with trip lengths. The four files of random numbers were stacked on magnetic tape for use by SAMPLR.

SAMPLR read the random numbers and, based on each, selected the data occurring in the designated location of the trip card file. The records selected were tabulated by purpose, mode, and time of trip. Each record used was deleted from the input trip file, and those remaining were written out to await selection of the next sample by the subsequent pass of SAMPLR. The sample selection process was repeated four times. The results of one sample are shown in Appendix B. These data were punched on cards in preparation for their analysis.

The complete factorial analysis of variance computations were executed by program BMD-2V (UCLA, 1966a). The output

from the computation is presented in Tables Al and A2 of Appendix A. The results of the tests for significance are presented and discussed in Chapter VI.

Phase two of the analysis involved testing the principal hypotheses, concerned with the use of work and peak hour trips to represent total daily travel. The objective of the analysis was to determine the degree to which trips of a single purpose or a particular time period could be expected to reproduce the pattern of all travel in an urban area and define the transportation system used thereby. Travel volume on individual links of the highway network was the decision variable selected; the form that the variable took depended on the analysis performed. Reflection on the objective of the research pointed up the necessity of retaining the all-purpose loading as the control condition, against which the hypothesized revisions would be tested. The nature of the situation, with the variable to be predicted containing the variable used to predict, indicated that a regression approach would be most appropriate.

The extent of the regression analysis required was investigated by a modification and extension of the analysis of variance performed in phase one. The objectives of this second ANOVA were to determine which factors should be included in the regression models and what different models were necessary. This analysis was designed to test the effect on individual link volumes of change in the factors purpose, mode, and time.

Definitions of the factors and variable for this analysis were modified from those applied in the first investigation.

In this analysis purpose was considered at three levels: home-based work, non-home-based work, and non-work. This reflected a split of the previous P1 (work) and combination of P2 to P5. Mode was included at only two levels, transit trips having been deleted. The time levels were redefined as peak hour, one particular hour, against non-peak hour, the remaining 23 hours combined. The observed variable was relative assigned traffic volume. This variable was obtained by assigning trips (variable in the first analysis) to the links of the highway network and dividing each resulting link volume by the link-trip total over all links for its particular factor level combination. This manipulation eliminated between cell differences attributable only to differences in absolute total volumes of trips observed for respective purposes. The effect of the absolute totals had been examined in the first analysis; the second analysis was to examine the degree to which selected observed effects extended to the highway system. The resulting variable, termed link-relativeimportance (LRI), was indicative of the status the particular link assumed regarding movement of traffic in the area.

If there was no significant difference found due to purpose, it could be reasoned that each link was as important for moving work trips as for moving other trips. Lack of significance due to mode would imply that passenger travel is distributed on the system in the same manner as vehicle travel.

And no significance attributed to time would infer that peak hour traffic uses the same links as non-peak movement. Should any main effects not be considered significant, regression analysis of that situation would not be necessary. If the main effects were found significant it could be reasoned that sufficient difference occurred between purposes, modes, or time periods for these factors to be considered in the regression analysis.

Of particular interest in this ANOVA was whether the significance of interactions carried through from the first analysis. An interaction implies that the results of varying one factor under the constant level of another factor might not match the results of identical variations of the first factor under different conditions of the second factor. Thus, a significantly different relationship might be found between volume and purpose for auto driver trips than for passenger trips. Interaction significance would imply a need for different regression models at each level combination of the interacting factors. This analysis would yield a rational basis for the form of the regression equations and contribute to the understanding of underlying relationships.

Consideration of two requirements of the analysis of variance was necessary.

The ANOVA procedure bases its tests of significance on properties of the normal distribution and requires that the experimental error within the classification groups or cells be normally and independently distributed. Tests of this

condition utilizing the Komolgorov-Smirnov (K-S) test for goodness of fit (Ostle, 1963), indicated that the raw LRI values were not normally distributed. It was found, however, that after a square root transformation of the raw data was carried out, the K-S test showed significant (5 percent level) departures from normality in only a very few cases for the arterial and freeway classes of the MOD-2 highway system. The collector class was discarded from further consideration in the ANOVA examination because the observed departures from normality could not be considered insignificant. Results of the normality test are given in Table A3 of Appendix A. The use of the square root transformation has a basis in theory; the data were merely a traffic occurrence or frequency distribution on the highway system, known to be distributed in a Poisson manner (Gerlough, 1955). The square root is the characteristic transformation to a normal distribution for a Poisson distributed variable.

The other ANOVA assumption tested concerned homogeneity of variance between cells. The common test for this condition is that attributed to Bartlett (1937). The square root transformed data were processed by two computer routines which yielded the chi-square values to be tested. These are given in Table A4 of Appendix A. It was apparent that cell variances of the design were quite non-homogeneous. Box (1954) has considered the variance problem also and indicates that the robust nature of the ANOVA is capable of withstanding quite a degree of heteroscedasticity.

In spite of the lack of variance homogeneity and the minor variations from normality, it was decided to continue with the ANOVA as proposed. The analysis was run separately for the two street classes with no attempt being made to examine between class effects. This decision was based principally on the variation in the number of observations between the classes. The ANOVA models took the form:

 $L_{ijkl} = \mu + P_{i} + M_{j} + T_{k} + PM_{ij} + PT_{jk} + MT_{jk} + PMT_{ijk}$  $+ \epsilon_{(ijk)l}$ 

where

<sup>L</sup> ijkl	is the $\sqrt{\text{link-relative-importance}}$ for the i-th purpose, by the j-th mode, in time period k, for the l-th observation.
Pi	is the effect of the i-th purpose, i=1,, $^{3}$ ;
M j	is the effect of the j-th mode, $j=1,\ldots,2$ ;
Τ <sub>κ</sub>	is the effect of the k-th time period, k=1,,2;
€ <sub>(ijk)1</sub>	is the experimental error;
l	represents the link considered, l=1,,218, for freeways,
	l=1,,529, for arterials.

The interactions are similar to those defined for the first ANOVA. Computations for this analysis were performed by program BMD-2V; the results are presented and discussed in Chapter VI.

The models for the regression analysis were developed in accordance with the results of the variance analyses, and

included factors representing purpose, mode, and time. The definitions of the variables and factors for regression were further modified from those used previously. The dependent regression variable (Y), in accordance with the control condition defined, was the number of trips for all purposes that were assigned to the individual links of the highway network. This represented a combination of the three purpose levels tested in the second ANOVA. The independent regression variables (X's) were similarly assigned volumes, but represented trips for a specific purpose: home-based work, non-home-based work, and home-based shop. The first two were identical to classifications in the second ANOVA; the third was an additional factor included because of the general interest and availability of the data. The shop level was not included separately in the purpose factor of the second ANOVA because the objective at that point was to define the effect of work relative to all other purposes combined. Levels of the mode factor were auto driver, identical to M, in both previous analyses, and highway person, a combination of the  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ levels of the second ANOVA. Time was treated in a similar manner: peak hour corresponded to P, and total day was the combined P, and P, levels. The definition of the regression factors closely approached the definitions of the original principal hypotheses. The only variation occurred in the second level of purpose; P2 was defined as non-home-based rather than all work because of the build-up approach. Adding nonhome-based work to an equation including home-based work

implied the desired effect of total work. The factor definitions for the second ANOVA and the regression analysis are listed below together with the nomenclature for the principal hypotheses.

	HYPOTHESES	ANOVA	REGRESSION
Purpose:	HBW	HBW	HBW
	All Work	NHBW	NHBW
	All Purposes	NW	All purposes
Mode:	DRIVER	DR IVER	DRIVER
	PERSON	PAS SENGER	PERSON
Time:	PEAK HOUR TOTAL DAY	PEAK HOUR NON-PEAK HOUR	PEAK HOUR Total day

(H:HOME, B:BASED, W:WORK, N:NON)

The factor level combinations as combined for the regression variables are listed below.

## Independent Variables

1.	Home-based work, Auto driver, Total day
2.	Non-home-based work, Auto driver, Total day
3.	Home-based work, Highway person, Total day
4.	Non-home-based work, Highway person, Total day
5.	Home-based work, Auto driver, Peak hour
6.	Non-home-based work, Auto driver, Peak hour
7.	Home-based work, Highway person, Peak hour
8.	Non-home-based work, Highway person, Peak hour
.9.	Home-based shop, Auto driver, Total day
10.	Home-based shop, Highway person, Total day
11.	Home-based shop, Auto driver, Peak hour
12.	Home-based shop, Highway person, Peak hour

## Dependent Variables

1.	All	purpose	trips,	Auto driver, Total day
2.	A11	purpose	trips,	Highway person, Total day
3.	A11	purpose	trips,	Auto driver, Peak hour
4.	A11	purpose	trips,	Highway person, Peak hour

The requirements for regression analysis are that each value of X be measured without error and the experimental

errors of the Y values for each value of X be normally and independently distributed with mean zero and homogeneous variance. It is not too difficult to tacitly assume that these restrictions are satisfied by the data in this analysis, particularly since there are so many possible values of X and thereby few possible values of Y for each X. The basic relationship was assumed to be linear and additive because of the nature of the urban travel situation.

The technique used was to build up a multiple linear regression equation in steps, adding one independent variable at each step. This technique follows the logic of the proposals set down for modifications in survey procedures, i.e., one variable represents one type of survey, an additional one represents a more extensive survey, etc. Thus it is shown just how much precision (increase in determination) is gained by augmenting basic survey data. The computations for the analysis were performed by computer program BMD-2R (UCLA, 1966b).

The initial run of the program permitted free selection of that variable which most significantly reduced the error sum of squares of the analysis. The respective variables added were those which caused the greatest increase in the total variation explained by their inclusion. This run eventually included all independent variables. Subsequently, a program option was exercised which selected entrance of independent variables into the equation in the logical order

of the proposed build-up survey procedure.

Nine sets of equations were developed. Four of these each had dependent and independent variables of the same mode-time combination. The mode-time combination varied between sets. The remaining five sets were developed with dependent variables common for planning and design purposes, but with independent variables of a type more easily surveyed. The latter five sets did not have similar mode-time combinations for dependent and independent variables. Within each set the dependent variable did not change. The nine equations in each set represented different combinations of the basic street classes. The first three of these treated freeways, arterials and collectors, respectively. Two more were developed by combining freeways and arterials for one (FA) and freeways, arterials and collectors for the other (FAC). The remainder included all freeway and arterial links as well as subsets of the collector group based on volume: collectors with day vehicular volume not less than 4000, 3000, 2000, and 1000. The results of the regression analyses are presented in Chapter VI and Appendix A.

## CHAPTER VI. ANALYTICAL RESULTS

The analyses described in Chapter IV were designed to test the following hypotheses:

- There are no significant effects on trip volume and trip length due to the purpose, mode, and time factors and their interactions, which define trip conditions.
- The pattern of travel for the work purpose adequately describes a street system sufficient to serve trips for all purposes, thereby implying feasible reorientations in travel pattern surveys.
- 3. The pattern of travel in the peak hour adequately describes a street system sufficient to serve trips made during the entire day; thereby implying a feasible reorientation in the procedure for obtaining a design hour volume.

The degree to which these hypotheses were acceptable and the ramifications of the results of the tests employed are discussed below.

The first hypothesis is concerned with a situation recognized by most practitioners. The quantitative nature of the proposed effects have been known for some time, but tests of significance have not been run. Tables Al and A2 in Appendix A are the analyses of variance for trip volume and trip length respectively.

All main effects and interactions were significant ( $\alpha = 0.01$ ), implying rejection of the first hypothesis stated. The high significance of the main effects had been expected. It implied that the volume and length of trips observed in Indianapolis differed significantly with variation in the purpose of trip, the mode of travel, and the time of observation. The high significance of the interactions was not anticipated. It implied, for example, that the relationships between volume or trip length and the single factors (e.g., purpose) were inconsistent if any other factor was not held constant. The results of this analysis emphasized the fact that the factors being examined in regard to travel pattern development were very worthy of further consideration. They also indicated that further analyses would have to account for the interactions.

The second and third hypotheses were investigated simultaneously due to use of the same decision variable and identical statistical procedures. The second stage of the investigation involved defining the factors and models for the regression analysis. The variable was LRI and the factors examined in the ANOVA were, generally, purpose, mode and time. The LRI values were observed on the MOD-2 freeway and arterial classes only, due to the normality of their distributions. The significance level chosen for testing the F ratios was 0.25. This choice was based on the fact that probability of "type II" or "  $\beta$  " error (accepting a false

hypothesis) was of importance. Increasing the "Q," or probability of type I error (rejecting a true hypothesis), to the level of 0.25 reduces the probability of  $\beta$  error. The low  $\beta$  error was considered necessary because the objective of the test was to determine which effects were not significant and could thereby be eliminated from consideration in model development. The hypothesis proposed for this test was: the purpose, mode, and time factors described here have no significant effect on relative link volume. The ANOVA for these tests are shown in Tables 2 and 3. All significance tests were made using an F ratio with only the error mean square, since the model was composed completely of fixed effects.

The tests on the freeway links indicated significant results due to the main effects of time, purpose and mode. No effect on LRI was noted due to interaction. It can be concluded that LRI does vary between the peak and non-peak periods, due to change in consideration of the work or the non-work purpose, and due to travel mode. The implications are that, for freeways, separate models describing peak and non-peak traffic would yield better results than a single model. Further, there is sufficient effect due to the work purpose and mode that models describing travel must include recognition of the work purpose and means of travel. The extension of these results is valid and consistent only over the factors and levels considered here.

Factor	Degrees of Freedom	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio
T **	l	28.37	28.37	3.31*
Μ	l	17.67	17.67	2.06*
P	2	511.07	225.54	26.7*
Τ'M	l	2.31	2.31	0.27
TP	2	3.23	1.61	0.19
MP	2	0.41	0.20	0.23
TMP	2	15.90	7.95	0.93
Error	2604	22,318.74	8.57	
Total	2615	22,897.68		

Table 2. Analysis of Variance for Freeway L.R.I.

\* Significant at  $\alpha$  = 0.25

\*\* See page 105 for abbreviation key.

Factor	Degrees of Freedom	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio
 **	l	39.28	39.28	4.95*
Μ	l	253.22	253.22	31.8*
P	2	1,783.42	891.71	112.2*
ΤM	1	3.84	3.84	0.48
TP	2	9.01	4.00	0.50
MP	2	67.98	33.99	4.38*
TMP	2	18.23	9.11	1.15
Error	6,336	50,380.69	7.95	
Total	6,347	52,554.68		

Table 3. Analysis of Variance for Arterial L.R.I.

<sup>\*</sup>Significant at  $\alpha$  = 0.25

\*\* See page 105 for abbreviation key.

The tests in the ANOVA for arterials indicated the same effects observed for freeways as well as a significant mode-purpose interaction. This additional effect may reflect the change in orientation of traffic from movement to land service as street class decreases. The variability in the influence on volume exerted by work purposes cannot be considered the same for all modes, and conversely, as was the case for freeways. This implies a need for more models to account for interaction.

The hypothesis for the ANOVA of relative volumes was rejected for the purpose, mode, and time main effects on freeway links and for these as well as the mode-purpose interaction on arterial links. The remaining effects could not be rejected at  $\alpha = 0.25$ . The meaning of these results must be tempered by the failure of the data to satisfy the criterion of homoscedasticity. Reflection on the trends observed gives cause for contemplation on the results which might have occurred had the collector class exhibited normal-ity.

The equation forms used in the regression analysis reflected the results of the variance analyses. The models developed predicted trip volumes for all purposes on links of the highway network. The means of prediction were trip volumes observed on the same links for specific purposes, i.e., work. Separate models were developed for each combination of the levels of the mode and time factors. The ANOVA results indicated that the use of each additional level of

the purpose factor would increase the variation explained. Further, each mode-time combination would yield different levels of predictability, each of which was consistent within time, but not necessarily within mode. The regression equations represent the relations within the condition groups or cells of the ANOVA. The feasibility of the development approach employed was checked by permitting variables to enter the equations in a stepwise manner, according to the significance of their contribution. The final relationships were then selected, on an intuitive basis, and the respective results were compared. It should be emphasized that the following analysis was not oriented to developing predictive relationships, but rather to determining the degree to which variation in the all-purpose group was explained by variations in specific purpose groups. It is not inferred that the equations shown are applicable elsewhere, but rather that variation explained  $(R^2)$  may be universal and that the respective expansion ratios (slopes) are typical.

The first regression analysis developed simple, linear relationships between peak hour and total day volumes. The equations shown in Table 4 predict total day volume based on peak hour volume. Only the all-purpose condition is presented because the objective was to demonstrate the representivity of all traffic in the peak hour. Results are shown for both modes and for three separate street classes: freeways, arterials and collectors. The variation explained ( $R^2$ ).varies between modes by a maximum of 0.018; the difference in  $R^2$  between modes increases from freeways to collectors. The prediction

	Auto Drive	<u>:r</u>
(F) <sup>*</sup>	Y = 824 + 5.446X	$R^2 = 0.969$
(A)	Y = 899 + 5.150X	$R^2 = 0.905$
(C)	Y = 314 + 5.307X	$R^2 = 0.875$
	<u>Highway Pe</u>	erson
(F)	Y = 1549 + 5.304X	$R^2 = 0.961$
(A)	Y = 1410 + 5.090X	$R^2 = 0.895$
(C)	Y = 493 + 5.383X	$R^2 = 0.857$
Χ:	Total Peak Hour Trips	Y: Total Day Trips

Table 4. Simple Regression Analysis.

\* See page 105 for abbreviation key.

of total traffic based on peak hour traffic is quite reliable for all street classes. The prediction is better on higher type facilities because they are the ones most utilized in the peak hour. These positive results give credence to a basic hypothesis of this research and form a basis for further study of peak hour travel by purpose.

The multiple linear regression analyses examined the three separate street classes and several combinations of these. The examination of several street groups was undertaken in order to determine the changes in predictivity for various single classes and combinations within the total street system. Within each street group several mode-time combinations for the variables were used. In four of these cases, the mode-time condition was the same for the dependent and independent variables. In the remaining cases, the modetime condition of the independent variable represented a more practicable survey procedure than did the mode-time combination of the predicted variable. All dependent variables represented mode-time combinations useful in planning and design.

The four homogeneous sets were concerned with predicting the total day (D) and peak hour (H) trips by highway oriented persons (P) and vehicles (V). Selection of the proper relationship would be based on the mode and time prediction desired; that same mode-time combination would be surveyed. Three of the other five relationships predicted peak hour vehicle (HV) trips using total day person (DP), total day

vehicle (DV), and peak hour person trips (HP). The results of these would be design hour traffic volumes, based on surveys of all employees, all those driving to work, and all those traveling in the peak hour respectively. The remaining two relationships used total day person trips (DP) to predict total day vehicle (DV) and peak hour person trips (HP). These represented a survey of all employees to predict daily vehicle traffic or a person trip design hour.

Tables A5 through A8 in Appendix A show results of the regressions for the free entry situation. In this situation the variables enter solution one at a time, in the order of the additional explained variation  $(R^2)$  that their inclusion will yield. The variable entering also causes the greatest reduction in the error sum of squares of all variables not in solution. The tables show the order of entry of the four most significant independent variables for each street class or combination for prediction of the four different mode-time conditions of all purpose trips. Also given are the cumulative  $R^2$ , standard error of the estimate, and coefficient of variation at each step.

The R<sup>2</sup> values describe the proportion of variability in the predicted trips explained by the factors included in the equation at that step. Here the standard error of estimate provides the upper confidence limit on each prediction. It is indicative of the possible error that the prediction procedure may be expected to exhibit. Adding one standard error to a predicted volume assures 0.84 probability that the true volume is not greater than the result; two standard errors implies 0.97 probability of enclosing the true value. The standard error indicates the possible error in capacity due to use of a design hour volume obtained from the procedure described here. The coefficient of variation is the proportion that the standard error is of the mean. It is an indication of relative precision of the estimate. The increase in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and decrease in the standard error and coefficient of variation must be balanced against the increased cost of survey necessary in order to attain these.

All independent (single purpose) variables were available for selection in the free entry regressions. The entry order and parameters of fit were affected by the individual street classes or combination of street classes considered. The entry order for a given dependent variable for the separate classes was somewhat erratic, but for the combinations it was fairly uniform. The home-based work trip that was consistent with the mode-time condition of the dependent variable was the first variable to enter in all regressions. Predictions of total day volume usually chose shopping trips second, followed by the respective non-home-based work group. The reverse was true for predicting peak hour trips. It is apparent that shopping travel is more important in total day than in peak hour travel. The fourth entry was generally too erratic to permit valid conclusions.

The  $R^2$  values were better for peak hour prediction than for total day, and better for vehicle trips than for person trips. The  $R^2$  decreased with mean volume of the street group

considered. The R<sup>2</sup> values for the FA and FAC classes were very similar, and the FA class was slightly higher in all situations but one. As more of the collector class was included with FA, the R<sup>2</sup> decreased slightly, until at the Tl group it began to increase. The standard errors decreased with the mean volume of the analysis group. The comparison of coefficients of variation showed an increase as more links were included in the analysis group and the group mean volume decreased. The coefficients were consistently greater for person than for vehicle trips, and were larger for total day than for peak hour trips. These results indicated which variables were most important for predicting trips of various time-mode groups. They form a basis for comparison of the results of selected variable situations. It is significant that the basic element of the proposed revised survey procedure was always the most important predictive variable.

The destination place survey procedure previously proposed implied a logical order of variable entry into prediction equations. The most elemental survey would involve homebased work trips. Next would come, in addition, non-homebased work trips. The third step would involve home-based shopping trips as well. The results discussed henceforth are for regressions on these selected variables, indicating the cumulative results (fit) as each additional variable was selected for the equation.

The regressions were run for nine street class groups and nine prediction situations within each group. Tables A9

through A16 in Appendix A show results of the regressions for all groups and situations. Tables 5, 6, 7 and 8 in this chapter show more detailed results for the FAC and FA street class combination groups. The R<sup>2</sup> and coefficient of variation values exhibited trends between street groups similar to those observed in the free entry situation. Comparisons of the results using person shopping trips versus vehicle shopping trips indicated that the vehicle trips usually explained more variation than the person group. As a result the vehicle mode was used for all home-based shop variables included in the analysis. Use of the vehicle mode permits a license plate survey of shop trips.

When using the same mode-time condition for both dependent and independent variables, the FAC group (all street system links) was second in prediction  $(R^2)$  only to the freeway class (Table A9). The two groups were very close in all cases. The difference in  $R^2$  between separate street classes was greater than between progressive groups combining collectors with the FA group. The standard errors of the estimates decrease as more of the collector links are included with those in the FA group (Table A10). The FAC group exhibits the lowest standard errors in most situations. The coefficients of variation were less (Table A11). If precision is important, the FA group is better than the FAC, since the coefficients of variation are less. If capacity error is more important, the FAC group is better, since the standard errors are less. A good balance in this decision

	Selected Predictors	
*	Multiple Linear Regression	Entire Street System (FAC).
*	Parameters and Coefficients	Normal Dependent Conditions
	Table 5.	

able 5.	Par Nor	ameters ar mal Depend	nd Coeffici Bent Condit	lents <sup>M</sup> cions Ent	ultiple Li tire Stree	near Regr t System	ression Sel (FAC).	ected Pred	ictors
			Parame	eters			Coef	ficients	
	×	R <sup>2</sup>	$\Delta R^2$	н о	C. V.	0 11 0	Bl	В 2	с Д
	٦	0.9307	1	717	0.249	620	1.498	I	ł
	0	0.9573	0.0266	563	0.196	336	1.290	1.587	1
	13	0.9875	0.0302	304	0.106	123	1.140	1.489	1.615
	4	0.9076	1	1188	0.280	1083	1.601	1	1
	ŝ	0.9337	0.0261	1007	0.237	692	1.379	1,986	10 00
	13	0.9792	0.0455	565	0.133	294	1.183	1.851	2.820
	7	0.9510	1	105	0.231	77	1.185	1	1
	ω	0.9791	0.0281	69	0.152	31	1.133	1.271	1
	15	0.9911	0.0120	45	0.099	14	1.070	1,209	1.330
	10	0.9442	1	161	0.244	126	1.227	1	1
	11	0.9719	0.0277	114	0.173	59	1.172	1.486	1
	12	0.9866	0.0147	79	0.120	31	1.099	1.422	2,106

\*

See page 105 for abbreviation key.

	Selected Predictors	
	lnear Regression	set System (FAC).
*	Multiple Li	is Entire Stre
	neters and Coefficients	ial Dependent Condition
	6. Para	Spec
	Table	

		Spe	ecial Depen	ndent Condi	tions	Entire Street	System	(FAC).	f 4 0 4 0 0 + 0	
			anders - service size - benefitier - description	rarame	sters			1907	ricients	
¥	Х		R <sup>2</sup>	$\Delta r^2$	н о	с. V.	о В	в1	B2	в В
		4	90100		C	260 2	0 11 12			
DΛ	DP	<b>ት</b> በ	0,9408	0.0211	663	0.231	406		1.246	
		13	0.9765	0.0357	418	0.145	167	0.864	1,163	l.742
		4	0.9287	1	182	0.276	103	0.282	1	1
HР	DР	Ъ	0.9470	0.0183	157	0.238	44	0.250	0.290	***
		13	0.9577	0.0107	140	0.212	11	0.233	0.279	0.239
		4	0.9193	1	135	0.297	69	0.196	ngan ngan	1
HV	DP	IJ	0.9327	0.0134	123	0.270	34	0.176	0.173	-
		13	0.9423	0,0096	114	0.251	13	0.165	0.165	0.157
		10	0,9353	ţ	121	0.266	85	0.850		
ΗV	HP.	11	0.9584	0.0231	97	0.213	42	0.815	0.943	1
		15	0.9737	0.0170	77	0.169	22	0.763	0.898	1.495
		Ч	0.9341	1	122	0.268	62	0.259	1	
ΗV	DΛ	7	0.9520	0.0179	104	0.228	21	0.231	0.226	1
		13	0.9586	0.0066	96	0.211	4	0.219	0,218	0.132

\*See page 105 for abbreviation key.

Table 7.	Par Nor	ameters ar mal Predic	nd Coeffici	lents M cions Fr	ultiple Li eeway and	near Regr Arterial	ession Sel Classes (F	ected Pred A).	ictors
			Parame	eters			Coef	ficients	
×	×	R <sup>2</sup>	$\Delta r^2$	ь С	C.V.	BO	Bl	B2	B3
	Ч	0.9266		616	0.167	1183	1, 382	Na 14	
DV	13.2	0.9524 0.9868	0.0258 0.0344	741 391	0.135 0.071	715 314	1.220 1.215	1.611 1.456	1.513
DP	4 m.	0.9305	0.0262	1492 1273	0.187 0.159	2047 1391	1.465 1.275	2.057	
	13	0.9793	0.0488	695	0.087	689	1.158	L.827	2.000
HV	► 0	0.9561 0.9799	0.0238	129 88	0.146 0.100	147 62	1.131 1.116	1.222	1 2
	15	0,9911	0.0112	53	0.066	36	1.064	1.140	1.248
HP	11	0.9519 0.9737	0.0217	195 145	0.154 0.114	231 111	1.167 1.151	1.401	1 1
	15	0,9869	0.0132	102	0.080	67	1.092	1.321	1.955

\*See page 105 for abbreviation key.

	Predictors	
	Selected	oc (FA)
	Regression	ortal Clarce
	Multiple Linear	Freeway and Art.
*	neters and Coefficients	al Predicted Situations
	8. Paral	Jours.

Table 8.	Parameters and Coefficients	Multiple Linear Regression Selected Pre	redict
	Special Predicted Situation	Freeway and Arterial Classes (FA)	

		2, 1	5 4 4 5 1	Param	eters		+ 5 + + 5 5 + + 7 7	COC	efficients	
×	×		R <sup>2</sup>	$\Delta R^2$	ы v	С. V.	0 m	<sup>B</sup> 1	<sup>B</sup> 2	g 3
		4	0.9119	1	1007	0.183	1299	1.035		ł
DV	DР	S	0.9316	0.0197	888	0.162	898	0.918	1.257	1
		13	0.9705	0.0389	<b>5</b> 83	0.106	458	0.845	1.113	1.603
		4	0.9257	î I	243	0.191	157	0.274	1	11
ЧP	DP	ŝ	0.9438	0.0181	211	0.166	56	0.245	0.316	1
		13	0.9538	0.0100	192	0.151	- 2	0.235	0.297	0.214
		4	0.9084	1	187	0.212	118	0.118	1	
HΛ	ЧD	S	0.9206	0.0122	174	0.197	61	0.171	0.180	1
		13	0.9288	0.0082	165	0.187	24	0,165	0.168	0.134
		10	0.9384	1	153	0.173	168	0.803	ł	1
ΗV	HР	11	0.9557	0.0172	130	0.147	93	0.793	0.864	1
		15	0.9687	0.0130	110	0.125	63	0.753	0.809	<b>1.</b> 342
		Ч	0.9314	-	162	0.184	94	0.252	1	1
ΛH	DΛ	2	0.9486	0.0172	140	0.159	24	0.228	0.240	1
		13	0.9545	0.0059	132	0.150	9	0.221	0.228	0.114

<sup>\*</sup> See page 105 for abbreviation key.

is desirable, but standard errors are more readily translatable into effects, i.e., design errors.

The regression coefficients shown in Tables 5 through 8 are related to the proportion that the several purpose groups are of total travel. The regression equation constants are indicative of the travel attributable to purposes not accounted for by the variables in the equation. The current research proposes no more than that these coefficients may be generally applicable. Their validity must be further tested to verify this premise.

The regressions involving dependent and independent variables of different mode-time groups are summarized in Tables Al2 to Al5 of Appendix A. The precision exhibited was not as good as in the homogeneous cases. The differences were slight in all cases, however, and probably easily rationalized in light of survey cost savings. The general trends of all parameters are similar to those observed in the homogeneous cases. Some discrepancies which are unexplainable may probably be best attributed to anomalies of the data or analysis. The important fact is that the generally excellent predictivity is exhibited in these situations which imply more feasible survey procedures.

The implications of these results are important to the selection of survey procedures. Based on the increase in  $R^2$  and the decrease in standard error of estimate and coefficient of variation, the order of survey complexity follows directly.

The same progression follows for all mode and time groups. A home-based work, vehicle trip survey requires employer records of those employees driving to work. A home-based work person trip survey requires tabulation of all employee records and a tabulation regarding mode. An all work trip (home- and non-home-based) survey requires interview of drivers or all employees, depending on the mode of interest. Extension to include shopping trips requires, in addition, a license plate survey at shopping districts. Decisions on the form of any revised survey procedure are best made according to costs and feasibility. This research has provided the study director with alternative procedures for replacing the costly homeinterview survey. It must be his decision, in light of the community conditions, to select a feasible alternative which will provide valid travel patterns at the least possible cost.

## CHAPTER VII. APPLICATION OF THE METHOD

The techniques discussed in the preceding chapters were proposed to eliminate the need for a home-interview survey to establish the patterns of urban travel. It is the purpose of this chapter to suggest how the techniques proposed from the results of Chapter VI would be implemented in the urban transportation planning process. It is important to carry the results of the revised survey procedure through the stages of the planning process in order to demonstrate the revisions which must be made therein to account for the different data.

The geographical organization can proceed in a normal manner, defining the study area, zones and the transportation networks. The land use survey required would be limited for all classes except residential to defining the location, size of plot and extent of development. Since work is the only purpose group to be surveyed and employment is the generation parameter for work trips, there is no need to collect conventional land use data. Employment will be obtained at the time of the workplace contact. The economic base and population studies would be executed as usual. It is possible that further refinements of the proposed approach might require more detail from these socio-economic surveys. The truck-taxi surveys would be executed in typical fashion. The external survey would be performed as usual but would eliminate all internal terminating trips for non-work purposes.

The major revisions concern the home-interview survey. If an all-purpose, peak hour analysis has been selected, the only revision will be elimination from the home-interview data of all but peak hour (as defined by the user) trips. Use of a work trip oriented approach would imply complete elimination of the home-interview. Further decisions on procedure rest on the extent of analysis desired. A home-based work oriented analysis requires only examination of employer records. The basic information to be obtained is the address of the employee's residence. Additional information regarding salary and family characteristics might also be obtained to refine the analysis and do special studies, providing necessary permission can be obtained. If the analysis is to consider trips during the entire day, all employees would be tabulated. A peak hour orientation would require definition of the shift or reporting (or leaving) time of the workers, selecting only those which would necessarily be traveling during the peak hour chosen. Use of vehicle trips only, or a need to determine mode for all travelers would employ a procedure such as having employees place their time cards in different bins, according to their travel mode. An alternative approach would involve mode tabulation for each worker by either supervisors or survey personnel.

Decision to include all work trips in the analysis would require a more involved survey. In essence, each worker would have to be interviewed in some manner. The interviews could be conducted one at a time by either supervisors or study staff personnel. Alternatively the workers could be surveyed by use of a questionnaire. Direction in completion of the questionnaire could be given either locally by monitors or to the entire work force simultaneously by means of a public address system. The basic data sought would be the address of the origin and destination of all trips made to or from the employment place. A "contact" survey could also obtain such information as mode, time, and routing of the work trip as well as attitudes regarding work travel. Use of a questionnaire is less satisfactory than an interview, but it is also less expensive and time consuming (Wohl, et al., 1959). The balance in difficulty and cost would have to be weighed against utility. Further extension of the proposed procedures to include shopping travel would involve tabulation of arrivals at major (defined by the analyst) shopping areas. Use of only home-based shopping trips by vehicles would merely require tabulation of license plates. This could include the usual parking study as an adjunct. Including all home-based shopping person trips would require tabulation of the number of persons arriving in each vehicle. Shopping trips by transit would be prohibitively difficult to survey.

A comparison of costs to obtain data would be of value at this point. Table 9 indicates the relative cost in hours of three approaches being discussed. The figures are based on a coding procedure developed at Purdue that would be an appropriate approach to handling employee records only (Zimmerman, 1967). The rate for employment place interviews was estimated at 20 per hour. One last note would be in regard to another alternative to the above proposed technique. Use of a city directory could provide similar information at a further cost reduction (Udy, 1962).

Depending on the survey procedure and approach selected, expansion of the survey results might be necessary in order to obtain true traffic volumes. The expansion could be made according to typical figures which have been shown to be quite stable and reliable (see Lapin, Chapter II). Alternatively the expansion could be based on figures from microsample home-interviews or questionnaires sent home with workers interviewed in the principal survey. The regression coefficients developed in the previous analysis may provide at least a good first approximation to expansion factors. The results of the survey or the expansion, as applicable, would be assigned to the street network in the same manner as usual, followed by the appropriate capacity restraint iterations. Development of the distribution model would use work and residential trip ends developed from the survey in the same manner as usually employed. The model results would be expanded by the traffic volume factors discussed previously

	Lafayette	Indianapolis
Coding Employee Records (60 per hour)	353	5,627
Employee Interviews (20 per hour)	1,059	16,880
Home-Interview (5 percent sample) (1,842 hours each)*	1,641	20,598

Table 9. Relative Cost in Hours of Three Alternative Survey Procedures.

\*Based on Data from Austin, Texas, Transportation Study, Published by the Texas Highway Department. in order to perform screenline and cordon checks where appropriate.

Development of the future travel potential would, of course, be based on the economic base and population studies. These would be combined with the existing land use information to determine the future pattern of employment generating activities. After allocating employment and residential activities, the work trips would be distributed as before. The traffic expansion factors, modified to account for changes over time, are then used to obtain total volumes. These are assigned to the committed network and the assignments are adjusted as necessary by capacity restraint. The deficiencies are then taken into consideration in developing the new facilities of the target year transportation system.
## CHAPTER VIII. CONCLUSIONS

From the previous analysis, several conclusions can be drawn regarding the proposed hypotheses and related relation-ships:

- The variance analysis proved to be of considerable value in development of the regression relationships.
- The travel patterns of home-based work trips represent an excellent approximation to the travel patterns of trips of all purposes for peak hour or total daily travel.
- Use of all work trips improves the above relationship slightly.
- Use of shopping trips in addition to work trips further improves the representivity slightly.
- 5. The relationships discussed above were appropriate for travel by all highway oriented modes. Use of highway person trips showed marginally better results than auto driver trips.
- 6. The improved results observed in moving from statement 2 to statement 5 are due to the increased information obtained from the survey. The marginal improvements must be weighed against the increased cost due to the more extensive survey procedure.

- Travel for all purposes during a peak hour showed excellent results in representing travel for all purposes during the entire day.
- Total day travel for the work purpose was a good representative of the peak hour travel by all purposes.
- Typical results of the regression analyses performed on IRTADS data are shown below.
  - a. Predicting all purpose travel using all work and home-based shopping trips, for peak hour vehicle travel on the entire highway system:

 $R^2 = 0.9911$  S.E. = 45 C.V. = 0.099

E. Predicting all purpose travel using all work and home-based shopping trips, for the total day vehicle travel on the entire highway system:

$$R^2 = 0.9875$$
 S.E. = 304 C.V. = 0.106

c. Predicting all purpose peak hour vehicle travel using all work and home-based shopping person trips for the total day on the entire highway system:

 $R^2 = 0.942^3$  S.E. = 114 C.V. = 0.250

d. Predicting total day vehicle travel for all purposes using total day person trips for all work and home-based shopping purposes, on the freeway and arterial classes:

 $R^2 = 0.9705$  S.E. = 583 C.V. = 0.106

10. The data used in these analyses were samples from all work trips. The proposal survey procedures would include only trips to major employment concentrations. CHAPTER IX. RECOMMENDED EXTENSIONS AND RESEARCH

Based on the preceding analyses and conclusions, the following further study is recommended:

- The regression coefficients of the prediction equations established for the IRTADS data should be tested on data from other urban areas. If no significant differences are found, the survey expansion procedure would be greatly simplified.
- The representivity of the work trip for all purpose travel should be examined in different size urban areas in order to define the effect of size on representivity.
- 3. The surveys proposed in this research should be executed simultaneously with a traditional travel survey in order to define the relative strengths of each approach.
- 4. Further investigations should be made of the effect of characteristics of the place and type of employment on the observed relationships in order to improve the estimates of work trip generation. This seems appropriate because of the importance that work travel will assume in prediction of total urban travel.

- 5. Study should be made of the effect the number of the employees in each work place has on the observed relationship in order to better define the extent of survey required.
- 6. More detailed investigation should be made of the implications of various types of industrial and residential land use locations since this will become highly important in planning based on the procedure proposed here.
- 7. A study should be made of the effect on prediction of total travel by using only those trips to major employment concentrations. These effects should be established for urban areas of varying size.

LIST OF REFERENCES

## LIST OF REFERENCES

- Adams and Mackesey, 1955; Adams, L. P., and Mackesey, T. W., <u>Commuting Patterns of Industrial Workers</u>, Cornell University Housing Research Center, 1955.
- Bartlett, 1937; Bartlett, M. S., "Some Examples of Statistical Methods of Research in Agriculture and Applied Biology," Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Volume 4, 1937.
- Beyer, 1951; Beyer, G. H., <u>Housing and the Journey to Work</u>, Cornell University Agricultural Experiment Station, 1951.
- Bouchard and Pyers, 1965; Bouchard, R. J., and Pyers, C. E., "Use of Gravity Model for Describing Urban Travel," Record 88, Highway Research Board, 1965.
- Box, 1954; Box, G. E. P., "Some Theorems on Quadratic Forms Applied in the Study of Analysis of Variance Problems," <u>Annals of Mathematical Statistics</u>, June, 1954.
- Bureau of Public Roads, 1964; Bureau of Public Roads, U. S. Department of Commerce, <u>Traffic Assignment</u> <u>Manual</u>, Washington, D. C., U. S. Government Printing Office, 1964.
- Bureau of Public Roads, 1965; Bureau of Public Roads, U. S. Department of Commerce, <u>Calibrating and Testing</u> <u>A Gravity Model for Any Size Urban Area</u>, Washington, D. C., U. S. Government Printing Office, 1965.
- Bureau of Public Roads, 1966a; Bureau of Public Roads, U. S. Department of Transportation, Preamble to a generally issued set of Research Problem Statements.
- Bureau of Public Roads, 1966b; Bureau of Public Roads, U. S. Department of Transportation, Personal communication regarding census questions.
- Campbell, 1960; Campbell, E. W., discussion in "Critique of Home-Interview Type O-D Surveys in Urban Areas," Bulletin 253, Highway Research Board, 1960.

- Carroll, 1950; Carroll, J. D., Jr., <u>Home-Work Relation-</u> <u>ships of Industrial Workers</u>, Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, Harvard University, 1950.
- Carroll, 1952; Carroll, J. D., Jr., "The Relations of Homes to Work Places and the Spatial Pattern of Cities," <u>Social Forces</u>, Volume XXX, March, 1952.
- Cherniak, 1960; Cherniak, H. H., "Critique of Home-Interview Type O-D Surveys in Urban Area," Bulletin 253, Highway Research Board, 1960.
- Curran and Stegmaier, 1958; Curran, F. B., and Stegmaier, J. T., "Travel Patterns in 50 Cities," Bulletin 203, Highway Research Board, 1958.
- Gerlough, 1955; Gerlough, D. L., <u>Poisson and Traffic</u>, Saugatuck, Connecticut, The Eno Foundation for Highway Traffic Control, 1955.
- Glass, 1956; Glass, R., "London on the Move," London Times, June 18-19, 1956.
- Hill and Dodd, 1966, Hill, D. M., and Dodd, N., "Studies of Trends and Travel Between 1954 and 1964 in a Large Metropolitan Area," Record 141, Highway Research Board, 1966.
- Hixon, 1961; Hixon, C. D., "An Analysis of Urban Travel Times and Traffic Volume Characteristics," Dulletin 303, Highway Research Board, 1961.
- Hoover and Vernon, 1962; Hoover, E. M., and Vernon, R., <u>Anatomy of a Metropolis</u>, Garden City, New York, Doubleday and Company, 1962.
- Knox, 1962; Knox, N. H., "A Simplified Traffic Model for Small Cities," <u>Traffic Quarterly</u>, Volume 16, July, 1962.
- Lapin, 1964; Lapin, H. S., <u>Structuring the Journey to Work</u>, Philadelphia, University of Pennsylvania Press, 1964.
- Liepmann, 1944; Liepmann, K. K., <u>The Journey to Work, Its</u> <u>Significance for Industrial and Community Life</u>, New York, Oxford University Press, 1944.
- Loewenstein, 1965; Loewenstein, L. K., <u>Residences and Work</u> <u>Places in Urban Areas</u>, New York, The Scarecrow Press, Inc., 1965.
- London Transit System, 1949; London Travel Survey 1949, London Transport Executive, 1950.

- Lynch, 1960; Lynch, J. T., discussion in "Critique of Home-Interview Type O-D Surveys in Urban Areas," Bulletin 253, Highway Research Board, 1960.
- Mitchell and Rapkin, 1954; Mitchell, R. B., and Rapkin, C., <u>Urban Traffic, A Function of Land Use</u>, New York, Columbia University Press; 1954.
- Moore, 1957; Moore, E. F., "The Shortest Path Through a Maze," Proceedings, International Symposium on the Theory of Switching; Harvard University, 1957.
- Osofsky, 1959; Osofsky, S., "The Multiple Regression Method of Forecasting Traffic Volumes," <u>Traffic Quarterly</u>, Volume 13, July, 1959.
- Ostle, 1963; Ostle, B., <u>Statistics in Research</u>, Ames, Iowa, The Iowa State University Press, 1963.
- Parkes, 1941; Parkes, C. B., Bourneville Village Trust, When We Build Again, London, George Allen and University, Ltd., 1941.
- Shuldiner and Oi, 1962; Shuldiner, P. W., and Oi, W. Y., An Analysis of Urban Travel Demands, Evanston, Illinois, Northwestern University Press, 1962.
- Smith, 1961; Wilbur Smith and Associates, Future Highways and Urban Growth, commissioned by the Automobile Manufacturers Association, New Haven, Connecticut, 1961.
- Spiegelman and Duke, 1963; Spiegelman, R. G., and Duke, K. E., "Projecting Travel Demand for Urban Transportation Studies," Traffic Quarterly, Volume 17, July, 1963.
- Stewart, 1948; Stewart, J. Q., "Demographic Gravitation: Evidence and Application," <u>Sociometry</u>, Volume XI, February, 1948.
- Sullivan, 1963; Sullivan, S. W., "Variations in Personal Travel Habits by Day of Week," Record 41, Highway Research Board, 1963.
- Turner, 1967; Turner, F. C., Speech to Western Association of State Highway Officials; Honolulu, Hawaii, July 11, 1967.
- Udy, 1962; Udy, S. H., Jr., "Occupation, Commuting, and Limited Access Highway Use," Bulletin 347, Highway Research Board, 1962.
- Ullman, 1956; Ullman, E. L., "The Role of Transportation and the Bases for Interaction," in <u>Man's Role in Changing the Face</u> of the Earth, Chicago, University of Chicago Press, 1956.

APPENDIX A

- U. S. Congress, 1939; Toll Roads and Free Roads; House Document No. 272; 76th Congress; 1939.
- U. S. Congress, 1944; Federal Aid Highwav Act of 1944; Public Law 78-840; 78th Congress, Second Session, 1944.
- U. S. Congress, 1952; Federal Aid Highway Act of 1952; Public Law 82-413, 82nd Congress, Second Session, 1952.
- U. S. Congress, 1956; Federal Aid Highway Act of 1956; Public Law 84-627; 84th Congress; Second Session; 1956.
- U. S. Congress, 1962; Federal Aid Highway Act of 1962; Public Law 87-866, 87th Congress, Second Session; 1962.
- U. C. L. A., 1966a; University of California at Los Angeles, Health Sciences Computing Facility, Computer Program "BMD-2V, Analysis of Variance for a Factorial Design," March 10, 1966.
- U. C. L. A. 1966b; University of California at Los Angeles, Health Sciences Computing Facility, Computer Program, "BMD-2R, Stepwise Regression," May 2, 1966.
- Voorhees, 1955; Voorhees, A. M., "A General Theory of Traffic Movement," Proceedings, Institute of Traffic Engineers, 1955.
- Voorhees, 1958; Voorhees, A. M., "Forecasting Peak Hours of Travel," Bulletin 203, Highway Research Board, 1958.
- Voorhees, et. al., 1966; Voorhees, A. M., Bellomo, S. J., Schofer, J. L., and Cleveland, D. E., "Factors in Work Trip Lengths;" Record 141, Highway Research Board, 1966.
- Westergaard, 1957; Westergaard, J., "Journeys to Work in The London Region," <u>The Town Planning Review</u>, April, 1957.
- Whitmore, 1965; Whitmore, R. E., <u>Graphical and Mathematical Investigation of the Differences in Traveltime Factors for the Gravity Model Trip Distribution Formula in Several Specific Urban Areas, Knoxville, Civil Engineering Department, University of Tennessee, 1965.</u>
- Williams and Robertson, 1965; Williams, T. E. H., and Robertson, D. M., "Traffic Generated by Households; Peak Period," Traffic Engineering and Control, Volume 6, March, 1965.

- Wohl, et. al., 1959; Wohl, M., Bone, A. J., Rose, B., "Traffic Characteristics of Massachusetts Route 128," Bulletin 230, Highway Research Board, 1959.
- Wynn, 1959; Wynn, F. H., "Studies of Trip Generation in the Nation's Capital, 1956-58," Bulletin 230, Highway Research Board, 1959.
- Zimmerman, 1967; Zimmerman, M. A., "The Journey to Work," a paper submitted for course CE612 Urban Land Use Planning, at Purdue University, May, 1967.

## APPENDIX A

## Abbreviation Key

Dependent Variables (Y)

- DV Total Day Vehicle Trips
- DP Total Day Person Trips
- HV Peak Hour Vehicle Trips
- HP Peak Hour Person Trips

Independent Variables (X)

l	Home-Based Work, Vehicle, Total Day
2	Non-Home-Based Work, Vehicle, Total Day
4	Home-Based Work, Person, Total Day
5	Non-Home-Based Work, Person, Total Day
7	Home-Based Work, Vehicle, Peak Hour
8	Non-Home-Based Work, Vehicle, Peak Hour
10	Home-Based Work, Person, Peak Hour
11	Non-Home Based Work, Person, Peak Hour
13	Home-Based Shop, Vehicle, Total Day
14	Home-Based Shop, Person, Total Day
15	Home-Based Shop, Vehicle, Peak Hour
16	Home-Based Shop, Person, Peak Hour

F Freeways

- A Arterials
- C Collectors

- FAC Combined Freeways, Arterials and Collectors
- T4 Freeways, Arterials and Collectors with volume greater than 4000
- T3 Freeways, Arterials and Collectors with volume greater than 3000
- T2 Freeways, Arterials and Collectors with volume greater than 2000
- Tl Freeways, Arterials and Collectors with volume greater than 1000
- H Home
- N Non
- B Based
- W Work
- S Shop
- PAS Passenger
- OTH Other
- D Total Day
- H Peak Hour
- V Vehicle
- P Highway Person
- R<sup>2</sup> Explained variation
- $\Delta R^2$  Increase in Explained variation
- S.E. Standard Error of the estimate
- C.V. Coefficient of variation
- B<sub>0</sub> Regression Equation Constant
- B, Regression Coefficient of First Variable
- B<sub>2</sub> Regression Coefficient of Second Variable
- E. Regression Coefficient of Third Variable

Factor	Degrees of Freedom	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio
M T P MT MP TP MTP Error	2 23 5 46 10 115 230 1,296	390,696.9 492,524.0 467,009.9 227,956.2 585,531.9 1,057,252.5 752,835.5 28,157.0	195,348,4 21,414.1 93,402.0 4,955.6 58,553.2 9,193.5 2,273.2 21.7	* * * * *
Total	1,727	4,001,963.9		

Table Al. Analysis of Variance for Travel Volume.

F ratios are not shown because of the obvious significance of every factor and interaction.

\*

Factor	Degrees of Freedom	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio
м	2	4 388 9	2 194 4	+
T. T	23	15 708 4	683 0	*
P	5	10.766.2	2.153.2	*
MT	46	5,261.0	114.4	*
MP	10	1,731,7	173.2	*
TP	115	9,045,9	78.7	*
MTP	2 30	10,649,1	46.3	*
Error	1,296	29,177.6	22.5	-
Total	1,727	86,728.9		

Table A2. Analysis of Variance for Average Trip Length.

\* ratios are not shown because of the obvious significance of every factor and interaction.

	Freeways	Arterials	Collectors
	1		
***	0.0045	0.0571	0.0475#
HBW-V-D	0.0845	0.0571	0.04/5*
NHBW-V-D	0.1185*	0.0296	0.0772*
OTHER-V-D	0.0448	0.0371	0.0641*
HBW-P-D	0.1024	0.0621	0.0490*
NHBW-P-D	0.0688	0.0911*	0.4398*
OTHER-P-D	0.0313	0.0443	0.0420*
HBW-V-H	0.0974	0.0538	0.0812*
NHBW-V-H	0.0961	0.0624	0.1770*
OTHER-V-H	0.0670	0.0336	0.1016*
HBW-P-H	0,1061	0.0546	
NHBW-P-H	0.1953*	0,2012*	ma une
OTHER-P-H	0.0348	0.0458	
SAMPLE	218	529	1793

Table A3. Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test for Normality Maximum Differences for MOD-2 L.R.I.\*\*

\* Significant at  $\alpha = 0.01$ .

\*\* Tests made on square root transformed data.

\*\*\*

See page 105 for abbreviation key.

**	
	н.
	ц
	ц
	5
	MOD-
	for
	Ch1-Square
	Homogeneity
	Variance
	for
	Test
	ິ
	Bartlett
	A4
	Q
	с п
	E

		Freeway *	Arterial*	Degrees of Freedom
Between All Cells		739.98	245.91	11
	рау	129.69	69.17	2
Between Cells Within Time	Peak	112.58	41.67	Û
	HIBW	14.69	5.21	m
Between Cells Within Purpose	MEHN	75.25	21.52	m
	OTHER	47.15	6.43	m

All values were significant at  $\alpha = 0.01$ .

\*

\*\* Tests made on square root transformed data.

Table A5.	Signific diction	cance Order of Total I	r and Relat Day Vehicle	ed Paramet Prips (DV	ers <sup>*</sup> Multip ) All Stree	ole Linear t Classes	Regressio and Comb1	n Pre- nations.
٤ų	A	υ	L A	Τ4	ŝ	T 2	T1	FAC
		Λ	iriables En	tered in C	rder (X)			
		<del>г</del> (		r  ( r			ЧÇ	r-1 ( r
5	14 7	7 6	7 T	r C	T T	T C	T T	- C
14	1	14	4	4	4	4	4	1 t
1 1 1 1	     	Cumulativ		on Variati	on Explaine		1 1 1	1 1 3 1
0.9730	0.8613	0.8108	0.9266	0.9168	0.9105	0.9116	0.9205	0.9307
0.9943	0.9727	0.9631	0.00 0.00 0.00	0.9840	0.9820	0.9821	0.9843	0,9875
0.9945	0.9769	0.9639	0.9874	0.9846	0.9826	0.9827	0.9849	.09880
	1 1 1 1	Cumulati	ve Standar	d Error of	Estimate (		1 7 7 7	1 1 1
799	951	656	919	900	866	824	769	717
537	645	437	627	625	626 200	605 271	565	516
363	397	247	301	) (C) ) (C) ) (C)	382	365	336 336	299
1 1 1	1 1 1	Cumulat	ive Coeffi	cient of V			1	1 1 1
0.137 0.092	0.178	0.317	0.167	0.169	0.175	0.186	0.208	0.249
0.063	0.079	0.140	0.071	0.074	0.079	0.084	0.092	0.106
0.062	0.074	0.138	0.069	0.073	0.077	0.082	0.091	0.104
* See page	105 for	abbreviati	lon key.					

Table A6.	Signific	cance Order of Total D	and Relat	ed Paramet Trips (DP)	ers <sup>*</sup> Multi <sub>l</sub> All Street	ole Linear c Classes	Regressio and Combin	n Pre- ations.
ſ۲ı	Ą	υ	FA	Τ4	Д 3	Т2	Тl	FAC
		Na	riables En	tered in O	rder (X)			
4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
13	14	- M	14	14	14	14	14	14
5	ŝ	IJ	ı۲	IJ	ц	S	IJ	IJ
J	Ч	10	-1	Ч	r-1	13	13	13
1 1 1	, 1 1 1	Cumulativ	e Proport1		on Explaine			1 1 1
00010			0,000,0	LC00 C		000	9700 0	0000
0T0A0 U	01000	0. / JOO D 2674	0.90040	0.95.29	0.9460	0.0449 0.9449	0.9495	0.9570
0.9912	0.9600	0.038	0.9789	0.9745	0.9713	0.9708	0.9733	0.9781
0.9916	0.9636	0.9396	0.9804	0.9758	0.9725	0.9721	0.9746	0.9793
1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	on ou bos ou em	and and the second	1 1 1	1	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1
		Cumulati	ve Standar	d Error of	Estimate	(S.E.)		
1370	1525	947	1492	1455	1403	1340	1262	1188
839	994	669	960	954	951	925	874	810
658	713	475	702	711	699	674	635	578
647	681	472	677	693	684	659	608	563
1 1 1 1	- - - - -						1	   
		Cumu 1 = t	IVE COETTI	clent of V	eriation (	(•/•)		
0.160	0.197	0.353	0.187	0.138	0.194	0.206	0.232	0.280
0.098	0.128	0.261	0.120	0.123	0.132	0.142	0.160	0.191
0.077	0.092	0.177	0.033	0.093	0.097	0.104	0.117	0.136
0.075	0.038	0.176	0.045	0.090	0.095	0.102	0.112	0.133
* See page	105 for	abbreviati	on key.					

Table A7.	Signific diction	cance Order of Peak Mo	r and Relat our Vehicle	ed Paramet Trips (HV	ers Multi ) All Stre	ple Linear et Classes	Regressic and Combi	n Pre- nations.
Гц	Ą	υ	FA	Ē- 4	ел	Т2	T E-	FAC
		$\Delta_{\pi}$	sriables En	tered in C	rder (X)			
L L	Γ α	Γ-α	Γ α	Γ- α	Γ α	Γ α	Γ α	Γ α
4 10 m	വ വ പ	ы Ч Ч Ч	он 11	р С С Г Г Г	) 10 11 11	 	0 H H H	900 11 11 10 10
1 1 1 7	)     	Cumulativ	/e_Proporti		on Explain	ed (R <sup>2</sup> )		1 1 1
0.9869 0.9929	0.9157 0.9625	0.8546 0.9374	0.9561 0.9799	0.9493 0.9764	0.9434 0.9738	0.9412 0.9724	0.9450	0.9510
0.9960 0.9961	0.9845 0.9850	0.9744 0.9757	0.9911 0.9914	0.9895 0.9899	0, 9880 0, 0880 0, 0880	0,9882 0,9884	0,9893 0,9893 0,9895	0.9911 0.9913
1 1 1	1 1 1	Cumulati	ive Standar	d Error of	Estimate	(S.E.)	1 1 1	     
101 75	137 92	97 57	1 2 2 0 0 0	128 37	126 84	121 83	11477	105 69
50	0 0 0 0	37 26	01 01 01 01 01 01	53	57 56	54 54	20 20	45 44
1 1 1 1	3	Cumulat	ive Coeffi	cient of 7	ariation (	C.V.)	1	5 1 1 1 1
0.110 0.082	0.158 0.106	0.314 0.206	0.146 0.100	0.150 0.102	0.159 0.106	0.170 0.117	0.194 0.131	0.231 0.152
0.060	0.068	0.134 0.130	0.066	0.068	0.072	0.076	0,085	0.097
* 400000 40000	105 for	abbrevtat	ion key					

Table A8.	Signific	ance Order of Peak Ho	and Relat ur Person	ed Paramet Trips (HP)	ers <sup>*</sup> Multi All Stree	iple Linear st Classes	Regressio and Combin	n Pre- ations.
Ŀ	A	υ	FA	Τ4	M E⊣	Τ2	11	FAC
		EV	riables En	tered in O	rder (X)			
10	10	10	11	10	110	11	10	11
16 7	15 13	15	15 16	15 16	15 16	15 13	15 13	13
     	1 1 1 3	Cumul tiv	e Proporti	on Variati	on Explair		1 1 1 1	1 1 1
0.9859	0.9013	0.8305	0.9519	0.9444	0.9373	0.9344	0.9379	0.9442
0.9925 0.9955	0.9448	0.9149 0.9610	0.9737 0.9¤€9	0.9693 0.9947	0.9664 0.9836	0.9644 0.9832	0.9671 0.9843	0.9719 0.9866
0,9960	0.9761	0.9644	0.9875	0.9853	0.9841	0,9838	0.9850	0.9873
1 1 1 1	1	Cumulati	ve Standar	d Error of	Estimate	(S.E.)	1 	     
154	207	136	195	194	190	184	174	161
L L 2 87	105 105	96 01	145 102	144 102	139 197	136 94	87 87	114 79
82	102	62	100	100	96	92	85	27
1 2 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	     	Cumulat	ive Coeffi	clent of V	riation (	(c.v.)	F F F F F	1 1 1 2
0.116	0.166	0.334	0.154	0.158	0.166	0.180	0.204	0.244
0.084	0.125	0.236	0.114	0.117	0.121	0.133	0.148	0.173
0.062	0.082	0.152 0.152	0.030	0.083	0.085 0.084	0.090	0.100	0,117
* See page	105 for	abbreviati	on key.					

Table	.6A	Proportion c Predictors N	of Explained Var Jormal Predictio	istion $(\mathbb{R}^2)$ M n Situations	ultiple Linear All Street Clas	Regression Se ses and Combit	elected lations.
		Home-Based	l Work	Non-Home-Bas	ed Work	Home-Based	Shop
		പ	Λ	۵.	Λ	Q	>
٤ų	AI	0.9616 0.9859	0.986.0	0.9702 0.9925	0.9816 0.9926	0.9912 0.9949	0.9943 0.9959
Å	AH	0.8160 0.9013	0.8613 0.9157	0.8692 0.9448	0.9104 0.9625	0.9602 0.9845	0.9759 0.9845
U	ΩΞ	0.7566 0.8305	0.9109 0.8546	0.8229 0.9149	0.9374 0.9374	0.9388 0.9610	0.9631 0.9744
FA	D H	0.9043 0.9519	0.9266 0.9561	0.9305 0.9737	0.9524 0.9799	0.9793 0.9869	0.9868 0.9911
ТĄ	ДH	0.8927 0.9444	0.9168 0.9493	0.9191 0.9693	0.9443 0.9764	0.9749 0.9847	0.9340 0.9895
м Е-	ΩΞ	0.8840 0.9373	0.9105 0.9434	0.9107 0.9664	0.9393 0.9738	0.9721 0.9836	0.9820 0.9885
17	QН	0.8844 0.9344	0.9116 0.9412	0.9111 0.9644	0.9407 0.9724	0.9718 0.9832	0.9821 0.9882
ТŢ	ΩН	0.8946 0.9379	0.9205 0.9450	0.9206 0.9671	0.9483 0.9750	0.9744 0.9843	0.9843 0.9893
FAC	ΩЩ	0.9076 0.9442	0.9307 0.9510	0.9337 0.9719	0.9573 0.9791	0.9792 0.9866	0.9875 0.9911

115

for abbreviation key.

\* See page 105

Tant		dictors	Normal	Prediction S	Situations Al	l Street Classes	and Combinat	eu rre- ions.
		Home -	-Dased Wo	отк	Non-Home-Bas	ed Work	Home-Based	Shop
		Д,		N	ď	Λ	പ	Λ
٤ų	АЩ	1370 190		799 105	1210 112	660 76	658 93	368 57
A	ΠH	1525 208		951 137	1287 155	765 92	711 105	397 59
U	DH	947 136		565 87	808 96	437 57	475 65	250 37
FA	ΑH	1492 195		919 129	1273 145	741 88	695 102	391 58
Т.4	ΩĦ	1455 194		900 128	1264 144	7 37 87	704 102	395 58
ю Ш	ДЩ	1403 190		866 126	1232 139	713 85	689 97	389 57
N N	ЦЦ	1340 184		824 121	1175 126	675 83	663 93	371 54
T.	ΩIJ	1262 174		769 114	1096 126	620 77	623 87	341 50
FAC	ЦН	1188 161		717 105	1007 114	563 69	565 79	304 45
* See	page	105 for	abbrev	iation key.				

£ C 4 Min þ U £ η č C r ( [ dell

Table	All.	Coeffic dictors	cient of Variati s Normal Predict	on (C.V.) Mult ion Situations	ciple Linear Reg s All Street Cla	ression <sup>°</sup> Selec sses and Combi	ted Pre- nations.
		Home-	-Jased Work	Non-Home-	-Based Work	Home-Bas	ed Shop
		Д,	Λ	d	V	<u>с</u> ,	Δ
ſĽı	ΩH	0.160 0.136	0.137 0.114	0.141 0.084	0.113 0.083	0.077 0.070	0.063 0.062
Å	ΩĦ	0.197 0.167	0.178 0.158	0.166 0.125	0.143 0.106	0.092 0.084	0.074 0.068
U	ΩH	0.353 0.334	0.317 0.314	0.301 0.236	0.245 0.206	0.177 0.160	0.140 0.134
FA	ΩH	0.187 0.154	0.167 0.146	0.159 0.114	0.135 0.100	0.087 0.080	0.071 0.066
Τ4	ΩH	0.188 0.158	0.169 0.168	0.164 0.117	0.139 0.102	0.091 0.083	0.074 0.068
en El	ΩH	0.194 0.166	0.175 0.159	0.171 0.121	0.144 0.107	0.096 0 <b>.085</b>	0.079 0.072
Τ2	ЦЦ	0.206	0.186 0.170	0.181 0.1°3	0.152 0.117	0.102 0.091	0.084 0.076
τı	ΩH	0.232 0.204	0.208 0.194	0.201 0.148	0.168 0.131	0.114 0.102	0.092 0.085
FAC	ΩH	0.280 0.244	0.249 0.231	0.237 0.173	0.196 0.152	0.133 0.120	0.106 0.099
* See	pa ge	105 for	r abbreviation k	ey.			

.* n Predic-	Based on	
Regressio	'rips (HP)	vinations.
le Linear	r Person T	s and Comb
2) Multip	Peak Hou	et Classe
olained (R	rrips (DV)	) All Stre
iation Ex	Veliicle	Trips (DP
on of Var	Total Day	v Person
Proporti	tion of	Total Da
Table Al2.		

Table Al2.	Proportion tion of To Total Day	ı of Variatio otal Day Vehi Person Trips	n Explained cle Trips (D (DF) All St	(R <sup>2</sup> ) Multiple Line V) Peak Hour Perso reet Classes and C	ar Regressi n Trips (HE ombinations	lon Predic- >) Based on \$.
	Home-Bas	sed Work	Non-Home-	Pased Work	Home-Bas	sed Shop
	DV	ЧР	DV	НР	DV	НР
ſĿı	0,9665	0.9691	0.9733	0.9752	0, 9977	0.9772
A	0.8316	р. 962я	0,9697	0.8968	0.9458	0.9211
U	0.7952	0.8000	0.g542	0.9518	0.9442	0.8366
FA	0.9119	0.9257	0.9116	0.9438	0.9705	0.9538
ТĄ	0.9025	0.9147	0,9218	0.9352	0.9663	0.9463
Т 3	0.8974	0.9044	0.9169	0.9273	0.9643	0.9409
Τ2	0,8988	0.9056	0.9190	0.9277	0.9656	0.9415
T L	0.9077	0.9157	0.9287	0.9352	0.9700	0.9475
FAC	0.8761	0.9287	0.9040	0.9470	0.9377	0.9577

\* See page 105 for attreviation key.

of	Day		
ediction	n Total 1		
ssion <sup>*</sup> Pr	) Based o		
ar Regres	rips (HP)	ations.	
iple Line	Person T	d Combin	
E.) Mult:	eak Hour	lasses at	
mate (s.	s (DV) P	street C	
r of Est:	icle arth	IIV (AC)	
ard Erro	l Day Veh	on Trips	
3. Stand	Tota.	Pers.	
e Al			
ldbl			

			2 4 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2			
	Home-3as	sed Work	Non-Home-	-Zased Work	Home-Based	gods
	DV	ΗP	DV	НР	DV	НР
Гц	88	227	796	203	540	196
A	1043	245	923	212	596	186
U	588		4.96	127	LOE	111
FA	1007	24 2	888	211	5.3.3	192
Τ4	974	240	S72	209	573	190
~ H	527	500	4× 8	205	547	185
12	331	221	739	193	514	174
л I	829	202	7 30	177	472	160
FAC	960	132	845	157	631	140

 $\star$  See page 105 for abbreviation key.

Prediction of	ised on Total Day		
e Linear Regression	Person Trips (HP) Ba	id Combinations.	والمتعادين والمتعادية والمتعادية والمتعادية والمتعادية والمتعادية والمتعادية والمتعادية والمتعادية والمتعادية
tion (C.V.) Multipl	ips (DV) Feak Hour	1 Street Classes an	معارضه والمراجع والمراجعات والمحارك والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع
Coefficient of Varia	Total Day Velicle Tr	Person Trips (DP) Al	و سواده با الله المانية المانية و المانية المانية المانية المانية المانية المانية المانية المانية الم
Table Al4.			

	Person 71	rips (DP) All	Street Classe	es and Combinations.		
	Home-3a	sed Work	- amcH-ncN	-Based Work	Home-Ba	ased Shop
	DV	НР	DV	НР	DV	НΡ
<u>ل</u> در	0.152	0.171	0.176	0.153	0,093	0.148
A	0.191	0.197	0.172	0.170	0.111	0.150
υ	0.330	0.263	0.279	0.418	0.172	0.273
FA	0.183	0.191	0.162	0.166	0.106	0.151
Τ4	0.1A <sup>3</sup>	0.135	0.164	0.170	0.108	0.154
c. El	0.1 <sup>8</sup> 7	0.205	0.169	0.179	0.111	0,162
1.2	0.199	0.216	0.172	0.188	0.116	0.170
1.1	0.224	0.237	0.197	0.203	0.128	0.188
FAC	0.334	0.276	0.294	0.238	0.237	0.212

\* See page 105 for aidreviation key.

HEW NHEW HBS DP 0.9607 0.9634 0.9658 0.9896 F ΗP 0.9904 0.9857 0.9732 0.9764 0.9779 DV DP 0.8378 0.8617 0.8798 0.8797 0.9137 А HP 0.9413 0.8761 DV 0.9104 0.9232 0.7956 DP 0.8343 0.8665 С ΗP 0.8214 0.8904 0.9400 0.8110 0.8643 0.8884 DV DP 0.9084 0.9206 0.9288 0.9204 0.9557 0.9697 FA ΗP 0.9314 0.9496 0,9545 DV. 0.9959 DP 0.9090 0.9180 T4ΗP 0.9717 0.9500 0.9656 0.9201 0.9400 DV 0.9465 0.8890 0.9021 DP 0.9130 Ϋ́ 0.9266  $0.94^{-4}$ 0.9643 DV 0.9109 0.9329 0.9412 0.8913 0.9054 0.9166 DP 0.9243 Τ2 ΗP 0.9465 0.9650 0.9122 0.9339 0.9423 DP 0.9039 0.9174 0.9279 T10.9270 0.9502 0.9678 0.9217 0.9412 0.9487 DV DP 0.9193 0.9327 0.9423 0.9353 FAC ΗP 0.9594 0.9737 0.9341 0.9520 0.9586

Table	A15.	Proportion of Variation Explained (R <sup>2</sup> ) Multiple
		Linear Regression Prediction of Peak Hour
		Vehicle Trips (HV) Three Special Prediction
		Situations All Street Classes and Combinations.

See page 105 for abbreviation key.

		and	Combina	tions.			
		Sta	ndard Er (S.E.)	ror	Coeffic	cient of Va (C.V.)	ariation
		HBW	NHBW	HES	HBW	NHBW	HBS
F	DP	174	168	163	0.189	0.183	0.177
	HP	123	107	94	0.134	0.116	0.102
	DV	144	135	131	0.157	0.147	0.142
A	DP	190	176	164	0.219	0.203	0.189
	HP	164	139	115	0.189	0.160	0.133
	DV	166	141	131	0.192	0.163	0.151
С	DP	103	93	84	0.372	0.336	0.203
	HP	97	76	56	0.350	0.274	0.202
	DV	99	84	77	0.357	0.303	.0278
FA	DP	137	174	165	0.212	0.197	0.187
	HP	153	130	110	0.173	0.147	0.125
	DV	162	140	172	0.184	0.159	0.150
Τ4	DP	184	172	163	0.215	0.201	0.190
	HP	149	127	106	0.174	0.148	0.124
	DV	161	140	132	0.188	0.164	0.154
Τ3	DP	177	165	156	0.224	0.208	0.196
	HP	144	121	100	0.180	0.152	0.126
	DV	157	137	128	0.199	0.172	0.161
Т2	DP	165	154	145	0.232	0.217	0.204
	HP	128	116	94	0.194	0.163	0.132
	DV	148	129	120	0.208	0.182	0.169
Tl	DP	151	139	130	0.256	0.236	0.221
	HP	131	108	87	0.222	0.183	0.148
	DV	136	118	110	0.231	0.200	0.187
FAC	DP	135	123	114	0.297	0.270	0.250
	HP	121	97	77	0.266	0.213	0.169
	DV	122	104	96	0.269	0.228	0.211

Table Al6. Standard Error of Estimate (S.E.) Coefficient of Variation (C.V.) Multiple Linear Regression Prediction of Peak Hour Vehicle Trips (HV) Three Special Prediction Situations All Street Classes and Combinations.

See page 105 for abbreviation key.

PITADS-PITE INTERPACTIONS IN THE SYSTEM

TARLE FRWURK ---- MODE DRIVER

FERICO											
			-						-		
0.1	-	4.1	ζ¢		3432	12.1	141	10.1	154n	20.1	330
9.2	Ċ.	4.2	25	н. 2	3282	12.2	3.91	16.2	1528	20.2	300
	0	4.3	15	a'	3141	12.3	40.65	16.3	1631	20.3	30
0.4	÷	t*t	6 9		3060	12.1	420	16.4	1723	20.4	2.92
<b></b> 0	-	4.5	52	8.5	2548	12.5	37.5	16.5	2051	20.5	224
0.6	•	4.6	10	н. б	2664	12.6	442	16.6	/211	20.6	14
0.7	þ	4.1	3 te	9.7	2346	12.7	435	10.1	2141	20.7	23
۹.0	0	6.49	15	с. н	2148	12.H	435	16.8	2326	20.P	24
0.9	0	т. т	49	с, е с	5261	12.43	1, 2, 1	10.4	2362	20.9	241
J.1	276	5.0	91	J. C	12:44	13.0	372	0.11	21 J H	21.0	(·~
1.1	6.2.2	1.4	127	1.6	1246	13.1	434	17.1	2115	21.1	é?
1.2	201	-1	123	3.5	11.2 0	13.2	4-1-1-1	17+2	144	21.2	2.7
	185	5 ° C	1 3 4	5.0	1-029	13.3	344	1/.3	54-12	21.3	62
1.4	174	5.4	136	9.4	196	13.4	3:3 5	17.4	4 C 6 7	21.4	67
1 • F	11 5	5+5	122	9.5	734	13.5	511	c • / 1	2401	21.5	62
1.6	12.5	5.0	216	9.6	t; 5, L	13.6	159	11.6	2757	21.6	30
1.7	162	5.7	221	9.7	665	13.7	344	11.7	2592	21.7	3.0
1•F	17	3.H	180	a * c	615	13.8	7.4.4	17.8	2543	2 <b>ι.</b> α	5
о•1	1.5	5.9	317	с. Ф	576	13.7	32 +	17.9	1 b 7 7	21.9	16
2 • 0	£6	6•0	314	10.0	663	14.0	761	1 f. • 0	9111	22.0	<u>c</u> 2
2.1	34	6.1	561	10.1	224	14.1	173	1.4.1	201:	22.1	5.4
2.2	67	0.2	401	10.2	372	14.2	361	19.2	1911	22.2	16
; • 7	6.5	6.3	733	10.3	341	14.3	185	18.3	1428	22+3	31
2+4	(15	0.44	261	10.4	31 в	14.4	343	14.4	1745	22.4	31
2.5	4 X	C+3	4 T 2	10.5	293	14.5	348	ι1	1201	22.5	25
2.6	51	6.9	1356	10.6	27 я	14.0	507	18.6	127+.	22.6	3.2
2.7	6.5	6.7	1415	10.7	245	14.7	61.5	1 A. 7	1102	22.7	16
2.8	5 U	6 • H	16.24	10° ¤	452	14.1	541	11.4	f 401	22.8	32
2+3	6.5	6.9	1715	10.49	22.0	14.9	5 15	14.9	1035	22.9	16
3°C	24	1.0	1697	∩•II	Lul	15.0	5 0 H	1.9.0	702	23.0	74
3.1	63	7.1	2350	11.1	2:2	15.1	701	14.1	141	23.1	3.5
3.2	15	7.2	2412	11.2	140	15.2	7.07	1 +.2	645	23.2	13
е С • С	6.4	7.5	2657	:•11	C { Z	15.3	9.2.7	19.3	622	23+3	÷.
3.4	44	7.4	2793	11.4	8 د کر	15.4	376	10.4	517	23.4	3 5
3.5	£.5	7.5	2669	11.5	07.1	15.5	788	19.5	414	23.5	2 9
3.¢	50	1.6	3419	11.6	254	15.6	1044	14.6	443	23.6	35
3.7	C' 42	7.7	3373	11 + 7	2.54	15.7	2701	14.7	315	23.7	32
3.P	43	7 + 8	3475	11.8	0177	15.2	1144	14.8	101	23.8	<del>ر</del> د
5.5	44	6.7	3455	11.5	241	15.4	1168	6.61	940	23.9	33
0.7	Ļ	0.9	3107	12.C	261	16.0	10 44	20.0	230	24.0	24

APPENDIX B

SLW CF 24 FOLK IKIPS IN THE CATEGCRY 15CA5 PINIPURY VALLE AAZIPULY VALLE AAZIPULY AALUE CFEETSJUP ERREY HEMDRK HWYPER ADELL 1 A 104 L LP ACCUMULATIONS IN THE SYSTEM

\* L ESAUPE --- MODEHWYPEN

											1111
						-					
0.1	¢	4+1	24	8.1	44	12.1	445	16.1	2027	20.1	405
0.2	7	2*5	5. C. C	2.0	1017	12.2	433	16.2	2002	20.2	361
0.1	÷	4.5	66	P. 3	4004	12.5	450	10.5	2149	20.3	375
0.4	0	5 • ¢,	6.9	4. 4. 4	1.205	12.4	469	16.4	2267	20.4	36
9°0	0	4 • 5	1.9 1	3 * J	3260	12.5	419	16.5	2106	20.5	.8.7
0.¢	-	4.6	L 6	4	33/4	12.6	300	10.6	9767	20.6	33
0.7	÷	4.1	9.44	1.4	111.7	12.7	64.5	16.7	2853	20.7	+ 2
О.Р	0	4 <b>*</b> 8	100	ч.	2619	12.8	570	16.9	5116	20.8	50.
5.0	ç	6.04	201	5°3	2333	12.9	513	16.9	3170	20.9	314
1•C	307	5.0	с <del>г</del>	5.5	1 211 2	13.0	4.2.2	17.0	2852	21.0	26
1.1	515	5.1	14 3	9.1	1 5 4 7	13.1	01.5	17.1	271 6	21.1	36
1.2	271	2•¢	148	·.•0	1323	13.2	407	17.2	3676	21.2	534
1.5	243	5 . 5	163	**c	1209	13.3	4 50	1/.3	1112	21.3	35
1.4	172	r. 5	164	т., ;	11.4	1 1 + 4	449	11.4	3728	21.4	35
· · ·	143	ť.,	L C L	9°2	9 6, О	6.61	94.6	11.5	328,	21.5	00
1.6	201	5.0	2 Å 1	5.00	280	13.6	415	17.6	1630	21.6	16
1.7	131	5.7	543	L * t.	793	13.7	3.745	11.7	34.76	21.7	36
α•1	1212	0 • 8	1.61	d • 6	120	13.4	197	17.3	1.551	21.8	36
1.9	171	5.9	420	с. °С	176	13.4	177	17.9	3254	21.9	37
2°0	<b>*</b> 7	6.0	( 6 %	10.5	- 45	10.4.4.1	717	16.0	2534	22.0	3.0
2.1	114	6 • l	755	1.01	484	14.1	6 to by	1 H . 1	2610	22.1	33.
2 • 2	46	6 • 3	933	10.2	575	14.2	1115	14.2	2411	22.2	35
2•3	lε	6+3	1922	10.3	U 6 8	14.4	443	18.3	6.822	22.3	36
2.4	8.2	4 • ¢	1103	10.4	362	14.4	619	18.4	2114	22.4	3 61
2.5	63	5°¢	1132	10 ° E	249	14.15	430	14.5	1551	22.5	3.0
2.6	11	6.6	1925	10.¢	324	14.0	684	19.6	1941	22.6	5
2.7	74	6.7	1393	10.7	5 3 5	14.7	622	1 H . 7	1350	22.7	37
2.8	8.3	с. В	2170	]0. ⊣	215	14.0	184	1 A. A	0161	22 <b>.</b> A	5.9
2.5	4.2	6.9	2292	10.5	542	14.9	0690	1H. 9	1250	22.9	3.8
J.C	67	7.0	2269	11.0	+17	15.0	641	19.0	83 /	23.9	32
3.1	c. L	7.1	0116	11.1	277	15.1	918	19.1	н 4 к	23.1	4.3
3 • 2	61	7.2	3207	11.2	242	15.2	979	1 ). 2	767	23.2	40
3.3	55	7.5	3534	11.3	247	15.3	1)84	14.3	1.57	23.3	41
3.4	53	7.4	1701	11.4	264	15.4	1128	14.4	711	23.4	41
	4.7	د' • ۲	1512	11.5	220	15.5	1949	14+5 L4	49%	23.5	36
3.¢	÷0	7.6	4451	11.0	9 в с.	15.6	1470	19.6	543	23.6	44
5.7	ۍ خ	1.7	4393	11.7	287	15.7	1459	1.9 • 7	4.2.5	23.7	0.5
з•в	51	7.8	4513	11 · P	314	15.8	1540	19.9	447	23.A	÷ 5
3.9	ţç	€.7	4473	6 · 11	314	15.4	1563	19.9	401	23.1	5.5
4°C	36	8.0	4034	13.0	288	16.0	1450	20.0	34.2	24.0	ų. E

124

SUP FE 24 FCL4 FK105 IN THE CATECCAY 13162 P110400 VALUF PAXENDY VALUE PAXENDY VALUE CHECKSUM RACK PUBMIRK ALLPER APPENDIX B

IRTADS-PUPCUE 1964 TAIP ACCUMULATIONS IN THE SYSTEP

\*ARLE NORK --- MCDE DRIVER

PERICO	TRIPS	PERIOD	TRIPS	PERICO	TRIPS	FLRIU	14125	PERIOD	TRIPS	PERIOD	TK L 2 S
			1		*	4 + +					
0.1	0	4.1	62	8.1	4030	12.1	1364	1.6.1	2333	20.1	421
0.2	0	4.2	59	Я. 2	3874	12.2	1368	16.2	2282	20.2	387
ē*0	0	4.3	55	т. Ф	3798	12.3	1425	16.3	2409	20.3	334
<b>5</b> *0	0	4.4	6 н	8.4	3675	12.4	1452	16.4	2510	20.4	342
0.5	D	4.5	61	8.5	3161	12.5	1325	16.5	2308	20.5	101
0.6	0	4.6	9 G	8.6	3282	12.6	1507	16.6	3071	20.6	519
0.7	0	4.7	8.4	6.7	2430	12.7	1464	15.7	2976	20.7	513
θ <b>.</b> 0	0	4.8	26	З. В	2699	12.8	1538	16.8	3226	20.9	326
6.0	0	£.4	100	5 <b>°</b> α	2437	12.3	1554	16.9	3268	20.9	555
1.0	260	5.0	0.6	0°6	1673	13.7	1332	11.0	2931	21.0	278
1.1	265	5.1	135	9.1	1755	13.1	1464	17.1	3770	21.1	384
1.2	240	5.2	132	9.2	1562	13.2	1365	17.2	3694	21.2	357
1.3	218	5.3	144	6°3	1476	13.3	1342	11.3	3712	21.3	373
1.4	203	2.4	149	9.6	1345	13.4	1325	17.4	36.98	21.4	378
1.5	134	5.5	133	3°6	1119	13.5	1108	c•11	3243	21.5	326
1.6	142	5.6	731	9 <b>.</b> 6	1204	13.6	1218	17.6	3570	21.6	373
1.7	121	2.1	242	6.7	1114	13.7	1151	17.7	3334	21.7	365
1.8	115	5.8	310	9.8	1075	13.8	1133	17.8	3250	21.8	366
1.9	112	5 <b>.</b> 9	343	4° 5	1044	13.9	1090	11.9	3160	21.9	372
2°C	7.8	6•U	343	10.0	815	14.0	874	18.0	2480	22.0	3.70
2.1	66	6.1	601	10.1	92B	14.1	1062	14.1	2535	22.1	3 9 0
2.2	82	0.2	651	10.2	389	14.2	9.98	16.2	2330	22.2	360
2.3	73	6•3	193	10.3	950	14.3	10.02	18.3	2194	22 . 3	369
2.4	72	6.4	872	10.4	831	14.4	1001	18.4	2081	22.4	363
2.5	58	6 <b>.</b> 9	106	10.5	111	14.5	863	18.5	1516	22.5	247
2.¢	15	6.6	1487	10.6	806	14.0	101	18.6	1523	22.6	366
2.7	69	6.7	1557	10.7	758	14.7	1060	18.7	1304	22.7	3.9.6
2.8	12	6.9	1736	10.9	769	14.4	1114	18.8	1274	22.8	361
2 • 5	71	6.9	1907	10.5	764	14.9	1134	18.9	1214	22.9	355
3.0	5.3	7.0	18 33	11.C	645	15.0	1014	19.0	647	23.0	3.03
3.1	61	7.1	2594	11.1	834	15.1	1375	19.1	1987	23.1	396
3•2	54	7.2	2671	11.2	811	15.2	1361	19.2	780	23.2	372
с. С	47	7.3	2 365	11.3	826	15.3	1451	19.3	758	23.3	381
3.4	47	7.4	3132	11.4	833	15.4	1482	14.4	727	23.4	375
	4 2	c. 7	3011	11.5	682	15.5	1338	19.5	518	23 - 5	324
3.6	54	7.0	3858	11.6	974	15.6	1784	19.6	565	23.6	396
3 • 7	50	7.7	385C	11.7	963	15.7	1754	19.7	504	23.7	36(
з. ғ	4 4	7.8	3474	11.н	1047	15.8	1840	19.8	487	23.8	376
<b>3.</b> 9	50	7.9	3975	11.9	1073	15.9	1860	19.9	482	23.9	380
0 - 7	37	8.0	3616	12.C	0.66	16.0	1723	20.0	366	24.0	320

SUM FE 24 HUUR TRIPS IN THE CATEGURY 22140 PINNUP VALUE MAXIPUN VALUE CPECKSUM ERVEN WORK WORK WWPEN

,

RATAUS-PURCUF 1964 THIP ACCLMULATIONS IN THE SYSTEM

1/01E WORK --- MODE HWYPER

	511	474	483	474	382	433	396	404	414	350	462	432	447	450	384	440	432	4 38	445	356	445	416	425	419	541	667	227 - 227	4.05	360	614	452	464	454	405	500	455	482	464	433
	20.1	20.2	20.3	20.4	20.5	20.6	20.7	20.8	20.9	21.0	21.1	21.2	21.3	21.4	21.5	21.6	21.7	21.8	21.9	22.0	22.1	22.2	22.3	22.4	G • 77	22.6	8 00	22.9	23.0	23.1	23.2	23.3	23.4	23.5	23.0	23.7	23.8	23.9	24.0
18195	2914	2863	3032	3166	2920	3933	3814	4150	4210	3303	4843	4762	4118	4763	4199	4582	477A	4167	4050	3160	3272	2917	2727	6862	1464	18/8	0.751	1468	1006	1050	928	006	9.64	618	680	608	586	581	443
PER100	16.1	16.2	16.3	16.4	16.5	16.6	16.7	16.8	16.9	17.0	17.1	1/.2	11.3	17.4	17.5	17.6	11.7	17.8	17.9	18.0	18.1	19.2	LA • 3	18.4	14.5	13.6	10.1	18.0	1.4.0	19.1	19.2	19.3	19.4	19.5	19.6	19.7	14.8	19.9	20.0
12135	1547	1556	1 6 2 7	1665	1528	1741	1695	1780	1792	1539	1681	1567	1539	1518	1266	1391	1314	1246	1236	592	1214	1142	1147	1147	265	12/2		1335	1202	1656	1645	17/6	1826	1667	2257	2219	2322	2343	2165
PERILI	12.1	12.2	12.3	12.4	12.5	12.6	12.7	12.8	0.01	13.0	13.1	13.2	13.3	13.4 .	13.5	13.6	13.7	13.8	13.9	14.0	14.1	14 • 2	14.3	14.4	14 • 5	14.6	- a - 7 - 1	5-71	15.0	15.1	15.2	15.3	15.4	15.5	15.6	15.7	15.8	15.9	16.0
12105	5060	4 1 4 8	4727	4566	3894	3444	91,29	3202	22.25	1946	1:07	1800	1690	1596	1273	1367	1205	1215	1179	914	1033	116	936	116	174	184	0.70	826	718	910	182	106	305	806	1073	1059	1157	1197	1107
DEBICO	8.1	8.2	8.3	в.4	8.5	8.6	P. 7	с. с	u a	0	5 . 1	3.6	н. Э	9.4	9.5	9.6	9.7	9.6	9°6	10.C	10.1	10.2	10.3	10.4	10.5	10.6		- 0 I	11.0	111.1	11.2	11.3	11.4	11.5	11.6	11.7	11.8	11.5	12.C
TRIPS	69	64	7 3	77	69	101	46	114	all	101	164	157	184	194	175	£0£	326	422	494	467	815	8 #5	1043	1411	6771	1974	1415	25046	2485	338C	34 35	3577	40.81	3840	4941	1664	5071	5054	4600
2FR100	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.5	4-6	4.7	4	0.4	0	5.1	5.2	6.c	5.4	5.5	5.6	5.7	5.8	ۍ•ط ۲	6.0	6.1	6 <b>.</b> 2	, b. j	t.4	0.0	4 Q	- a • 4		0.7	7.1	1.2	7.3	7.4	ć.7	7.6	7.7	7.8	7.4	в <b>.</b> 0
TR1PS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		545	356	317	283	264	- 169	182	155	-14+	143	105	1.50	110	196	46	6.2	16	0.00		72	67	64	57	56	50	63	HC	50	59	41
PERILO	0.1	0.2	0.3	4.0	0.5	0.6	1.0	а О			1.1	1.6	1.3	1.4	1.5	1.6	1.7	1 • E	1.5	2 • C	2.1	2.2	2.3	5.4		9 r 9 r			0.0		3.2	3•2	3.4	<b>3</b> •5	3.6	3.7	з•е	3.5	4 • C

SLW CF 24 HCLR RAIPS IN THC CATEGORY 27135 PINIPUD VALUE PAXIPUD VALUE SCTI CFECKSUW FARCH WUKK ALLPER TATADS-PURLUG 1964 TRIP ACCUMULATIONS IN THE SYSTEM

TIPLE FRSHOP --- MODE DRIVER

FERICO TH	105	001834	TKIP J	r FR Lit	14195	PE 31 00	T-41PS	PE4.100	TRIPS	PERIOD	14125
	-										-
0.1	0	4.1	2	в.1	32	12.1	530	10.1	602	20.1	9.25
0.2	0	4.2	2	н.2	5€	12.2	506	16.2	76.5	20.2	111
0.3	0	4.3	2	α.	66	12.3	514	16.3	524	20.3	762
4.0	0	4.4	2	4 <b>•</b> 4	36	12.4	503	16.4	623	20.4	748
0.5	0	4.5	7	н. 5	н 7	را . 21	421	16.5	574	20.5	618
0.6	0	4.6	٣	н.е	113	12.6	4 11 6	16.6	673	20.6	662
0.7	0	4.7	ŕ	ч. 1	114	12.7	454	16.7	059	20.7	634
0.R	0	4.8	4	α. •	129	12.4	212	16.8	101	20.8	62R
5.0	0	6.4	4	8.9	136	12.9	474	143	735	20.9	643
1.0	12	D.4	~	0.6	1 ~ 1	13.0	420	17.0	そんち	21.0	525
1.1	11	5.1	5	<b>.</b> 1	1 + E	13.1	52.6	17.1	754	21.1	548
1.2	10	5.2	5	4.2	51)5	13.2	7.6 7	17.2	743	21.2	515
1.3	8	5.3	5	e.• c	221	13.3	64.48	17.3	774	21.3	506
1.4	7	5.4	Ĉ	9.4	235	13.4	513	17.4	7 is 4	21.4	90¢
1.5	5	5.5	5	5°6	227	13.5	462	11.5	659	2i.5	410
1.6	-	5.6	9	9.6	Ht 2	13.6	525	17.6	1)4	21.6	405
1.7	1	7 . c	9	1.7	5.0.6	13.7	513	17.7	164	21.7	367
4.1	0	5,8	9	9.8	141	13.9	523	17.8	7.84	21.R	503
1.5	0	5.9	7	3.3	345	13.4	518	17.9	906	21.4	355
2 • C	0	6.0	в	10.0	515	14.0	4 4 U	18.0	649	22.0	283
2.1	0	4.9	15	10.1	667	14.1	530	1 6.1	a 37	22.1	276
2.2	0	6.2	13	10.2	Ó a P	14.2	1.15	1f.2	R02	22.2	243
2 • 3	0	6.3	14	10.3	577	14.5	52.4	18.3	831	22.3	231
. 2.4	0	0.4	41	10.4	513	14.4	538	18.4	327	22.4	223
2 • 5	C	6+5	14	10.5	465	ر <b>ا . 1</b> 4	460	16.5	1.01	22.5	151
2.e¢	0	6.6	15	10.€	571	14.0	129	19.6	0 + P	22 · h	141
2.7	0	6.1	15	10.7	545	14.7	4.48	18.7	620	22.7	122
2 • Р	0	6.8	13	10.R	6 <i>t</i> 5	14°A	504	1н.я	952	22.R	110
2+3	0	0.9	13	10.9	603	14.3	510	19.4	348	22.9	104
0.0	0	7.0	16	0.11	517	15.0	44B	1.).0	135	23.0	6.5
3•1	0	7.1	23	11.1	420	1:51	د 30 ۲	19.1	90t	23.1	60
3 • 5	2	1.2	42	1.2	586	15.2	504	19.2	P74	23.2	÷ ÷
<b>1</b> •1	0	1.5	55		615	15.3	114	19.3	884	23.3	4.6
9.4	0	1.44	5.6	11.4	5.30	15.4	5.2.5	19.4	6.68	23.4	Ч
9°°	0	c•1	39	11.5	511	15.5	464	19.5	794	23.5	28
3.6	1	7 • 6	69	11.6	675	15.6	549	19.6	896	23.6	59
3.7	1	1.1	64	11.7	57.R	15.7	533	14.7	861	23.7	26
3 • к	-	7.8	67	11. P	929	15.н	558	14.8	674	23.R	26
3.9	-	6.1	69	11.9	583	15.0	036	19.9	683	23.9	6.2
4 °C	1	в.0	74	12.C	502	16.0	431	20.0	764	24.0	23

SUM CF 24 HOLR TAIPS IN THE CATEGGRY 7365 HINDIN VALUE - - -1 HARTHUM VALUE - 904 CHECKSUM CPRCR HUSHUP HWYPER

IRTADS-PURIUE 1964 TRIP ACCUMULATIONS IN THE SYSTEM

TARLE HBSHOP --- MODE HWYPER

	PERIEC	TRIPS	PERIOD	TK 1 P S	PFRICC	TRIPS	PERIOD	TR 1 P S	PERIUD	TR IP 5	PER100	TRIPS
				¢				1				
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.1	0	1 • 4	7		116	14.1	138	10.1	074	1.02	1411
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.2	c	4.2	2	G * C	118	12.2	111	16.2	716	20.2	1390
0.4     0     4.4     2     8.4     120     12.4     0.2     4.6     2.0.4     130	E	0	4+3	2	E. 8	122	12.3	720	16.3	955	20.3	1361
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<b>9 •</b> 6	0	4.4	2	А. А	120	12.4	722	16.4	968	20.4	1347
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.5	Э	4.5	1	8.5	109	12.5	675	16.5	835	20.5	1118
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.6	0	4.6	÷	8.¢	145	12.6	46.9	16.6	1058	20.6	1208
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7.C	0	4.7	•	в.7	146	12.7	652	16.7	1:322	20.7	1159
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	۹.С	0	4.8	9	8.8	169	1/.0	673	16.9	1107	20.8	1152
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.9	0	4.9	9	8.9	176	12.3	269	16.9	1137	20.9	1175
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	1.0	16	5.0	'n	9°6	159	13.0	245	17.	1992	21.0	954
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	1.1	16	5•1	7	9.1	261	13.1	747	17.1	1143	21.1	982
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	1+2	14	5.42	7	9.2	512	14.2	693	17.2	1117	21 • 2	906
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	1.3	10	5 • J	2	4 ° 3	2 10	1.1.3	969	11.3	1911	21.3	688
$ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 5, 5 & 7 & 0, 5 & 0, 0 & 14, 5 & 640 & 17, 5 & 101, 120, 21, 5 & 005 \\ 1 & 2 & 5, 9 & 7 & 0, 7 & 0, 14, 7 & 77, 7 & 17, 7 & 119, 21, 7 & 013 \\ 1 & 2 & 5, 9 & 7 & 0, 7 & 14, 7 & 77, 7 & 17, 7 & 119, 21, 2 & 617 \\ 2 & 2 & 5, 9 & 7 & 0, 7 & 14, 7 & 77, 7 & 17, 7 & 119, 21, 2 & 617 \\ 2 & 2 & 5, 9 & 7 & 0, 1 & 14, 7 & 70, 9 & 17, 1 & 119, 21, 2 & 617 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 0, 1 & 16 & 10, 1 & 6, 7 & 14, 7 & 70, 9 & 17, 1 & 119, 21, 2 & 617 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 & 0, 1 & 16 & 10, 1 & 6, 7 & 14, 7 & 70, 9 & 17, 1 & 119, 21, 2 & 617 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 & 0, 1 & 16 & 10, 1 & 6, 7 & 14, 1 & 70, 9 & 17, 1 & 119, 21, 2 & 617 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 & 0, 2 & 16 & 10, 2 & 677 & 14, 1 & 70, 9 & 18, 0 & 1051 & 22, 0 & 995 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 & 0, 2 & 17 & 10, 2 & 677 & 14, 1 & 70, 9 & 18, 0 & 1051 & 22, 0 & 995 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 & 0, 2 & 17 & 10, 2 & 677 & 14, 1 & 70, 9 & 18, 0 & 1051 & 22, 0 & 995 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 & 0, 2 & 0, 1 & 10, 1 $	1.4	10	5.4	œ	9.4	313	13.4	110	11.4	11/5	21.4	H 15
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1.5	ę	5.5	7	9.5	302	13.0	643	17.5	1013	21.5	705
$ \begin{bmatrix} 1, 7 & 5 & 5, 7 & 9 & 9, 7 & 40, & 11, 7 & 717 & 1185 & 21, 7 & 613 \\ 1, 9 & 2 & 5, 9 & 7 & 9, 7 & 40, & 11, 9 & 729 & 17, 1 & 1191 & 21, 9 & 512 \\ 2, 1 & 2, 1 & 0, 1 & 16 & 10, 1 & 9, 7 & 11, 9 & 729 & 17, 1 & 1214 & 219 & 512 \\ 2, 1 & 0, 1 & 16 & 10, 1 & 9, 7 & 14, 7 & 703 & 18, 1 & 1051 & 22, 2 & 395 \\ 2, 2 & 1 & 0, 2 & 16 & 10, 2 & 5, 7 & 14, 7 & 733 & 18, 1 & 17, 7 & 1218 & 573 \\ 2, 2 & 1 & 0, 2 & 16 & 10, 2 & 5, 7 & 14, 7 & 733 & 18, 1 & 17, 7 & 1218 & 573 \\ 2, 6 & 1 & 0, 2 & 16 & 10, 2 & 5, 7 & 14, 7 & 733 & 18, 1 & 17, 7 & 1214 & 597 \\ 2, 7 & 1 & 0, 7 & 17 & 10, 7 & 10, 7 & 14, 7 & 733 & 18, 1 & 17, 7 & 1214 & 597 \\ 2, 7 & 1 & 0, 7 & 17 & 10, 7 & 10, 7 & 14, 7 & 733 & 18, 1 & 1258 & 22, 7 & 395 \\ 2, 7 & 0 & 0, 7 & 17 & 10, 7 & 70, 1 & 14, 7 & 733 & 18, 1 & 758 & 22, 7 & 397 \\ 2, 7 & 0 & 0, 7 & 17 & 10, 7 & 70, 1 & 14, 7 & 734 & 18, 1 & 1776 & 22, 7 & 397 \\ 2, 7 & 0 & 7, 0 & 7, 0 & 12 & 10, 7 & 70, 1 & 14, 7 & 1243 & 22, 7 & 195 \\ 3, 1 & 0 & 7, 1 & 10, 7 & 10, 1 & 14, 7 & 70, 1 & 1171 & 22, 9 & 217 \\ 3, 1 & 0 & 7, 1 & 10, 7 & 10, 1 & 14, 7 & 70, 1 & 1134 & 22, 9 & 101 \\ 3, 1 & 1 & 7, 0 & 7, 1 & 11, 1 & 70 & 15, 1 & 776 & 1143 & 22, 9 & 101 \\ 3, 1 & 1 & 7, 0 & 7, 1 & 11, 1 & 70 & 15, 1 & 776 & 114, 7 & 22, 1 & 124 \\ 3, 1 & 1 & 7, 0 & 7, 1 & 11, 1 & 70 & 15, 1 & 754 & 19, 1 & 1164 & 22, 1 & 124 \\ 3, 1 & 1 & 7, 0 & 14, 1 & 776 & 19, 1 & 14, 1 & 22, 9 & 101 \\ 3, 1 & 1 & 7, 0 & 14, 1 & 776 & 19, 1 & 14, 1 & 22, 9 & 101 \\ 3, 1 & 1 & 7, 0 & 14, 1 & 776 & 19, 1 & 14, 1 & 22, 9 & 101 \\ 3, 1 & 1 & 7, 0 & 0, 1 & 14, 1 & 764 & 21, 1 & 774 & 19, 1 & 124 & 21, 1 & 2$	1.6	~	9.6	3	9 <b>.</b> 6	4.0.4	14.6	745	17.6	1232	21.6	692
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1.7	۶	5.2	a.	1.7	4.0.6	1 . 1	717	17.7	1185	21.7	619
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	8.1	2	5.8	Ţ	9°6	440	13.9	7.+0	17.3	119,2	21.8	603
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1.9	2	5.4	7	6°6	447	15.4	724	17.1	1214	21.9	5.42
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2°7	۲.	6.0	æ	10.0	4.15	14.0	603	18.0	1051	22.0	463
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2•1	-	0.1	16	10.1	544	14.1	7.4.8	1.9.1	1226	22 • 1	445
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2.2	-	6.2	14	10.2	652	14.2	125	16.2	1220	22.2	595
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2.3	1	6.3	15	10.3	017	14.3	743	14.3	1258	22.3	363
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2.4	-4	2+4	16	10.4	646	14.4	153	18.4	1251	22.4	352
2.6 0 6.7 17 10.6 764 14,0 773 14,5 1145 22.6 6.7   2.7 0 6.7 17 10.6 764 14,1 773 14,5 1145 22.6 6.7   3.7 0 6.7 17 10.7 14,1 7.7 171 1270 22.7 189   3.7 0 6.7 17 10.7 14,1 17.7 19.4 1974 22.7 180   3.1 0 7.1 30 11.1 17.7 19.4 19.4 1677 23.1 99   3.1 0 7.1 30 11.1 17.7 15.1 775 19.4 1647 23.1 97   3.4 11.1 17.7 15.4 19.4 19.4 1687 23.1 97   3.4 11.1.1 7.7 15.4 19.4 19.4 1687 23.1 97   3.4 11.1.2 7.7 15.4 19.4 19.4 23.1 97   3.4 11.1.6 7.4 19.4 19.4 19.8 21.4 97   3.4 11.1.6 7.4 19.4 19.4 2	-, • •	0	6.5	51	10.5	631	14.5	642	18.5	1011	22 + 5	230
2.7 0 6.7 17 10.7 14.4 749 14.4 124.0 22.7 14.4   7.9 0 6.4 2.7 10.7 16.4 14.4 749 18.7 1341 22.7 101   7.9 0 7.0 1.4 10.4 14.4 744 1174 22.9 101   3.1 0 7.1 31 11.1 727 10.4 15.1 774 114.1 22.9 101   3.1 0 7.1 31 11.1 727 15.1 774 19.1 1661 23.1 70   3.2 0 7.4 11.1 7.7 15.1 774 19.1 1661 23.1 77   3.4 11.1.7 7.7 15.1 774 19.4 167 23.1 77   3.4 11.1.7 7.7 15.4 761 19.4 14.4 24.7 19.1   3.4 11.1.7 7.7 15.4 7.7 19.4 19.4 24.7 19.1   3.4 11.1.6 7.4 11.4 7.4 19.4 14.4 24.7 19.1   3.4 11.1.6 7.4 15.4	2.6	0	6.6	17	10.6	764	14.0	1+3	12.6	1336	22.6	216
2.8 0 6.8 27 0.0 6.4 27.0 10.0 14.4 710 19.4 1374 22.8 171   3.7 0 7.0 1.4 11.7 7.4 19.4 18.4 1341 22.9 101   3.1 0 7.1 30 11.1 7.2 15.1 774 19.4 14.4 21.0 10   3.1 0 7.1 30 11.1 7.2 15.1 774 19.4 14.7 23.1 94   3.1 0 7.1 30 11.1 7.2 15.1 74 19.4 14.7 23.1 94   3.4 11.1.1 7.7 15.4 754 19.4 14.8 23.1 94   3.4 11.2 7.1 15.4 754 19.4 14.8 23.2 61   3.4 11.2 7.1 15.4 754 19.4 19.4 23.4 94   3.4 11.2 7.1 15.4 15.4 19.5 14.9 23.4 94   3.4 1 7.1 15.4 15.4 19.5 19.4 23.4 94   3.4 1 7.6 <td< td=""><td>2.7</td><td>0</td><td>6.7</td><td>17</td><td>10.7</td><td>124</td><td>14.7</td><td>048</td><td>14.7</td><td>1240</td><td>22.7</td><td>185</td></td<>	2.7	0	6.7	17	10.7	124	14.7	048	14.7	1240	22.7	185
7.9 0 6.9 7.2 10.5 600 14.4 7.2 18.7 13.1 2.2.9 10.1   3.1 0 7.1 11.0 11.0 15.0 637 18.0 11.31 2.2.9 10.1   3.1 0 7.1 11.1 17.9 15.1 776 19.1 16.1 2.1.1 20 10.1   3.1 0 7.1 3.1 11.1 17.9 15.1 776 19.1 16.1 21.1	2 B	C	6.8	20	10.8	1 ( - E	14.R	710	1P.E	1324	22 • B	171
3.C 3.7 1.0 1.1 1.1.C 6.4 15.0 57 19.0 1161 23.0 70   3.1 0 7.1 30 11.1 179 15.1 77 19.1 14.7 23.1 70   3.2 0 7.2 36 11.2 7.5 15.1 77 19.1 14.7 23.1 77   3.2 0 7.5 36 11.2 77 15.1 77 23.1 77   3.4 0 7.5 41 11.4 77 15.4 19.2 14.8 23.2 77   3.4 0 7.5 41 11.4 77 15.4 76 19.2 14.8 23.2 71   3.4 0 7.5 13.4 19.4 19.4 19.8 71 21.4 71   3.4 1 7.7 15.4 15.6 576 19.5 14.8 23.4 41   3.4 1 7.7 15.4 15.6 19.5 17.8 23.5 41   3.4 1 7.7 15.6 19.5 19.6 151 23.6 41   3.4 1 7.7 15.6<	5.5	0	6.9	2.2	10.5	505	14.4	124	18.2	1331	22.9	161
3.1     0     7.1     30     11.1     72     15.1     776     19.1     14.67     23.1     91       3.2     0     7.2     36     11.2     775     15.1     776     19.1     14.67     23.1.     92       3.2     0     7.2     36     11.2     775     15.4     744     19.2     1434     23.1.2     77       3.4     0     7.2     44     11.2     775     15.4     744     19.5     1434     23.1.2     77       3.4     0     7.5     4.5     11.2     775     15.4     761     19.4     23.1.5     71       3.4     1     7.6     81     11.2     77     19.4     19.4     23.4     51     52.6     45       3.4     1     7.7     82     11.2     77     70     19.4     73.4     53.6     47       3.4     1     7.7     19.4     77     71     71     71	3°C	C	0.7	1 +	11.0	680	15.0	537	14.0	116)	23.0	94
3.2 0 7.2 3.6 11.2 7.5 15.7 7.44 19.2 14.54 23.2 77   3.4 0 7.4 45 11.4 777 15.4 764 19.4 14.84 23.1.5 71   3.4 0 7.4 45 11.4 777 15.4 764 19.4 14.84 23.1.5 71   3.4 0 7.4 15.4 714 15.4 764 19.4 14.84 23.1.5 71   3.4 0 7.5 4.1 11.4 774 15.4 764 19.4 13.4 23.4 59   3.6 1 7.5 19.5 975 19.5 19.4 171 23.5 41   3.7 1 7.7 15.5 976 19.5 19.7 1672 23.7 40   3.8 1 7.7 15.5 976 19.4 19.16 23.6 44   3.8 1 7.7 15.7 742 11.7 772 13.7 40   3.8 1 7.6 15.6 976 19.6 1516 23.7 40   3.9 1 7.7 15.	3.1	0	7.1	30	11.1	6.22	15.1	170	1.9.1	1467	23.1	9.9
1.1     0     7.3     41     11.3     7.7     15.4     754     19.3     14.8     21.3     71       3.4     0     7.4     4.5     11.4     744     15.4     751     19.3     14.86     21.3     71       3.4     0     7.4     4.5     11.4     744     15.4     15.4     19.3     14.86     23.3     41       3.4     1     7.6     83     11.7     714     15.4     705     121     23.4     54       3.7     1     7.7     82     11.7     70     82     13.4     14.94     23.4     54       3.8     1     7.7     82     11.7     70     83     13.7     14.73     23.7     40       3.8     1     7.7     82     11.7     77     15.9     83.1     19.6     1516     23.7     40       3.4     1     7.7     15.9     83.1     17.7     15.9     83.1     19.6	3.2	0	7.2	36	11.2	245	15.2	744	14.2	1434	23.2	<i>C L</i>
3.4     0     7.4     4.5     11.4     7.4     15.4     71     15.4     73     17.4     53.4     54       3.4     1     7.5     4.5     11.4     744     15.4     761     19.4     14.14     23.4     54       3.4     1     7.5     8.3     11.4     744     15.5     876     19.4     14.14     23.5     41       3.4     1     7.7     8.3     11.4     779     19.5     19.6     1516     23.5     44       3.4     1     7.7     8.2     11.1     775     15.7     19.7     16.73     23.7     40       3.4     1     7.7     8.2     11.7     775     15.7     19.7     1516     23.7     40       3.4     1     7.7     10.7     7.7     15.7     7.7     40       3.4     1     7.7     15.7     7.7     15.7     15.7     40       3.4     1     7.7	֥;	0	7.3	41	11.3	111	15.4	754	19.3	1482	23.3	71
3.5     0     7.5     4.1     11.5     5.4     15.5     5.7     12.6     23.5     4.1       3.6     1     7.6     8.3     11.6     8.3     11.6     8.3     12.6     23.5     4.1       3.7     1     7.6     8.3     11.7     7.7     12.7     7.3     23.5     4.0       3.6     1     7.7     12.7     12.7     7.2     12.6     4.0       3.6     1     7.7     12.7     12.7     7.2     12.6     4.0       3.8     1     7.7     12.7     12.7     7.2     12.7     4.0       3.4     1     7.8     11.2     7.7     12.7     7.2     14.7     4.0       3.6     1     7.7     15.9     8.3     19.6     15.16     23.7     4.0       3.4     1     7.7     15.9     33.4     19.6     15.18     23.7     4.0       4.6     1     7.7     15.9     33.4	3.4	D	7.4	45	11.4	5t.L	15.4	761	19.4	14 )8	23.4	59
1.6     1     7.0     8.3     11.7     7.0     15.0     805     19.6     513     23.6     44       3.4     1     7.7     7.0     15.7     742     19.6     1513     23.7     40       3.4     1     7.7     7.0     15.7     742     19.6     1513     23.7     40       3.4     1     7.7     86     11.6     7.7     15.9     742     19.6     1516     23.7     40       3.4     1     7.8     11.6     7.7     15.9     83     19.6     1516     23.7     40       3.4     1     7.8     15.7     10.7     742     15.9     23.7     40       3.4     1     7.2     15.9     15.1     742     15.9     23.7     43       4.7     1     7.2     15.9     15.9     22.1     43       4.7     1     7.2     15.9     15.9     22.1     43       4.7     <	3.5	0	7.5	( )	11.5	634	15.5	010	19.5	1326	23. j	41
3.7     1     7.7     82     11.7     775     15.7     742     13.7     14.73     23.7     40       3.6     1     7.8     86     11.2     775     15.9     831     19.8     1516     23.8     40       3.6     1     7.8     90     11.2     776     15.9     834     19.8     1516     23.8     40       3.6     1     7.9     93     13.4     19.8     1518     23.9     40       3.4     1     8.4     19.4     1518     23.9     40     41     40	3.E	1	7.6	٩,	$11.\epsilon$	803	15.6	808	19.6	1513	23.6	44
3.8     1     7.8     8.6     11.7     7.7     15.4     8.31     19.6     151.6     23.8     4.0       3.9     1     7.9     9.0     11.7     742     15.9     8.34     19.6     151.6     23.4     4.0       3.9     1     7.9     742     15.9     742     15.9     23.7     43       4.0     1     7.0     35.0     15.0     54.0     15.0     24.0     13	3 . 7	1	7.7	82	11.7	512	7 • c 1	792	1 3 . 7	1473	23.7	40
<b>3.9 1 7.9 90 11.9 742 15.9 834 19.9 1518 23.9 43</b> <b>4.C 1 8.0 95 12.0 540 15.0 716 20.0 1376 24.0 33</b>	3.8	I	7.8	86	11 • E	176	15.8	831	19.8	1516	23 • R	4
4.C 1 8.0 95 12.0 %40 16.0 716 20.0 1376 24.0 33	3.9	-	1.9	90	11.9	742	15.9	834	6.61	1518	23.9	43
	4°C	I	в. О	95	12.0	080	16.0	716	20.0	1326	24.0	33

SUM EF 24 MCLR INIPS IN THE CATEGORY 11C59 WINNEW VALUE -1 MAJHUP VALUE 1518 CHEEKSUM ERRCR HUSHOP ALLPER

್
INTADS-PUREUF 1964 TRIP ACCUMULATIONS IN THE SYSTEM

TIRLE HRITOT --- MOUE ORIVER

0.1         0.1 <th>3041 2911 3126 3208 3208 2918 2918 2918 2918 2918 2918 2918 291</th> <th></th>	3041 2911 3126 3208 3208 2918 2918 2918 2918 2918 2918 2918 291	
0.2         0.         4.2         4.14         12.2         15.4         16.2         2711           0.4         0.         4.4         11         8.4         4.14         12.4         16.4         12.4         16.4         12.4         16.4         12.4         16.4         12.4         16.4         12.4         16.4         12.4         16.4         12.4         16.4         12.4         16.4         12.4         16.4         12.6         12.7         15.7         16.4         12.6         12.7         15.7         16.4         12.6         12.7         16.4         12.6         12.7         16.4         12.6         12.7         16.4         12.6         12.7         16.4         12.6         12.7         16.4         12.6         12.7         16.4         12.6         12.7         16.4         12.7         16.4         12.6         12.7         16.4         12.6         12.7         16.4         12.6         12.7         16.4         12.6         12.7         16.4         12.7         16.4         12.6         12.7         12.6         12.7         12.6         12.7         12.6         12.7         12.6         12.7         12.6 <th12.7< th=""> <th16.7< th=""></th16.7<></th12.7<>	2971 24 3126 25 3208 22 3732 2918 22 3732 25 3732 25 3732 25 26 26 27 37 37 32 37 32 37 32 37 32 37 32 37 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32	0.1 2411
0.2         0         4.3         0.7         0.95         1557         16.3         1126           0.6         4.5         0.1         8.5         425         113         12.5         16.3         1126           0.6         4.7         0.1         8.5         425         113         12.5         113         12.5         113           0.6         4.7         0.1         8.7         133         12.7         1562         133         12.5         133           0.6         4.7         10.2         8.7         133         12.7         1562         133           1.1         4.4         10.2         8.7         133         12.7         1562         133           1.1         4.4         10.2         8.7         133         12.7         1562         173         1562         171           1.1         1.1         1.2         1.3         1.2         1.3         1.2         1.2         1.2         1.2         1.2         1.2         1.2         1.2         1.2         1.2         1.2         1.2         1.2         1.2         1.2         1.2         1.2 <th1.2< th="">         1.2         1.2         1.</th1.2<>	3126 3208 2918 3732 3732 2018	0.2 2240
0.4         1         0.4         1         0.4         10         0.4         10         0.4         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100	3208 2918 3732 3732	0.3 2208
0.5         0         4.5         11         8.5         4455         12.5         156         151         16.5         214           0.6         4.7         9.5         11         8.7         533         12.5         151         16.5         214           0.6         4.7         9.5         10.2         8.7         533         133         12.5         151         151         151         151         151         151         151         151         152         151         152         151         152         151         152         151         152         151         152         151         152         151         152         151         152         152         153         152	291d 27 3732 27	0.4 2167
0.6         0         4.6         1         9.6         653         12.6         173         10.6         773           0.6         4.4         10         6.4         11         6.5         12.6         173         10.6         773         611           0.6         4.4         102         6.3         113         12.6         177         161         177         161         173         171         10.6         773         911           1.1         1.44         5.1         1.42         9.1         2.2         134         12.7         151         173         151         173         151         174	3732 2	0.5 1752
0.7         0         4.7         9,1         9,1         19,1         10,7         64,1         94,1 </td <td></td> <td>0.6 1897</td>		0.6 1897
0.9         0.4         102         6.8         113         12.8         1562         16.8         311.2           1.1         144         5.0         7.0         5.0         17.5         17.6         373           1.1         144         5.1         142         7.0         273         11.1         12.6         17.1         323           1.1         3.1         5.0         7.0         273         11.2         1595         17.1         323           1.2         3.21         5.3         150         7.2         204         17.1         1575         17.1         323           1.2         1.3         5.1         1.4         9.7         17.6         17.1         17.7         45.7           1.2         1.3         5.1         1.4         9.7         17.4         15.6         17.1         45.7           1.2         1.1         5.1         17.5         17.4         17.6         56.7           1.2         1.2         1.2         1.4         17.6         17.7         45.7           1.2         1.2         1.2         1.2         1.2         1.4         17.7         45.7	3011 2	0.7 1743
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3912 2	0.R 1748
$ \begin{bmatrix} 1.0 & 113 & 5.0 & 7.0 & 2.068 & 13.0 & 1336 & 17.0 & 3229 \\ 1.4 & 3.1 & 5.2 & 133 & 9.1 & 2.040 & 13.4 & 15.6 & 17.1 & 4.081 \\ 1.4 & 3.1 & 5.4 & 156 & 9.4 & 1756 & 17.1 & 4.081 \\ 1.4 & 3.1 & 5.4 & 156 & 9.4 & 1756 & 17.1 & 4.081 \\ 1.4 & 101 & 5.4 & 156 & 9.4 & 1756 & 17.1 & 4.081 \\ 1.4 & 101 & 5.4 & 156 & 9.4 & 1756 & 17.1 & 4.081 \\ 1.4 & 101 & 5.4 & 132 & 9.2 & 1337 & 1344 & 17.5 & 4.081 \\ 1.4 & 130 & 5.7 & 5.9 & 357 & 9.1 & 176 & 1344 & 17.5 & 4.081 \\ 1.4 & 130 & 5.7 & 5.9 & 357 & 9.2 & 1376 & 17.4 & 5.94 \\ 1.4 & 122 & 5.0 & 357 & 9.2 & 1379 & 1447 & 17.5 & 4.051 \\ 2.4 & 122 & 5.0 & 357 & 9.0 & 1779 & 4.051 & 1749 & 4.051 \\ 2.4 & 122 & 5.0 & 357 & 10.0 & 1179 & 1447 & 1742 & 3841 \\ 2.4 & 122 & 5.0 & 357 & 10.0 & 1179 & 1447 & 1742 & 3841 \\ 2.4 & 122 & 5.0 & 347 & 10.2 & 1397 & 1447 & 1442 & 3841 \\ 2.4 & 122 & 5.0 & 129 & 0.2 & 1397 & 1447 & 1447 & 1447 & 1447 \\ 2.4 & 122 & 5.0 & 129 & 0.2 & 1397 & 1444 & 1441 & 1447 & 1447 \\ 2.4 & 122 & 5.0 & 129 & 0.2 & 1397 & 1444 & 1441 & 1444 & 1311 \\ 2.4 & 122 & 0.4 & 1393 & 10.2 & 1447 & 1444 & 1441 & 1444 & 1311 \\ 2.4 & 112 & 5.0 & 1110 & 1197 & 1444 & 1541 & 1444 & 1311 \\ 2.4 & 112 & 5.0 & 1111 & 1444 & 1544 & 1544 & 1544 & 1341 \\ 2.4 & 112 & 5.0 & 1111 & 1444 & 1544 & 1544 & 1544 & 1341 \\ 2.4 & 112 & 5.0 & 1111 & 1444 & 1544 & 1544 & 1544 & 1344 \\ 2.4 & 112 & 5.0 & 1111 & 1444 & 1544 & 1544 & 1544 & 1344 & 154$	3985 2.	0.9 1758
1.1       1.44       9.1       2.215       15.1       16.408         1.2       3.44       5.3       159       9.2       2.956       13.5       1596       17.1       4.08         1.2       3.21       5.3       159       9.2       2.956       13.5       1596       17.3       5596       17.4       5976       17.4       59	3529 2	1.0 1442
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	4438 2	1.1 1.1
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4428 2	1.2 1637
$ \begin{bmatrix} 1.4 & 107 & 5.4 & 156 & 9.4 & 1878 & 13.4 & 13.6 & 1565 & 17.6 & 5684 \\ 1.6 & 219 & 5.5 & 139 & 9.5 & 1673 & 13.5 & 1465 & 17.6 & 5681 \\ 1.7 & 180 & 5.7 & 253 & 9.7 & 1551 & 13.1 & 1665 & 17.7 & 6661 \\ 1.7 & 180 & 5.7 & 5.3 & 9.7 & 1551 & 13.1 & 1665 & 17.7 & 6661 \\ 1.7 & 127 & 5.0 & 355 & 10.2 & 1475 & 13.4 & 1675 & 17.8 & 6661 \\ 1.7 & 127 & 5.0 & 355 & 10.2 & 1476 & 13.1 & 1667 & 17.7 & 6661 \\ 1.7 & 127 & 5.0 & 355 & 10.2 & 1478 & 14.1 & 1471 & 14.2 & 3651 \\ 2.2 & 129 & 5.2 & 573 & 10.2 & 1493 & 14.4 & 1711 & 14.1 & 3651 \\ 2.2 & 120 & 5.2 & 127 & 5.3 & 10.2 & 1186 & 14.2 & 1347 & 14.2 & 3651 \\ 2.6 & 121 & 5.7 & 5.9 & 10.2 & 1186 & 14.4 & 1417 & 14.2 & 3651 \\ 2.6 & 121 & 5.7 & 1567 & 10.2 & 1186 & 14.4 & 1417 & 14.2 & 3451 \\ 2.6 & 121 & 5.7 & 1567 & 10.2 & 1186 & 14.4 & 1417 & 14.2 & 3451 \\ 2.6 & 120 & 5.0 & 1323 & 10.2 & 1186 & 14.4 & 1417 & 14.2 & 3451 \\ 2.6 & 120 & 5.0 & 1323 & 10.2 & 1186 & 14.4 & 1417 & 14.2 & 3451 \\ 2.6 & 121 & 5.7 & 1567 & 10.2 & 1186 & 14.4 & 1511 & 1417 & 14.2 & 3451 \\ 2.6 & 121 & 5.7 & 1567 & 10.2 & 1186 & 14.4 & 1511 & 1417 & 14.2 & 3451 \\ 2.6 & 120 & 120 & 11.0 & 1187 & 14.4 & 1511 & 1771 & 2491 \\ 2.6 & 110 & 5.0 & 110.2 & 1146 & 1512 & 1764 & 1512 & 1764 & 1311 \\ 2.6 & 110 & 5.0 & 1100 & 1112 & 1440 & 1511 & 1771 & 2491 \\ 2.6 & 7.1 & 2.600 & 111.1 & 1449 & 1511 & 1771 & 2491 \\ 2.6 & 7.1 & 2.600 & 111.2 & 1493 & 15.6 & 2774 & 1726 & 2774 \\ 2.6 & 2.7 & 2$	4547 2	1.3 1602
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4584 2	1.4 1615
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	4059 2	1.5 1327
$ \begin{bmatrix} 1.7 \\ 1.8 \\ 1.8 \\ 1.9 \\ 1.9 \\ 1.9 \\ 1.9 \\ 1.9 \\ 1.9 \\ 1.9 \\ 1.1 \\ 1.9 \\ 1.1 \\ 1.9 \\ 1.1 \\ 1$	4661 2	1.6 1482
1.6     190     5.8     323     9.8     1528     13.4     1467     17.9     4466       2.1     1.2     6.0     352     10.0     1575     10.1     1497     17.9     4466       2.1     1.2     6.0     352     10.2     1575     10.1     1497     17.9     4466       2.1     1.2     6.0     352     10.2     1575     10.1     1447     11.9     4455       2.2     1.27     0.5     873     10.2     1799     14.4     11.1     14.1       2.4     1.12     0.5     1.27     0.5     1791     14.4     14.1     14.1       2.5     1.1     0.5     1.91     10.5     1.144     14.1     14.1       2.6     1.11     0.5     1.27     0.5     1591     14.4     14.1       2.6     1.11     0.5     1.144     14.1     14.1     14.1       2.6     1.11     0.5     1.28     10.2     14.6     14.1       2.6     1.11     0.5     1.24     19.4     14.1     14.1       2.6     1.12     0.5     1.24     19.4     14.1     14.1       2.6     1.11     1.14	4474 2	1.7 1405
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4456 2	1.8 142 <sup>A</sup>
2.1       1.2       6.0       352       10.5       1156       14.0       1146       18.10       16.10         2.2       1.2       6.0       352       10.5       1156       14.0       18.10       16.10       16.10         2.2       1.2       6.1       6.3       8.2       173       10.2       14.4       1171       14.1 </td <td>4452 2</td> <td>1.9 1444</td>	4452 2	1.9 1444
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3670 2	2.0 1181
2.2     12%     6.2     87%     10.2     19%     6.4.2     19%     14.2     19%     14.4.2     144.1     14.4.2     144.1     14.4.2     144.1     144.2     144.1     144.2     144.1 <td>4156 2</td> <td>2.1 1361</td>	4156 2	2.1 1361
Z-3     127     0.3     923     10.3     1379     14.1     14.1     14.3     155       Z-5     120     0.4     921     10.5     1186     14.5     17.3     155       Z-6     121     0.5     1501     10.5     1186     14.5     17.3     155       Z-6     121     0.7     1186     14.5     17.4     17.1     14.7     14.3       Z-6     121     0.7     1507     10.5     1186     14.6     17.1     14.7       Z-7     112     0.7     1507     10.5     1327     14.6     1511     18.7     1071       Z-7     110     110     13.7     14.6     15.1     1517     18.7     1071       Z-6     110     5.4     10.7     15.7     1547     10.1     171     171       Z-7     110     11.6     1147     1177     1171     171     171     171       Z-7     10     1147     1173     15.2     2714     10.1     2714       Z-7     20     11.6     1173     15.4     171     171     2714       Z-7     21     21.7     1173     174     1173     174     174 </td <td>38+1 2</td> <td>2.2 1270</td>	38+1 2	2.2 1270
2.4         120         6.4         937         10.4         1363         14.4         1771         18.4         1570           2.5         9.4         0.5         1501         10.5         1186         14.5         1570         18.5         1937           2.6         112         0.5         1567         10.5         1186         14.5         1594         18.5         1931           2.7         112         0.51         1764         10.5         1332         14.7         1591         18.6         1331           2.6         110         0.53         1873         10.5         1347         18.6         14.7         1591         18.7         1311           3.6         10.5         1874         10.5         1343         14.4         1591         18.6         10.4           3.1         0.6         7.1         2.600         11.1         1444         15.7         1971         19.1         2413           3.4         0.7         14.4         15.7         15.7         1971         19.1         2413           3.4         0.7         14.4         15.7         15.7         2413         10.2         2413	3853 2	2.3 1274
2.5         94         6.7         921         10.5         1180         14.5         1264         18.5         3991           2.7         112         6.7         1567         10.5         1131         14.5         18.5         3991           2.7         112         6.7         1567         10.5         1322         14.5         19.1         18.5         3991           2.7         113         6.9         1874         10.5         1322         14.7         1591         18.7         3070           2.7         113         0.9         1874         16.4         15.1         1771         18.7         3070           3.1         76         11.0         1157         15.9         16.7         17.1         2491           3.1         76         11.1         14.4         15.1         1771         12.1         27.4           3.2         174         15.2         15.3         2100         12.2         2471           3.4         0.7         2.493         11.4         1379         15.4         2774         2774           3.4         0.7         2.493         11.4         1379         15.4         2774	3750 2	2.4 1266
2.6     [21]     0.6     [50]     [0.6     [193]     [4.5]     [59]     [18.6]     [33]       2.8     [12]     0.7     [567]     [0.7]     [34.7]     [591]     [17.9]     [17.9]     [17.9]     [17.9]     [17.1]     [17	799н 2	2.5 1012
2.7         112         6.7         1567         10.5         10	3333 2.	2.6 1129
2.8         113         0.8         1174         10.4         10	3070 2.	2.7 1055
3.0     110     6.9     10.0     1949     16.0     1949     16.0     1940     16.0     1940     16.0     1940     2941     2941     2941     2941     2941     2941     2941     2941     2941     2941     2941     2941     2941     2941     2941     2941     2141 <t< td=""><td>5131 2</td><td>2.8 1063</td></t<>	5131 2	2.8 1063
3.6         86         7.0         1874         11.0         1157         15.0         1971         19.0         2450           3.1         36         7.1         2600         11.1         1414         15.1         1771         1971         19.1         2450           3.2         18         7.2         2640         11.1         1414         15.1         1771         1971         19.1         2450           3.2         18         7.3         2546         11.2         1345         15.3         2106         13.2         2781           3.4         67         7.4         3126         11.2         1347         15.4         2174         17.4         2781           3.4         67         7.4         3126         11.6         1347         15.6         2317         19.5         2319           3.4         53         7.4         11.6         1499         15.6         2677         19.5         2319           3.7         59         7.4         3913         11.6         1492         15.7         2564         19.7         2565           3.7         59         7.4         19.9         15.7         2647	30.43 2	2.9 1051
3.1         3.6         1.1         14.4         15.1         1770         19.1         24.15           3.2         7.8         7.2         26.60         11.1         14.4         15.2         196.0         19.1         24.15           3.4         0.7         7.3         296.6         11.2         134.9         15.2         196.0         19.1         277.1           3.4         0.7         7.4         11.2         135.6         15.4         277.1         277.1           3.4         0.7         7.4         11.2         137.9         15.4         277.4         277.1           3.5         5.3         7.5         17.9         15.4         27.7         17.5         233.4           3.6         6.6         7.5         29.3         11.6         14.39         15.6         250.1         251.4           3.7         5.9         7.7         24.9         15.7         24.5         261.3           3.7         5.9         7.7         14.9         15.6         250.7         19.6         261.3           3.7         5.9         7.7         14.9         15.6         257.4         19.7         254.5	2450 2	3.0 832
3.2         78         7.2         2.640         11.2         1349         15.2         1960         19.2         2.748           3.3         59         7.1         2.640         11.2         1345         15.3         2100         19.2         2748           3.4         67         7.4         31.2         14.6         11.2         1345         15.3         2100         19.2         2748           3.4         61         7.4         31.2         11.5         1209         15.4         2174         11.4         2774           3.6         66         7.6         3937         11.5         1209         15.6         2607         19.7         2447         19.7         2568           3.7         59         7.7         1499         15.6         2574         19.7         2568           3.8         50         7.8         10.6         11.8         1497         15.7         2564         19.8         2568           3.8         50         7.8         2574         19.7         2568         2568	2915 2	£66 1°E
3.2         6.9         7.3         2.966         11.2         1356         15.3         2.106         19.3         7.761           3.4         6.7         7.4         3123         11.4         1376         15.4         2.174         17.3         2764           3.5         53         7.6         2.996         11.5         1209         15.5         2.174         17.3         2764           3.6         59         7.6         2937         11.6         1209         15.5         2507         17.7         2533           3.7         59         7.7         3937         11.6         1493         15.6         2647         19.7         2563           3.8         56         7.8         4066         11.8         1492         15.7         2647         19.7         2564           3.8         56         7.8         4066         11.8         1482         15.9         2574         19.4         2645	2738 2	3.2 3.76
3.4         6.7         7.4         2.12         11.4         11.70         15.4         2.774         17.5         2.74           3.5         5.3         7.3         2.92         11.6         1.979         15.5         17.7         19.5         2.344           3.6         6.6         7.6         3.937         11.6         14.93         15.6         2.607         19.7         2.643           3.7         5.9         7.7         3.913         11.6         14.93         15.6         2.607         19.7         2.643           3.7         5.9         7.7         3.913         11.6         14.93         15.6         2.667         19.7         2.643           3.7         5.9         7.7         3.913         11.6         14.93         15.7         2.647         19.7         2.643           3.6         7.8         4.064         11.8         1.482         15.4         2.647         19.6         2.645           3.6         7.8         7.06         11.8         1.682         19.4         19.4         2.644         19.6         2.645	2751 2	3.3 8.98
1.5         5.3         1.5         12.5         12.6         12.5         12.7         12.5         233           3.6         6.6         7.4         3937         11.5         12.9         15.6         2507         12.5         233           3.7         59         7.1         3410         11.6         14.9         15.6         2607         17.7         2643         2613           3.8         56         7.8         4106         11.8         1482         15.6         2574         17.7         2566           3.8         56         7.8         4106         11.8         1482         15.9         2574         17.8         2566           3.6         56         7.8         40.6         11.8         1482         15.9         2574         17.8         2566	2774 2	3.4 A70
3.6         6.6         7.7         3.9         11.6         14.9         15.6         2.60         2.61         2.6	23339 2	3.5 711
<b>3.7</b> 59 <b>7.1</b> 3919 11.7 1399 15.7 2447 19.7 2556 <b>3.8</b> 56 <b>7.8</b> 4106 11.8 1482 15.8 5574 19.8 2515 <b>3.6</b> 57 7.0 7.0 10.0 11.8 1482 15.8 574 19.0 557	2683 2	3.6 824
3.8 56 7.8 4106 11.8 1482 15.8 2514 11.8 2515 7.0 7.0 10 10 10 10 2515	2556 2	3.7 757
2 C C 7 1 2130 11 0 1401 16 9404 10 1 557	2585 2	3.8 772
2•2 21 1•2 4•2 4120 11•4 1201 12•2 2004 12•1 2310	2576 2	3.9 176
4.C 39 8.0 3792 12.0 1341 10.0 2348 20.0 2158	215я 2	4.0 618

SLW CF 24 HOLR IRIPS IN THE CATEGCRY 34049 PINIPUN VALUE PAXIPUN VALUE CHECKSUM FRACK HU/TOT HWYDER CHECKSUM FRACK HU/TOT HWYDER I TAUS-PURIUE 1964 HALP ACC.5 LATIUNS 12 THE SYSTEM

NODE HWYPER TARLE HB/TUT

PERICC	TRIPS	on Lond	1416.7	PARCE	29121	PERIUD	TRIPS	PER IUO	TRIPS	PERIOD	TK LPS
			1		*				1		
0.1	c	4.1	0.8	в.1	6619	12.1	2261	16.1	4725	20.1	1664
0.2	0	4 • 2	c, L	8 • 2	6003	12.2	5138	16.2	4592	20.2	4036
	0	4.5	R 7	с. е	946.0	12.3	2227	16.3	4772	20.3	4027
0.4	Û	4.4	31	3.4	5781	12.4	2241	16.4	4962	20.4	3957
0.5	G	d • 4	ЧÜ	с. С	6004	12.5	1+60	16.5	4344	20.5	3204
0.é	с	4.6	113	н, с,	5335	12.6	2280	16.6	5587	20.6	3463
0.7	Ð	4.7	137	5°° 1	4 12 3 4	12.7	2202	1 7	5371	20.7	3194
٩•0	0	4.8	124	α.*χ	1644	12.P	5110	$16 \cdot 8$	2625	20.8	3155
0.5	2	£•5	126	в.9	41:04	12.9	5 34 4	1 t.º	5 R + 5	20.9	3179
1.C	984	5.0	112	9 • C	2932	13.0	0661	17.0	5213	21°0	2582
1.1	574	5.1	174	9.1	3125	13.1	2381	17.1	6540	21.1	2972
1-2	105	5.2	173	9.2	6.841	13.2	2230	17.2	6453	21.2	2802
1.3	462	ۍ و	186	9.3	2722	13.3	2237	17.5	6636	21.3	2772
1.4	448	Ĵ•4	195	9.4	2616	13.4	2239	17.4	6673	21.4	2775
1.5	\$04	5.5	173	9.5	2079	13.5	4661	c.11	5914	21.5	2264
1.6	117	9•¢	315	9.6	2234	13.6 -	2176	17.6	6784	21.6	2526
1.7	271	5.7	336	6.7	2120	13.7	2,098	17.7	6502	21.7	2402
1. <sup>2</sup>	6.92	5.8	437	0.8	2.04.2	13.8	2038	17.8	6443	21.R	2456
1.9	0.50	ų., d	481	9.3	1975	14.9	2027	17.4	6447	21.9	2480
2.0	180	6.0	484	10.0	1558	14.0.	1003	16.0	5312	72.0	2 Ü 2 R
2.1	212	6 <b>.</b> 1	871	10.1	1979	14.1	2059	18.1	6072	22.1	77 14
2 • 2	184	6.2	943	10.2	1870	14.2	1972	14.2	5081	22.2	2119
2.3	185	6.3	1156	10+3	1861	14.	2049	LE.3	5644	22.3	2112
2.4	111	6.4	1256	10.4	1 84 1	14.4	16.02	16.4	5502	22.4	2035
د م ۲	136	ć•9	1291	10.5	1614	14.5	1915	18.5	4470	22.5	1675
2.6	171	6.6	2055	10.6	1 H 5 7	14.6	2301	10.5	5004	22.6	1442
2 . 7	152	0.1	2141	10.7	1762	14.1	c 1 2 ?	14.7	4634	22.1	1715
2°6	151	6.8	5444	10.8	1 809	14.0	6952	1 A. H	4838	22 · A	1732
2.5	149	. 6.3	2586	10.5	1812	14.3	2425	1R. /	4 803	22.9	1714
с. С	116	7.0	2556	11.C	1554	15.0	2175	19.0	3910	23.0	1358
3.1	126	7.1	3523	11.1	1915	15.1	2927	1.01	48.34	23.1	1589
3•2	106	7.2	3653	11.2	1 A 3 2	15.2	2330	14.2	4613	23•2	1457
	96	7.3	4068	11.3	1969	15.3	3263	1.1.3	4721	23+3	1456
3.4	92	1.4	4307	11.4	1061	15.4	1100	14.4	4755	23.4	1413
	12	<-1	4126	11.5	1012	15.5	3108	14 <b>.</b> 5	4087	23.5	1157
<b>J.</b> ć	85	7.6	5502	11.6	1983	15.0	3.285	19.6	4760	23.6	1324
3.7	42	1.1	5533	11.7	1330	15.1	3 4 3 2	11.7	4504	23.7	1221
а. С	72	7.9	5879	11.8	2042	H.CI	4102	19.8	4640	23.R	1257
ک <b>، از</b>	14	6*1	0464	11.9	2076	15.9	4138	14.9	4622	23.9	1261
4.0	52	9.0	5522	12.0	1873	16.0	9739	20.0	3906	24.0	1002

SUM CF 24 FCLA TAIPS IN THE CATEGORY 51307 MINUNY VALUE MAXIMUNY VALUE 6794 CHECKSUM ERACA HUATOT ALIPER

LITADS-PURGUE 1964 TRUP ACCUMULATIONS IN THE SYSTEM

TALL TOTAL ---- NUUE ORIVER

PE&IC <sup>n</sup>	T K T P S	PEx[ou	I ~ 1 · '		10°1162	PEP 100	15165	PERIOD	1×125	PERIOU	54171
0.1		4 <b>.</b> ]	c L	8.1	4 H C H	12.1	145	11	4376	20.1	3030
0.2	Ċ.	5.42	6.9	∠ . H	444	12+2	C F () S	10+2	4260	20.2	2H40
F•0	D	4 • j	7 3	в. Э	806.5	12.3	3174	10.3	4455	20.3	2826
0.4	-	4.4	8.9	н. 4	4 7 3 3	12.4	3136	10.4	4554	20.4	2772
0.5	(1	4.5	74	3.5	4141	12.0	2811	10.5	4146	20.5	2243
3.6	-	4.0	106	а. б	4453	12.6	31 245	15.6	5174	20.6	2473
1.0	~	4 . 7	101	υ.7	4074	12.7	3080	10.1	5014	20.7	2293
ч <b>•</b> 0	2	4.8	113	н. Э	5864	14.0	31.97	15.8	5377	20.H	2287
0.5	( ·	£*5	123	8.9	1068	12.3	3235	10.1	5454	20.9	2272
1.0	460	5.0	111	0.6	2623	13.0	2787	17.0	4968	21.0	1872
1.1	4 5 2	5.1	160		1908	13.1	3184	17.1	6350	21.1	2163
1.2	410	5.2	160	2.4	2645	13.2	わもしと	17.2	5345	21.2	2055
1.3	387	5.3	173	e*6	2593	13.4	3004	17.3	6345	21.3	2630
1.4	364	5.4	181	9.4	24.74	13.4	3'108	11.4	6054	21.4	2023
1.5	258	5.5	165	9.5	1602	13.5	2596	ر ۱۲.۰۰	1554	21.5	1664
1.£	258	5.6	276	°•€	2330	13.6	2 d R a	1.1.0	0010	21.0	1847
1.7	221	5.7	C f Z	7.1	2223	13.7	2763	17.7	6223	21.7	1739
1.8	210	8.G	362	3.6	2242	13.0	2704	17.8	6430	21.н	1763
1.5	2CH	f • c	331	3°6	2203	13.9	2687	17. >	5643	21.9	1774
2•C	145	6.0	404	10.C	Ulul	[ + • ⊃	2232	14.0	4624	22.0	1458
2.1	170	6.1	2 Ü Z	10.1	2279	14.1	2674	1.3.1	512.9	22.1	1645
2.2	151	6.2	766	1-0.2	22.03	14.2	- 6465	16.2	4752	22.2	1534
2 . 3	150	6.3	+21	10.3	2213	14.3	2586	13.3	4541	22+3	1 '2 Z c' 1
2.4	142	6.4	1017	10.4	1122	14.4	2617	14.4	4537	22.4	15-09
2.5	112	6.5	1053	10.5	1978	14.5	2250	12.5	3628	22.5	1211
÷.,	1.37)	6.6	1639	10.6	2319	14.0	2738	18.6	3336	22.6	1345
2.2	127	6.7	c 1 1 z	10.7	2241	14.7	2442	19.7	3675	22.7	1251
2.P	127	6.8	2028	10.8	2324	14.9	2778	18.8	3744	22. R	1266
2.9	123	6.9	2154	10.5	2344	14.9	2319	14.3	30.47	22.9	1245
· · ·	1.5	0 * 2	2138	11.0	2044	15.0	2504	14.0	2356	23.0	10.00
3.1	106	7.1	2427	11.1	6692	15.1	3165	19.1	3489	23.1	1176
3.2	342	7.2	3036	11.2	2408	15.2	3113	19.2	3276	23.2	0601
3•3	76	7.3	53379	11.3	2454	15.3	3290	14.3	1332	23.3	1 C 8 R
3.4	52	7.4	358Ī	11.4	2500	15+4	1966	5*6]	3300	23.4	1036
3.5	6.5	۲۰۶	3453	11.5	2230	15.5	3004	14.5	2856	23.5	872
3.6	13	7.6	4524	11.6	26.97	15.6	3722	19.6	3271	23.6	1001
3.7	67	7.7	4551	11.7	2639	15.7	3041	19.7	31 47	23.7	919
3.8	64	7.8	4775	11.A	2797	15. Я	747	19.8	3147	23.8	926
3.5	66	t L	4836	11.5	2934	15.9	1433	1.14	2187	23.1	126
۰۰۲	44	в.0	0675	12.0	2 '5 A	16.0	3463	20.0	2717	24°U	740

SUP CF 24 FFL4 IMITS IN IHE CATEGORY 47165 FININUM VALUE MATRIME VALUE CFCCKSUM FRACM IFTAL EWYPEN 1-3 TAUS-PUREUE 1964 TRIP ACCUMULATIONS IN THE SYSTEM

TARLE FUIAL --- MODE HWYRER

$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	PERICC	<b>TKIPS</b>	OULMBO	TPles	PFRICE	18195	PERICO	<b>TR 1 P S</b>	PER100	IKIPS	PERICO	TRIPS
0.1         0.1 <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>***</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>									***			
0.2         0.4 <td>0.1</td> <td>-</td> <td>4.4.1</td> <td>n G</td> <td>x • 1</td> <td>7101</td> <td>12.1</td> <td>4212 -</td> <td>16.1</td> <td>6383</td> <td>20.1</td> <td>5410</td>	0.1	-	4.4.1	n G	x • 1	7101	12.1	4212 -	16.1	6383	20.1	5410
0.2         0.4         100         6.2         6978         12.3         4.9         16.4         6601         20.4         505           0.4         1.2         4.4         1.2         4.9         16.4         6601         20.4         505           0.4         1.2         4.4         1.2         4.49         16.4         6601         20.4         690           0.4         1.2         1.2         4.9         1.2         4.49         16.4         6601         20.4         690           0.4         1.2         4.4         1.2         4.49         16.4         6601         20.4         690           0.4         4.4         1.2         4.4         1.2         4.4         1.2         4.4         1.2         4.4         <	2.0	0	4.2	96	а. 2	6916	12.2	4 4 4 4	16.2	6201	20.2	5099
0.6         0.4         0.6 <td>ê.0</td> <td>0</td> <td>4 • 3</td> <td>100</td> <td>en 1 ec</td> <td>6878</td> <td>12.3</td> <td>4 2 5 4</td> <td>16.3</td> <td>6421</td> <td>20.3</td> <td>5050</td>	ê.0	0	4 • 3	100	en 1 ec	6878	12.3	4 2 5 4	16.3	6421	20.3	5050
0.5         0.6         0.5         3197         16.5         3197         16.5         527         20.5         440           0.7         0.7         0.7         112         8.7         5659         12.7         4186         16.7         7181         20.5         440           0.7         0.7         0.7         11.7         8.7         550         12.7         4495         16.7         7181         20.6         440           0.7         5.1	4.0	з	7 * 7	106	В. 4	6705	12.4	4269	10.4	(+540	20.4	4955
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.5	0	· · 5	96	х <b>.</b> 5	59 G	12.5	3797	16.5	5927	20.5	4C37
0.7         0         4.7         127         8.7         569         12.7         4186         16.7         7125         20.7         419           1.1         5.0         154         8.9         677         17.0         679         7743         20.7         419           1.1         6.0         7.1         5.0         154         9.0         7743         20.7         910         7743         20.7         910         7743         20.7         910         7743         20.7         910         7743         20.7         910         7743         20.7         910         7743         20.7         910         7743         7743         711         7743         7743         711         7743         711         7743         711         7743         711 </td <td>0.6</td> <td>÷</td> <td>4.6</td> <td>132</td> <td>R.6</td> <td>6226</td> <td>12.6</td> <td>4336</td> <td>10.6</td> <td>7381</td> <td>20.6</td> <td>4445</td>	0.6	÷	4.6	132	R.6	6226	12.6	4336	10.6	7381	20.6	4445
0.6         0.4         1.4         8.8         5007         12.4         4.47         16.8         6.61         20.8         6.61         20.8         6.61         20.8         6.61         20.8         6.61         20.8         6.61         20.8         6.61         20.8         6.61         20.8         6.61         20.8         20.	0.7	0	4.7	127	в. 7	5659	12.7	4186	16.7	7125	20.7	4108
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9.P	С	4.8	148	а. в В	5307	12.9	4 3 H 7	16.8	7631	20. A	4 C 7 1
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.5	0	6.4	153	в.9	4 R / F	12.9	3028	16.9	7742	20.9	4043
$ \begin{bmatrix} 1, 1 & 6/6 & 5/1 & 20/3 & 9.1 & 9.43 & 13.1 & 428 & 17.1 & 650 & 21.1 & 378 \\ 1, 2 & 5, 2 & 5, 3 & 22/3 & 9, 3 & 322 & 13.3 & 40, 4 & 17.1 & 650 & 21.3 & 354 \\ 1, 7 & 172 & 5, 5 & 5, 7 & 277 & 373 & 13.4 & 50, 4 & 17.2 & 656 & 21.4 & 553 & 22.4 & 24.4 & 23.4 & $	1.0	181	5.0	141	9°C	3 5 5 2	13.0	3303	17.0	6.900	21.0	3378
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1.1	674	5.1	203	9.1	3R34	13.1	4328	17.1	8505	21.1	3766
$ \begin{bmatrix} 1, 2 & 5, 1 & 5, 3 & 2, 3 & 3, 2 \\ 1, 2 & 5, 2 & 2, 3 & 3, 2 \\ 1, 2 & 1, 3 & 3, 2 \\ 1, 2 & 1, 3 & 3, 2 \\ 1, 3 & 1, 1 & 3, 1 & 3, 3 \\ 1, 4 & 1, 1 & 3, 1 & 3, 4 \\ 1, 7 & 1, 1 & 3, 1 & 3, 4 \\ 1, 7 & 1, 1 & 3, 1 & 3, 4 \\ 1, 7 & 1, 1 & 3, 1 & 3, 4 \\ 1, 7 & 1, 1 & 3, 1 & 3, 1 & 1, 1 & 3, 1 \\ 1, 7 & 1, 1 & 1, 1 & 3, 1 & 3, 1 \\ 1, 7 & 1, 1 & 1, 1 & 3, 1 & 3, 1 \\ 1, 7 & 1, 1 & 1, 1 & 3, 1 & 3, 1 & 1, 1 & 3, 1 \\ 1, 7 & 1, 1 & 1, 1 & 3, 1 & 3, 1 & 1, 1 & 3, 1 & 3, 1 \\ 1, 7 & 1, 1 & 1, 1 & 1, 1 & 3, 1 & 1, 1 & 3, 1 & 3, 1 \\ 2, 7 & 2, 1 & 6, 1 & 9, 6 & 1, 1 & 2, 1 & 3, 1 & 1, 1 & 3, 1 & 1 \\ 2, 7 & 2, 1 & 6, 1 & 9, 6 & 1, 1 & 2, 1 & 3, 1 & 1, 1 & 3, 1 & 1, 1 & 7, 1 & 1, 2 & 2, 1 & 2, 1 \\ 2, 7 & 2, 1 & 6, 1 & 1, 9, 6 & 1, 0 & 2, 2, 2 & 1, 1 & 1, 1 & 1, 1 & 1, 1 & 1, 1 & 1, 1 & 1, 1 & 1, 1 \\ 2, 7 & 1, 1 & 0, 1 & 1, 2 & 1, 1 & 1, 1 & 1, 1 & 1, 1 & 1, 1 & 1, 1 & 1, 1 & 1, 1 & 1, 1 & 2, 2 & 2, 2 & 2, 2 \\ 2, 7 & 1, 1 & 0, 1 & 1, 2 & 1, 1 & 1, 2 & 3, 1 & 1, 1 & 1, 1 & 1, 1 & 2, 2 & 2, 2 & 2, 2 \\ 2, 7 & 1, 1 & 0, 1 & 1, 2 & 1, 1 & 1, 2 & 3, 1 & 1, 1 & 1, 1 & 1, 1 & 2, 2 & 2, 2 & 2, 2 & 2, 2 \\ 2, 7 & 1, 1 & 0, 1 & 1, 2 & 2, 1 & 1, 1 & 1, 2 & 3, 1 & 1, 1 & 1, 2 & 3, 1 & 1, 1 & 2, 2 & 2 &$	1.2	502	5.2	207	9.2	3524	13.2	4:049	11.2	6363	21.2	3560
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1.3	54.)	5.3	223	6 <b>*</b> 5	3426	13.3	4364	17.3	H533	21.3	34.15
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1.4	522	5.4	233	9.4	1311	13.4	1904	17.4	8552	21.4	44 / P
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	 	164	3.5	217	G.P	2715	13.5	3 5 0 4	17.5	7558	21.5	28 36
$ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 11 & 5 & 4 & 41 & 5 & 41 & 5 & 5 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & 5 & 4 & 41 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & $	1.6	278	¢•1	365	9.6	3038	13.6	1065	17.6	6.510	21.6	3149
$ \begin{bmatrix} 1.6 & 1.0' & 5.7 & 4.1' & 5.0 & 3.1' & 1.1.' & 100' & 21.P & 303 \\ 2.6 & 712 & 5.0 & 544 & 10.0 & 2312 & 14.0 & 2107 & 17.7 & 0591 & 21.0 & 240 \\ 2.1 & 240 & 6.1 & 1954 & 10.0 & 2312 & 14.1 & 2407 & 11.1' & 1770 & 22.0 & 240 \\ 2.2 & 2.1' & 6.0 & 3.4' & 10.0 & 2312 & 14.1 & 3408 & 14.1 & 7770 & 22.0 & 240 \\ 2.2 & 2.0' & 6.0 & 5.1 & 1397 & 10.0 & 2443 & 14.2 & 3468 & 14.1 & 7770 & 22.0 & 240 \\ 2.6 & 100 & 6.0 & 5.2 & 1437 & 10.0 & 2463 & 14.2 & 3468 & 14.1 & 7770 & 22.0 & 240 \\ 2.6 & 100 & 6.0 & 5.2 & 1437 & 10.0 & 2463 & 14.2 & 3468 & 14.2 & 5757 & 220 & 240 \\ 2.6 & 100 & 6.0 & 5.2 & 1437 & 10.0 & 2463 & 14.2 & 3468 & 14.2 & 5757 & 220 & 200 \\ 2.6 & 110 & 0.0 & 2.76 & 10.0 & 2463 & 14.2 & 3400 & 14.1 & 7770 & 22.0 & 200 \\ 2.6 & 110 & 0.0 & 2.76 & 10.0 & 2463 & 14.2 & 3400 & 14.1 & 7770 & 22.0 & 200 \\ 2.6 & 110 & 0.0 & 2.76 & 10.0 & 2376 & 16.0 & 3400 & 14.1 & 5767 & 22.0 & 200 \\ 2.6 & 110 & 0.0 & 2.76 & 10.0 & 2376 & 16.0 & 18.1 & 5760 & 22.0 & 200 \\ 2.6 & 110 & 2.7 & 2043 & 11.1 & 2264 & 15.1 & 3400 & 19.1 & 0607 & 22.0 & 200 \\ 2.6 & 110 & 2.7 & 6435 & 11.1 & 2264 & 15.1 & 3400 & 19.1 & 0607 & 22.0 & 200 \\ 2.6 & 110 & 2.7 & 6435 & 11.1 & 2264 & 15.1 & 4407 & 19.1 & 0607 & 22.0 & 200 \\ 2.6 & 110 & 2.7 & 6435 & 11.1 & 2264 & 15.1 & 4407 & 19.1 & 5660 & 23.0 & 1102 \\ 2.6 & 110 & 2.7 & 6400 & 10.1 & 5100 & 23.0 & 1000 \\ 2.6 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 12.0 & 0100 & 15.7 & 5451 & 10.1 & 5000 & 23.0 & 1012 \\ 2.6 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 15.7 & 5657 & 10.0 & 567 & 20.0 & 0517 & 23.0 & 1012 \\ 2.6 & 0.0 & 0.05 & 12.0 & 3772 & 10.0 & 15.0 & 567 & 20.0 & 0517 & 23.0 & 1012 \\ 2.6 & 0.0 & 0.05 & 12.0 & 0501 & 15.0 & 20.0 & 0501 & 23.0 & 1012 \\ 2.6 & 0.0 & 0.05 & 15.7 & 5657 & 10.0 & 2510 & 1012 \\ 2.6 & 0.0 & 0.05 & 15.7 & 5657 & 10.0 & 2510 & 1012 \\ 2.6 & 0.0 & 0.05 & 12.0 & 0501 & 23.0 & 1012 \\ 2.6 & 0.0 & 0.05 & 12.0 & 0001 & 15.4 & 5670 & 23.0 & 1012 \\ 2.6 & 0.0 & 0.05 & 12.0 & 0001 & 15.4 & 5670 & 2000 & 120.1 & 2000 & 1500 \\ 2.6 & 0.0 & 0.00 & 12.0 & 0001 & 15.4 & 5600 & 100.1 & 2000 & 1500 & 2000 & 1001 & 2000 & 1001 \\ 2.6 & 0.00 & 0.00 $	1.7	318	2.1	381	1 · 1	1647	13.7	3738	17.7	8122	21.7	2471
$ \begin{bmatrix} 1, 9 & 722 & 5, 9 & 549 & 9, 9 & 2829 & 11, 9 & 3617 & 17, 7 & 7940 & 21, 9 & 394 \\ 2.1 & 741 & 6.0 & 944 & 10.0 & 2312 & 16, 1 & 3937 & 16, 0 & 6501 & 22.0 & 278 \\ 2.2 & 2.0 & 6.1 & 1954 & 10.1 & 2312 & 16, 1 & 3548 & 14, 1 & 777 & 22.2 & 278 \\ 2.3 & 2.0 & 6.5 & 1269 & 10.2 & 220 & 14, 2 & 3548 & 14, 2 & 244 & 224 \\ 2.4 & 708 & 6.5 & 1269 & 10.2 & 2320 & 14, 2 & 3548 & 14, 2 & 254 & 223 & 256 \\ 2.6 & 196 & 6.5 & 2263 & 10.6 & 2368 & 16, 4 & 3548 & 14, 2 & 254 & 223 & 256 \\ 2.6 & 196 & 6.5 & 2263 & 10.6 & 2368 & 16, 4 & 3548 & 14, 2 & 264 & 224 & 256 \\ 2.6 & 196 & 6.5 & 2263 & 10.6 & 2368 & 16, 4 & 3548 & 14, 7 & 776 & 722 & 200 \\ 2.6 & 196 & 6.5 & 2263 & 10.6 & 2368 & 16, 7 & 3540 & 11.2 & 2368 & 224 & 224 & 264 \\ 2.7 & 110 & 6.4 & 2375 & 10.6 & 2378 & 16, 7 & 3400 & 14, 7 & 5664 & 224 & 264 \\ 2.6 & 110 & 6.4 & 2446 & 15.1 & 6236 & 11.0 & 2264 & 15.1 & 6093 & 12.1 & 6717 & 23.1 & 100 \\ 2.6 & 110 & 7.1 & 2846 & 11.1 & 1224 & 15.1 & 6093 & 19, 1 & 6717 & 23.1 & 101 \\ 2.6 & 110 & 7.1 & 2846 & 11.1 & 1224 & 15.1 & 6093 & 19, 1 & 6917 & 22.4 & 201 \\ 2.6 & 110 & 7.1 & 2846 & 11.1 & 1224 & 15.1 & 6093 & 19, 1 & 6917 & 22.4 & 201 \\ 2.6 & 100 & 7.1 & 2846 & 11.1 & 1224 & 15.1 & 6093 & 19, 1 & 9693 & 22.4 & 201 \\ 2.6 & 100 & 7.1 & 6003 & 11.1 & 700 & 12.4 & 9603 & 23.4 & 101 \\ 2.6 & 616 & 11.1 & 100 & 15.6 & 5510 & 19, 1 & 9693 & 23.4 & 101 \\ 2.6 & 616 & 11.1 & 6003 & 15.6 & 5510 & 19, 1 & 9693 & 23.4 & 101 \\ 2.6 & 616 & 11.1 & 6003 & 15.6 & 5510 & 10, 2 & 9510 & 23.4 & 101 \\ 2.6 & 616 & 11.1 & 6003 & 15.6 & 5510 & 10, 2 & 5617 & 20.6 & 23.4 & 101 \\ 2.6 & 616 & 11.1 & 6003 & 15.6 & 5567 & 10, 2 & 5617 & 20.6 & 23.4 & 101 \\ 2.6 & 616 & 11.1 & 6003 & 15.6 & 5567 & 10, 2 & 5617 & 20.6 & 23.4 & 101 \\ 2.6 & 616 & 11.1 & 6003 & 15.7 & 5603 & 101 & 20.6 & 6013 & 23.4 & 101 \\ 2.6 & 616 & 11.1 & 6003 & 15.7 & 5603 & 20.0 & 6023 & 23.4 & 101 \\ 2.6 & 610 & 11.1 & 6003 & 15.7 & 5601 & 20.0 & 6023 & 20.1 & 102 \\ 2.6 & 0.0 & 0.00 & 12.2 & 3000 & 12.2 & 30.0 & 121 & 102 & 20.0 & 1021 & 20.0 & 1021 \\ 2.6 & 0.0 & 0.00 & 12.2 & 300 $	1 • P	302	а. З	26.5	9.6	29)3	13.8	3738	17.8	8.06.9	21.P	3036
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1.9	2.4.2	5.3	5 48	6.6	28.99	6.1	3617	17.4	7989	21.9	3045
2:1     2:4;     6:1     9:4;     10:1     2:13     14:1     1770     2:2:1     2:2:1       2:2     2:17     6:1     9:54     10:1     2:13     14:1     1770     2:2:1     2:2:2       2:3     2:17     6:5     19:37     10:2     2:5:4     14:1     1770     2:2:1     2:2:3       2:4     19:4     6:5     1:37     10:2     2:5:5     14:1     3:4:3     3:7:5     2:2:3       2:4     19:4     6:5     1:37     10:5     2:5:5     14:1     3:4:0     17:6     2:2:2       2:7     19:4     6:5     1:4:7     3:4:0     11:4     5:4:7     14:2     5:4:7       2:7     19:3     0:1     2:7:7     2:4:5     10:2     2:5:7     14:1     5:7:7     2:2:7       2:6     13'     7.0     2:4'5     11:1     2:27     14:1     3:10     14:1     5:7:7       3:1     11:1     5:17     14:1     3:10     14:1     3:10     14:1     5:17     2:11       3:2     10:2     2:25     11:1     10:1     2:24     15:1     3:10     2:11     2:11       3:1     11:1     5:17     14:1     3:17     1	2.0	213	6.0	546	10.C	2312	14.0	1647	16.0	6561	22.0	5473
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2.1	543	6.1	954	10.1	2913	14.1	3534	18.1	7370	22.1	2761
2.2       217       0.3       1264       10.2       2843       14.3       3488       19.3       6756       22.3       217       0.4       1943       7564       27.3       27.4	2.2	220	h.2	1046	10.2	2320	14.2	3427	1H.2	6845	22.2	2550
2.4       7.00       6.4       1393       10.4       2.84       74.4       53.48       14.4       53.48       24.7       22.4       20.4         2.6       19.4       6.6       2.26.3       10.5       2.847       14.5       37.6       57.6       22.7       20.4         2.7       113       6.6       2.26.3       10.6       2.877       14.5       37.6       59.7       22.7       20.7       22.7	2.3	217	6.3	1263	10.3	2843	14.3	3488	1 H . 3	6754	22.3	215
2.5     160     0.5     14.3     10.5     2.495     14.5     3704     16.5     5375     22.5     20.7       2.7     173     6.7     2375     10.5     2.495     14.5     3704     16.5     2375     22.5     22.6     21.7       2.7     113     6.7     2375     10.7     2.375     10.7     2.375     14.7     340     14.7     564     22.6     21.7       2.7     113     7.1     2745     10.7     2.377     14.7     3731     17.7     17.7       3.1     113     7.1     2445     111.7     22.64     15.1     94.97     17.1     20.1       3.1     113     7.1     2445     111.7     22.64     15.1     17.1     517     22.1       3.1     113     7.1     2445     111.7     22.64     15.1     14.0     17.1     201       3.2     102     7.2     6.415     11.1     22.64     15.1     14.7     21.1     21.1       3.2     102     7.2     6.415     15.0     34.90     17.1     51.1     21.1       3.4     102     7.4     21.1     22.64     15.1     47.8     19.1     21.	2.4	2.04	64	139)	10.4	2442	14.4	3538	124.4	6516	22.4	24 22
2.4       194       6.6       2263       10.6       2485       14,6       3140       14,6       0.047       22.6       21,7       20,7       21,7       20,7       21,7       20,7       21,7       20,7       21,7       20,7       21,7       20,7       21,7       20,7       21,7       20,7       21,7       20,7       21,7       20,7       21,7       20,7       21,7       20,1       20,1       <	2.5	160	6.5	1437	10.5	2 3 5 R	14.5	3764	12.5	5375	22.5	20.00
2.7     173     6.4     7.375     10.7     2375     14.4     3610     14.7     5610     27.7     70.0       2.6     167     6.9     2767     10.7     2375     10.7     2375     10.7     2375     10.7     2375     10.7     2375     10.7     2375     10.7     2376     10.7     2377     10.7     2301     14.7     3610     14.7     5614     77.0     244     22.1     2011       3.1     11.9     7.1     2445     11.1     22.4     15.1     4400     19.1     5717     20.1     187       3.1     11.9     7.1     2445     11.1     22.4     15.1     4407     19.1     5717     20.1     187       3.2     10.2     7.3     6437     11.1     22.4     15.1     4407     19.2     21.1     21.	2.6	194	6.6	2263	10.6	2385	14•6	3740	19.6	5047	22.6	2133
2.6         1/0         6.9         2767         10.8         2374         14.4         3733         14.4         3733         14.4         3733         14.4         3733         14.4         3733         24.4         22.4         20	2.1	173	6.7	2375	19.7	2872	14.7	3610	1 H • 7	5584	22.1	2024
2.5       167       5.1       2.845       110.5       3072       15.1       34.0       14.1       56.1       22.3       30         3.1       11.3       7.0       2.845       11.1       7.245       15.1       400       14.1       46.1       27.1       23.1       18.1         3.1       11.9       7.1       2.845       11.1       7.245       15.1       400       19.1       46.1       23.1       18.1         3.2       11.6       7.17       2.845       11.1       12.2       47.0       19.1       5717       23.1       18.1         3.2       11.6       7.24       11.2       11.2       11.2       47.0       19.1       54.1       23.1       18.1         3.4       10.2       7.5       4.637       11.1       2.946       19.4       24.1       23.4       17.1         3.4       10.2       7.5       4.637       11.4       7.667       23.4       17.1       23.4       17.1         3.4       10.2       7.4       4.815       11.6       7.4       4.817       23.4       17.1       23.4       17.1       23.4       17.1       23.4       17.1       23.4	2 • P	17.0	6.8	2762	10.8	7162	14.9	57.94	16.6	5744	26.8	ンしょう
3.1       1.9       7.0       2.46       11.0 $2.026$ 15.0       34.0       19.1 $6.67$ 23.0       10.2         3.2       11.0       7.1       389.4       11.1       12.2       11.5       7.1       23.1       19.1 $57.1$ 23.1       19.2         3.2       11.0       7.1       389.4       11.1       12.6       15.7       450.7       10.1       57.17       23.1       19.1         2.2       10.0       7.2       4815       11.2       10.5       15.7       450.7       19.2       561.7       23.3       17.5         3.4       10.2       7.4       4815       11.5       32.6       15.7       450.7       19.2       561.7       23.3       17.5         3.5       7.4       11.5       32.9       15.7       457.0       19.4       561.7       23.4       17.7         3.6       7.4       12.5       467.0       19.4       50.15       23.4       10.2         3.6       7.7       6.36       11.6       15.6       55.3       10.7       54.9       23.4       10.2         3.6       8       7.7       6.36       11.8 </td <td>2.5</td> <td>167</td> <td>6.3</td> <td>2 A 7 I</td> <td>10.5</td> <td>2101</td> <td>[4•3</td> <td>3866</td> <td>18.31</td> <td>1696</td> <td>52.4</td> <td>2016</td>	2.5	167	6.3	2 A 7 I	10.5	2101	[4•3	3866	18.31	1696	52.4	2016
3.1     11)     7.1     383     11.1     1224     15.1     4609     10.1     5717     23.1     19.1       2.2     109     7.2     4047     11.2     3197     15.3     4607     10.1     5717     23.2     17.6       3.2     109     7.2     4047     11.2     3197     15.3     4647     10.2     5411     23.3     17.6       3.4     102     7.4     4815     11.4     204     10.4     5417     23.4     17.6       3.4     102     7.4     4815     11.4     204     10.4     5417     23.4     17.6       3.4     94     102     7.4     4815     11.4     204     10.4     53.4     17.6       3.4     94     104     10.4     4412     10.4     54.6     23.4     10.2       3.6     94     7.6     412     11.6     19.6     53.6     10.2       3.6     7.7     6430     11.6     15.6     5510     19.4     5646     23.4     10.2       3.7     6436     11.6     15.6     5510     19.4     5646     23.4     10.1       3.8     82     7.8     6036     11.9	3°C	130	0°+ L	2945	11.0	2626	15.0	3450	14.0	4651	23.0	1621
3.2     116     7.2     4.47     11.2     3105     15.2     4.667     19.2     5.441     23.2     17       3.4     102     7.4     4.815     11.4     3154     15.4     47.8     19.1     5.615     23.4     17.1       3.4     102     7.4     4.815     11.4     32.54     15.4     47.8     19.1     5.615     23.4     17.1       3.4     102     7.4     4.815     11.4     32.54     15.4     47.0     17.1     5.615     23.4     17.1       3.6     7.5     4.637     11.5     7.905     15.5     54.12     19.5     54.12     19.4     23.5     14.2       3.6     7.6     7.6     7.6     7.6     7.6     7.6     7.6     7.6     17.1     23.5     14.2       3.7     11.5     7.05     15.7     55.1     10.7     56.7     10.7     23.6     10.2       3.6     85     7.7     63.36     11.1     5.7     56.7     10.7     56.7     10.7       3.7     85.7     15.7     56.7     15.7     56.7     10.7     56.7     10.7       3.8     7.7     63.36     11.5     37.7     15.7	3.1	661	1.1	3883	11.1	3224	15.1	6055	17.1	5717	23.1	19.45
3.2         109         1.3         4512         11.3         1187         15.3         47.8         19.3         5615         23.4         17           3.6         102         7.4         4815         11.4         3254         15.4         470         19.4         5615         23.4         17           3.6         102         7.4         4815         11.4         3254         15.4         470         19.4         5615         23.4         17           3.6         9         7.5         4635         11.4         3254         15.4         4412         10.4         5617         23.4         11.4           3.6         94         7.6         643         11.4         3254         15.4         5335         14.2           3.7         847         15.4         5335         19.4         546         23.4         10.4           3.8         82         7.7         6436         11.4         5647         19.4         5646         23.4         151           3.7         83         10.7         5438         19.4         5648         23.4         151           3.8         82         7.7         6436	3.2	116	1.2	4047	11.2	3105	15.0	4367	14.2	54.85	23+2	1754
3.4         102         7.4         4.815         11.6         2.554         15.4         4.870         11.4         5.643         2.14         1.664         2.54         1.74         2.664         2.54         1.74         2.664         2.54         1.74         2.664         2.54         1.74         2.664         2.54         1.24         2.664         2.54         1.24         2.664         2.54         1.24         2.664         2.34         1.04           3.6         94         7.6         6412         11.6         7.489         15.6         5410         12.4         2.693         10.4         2.34	01 01	105	1.3	4532	11.3	3187	15.3	4748	19.3	2105	23.3	1768
3.6         79         7.5         46.3         11.5         2105         15.5         54.12         12.5         64.94         23.5         14.2           3.7         9.4         1.6         61.6         11.7         7.49         15.6         54.12         12.4         54.93         23.4         16.2           3.7         9.5         7.1         6.76         11.7         7.49         15.7         54.93         19.4         56.93         23.4         16.1           3.8         82         7.4         6.36         11.8         56.09         15.7         54.78         19.4         56.46         23.4         14.1           3.8         82         7.4         6.36         11.8         56.09         15.8         56.87         19.4         56.30         53.4         14.1           3.6         85         7.9         67.30         11.8         56.09         15.4         56.57         12.4         56.30         15.1           4.0         85         7.9         57.00         15.4         56.67         12.4         56.17         23.9         15.1           4.0         86.7         15.9         56.67         12.4         56.17	3.4	102	7.4	4815	11.4	3254	15.4	4.9.70	1.1.4	2664	23.4	1717
3.6         94         7.6         616         11.6         7.89         15.6         510         19.4         693         23.4         10.2           3.7         84         7.6         616         11.6         7.69         15.7         5935         10.7         5640         23.4         10.4           3.8         82         7.4         6636         11.8         5609         15.7         5478         10.4         5640         23.4         151           3.8         82         7.4         6636         11.8         5609         15.7         5667         19.4         5640         23.4         151           3.6         85         7.4         6436         11.5         3772         15.9         5667         19.4         5617         23.9         151           3.6         85         7.4         6403         11.5         3772         15.9         5667         19.4         26.1         23.4         151           3.6         85         7.4         5400         11.5         3772         15.1         20.5         6417         23.9         151           3.6         85         7.4         5400         512.2	3*5	61	7.5	4631	11.5	2.015	d.el	4412	19.5	48.94	23.5	1425
5.7         85         7.1         6250         11.7         34.0         15.7         5335         19.7         5486         23.7         141           3.8         82         7.8         6336         11.8         36.09         15.8         56.78         11.4         50.9         15.9         56.78         11.4         50.3         151           3.6         85         7.3         6336         11.1         8.70         15.9         56.77         11.4         50.17         23.9         151           4.6         630         12.0         33.78         15.9         56.77         11.4         56.17         23.9         151           4.6         60         8.2         23.78         16.0         512.2         20.0         482.2         24.0         121	3.6	94	1.6	4162	11.6	14.89	15.6	5510	14.6	1693	23+(	14.21
3.6         3.2         7.8         6636         11.8         56.09         15.8         56.28         14.8         56.36         23.4         151           3.5         85         7.9         6736         11.9         3702         15.9         56.67         19.4         56.17         23.9         151           3.5         85         7.9         6736         11.5         3702         15.9         56.67         19.4         56.17         23.9         151           3.5         85         7.9         6736         12.6         3702         15.9         56.67         19.4         56.17         23.9         151           3.6         12.6         3702         15.0         51.22         20.0         4.82.5         24.0         12.1	5.7	85	1.1	6250	11.7	3406	15.7	5335	19.7	54.86	23.7	1494
3.5 85 7.9 6736 11.5 3702 15.9 5667 12.9 5617 23.9 151 4.C 60 8.0 8.0 12.C 3378 16.0 5122 20.0 4825 24.0 121	3.8	32	7.8	6636	11.8	3609	15.A	562 A	19.R	5635	23 . H	1516
4.C 60 R.J 630ª 12.C 3378 16.0 5122 20.0 4825 24.0 121	3.5	85	6.7	6736	11.9	3702	15.9	5667	1.4.4	5617	23.9	1515
	4 • C	60	в. О	6309	12.C	3378	16.0	5122	20.0	4825	24.0	1218

TRIP CCUNTS FOR SAMPLE 1 BY MODE DRIVER

HCUR	WORK	SH0P	S CHOOL	PB-NC	SRHEM	CTHER	+8×0K K	НВ SHOP	нв-SCL	нв₽вм⊔ 	HBSREM	нвотнк
1	10	0	0	1	12	1	80	0	0	٦	10	0
2	æ	0	0	J	2	0	8	0	0	0	4	0
\$	6	-1	0		I	0	8	ľ	0	0	I	0
4	14	2	0	0	1	0	11	1	0	0	1	0
5	44	2	0	0	0	1	36	1	0	0	0	1
9	230	2	ç		11	8	201	2	5	1	10	7
1	400	12	59	6	2	11	387	11	51	8	7	10
8	209	23	50	20	18	3	151	19	46	16	15	2
6	107	58	5	27	25	0	50	45	4	22	22	0
10	89	128	6	6.4	39	5	22	85	8	33	35	5
11	124	110	18	4	45	5	31	84	16	22	32	5
12	1 80	85	24	47	43	¢	58	57	19	31	32	4
13	126	9.6	2	44	46	3	39	65	1	28	38	£
14	149	63	21	37	34	9	72	62	18	24	23	5
15	217	73	38	27	49	70	123	50	27	26	<b>9</b> 6	16
16	350	129	14	28	63	41	250	104	10	22	52	32
17	292	125	15	21	92	32	229	104	14	18	76	28
18	108	113	10	37	114	17	92	06	90	31	101	16
19	40	137	£	21	138	9	28	105	ŝ	18	115	9
20	21	96	2	6	96	6	12	74	2	7	78	æ
21	41	49	9	12	13	6	35	38	5	10	58	8
22	44	13	-	4	90	9	38	6	I	2	47	5
23	31	5	1	e	47	6	25	4	I	Ē	36	8
24	33	2	0	2	13	4	25	2	0	I	11	ŝ

TRIP COUNTS FOR SAMPLE I BY MODE PASNGR

HCUR	¥ OK K	SHOP	SCHOOL	P8-NC	SR-FM	C THER	HB # 0K ×	НВ SHOP	HB-SCL	HBPBMD	HBSREM	н801 нR 
1	e	0	0	0	5	a	2	C	0	o	ſ	C
2	-	0	c	C			ı				n 17	
•	2	0	0	0	0	0	. ~	0	0 0			
4	2	2	0	0		0	2	-		00		
5	15	0	0	0		0	13	0	0	0		0
¢	65	7	÷.	-	n	t	64	1	-			4
7	129	ţ	80	2	12	5	118	4	19	-	11	5
8	90	7	53	8	60	1	27	9	51	-	1	~
6	13	24	4	14	20	0	7	21	m	12	18	0
10	2	6 E	t	17	80	0	e	24	e	10	2	0
11	19	36	20	12	29	7	4	26	17	8	21	-
12	38	37	28	18	33	2	10	26	21	17	25	2
13	10	37	ŝ	10	25	0	4	16	4	9	20	0
14	25	37	14	13	22	0	18	24	12	9	18	0
15	63	42	67	10	29	2	42	28	62	6	26	2
16	93	56	8	17	51	t	78	42	9	15	44	, en
17	83	63	12	6	63	e	68	50	10	7	53	e
18	25	64	10	16	107	1	20	58	7	12	43	1
19	16	109	4	14	148	4	12	86	2	11	131	ĩ
20	14	74	e	4	100	0	11	64	2	4	82	0
21	æ	22	4	4	62	1	9	19	۴	ŝ	48	-
22	2	01	1	7	61	1	9	4	0	1	48	1
23	10	0	2	0	45	4	6	0	2	0	35	ŝ
24	æ	1	, ,	Ē	18	0	7	1	1	e	17	0

TRIP COUNTS FUR SAMPLE 1 BY MODE TRNSIF

НВОТНК 	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	1	2	0	Ē	c	0	0	0	0	0
HBSREM	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	С	1	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	۰ ۲	2	1	2	1	-1	1	0
HBPBMO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	٣	0	4	4	e	2	m	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
нв-SCL	0	0	0	0	0	2	183	163	2	2	2	12	0	89	198	22	1	1	2	4	1	0	0	0
нв SHDP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	~	ç	4	£	2	5	1	2	2	2	1	¢.	0	0	0	0
TE 5 OR X	0	0	0	0	7	14	38	23	6	6	٩	0	5	£	15	24	44	10	1	4	£	-1	2	2
C THER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	£	0	0	0	0	0	0
SR-EM	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	¢,	2	1	2	1	1	1	0
P8-N0	ر،	0	0	0	0	0	0	r n	2	Ē	0	4	4	F.	6	Ē	2	U	0	С	0	D	C	0
S CHOOL	0	0	0	0	0	2	183	164	2	2	5	12	l	06	199	24	1	T	2	4	1	0	0	0
SHDP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	•	9	t	Ś	2	5	2	t	2	٩	1	Ē	1	0	0	0
R CR K	0	0	0	0	7	14	42	26	6	9	Ŷ	-	9	4	16	30	50	11	1	ŝ	۴	1	2	2
HCUR	1	2	•	4	ŝ	9	~	æ	6	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24

AVERAGE TAIP LENGTHS FOR SAMPLE 1 BY MODE DRIVER

HOUR	MORK	SHOP	S CHOOL	P8-MD	SR-EM	OTHER 	HBWORK	H ESHCP	HB-SCL	HBPBMO	HB SR EM	HB01HR
-	18.6	•0	• 0	20.0	15.8	19.C	16.6	•0	0.	20.0	15.4	•0
2	15.9	•0	•0	•0	10.0	•0	15.9	•0	• 0	•0	10.5	• 0
e	20.8	7.0	•0	•0	12.0	•0	20.4	7.0	.0	• 0	12.0	•0
4	15.6	26.0	•0	.0	15.0	•0	18.0	31.0	••	• 0	15.0	0
2	16.7	14.5	•0	•0	••	6.C	16.9	4.0	•0	• 0	• 0	6.0
9	17.4	18.5	13.6	17.0	8.1	15.5	17.4	18.5	13.6	17.0	8.2	14.3
- 1	17.1	11.4	10.3	15.9	10.1	11.5	17.7	11.3	10.8	16.0	10.1	12.1
8	16.2	11.9	9.6	12.0	13.1	11.C	16.8	12.8	9.5	12.2	13.5	10.5
6	15.3	11.2	17.2	13.1	12.0	•0	16.1	10.7	17.0	13.0	11.7	••
10	13.6	9.5	11.1	13.6	11.3	8.2	17.5	10.0	11.2	14.8	10.7	8.2
11	13.3	10.6	9.2	14.5	12.0	9.2	16.2	10.2	9.3	14.9	12.4	9.2
12	12.1	10.7	0.6	13.8	11.7	12 • C	14.4	10.3	8.7	13.1	12.8	12.0
13	13.4	11.7	8.5	12.2	13.7	5.7	14.4	11.5	<b>0°6</b>	11.7	14.0	5.7
14	14.9	11.7	10.1	12.8	15.0	9.3	17.1	10.7	9.8	12.5	14.8	10.4
15	15.1	10.8	10.5	15.6	13.3	10.3	16.1	9.4	10.1	15.9	13.4	<b>6.4</b>
16	17.1	10.7	15.2	14.5	1.61	12.6	17.6	10.5	14.8	12.0	13.9	13.4
17	17.5	10.3	11.8	10.6	13.1	14.5	18.8	10.0	11.7	10.6	12.6	13.9
18	14.7	9.6	17.1	13.7	12.1	10.0	15.2	6°6	18.2	14.3	12.2	10.2
19	14.8	9.7	13.0	15.5	13.0	8 <b>.</b> 8	15.4	9.7	13.0	15.5	13.2	8.8
20	17.5	10.9	25.0	12.4	12.0	11.9	22.0	10.8	25.0	13.1	12.1	12.9
21	14.5	9.6	14.8	14.5	11.1	10.2	15.3	6.9	14.2	14.0	10.6	10.7
22	17.4	14.2	28.0	15.5	14.4	11.6	18.0	12.6	28.0	18.5	14.7	11.8
23	17.4	10.8	28.0	9.3	16.0	12.4	18.3	<b>6</b> •6	28.0	9.3	15.5	11.6
24	17.7	16.0	•0	17.5	15.2	7.2	17.5	16.0	0.	27.0	15.6	7.7

AVERAGE THIP LENGTHS FOR SAMPLE I BY MCDE PASNGR

HOUR	NOXX IIII	SHOP	SCHOOL	PB-M0	SR-EM 	0THER	HBWORK	H ESHOP	НВ-SCL	H8P8MD	HB SR EM	нвотня 
-1	8•0	•	•	•0	13.0	.0	0 • C	••	•	0.	13.0	•
2	12.0	•	•0	•	11.3	•0	12.0	•0	0.	•0	11.3	•0
m	16.0	•	•0	•0	.0	•0	16.0	•0	•0	• 0	•0	•0
4	13.0	18.5	•0	•0	16.0	.0	13.0	16.0	•0	•0	16.0	•0
ŝ	16.1	•0	•0	•0	22.0	0.	17.7	.0	•0	•0	22.0	•0
9	17.7	18.0	14.0	7.0	15.3	11.5	17.6	18.0	14.0	1.0	15.3	11.5
7	17.2	15.0	8.8	16.5	12.7	1.2	17.6	15.0	8.8	10.0	11.8	7.2
80	15.4	7.6	0*6	17.6	8.6	17.C	16.6	8.2	9.1	18.6	7.3	17.0
6	11.2	12.0	11.0	12.1	15.5	-0	9.9	12.4	13.0	12.4	15.4	•0
10	19-0	12.0	6.2	14.2	12.9	• C	18.7	13.1	7.7	15.0	13.3	•0
11	13.3	11.9	9.8	12.3	13.0	21.C	12.2	12.4	10.5	11.4	11.8	21.0
12	12.0	11.5	8.1	13.4	12.8	7 • C	13.7	6.01	6.5	13.6	12.4	7.0
13	10.3	11.3	12.8	12.2	14.5	0.	6.0	10.7	11.7	11.8	16.0	•0
14	17.6	12.6	10.4	14.4	14.3	•0	16.5	11.5	10.6	10.2	13.6	•0
15	15.3	10.9	6.3	14.6	14.0	8 • C	16.3	0°6	9.3	15.1	14.5	8.0
16	16.9	10.6	12.0	12.7	15.0	15.2	17.1	10.0	11.2	12.9	15.2	13.0
17	17.7	10.2	13.5	13.1	12.3	15.C	18.6	9.4	13.8	12.0	12.9	15.0
18	11.7	11.7	12.4	11.7	12.6	11.C	11.1	11.5	13.6	11.9	12.8	11.0
19	18.4	10.4	12.0	12.9	12.3	17.2	17.3	10.3	13.5	10.1	12.6	21.7
20	15.4	10.9	13.7	12.0	12.2	•0	15.3	10.7	15.0	12.0	12.6	•0
21	10.4	11.3	11.5	12.0	13.4	36 • C	10.0	11.3	13.0	11.3	14.0	36.0
22	12.7	14.2	17.0	13.0	14.7	6.C	13.7	12.2	••	13.0	14.7	6•0
23	13.3	•	22.0	•0	13.3	26.7	14.1	•0	22.0	•0	12.9	29.3
24	16.4	10.0	19.0	14.0	15.6	••	15.6	10.0	19.0	14.0	15.1	•0

AVERAGE TRIP LENGTHS FOR SAMPLE 1 BY MCDE TRNSIT

APPENDIX C

```
APPENDIX C
               SMAP
$IBFIC PEAKS
      INTEGER P1, P2, P3, MODE(15), PURPF(15), PURPP(15), PURPT(15), TIM
     1ES(15), TIMEA(15), COUNT(241,2,3,10), RECORD, CMO(6), PURP(9)
      INTEGER IS, TA
      INTEGER HOUR(240)
      INTEGER SUM
      CIMENSION TABLE(10), HOW(3), HH(241), WORK(500)
      UIMENSION X(242), Y(242)
      EQUIVALENCE (X(1), HH(2))
      DIMENSION TITLE(4), T301(3)
      DATA TITLE/6HEND OF,6H PERID,6HD - 0.,6H1 HOUR/,T301/6HTRIP A,
     $6HCCUMUL,6HATIONS/
      DATA TABLE/6HWDRK ,6HSHOP ,6HSCHOCL,6HPB/MD ,6HSR/EM ,6HOTHER ,
     $ 6HHBWURK, 6HHB SHOP, 6HHB/TUT, 6HTOTAL /
      DATA HOW/6HDRIVER, 6HHWYPER, 6HALLPER/
      DATA CMD/1,2,3,3,2,2/, PURP/1,2,3,4,5,4,5,6,6/
      CO 30 L = 1,10
      00 30 K = 1,3
      00 30 J = 1,2
      CO 30 I = 1,241
3
      COUNT (I, J, K, L) = 3
      CO 40 1=1,9
4
      HOUR(1) = 0
      RFCORD = 0
      WRITE (6,12)
      DU 36 1 = 1,240
      HH(1+1)=FLOAT(I)/10.
30
      CONTINUE
      HH(1) = 0.0
      GU TO 1
7 :
      READ (8,110) MODE(15), PURPF(15), PURPP(15), PURPT(15), TIMES(15),
     1TIMEA(15)
      GO TO 69
      READ (8,10) (MODE(I), PURPF(I), PURPP(1), PURPT(I), TIMES(I), TIME
1
     1A(1), I = 1, 15)
64
      RECORD = RECORD + 1
      DD 31 N = 1,15
      1F (RECORD .EQ. 5064 .AND. N .LT. 15) GD TO 31
      TS=TIMES(N)+1
      TA = TIMEA(N) + 1
      IF(TS.LT.241) GO TO 75
      TS = TS - 240
75
      IF(TA.LT.242) GO TO 75
      TA = TA - 240
7 E
      IF (TS .LT. 1 .OR. TA .LT. 2) GO TO 90
      IF (TS .GT. 240 .OR. TA .GT. 241) GO TO 90
      M = MDDE(N)
      M = CMD(M)
      IF (M .LT. 1 .OR. M .GT. 3) GD TD 90
      P1 = PURPF(N)
      P2 = PURPP(N)
      P3 = PURPT(N)
      IF (P1 .LT. 0 .OR. P1 .GT. 9) GO TO 90
      IF (P2 .LT. 0 .OR. P2 .GT. 9) GU TO 90
      1F (P3 .LT. 0 .OR. P3 .GT. 9) GD TO 90
      IF (P1 .NE. 0 .AND. P3 .NE. 0) GO TO 61
      IF (P1 .EQ. 0) GD TO 62
      IF (P1 .GT. 2) GO TO 64
      COUNT(TS, 1, M, P1+6) = COUNT(TS, 1, M, P1+6) + 1
      COUNT(TA, 2, M, P1+6) = COUNT(TA, 2, M, P1+6) + 1
      GO TO 63
```

```
1F (P3 .EQ. 0) GO TO 90
62
      IF (P3 .GT. 2) GO TO 64
      COUNT(TS, 1, M, P3+6) = COUNT(TS, 1, M, P3+6) + 1
      COUNT(TA, 2, M, P3+6) = COUNT(TA, 2, M, P3+6) + 1
      GU TO 63
      IF(M .NE. 1 .OR. (P1 .NE. 8 .AND. P3 .NE. 8)) GO TO 63
64
      IF (P2 .NE. 1 .AND. P2 .NE. 2) GO TO 63
      COUNT(TS, 1, 1, P2+6) = COUNT(TS, 1, 1, P2+6) + 1
      COUNT(TA, 2, 1, P2+6) = COUNT(TA, 2, 1, P2+6) + 1
      CUUNT(TS, 1, M, 9) = COUNT(TS, 1, M, 9) + 1
63
      COUNT(TA, 2, M, 9) = COUNT(TA, 2, M, 9) + 1
      IF (P3 .EQ. 8 .AND. P1 .NE. 8 .AND. M .EQ. 1) P3 = P2
      IF(P1.EQ.0) P1=P3
      IF(P3.E0.0) P3=P1
      P1 = PURP(P1)
      P2 = PURP(P2)
      P3 = PURP(P3)
      IF (PI-P3) 66,67,67
66
      COUNT(TS, 1, M, P1) = COUNT(TS, 1, M, P1) + 1
      COUNT(TA, 2, M, P1) = COUNT(TA, 2, M, P1) + 1
      CO TO 68
      COUNT(TS, 1, M, P3) = COUNT(TS, 1, M, P3) + 1
67
      COUNT(TA, 2, M, P3) = COUNT(TA, 2, M, P3) + 1
6 9
      COUNT(TS, I, M, 10) = COUNT(TS, 1, M, 10) + 1
      COUNT(TA, 2, M, 10) = COUNT(TA, 2, M, 10) + I
      GU TO 31
9
      WRITE (6,11) RECORD, N
31
      CUNTINUE
      IF(5063-RECORD) 71, 70, 1
71
      CONTINUE
      CO 35 L = 1,10
      CO 35 K = 1.3
      MAX = 0
      MIN = 0
      IF(K.EG.3) GD TO 46
      CO 39 J=1,2
      CO 39 I=1,241
37
      COUNT(I,J,K+1,L) = COUNT(I,J,K+1,L) + CCUNT(I,J,K,L)
46
      CUNTINUE
      CO 44 I=2,232
      I8=I+8
      HOUR(18)=0
      CU 44 J=I.18
44
      HOUR([8)=HOUR([8)+COUNT(J,1,K,L)
      00 32 J = 1,2
      CO 32 I = 1 + 240
32
      COUNT(I+1, J, K, L) = COUNT(I+1, J, K, L) + COUNT(I, J, K, L)
      IF(COUNT(241,1,K,L).NE.COUNT(241,2,K,L)) WRITE(6,16) TABLE(L),
     $HOW(K)
      SUM
              =COUNT(240.1.K.L)
      00 33 I = 1,240
      COUNT(I, 1, K, L) = COUNT(I, 1, K, L)+COUNT(I, 2, K, L)
33
      IF(COUNT(I,1,K,L).LT.MIN)
                                      MIN
                                              =COUNT(1,1,K,L)
      IF(MIN)
                   72,73,91
72
      CO 45 I=1,240
45
      CDUNT(I,1,K,L)=COUNT(I,1,K,L)-MIN
73
      00 41 1=10,240
      HOUR(I)=HOUR(I)+COUNT(I-9,1,K,L)
41
      IF(HOUR(I).GT.MAX) MAX=HOUR(I)
      WRITE(6,13) TABLE(L), HOW(K)
38
      00 \ 37 \ I1 = 1,40
```

I2 = I1 + 200WRITE(6,14) (HH([+1), HOUR(I), 1 = 11, 12, 43WRITE (6,15) SUM, MIN, MAX 35 CONTINUE WRITE(6,12) READ (5,19) L1,L2,K1,K2 CALL PLOTS(WORK(1),500,0) CALL SYMBOL(0.0,0.0,0.2,10H3362+SHUNK,90.0,10) CALL PLOT(1.0,0.0,-3) CO 42 L=L1,L2 CU 42 K=K1,K2 00 43 1=1,240 Y(I)=FLOAT(COUNT(1,1,K,L)) CALL SCALE(X, 12.0, 240, 1, 10.0) CALL SCALE(Y, 10.0, 240, 1, 10.0) CALL AXIS(0.0,0.0,TITLE,-24,12.0,0.0,X(241),X(242),10.0) CALL AXIS(0.0,0.0,5HTRIPS,5,10.0,90.0,Y(241),Y(242),10.0) CALL SYMBOL(4.0,10.0, J.3, T301, 0.0, 18) CALL SYMBOL(5.0, 9.5, 0.2, TABLE(L), 0.0, 6) CALL SYMBOL(7.0, 9.5, 0.2, HOW(K), 0.0,6) WRITE(6,17)(Y(1),1=1,242) CALL LINE(X,Y,240,1,1,5) IF(L.EQ.10.AND.K.EQ.3) GO TO 42 CALL PLOT(13.0,0.0,-3) 42 CONTINUE CALL PLOT(0,0,999) STOP WRITE(6,18) TABLE(L),HOW(K) STOP FORMAT (15(41X, 411, 213, 33X)) FURMAT (41X, 411, 213) FORMAT (25H INPUT DATA ERROR, RECORD, 15, 5H CARD, 13, 14H, CARD I IGNORED) FORMAT (19H1PEAK HOUR ANALYSIS)

12 FORMAT (19H1PEAK HOUR ANALYSIS) 13 FORMAT(1H1, 35X, 51H1RTADS-PURDUE 1964 TRIP ACCUMULATIONS IN THE S 1YSTEM// 45X, 5HTABLE, 1X, A6, 3X, 3H---, 3X, 4HMODE, 1X, A6//// 22X, 6(3X, 6HPERIOD, 2X, 5HTRIPS, 4X)/ 2X, 6(3X, 6H----, 2X, 5H-3----, 4X)//)

14 FORMAT (2X,6(4X,F4.1,3X,15,4X))

```
15 FURMAT (///37HOSUM OF 24 HOUR TRIPS IN THE CATEGORY,17/14H MINIMUM
$ VALUE,23X,17/1X,13HMAXIMUM VALUE,23X,17)
```

- 16 FORMAT(15H CHECKSUM ERROR,2(2X,46))
- 17 FURMAT (1H0,16F7.0/(1X,16F7.0))
- 18 FORMAT (1X,19HMINIMUM VALUE ERROR,212X,A6))
- 19 FORMAT (416)

```
END
```

37

43

91

1

110

```
$IBFTC LENGTH SMAP, DECK
      REWIND 8
      REWIND 9
      INTEGER OZONE, DZONE, RECORD, DCARD, DCARD
      INTEGER CARD(17,15), EQUIV(790), TREE1(428), TREE2(428), TREE3(428)
      RECORD = 0
      MAX1= C
      MAX2= 0
      MAX 3= 0
      INDEX=C
      NERR = 0
      JPO = 1
      CALL SLITE(0)
      CALL SLITE(1)
      CALL ROBIN(TREE1(1), TREE2(1), TREE3(1), INDEX)
      READ (5,11) EQUIV
      1CK1 = 0
      START = TIME(DUM)/1000.
      ISTART = START/3600.
      WRITE (6,17) TSTART
1
      READ (8,10) CARD
60
      RECORD = RECORD + 1
      CO 30 I = 1,15
      IF (RECORD .EQ. 5094 .AND. I .GT. 1) GO TO 67
      CCARD = CARD(6.1)/10
      DCARD = CARD(8, I)/10
      DO 31 J = JPO, 789, 2
      JPO = J
      IF ( DCARD -EQUIV(J)) 90,61,31
31
      CONTINUE
      GD TD 90
      CZDNE = EQUIV(J+1)
61
      IF (DZONE-INDEX) 90,64,63
63
      CALL RDBIN
      CALL SLITET(1, IEOF)
      IF (LEOF .EQ. 1) GO TO 91
      JPD=1
64
      IF (CARD(14,1)) 90,165, 90
165
      IF (CARD(13,1)) 90,265, 90
265
      IF (CARD(17,1)) 90, 65, 90
65
      CU 32 J = JPD, 789, 2
      JPD = J
      IF ( DCARD
                   -EQUIV(J)) 69,62,32
32
      CONTINUE
      GD TD 90
      DZONE = EQUIV(J+1)
62
      CARD(14,I) = TREE1(DZONE+1)
      CARD(13,1) = TREE2(DZONE+1)
      CARD(17,I) = TREE3(DZONE+1)
      IF (CARD(14,1) .GT. MAX1)MAX1= CARD(14,1)
      IF (CARD(13,1) .GT. MAX2)MAX2= CARD(13,1)
      IF (CARD(17,1) .GT. MAX3)MAX3= CARD(17,1)
      GO TO 30
      IF (CARD(6,1) .EQ. OCARD+10) GD TO 90
 69
      JPD = 1
      GO TO 65
 90
      WRITE (6,12) RECORD , I, JPD, EQUIV(JPD), EQUIV(JPD+1), JPD,
     $ EQUIV(JPD), EQUIV(JPD+1), CZONE, UZONE, INDEX
      WRITE (6,210)(CARD(N,I), N=1,17)
      NERR = NERR + 1
      IF (NERR .LT. 100) GO TO 30
```

```
WRITE (6,15)
      CO TO 68
3 ∩
      CONTINUE
      WRITE (9,10) CARD
67
      ICK = RECURD/100 - ICK1
      IF (ICK .LT. 1) GO TO 70
      PHASE = TIME(DUM)/1000. - START
      WRITE (6,16) RECORD, PHASE
      ICKI = RECORO/100
      START = TIME[DUM]/1000.
      IF (RECORD-5093) 1, 2, 66
 70
      READ (P,110) (CARD(I,1), I = 1,17)
2
      DO 34 J = 2, 15
      CO 33 I = I \cdot I7
33
      CARD(I,J) = 0
      CARO(6, J) = 9999
      CARD(8, J) = 9999
34
      CO TO 60
      CALL SLITE(2)
66
      CALL ROBIN
      WRITE (6,13) MAXI, MAX2, MAX3
      GU TO 68
      WRITE (6,14) RECORD, I, INDEX
91
68
      CONTINUE
      END FILE 9
      REWIND 9
      REWIND 8
      STOP
                (15(4A6, A5, 14, A4, 14, 4A6, 212, 246, 13))
10
      FORMAT
                   (446, 45, 14, 44, 14, 446, 212, 246, 13)
110
      FORMAT
      FURMAT (20X, 4A6, A5, I4, A4, I4, 4A6, 2I2, 2A6, I3)
210
      FORMAT (2(3X, 13))
11
      FORMAT (1x, 18HDATA OR SORT ERROR, 16, 14, 914)
12
      FORMAT(IX, 23HMAXIMUM TREE TIMES WERE, 318)
13
14
      FORMAT (1X, 25HEND OF FILE ON TREE INPUT, 16, 14, 14)
15
      FURMAT (30H NUMBER OF ERRORS EXCEED LIMIT)
 16
      FORMAT (25HOPHASE TIME CHECK, RECORD, 15, 5H TOOK, F8.3,
     $ 8H SECONDS1
      FORMAT(22H1PROCESSING STARTED AT, F7.3)
 17
      END
SIBMAP BINTR
               DECK
RUBIN SAVE
       SL T
               2
       TRA
               *+2
       TRA
               END
       SLT
               1
       TRA
               RDON
       CLA
                3,4
               TROI
       STA
       STA
               TR01+1
       CLA
               4,4
               TR02
       STA
       STA
               TR02+1
     ~ CLA
               5,4
               TR03
       STA
       STA
               TR03+1
       CLA
               6,4
       STA
               TR01+3
       STA
               TR02+3
       STA
               TR03+3
       TSX
               .UPEN.4
```

	P7 F	TREE01
	TSX	OPEN.4
	PZE	TREE02
	TSX	DPEN.4
	PZE	TREE03
	TRA	BACK
REON	TSX	READ 4
1000	PZE	TREEDIAAERR
	PZE	EDEAAERR
T 9 0 1	1001	****
1 (01		**
	ARC	18
	STO	**
	TSY	READ 4
		TREED2ERR
	D7F	EDE - ERR
T 202	INRT	****
1102	CLA	**
	ARS	19
	C A S	10
	TPA	FDDDD
	TRA	**2
	TRA	FRROR
	TSY	
TPOR	1007	
INUS	CLA	**
	ADS	18
	CAS	**
	TPA	FRROR
	TPA	**2
	TPA	EbbUn
BACK	DETHEN	PDBIN
FUE	SEN	1
END	TCY	
LND	13 A D7 E	*CCUSL # 4
	TCY	
	TCY	CLOSE, 4
	076	TDEED3
	TPA	BACK
FORDS	TCY	
ERKUK	13 ^	
		MESAG
	TDA	
EUD	TPA	
TDEEAL	ETTE	UT5. BLK=428. LOW. BIN. HOLD. INPUT MOUNT
THEEDI	ELLE	- 101 21 DER 4201 LOWID INTOLDIINTUUT MOUNT
TAREDZ		- , UT7, B1K-429, LOW, DIN, HOLD, INPUT, MOUNI - , T7, B1K-429, LOW, BIN, HOLD, INPUT, MOUNI
MEGAC	BCI	- 5. EPROP IN TREE CODDELATION
HE3AG	END	JE LANUN IN THEE GURKELATION

```
$IBFTC RANDOM DECK, SMAP
      COMMON/A/NUMBER(11500), ITEM
      COMMON/B/START, IRUN, ISTEP, PASSED
      EXTERNAL MOVE, COMPAR, KEYLOC
      REWIND 8
      ISTART = TIME(XO)/ 360000.
      CSTART = DATE(XD)
      WRITE(6,11 ) DSTART, TSTART
      IRUN = 0
      SIZE = 86396.
61
      START = TIME(XD)/1000.
      IRUN = IRUN + 1
      WRITE(6, 12 ) IRUN, START
      ISTEP = 0
      SIZE = SIZE - 10000.
      CO 31 I = 1,11500
      NUMBER(I) = IFIX(SIZE*FLRAN(XD))
62
      [F(NUMBER([)) 62,62,31
31
      CONTINUE
      CALL POST
      CALL QIKS(1,11500,MOVE,COMPAR,KEYLOC)
      CALL POST
      NRPI = 0
      DO 32 I = 2,11500
      IF(NUMBER(I-1).NE.NUMBER(I)) GD TO 32
      NUMBER (I-1) = 0
      NRPT = NRPT+1
32
      CONTINUE
      CALL POST
      NELIM = 0
      NEXTRA = 1500-NRPT
      IF(NEXTRA) 91,164,63
      EXTRA = NEXTRA
63
      RANGE = (10000.+EXTRA)/EXTRA
      [START = RANGE*FLRAN(XD)
      ISTART = IABS(ISTART)
      WRITE (6,14) IRUN, ISTART, RANGE, NEXTRA
      II = ISTART - 1
      DO 132 I=1+10
      11 = 11 + 1
      IF (NUMBER([1]).EQ.0) GO TO 132
      NUMBER (11) = 0
      NELIM = 1
      GU TO 363
132
      CONTINUE
      WRITE (6,15) ISTART, IRUN
      GO TO 68
263
      CONTINUE
363
      H = ISTART
      DO 34 I=2, NEXTRA
      H = H + RANGE
      L = H + 0.5
      IF (NUMBERIL) .EQ. 0) GO TO 163
      NUMBER(L) = 0
      GO TO 64
163
      DO 33 J = 1,9
      K5 = L + J
      IF(NUMBER(K5) .EQ.0) GO TO 33
      NUMBER(K5) = 0
      GO TO 64
33
      CONTINUE
```

```
WRITE(6, 15 ) I, IRUN
      GU TO 68
64
      NELIM = NELIM+1
34
      CONTINUE
      IF (NELIM.EQ.NEXTRA) GU TO 164
      WRITE (6,16) IRUN, NEXTRA, NELIM
      CO 10 68
164
      CALL POST
      JI = 1
      NZERO = 0
      CO 35' I=1, I1500
      IF(NUMBER(I).NE.0) GO TU (35,67), J1
      NZERO = NZERO + 1
      GO TO (65,66), J1
65
      J1 = 2
      K = 0
      K = K + I
66
      GO TO 35
 67
      K6 = I - K
      NUMBER(K6) = NUMBER(1)
35
      CONTINUE
      IF (NZERU .EQ. NELIM+NRPT) GO TO 167
      WRITE (6,17) IRUN, NZERO, NELIM, NRPT
      GO 10 68
167
      CALL PUST
      wRITE (8,18) (NUMBER[I], I=1,10000)
      TCHECK = TIME(XD)/60000. - TSTART*60.
      PHASE = PASSED / 60.
      IF (TCHECK+PHASE .LE. 10.0) GU TO 267
      WRITE (6,23) IRUN, TCHECK
      IF (IRUN .LT. 5) GO TO 61
207
      WRITE (6,19) (NUMBER(I), I=1,10000)
      GO 10 69
91
      WRITE(0,13 ) IRUN, NEXTRA
 68
      WRITE (6,20)
      END FILE 8
 69
      REWIND 8
      TEND = TIME(XD)/60000.
      ELAPSE = TEND+TSTART+60.
      WRITE (6,21) ELAPSE
      STOP
 11
      FORMAT (39H1RANDOM NUMBER GENERATION AND SURT, RUN, F8.1,
     $ 12H, START TIME, F7.3)
      FURMAT (4H RUN, 12, 11H STARTED AT, F10.3)
 12
      FORMAT (22HOERROR AFTER SORT, RUN, 12, 14H EXTRA NUMBERS, 17)
 13
 14
      FORMAT (25HOAT DELETION PHASE OF RUN, 12, 13H START WAS AT, 13,
     $ 12H WITH GAP OF, F8.4, 10H TO DELETE, 15, 8H NUMBERS)
      FURMAT (33HOZERO SEQUENCE ERROR, STARTING AT, 14, 7H ON RUN, 12)
 15
      FORMAT (29HOELIMINATION CHECK ERROR, RUN, I2, 8H, EXTRA=, I4,
 16
     $ 13H, ELIMINATED=, I4)
      FORMAT (23HOFINAL CHECK ERROR, RUN, I2, 8H, ZEROS=, I4,
 17
     $ 13H, ELIMINATED≠, I4, 10H, REPEATS=, I4)
 18
      FORMAT (100015)
      FORMAT (12H1NORMAL EXIT/(1X,2016))
 19
 20
      FORMAT (14H1ABNORMAL EXIT/1H1)
 21
      FORMAT (20HOTIME SINCE START IS, F7.3)
      FORMAT (32HOTIME CONSTRAINT IS BINDING, RUN, 12,
 23
     $ 21H, TIME OF TERMINATION, F10.3)
      END
```

```
$IBFTC SAMPLE SMAP, DECK
      COMMON /B/START, IRUN, ISTEP, PASSED
      REAL LENGTH (24, 12, 3)
       INTEGER COUNT(24,12,3),T,P,PF,PP,PT,M,CMD(6),PURP(9), TS, TA
      CIMENSION INFO(7,315), NUMBER(1000), IOUT(5), IN(5)
      DIMENSION TITLE(3), HEAD(12)
      DATA CMD/1,2,3,3,2,2/, PURP/1,2,3,4,5,4,5,6,6/
      DATA TITLE/6HDRIVER,6HPASNGR,6HTRNSIT/,
     1 HEAD/4HWURK,4HSHOP,6HSCHOOL,5HPB-MD,5HSR-EM,5HOTHER,6HHBWORK.
     $ 6HHBSHOP,6HHB-SCL,6HHBPBMD,6HHBSREM,6HHBOTHR/
      DATA IOUT/3,2,3,2,0/, IN/0,3,2,3,2/
      REWIND 2
      REWIND 3
      REWIND 8
      REWIND 9
      IRUN = 0
      NNR = 0
      NERR = 0
      NS = 0
      ISIZE = 76397
      NN = 1000
      NDRBI = 5094
      NCLRI = 1
6 I
      IRUN = IRUN+1
      START = TIME(DUM)/1000.
      WRITE(6, 11 ) IRUN, START
      ISTART = 1
      ISTEP = 100+IRUN
      ISIZE = ISIZE - NS
      NUMBER (1000) = ISIZE
      NS = 0
      NCST = 0
      NC = 0
      NDR = 0
      NDRBO = 0
      CO 33 K=1.3
      CU 33 J=1,12
      CO 33 I=1,24
      LENGTH(I,J,K)=0.
      COUNT(I,J,K)=0
 33
      CGNTINUE
      NI = IN(IRUN)
      NO = IOUT(IRUN)
      IF (NERR .GT. 100) GO TO 94
261
      IF(NN.LT.1000) GD TD 62
      NMPREV = NUMBER (1000)
      READ(9, 12 ) NUMBER
      NNR = NNR+1
      NN = I
      IF (NUMBER(1)) 91,91,161
1.61
      NC = NMPREV - NUMBER(1) + NC
      CALL POST
         (NCST .EQ. 0) GO TO (140,78,78,78,78), IRUN
      1F
      GO TO 65
      NN = NN+1
62
      IF(NUMBER(NN)) 91,91,64
 64
      NC = NUMBER(NN-1) - NUMBER(NN) + NC
      IF(NC.GT.NCST) GD TD 73
65
      IF (NC .LT. 1 .OR. NC .GT. 315) GO TO 93
      NS = NS+1
      M = INFO(1, NC)
```

```
PF = INFO(2,NC)
      PP = INFO(3, NC)
      PT = INFO(4, NC)
      TS = INFO(5, NC)
      IA = INFO(6, NC)
      S = INFO(7, NC)
      M=CMD(M)
      IF (M.LT.1.0R.M.GT.3)GC TO 90
      IF (PF.FQ.8.AND.PP.NE.0) PF = PP
      IF (PT.EQ.8.AND.PP.NE.O) PT = PP
      IF (PF.NE.O.AND.PT.NE.O) GO TO 67
      IF (PF.EU.O.AND.PT.EQ.O) GO TO 90
      P = MAXO(PF, PT)
      P = PURP(P)
      GO TO 68
 67
      PF = PURP(PF)
      PT = PURP(PT)
      P = MINO(PF, PT)
 68
      IF (P.LT.I.OR.P.GT.6) GD TO 90
      IF (TS.GT.TA) GD TO 71
      ľ =
              (TS+TA)/20
 69
      IF(T.LT.1. OR. T. GT. 24) GO TO 90
      INFO(1, NC) = 0
      COUNT(T,P,M)=COUNT(T,P,M)+1
      LENGTH(T,P,M)=LENGTH(T,P,M)+S
      IF(PF.NE.O.AND.PT.NE.O) GO TO 70
      COUNT(T,P+6,M) = COUNT(T,P+6,M) + 1
      LENGTH(T,P+6,M)=LENGTH(T,P+6,M) + S
70
      IF(NS.LT.10000) GD TD 261
      IF (NDR .NE. NDRBI) GO TO 92
      GO TO 72
 71
      T =
             (TS+TA+240)/20
      IF(T.GT.24) T=T-24
      GO TO 69
      CO 34 I = 1,3
 72
      DO 34 J=1,12
      DD 34 K=1,24
 34
      LENGTH(K, J, I)=
                          LENGTH(K, J, I)/FLOAT(COUNT(K, J, I))
      CO 35 K=1,3
      WRITE(6,13) IRUN, TITLE(K), HEAD
      00 35 1=1,24
      WRITE(6,14) I, (COUNT(1, J, K), J=1,12)
      PUNCH 18, (COUNT(I, J, K), J = 1, 12), K, I
 35
      CONTINUE
      00 36 K=1,3
      WRITE (6,15) IRUN, TITLE(K), HEAD
      CO 36 I=1,24
      WRITE(6,16) I, (LENGTH(I,J,K), J=1,12)
      PUNCH 19, (LENGTH(I,J,K), J=1,12),K,I
      CONTINUE
 36
      GO TO 173
66
      END FILE NO
      REWIND 2
      REWIND 3
      WRITE (6,24) IRUN, NORBO, NCLRO, NS, NORBI, NCLRI
      ISTEP = 49 + 100*IRUN
      CALL POST
      NDRBI = NDRBO
      NCLRI = NCLRO
      IF (IRUN .LT. 4) GO TO 61
      REWIND 8
```

REWIND 9 STOP IF (IRUN .LT. 4) GD TO 173 73 LEFT = 0NCST = 0NC = NC - 315GO TO 78 173 N7 = 0J1 = 1CO 38 I=1.NCST IF(INFO(1,1) .NE.0) GO TO (38, 76), J1 GD TD (74,75), J1 74 J1 = 2к=0 75 K = K+1NZ = NZ + 1GU TU 38 76 KK = I - K00 37 J=1,7  $INFO(J_{*}KK) = INFO(J_{*}I)$ 37 CONTINUE 30 CONTINUE REM = NCST - NZ ITUP=REM-34. IF (ITOP .LT.35) GO TU 176 00 39 L=1,ITOP,35 KK = L + 34WRITE (NO) ((INFO([.J]. [=1.7). J=L.KK) NDRBO = NDRBO+1 39 CONTINUE LEFT = AMOD(REM, 35.)176 IF (NS .LT. 10000) GD TD 139 K = KK + 1KK = KK + LEFT WRITE (NU) ((INFO(I,J), I=1,7), J=K,KK) NDRBD = NDRBO + 1NCLRD = LEFT CO TO 66 NC = NC - NCST + LEFT 139 NCST = IEFTCU 40 J=1,LEFT JJ = J + KKDO 40 I=1,7 INFD(I,J) = INFO(I,JJ)4.1 CONTINUE ISTART=1 FET+1 IFIIRUN.GT.1) GD TO 78 140 CU 41 [=[START, 301, 15 IF (NDR .EQ. NDRBI) GO TO 141 IF(NDR.EQ.5093) GD TD 77 11 = I + 14READ(8, 21 ) ((INFO(J,K), J=1,7), K=I,I1) NCST = NCST+15NOR =NDR +1 41° CUNTINUE GD TO 65 IF (NC .GT. NCST) GD TO 93 141 GO TO 65 77 READ(8,121 ) (INFO(J,I), J=1,7) NCST=NCST+1 NDR = 5094

```
REWIND R
      GO TO 65
73
      CO 42 [=ISTART, 281, 35
      IF(NDR.EQ.NDRBI) GU TO 142
      11 = 1 + 34
      IF (NDR .LT. NDRBI-1) GO TO 79
      NCST = NCST - 35 + NCLR1
      I1 = I + NCLR1 - 1
 19
      READ(NI) ((INFD(J,K), J=1,7), K=I,I1)
      NCST = NCST + 35
      NDR =NDR +1
42
      CONTINUE
      GU TU 65
142
      IF (NC .GT. NCST) GO TO 93
      CO TO 65
 90
      NREC = ISIZE - NUMBER(NN)
      WRITE (6,17)
                   NREC,
                               (INFO(LL,NC),LL=1,7), M, P, T
      NERR = NERR + I
      GO TO 261
91
      WRITE(6, 20 ) IRUN, NN, NUMBER(NN)
      NERR = NERR + 1
      GO TO 261
      WRITE (6,23) IRUN, NDR, NDRBI
 92
      NERR = NERR + 1
      CO TO 72
      WRITE (6,22) IRUN, NC, NCST, NN, NUMBER(NN)
 13
      NERR = NERR + I
      GU TO 72
      WRITE (6,25) IRUN, NN
 14
      REWIND 2
      REWIND 3
      REWIND 8
      REWIND 9
      STOP
 10
      FORMAT (1H1)
      FURMAT (4H RUN, I2, 11H STARTED AT, F10.3)
 Ι1
 12
      FURMAT (100015)
      FORMAT (1H1,39X,22HTRIP COUNTS FOR SAMPLE,12,9H BY MODE , A6////
 13
     $ 6X,4HHDUR,6X,12(3X,46)/6X,4H----,6X,12(3X,6H-----)//)
 14
      FORMAT(6X, I3, 6X, 12(3X, I6))
 15
      FORMAT(1H1,39X,31HAVERAGE TRIP LENGTHS FOR SAMPLE,12,9H BY MODE ,
     $ A6////6X,4HHOUR,1X,12(3X,A6)/6X,4H----, 1X, 12(3X,6H-----)//)
 16
      FORMAT (6X, 13, 1X, 12(3X, F6.1))
      FORMAT (22H CARD IN ERRDR, RECORD, 816, 318)
 17
      FORMAT(1216, 2HCT, 213)
 18
 19
      FORMAT (12F6.1, 3HLEN, 12, 13)
      FORMAT (25HOBAO RANDOM NUMBER ON RUN, I2, 5H, NN=, I6.
 20
     $ 11H, NUMBER IS, I6)
      FORMAT (15(41x, 411, 213, 18X,
 21
                                        I2, 13X))
121
                 (41X, 4I1, 2I3, 18X,
                                        I2, 13X)
      FORMAT
      FORMAT (24HODATA SEEKING ERROR, RUN, 12, 14H, CARD SOUGHT=, 14,
 22
     $ 15H, CAROS STORED=, 14, 5H, NN=, 15, 9H, NUMBER= 15)
 23
      FORMAT (27HORUN TERMINATION ERROR, RUN, I2, 15H, RECORDS READ=,
     $ I4, 24H, RECORDS ON INPUT TAPE=, I4)
 24
      FORMAT (4H1RUN, 12, 9H PRODUCED, 15, 18H DATA RECORDS WITH, 14,
     $ 22H CARDS IN LAST RECORD,, I6, 14H SAMPLES, FROM, I5,
     $ 14H INPUT RECORDS, I3, 14H CARDS OVERLAP)
 25
      FORMAT (49HINUMBER OF ERRORS EXCEEDS TOLERABLE LIMIT, AT RUN, I2,
     $ 8H, NUMBER, 15)
      ENÐ
```

VITA

Gordon Arthur Shunk was born December 23, 1938, in Kankakee, Illinois. He attended elementary schools there and was graduated from Kankakee High School in June, 1956.

He attended DePauw University from September, 1956, until June, 1959, majoring in pre-engineering sciences and mathematics. The A.B. degree was awarded in June, 1961.

He transferred to Purdue University in June, 1959, and was awarded the B.S.C.E. degree in June, 1961, and the M.S.C.E. degree in June, 1962. From June, 1962, until January, 1964, he was employed as a Highway Engineer (Trainee) by the U.S. Bureau of Public Roads. He returned to Purdue in February, 1964, to work on the Ph.D. and has been employed as a Graduate Instructor in Research since that time.

He is a Student Member of the Institute of Traffic Engineers, an Associate Member of the American Society of Civil Engineers, and an Academic Supporting member of the Highway Research Board. He is a member of Chi Epsilon and Tau Beta Pi and an Associate Member of the Society of the Sigma Xi.

He is married and has one son.

## VITA



