

Purdue University [Purdue e-Pubs](http://docs.lib.purdue.edu?utm_source=docs.lib.purdue.edu%2Fecetr%2F309&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

[ECE Technical Reports](http://docs.lib.purdue.edu/ecetr?utm_source=docs.lib.purdue.edu%2Fecetr%2F309&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) **[Electrical and Computer Engineering](http://docs.lib.purdue.edu/ece?utm_source=docs.lib.purdue.edu%2Fecetr%2F309&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)**

7-1-1992

FRAMES: A SIMPLE CHARACTERIZATION OF PERMUTATIONS REALIZED BY FREQUENTLY USED NETWORKS

HASAN CAM *Purdue University, School of Electrical Engineering*

JOSE A.B. FORTES *Purdue University, School of Electrical Engineering*

Follow this and additional works at: [http://docs.lib.purdue.edu/ecetr](http://docs.lib.purdue.edu/ecetr?utm_source=docs.lib.purdue.edu%2Fecetr%2F309&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

CAM, HASAN and FORTES, JOSE A.B., "FRAMES: A SIMPLE CHARACTERIZATION OF PERMUTATIONS REALIZED BY FREQUENTLY USED NETWORKS" (1992). *ECE Technical Reports.* Paper 309. http://docs.lib.purdue.edu/ecetr/309

This document has been made available through Purdue e-Pubs, a service of the Purdue University Libraries. Please contact epubs@purdue.edu for additional information.

FRAMES: A SIMPLE **CHARACTERIZATION OF** PERMUTATIONS REALIZED BY FREQUENTLY USED NETWORKS

HASAN CAM JOSE ' **A.B.** FORTES

TR-EE 92-32 JULY 1992

SCHOOL OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING PURDUE UNIVERSITY
WEST LAFAYETTE, INDIANA 47907-1285

FRAMES: A SIMPLE CHARACTERIZATION OF PERMUTATIONS REALIZED BY FREQUENTLY USED NETWORKS

Hasan Cam and *JosdA. B. Fortes*

School of Electrical Engineering Purdue University West Lafayette, IN 47907-1285

ABSTRACT

Rearrangeable multistage interconnection networks such as the Benes network realize any permutation, yet their routing algorithms are not cost-effective. On the other hand, non-rearrangeable networks can have inexpensive routing algorithms, but no simple technique exists to **characterize** all the permutations realized on these **net**works. This paper introduces the concept of frame and shows how it can be used to characterize all the permutations realized on various multistage interconnection networks. They **include** any subnetwork of the Benes network, the class of networks that are topologically equivalent to the baseline network, and cascaded baseline and shuffle-exchange networks. The question of how the addition of a stage to any of these networks affects the type of permutations realized by the network is precisely answered. Also, of interest from a **theoretical** standpoint, a new simple proof is provided for the rearrangeability of the Benes network.

Index Terms— Multistage interconnection network, permutations, rearrangeability, topological equivalence, balanced matrices, frames.

This **research** was supported in part by the Office of Naval Research under contract No. 00014-**90-1-1483 and in part by the Innovative Science and Technology Office of the Strategic Defense Initiative Organization and was administered through the Office of Naval Research under contract NO. 00014-88-k-0723.**

List of Symbols

IN: interconnection network.

IP: interconnection pattern.

 IP_{in} : interconnection pattern formed by input **links.**

IP_{out}: interconnection pattern formed by output links.

SB: switching box; switch.

BE: **taseline network**: *see* Definition II.3.

RB: reverse baseline **network**; see Definition II.3.

SE: shuffie-exchange network; **see** Definition IL3.

 SE^{-1} : inverse **shuffle-exchange network**; see Definition II.3.

BS: **Elenes network**; see **Definition II.3.**

CS: Clos *network*; see Definition 11.3.

N: number of inputs/outputs of a network.

n: $log₂N$.

 $\vec{F}_{1:k}^{ss}$: the standard a-type frame with k columns; see Definition 1112.

 $F_{1:k}^a$: an a-type frame with A **columns; see** Definition III.3.

 $\mathbf{F}_{1:k}^*$: the universal frame with k columns; see Definition III.6.

I: **the** identity permutation matrix; **see** Definition 11.1.

R: the reverse permutation **rnabix; see** Definition II.1.

r: **the** reverse permutation represented by R.

 A_{N+1} : matrix A with N rows and A columns.

 $A_{N+1}(i)$: the *i*th row of matrix A.

 γ : a **permutation** on the **set** {0,1, . . . , N-1); **see** Definition 111.2.

 β : a mapping of the set $\{1,2,\ldots,k\}$ into $\{1,2,\ldots,n\}$; see Definition III.2.

P: a luple of partitions; **see** Definition III.2.

L INTRODUCTION

Interconnection networks are utilized to provide communication among processing: elements and/or memory modules. Network performance significantly affects the overall cost and performance of a computational system because processors may spend a considerable amount of time in processor-processor and/or processor-memory commumication. Therefore, it is important to know exactly the interconnection patterns that can be implemented by a network. In particular, it is desirable to know what permuta**tions** can be realized because parallel algorithms often require permutation-type data transfers. This paper presents a simple and easily understandable characterization of the permutations realized by any network with $N=2ⁿ$ inputs that is topologically equivalent to one of the following **networks:** first k stages, $1 \leq k \leq n$, of the reverse **baseline** network, the last $n+k-1$ stages of Benes network [7], or a cascade of baseline [11] and k-stage shuffle-exchange [1,5] networks. The proposed characterizations are **based** on the notion of "*frame*" (introduced in this paper), balanced matrices [2] and graph theory $[3,4]$.

The effectiveness of any interconnection network **depends** on factors such as the efficiency of the routing algorithm, the number and type of **permutations** it realizes, and the actual hardware implementation of the network. **On** one hand, rearrangeable multistage interconnection networks such as Benes and $\Omega \Omega^{-1}$ (the $\Omega \Omega^{-1}$ is a cascade of **omega** and inverse omega $[1]$) can realize any permutation. However, there are no **known** efficient routing algorithms to allow dynamic configuration in an environment where the switching permutations change rapidly. **On** the other hand, some networks such as baseline and omega have efficient routing algorithms and small propagation delays, but cannot realize many permutations. In these cases, it is **important** to know which permutations **aze** realizable and this is possible by using the results of this paper.

Different approaches have been proposed in the literature to circumvent inefficient routing algorithms. One approach is to determine certain types of permutations that occur more frequently than others in a parallel processing environment. Such permutations have been classified by Lenfant [23] into five families. In **order** to implement these permutations on the Benes network with a small **propagation** &lay, Lenfant **proposed** a specialized routing algorithm for each family. A permutation that fails to be in one of these families still is routed using an inefficient **routing** algorithm. To increase the number of the families of permutations that can be realized by a network, Youssef and Arden [22] introduced an $O(\log^2 N)$ routing algorithm. which sets the (rxr) crossbar switches of the first stage of 3-stage Benes networks with $N=r^2$ inputs to a fixed configuration and acts exactly like a self-routing algorithm in setting the remaining switches. Another approach is to provide self-routing algorithms for realizing some classes of permutations in various multistage interconnection networks such as 'Benes, 2n-stage shuffle-exchange. Nassimi and Sahni [24] presented simple selfrouting algorithms to realize **some** important permutations in Bcnes networks. **Raghavendra** and Boppana [25] proposed self-routing algorithms to realize the class of linear permutations on Benes and 2n-stage shuffle-exchange networks.

Although a large number of multistage interconnection networks **are** extensively studied, there is a relatively small number of basic designs for their underlying topologies, Especially, Benes networks and six topologically equivalent networks, namely, omega, flip, indirect binary cube, modified data manipulator, baseline and reverse baseline have been investigated in depth and **are** frequently used in research studies and real systems. Characterizations of the topologies of these networks are given in [9,26,27]. However, to our knowledge, the characterization of the **permutations** performed by these and other networks is done for the first time in this paper. One exception is the work of Lee [10] which characterizes the permutations realized by the inverse omega network in terms of residue classes.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Basic definitions ancl notations used throughout the paper **are** presented in Section 11. Also included in this section is a motivational example for the concept of frame. In Section III , this concept, illustrations of many different frames, notation and terminology **are** introduced. Permutations realized by the k-stage reverse-baseline, $1 \leq k \leq n$, and the networks which are **topolog**ically equivalent to it are characterized in Section IV. In Section V, the permutations realized by a cascade of reverse baseline and the k-stage shuffle-exchange networks are identified. These cases show how frames can be used to characterize the permutations of some relatively complex networks with more than n stages. Section VI provides new proofs for the rearrangeability of the three-stage Clos and Benes networks. Permutations **realized** by the last $n+k-1$ stages of Benes network are identified in Section **VTI,.** This characterization illustrates how frames can be used to understand why a network is rearrangeable. Section VIII concludes the paper. The Appendix (Section **IX)** contains the proofs of most of theorems and lemmata in the paper.

11.]BASIC DEFINITIONS AND A MOTIVATIONAL EXAMPLE

Throughout this paper, matrices are denoted by single capital letters and columns of **a1** matrix **are** represented by the lower case of the capital letter denoting that matrix. Matrix A having N rows and k columns is denoted by $A_{N \times k}$. Given a matrix, e.g. $A_{N \times k}$, the **jth** column is denoted by a_j , $1 \le j \le k$. To be able to refer to **a** set of specific columns of a matrix, the notation $A_{x:y}$ is used to denote the submatrix that contains **those** columns of A whose indices are x , $x+1$, ..., y, where $1 \le x \le y$; if x happens to be greater than y, then $A_{x,y}$ refers to a nil matrix, unless stated otherwise. If $x=y$, then $A_{x:y}$ refers to a single column a,. Unless specifically stated, the **number** of the rows of a **matrix** $A_{x,y}$ is assumed to be **equal** to N. $A_{N \times n}(i)$ refers to the *i*th row of the mamx

A_{N_{Xn}, where $0 \le i \le N-1$ **.** A column vector of N entries of which **half** are **0's** and the} other half **m** 1's is called a column permutation. Unless otherwise stated, any column of any matrix in this paper is a column permutation. The binary representation of a **positive** integer $0 \le b \le N-1$ is $(b_1b_2 \cdots b_n)$ such that $b = b_1 \cdot 2^{n-1} + b_2 \cdot 2^{n-2} + \cdots + b_n \cdot 2^0$.

A permutation on a set X is a bijection of X onto itself. A **permutation** f permutes the: ordered list $0, 1, \dots, N-1$ into $f(0), f(1), \dots, f(N-1)$. A cyclic notation [20,21] can be used to represent a permutation as the product of cycles, where a cycle $(c_0 c_1 c_2 \cdots c_{k-1} c_k)$ means $f(c_0) = c_1$, $f(c_1) = c_2$, \cdots f $(c_{k-1}) = c_k$, and $f(c_k) = c_0$. The composition of several permutations $f_1, f_2 \cdots f_k$ is evaluated from left to right, i.e., it maps i into f_k (... $(f_2(f_1(i))) \cdot \cdot \cdot$).

Definition 11.1. (Permutation matrix, identity permutation matrix, reverse permutation matrix): A permutation **h** can be represented by a $N \times n$ binary matrix called *permutation* matrix, H, such that its ith row, $H_{N \times n}(i)$, is the binary representation of the integer $h(i)$. The identity permutation matrix denoted by $I_{N \times n}$ is the matrix whose ith row is the binary representation of i (this is called "standard matrix" in [12]). The reverse permutation matrix, denoted $R_{N \times n}$, is the matrix whose jth column is the $(n+1-j)$ th column of $I_{N \times n}$.

For instance, the identity permutation matrix I_{8x3} , the reverse pennutation matrix R_{8x3} and a permutation matrix E_{8x3} are shown below:

$$
I_{8\times 3} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad R_{8\times 3} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad E_{8\times 3} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}
$$

Clearly, there is a one-to-one correspondence between permutations and permutation matrices. For instance, R_{8x3} represents the permutation r:

$$
r = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\ 0 & 4 & 2 & 6 & 1 & 5 & 3 & 7 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

Using the cyclic notation, r is represented by $r = (0)(1\ 4)(2)(3\ 6)(5)(7)$.

11.1. Networks

In the terminology used in this paper, a k-stage interconnection **network** (IN) consists of k columns of switching boxes (SBs), each followed and **preceded** by links which form interconnection patterns (IPs) as shown in Figure 11.1. The *IPs* formed by the input and output links are denoted by IP_{in} and IP_{out} , respectively. Thus, an IN contains $(k+1)$ interconnection patterns labeled IP_{in} , IP_1 , IP_2 , ..., IP_{k-1} , IP_{out} . A column of IN contains $N/2$ (2x2) SBs, each of which can be set either straight or cross. Figures II.2, II.3, II.4, II.5, and **III.6** show several networks considered in this paper for $N=16$, namely, reverse baseline, baseline, Benes, the 4-stage shuffle-exchange (SE), and. the 4-stage inverse SE. If some networks **are** placed in parallel to form a new IN, then the IN is said to be a "pile of networks". Unless otherwise stated, any IN is **assumed** to have N **inputs/outputs** and its stages are labeled from left to right starting with 1. Network stages **are** defined below and illustrated in the figures.

Definition **II.2.** (Stages **of** reverse baseline, baseline, Benes, **SE,** and inverse SE **networks**): With one exception, a stage in the reverse baseline and SE networks consists of a connection pattern and the following column of **SBs**. The exception is the rightmost stage (i.e., the output stage) which consists of the last column of **SBs** and both the preceding and succeeding connection patterns. Stages **are** labeled from left to right in ascending order starting with 1. In the baseline network the **kth** stage corresponds to the $(n - k + 1)$ th stage of the reverse baseline network. (Notice that both the reverse baseline and the baseline can have at most n stages, by definition). In the inverse SE network with m stages, its k th stage corresponds to the $(m-k+1)$ th stage of the m-stage SE network. In this paper, Benes network is **considered** as being com**posed** of the first $n-1$ stages of the n-stage baseline followed by the n-stage reverse baseline. (It could also be considered as being composed of the n-stage baseline folloured by the last $n-1$ stages of the n-stage reverse baseline). **Therefore,** the stages of **Benes** network are labeled according to the labeling rules of the baseline and the reverse baseline.

Figure 11.1. An IN with (2x2) SBs and interconnection patterns shown as large boxes.

Figure II.2. The 4-stage reverse baseline network with 16 inputs/outputs.

Figure II.3. The 4-stage baseline network with 16 inputs/outputs.

Figure II.4. **Benes** network with 16 inputs/outputs.

Figure II.5. The omega network (i.e., the 4-stage SE) with 16 inputs/outputs.

ing.
Panahasa

Figure II.6. The inverse omega network (i.e., the 4-stage inverse SE) with 16 inputs/outputs.

An IN having N inputs/outputs and k stages is & noted by both $IN_{N \times k}$ and $IN_{1:k}$, where $k \geq 1$. The subnetwork that consists of the stages **x** through y of IN_{1:} k is denoted by $IN_{x:y}$, where $1 \le x < y \le k$. If $x > y$, then $IN_{x:y}$ refers to **a** nil network, unless specified otherwise. IN, $1 \le j \le k$, refers to the jth stage of $IN_{1:k}$. The **notation** used for networks is different from that used for matrices because matrices are always & noted by single letters.

Without loss of generality, it is assumed that routing of a **permutation** through a network is done as described in this paragraph. Assuming that the stages of the network are labeled from left to right starting with 1, if the routing tag is $d_1 d_2 \cdots d_k$, then d_i is examined to set the SB at stage i as follows: if d_i equals **zero** then the output is sent to the upper output of the SB; otherwise, it is sent to the **lower** output. The ith entries of the routing tags of the two inputs entering a SB are also called the control bits of that SB. So, to set a SB properly to either straight or cross (or equivalently not to have any conflict in a SB), the control bits of a SB must constitute: the set $(0,1)$. In some networks, the routing tag of an input equals its destination address, but this is not always the case.

In this paper the following convention is adopted to $\&$ note an IN: if the name of an IN has more than one word, then it is denoted by the upper case form of the first letters of those words; otherwise, it is denoted by the upper case form of its first and last letters. Also, if XX denotes an IN, then the *inverse XX* network may be denoted by XX^{-1} . The following definition applies this convention to the baseline, reverse baseline, shuffle-exchange, inverse shuffle-exchange, Benes and three-stage Clos networks of interest in this paper.

Definition IL3. (BE, RB, SE, SF', BS, CS, composite **IN):** The symbols BE, RB , SE, SE^{-1} , BS and CS in this paper refer to the networks baseline, reverse baseline, shuffle-exchange, inverse shuffle-exchange, Benes and three-stage Clos network $v(2,2,N/2)$ [7,13], respectively. (If the number of inputs/outputs of three-stage Clos network $v(2,2,N/2)$ is equal to N, then each of the outside stages of three-stage Clos network in this paper contains $N/2$ (2x2) **SBs** and the middle stage consists of 2 boxes with $N/2$ inputs/outputs each). If an IN is a cascade of different INs, then it is called a *composite* IN and is & noted by the concatenation of symbols that represent the *INs* in tht: order they are cascaded.

As an example for a composite network, the notation RB $_{1:n}SE_{1:n}$, $m \ge 1$, denotes the network consisting of $RB_{1:n}$ followed by $SE_{1:m}$.

Linial and Tarsi [2] introduced the concept of balanced matrices to establish a relation between SE networks and their realizable permutations. The following definition is equivalent to the one given in [2].

Definition II.4. (Balanced matrix): Let $N=2^n$ and call a 0-1 matrix $A_{N \times k}$ balanced if either one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- 1. **For** $k \le n$ **,** it consists of any k columns of the binary representation of a permutation on the set $\{0, 1, ..., N-1\}$.
- 2. **For** $k>n$ **,** every **n** consecutive columns form the binary representation of a permutation on the set $\{0, 1, \ldots, N-1\}$.

As an example, two balanced matrices *E* and **F are** shown below. But notice that the **matrix** $[E F]$ is not balanced.

$$
E = [e_1 \ e_2 \ e_3] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad F = [f_1 \ f_2] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}
$$

Definition IL5. (Pass, realize): A balanced matrix $A_{N \times k}$ (respectively, an IN) is said to pass a k-stage IN (respectively, a matrix $A_{N \times k}$) if no conflict occurs in the SBs of the IN when $A_{N \times k}(i)$ is used as the routing tag for the *ith* input of the: IN. A network IN realizes a permutation represented by $B_{N \times n}$ if there is a network switch setting such that input iis sent to output $B(i)$ for all $i = 0,1, \ldots, N-1$.

According to the last definition, in this paper, the phrases "an IN passes a balanced matrix" and "a balanced matrix passes an IN" **are** used alternatively. It is also assumed that only "one pass" is allowed through a network to realize a permutation. **Therefore,** the phrase "one pass" is omitted in the sequel. To emphasiu: the distinction between the meaning of the terms "pass" and "realize" as used in this paper, it is important to notice that matrix $A_{N \times k}$ in Definition IL5 does not necessarily correspond to the permutation realized by the network IN. Indeed, the ith row of $A_{N \times k}$ is the routing tag for input i and it is only when it equals the destination of input i that $A_{N \times k}$ is the $permutation$ realized by IN ; the cases in which this occurs will become clear in the remainder of the paper.

11.2. A Motivational Example

Consider permutations $\pi_1 = (0.6)(1.2)(3.5.4)(7)$, $\pi_2 = (0.2)(1.4.3.7)(5.6)$, and the reverse baseline network with 8 inputs/outputs, ¬ed by RB _{8x3} and shown in Figure II.7a. A frame is illustrated in Figure II.7b. The binary representations of these permutations are given below:

Figure II.7. (a) **The reverse baseline network** with 8 inputs/outputs. **(b) A frame.**

When the ith row, $0 \le i \le 7$, of both π_1 and π_2 is used as the routing tag for the ith input of $RB_{8\times3}$, no conflict occurs in the switches and connections are established between the input *i* and the outputs $\Pi_1(i)$ and $\Pi_2(i)$, respectively. Therefore, $RB_{8\times 3}$ realizes π_1 and π_2 . Now, let us place the ith row of π_1 and π_2 into the ith row of the frame in Figure II.7b with 8 rows as shown in Figure II.8a and Figure II.8b, respectively.

Figure II.8. (a) Frame with the binary representation of the permutation π_1 . (b) **Frame** with the binary **representation** of the **permutation** π_2 .

The first *k* columns, $1 \leq k \leq 3$, of any of these two frames consists of 2^{3-k} rectangles of size $2^k \times k$. Note that the matrix enclosed by any rectangle of the frames is balanced (in fact., it represents a **permutation** on $\{0, 1, \ldots, 2^k\}$. It is shown in Section **IV** that, when the rows of any permutation realized by the reverse baseline network **are** placed into this **type** of frame, the matrix enclosed by each rectangle is balanced, and vice versa. **Different** frames are introduced in this paper and it is shown how they are useful to identify the permutations realized by some frequently used networks.

IU. FRAMES AND FUNDAMENTAL CONCEPTS

This section introduces the concept of **frames** to characterize the permutations realized by a network. Different frames are derived from this concept and their graphical representations **are** presented. In addition, some related fundamental concepts used in the proofs of this paper are introduced. More extended discussion of these concepts appears in [28].

In order to facilitate the understanding of the concept of frame, the following **definition** is **first** introduced (a *k-tuple* V with the elements v_1 , v_2 , ..., v_k , denoted by $V = \langle v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k \rangle$, refers to an ordered collection of *k* elements).

Definition III.1. (Partition. Pi, block, standard partition P;, P'): Let $X = \{0, 1, \ldots, N-1\}$, $N = 2^n$ and $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. A *partition* P_i of X is a tuple of 2^{n-i} disjoint ordered subsets of X, called blocks, each of which is a tuple with $2ⁱ$ distinct elements. **The** partition $P_i^*=< **h**, **h**+1, ..., **h**+2ⁱ-1>$ such that h *mod* $2ⁱ=0$ and h = $0,1,\ldots,N-1$ > is a *standard partition* of **X**. The *n*-tuple $\langle P_i^*, i=1,2,\ldots,n\rangle$ is denoted by P^* .

Example III.1. Let $N=8$. The following are the standard partitions: $P_1^* = <0, 1>, 2, 3>, 4, 5>, 6, 7>, \quad P_2^* = <0, 1, 2, 3>, 4, 5, 6, 7> \quad \text{and}$ $P_{3}^{*}=<0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7>$. Also, $P^{*}=.$

The notion of frame is **defined** next and an example (Example III.2) is given after tht: definition. Note that the frame of Figures II.7 and $II.8$ is characterized by the labeling of its columns, the labeling of its rows and how each column is partitioned. Therefore, the definition of frame is done in terms of two mappings (the column and row lateling) and a tuple of partitions (one for each column). The column labels determine **tk,** number and size of the blocks in each partition and the row labeling determines the elements in each block and their order. As precisely stated in the definition, column with label $\beta(i)$ corresponds to a partition with $2^{n-\beta(i)}$ blocks with $2^{\beta(i)}$ elements each and the mth element within the jth block corresponds to the label $\gamma(r)$ of row $r = 2^{\beta(i)}(j-1)+m-1$) After Example III.2, a convenient graphical nzpresentation for frames is introduced and its use is illustrated in Example 111.3 for the frames described in Example III.2.

Definition III.2. (Frame): Let $1 \leq k$ 5 n and $1 \leq i \leq k$. A *frame* $F_{N \times k}$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, is a 3-tuple $\langle \beta, \gamma, P \rangle$, where $e < \beta, \gamma, P$, where
 \rightarrow β is a mapping of the set $\{1, 2, ..., k\}$ into $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$,

-
- $\overline{\mathbf{p}}$ is a mapping of the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ into $\{1, 2, \ldots, N-1\}$ and
 $\overline{\mathbf{p}}$ is a permutation on the set $\{0, 1, \ldots, N-1\}$ and
- γ is a permutation on the set $\{0, 1, \ldots, N-1\}$ and
- P is a tuple of partitions $\langle P_{\beta(1)}, P_{\beta(2)}, \ldots, P_{\beta(k)} \rangle$ determined by β and γ as follows:
	- $P_{\beta(i)} = \langle P_{\beta(i),1}, P_{\beta(i),2}, \ldots, P_{\beta(i),2^{n-\beta(i)}} \rangle$ where $P_{\beta(i),j} = \langle u_{1,j},u_{2,j},\ldots,u_{2^{N(i)},j}\rangle$ such that $u_{m,j} = \gamma(2^{\beta(i)}(j-1)+m-1)$ for $1 \le j \le 2^{n-\beta(i)}$ and $1 \le m \le 2^{\beta(i)}$.

Definition III.3. (a-frame, **standard** a-frame): Consider the 3-tuple $c\beta, \gamma, P$ > that defines a frame $F_{N \times k}$. If β is the **identity** permutation, then $F_{N \times k}$ is an **a-frame** denoted by $F_{N \times k}^a$. If β and γ are the identity permutations (which implies $P = P^*$), then $F_{N \times k}$ is the standard **a-frame** denoted by $F_{N \times k}^{sg}$.

By definition of standard a-type frame, column f_i^{α} , $1 \le i \le n$, has 2^{n-i} blocks, each having 2' rows. Unless otherwise stated, the number of the rows of $\mathbf{F}_{1:k}^{sa}$, $k \ge 1$, is assumed to be N. Similar to the notation of matrices, to be able to refer to specific columns of a frame, the notation $F_{x,y}$ is used to denote the subframe that contains those columns of F whose indices **are** $x, x+1, \ldots, y$. Unless specifically stated, the number of rows of $F_{x,y}$ is assumed to be N.

Example III.2. The following are examples of frames for $N=8$ and $k=3$. (a) $F_{8x3} = \langle \beta, \gamma, P \rangle$ where $\beta = (1 \ 2)(3)$, y is the identity permutation and $P =$ such that $P_1 = P_1^*$, $P_2 = P_2^*$ and $P_3 = P_3^*$. (b) $F_{8\times 3}^a = \langle \beta, \gamma, P \rangle$ where $\beta =$ **identity** permutation, $\gamma = (0)(1 \ 2)(3)(4)(5)(6)(7)$, $P=$, $P_1=<0,2>$, $<1,3>$, $<4,5>$, $<6,7>$, $P_2=<0,2,1,3>$, $\langle 4, 5, 6, 7 \rangle$ > and $P_3 = \langle 0, 2, 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 \rangle$.

(c) $\mathbf{F}_{8\times3}^{\mathbf{a}} = \langle \beta, \gamma, P \rangle$ where $\beta =$ identity permutation, $\gamma = (0)(1 \ 3 \ 6 \ 4)(2 \ 5)(7)$, $P=$, $P_1=<0,3>$, $<5,6>$, $<1,2>$, $<4,7>$, $P_2=<0,3,5,6>$, $\langle 1,2,4,7 \rangle >$ and $P_3 = \langle 0,3,5,6,1,2,4,7 \rangle$. (d) $\mathbf{F}_{8\times3} = \langle \beta, \gamma, P \rangle$ where $\beta = \begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$, γ is the identity permutation, $P = , P_2 = P_2^*$ and $P_3 = P_3^*$.

Definition III.4. (Graphical representation of a frame, rectangle of a frame): The graphical representation of a *frame* $F_{N \times k} = \langle \beta, \gamma, P \rangle$ consists of k columns labeled f_i , $i=1,\ldots,k$, from left to right and N rows labeled $\gamma(j)$, $j=0,1,\ldots,N-1$ starting at the **top.** The column f_i **corresponds** to the partition P $g(i)$, that is, f_i consists of $2^{n-\beta(i)}$ blocks of $2^{\beta(i)}$ entries each. In the graphical representation of a frame, any polygon with four sides and four right angles is a rectangle d the frame.

Example III.3. Figures III.1a, III.1b, III.1c and III.1d show the graphical representation of the frames described in the part (a) , (b) , (c) and (d) of Example III.2, **respectively.** Figure III.1e shows the graphical representation of the **standard** a-frame \vec{F}_{33}^{32} . The labels of the partitions below each column are implicit by the sizes of the rectangles in the column and can be omitted

Figure III.1. (a), (b), (c) and (d) are the *graphical* **representations of the frames described in the part (a),** *(b), (c) and (d)* **of** *Example* **III.2, respectively. (e) Graphical representation** of the standard a-frame F_{3x3}^{\bullet} .

Definition III.5. (Fit): Let $k \ge 1$, $0 \le i \le N-1$ and $1 \le j \le k$. Consider a balanced matrix $A_{N \times k}$ and a frame $F_{N \times k}$. The mamx A fits $F_{N \times k}$ if and only if, after placing a_{ij} in the ith row and jth column of $F_{N \times k}$, every rectangle of $F_{N \times k}$ contains a balanced matrix.

Example III.4. The **matrix** E, shown just after Definition \mathbf{I} , \mathbf{I} , \mathbf{I} , \mathbf{I} all the frames shown in Figures III.1 except F_{3x3}^{3x} shown in Figure III.1e because, for example, the submatrix in the top leftmost rectangle (the 2-tuple $P_{1,1}$) is not balanced.

Note that the value of k in Definition III.5 does not have to equal n. It will become clear that frames of any number of columns can be used to characterize permutations (which are represented by balanced matrices of *n* columns).

In addition to a-frames, other two types of frames are of use in this paper. One is called universal frame and, as suggested by its name, any balanced matrix fits it. The other type of frame is a concatenation of frames and is useful in characterizing the permutations realized by, for example, composite networks.

Definition 111.6. (Universal **frame F**_{1:k}): The universal *frame* $F_{1:k}^*$, $k \ge 1$, is such that, for $i=1,2,\ldots,k$, $\beta(i)=n$, γ is the identity permutation and $P_i = P_n^*$. The universal frame $\mathbf{F}_{1:k}^*$ is illustrated in Figure III.2.

Figure III.2. The universal frame $F_{1:k}^*$ **.**

Definition III.7. ($\mathbf{F_{1:n}^{sa}} \mathbf{F_{1:m}^{*}}$): The notation $F_{1:n}^{sa} F_{1:m}^{*}$, $m \ge 1$, represents the frame obtained by concatenating $\vec{F}_{1:n}^{\text{sa}}$ and $\vec{F}_{1:n}^{\text{sa}}$ as shown in Figure 1113.

Figure III.3. The frame $F_{1:n}^{sa}F_{1:m}^{*}$ which is obtained by concatenating $F_{1:n}^{sa}$ and $F_{1:m}^{*}$.

The following definition states **precisely** what means to establish a **correspondence** between a frame and a network.

Definition III.8. (Correspondence between frames and networks): A frame (respectively, an IN) is said to *correspond* to *an* IN (respectively, a frame) if a bal**anced** matrix fits the **frame** if and only if it passes the network.

When a kame corresponds to a network it suffices to check if a, matrix fits the frame in order to determine whether the network passes the matrix. This does not mean that, when the matrix represents a permutation, the network realizes the permutation. Instead, it means that, when the rows of the matrix are used as routing tags, no conflicts occur in the network.

The complexity of checking that a matrix fits a frame is discussedl next. First, the complexity of testing if a rectangle contains a permutation matrix is considered. Next, the complexity of checking all rectangles of the same size is discussed and, finally, the complexity of checking all rectangles (i.e., the entire frame) is derived. Note that it suffices to consider only those rectangles whose number of columns equals the logarithm of the number of rows.' To check whether a given rectangle with **x** rows and log columns contains a balanced matrix, it suffices to verify that the rows of the mabrix **are** distinct. This can be done by building a binary search tree starting with the root which corresponds to the **first** row of the matrix; each row is then added as a leaf to the **tree** as long as it is distinct from all previously inserted rows and so that it satisfies the binary-search-tree property [29]. According to this property, if $v(p)$ is the value of the row that corresponds to node p, then $v(y) < v(p)$ for any **node** y in the left

⁵¹ **logarithms st in base 2 unless stated othemise.**

subtree of p and $v(z) > v(p)$ for any node z in the right subtree of p. In the worst case, this procedure takes $O(x^2)$ steps and has average complexity of $O(x \log x)$ [29]. If several rectangles of the same size exist in a frame, then the total nuniber of rows contained in all the rectangles with the same columns is N. The same **procedure** can be used for each rectangle and the total worst case and average complexities will be **0** (N2) and **0** (NlogN), respectively. Because there **are** at most k different types of rectangles in a frame with k columns, the total worst case and average complexities **are** $O (kN^2)$ and $O (kN \log N)$, respectively. These bounds apply to any frame, but it is possible to do better with particular frames. For example, for **a-frames** the **worst-case** complexity becomes $O(N^2+2(N/2)^2+\cdots+(N/2)2^2)=O(N^2)$.

IV'. BASELINE-TYPE NETWORKS

Equivalence relations among INS have been extensively studied in the literature using different tools such as graph theory, group theory, and Boolean algebra $[6,11,27,26]$. Networks can be **modeled** by directed graphs where **vertices** and edges represent switches and links, respectively. Two INs are *functionally equivalent* if they realize the same set of permutations while two **INs** are topologically *equivalent* if their topologies (i.e., directed graphs) are isomorphic. Wu and Feng [11] have shown the topological equivalence of a class of MINs, which include data cnanipulator [14], oniega [I], flip [IS], SW-banyan (s=f=2) [16], and indirect binary n-cube [17], baseline and reverse baseline [11]. From [18], "the notion of functional equivalence is more **practical** than that of topological equivalence because it provides an equivalence basis among networks at their inputs, and thus it does not call for any modification in their internal switching structure". Given a network in a class of isomorphic INs, it is possible to rename its inputs and/or outputs so that this network can **directly** simulate any network in the class [11]. In this section, all the matrices that pass those networks that **are** topologically equivalent to the k-stage baseline, $1 \le k \le n$, are identified by aframes that may differ only in how their rows **are** labeled. First, the permutations realized by the k-stage reverse baseline are identified. Then, this result is extended to the other networks. These results also show how the addition of a stage to the right of these networks changes the type of their realizable permutations. An algorithm is provided to find whether a network is topologically equivalent to the reverse baseline network, its corresponding frame and how to relabel inputs and outputs to achieve functional equivalence. Omitted proofs **are** provided in the Appendix.

IV.1. Correspondence between $\mathbf{F}_{1:k}^{\text{sa}}$ **and** $\mathbf{RB}_{1:k}$

Because RB_{1:n} is functionally and topologically equivalent to BE_{1:n} [11], any permutation that is realized by $RB_{1:n}$ is also realized by $BE_{1:n}$, and vice versa. However, this is not true for RB $_{1:k}$ and BE_{1:k}, $1 \le k \le n-1$, because they are not functionally equivalent (they are only topologically equivalent). But, the set of balanced **matrices** that pass RB $_1$: is the same as the set of balanced matrices that pass BE $_1$: is as explained next. The network $RB_{1:k}$ can be obtained by repositioning the **SBs** of the second stage through the last stage of $BE_{1:k}$ and reordering its outputs. It follows that any pair of routing tags that enter a SB at the kth stage of $BE_{1:k}$ also enter a SB at the **kth** stage of RB $_{1:k}$, and vice versa. So, if the routing tags used in BE $_{1:k}$ do not create any conflict, then they also do not have any conflict in the **SBs** of RB $_{1:k}$, and vice versa. Therefore, a balanced matrix $D_{1:k}$ passes RB $_{1:k}$ if and only if $D_{1:k}$ passes BE_{1:k}.

The following theorem shows that there exists a very close relation between RB 1:**k** and $\vec{F}_{1:k}^{sa}$, $1 \le k \le n$, so that the matrices that pass the network can be identified by $F_{1:k}^{sa}$. It shows that the ith input of $RB_{1:k}$ is sent without conflicts to the output whose value equals ($\left| i/2^{k} \right| \times 2^{k}$) plus the value of D_{1:k}(i) when the ith row of a matrix $D_{1:k}$ that fits $F_{1:k}^{sa}$ is used as the routing tag for the ith input of RB $_{1:k}$.

Theorem IV.1. A matrix $D_{1:k} = [d_1 d_2 \cdots d_k]$ fits $F_{1:k}^{sq}$ if and only if $D_{1:k}$ passes RB $_{1:k}$, $1 \le k \le n$. Moreover, RB $_{1:k}$ sends its ith input to its jth **output**, where j is equal to the sum of ($\left| i/2^{k} \right| \times 2^{k}$) and the value of D $_{1:k}(i)$.

Basic idea of **proof** (complete proof appears in Appendix):

(+) $D_{1:k}$ fits $F_{1:k}^{sa}$ \rightarrow $D_{1:k}$ passes RB_{1:k}.

Induction on k is used. For $k=1$, each rectangle of $F_{1:k}^{sq}$ has a 0 and a 1. These correspond to the control bits of a switch in $RB_{1:k}$ and, thus, no conflict occurs. For $k>1$, assuming the theorem holds for $k-1$, it is also shown that each switch in the kth stage "has" control bits 0 and 1 and, therefore, no conflicts occur. These control bits must appear as the kth bits at the end of identical $(k-1)$ -bit rows of subframes $F_{2^{k-1}\times k-1}^{\alpha_1}$ and. $F_{2^{k-1}\times k-1}^{\alpha_2}$ of $F_{1:k}^{sa}$ so that $D_{1:k}$ fits $F_{1:k}^{sa}$. Each subframe corresponds to a subnet**work** of RB_{1:} which is also a reverse baseline network $RB_{2^{k-1}x^{k-1}}$.

 $\mathbf{C} \leftarrow \mathbf{D}_{1:k}$ passes RB $_{1:k} \rightarrow D_{1:k}$ fits $F_{1:k}^{sa}$.

Induction on k is used. For $k=1$, if d₁ passes RB₁, then each rectangle of $F_{1:k}^{sq}$ contains a 0 and a 1 and d_1 fits $f_1^{\mathcal{A}}$. For k >1, assuming the theorem holds for $k-1$, it is shown that for the outputs of two subnetworks $RB_{2^{k-1} \times k-1}^{\alpha_1}$ and $RB_{2^{k-1} \times k-1}^{\alpha_2}$ to cause no conflict in any switch of the kth stage it must be the case that a 0 and a 1 are added to the $k-1$ entries of identical rows of the frames that correspond to the two subnetworks. This implies that $D_{1:k}$ fits $F_{1:k}^{\text{sg}}$. The value of j follows from the topology of RB $_{1:k}$ and how switches are set by control bits. \Box

Corollary IV.l. A network with k stages and **N** inputs/outputs is topologically equivalent to the k-stage reverse baseline, $RB_{1:k}$, if and only if it corresponds to an atype frame $\mathbf{F}_{1:k}^a$, where $1 \le k \le n$.

W.2. **Permutations Realized by Baseiine-Type Networks**

In this section, a-type frames arc used to characterize all the permutations realized by any network that is topologically equivalent to the baseline network. An algorithm, called **FRAME_{IN}**, is introduced to **determine** the a-type frame that corresponds to a given network. It is also shown how to construct a network to realize all the permutations that fit an a-type frame.

Let $F_{1:k}^a(\alpha^{-1})$ ¬e a particular a-type frame where $\gamma = \alpha^{-1}$, i.e., whose row labels form the vector α^{-1} . Let Π ¬e a network with k stages which is the same as *RB*_{1:k} except that the label of its ith input equals the ith entry of α^{-1} . By Corollary *IV.1*, a balanced matrix $D_{1:k}$ fits $F_{1:k}^a(\alpha^{-1})$ if and only if $D_{1:k}$ passes Π . If $k=n$, any of these balanced matrices represents a permutation, so that Π is a network that realizes all the permutations characterized by $F_{1:k}^a(\alpha^{-1})$. If $k < n$, then the relation between a $D_{1:k}$ that fits $F_{1:k}^a(\alpha^{-1})$ and a permutation that passes Π is first determined. By applying this relation to every balanced matrix that fits $F_{1:k}^a(\alpha^{-1})$, all the permutations realized by Π are determined. Theorem IV.3 determines the relation between a balanced mamx that fits $\vec{F}_{1:k}^{sa}$ and the permutation realized by RB $_{1:k}$ when this balanced matrix passes the network. Corollary IV.3 generalizes this result to the class of baseline-type networks.

Theorem IV.3. A matrix $D_{1:k}$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, fits $F_{1:k}^{sq}$ if and only if $RB_{1:k}$ realizes the permutation represented by $[I_{1:n-k} D_{1:k}].$

Proof. (\rightarrow) Let $D_{1:k}$ fit $F_{1:k}^{sa}$. It is shown that $RB_{1:k}$ realizes the permutation represented by $[I_{1:n-k} D_{1:k}].$

Theorem IV.1 states that *RB*_{1:k} sends its ith input, $0 \le i \le N-1$, to its jth output, where *j* is equal to the sum of ($\left| i/2^k \right| \times 2^k$) and the value of D_{1;k}(*i*). Due to the fact Equal to the sum of $\left[1/2\right]$
 $\left[1/2^k\right] \times 2^k$ + $D_{1:k}(i)$ equals the ith that $[(\,i/2^k \,|\times 2^k) + D_{1:k}(i)]$ equals the ith row of $[I_{1:n-k} D_{1:k}]$, $RB_{1:k}$ realizes the permutation represented by $[I_{1:n-k} D_{1:k}]$.

 (\leftarrow) Assume that RB 1:**k** realizes the **permutation** represented by [I_{1:n-k} D_{1:k}]. It is shown that $D_{1:k}$ fits $F_{1:k}^{sa}$.

Because *RB* **1**: \boldsymbol{k} realizes the permutation represented by $[I_{1:n-k} \ D_{1:k}]$, it sends its ith input to the output whose value equals the sum of ($\left| i/2^k \right| \times 2^k$) and the value of $D_{1:k}(i)$, $D_{1:k}$ passes RB_{1:k}. It follows from Theorem IV.1 that $D_{1:k}$ fits $F_{1:k}^{sa}$. \Box

Corollary IV.3. Consider a k-stage, $1 \le k \le n$, network Π which is topologically **equivalent** to RB $_{1:k}$. The network Π is functionally and topologically equivalent to a network $IP_{in}RB_{1:k}IP_{out}$, where IP_{in} and IP_{out} are interconnection patterns that realize **permutations** α_{in} and α_{out} , **respectively.** Also, let $F_{1:k}^a(\alpha_{in}^{-1})$ denote an a-type k-column **frame** whose ith row label equals $\alpha_{in}^{-1}(i)$ for $i = 0, 1, ..., N-1$. A matrix $D_{1:k}$ fits $F_{1:t}^a(\alpha_{in}^{-1})$ if and only if Π realizes the **permutation** α_{in} **.** μ . α_{out} , where μ is the permutation represented by the balanced matrix $[I_{1:n-k} D_{1:k}^*]$ and $D_{1:k}^*(i) = D_{1:k}(\alpha_{in}^{-1}(i))$.

Corollary IV.3 implies that the network $IP_{in}RB_{1:k}$ corresponds to the frame $F_{1:k}^a(\alpha_{in}^{-1})$, where IP_{in} realizes the permutation α_{in} . Hence, for a given $F_{1:k}^a$, a corresponding network can be constructed easily. The following example shows the **construction** of a network that realizes a set of permutations which **includes** two given permutations.

Example IV.1. Let $N=16$, $k=2$, $0 \le i \le N-1$. Assume that α_{in} and α_{out} & note the permutations realized by the interconnection patterns IP_{in} and IP_{out} . Given two permutations $a = (0985121210146371113)(4)(15)$ and b =: $(0.7)(1)(2.391311845)(6.12)(10.1514)$, it is shown how to **construct** a network **IP**_{in} $RB_{1:2}$ IP_{out} that realizes a set of permutations including a and b. Let A and B refer to the binary representations of a and b, respectively. By Theorem IV.3, any permutation that passes RB 1:2 must be represented by a balanced matrix whose first (leftmost) two columns form $I_{1:2}$ (recall that $k = 2$ and $n = 4$ in this example). If there was only one given permutation, then the balanced matrix representing the permutation could be converted by IP_{in} to a balanced matrix whose first two columns form $I_{1:2}$ because IP_{in} can be chosen so **as** to permute the rows in any given way. However, if more than one **permutation** are given, and the first two columns of their binary **representations** do not form the same matrix, then IP_{out} is needed to convert the binary representations of these permutations into balanced matrices whose first two columns; form the same matrix. So, the matrices A and B are first converted by IP_{out} to A and B such that $\vec{A}_{1:2} = \vec{B}_{1:2}$. Specifically, α_{out} converts A and **B** to A and B, respectively such that $A(i) = \alpha_{out}^{-1}(A(i))$ and $B(i) = \alpha_{out}^{-1}(B(i))$. Then, A and B **are** converted by α_{in} to A and B, respectively such that the first two columns of each of these **matrices form** $I_{1:2}$. **Specifically,** $\hat{A}(i) = A a$ (i)) and $\hat{B}(i) = B(\alpha_{in}^{-1}(i))$. For instance, $\alpha_{\text{out}} = (0.1312)(1.5724)(3.86914)(10.1511)$ converts a and b to \dot{a} = (061481715 1093542131211) and $\mathbf{b} = (057128214113613159057)$, respectively. Similarly, α_{in} = (0 5 4 1 7 15 9 3 6 13 12 11)(2 14 8)(10) converts 6 and b into a $:= (0)(1\ 2)(3)(4)(5\ 6)(7)(8)(9\ 10)(11)(12)(13\ 14)(15)$ and \ddot{b} := (0 3)(1)(2)(4 7)(5)(6)(8 11)(9)(10)(12 15)(13)(14), respectively. The binary representations of a, a, **ii,** b, b and **bare** shown below. The network that realizes the

pennutations a and b is shown in Figure IV. 1.

Because a **2x2** switch has two possible settings (cross and straight), the number of bal**anced** matrices that pass a k-stage baseline-type network with N **inputs** equals $2^{m/2}$. By Corollary IV.l, for any given k-column a-type frame, there exists a corresponding baseline-type network. Therefore, exactly $2^{t\vec{N}/2}$ balanced matrices fit any $\vec{F}_{1:2}^q$. For $k=2$, 2^N balanced matrices pass a baseline-type network. Let $D'_{1:2}$, $1 \le r \le 2^N$, & note one of the 2^{*N*} balanced matrices that fit $F_{1:2}^a(\alpha_{in}^{-1})$. Also, assume that $D_{1:2}^{*s}$ is obtained from $D'_{1:2}$ such that $D_{1:2}^{*}(i) = D'_{1:2}(\alpha_{in}^{-1}(i))$. Let μ_r denote the permutation represented by $[I_{1:2} D_{1:2}^*]$. So, the network shown in Figure IV.1 realizes any of those permutations that **result** from α_{in} . μ_r . α_{out} . The ith row of $D_{1:2}^{*}$ is used as the routing tag for the ith input of RB 1:2 in $IP_{in}RB_{1:2}IP_{out}$. As an example, let r=1 and consider the balanced matrix $D_{1:2}^{\text{T}}$, shown in Figure IV.2a, that fits $F_{1:2}^a(\alpha_{in}^{-1})$. The matrix $D_{1:2}^{*}$ that is obtained from $D_{1:2}^1$, and the matrix $[I_{1:2} D_{1:2}^{*}]$ are also shown in Figure IV.2. When the: ith mw of $\vec{D}_{1:2}^{*1}$ is used as the muting tag for the ith input of $RB_{1:2}$, RB $_{1:2}$ realizes the: **permutation** $\mu_1 = (0)(1 \ 3 \ 2)(4 \ 5 \ 6)(7)(8 \ 10 \ 11)(9)(12 \ 15 \ 14 \ 13)$ which is represented by $[I_{1:2} D_{1:2}^{*}]$. On the other hand, the network $IP_{in}RB_{1:2}IP_{out}$ realizes the permutation (0 9 4 8 5 7 3 1 2 1 2 6)(10)(11 13)(14 15) which results from $\alpha_{in} \mu_1 \alpha_{out}$. End of example.

Figure IV.1. The network $IP_{iA}RB_{1:2}IP_{iA}$ of Example IV.1.

Figure IV.2. *(a) A balanced matrix D*¹_{1:2} which fits $F_{1:2}^a(\alpha_{ia}^{-1})$. (b) $F_{1:2}^a(\alpha_{ia}^{-1})$ with D¹_{1:2}. $f(c) D_{1:2}^{*1}$ whose ith **row** equals $D_{1:2}^1(\alpha_{in}^{-1}(i))$. **(d)** $[I_{1:2} D_{1:2}^{-1}]$.

In the rest of this section, some preliminary results used in the Algorithm FRAME_IN are first presented, then the algorithm is introduced.

Lemma **IV.1.** Let \boldsymbol{r} denote the **reverse** permutation mpresented by the reverse permutation matrix $R_{N \times n}$ described in Definition 11.1. The reverse baseline network *RB*_{1:n} realizes *r* when all the switches are set straight.

Proof. The permutation **realized** by $RB_{1:n}$ when all the **switches are** set straight is determined by the **interconnection** patterns IP_{in} , IP_1 , ..., IP_{n-1} , IP_{out} . Because $IP_{in} = IP_{out}$ = identity pattern, the permutation is given by $\alpha_1 \cdot \alpha_2 \cdot \cdot \cdot \alpha_{n-1}$ where α_i is the permutation realized by IP_i . Permutation α_i is such that $\alpha_i(x)$ rotates left the right**most i+1** bits of x by one position because IP_i is a pile of 2^{n-i-1} shuffle-exchange patterns each with 2^{i+1} links. Applying this operation for all i starting with the initial **rnatrix** $I_{N \times n}$ yields the reverse permutation matrix $R_{N \times n} = [i_n i_{n-1} \cdots i_1].$

Because the reverse baseline network can be converted to the **baseline** network by repositioning the switches of the middle stages only, Lemma N.l is also valid for the baseline network. If there exists a unique path between any input **anld** any output of a network, then the network satisfies the Banyan *property* [6,26]. **Bermond** et. al [26] **present** a set of properties to determine whether a network is topologically equivalent to baseline network. Their main result is formally restated below.

Theorem IV.2. [26] Let G be a directed graph representing a network with **n** stages and N inputs/outputs which satisfies the Banyan property. This network is topologically equivalent to the baseline network if and only if both the first j stages and the **last** j stages of G contain 2"-J connected components for each j, $1 \le j \le n$.

This result is used next as the basis for Algorithm FRAME_NIN. The description of the algorithm is followed by a proof of its correctness and analysis of its complexity.

Algorithm FRAME

- Input: A network GN with $2x2$ switches, **n** stages and 2 " inputs/outputs.
- Output: An a-type frame that **corresponds** to GN if GN is topologically equivalent to the baseline network, the permutations α_{in} and α_{out} realized by the interconnection patterns IP_{in} and IP_{out} , respectively, such that the network $IP_{in}GN_{1:n}IP_{out}$ is functionally equivalent to $RB_{N \times n}$.
- Step 1. Let G denote a graph with n "stages" that is **obtained** by representing the switches and links of the given network by vertices and edges that **are** directed from left to right, respectively.
- Step 2. Using a **breadth-first** search algorithm check whether there exists a unique path between any input vertex and any output

vertex of G. If so, go to next step. If not, go to Step 9,

- Step 3. Let j andp be integer variables initialized to **0.**
- Step 4. Increment j by 1. If $j > n$, then go to next step; otherwise, using a depth-search algorithm, check whether the last j stages of' the G contain 2"-J connected components. If so, go to Step 4. If not, go to Step 9.
- Step 5. Increment p by 1. If $p > n$, then go to Step 7; otherwise, using a depth-search algorithm, check whether the first p stages of G contains 2^{n-p} connected components. If so, go to next step. If not, go to Step 9.
- **Step** 6. If $p=1$, let V_r^1 denote a vector of the input labels of a distinct connected component (a **2x2** switch) for each r , $(1 \le r \le 2^{n-1})$, and then go to Step 5; otherwise, do: let V_r^p , $1 \le r \le 2^{n-p}$, denote a vector that is formed by merging two vectors V_i^{p-1} and V_i^{p-1} for $1 \text{ Ss}, t \leq 2^{n-p+1}$ and $s \neq t$ such that the set: of entries of V_r^p equals the set of input labels of a distinct connected component determined in Step 5. Go to Step 5.
- Step 7. Let $\gamma(i) = V_1^n(i)$ for $i = 1, 2, ..., N-1$ (note that V_1^n is obtained in Step 6). Write "The a-type frame $F_{1:n}^a$ whose ith row label equals $\gamma(i)$ corresponds to the GN".
- **Step** 8. Let σ denote the permutation realized by the given network $GN_{1:n}$ when all the switches **are** set straight. The permutation realized by *IP*_{in} is $\alpha_{in} = \gamma^{-1}$. The **permutation** realized by *IP*_{out} is $\alpha_{out} = \sigma^{-1} \cdot \alpha_{in}^{-1} \cdot r$, where r is the reverse permutation represented by the reverse permutation matrix $R_{N \times n}$ (see Definition $II.1$). Stop.
- Step 9. Write "The given network is not topologically equivalent to baseline network and no corresponding a-type frame exists". Stop.

In Steps 2 through 6, Algorithm FRAME-IN checks whether the given network satisfies the set of properties described in Theorem IV.2. Specifically, Step 2 checks the Banyan **property**, while Steps 3 through 6 check whether both the first j stages and the last j stages of the network graph contain 2 " $-$ J connected **components**, for each j. So, if Algorithm FRAME₋IN fails in any of these steps, then it **follows** from Theorem IV.2 that the given network is not topologically equivalent to baseline network and, by Corollary **IV.** 1, has no corresponding a-type frame.

It is now shown that the given network corresponds to the *a***-type** frame determined in Step 7, that is, any balanced matrix that fits the a-type frame determined in **Step** 7 passes the given network, and vice versa. Theorem **IV.1** proves that, for 1 **I**; $k \le n$, the frame $F_{1:k}^{sa}$ corresponds to RB 1:*k*, that is, a balanced matrix D_{1:*k*} fits $F_{1:k}^{sa}$ if and only if $D_{1:k}$ passes RB_{1:k}. Note that RB_{1:j} is a pile of 2^{n-j} RB_{2ⁱxj}s. Recall that the only difference between the standard a-frame $F_{1:k}^{sa}$ and an a-type frame $F_{1:k}^{a}$ is the order of their row labels. Because Step 7 assigns $\gamma(i)$ to the ith row label of $F_{1:n}^a$, this frame corresponds to the given network. Step 8 first assumes that the permutation realized by the given network equals σ when all the switches are set straight. Then, Step 8 states that the interconnection pattern IP_{in} realizes the permutation $\alpha_{in} = \gamma^{-1}$. Relabeling the ith input of the given network by $\gamma(i)$ is equivalent to adding the interconnection pattern IP_{in} to the left of the given network. Thus, any balanced matrix that fits the **a-type frame obtained in Step 7 passes the network** $IP_{in}GN_{1:n}$ **, and vice versa. Algo**rithm **FRAME_IN** also adds an interconnection pattern IP_{out} that realizes a permutation called α_{out} to the right of the given network such that the **network IP**_{in}GN $_{1:n}$ IP_{out} realizes the permutation r when all the switches are set straight. By Lemma IV.1, the reverse baseline (baseline) realizes the permutation *r* when all the switches are set straight. Therefore, the network $IP_{in}GN_{1:n}IP_{out}$ is functionally and topologically equivalent to the reverse baseline and baseline networks. This completes the proof of correctness of the algorithm.

The graph of Algorithm **FRAME_IN** can have at most $O(N \log N)$ vertices because each vertex represents a switch. Algorithm FRAME-IN uses a breadth-first search to check whether the given network holds the Banyan property. A depth-first search is used to identify the connected components of G, and that the depth-first forest contains as many trees as G has connected components [29]. If V and E **are** the sets of vertices and edges, respectively, the running time of both a **breadth-first** search and a depth-first search is $\Theta(V+E)$. This implies that, for each value of j, Algorithm FRAME-IN takes O(N1ogN) time. Because there **are** 2logN iterations, the running time of Algorithm FRAME_{IN} is $\Theta(N \log^2 N)$.

Algorithm FRAME-IN yields a **frame** that corresponds to the given network. **This** means that any matrix that fits the frame also passes the network and vice versa. However, this does not necessarily mean that the permutation represented by the **matrix** is realized by the network. When a balanced matrix $D_{N \times 1}$ fits an a-frame corresponding to a baseline-type network, the network realizes the permutation d. α_{out} , where d is the permutation represented by $D_{N \times n}$ and α_{out} is the permutation realized by IP_{out} determined in Step 8 of Algorithm FRAME-IN. In other words, given a network that is topologically equivalent to the reverse baseline, relabeling its inputs and outputs by α_{in}^{-1} and α_{out} , respectively, results in a new network that is functionally equivalent to the reverse baseline.

As an example, for N=16, Algorithm FRAME-IN can be used to characterize the **permutations** of the following baseline-type networks: **generalized** cube, omega,

indirect binary n-cube, banyan $(S=F=2)$, inverse omega, modified data manipulator, flip. The topological equivalence among these networks and baseline and reverse **baseline** networks is well known and previously studied in $[6,11,18,26]$. From Corollary IV.1, each of these networks corresponds to an a-frame. Algorithm **FRAME_IN yields** the row labeling γ and α_{out} for each of these networks and frames as follows: $\gamma = \alpha_{out}$ = identity permutation for the reverse baseline and baseline networks, γ = the reverse **permutation** = (0)(1 8)(2 4)(3 12)(5 10)(6)(7 14)(9)(11 13)(15) and α_{out} = identity permutation for the omega and generalized cube, γ = identity permutation and $\alpha_{\text{out}} = (0)(1)(2\ 8)(3\ 9)(4)(5)(6\ 12)(7\ 13)(10)(11)(14)(15)$ for the indirect binary cube, banyan, inverse omega, and flip networks, banyan, inverse omega, and flip networks,
 $\gamma = (0)(1)(2\ 8)(3\ 9)(4)(5)(6\ 12)(7\ 13)(10)(11)(14)(15)$ and $\alpha_{out} =$ **identity** permutation for the modified data manipulator network.

V. NETWORKS $RB_{1:n}SE_{1:m}$ **AND** $SE_{1:m}^{-1}RB_{1:n}$

This section illustrates how **frames** can be used to characterize **permutations** performed by relatively complex networks with more than *n* stages. It is first shown that the: balanced matrices that pass the network $RB_{1:n}SE_{1:n}$, m ≥ 0 , are identified by the **frame** $F_{1:n}^{sa}F_{1:m}^{*}$ **(Theorem V.1).** then it is shown that $RB_{1:n}SE_{1:m}$ is functionally and topologically equivalent to $SE^{-1}_{1:m}RB_{1:n}$ (Theorem V.2). Hence, any balanced matrix **passing** RB $_{1:n}$ SE $_{1:m}$ also passes SE $_{1:n}^{-1}$ RB $_{1:n}$, and vice versa. Theorem V.1 also shows how the addition of a SE stage to the right of RB $_{1:n}SE_{1:m}$ affects the type of permutations realized by the network. Theorem V.2 proves that the addition of a SE stage to the: right of RB $_{1:n}SE_{1:m}$ is equivalent to the addition of an inverse SE stage to the left of $SE^{-1}_{1m}RB_{1:n}$. All the **proofs are** provided in the Appendix.

V.:L. Balanced Matrices and Shuffle-Exchange Networks

Linial and Tarsi [2] have shown how balanced matrices can be **used** to determine the number of SE stages (or the number of passes through a single SE stage) necessary to realize a given permutation. Lemma V.1 below restates their result using the notation and assumptions of this paper.

Lemma V.1. [2] Let $M_{N \times m}$ and $C_{N \times k}$ be balanced matrices such that $M_{N \times m} = [I_{N \times n} C_{N \times k}], k \ge 1$ and $n+k=m$. The network $SE_{N \times k}$ realizes the permutation represented by $M_{(m+1-n):m}$.

To illustrate Lemma V.l, consider the identity permutation matrix $I_{8x3} = [i_1 \ i_2 \ i_3]$ and the balanced matrices $M_{8x4} = [I_{8x3} \ i_1], M_{8x5} = [I_{8x3} \ i_1 \ i_2]$ and $M_{8\times 6} = [I_{8\times 3} I_{8\times 3}]$. Because $M_{8\times 4}$, $M_{8\times 5}$ and $M_{8\times 6}$ are balanced, the permutations represented in binary by $[i_2 i_3 i_1]$, $[i_3 i_1 i_2]$ and $[i_1 i_2 i_3]$ are **realized** by the **single**stage SE, 2-stage SE and 3-stage SE with **N=8** inputs/outputs, respectively.

V.2. Permutations Realized by $RB_{1:n}SE_{1:m}$

The following theorem shows how the concatenated frame $F_{1:n}^{sa}F_{1:m}^*$ can be used to characterize the permutations **realized** by RB $_{1:n}$ SE $_{1:n}$.

Theorem V.1. A balanced matrix D_{1:(n+m}), m ≥ 0 , fits the frame $F_{1:n}^{sa}F_{1:m}^{*}$ if and only if D_{1:(n+m)} passes the network RB_{1:n}SE_{1:m}. Moreover, RB_{1:n}SE_{1:m} realizes the permutation represented by $D_{(m+1):(n+m)}$.

V.3. Permutations Realized by $SE^{-1}_{1:m}RB_{1:n}$

It is shown that the network $SE^{-1}_{1:m}RB_{1:n}$ constructed by appending the network $SE^{-1}_{1:m}$ to the left of RB_{1:n} is functionally and topologically equivalent to the network $RE_{1:n} SE_{1:m}$ constructed by appending $SE_{1:m}$ network to the right of $RB_{1:n}$. Also, **because RB**_{1:n} is functionally and topologically equivalent to BE_{1:n}, Theorem V.2 **remains** valid when RB $_{1:n}$ is **replaced** by BE_{1:n}.

Theorem V.2. The network RB $_1:_{\text{in}}$ SE $_1:_{\text{in}}$, m ≥ 1 , is topologically and functionally equivalent to the network $SE^{-1}_{1:m}RB_{1:n}$.

VI. NEW PROOFS FOR REARRANGEABILITY OF BENES AND THREE-STAGE CLOS NETWORKS

Rearrangeability of Benes and three-stage Clos networks is proven in [7,13] using the: Slepian-Duguid theorem which applies only to symmetric networks. In this section, new simpler proofs are provided for **rearrangeability** of these **networks** using balanced matrices and the properties of graph theory. These proofs directly lead to routing algorithms [19] and provide an insight into the proofs of Section VII that identify the permutations realized by subnetworks of the Benes network. In what follows, some known results from [2] and definitions used in the proofs are presented first. Lemma **Vl.** 1 from [2] is self-explanatory.

Lemma VI.1. [2] For $n \ge 2$, let **A** and B be two $N \times (n-1)$ balanced matrices. **Then** there exists a column vector **x** such that both $[A \times B]$ and $[x B]$ are balanced matrices.

Note that, when the order of columns in a balanced **matrix** with at most n columns is changed, the matrix remains balanced. Therefore, the position of $\boldsymbol{\chi}$ in the matrices A and B in Lemma VI.1 is immaterial. Because the possible choices of vector \boldsymbol{x} increase

as the number of columns of A **or** B is reduced, Lemma VI.1 remains valid when A and B have less than $n-1$ columns.

Some properties of balanced matrices can be captured by graphs. Therefore, some basic definitions of graph **theory are** given below. A graph $G=(V,E)$ consists of a set of vertices V and a set of edges E, each of which is a pair of vertices. The union of two graphs $G_1=(V,E_1)$ and $G_2=(V,E_2)$ is the graph $G=G_1\cup G_2=(V,E_1\cup E_2)$. In other words, an edge is present in $G = G_1 \cup G_2$ if and only if it is **present** in either G_1 **ar** G2. A subset M of edges in a graph G is called independent or a matching if no two edges of M have a vertex in common. A matching M is said to be a *perfect* matching if it covers all vertices of G. More extended discussion of these basic: concepts can be found in $[3,4]$.

Definition **VI.1.** (Perfect **matching** graph of a matrix): Let A be an $N \times k$ $(1 \le k \le n-1, n \ge 2)$ balanced matrix. A **perfect** matching graph of A, denoted by PG_A , is a graph whose vertices are in one-to-one **correspondence** with the: rows of A, have degree one and vertices v_i and v_j are joined by an edge only if the *i*th row and jth row of'A **are** identical.

If the number of columns in a balanced matrix $A_{N \times k}$ is less than $n-1$ (i.e., if k <n-1), then its perfect matching graph is not unique because each distinct row in A appears 2^{n-k} times. If $k=n-1$, then PG_A is unique because each distinct row in A appears twice. As an example, consider the balanced matrix $F_{8\times2}$ presented just after Definition 11.4. Its perfect matching graph is unique and shown in Figure VI.1a.

Definition VL2. (Labeling): 2-labeling or 2-coloring of a graph is the assign**ment** of integers 0 and 1 to its vertices such that the labels of the vertices incident with **an1** edge **are** different.

Fact **VI.l.** [2]. The union of two perfect matching graphs with the same set of vertices is a union of disjoint even cycles and, therefore, it can be 2-labeled.

Definition VL3. (Perfect matching graph of a frame **column**): Let f, ¬e a **column** of a frame $F_{N \times k}$. A **perfect** matching graph off, & a choired by PG_{f_m} , is a graph whose vertices are in one-to-one correspondence with the row labels of $F_{N \times k}$, have degree one and vertices v_i and v_j are joined by an edge only if i and j belong to the same block off,.

Example **VL1.** One possible perfect matching graph for **frame column** f_2^2 in Figure III.1b is shown in Figure VI.1a. The graph in Figure VI.1b is the unique perfect **matching** graph of frame column f_1^2 in Figure III.1b.

Figure VI.1. (a) The perfect matching graph $\mathbf{f} \in \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{g}}$; it is also one possible perfect matching graph for f_2^2 shown in Figure III.1b. **(b)** The unique perfect matching graph for f_1^2 shown in Figure III.1b.

From Definition VL3 and Example VI.1, it is clear that the perfect matching graph of the frame column that consists of only the blocks of size two is unique and is also a perfect matching graph for all the other columns in the same frame.

Let the black box, called $P(N!)$ and shown in Figure VI.2, ¬e a rearrangeable (permutation) network on N elements, i.e., it realizes all N! distinct permutations in a single pass.

Figure V1.2. A black box $P(N!)$ which realizes all $N!$ permutations.

This black box $P(N!)$ can be expanded recursively using Algorithm CONSBENES **presented** below until all of its black boxes are **identical** to $(2x2)$ switching boxes (SBs), each of which can be set both straight and cross. This expansion results in the Benes network. Algorithm CONSBENES substitutes the three-stage Clos network with R inputs/outputs, ¬ed by $CS_{R\times 3}$ and shown in Figure VI.3, for the black box $P(R!)$.

Algorithm CONS-BENES

- Input: \mathbf{A} black **box** called $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{N}!)$.
- Output: Benes Network
- **Step 1.** Let R be an integer variable and be **initialized** to N. Relabel the black **box** $P(N!)$ by $P(R!)$ and let BS denote a network consisting of P (R **1).**
- Step 2. Replace each and every black box called $P(R!)$ of BS by $CS_{R\times 3}$ shown in Figure VI.3.
- Step 3. If all the **SBs** of BS are (2×2), then call BS Benes network and stop; otherwise first relabel each of its non- $(2x2)$ SBs by P (R !) and halve the value of R, then go to Step 2.

Using the notions of balanced matrices and frames, it is first **shown** in the following **theorem** that $CS_{R\times 3}$ is functionally equivalent to P (R !). Then, it follows that the **Benes** network constructed by Algorithm CONS-BENES is rearrangeable because, due **to** the recursive structure of the algorithm, only the correctness of Step 2 needs to **be;** proven.

Figure VI.3. Three-stage Clos network with R inputs/outputs which is denoted by $CS_{R\times3}$, where $R = 2^r$.

Theorem VL1. Three-stage Clos network with R inputs is **rearrangeable**.

Proof. As it is shown in Fig. VI.4, the network $CS_{R\times3}$ is composed of three components, namely, a) an inverse SE stage with 2 inputs/outputs, b) a pile of two per**mutation** networks $P''(2^{r-1}!)$ and $P^{l}(2^{r-1}!)$, and c) a SE stage with 2['] inputs/outputs. It is assumed in this proof that, unless otherwise stated, any balanced matrix has $R = 2^r$ rows. Recall that $P(2^r)$ refers to a **rearrangeable** network on 2 elements. Because $P(2^r!)$ passes any balanced matrix $B_{1:r}$ corresponding to a permutation on 2 elements, $CS_{R\times 3}$ must also pass B_{1:}, in order to state that $CS_{R\times 3}$ is functionally equivalent to $P(2^{r}!)$.

It is now shown that the inverse SE stage with 2^r **inputs/outputs** partitions $B_{1:r}$ into BZ^{r-1} _x and BZ^{r-1} _x such that the submamces BZ^{r-1} _{x(r-1)} and BZ^{r-1} _{x(r-1)} are bal-
anced, where BZ^{r-1} _{x(r-1)} and BZ^{r-1} _{x(r-1)} are the first (r-1) columns of BZ^{r-1} _{xx} and $B_2^1r^{-1}$ _{xr}, respectively. Both $B_2^1r^{-1}$ _{x(r-1)} and $B_2^1r^{-1}$ _{x(r-1)} pass the permutation network $P(2^{r-1}!)$ because it realizes any **permutation** on 2^{r-1} elements. Because the control bits of each SB must constitute the set $\{0,1\}$ to avoid conflict, any vector that fits f_1^{α} can be used as the vector of control bits of the SBs of the inverse SE stage. Let the **perfect** matching graph of f_1^{α} denote a graph with R vertices such that the vertices v_{2i} and v_{2i+1} , $0 \le i \le 2^{r-1}-1$, are connected by an edge, where v_{2i} and v_{2i+1} correspond to the 2*j*th and $(2j+1)$ th rows of f_1^{sq} , respectively. Let *x* be a column vector obtained by **a** 2-labeling of the union of the perfect matching graphs of f_1^{α} and $B_1:(r-1)$. By Fact **VI.1,** the matrix $[x B_{1:(r-1)}]$ is balanced. This implies that x "partitions" the balanced matrix B_{1:(r-1)} into two balanced submatrices $B_2^{\mu}r^{-1}x(r-1)$ and $B_2^{\mu}r^{-1}x(r-1)$ in such a way that row i of B_{1:(r-1)} belongs to $B\frac{tr}{dr}-1_{x(r-1)}$ if the ith entry of x equals zero, and belongs to $B_2^l r^{-1}$ _{*x*(r-1)} otherwise, where $0 \le i \le 2^r - 1$. Without loss of generality, **assume** that the **SBs** of the inverse SE stage with 2 **inputs/outputs** are labeled in ascending order starting with $\bf{0}$ and that the control bit for the ith input is the ith entry of **x**. So, when the 2jth and $(2j+1)$ th enmes of x are used as control bits for the jth SB of the inverse SE stage, no **conflict** occurs and, hence, the matrix B_1 : $(r-1)$ is partitioned into $B\frac{y}{2}r^{-1}x(r-1)$ and $B\frac{y}{2}r^{-1}x(r-1)$. Because both $P''(2^{r-1}!)$ and $P^1(2^{r-1}!)$ can pass any balanced matrix of order $2^{r-1} \times (r-1)$, the mamces $B_{2}^{\mu}r^{-1} \times (r-1)$ and $B_{2}^{\mu}r^{-1} \times (r-1)$ pass $P^u(2^{r-1}!)$ and $P^l(2^{r-1}!)$, respectively.

In order for $B_{1:r}$ to pass $CS_{R\times 3}$, $CS_{R\times 3}$ must send its ith input to the output whose value equals $B_{1r}(i)$. So far, this proof showed that $CS_{R\times 3}$ sends its ith input with the **row** B_{1:} (i) to either the hth output of $P''(2^{r-1}!)$ or the hth output of $P^{1}(2^{r-1}!)$, where h equals the value of $B_{1:(r-1)}(i)$. Because $B_{1:r}$ is a balanced mamx, the last enmes of the routing tags of the rows that **are** sent to the jth outputs of $P^u(2^{r-1})$ and $P^l(2^{r-1})$ constitute the set $\{0,1\}$. Due to the fact that the third component of $CS_{R\times3}$ is an SE stage, the rows that are sent to the jth outputs of $P''(2^{r-1})$ and $P'(2^{r-1})$ enter the jth SB of the SE stage. Because the connections of the SE stage implement the perfect shuffle permutation and the last entries of the routing tags of the rows entering a SB constitute the set $(0,1)$, no conflict occurs in the SBs. It follows that $CS_{R\times 3}$ sends its ith input to the output whose value equals $B_{1:r}(i)$. Therefore, the theorem holds. \square

Corollary VI.1. The Benes network obtained by Algorithm **CONS_BENES** is rearrangeable.

Proof. Because Steps 1 and 3 of Algorithm **CONS_BENES** are relabelings and the network is constructed recursively, it suffices to show that $CS_{R:3}$ is functionally equivalent to $P(2'!)$. Because this is proven in Theorem VI.1, the **corollary** holds. \Box

VII. PERMUTATIONS REALIZED BY $BS_{(n-r):(2n-1)}$

Recall that Benes network can be considered as being $BE_{N\times(n-1)}RB_{N\times(n)}$. Theorem **IV.1** identified the permutations passing $RB_{N \times n}$ in the sense that a balanced matrix $D_{N \times n}$ passes $RB_{N \times n}$ if and only if $D_{N \times n}$ fits $F_{N \times n}^{\mathcal{G}}$. Likewise, the following theorem and corollary determine the set of permutations that pass the network $BS_{(n-r):(2n-1)}$ which consists of the subnetwork $BS_{(n-r):(n-1)}$ followed by $RB_{N\times n}$, where $1 \le r \le n-1$. **(Recall** that $IN_{x,y}$ denotes the stages x through y of an IN and that $IN_{x,y}$ refers to a nil network if $x > y$). The permutations that pass $BS_{(n-r):(2n-1)}$ are characterized by the frames defined next. This characterization illustrates how frames can be used to gain insight into why the Benes network is rearrangeable. All the proofs **are** provided in the Appendix. An example is presented to illustrate the results of these proofs. For $N=16$, this example clearly shows how the addition of the stage BS_{n-r-1} to the left of **BS**($n-r$):(2n-1) converts the frame that **corresponds** to **BS**($n-r$):(2n-1) into a new frame that corresponds to the resulting network.

Definition **VII.1.** (Fight): The frame $F_{1:k}^{sq,r}$, r (01, .., $k-1$) and $k \in \{1,2,\ldots,n\}$, is a frame $\langle \beta,\gamma,P \rangle$ where

$$
\beta(i) = \begin{cases} r+1 & \text{if } 1 \le i \le r+1 \\ i & \text{if } r+1 < i \le k, \end{cases}
$$

 γ is the identity permutation on the set $[0,1,\ldots,N-1)$ and

$$
P_i = \begin{cases} P_{r+1}^* & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq r+1 \\ P_i^* & \text{if } r+1 < i \leq k. \end{cases}
$$

Note that $F_{1:k}^{sa}$ ⁰ and $F_{1:k}^{sa}$ ¹ are identical to $F_{1:k}^{sa}$ and $F_{1:k}^{*}$, respectively. As examples of $F_{1:k}^{sa}$, the frames $F_{1:4}^{sa}$, $F_{1:4}^{sa}$, $F_{1:4}^{sa}$ and $F_{1:4}^{sa}$ for $N=16$ are illustrated in Fig**ure:** $VII.1$.

Theorem VII.1. Consider the **frame** $F_{N\times n}^{sa,r}$, 0 6 r 6 n-1. Let S be a pile of 2^{n-r-1} copies of a rearrangeable network $P(2^{r+1})$. Let T be an IN that consists of the network S followed by $RB_{(r+2);n}$. A balanced matrix $D_{N\times n}$ fits $F_{N\times n}^{sa,r}$ if and only if $D_{N\times n}$ passes T.

Corollary VII.1. A balanced matrix $D_{N \times n}$ fits the frame $F_{N \times n}^{\mathcal{G}, r}$ if and only if $D_{N \times n}$ **passes** the network $BS_{(n-r):(2n-1)}$, where $0 \le r \le n-1$.

Example **VII.1.** Let $N=16$ and $n=4$. The frames $F_{16x4}^{sq}F_{16x4}^{sq}F_{16x4}^{sq}$ and F_{16x4}^{sq} are shown in Figure VII.1. By Theorem **IV.1**, all balanced matrices that fit F_{16x4}^{3a} pass RB_{16x4} . If the stage BE_3 is added *to* the left of $RB_{1:4}$, the network $BS_{3:7}$ shown in **Figure VII.2a** is obtained. While $RB_{1:4}$ passes all balanced matrices that fit $F_{16x4}^{sa,0}$ (the same as F_{16x4}^{sq} , a balanced matrix D_{1:4} passes $BS_{3:7}$ if and only if D_{1:4} fits F_{16x4}^{sq} . If the stage BE_2 is added *to* the left of $BS_3:7$, then $BS_2:7$ shown in Figure VII.2b is obtained. *A* balanced matrix $D_{1:4}$ passes $BS_{2:7}$ if and only if $D_{1:4}$ fits $F_{16x4}^{sg.2}$. If the **stage** BE_1 is added to the left of $BS_{2.7}$, then Benes network, $BS_{1.7}$, shown in Figure **II.4** is obtained. It is obvious that a balanced matrix D *1:4* passes *BS 1:7* if and only if $\mathbf{D}_{1:4}$ fits $\mathbf{F}_{16\times4}^{34} = \mathbf{F}_{16\times4}^{*}$. Notice that, when the stage *BE*,, $1 \leq j \leq n-1$, is added *to* the left of *BE* $_{(j+1):(n-1)}$ *RB* $_{1:n}$, the subnetwork *BE*_{*j*:(n-1)}*RB* $_{1:(n-j+1)}$ becomes a pile of 2^{j-1} copies of Benes network with 2^{n-j+1} inputs/outputs and $2n-2j+1$ stages. Because Benes network with 2^{n-j+1} inputs/outputs and $2n-2j+1$ stages is a rearrangeable network, it corresponds to the universal frame with 2^{n-j+1} rows and $n-j+1$ columns. **Therefore,** the first $n-j+1$ columns of $F_{16,4}^{3}$ is a pile of 2^{j-1} copies of the universal frame with 2^{n-j+1} rows and $n-j+1$ columns. End of example.

(a) $F_{16.4}^{a\alpha}$, (b) $F_{16.4}^{a\alpha}$, (c) $F_{16.4}^{a\alpha}$ and (d) $F_{16.4}^{a\alpha}$. **Figure**

Figure W.2. *(a)* $BS_{3.7}$ (BE_3 **followed by** $RB_{1.4}$). (b) $BS_{2.7}$ ($BE_{2.3}$ **followed by** $RB_{1.4}$).

VlEL CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, a new approach has been developed to **characterize** permutations realized by some frequently used networks. The concept of *frame* has been introduced and different frames have been illustrated. It is simple to check whether a given permutation is realized by a given network once the corresponding frame and the output interconnection pattern are known.

The permutations of the following three classes of networks have been **character**ized: the class of k-stage baseline-type networks that are topologically equivalent to the k-stage baseline network, the class of those networks that **are** constructed by appending shuffle-exchange stages to the left or right of a baseline-type network., and the class of those networks that form a part of Benes network.

The **proof** that Benes network is **rearrangeable** was first presented in [7]. This proof is based on the Slepian-Duguid theorem which applies only to symmetric networks. In this paper, a new simple **proof** has been presented for **rearrangeability** of **Benes** and three-stage Clos networks using the notion of balanced matrices and graph theory. The technique used in this proof can also be applied to nonsymmetric networks.

In practice, the results presented in this paper can be used to design networks that realize classes of permutations that fit the same frame. In addition, engineers and/or compilers may use frames to test if the corresponding networks realize a given permutation. **Debuggers** and programming environment can also use **frames** to detect when and why a permutation cannot be realized by the network. The definitions, theorems and lemmata that are presented in this paper to characterize the **permutations** realized in the **aforementioned** networks can also be used to address the issues of routing and counting permutations. But, to limit the size of this paper, these issues are addressed in

$[19,28]$.

It is clear that frames, as defined in this paper, cannot characterize the permutations of every network. Conceivably, extensions of the **definitions may** be possible to characterize a larger class of networks. In particular, the concepts should be extensible to networks not considered in this paper including those **constructed** with $(k \times k)$ switches for $k > 2$. Future research will address these issues.

IX. APPENDIX

Proof Theorem IV.1: (+) It is shown that if $D_{1:k}$ fits $F_{1:k}^{sa}$ then $D_{1:k}$ passes RB _{1:k}. Proof is by induction on k. Also, it is proven that $RB_{1:k}$ sends its ith input to its jth output, where j is equal to the sum of $\left[\left[i/2^k\right] \times 2^k\right]$ and the value of $D_{1:k}(i)$.

Basis *Step*: Let $k=1$. Label the **SBs** of RB_1 in ascending order starting with 0. (Recall that RB_1 refers to the first stage of a reverse baseline network with N inputs/outputs). By definition, f_1^{α} contains 2^{n-1} blocks of size 2 each. The fact that D_{1:}**t** fits $F_{1:k}^{sa}$ implies that d_1 fits f_1^{sa} . Therefore, the 2rth and $(2r+1)$ th entries of d_1 constitute the set $\{0,1\}$, where $0 \le r \le 2^{n-1}-1$. Hence, when the 2rth and $(2r+1)$ th entries of d_1 are used as the control bits to set the r th SB of RB₁, no conflict occurs

and RB₁ sends its ith input to its jth output, where j is equal to the sum of **and** the value of the ith entry of **d**₁, where $0 \le i \le N-1$. (Recall that, if the control J I

bit of the routing tag of an input equals zero, then the input is sent to tht: upper output of the: SB that it enters; otherwise it is sent to the the lower output of the **SB).**

Induction *Step:* Assume that, for $2 \le k \le n$, if $D_{1:(k-1)}$ fits $F_{1:(k-1)}^{sq}(k-1)$, then $D_{1:(k-1)}$ passes RB $_{1:(k-1)}$ and RB $_{1:(k-1)}$ sends its *i*th input to its *j*th output, where *j* is equal to $F_{1:k}^{sa}$, then $D_{1:k}$ passes RB $_{1:k}$ and RB $_{1:k}$ sends its ith input to its jth output, where j is equal to the sum of $||i/2^{k}|| \times 2^{k}||$ and the value of D $_{1:k}(i)$.

The frame $F_{1:m}^{sa}$, ($m=k-1,k$), can be considered as being composed of 2^{n-m} copies of F_{2m}^{sg} in parallel if the row labels of the α th, $0 \le \alpha \le 2^{n-m}-1$, F_{2m}^{sg} consists of the **numbers** $(\alpha \times 2^m)$ to $[(\alpha+1)\times 2^m-1]$ inclusive. Let $F_{2^m \times m}^{\alpha}$ denote the α th $F_{2^m \times m}^{\alpha}$. **RB** 1:*m* can also be considered as being the pile of 2^{n-m} distinct RB $2^{m} \times m$ s. Label these REIT, such as in ascending order starting with 0 at the top and denote the α th one by $RB_{2^{m}\times m}^{\alpha}$. By hypothesis, D_{1:m} fits $F_{1:m}^{\alpha}$. Let $D_{2^{m}\times m}^{\alpha}$ denote the **submatrix** of D_{1:m} that

fits $F_{2\rightarrow\mu}^{\alpha}$. Thus, the induction hypothesis also implies that $D_{2\rightarrow\mu}^{\alpha}$ -1 μ -1 (which fits $F_{\frac{\mathcal{B}}{2}-1;\mathbf{a}\mathbf{t}-1}^{\mathbf{a}}$ passes $RB_{\mathbf{2}}^{\mathbf{a}}-1;\mathbf{a}\mathbf{t}$ and that $RB_{\mathbf{2}}^{\mathbf{a}}-1;\mathbf{a}\mathbf{t}-1$ sends its pth input to the output whose rits $r_2 \rightarrow \ldots$. Thus, the induction hypothesis also implies the $F_{2}^{\mathbf{S}_{-1}} \times \ldots$ and that $RB_{2}^{\mathbf{S}_{-1}} \times \ldots$ sends its pth in **value** is equal to the value of $D_{2}^{\mathbf{S}_{-1}} \times \ldots \times \ldots \times \ldots$

Let $F_{2^{k-1}\times k-1}^{\alpha_1}$ and $F_{2^{k-1}\times k-1}^{\alpha_2}$ & note the 21th and $(2l+1)$ th $F_{2^{k-1}\times k-1}^{\alpha_1}$ s, respectively, where $0.51 \leq 2^{n-k}-1$. Similarly, let $D_{2^{k-1} \times k-1}^{\alpha_1}$ and $D_{2^{k-1} \times k-1}^{\alpha_2}$ denote the 21th and $(2l+1)$ th $D_{2l-1\times k-1}^{\alpha_{l}}$ s. Likewise, assume that $RB_{2l-1\times k-1}^{\alpha_{l}}$ and $RB_{2l-1\times k-1}^{\alpha_{l}}$ & note the 2*l*th and $(2l+1)$ th $RB_{2^{k-1}xk-1}^{m}$ s.

Because $D_{2^{k-1}\times(k-1)}^{\alpha}$ is a balanced matrix of order $2^{k-1}\times(k-1)$, it has 2^{k-1} distinct rows. Therefore, the matrix

$$
H = \begin{bmatrix} D_{2^{k-1} \times (k-1)}^{\alpha} \\ D_{2^{k-1} \times (k-1)}^{\alpha} \end{bmatrix}
$$

contains 2^{k-1} distinct rows, each being repeated twice. Assume that the rows of H are **partitioned** into 2^{k-1} classes, each of which contains 2 identical rows, that is, each class contains the two copies of a distinct row of H. After adding a column permutation of length 2^k to the right of H, **call** the resultant matrix $D_{2^k \times (k)}^{\beta_k}$. This implies that the number of the entries of the rows of a class is incremented **by** 1. In order for $D_{2^k \times (k)}^{\beta}$ to fit $F_{2^k \times k}^{\alpha}$ the *kth* entries of the rows of each class of H must constitute the set $\{0,1\}$, which is true because D_{1:k} fits $F_{1:k}^{sa}$ by the induction hypothesis.

By definition, the kth stage of reverse baseline, RB_k , consists of a pile of 2^{n-k} copies of the SE stage with 2' inputs/outputs. Assume that the network consisting of the pile of two networks $RB_{2^{k-1}x(k-1)}^{\alpha_1}$ and $RB_{2^{k-1}x(k-1)}^{\alpha_2}$ followed by the SE stage with 2^k **inputs/outputs** is called $RB_{2^k \times k}^{\beta}$. Because $RB_{2^{k-1} \times k-1}^{\alpha}$ sends its pth input to the output whose value is equal to the value of $D_{2^{k-1}\times k-1}^{\alpha}$ (p), the first $(k-1)$ entries of the row that is sent to the pth output of the network $RB_{2^{k-1}x(k-1)}^{\alpha_1}$ is the same as the first $(k-1)$ entries of the row that is sent to the **pth** output of the network $RB_{2^{k-1}x(k-1)}^{a_{2k}}$. The *k*th entries of those two rows sent to the pth outputs of $RB_{2^{k-1}x(k-1)}^{\alpha_1}$ and $RB_{2^{k-1}x(k-1)}^{\alpha_2}$ constitute the set $\{0,1\}$ because $D_{2^k \times k}^{\beta}$ fits the frame $F_{2^k \times k}^{\beta}$ by induction hypothesis. Because the rows that are sent to the pth outputs of $RB_{2^{k-1}x(k-1)}^{\alpha_1}$ and $RB_{2^{k-1}x(k-1)}^{\alpha_2}$ enter the pth SB of the **SIE** stage following these networks such that the kth entries of these rows are the control bits for the SB, no conflict occurs in the pth SB. This amouints to stating that **RB**⁸/_{**} sends its hth input to the output whose value is equal to the value of $D_{2^k \times k}^B(h)$, where $0 \le h \le 2^k-1$. Therefore, the balanced matrix $D_{1:k}$ passes RB $_{1:k}$ and RB $_{1:k}$ sends its ith input to its jth output, where $0 \le i \le N-1$ and j is equal to the sum of $\left| i/2^k \right| \times 2^k$ and the value of D₁; $\mathbf{k}(i)$.

(c) is shown that, if $D_{1:k}$ passes RB $_{1:k}$, then $D_{1:k}$ fits $F_{1:k}^{sa}$. Proof is by induction on k.

Basis Step: Let $k=1$. The fact that d_1 passes RB₁ implies that no conflict occurs in the SBs of RB₁ when the ith enay of d_1 is used as the control bit for the ith input of RB₁ in setting its r th SB. Because the control bits of the r th SB of RB_1 constitute the set $\{0,1\}$ and fit the rth block of f_1^{aa} , d_1 fits f_1^{aa} .

Induction Step: Assume that the **theorem** holds for $k-1$. It is shown that it also **hollds for k,** where $2 \leq k \leq n$.

By induction hypothesis, if $D_{2^{k-1} \times k-1}^{\mathfrak{B}_{-1}}$ passes $RB_{2^{k-1} \times k-1}^{\mathfrak{B}_{-1}}$ fits $F_{2^{k-1} \times k-1}^{\mathfrak{B}_{-1}}$. Notice that the last stage of $RB_{\mathbf{x}\star k}^{\beta}$ is the SE stage with 2^k inputs/outputs. Recall that the network consisting of the pile of two networks $RB_{2^{k-1}x(k-1)}^{\alpha_1}$ and $RB_{2^{k-1}x(k-1)}^{\alpha_2}$ followed by the SE stage with 2^k inputs/outputs is called $RB_{2^k\times k}^{\overline{B}_{k^k\times k}}$. As it is also explained above, the rows that are sent to the pth outputs of $RB_{2^{k-1}x(k-1)}^{c_1}$ and $RB_{2^{k-1}x(k-1)}^{c_2}$ enter the pth SB of the SE stage that follows these networks. If $D_{2*\kappa}^{\mathbf{p}}$ passes $RB_{2*\kappa}^{\mathbf{p}}$, then the kth entries of the rows of a class of H must constitute the set $\{0,1\}$ to avoid having a conflict in the pth SB. Therefore, $D_{2^k \times k}^{\beta_k}$ fits $F_{2^k \times k}^{\beta_k}$. It follows that $D_{1:k}$ fits $F_{1:k}^{sq}$. \Box

Proof of Corollary IV.l: (\rightarrow) Let Φ be topologically equivalent to RB 1: \mathbf{k} . When interconnection networks are modeled by directed graphs in which vertices represent the switches and edges the links, two networks are said to be topologically equivalent if Ithe graphs representing them **are** isomorphic. Two graphs G and H **are** said to be isomorphic if there exist bijections from the vertices and edges of **G** to the vertices and **edges** of H, respectively such that the relationship of adjacency is preserved. So, if two **networks** are topologically equivalent to each other, one of them can be made identical to the other network by relabeling the inputs **and/or** outputs. This implies that Φ can be **made** identical to RB 1:**k** by relabeling the inputs and/or outputs of Φ , and vice versa. Because (1) $\vec{F}_{1:k}^{\text{sa}}$ corresponds to RB $_{1:k}$ such that there exists a one-to-one correspondence between the row labels of $F_{1:k}^{sa}$ and $RB_{1:k}$ (Theorem N.1), (2) the only difference between $F_{1:k}^{sa}$ and an a-type frame $F_{1:k}^{a}$ is the order of their row labels, and (3) @ is topologically equivalent to RB $_{1:k}$, there exists an a-type frame $F_{1:k}^a$ corresponding to Φ such that no conflict occurs in the switches of Φ when the contents of the ith row, $0 \le i \le N-1$, of $F_{1:k}^a$ are used as the routing tag for the ith input of Φ .

 $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbf{L}$ and $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbf{L}$ are vector of input labels of Φ such that the ith enay of γ equals **the** ith input label of Φ . Let $F_{1:k}^a(\gamma)$ & the frame corresponding to Φ such that the ith entry of γ equals the ith row label of the frame. By definition of "correspondence" (Definition III.8), no conflict occurs in the switches of Φ when the **contents** of the *i*th **row** of $F_{1:k}^a(\gamma)$ are used as the routing tag for the ith input of Φ . Note that there exists a one-to-one correspondence between the input labels of Φ and the row labels of $F_{1:k}^q(\gamma)$. Therefare, when both the ith row label of $F_{1:k}^q(\gamma)$ and the ith input label of Φ are replaced by the integer i, the resulting frame $F_{1:k}^{sa}$ and network still remain correspondent to each other. By Theorem N.1, $\vec{F}_{1:k}^{sa}$ corresponds to RB $_{1:k}$. It follows

that Φ can be converted to RB $_{1:k}$ by relabeling the input and/or output labels of Φ . Thus, Φ is topologically equivalent to RB 1: \mathbf{k} . \Box

Definition IX.I. (forward-routing, **reverse-routing):** Given an $IN_{N \times k}$ and a set**ting** of its **SBs** that realizes h : $i \rightarrow h$ (i), forward-routing of a matrix A means that $A(i)$ is sent from input i to output h(i), where $0 \le i \le N-1$. Likewise, reverse-routing of A **means** that $A(i)$ is sent **from** the output i to the input $h^{-1}(i)$. The matrix $A^F = A(h^{-1}(i))$, i=0,1, ..., N-1, is obtained by **forward-routing** of **A**. Similarly, the matrix $A^R = A(h(i))$, i=0,1, ..., N-1, is obtained by reverse-routing of A.

Proof of Corollary IV.3: Because the network Π is a k-stage baseline-type **net**work, it is topologically equivalent to RB 1: k . This implies that RB 1: k can be made identical to Π by relabeling its inputs **and/or** outputs. Because relabeling the inputs (respectively, outputs) of RB $_{1:k}$ is equivalent to adding an interconnection pattern to the left (respectively, right) of RB $_{1:k}$, there exist two interconnection patterns IP_{in} and IP_{out} such that Π is topologically and functionally equivalent to IP_{in}RB $_{1:k}$ IP_{out}.

 (\rightarrow) Assume that $D_{1:k}$ fits $F^a_{1:k}(\alpha_{in}^{-1})$. It is shown that the network Π realizes the permutation α_{in} . μ . α_{out} .

Adding the interconnection pattern IP_{in} to the left of RB $_{1:k}$ is equivalent to rela**beling** the ith input of $RB_{1:k}$ by $\alpha_{in}^{-1}(i)$. Because the only difference between two a **type** frames with k columns is the **order** of their row labels and IP_{out} is just an interconnection pattern, it follows from Theorem IV.1 that $D_{1:k}$ passes Π . By Definition IX.1, when D_{1:k} is forward-routed through the interconnection pattern IP_{in} , D_{1:k} is mapped to $D_{1:k}^* = D_{1:k}(\alpha_{in}^{-1}(i))$, $i=0,1,\ldots,N-1$. By Theorem IV.3, the subnetwork RB $_{1:k}$ of Π realizes the permutation μ represented by $[I_{1:n-k} D_{1:k}^*]$. Therefore, the network Π realizes the permutation α_{in} . μ . α_{out} .

(t) \mathbf{t} **Assume that the network** Π **realizes the permutation** $\alpha_{in} \mathbf{\mu} \cdot \alpha_{out}$ **. It is shown** that D_{1:k} fits $F_{1:k}^a(\alpha_{in}^{-1})$.

The fact that Π realizes the permutation α_{in} , μ , α_{out} implies that the permutation μ is realized by $RB_{1:k}$ of Π . Because μ is the permutation represented by the balanced matrix $[I_{1:n-k} D_{1:k}^{\dagger}]$ such that $D_{1:k}^{\dagger}(i) = D_{1:k}(\alpha_{in}^{-1}(i))$, it follows from Theorem IV.3 that $D_{1:k}^*$ passes RB $_{1:k}$. By Definition IX.1, when $D_{1:k}$ is reverse-routed through the interconnection pattern IP_{in} , $D_{1:k}^*$ is mapped to $D_{1:k}$. Thus, $D_{1:k}$ passes $IP_{in}RB_{1:k}$. Note that the network $IP_{in}RB_{1:k}$ is identical to the network obtained. by relabeling the ith input of RB_{1:k} by $\alpha_{in}^{-1}(i)$. In addition, because $F_{1:k}^a(\alpha_{in}^{-1})$ is the same as $F_{1:k}^{sa}$ except that the ith row label of $F_{1:k}^a(\alpha_{in}^{-1})$ equals $\alpha_{in}^{-1}(i)$ instead of $i, D_{1:k}$ fits $F_{1:k}^a(\alpha_{in}^{-1})$.

Proof of Theorem **V.l:** (+) It is shown that if $D_{1:(n+m)}$ fits $F_{1:n}^{sa}F_{1:m}^{*}$, then *D* **i**:($n+m$) passes *RB* **i**: n **SE**₁: m </sub> and the permutation represented in binary by *D* ($m+1$):($n+m$) is realized by $RB_{1:n}SE_{1:m}$.

Recall that by definition, $RB_{1:n}SE_{1:n}$ consists of $RB_{1:n}$ followed by $SE_{1:n}$. Because, by hypothesis, $D_{1:(n+m)}$ fits $F_{1:n}^{sa}F_{1:n}^{*}$, $D_{1:n}$ fits $F_{1:n}^{sa}$. RB_{1:n} maps the matrix *D* **1**;(n+m) into the matrix denoted by $D^{\dagger}_{1:(n+m)}$ when $D_{1:(n+m)}(i)$, $0 \le i \le N-1$, is used as the **routing** tag for the ith input of $RB_{1:n}$. Theorem **IV.1** has shown that any balanced **matrix** *D* 1:n fitting the frame $F_{1:n}^{sa}$ passes the network $RB_{1:n}$. So, when $D_{1:n}(i)$ is used *as* the muting tag for the ith input of $RB_{1:n}$, $RB_{1:n}$ sends its ith input to the output whose value equals *D* $_{1:n}(i)$. So, *RB* $_{1:n}$ maps any *D* $_{1:n}$ fitting the frame $F_{1:n}^{sq}$ to $I_{1:n}$. **This** implies that, when $D_{1:(n+m)}(i)$ is used as the muting tag for the ith input of **RB**_{1:n}SE_{1:m}, the submatrix $D_{1:n}^*$ of $D_{1:(n+m)}^*$ is the same as the identity permutation matrix $I_{1:n}$. Therefore, $D_{1:(n+m)}^*$ is equal to the balanced matrix $[I_{1:n}, D_{(n+1):(n+m)}^*]$. By **Lemma** V.1, **SE_{1;m}** realizes the permutation represented by $D_{(m+1):(n+m)}$ and no conflict **occurs** in the SBs of SE_1 _{im} when $D_{(n+1):(n+m)}^{\bullet}$ *i*) is used as the routing tag for the ith input of SE_1 _{im}. Therefore, D_1 _i($n+m$) passes RB_1 _{in} SE_1 _{im}. Now, it remains to show that $RB_{1:n}SE_{1:m}$ realizes the permutation represented by $D_{(n+1):(n+m)}$.

Let the entries of *D* 1: $(n+m)(i)$ be & noted in binary by $(x_1^i x_2^i \cdots x_n^i \cdots x_{n+m}^i)$. The fact that $D_{1:n}^*$ of $D_{1:(n+m)}^*$ is identical to $I_{1:n}$ implies that $RB_{1:n}$ of $RB_{1:n}SE_{1:m}$ sends the routing tag D_1 :($n+m$)(i) to the output of **RB**_{1:n} whose value **equals** the value of $(x_1^i x_2^i \cdots x_n^i)$. Because the jth output of $RB_{1:n}$ is the same as the *j*th input of $SE_{1:m}$ when *RB* 1: n **SE**_{1:m} is considered, *D* 1: $(n+m)(i)$ is sent to the jth input of **SE**_{1:m} by *RB*_{1:n}, where j equals $(x_1^t x_2^t \cdots x_n^t)$. Hence, the bit x_{n+p}^t , $1 \le p \le m$, of $(x_1^i x_2^i \cdots x_n^i \cdots x_{n+m}^i)$ is used as the control bit to set a SB at the pth stage of SE_1 _{*m*}, where $(x_1^i x_2^i \cdots x_n^i)$ and $(x_{m+1}^i x_{m+2}^i \cdots x_{n+m}^i)$ are the addresses of the input and the destination, respectively. Due to the fact that $D_{1:(n+m)}$ passes $RB_{1:n}SE_{1:m}$ and a SE stage performs the shuffle operation followed by the exchange operation, RB $_{1:n}$ SE $_{1:p}$ sends *D* $_1:(n+m)(i)$ to the output of *RB* $_1:nSE_1:p$ whose value equals $(x_{p+1}^i x_{p+2}^i ... x_{n+p}^i)$. Therefore, the permutation represented by $D_{(m+1):(n+m)}$ is **implemented** by $RB_{1:n}SE_{1:m}$.

(\leftarrow) It is shown that, if *D* 1:(*n*+*m*) passes *RB* 1:*nSE* 1:*m*, then *D* 1:(*n*+*m*) fits $F_{1:n}^{sa}F_{1:m}^{*}$ ancl RB_1 :_nSE₁:_m realizes the permutation represented by $D_{(m+1):(n+m)}$.

Because, by hypothesis, $D_{1:(n+m)}$ passes $RB_{1:n}SE_{1:m}$, the submatrix $D_{1:n}$ of *D* 1:(*n*+*m*) passes *RB* 1:*n*. So, by Theorem **IV.1**, the submatrix *D* 1:*n* fits $F_{1:n}^{sa}$. By **definition,** any column of the universal frame $\mathbf{F}_{1:m}^*$ is a single block of size N. Therefore, any balanced matrix of order (Nxm) fits $F_{1:m}^*$. It follows that $D_{(n+1):(n+m)}$ fits $F_{1:m}^*$. Hence, *D*₁;(n+m)</sub> fits $F_{1:n}^{sa}F_{1:m}^*$.

The **first** part $(+)$ of the proof has shown that the permutation represented by *D* (*m*+1):(*n*+*m*) is implemented by RB **1**: nSE **1**: n if *D* **1**:(*n*+*m*) fits $F_{1:n}^{sa}F_{1:m}^{*}$. Because it is

shown above that $D_{1:(n+m)}$ fits $F_{1:n}^{sa}F_{1:m}^{*}$, $RB_{1:n}SE_{1:m}$ realizes the permutation corresponding to $D_{(n+1):(n+m)}$.

Proof of Theorem V.2: Proof is by induction on **m.**

Basis Step: Let $m=1$. In this step it is proven that $RB_{1:n}SE_1$ is functionally and topologically equivalent to $SE_1^{-1}RB_{1:n}$. Recall that $RB_{1:n}$ is functionallly and topologically equivalent to $BE_{1:n}$. Therefore, $RB_{1:n}SE_1$ is functionally and topologically equivalent to BE_1 , BE_2 , BE_2 , consists of 2 copies of BE_2 ⁿ⁻¹_{x(n-1)} in parallel, while *RB* 1:(n-1) consists of 2 copies of **RB** 2ⁿ⁻¹_{x(n-1}) in parallel. Because BE 2ⁿ⁻¹_{x(n-1}) is functionally and topologically equivalent to $RB_{2^{n-1}x(n-1)}$, $BE_{2:n}$ is functionally and topologically equivalent to $RB_{1,(n-1)}$. Therefore, $BE_{1,n}SE_1$ is functionally and topologically equivalent to $BE_1RB_{1:(n-1)}SE_1$. Because the last stage of $RB_{1:n}$ is identical to the: **SE** stage, $RB_{1:(n-1)}$ **SE**₁ is identical to $RB_{1:n}$. Therefore, $BE_1RB_{1:(n-1)}$ **SE**₁ is functionally and topologically equivalent to BE_1RB_1 . Due to the fact that BE_1 is identical. to the inverse SE stage, BE_1 **RB**_{1:n} is functionally and topologicallly equivalent to $SE_1^{-1}RB_{1:n}$. It follows that $RB_{1:n}SE_1$ is functionally and topologically equivalent to $SE_1^{-1}RB_{1:n}$.

Induction *Step:* Assume that, for $m \ge 2$, the theorem holds for $m-1$, and show that it also holds for m .

Because $\mathbf{R}B_{1:n}$ is functionally and topologically equivalent to $\mathbf{B}E_{1:n}$, $RB_{1:n}SE_{1:m}$ is functionally and topologically equivalent to $BE_{1:n}SE_{1:n}$. As it is explained in the Basis Step above, $BE_{2,n}$ is functionally and topologically equivalent to $RB_{1:(n-1)}$. Therefore, $RB_{1:n}SE_{1:m}$ is functionally and topologically equivalent $BE_1RB_{1:(n-1)}SE_{1:m}$. Because the last stage of $RB_{1:n}$ is identical to the SE stage, $BE_1RB_{1:(n-1)}SE_{1:m}$ is identical to $BE_1RB_{1:n}SE_{1:(m-1)}$. By the induction hypothesis, $RB'_{1:n} SE_{1:(m-1)}$ is functionally and topologically equivalent to $SE^{-1}_{1:(m-1)}RB_{1:n}$. So, $BE_1RB_{1:n}SE_{1:(m-1)}$ is functionally and topologically equivalent $BE_{1}SE_{1:(m-1)}^{-1}RB_{1:n}$. Because BE_{1} is identical to the inverse SE stage, *BE*₁*SE*⁻¹₁;_{(m-1})*RB*₁;_n is functionally and topologically equivalent to *SE*⁻¹₁;_n*RB*₁;_n. Thus, the: theorem holds. \square

Proof of Theorem VII.1: *Case 1:* Let $r = n - 1$. When $r = n - 1$, T consists of only a **rearrangeable** network $P(2^n!)$ and $F_{N \times n}^{3q,r}$ is identical to the universal frame $F_{N \times n}^*$. By **definition,** any balanced matrix of order **N**×n fits $\vec{F}_{N\times n}^*$ and $\vec{P}(2^n!)$ passes any balanced matrix of order *Nxn*. Therefore, a $D_{N \times n}$ fits $F_{N \times n}^{sa,r}$ if and only if $D_{N \times n}$ passes T.

Case 2: Let $r=0$. When $r=0$, $F_{N\times n}^{sg,r}$ and T *are* identical to $F_{N\times n}^{sg}$ and $RB_{N\times n}$, respectively. Because **Theorem** IV.1 shows that a $D_{N \times n}$ fits $F_{N \times n}^{sq}$ if and only if $D_{N \times n}$ **passes** *RB_{Nxn}*, *Theorem* **VII.**1 holds for this case.

Case 3: Let $1 \le r \le n-2$. Assume that $D_{N \times n}(i)$, $0 \le i \le N-1$, is used as the routing **tag** for the ith input of T.

(+) It is shown that, if $D_{N \times n}$ fits $F_{N \times n}^{M,r}$, then $D_{N \times n}$ passes T.

In what follows, it is first shown that the submatrix $D_{1:(r+1)}$ of **a** $D_{N \times n}$ passes S. By the **definition** of **rearrangeability**, any of the 2^{n-r-1} rearrangeable networks $P(2^{r+1}!)$ of S can pass any balanced matrix of order $2^{r+1} \times (r+1)$. Label these rear**rangeable** networks in ascending order starting with 0. Let $P^{\alpha}(2^{r+1})$ & note the α **th rearrangeable** network $P(2^{r+1})$ of S, where $0 \le a \le 2^{n-r-1}-1$.

Consider the universal frame $F_2^{\dagger}r+1_{\times(r+1)}$. Any column of $F_2^{\dagger}r+1_{\times(r+1)}$ is just a single block of length 2^{r+1} . Because a column of $F_{2^{r+1}x(r+1)}^*$ requires a column vector of length 2^{r+1} to have only 2 zeros and 2^r ones, any column of a balanced matrix of order $2^{r+1} \times (r+1)$ fits it. It follows that any balanced matrix of order $2^{r+1} \times (r+1)$ fits $F_2^*r+1_{x(r+1)}$. Therefore, $P^{\alpha}(2^{r+1})$ corresponds to the universal frame $F_2^*r+1_{x(r+1)}$. The subframe $F_{1:(r+1)}^{sq,r}$ can be considered as being a pile of $2^{n-r-1} F_{2}^{r+1} \times (r+1)$ s. Label these universal frames in ascending order starting with **0.**

Partition the balanced submatrix D₁:($r+1$) of $D_{N \times n}$ into 2^{n-r-1} balanced submatrices of order $2^{r+1} \times (r+1)$ such that the set of the row indices of the α th submatrix consists of the numbers $(\alpha \times 2^{r+1})$ to $[(\alpha+1)\times 2^{r+1}-1]$ inclusive. Label these submatrices of order $2^{r+1} \times (r+1)$ in ascending order starting with 0. Denote the outh submatrix of $D_{1:(r+1)}$ by $D_{1:(r+1)}^{\alpha}$.

By hypothesis, $D_{N \times n}$ fits $F_{1:n}^{sa,r}$. This implies that $D_{1:(r+1)}$ fits $F_{1:(r+1)}^{sa,r}$. Therefore, $D_{1:(r+1)}^{\alpha}$ fits the α th F_{2}^{r+1} _{x(r+1)}. Because $P^{\alpha}(2^{r+1})$ is a rearrangeable network, it **passes** $D_{1:(r+1)}^{\alpha}$, that is, $P^{\alpha}(2^{r+1})$ sends its kth input to the output whose value equals $D_{1:(r+1)}^{a}$ (k) where $0 \le k \le 2^{r+1}-1$. This implies that the network S sends its ith input to its jth output, where j equals the sum of $\int \frac{1}{2^{r+1}} \left| \frac{x^{2r+1}}{x^2} \right|$ and the value of the leftmost $(r+1)$ bits of the D_{1:n}(i). Hence, D_{1:(r+1)} passes S. $\prod_{r+1}^{n/2}$ passes S.

Theorem **IV.1** shows that a balanced matrix $C_{1:n}$ that fits $F_{1:n}^{sa}$ passes RB $_{1:n}$. **Theorem** IV.1 also shows that $RB_{1:(r+1)}$ sends its ith input to its *h*th output, where h is equal to the sum of $\left[i/2^{r+1} \right] \times 2^{r+1}$ and the value of $C_{1:(r+1)}(i)$. Thus, the networks
RB $_{1:(r+1)}$ and S send their ith **inputs** to their jth outputs, where **j** equals the sum of *RB* 1:($r+1$) and S send their ith **inputs** to their jth outputs, where **j equals** the sum of $\left[\frac{1}{i} \times 2^{r+1} \right]$ and the value of the ith row of the matrix **passing** the corresponding (L J J network that is either $RB_{1:(r+1)}$ or S. By **definition,** $F_{(r+1):n}^{sa,r}$ is the same as $F_{(r+1):n}^{sa}$. This implies that $F_{(r+2):n}^{sa,r}$ is also the same as $F_{(r+2):n}^{sa}$. It follows from this paragraph that the argument given in the (\rightarrow) part of the proof of **Theorem** IV.l applies to RB $(r+2)$:n of T and $F^{sa,r}_{(r+2)$:n. (If in Theorem IV.1 *RB* $_1:(r+1)$ and $F^{sa}_{1:(r+1)}$ are replaced by

S and $F_{1:(r+1)}^{sq,r}$, respectively, Theorem IV.1 becomes identical to Theorem VII.1). Therefore, $D_{N \times n}$ passes T.

 $\boldsymbol{\zeta} \in \mathbf{N}$ is shown that, if $D_{N \times n}$ passes T, then $D_{N \times n}$ fits $F_{N \times n}^{sq}$.

First, consider the submatrix $D_{1:(r+1)}$ of $D_{1:n}$. By hypothesis, $D_{N \times n}$ passes T. This implies that D_{1:(r+1)} passes S because S consists of 2^{n-r-1} copies of a rearrangeable network $P(2^{r+1})$ in parallel and $D_{1:(r+1)}^{\alpha}$ passes $P^{\alpha}(2^{r+1})$. Because any balanced matrix of **order 2^{r+1}x(r+1)** fits a universal frame \vec{F}_{2}^{*} +1_{x(r+1}), $\vec{D}_{1:(r+1)}^{\alpha}$ also fits $F_2^{n+1}x(r+1)$. Recall that $F_{1,(r+1)}^{s}$ can be **considered** as a pile of 2^{n-r-1} copies of $F_2^r r+1_{x(r+1)}$. Therefore, $D_{1;(r+1)}$ fits $F_{1;(r+1)}^{sa,r}$.

Now, it is shown by induction on β , $1 \leq \beta \leq n-r-1$, that $D_{1:(r+1+\beta)}$ fits $F_{1:(r+1+\beta)}^{sq,r}$, assuming that $D_{N \times n}$ passes T. (The proof presented below is analogous to part ϵ ϵ β the proof of Theorem **TV.** 1).

Basis step: Let $\beta = 1$. For 0 5 **l** 5 $2^{n-r-2} - 1$, let $D_{1:(r+1)}^{\alpha_1}(k)$ and $D_{1:(r+1)}^{\alpha_2}(k)$ denote the 21th and (21+1)th $D_{1:(r+1)}^{\alpha}$ s, respectively. Similarly, let $P^{\alpha_1}(2^{r+1})$ and $P^{\alpha_2}(2^{r+1})$ denote the 21th and $(2l+1)$ th rearrangeable networks of S , respectively. Because the stage RB_(r+2) consists of a pile of 2^{n-r-2} copies of the SE stage with 2^{r+2} inputs/outputs, the subnetwork that consists of the pile of $P^{\alpha_1}(2^{r+1}!)$ and $P^{\alpha_2}(2^{r+1}!)$ is followed by the SE stage with 2^{r+2} inputs/outputs. Because $D_{1:(r+1)}^{\alpha}$ passes $P^{\alpha}(2^{r+1})$, $P^{\alpha}(2^{r+1})$ sends its **kth** input to its mth output, where m equals the contents of $D_{1:(r+1)}^{\alpha}(k)$. Hence, the rows that **are** sent to the *k*th outputs of $P^{\alpha_1}(2^{r+1})$ and $P^{v_2}(2^{r+1})$ enter the kth SB of the succeeding SE stage with 2^{r+2} inputs/outputs. By hypothesis, $D_{N \times n}$ passes T. This implies that $D_{1:(r+2)}$ passes the network consisting of S followed by the stage RB_{r+2} without having any conflict in the SBs. Therefore, the $(r+2)$ th entries of the rows that are sent to the kth outputs of $P^{\alpha_1}(2^{r+1})$ and $P^{\alpha_2}(2^{r+1})$ constitute the set $\{0,1\}$. Notice that these rows have the same first $k-1$ entries. Therefore, the $(r+2)$ th entries of any two identical rows of the submatrix

$$
\left[\begin{matrix}D_{1:(r+1)}^{\alpha_1} \\ D_{1:(r+1)}^{\alpha_2} \end{matrix}\right]
$$

constitute the set $\{0,1\}$. Therefore, by definition of fit, D_{1:(r+2)} fits $F_{1:(r+2)}^{sq,r}$.

Induction step: Assume that, for $2 \leq \beta \leq n-r-1$, $D_{1:(r+\beta)}$ fits $F_{1:(r+\beta)}^{sq,r}$. Then, show that $D_{1:(r+1+\beta)}$ also fits $F_{1:(r+1+\beta)}^{sa,r}$.

Let $2 \leq \beta \leq n-r-1$. By the induction hypothesis, $D_{1:(r+\beta)}$ fits $F_{1:(r+\beta)}^{\text{sq},r}$. It is also known that $D_{N \times n}$ passes T. So, as $D_{1:(r+\beta)}$ passes the network consisting of S followed by $RB_{(r+2):(r+B)}$, $D_{1:(r+1+B)}$ passes the network consisting of S followed by $RB_{(r+2):(r+1+\beta)}$.

Partition the matrix $D_{1:(r+\beta)}$ into $2^{n-r-\beta}$ submatrices $D_2^{\gamma}r_{*,\gamma}(\gamma+\beta)}$, $0 \leq \gamma \leq 2^{n-r-\beta}$, which are labeled in ascending order starting with 0. Let $0 \le u \le 2^{n-r}-\beta-1-1$, $\gamma_1=2u$ and $\gamma_2=2u+1$. The stage $RB_{(r+1+\beta)}$ consists of $2^{n-r-\beta-1}$ copies of the SE stage with $2^{r+1+\beta}$ inputs/outputs. The rows that are sent to the sth, $0 \le s \le 2^{r+\beta}-1$, outputs of the subnetworks that pass D_{2}^{γ} + $\phi_{x(r+1)}$ and D_{2}^{γ} + $\phi_{x(r+1)}$ enter the sth SB of the SE stage with $2^{r+1+\beta}$ inputs/outputs. Because no conflict occurs in the sth SB by hypothesis, the $(r+1+\beta)$ th entries of the rows entering the sth SB must constitute the set $\{0,1\}$. Therefore, by definition of fit, $D_{1:(r+1+\beta)}$ fits $F_{1:(r+1+\beta)}^{sq,r}$. \Box

Proof of Corollary VII.1: Consider the network T, that is defined in Theorem VII.1, and its components S and RB $_{(r+2):n}$. Recall that S consists of 2^{n-r-1} copies of a rearrangeable network $P(2^{r+1})$ in parallel. If the Benes network $BS_2r+1_{x(2r+1)}$ substitutes for each rearrangeable network $P(2^{r+1}!)$ of S, then S consists of 2^{n-r-1} copies of the rearrangeable network $BS_2r+1_{x(2r+1)}$ in parallel and hence S is made identical to the subnetwork $BS_{(n-r):(n+r)}$ of $BS_{N\times(2n-1)}$. Because $BS_{1:(2n-1)}$ can be considered as being composed of BE_{1:(n-1)} followed by $RB_{1:n}$, $BS_{(n-r):(n+r)}$ is the same as BE $_{(n-r):(n-1)}$ RB 1:(r+1). So, T is functionally equivalent to the network consisting of **BS** $(n-r):(n+r)$ followed by RB $(r+2):n$. Because the network that consists of BS $(n-r):(n+r)$ followed by $RB_{(r+2):n}$ is identical to $BS_{(n-r):(2n-1)}$ and the fact that a balanced matrix $D_{N \times n}$ fits $F_{N \times n}^{sq,r}$ if and only if $D_{N \times n}$ passes T (Theorem VII.1), $D_{N \times n}$ fits $F_{1:n}^{sq,r}$ if and only if $D_{N\times n}$ passes $BS_{(n-r):(2n-1)}$. Therefore, the corollary holds. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] D. H. Lawrie, "Access and alignment of data in an array processor," IEEE Trans. on *Comput.*, vol. c-24, pp. 1145-1155, Dec. 1975.
- [2] N. Linial and M. Tarsi, "Interpolation between bases and the Shuffle-Exchange network," **Europ. J. Combinatorics**, vol. 10, pp. 29-39, 1989.
- [3] J. A. Bondy and U. S. R. **Murty,** Graph Theory with Applications, North-Holland, New York, 1979.
- [4] L. Lovasz and M. D. Plummer, Matching Theory, Annals of Discrete Math., 29, North-Holland, 1986.
- [5] H. S. Stone, "Parallel processing with the perfect shuffle," **IEEE** Trans. on Com put., vol. c-20, pp. 153-161, Feb. 1971.
- **[6]** D. P. **Agrawal, "**Graph theoretical analysis and design of multistage interconnection networks," IEEE Trans. Comput., vol. c-32, pp. 637-648, July 1983.
- [7] V. E. Benes, *Mathematical Theory of Connecting Networks and Telephone Traffic,* Academic Press, New York, 1965.
- [8] A. Waksman, "A permutation network," *Journal of the ACM*, vol. 15, No. 1, pp. 159-163, Jan. 1968.
- [9] C. P. Kruskal and M. Snir, "A unified theory of interconnection network structure," *Theoretical Computer Science,* vol. 48, pp. 75-94, 1986.
- [10] K. Y. Lee, "On the rearrangeability of 2(log N)-1 stage permutation networks," *IEEE Trans. Comput.,* vol. c-34, pp. 412-425, May 1985.
- [l 11 C. Wu and T. Feng, **"On** a class of multistage interconnection ~~etworks," *IEEE Trans. on Comput.,* vol. c-29, pp. 696-702, 1980.
- [12] T. Etzion and A. Lempel, "An efficient algorithm for generating linear transformations in a shuffle-exchange network," *SIAM J. Comput.,* Vol. 15, No. 1, pp. 216-221, Feb. 1986.
- [13] C. Clos, "A study of **non-blocking** switching networks," **Bell System Tech. J.,** vol. 32, pp. 406-424, 1953.
- [14] T.Y. Feng, "Data manipulating functions in parallel processors and their implementations," *IEEE Trans. on Comput.,* vol. c-23, pp. 309-318, March 1974.
- [15] K.E. Batcher, "The flip network in **STARAN,"** in *Proc. 1976 Int. Conf. Parallel Processing, pp. 65-71.*
- [16] L.R. Goke and G.J. Lipovski, "Banyan networks for partitioning multiprocessor systems," in *Proc. 1st Symp. Compuf. Architecture,* Dec. 1973, pp. 175-189.
- [17] M.C. Pease III, "The indirect binary n-cube multiprocessor array," IEEE Trans. *on Comput.,* vol. c-26, pp. 458-473, May 1977.
- [18] A.Y. Oruc and M.Y. Oruc, "Equivalence relations among interconnection networks," *J. of Parallel and Distributed Computing,* vol. 2, pp. 30-49, 1985.
- [19] H. **Cam** and J.A.B. Fortes, "Permutation routing algorithms of frequently used networks," in preparation.
- [20] J.J. Rotman, *The theory of groups: An introduction,* Allyn & Bacon, Rockleigh, N.J., 1965.
- [21] H.J. Siegel, *Interconnection Networks for Large-Scale Parallel Processing*, Lexington Books, 1986.
- [22] A. Youssef and B. Arden, "A New Approach to Fast Control of r^2w^2 3-stage Benes Networks of *rw* Crossbar Switches," *1990 Comput. Archit. Conf.,* pp. 50- 59.
- [23] J. Lenfant, "Parallel permutations of data: A Benes network **control** algorithm for frequently used permutations," *IEEE Trans. on Compuf.,* vol. c-27, pp. 637-647, July 1978.
- [24] D. Nassimi and S. Sahni, "A self-routing Benes network and parallel permutation algorithms," IEEE Trans. on Comput., vol. c-30, pp. 332-340, May 1981.
- [25] C.S. Raghavendra and R.V. Boppana, "On Self-Routing in Benes and Shuffle-Exchange Networks," *IEEE Trans. on Comput.,* vol. *40,* No. 9., pp. 1057-1064, Sept. 1991.
- [26] J.C. Bermond, J.M. Fourneau and A. Jean-Marie, "A graph theoretical approach to equivalence of multistage interconnection networks," *Discrete Applied Mathematics,* vol. 22, pp. 201-214, 1989.
- [27] D.M. Dias, *Packet Communication in Delta and Related Networks*, Ph.D. Thesis, Rice Univ., 1981.
- [28] H. Cam, *Design and permutation routing algorithms of rearrangeable networks*, Ph.D. Thesis, Purdue Univ., May 1992.
- [29'] T.H. Cormen, C.E. Leiserson and R.L. Rivest, *Introduction to Algorithms,* MIT Press, 1991.