Purdue University Purdue e-Pubs

LARS Technical Reports

Laboratory for Applications of Remote Sensing

1-1-1984

Spectral Estimates of Agronomic Characteristics of Crops

C. S. T. Daughtry

K. P. Gallo

L. L. Biehl

Blaine L. Blad

John M. Norman

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: http://docs.lib.purdue.edu/larstech

Daughtry, C. S. T.; Gallo, K. P.; Biehl, L. L.; Blad, Blaine L.; Norman, John M.; Gardner, Bronson R.; Kanemasu, E. T.; and Asrar, G., "Spectral Estimates of Agronomic Characteristics of Crops" (1984). *LARS Technical Reports*. Paper 63. http://docs.lib.purdue.edu/larstech/63

This document has been made available through Purdue e-Pubs, a service of the Purdue University Libraries. Please contact epubs@purdue.edu for additional information.

Authors

C. S. T. Daughtry, K. P. Gallo, L. L. Biehl, Blaine L. Blad, John M. Norman, Bronson R. Gardner, E. T. Kanemasu, and G. Asrar

Tenth International Symposium

Machine Processing of Remotely Sensed Data

with special emphasis on

Thematic Mapper Data and Geographic Information Systems



June 12-14, 1984

Reprints from Proceedings

Purdue University

Laboratory for Applications of Remote Sensing West Lafayette, Indiana 47907 USA

SPECTRAL ESTIMATES OF AGRONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF CROPS

C.S.T. DAUGHTRY, K.P. GALLO, L.L. BIEHL

Purdue University/Laboratory for Applications of Remote Sensing West Lafayette, Indiana

E.T. KANEMASU, G. ASRAR

Kansas State University Evapotranspiration Laboratory Manhattan, Kansas

ABSTRACT

If agronomic variables related to vigor and yield of crops could be reliably estimated from multispectral data, then crop growth and yield models could be The objecimplemented for large areas. tives of these experiments were to determine relationships of key agronomic char-acteristics and spectral properties of crops and to integrate spectral and meteorological data for forecasting crop Reflectance data of corn, wheat, vields. and soybeans were acquired with radiometers that simulate Landsat MSS and TM sen-The spectral indices, greenness, sors. and normalized difference were highly correlated with leaf area index (LAI) and absorbed photosynthetically active radia-Grain yields were more tion (APAR). highly related to APAR cumulated during the growing season than to maximum LAI or LAI duration. A model which simulated the daily effects of weather and APAR on growth accounted for 85% of the variation in corn yields. The concept of estimating agronomic characteristics using spectral data represents a viable approach for merging spectral and meteorological data for crop forecasting models.

I. INTRODUCTION

Remote sensing from aerospace platforms can provide information about crops and soils which could be useful for forecasting crop production. The feasibility of utilizing multispectral data from satellites to identify and measure crop area has been clearly demonstrated (MacDonald and Hall, 1980). However, relatively little research has been conducted to develop methods of incorporating multispectral data into models that provide information about crop condition and vield. If this spectrally-derived information can be combined with models that depict limitations imposed on crop yields

B.L. BLAD, J.M. NORMAN, B.R. GARDNER

University of Nebraska Lincoln, Nebraska

by weather and climate, crop production over large regions could be estimated more accurately than with current systems.

Solar radiation is the source of energy for photosynthesis, the initial process that green plants use to convert carbon dioxide and water into simple sugars. Other plant processes convert these initial products of photosynthesis into dry matter including carbohydrates, proteins, and oils. Solar radiation is available as an energy source for plants only when it interacts with leaves. Tn a healthy crop adequately supplied with water, the production of dry matter is proportional to the solar radiation intercepted by the canopy. Thus, important components of growth and yield are the amount and duration of n plant leaf area available for photosynthesis. When water becomes limiting, stomata close, plant temperatures rise, and yields are reduced.

The interaction of solar radiation with a crop is a function of the quantity of vegetation, the geometric configuration of the canopy, and solar illumination angles (Norman, 1980). The quantity of vegetation may be described as left area index (LAI), percent soil cover, or phyto-The geometry of the canopy refers mass. to the distribution and orientation of the components of the canopy (i.e., leaves and stems). Solar zenith angle and solar azimuth angle relative to row direction are significant factors affecting radiation interception in crops. Accurate measurements of the quantity and configuration of crops are tedious and time-consuming because of the spatial variability inherent in crops (Daughtry and Hollinger, 1984). Thus direct measurements of crops for small research are possible only plots. If the proportion of energy available for crop growth could be estimated reliably using multispectral satellite data, the capability to estimate crop

production for large regions should be improved significantly.

In practice, although solar radiation is essential for photosynthesis, it is only one of several factors interacting to influence crop yields. Other factors essential to crop growth and yield are water, temperature, nutrients, and carbon dioxide. Any serious and comprehensive effort to estimate crop yields also must assess the impact of these other factors.

The development of satellites with earth-observing sensors (e.g., Landsat MSS and TM) has made available enormous guantities of data containing information about the condition of the earth's surface. Conceptual models of how these remotely sensed data could be used to obtain estimates of intercepted radiation by plant canopies have been proposed (Daughtry et al., 1983; Wiegand et al., 1979). Seasonal changes of spectral variables of corn and wheat canopies followed that of light absorption (Daughtry et al., 1983; Hatfield et al., 1984). Absorption of photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) can be estimated reliably using spectral reflectance data of plant canopies (Asrar et al., 1984a, b; Hatfield et al., 1984).

Changes in LAI, phytomass, and stage of development are manifested in the reflectances of crop canopies. Soil color and moisture are important factors influencing the reflectance in single wavelength bands; however, the near infrared/ red reflectance ratio and the greenness transformation were less sensitive than single bands to changes in soil background (Kollenkark et al., 1982). LAI can be estimated by the near infrared/red ratio (Bunnik, 1978; Walburg et al., 1982), by the greenness transformation (Kollenkark et al., 1982; Daughtry et al., 1983), by the normalized difference (Asrar et al., 1984a; Gardner et al., 1984), and by logarithms of two band ratios (Gardner et al., 1984).

The transmission of PAR in canopies may be used to estimate LAI indirectly. Fuchs et al.(1983) obtained good agreement between the measured and estimated LAI values for several cultivars of spring and winter wheat grown under different conditions and management practices.

Spectral reflectance, PAR absorption, and LAI are interrelated. Our objectives are (1) to determine the relationships of canopy characteristics to the reflectance factor of crops, and (2) to integrate spectral and meteorological data for estimating crop yields.

II. METHODS AND MATERIALS

A. CORN

Two experiments were conducted at Purdue University's Agronomy Farm located near West Lafayette, IN (40° 28' N, 87° 00' W). In the first experiment, corn (Zea mays L.), hybrid 'Adler 30X', was planted in north-south rows with a 76 cm spacing between rows on two dates (14 May and 24 June 1982) at two densities (50,000 and 100,000 plants/ha). The soil was a Typic Argiaquoll, a dark (10 YR 4/1) silt loam (Chalmers). In the second experiment, the same corn hybrid was planted on three planting dates (14 May, 9 and 24 June 1982) at four densities (25, 50, 75, and 100 thousand plants/ha).

Additional experiments were conducted at the University of Nebraska's Sandhills Agricultural Laboratory located near Tryon, NE (41° 37' N, 100° 50' W). Two corn hybrids, Pioneer 3901 and B73xMol7, were planted in 76 cm wide rows at 76,000 plants/ha on 17 May 1982. The soil was a fine sand Typic Ustipsament (Valentine). A gradient irrigation system provided 100, 66, 33, and 0% of the maximum water requirements of the crop.

Maximum and miniumum air temperatures, incoming solar radiation, precipitation, evaporation, and wind run were recorded daily. Agronomic variables which were measured weekly included leaf area index, stage of development, fresh and dry phytomass, plant height, and percent soil cover. Crop phytomass was measured by harvesting five plants from each plot. Each sample was weighed immediately (fresh phytomass), separated into green leaves, stalk (including leaf sheath), and ears, and dried at 82°C. Leaf area (one side of leaf) was measured with a LI-COR LI-3100 area meter from a subsample of two plants per plot. Total leaf area for the five plants was computed using the dry weight of leaves and the leaf area to weight ratio. Leaf area index was computed as the ratio of leaf area per soil area. After physiological maturity, grain was harvested, adjusted for 15.5% moisture, and reported as Mg/ha.

Photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) was measured under clear skies with a line quantum sensor (LI-COR 191SB). The sensor is cosine corrected and responds to radiation in the 400 to 700 nm wavelength region. Incident PAR (PAR), transmitted PAR (TPAR), and PAR_O reflected from the canopy RPAR_{CS}) and PAR reflected from the soil (RPAR_S) were measured under clear sky conditions within 0.5 h of solar noon on

selected dates throughout the growing season. The proportions of absorbed PAR were calculated using Eq. 1:

$$APAR' = [(PAR_{o} + RPAR_{s}) - (TPAR + RPAR_{cs})]/PAR_{o}$$
(1)

Radiance measurements, used to determine reflectance factors (RF), were acquired with Landsat Multispectral Scanner (Exotech 100) and Landsat Thematic Mapper (Barnes 12-1000) radiometers throughout the growing season at approximately weekly intervals. Biehl and Robinson (1983) described the conditions and procedures for obtaining the reflectance factor (RF) data. The radiometers were attached to a boom mounted on a pick-up truck and elevated 7.6 m above the soil surface. Data were taken only when there were no clouds over or in the vicinity of the sun and when the solar elevation was at least 45° above the horizon.

Reflectance factor data were transformed to emphasize green vegetation and to minimize variation due soil color and soil moisture. One transformation is normalized difference (ND):

 $ND = (RF_i - RF_r) / (RF_i + RF_r)$

where, RF_i and RF_r are the reflectance factors in the near infrared (800-1100 nm) and red (600-700 nm) regions of electromagnetic spectrum for Landsat MSS radiometers, respectively. For Landsat TM radiometers RF_i and RF_r are reflectance factors in 760-900 nm and 630-690 nm bands, respectively. Another important transformation for vegetation is called greenness index. Coefficients for calculating greenness index (GI) from reflectance factor data in Landsat MSS bands (Rice et al., 1980) are given in Table 1. For reflectance factor data in Landsat TM bands, a new greenness index (GI_{TM}) was developed (Table 1) using the procedures of Miller et al. (1984).

B. WHEAT

Spring wheat (Triticum aestivum Desf., cv. Produra) was planted in northsouth rows in an Avondale loam (fine, loamy, mixed (calcareous)), hyperthermic Anthropic Torrifluvent) at the U.S. Water Conservation Laboratory, Phoenix, AZ, during the 1978-79 and 1979-80 growing seasons. The treatments were five planting dates and four irrigation rates within a planting date. Development of plants in the last planting date were retarded because of sowing into a dry soil with increasing daylengths. This resulted in a poor stand and low phytomass values. Six plants were randomly selected from each

Table 1. Coefficients for greenness index for reflectance factor data of Landsat MSS and TM radiometers.

Landsat MSS				тм		
Band	Wave- lengt	Coeffi- h cient*	Banđ	Wave- length	Coeffi- cient	
nm			nm			
MSS4	500- 600	-0:4984	TML	450- 520	-0.0283	
MSS5	600- 700	-0.6125	TM2	520- 600	-0.0330	
MSS6	700- 800	0.1729	TM 3	630- 690	-0,0807	
MSS7	800- 1100	0.5854	TM4	760- 900	0.9411	
			-	1150- 1300	-	
			тм5	1550- 1750	-0.2000	
			TM7	2080- 2350	-0.2568	
			тм6	10400- 12500		

* From Rice et al., 1980

treatment and their brown and green leaf areas were determined. The measured green leaf area index for each treatment was used to compute the absorbed photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) for each date using a relationship for wheat described by Hipps et al.(1983).

Canopy reflectance was measured over each plot using a Landsat band radiometer (Exotech 100A) under clear sky conditions with the sun at a zenith angle of 57°. The radiometer was held at arm's length at 1.5 m above the soil surface. Canopy reflectance factors were determined from a ratio of the canopy and barium sulfate panel reflectances (Biehl and Robinson, 1983).

In a second experiment (Triticum aestivum L., cv. TAM 105) planted in northsouth rows during the 1981-82 growing season at Kansas State University's Evapotranspiration Laboratory Research

Site near Manhattan, KS. An Exotech 100A radiometer mounted on a truck boom was used for measurements of plant canopy reflectance. Green LAI was determined by harvesting three 0.3 m sections of rows of each plot.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. RELATION OF CANOPY REFLECTANCE TO AGRONOMY CHARACTERISTICS

Trajectories of ND, greenness, PAR, and LAI throughout the growing season are shown in Figure 1 for wheat. Trajectories of these variables were similar for corn. Greenness and LAI appear closely related. Although LAI increased above 4, greenness changed very little until LAI declined at the end of the season. ND and absorbed PAR also appear very closely related throughout the season (Fig. 1).

The relationships of other canopy characteristics and spectral variables are nonlinear over the ranges of values observed in these experiments (Kollenkark et al., 1982; Tucker, 1979; Walburg et al., 1982). Quadratic regression equa-tions adequately described the canopy the canopy characteristics as functions of spectral transformations. Changes in LAI, soil cover, and absorbed PAR were more highly related to the spectral variables than either fresh or dry photomass (Table 2). Greenness and ND transformation had stronger relationships to these variables than Red, NIR, or NIR/Red (Table 2).

Why are the R^2 for LAI, percent soil cover, and absorbed PAR as functions of ND



Figure 1. Trajectories of absorbed PAR (APAR), leaf area index (LAI), normalized difference (ND), and greenness index (GI) for well-watered Produra wheat throughout its growing season.



Figure 2. Leaf area index of corn in 1982 as a function of greenness index.

and greenness much higher than those for fresh and dry phytomass (Table 2)? Reflectance is a function of the areas of the reflecting elements of the canopy projected in the direction of the sensor. Leaves constitute a majority of the areas of reflectors in most growing crop canopies when viewed vertically from above. Stems and other nonleaf components (e.g., heads, tassels, ears) are a small portion of the reflecting area. LAI, percent soil cover, and absorbed PAR are functions of the area of green leaves in the canopy. In some crops (i.e., corn and wheat) leaf area, leaf phytomass, and greenness all reach maximum values as the plants begin their reproductive development. During this reproductive phase, plants accumulate phytomass primarily in grain which may contribute only a small portion of the



Agronomic Variable	NIR/ Ređ	ND	GI	Range of Data
		R ²		
LAI	0.72	0.71	0.87	0 - 7 - 2
Soil Cover	0.84	0.81	0.81	0-99%
Fresh Phyto*	0.51	0.49	0.63	$0-11.0 \text{ Kg/m}^2$
Dry Phyto	0.26	0.20	0.31	$0-3.0 \text{ Kg/m}^2$
Absorbed PAR	0.89	0.89	0.94	0-998



total plant area viewed by the sensor. Therefore, after maximum leaf area has developed, continued accumulations of phytomass probably are not spectrally detectable.

The response of greenness to LAI appears asymptotic for LAI greater than approximately 5 (Fig. 2). The response ND to LAI is definitely asymptotic for wheat (Fig. 3) and corn (not shown). Very little change in ND is evident after LAI exceeds 3.0. This is consistent with infinite reflectance of single leaves where visible reflectance was minimized with two layers of leaves and near infrared reflectance was maximized with 6 to 8 layers (Gausman et al., 1968).

Absorption of PAR by crop canopies is dependent on the stage of development or age of the plant (Fig. 1). Initially as LAI increased, absorption of PAR increased rapidly (Figs. 4 and 5). Absorption increases slowly as LAI continues to increase. The relationship between LAI and interception of radiation may be described in terms of Beer's Law (Norman, 1980; Fuchs et al., 1983; Asrar et al., 1984b).

As green LAI decreased during senescence, absorption of PAR decreased slowly (Figs. 4 and 5). Even at maturity, when no green leaves were present, more than 60% of PAR was absorbed by nongreen leaves, stems, and other plant parts.



Figure 3. Relation between normalized differences (ND) and leaf area index for the growth (i.e., planting to heading) and senescent (i.e., heading to maturity) periods of wheat.



Figure 4. Relation between absorbed PAR and leaf area index for growth (planting to silking) and senescent (silking to maturity) periods of corn.

The relationships of absorbed PAR to greenness (Fig 6) and ND (not shown) are Two equations were required to biphasic. describe APAR as a function of increasing and decreasing greenness (or ND), respectively. The hysteresis in Figure 6 is due to the spectral reflectance and PAR absorption of senesced vegetation. High R² and low standard deviations indicate strong correlations of PAR absorption to these two spectral variables.

B. RELATION OF SPECTRAL VARIABLES TO YIELD

The seasonal duration of leaf area is a more important indicator of grain yields than maximum LAI produced (Daughtry et 1983; Blad et al.,1983). al., Correlations of grain yields with spectral or agronomic data acquired on a single date during the season may be spurious and must be used with caution. Leaf area duration (Σ LAI) accounted for only 55% of the variation in grain yields of corn in 1982. LAI of a crop represents only the amount of photosynthetic tissue present in the canopy but does not account for productivity. Canopies with low seasonal values of LAI absorbed the least PAR and produced the lowest yields. When the daily photon flux density of PAR absorbed (Σ APAR) by the crop was estimated using greenness (Fig. 6) and accumulated over the season, APAR was associated with 81% of the variation in corn yields (Fig. 7). It appears that the energy absorbed by a crop is more indicative of yields than LAI. High correlations of yields and various cumulated spectral variables have been reported for wheat (Pinter et al., 1981), sugar beets

(Steven et al., 1983), and corn (Daughtry et al., 1983; Walburg et al., 1982; Gardner and Blad, 1984).

Crop response to PAR is confounded with the effects of temperature and moisture on plant growth and yields. Thus the applicability of models based solely on spectral data may be limited.

To account for the daily effects of weather on corn growth, Coelho and Dale (1980) proposed the Energy-Crop Growth (ECG) model, which combines the concept of intercepted solar radiation with a mois-



Figure 5. Relation between absorbed PAR and leaf area index for growth (planting to heading) and senescent (heading to maturity) periods of wheat.



Figure 6. Relation between absorbed PAR and greenness index for growth (planting to silking) and senescent (silking to maturity) periods of corn.



Figure 7. Grain yields of corn in 1982 as a function of absorbed photosynthetic photon flux density (APAR). APAR is the cumulated product of daily APAR, estimated by greenness index, and daily incident PAR.

ture stress term and a temperature function. The modified form of the ECG model used was:

$$ECG = \sum_{\substack{i=\text{planted}}}^{\text{mature}} (PAR_i / PAR_{max}) (APAR_i) (WF_i) (FT_i)$$

where, PAR_i is the daily incident (moles/m²) and PAR_{max} is the theoretical maximum amount of daily PAR (71.8 moles/m²) for the location of this study. APAR is the proportion of incident PAR that is absorbed by the canopy; WF is the daily ratio of actual to potential evapotranspiration (ET/PET) (Stuff and Dale, 1978); and FT is a daily temperature function (Coelho and Dale, 1980).

The sum of the daily values of ECG is associated with 85% of the variation in corn yields (Fig. 8). Although the combinations of planting dates in 1982 represented a wide range of temperatures, radi-ation, and daylength regimes, significant water stress was not evident among the treatments. In west central Indiana where these experiments were conducted record corn yields were reported in 1982 (USDA, 1982). In other years (e.g., 1983) when moisture and/or temperature limited crop production, the ECG model should be superior to models using only spectral or only meteorological data for predicting crop We are currently assembling and vields. analyzing data to evaluate the effects of these stresses on crop yields.

The concept of combining spectral estimates of canopy characteristics with meteorological models should permit implementation of crop models for large areas.



Figure 8. Grain yields of corn in 1982 as a function of the energy-crop growth (ECG) model. The ECG model combines the concept of absorbed PAR with moisture stress and temperature functions to describe crop growth and yield.

A crop production forecasting system using meteorological and spectral data could exploit the frequent temporal sampling typical of weather data with the high spatial resolution typical of earth observing satellites (Daughtry et al., 1983; Wiegand et al., 1979). Multispectral data from satellites could form the basis for estimating crop production over regions where ground observations are difficult or too costly to obtain directly.

IV. REFERENCES

Asrar, G., E.T. Kanemasu, and M. Yoshida. 1984a. Estimates of leaf area index from spectral reflectance of wheat under different cultural practices and solar angles. AgRISTARS Tech. Rep. SR-M4-04451. NASA/JSC Earth Resources Research Div., Houston, TX.

Asrar, G., M. Fuchs, E.T. Kanemasu, and J.L. Hatfield. 1984b. Estimating absorbed photosynthetic radiation and leaf area index from spectral reflectance in wheat. Agron. J. 76:300-306.

Biehl, L.L. and B.F. Robinson. 1983. Data acquisition and preprocessing technigues for remote sensing field research. Proc. Soc. Photo-Optical Instr. Engr. 356:143-149.

Blad, B.L., J.M. Norman, and B.R. Gardner. 1983. Field measurements, simulation modeling and development of analysis technigues for moisture stressed corn and soybeans -- 1982 studies. AgRISTARS Tech Rep. SR-83-04429. NASA/JSC Earth Resources Research Div., Houston, TX. Bunnik, N.J.J. 1978. The multispectral reflectance of shortwave radiation by agricultural crops in relation with their morphological and optical properties. Mededelingen Landbouwhogeschool, Wageningen 78-1.

Coelho, D.T. and R.F. Dale. 1980. An energy-crop growth variable and temperature function for predictinng corn growth and development: Planting to silking. Agron. J. 72:503-510.

Daughtry, C.S.T. and S.E. Hollinger. 1984. Costs of measuring leaf area index of corn. Agron. J. 76: (accepted)

Daughtry, C.S.T., K.P. Gallo, and M.E. Bauer. 1983. Spectral estimates of solar radiation intercepted by corn canopies. Agron. J. 75:527-531.

Fuchs, M., G. Asrar, E.T. Kanemasu, and L.E. Hipps. 1983. Leaf area estimates from measurements of photosynthetically active radiation in wheat canopies. AgRISTRS Tech. Rep. SR-M3-04420. NASA/JSC Earth Resources Research Div., Houston, TX.

Gardner, B.R., D.R. Thompson, K.E. Henderson, and B.L. Blad. 1984. Initial development of Thematic Mapper vegetation indices for assessing plant growth parameters and moisture stress in corn. Remote Sens. Environ. 14: (submitted).

Gardner, B.R., and B.L. Blad. 1984. Remote estimates of grain yields of two hybrids of moisture-stressed corn using emitted and reflected radiation. Agron. J. 76:(submitted).

Gausman, H.W., R.R. Rodriguez, and A.J. Richardson. 1968. Infinite reflectance of dead compared with live vegetation. Agron. J. 68:295-296.

Hatfield, J.L., G. Asrar, and E.T. Kanemasu. 1984. Intercepted photosynthetically active radiation estimated by spectral reflectance. Remote Sens. of Environ. 14:65-75.

Hipps, L.E., G. Asrar, and E.T. Kanemasu. 1983. Assessing the interceptin of photosynthetically active radiation in winter wheat. Agric. and Forest Meteor. 28:253-259.

Kolkenkark, J.C., C.S.T. Daughtry, M.E. Bauer, and T.L. Housley. 1982. Effects of cultural practices on agronomic and reflectance characteristics of soybean canopies. Agron. J. 74:751-758.

MacDonald, R.B. and F.G. Hall. 1980. Global crop forecasting. Science 208:670-679.

Miller, G.P., M. Fuchs, M.J. Hall, G. Asrar, E.T. Kanemasu, and D.E. Johnson. 1983. Analysis of seasonal multispectral reflectances of small grains. Remote Sens. Environ. 14:153-167.

Norman, J.M. 1980. Interfacing leaf and canopy light interception models. pp. 49-68. <u>In</u> J.D. Hesketh and J.W. Jones (eds.) Predicting photosynthesis for ecosystems models. Vol. 2. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.

Pinter, P.J., Jr., R.D. Jackson, S.B. Idso, and R.J. Reginato. 1981. Multidate spectral reflectance predictors of yield in water stressed wheat and barley. Intl. J. Remote Sens. 2:43-48.

Rice, D.P., E.P. Crist, and W.A. Malila. 1980. Applicability of selected wheat remote sensing technology to corn and soybeans. Environmental Res. Inst. of Michigan. Final Report No. 124000-9-F.

Steven, M.D., P.V. Biscoe, and K.W. Jaggard. 1983. Estimation of sugar beet productivity from reflection in the red and infrared spectral bands. Intl. J. Remote Sens. 4:325-334.

Stuff, R.G. and R.F. Dale. 1978. A soil moisture budget model accounting for shallow water table influences. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 42:637-643.

Tucker, C.J. 1979. Red and photographic infrared linear combinations for monitoring vegetation. Remote Sens. Environ. 8:127-150.

USDA Statistical Reporting Service. 1982. Indiana Crop and Livestock Statistics Annual Summary. Indiana Crop and Livestock Reporting Service. Agric. Exp. Stn., Purdue Univ., West Lafayette, IN.

Walburg, G., M.E. Bauer, C.S.T. Daughtry, and T.L. Housley. 1982. Effects of nitrogen nutrition on the growth, vield and reflectance characteristics of corn canopies. Agron. J. 74:677-683.

Wiegand, C.L., A.J. Richardson, and E.T. Kanemasu. 1979. Leaf area index estimates for wheat from Landsat and their implications for evapotranspiration and crop modeling. Agron. J. 71:336-342.

AUTHOR BIOGRAPHICAL DATA

<u>G.Asrar</u> is research associate with the Evapotranspiration Laboratory at Kansas State University. He received a B.S. degree in Soil and Water Engineering from University of Shiraz, Iran, and M.S. and Ph.D. degrees in Civil Engineering and Soil Physics from Michigan State University. Dr. Asrar is an active member of several national and international professional societies.

L.L.Biehl is research engineer at the Laboratory for Applications of Remote Sensing at Purdue University. His B.S.degree in electrical engineering and M.S.in engineering are from Purdue. He has actively participated in the Skylab program, in the Thematic Mapper design study, the LACIE Field Measurements proand the AgRISTARS Supporting ject, Research project. His present roles include overseeing spectral data acquisition, calibration and correlation, and coordinating entry of the field research data into the LARS library. Mr. Biehl is a member of IEEE.

Blaine L.Blad is professor of Agricultural Meteorology in the Center for Agricultural Meteorology at the University of Nebraska. He has a B.S. degree in chemistry from Brigham Young University and M.S. and Ph.D. in soil science from the University of Minnesota. He is a member of the American Society of Agronomy and currently is an associate editor of Agriclimatology and Crop Modeling for the Agronomy Journal.

<u>C.S.T.Daughtry</u> is research agronomist with the Laboratory for Applications of Remote Sensing at Purdue University. He has B.S.and M.S.degrees in agronomy from the University of Georgia and a Ph.D.in crop physiology from Purdue University. He is a member of the American Society of Agronomy, Crop Science Society of America, American Society of Photogrammetry, and several honor societies.

<u>K.P.Gallo</u> is a postdoctoral research associate at the Laboratory for Applications of Remote Sensing at Purdue University. He received his B.S. in meteorology from Northern Illinois University in 1978, and his M.S. and Ph.D in agronomy in 1981 and 1984, respectively, from Purdue University. Dr. Gallo is a member of the American Meteorological Society, the American Society of Photogrammetry, and the American Society of Agronomy.

Bronson R. Gardner was a postdoctoral research assistant and a graduate assistant at the University of Nebraska. Currently he is a research scientist with Standard Oil of Ohio. He received his B.S. in agronomy from Brigham Young University and M.S. and Ph.D. from the University of Nebraska. He is a member of the American Society of Agronomy.

E.T.Kanemasu is professor of agronomy and leader of the Evapotranspiration Laboratory at Kansas State University. He received B.S.and M.S.degrees from Montana State University and Ph.D.from the University of Wisconsin. He has been a faculty member at Kansas State since 1969 where he conducts research on evapotranspiration, water-use efficiency, radiation, energy and water balances, temperature and spectral reflectance characteristics, and growth and yield modeling of crops. Dr. Ranemasu is a Fellow of the American Society of Agronomy and has served as associate editor and technical editor of Agriclimatology and Crop Modeling for the Agronomy Journal.

John M. Norman is professor of Agronomy in the Department of Agronomy at the University of Nebraska. He has a B.S. in physics, M.S. in soil science from the University of Minnesota, and Ph.D. in soil physics from the University of Wisconsin. He is chairman of Division A-3, American Society of Agronomy, and an associate editor of Agriclimatology and Crop Modeling for the Agronomy Journal.