

Pliability, or the whitney extension theorem for curves in carnot groups

Nicolas Juillet, Mario Sigalotti

▶ To cite this version:

Nicolas Juillet, Mario Sigalotti. Pliability, or the whitney extension theorem for curves in carnot groups. Analysis & PDE, Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 2017, 10 (7), pp.1637 - 1661. 10.2140/apde.2017.10.1637. hal-01285215v4

HAL Id: hal-01285215 https://hal.inria.fr/hal-01285215v4

Submitted on 8 Dec 2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

PLIABILITY, OR THE WHITNEY EXTENSION THEOREM FOR CURVES IN CARNOT GROUPS

NICOLAS JUILLET AND MARIO SIGALOTTI

ABSTRACT. The Whitney extension theorem is a classical result in analysis giving a necessary and sufficient condition for a function defined on a closed set to be extendable to the whole space with a given class of regularity. It has been adapted to several settings, among which the one of Carnot groups. However, the target space has generally been assumed to be equal to \mathbb{R}^d for some $d \geq 1$.

We focus here on the extendability problem for general ordered pairs (G_1, G_2) (with G_2 non-Abelian). We analyze in particular the case $G_1 = \mathbb{R}$ and characterize the groups G_2 for which the Whitney extension property holds, in terms of a newly introduced notion that we call *pliability*. Pliability happens to be related to rigidity as defined by Bryant an Hsu. We exploit this relation in order to provide examples of non-pliable Carnot groups, that is, Carnot groups so that the Whitney extension property does not hold. We use geometric control theory results on the accessibility of control affine systems in order to test the pliability of a Carnot group. In particular, we recover some recent results by Le Donne, Speight and Zimmermann about Lusin approximation in Carnot groups of step 2 and Whitney extension in Heisenberg groups. We extend such results to all pliable Carnot groups, and we show that the latter may be of arbitrarily large step.

1. Introduction

Extending functions is a basic but fundamental tool in analysis. Fundamental is in particular the extension theorem established by H. Whitney in 1934, which guarantees the existence of an extension of a function defined on a closed set of a finite-dimensional vector space to a function of class C^k , provided that the minimal obstruction imposed by Taylor series is satisfied. The Whitney extension theorem plays a significative part in the study of ideals of differentiable functions (see [26]) and its variants are still an active research topic of classical analysis (see for instance [11]).

Analysis on Carnot groups with a homogeneous distance like the Carnot-Carathéodory distance, as presented in Folland and Stein's monograph [13], is nowadays a classical topic too. Carnot groups provide a generalization of finite-dimensional vector spaces that is both close to the original model and radically different. This is why Carnot groups provide a wonderful field of investigation in many branches of mathematics. Not only the setting is elegant and rich but it is at the natural crossroad between different fields of mathematics, as for instance analysis of PDEs or geometric control theory (see for instance [5] for a contemporary account). It is

 $^{2010\ \}textit{Mathematics Subject Classification.}\ \ 22E25,\ 41A05,\ 53C17,\ 54C20,\ 58C25.$

Key words and phrases. Whitney extension theorem, Carnot group, rigid curve, horizontal curve.

therefore natural to recast the Whitney extension theorem in the context of Carnot groups. As far as we know, the first generalization of a Whitney extension theorem to Carnot groups can be found in [14, 15], where De Giorgi's result on sets of finite perimeter is adapted first to the Heisenberg group and then to any Carnot group of step 2. This generalization is used in [19], where the authors stress the difference between intrinsic regular hypersurfaces and classical C^1 hypersurfaces in the Heisenberg group. The recent paper [34] gives a final statement for the Whitney extension theorem for scalar-valued functions on Carnot groups: The most natural generalization that one can imagine holds in its full strength (for more details, see Section 2).

The study of the Whitney extension property for Carnot groups is however not closed. Following a suggestion by Serra Cassano in [29], one might consider maps between Carnot groups instead of solely scalar-valued functions on Carnot groups. The new question presents richer geometrical features and echoes classical topics of metric geometry. We think in particular of the classification of Lipschitz embeddings for metric spaces and of the related question of the extension of Lipschitz maps between metric spaces. We refer to [3, 36, 28, 4] for the corresponding results for the most usual Carnot groups: Abelian groups \mathbb{R}^m or Heisenberg groups \mathbb{H}_n (of topological dimension 2n+1). In view of Pansu–Rademacher theorem on Lipschitz maps (see Theorem 2.1), the most directly related Whitney extension problem is the one for \mathcal{C}_H^1 -maps, the so-called horizontal maps of class \mathcal{C}^1 defined on Carnot groups. This is the framework of our paper.

Simple pieces of argument show that the Whitney extension theorem does not generalize to every ordered pair of Carnot groups. Basic facts in contact geometry suggest that the extension does not hold for $(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \mathbb{H}_n)$, i.e., for maps from \mathbb{R}^{n+1} to \mathbb{H}_n . It is actually known that local algebraic constraints of first order make n the maximal dimension for a Legendrian submanifold in a contact manifold of dimension 2n+1. In fact if the derivative of a differentiable map has range in the kernel of the contact form, the range of the map has dimension at most n. A map from \mathbb{R}^{n+1} to \mathbb{H}_n is \mathcal{C}_H^1 if it is \mathcal{C}^1 with horizontal derivatives, i.e., if its derivatives take value in the kernel of the canonical contact form. In particular, a \mathcal{C}_H^1 -map defined on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} is nowhere of maximal rank. Moreover, it is a consequence of the Pansu–Rademacher theorem that a Lipschitz map from \mathbb{R}^{n+1} to \mathbb{H}_n is derivable at almost every point with only horizontal derivatives. Again n is their maximal rank. In order to contradict the extendability of Lipschitz maps, it is enough to define a function on a subset whose topological constraints force any possible extension to have maximal rank at some point. Let us sketch a concrete example that provides a constraint for the Lipschitz extension problem: It is known that \mathbb{R}^n can be isometrically embedded in \mathbb{H}_n with the exponential map (for the Euclidean and Carnot-Carathéodory distances). One can also consider two 'parallel' copies of \mathbb{R}^n in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} mapped to parallel images in \mathbb{H}_n : the second is obtained from the first by a vertical translation. Aiming for a contradiction, suppose that there exists an extending Lipschitz map F. It provides on $\mathbb{R}^n \times [0,1]$ a Lipschitz homotopy between $F(\mathbb{R}^n \times \{0\})$ and $F(\mathbb{R}^n \times \{1\})$. Using the definition of a Lipschitz map and some topology, the topological dimension of the range is at least n+1and its (n+1)-Hausdorff measure is positive. This is not possible because of the dimensional constraints explained above. See [3] for a more rigorous proof using a different set as a domain for the function to be extended. The proof in [3] is formulated in terms of index theory and purely (n + 1)-unrectifiability of \mathbb{H}_n . The latter property means that the (n + 1)-Hausdorff measure of the range of a Lipschitz map is zero. Probably this construction and some other ideas from the works on the Lipschitz extension problem [3, 36, 28, 4] can be adapted to the Whitney extension problem. It is not really our concern in the present article to list the similarities between the two problems, but rather to exhibit a class of ordered pairs of Carnot groups for which the validity of the Whitney extension problem depends on the geometry of the groups. Note that a different type of counterexample to the Whitney extension theorem, involving groups which are neither Euclidean spaces nor Heisenberg groups, has been obtained by A. Khozhevnikov in [20]. It is described in Example 2.6.

Our work is motivated by F. Serra Cassano's suggestion in his Paris' lecture notes at the Institut Henri Poincaré in 2014 [29]. He proposes — (i) to choose general Carnot groups \mathbb{G} as target space, (ii) to look at \mathcal{C}_H^1 curves only, i.e., \mathcal{C}^1 maps from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{G} with horizontal derivatives. As we will see, the problem is very different from the Lipschitz extension problem for (\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{G}) and from the Whitney extension problem for (\mathbb{G}, \mathbb{R}) . Indeed, both such problems can be solved for every \mathbb{G} , while the answer to the extendibility question asked by Serra Cassano depends on the choice of \mathbb{G} . More precisely, we provide a geometric characterization of those \mathbb{G} for which the \mathcal{C}_H^1 -Whitney extension problem for (\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{G}) can always be solved. We say in this case that the pair (\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{G}) has the \mathcal{C}_H^1 extension property. Examples of target non-Abelian Carnot groups for which \mathcal{C}_H^1 extendibility is possible have been identified by S. Zimmerman in [39], where it is proved that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the pair $(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H}_n)$ has the \mathcal{C}_H^1 extension property.

The main component of the characterization of Carnot groups \mathbb{G} for which (\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{G}) has the \mathcal{C}_H^1 extension property is the notion of pliable horizontal vector. A horizontal vector X (identified with a left-invariant vector field) is pliable if for every $p \in \mathbb{G}$ and every neighborhood Ω of X in the horizontal layer of \mathbb{G} , the support of all \mathcal{C}_H^1 curves with derivative in Ω starting from p in the direction X form a neighborhood of the integral curve of X starting from p (for details, see Definition 3.4 and Proposition 3.7). This notion is close but not equivalent to the property of the integral curves of X not to be rigid in the sense introduced by Bryant and Hsu in [9], as we illustrate by an example (Example 3.5). We say that a Carnot group \mathbb{G} is pliable if all its horizontal vectors are pliable. Since any rigid integral curve of a horizontal vector X is not pliable, it is not hard to show that there exist non-pliable Carnot groups of any dimension larger than 3 and of any step larger than 2 (see Example 3.3). On the other hand, we give some criteria ensuring the pliability of a Carnot group, notably the fact that it has step 2 (Theorem 6.5). We also prove the existence of pliable groups of any positive step (Proposition 6.6).

Our main theorem is the following.

Theorem 1.1. The pair (\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{G}) has the \mathcal{C}^1_H extension property if and only if \mathbb{G} is pliable.

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we recall some basic facts about Carnot groups and we present the \mathcal{C}_H^1 -Whitney condition in the light of the Pansu–Rademacher Theorem. In Section 3 we introduce the notion of pliability, we discuss its relation with rigidity, and we show that pliability of \mathbb{G} is necessary for the \mathcal{C}_H^1 extension property to hold for (\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{G}) (Theorem 3.8). The proof of this result goes by assuming that a non-pliable horizontal vector

exists and using it to provide an explicit construction of a \mathcal{C}_H^1 map defined on a closed subset of \mathbb{R} which cannot be extended on \mathbb{R} . Section 4 is devoted to proving that pliability is also a sufficient condition (Theorem 4.4). In Section 5 we use our result to extend some Lusin-like theorem proved recently by G. Speight for Heisenberg groups [30] (see also [39] for an alternative proof). More precisely, it is proved in [22] that an absolutely continuous curve in a group of step 2 coincides on a set of arbitrarily small complement with a \mathcal{C}_H^1 curve. We show that this is the case for pliable Carnot groups (Proposition 5.2). Finally, in Section 6 we give some criteria for testing the pliability of a Carnot group. We first show that the zero horizontal vector is always pliable (Proposition 6.1). Then, by applying some results of control theory providing criteria under which the endpoint mapping is open, we show that \mathbb{G} is pliable if its step is equal to 2.

2. Whitney condition in Carnot groups

A nilpotent Lie group \mathbb{G} is said to be a *Carnot group* if it is stratified, in the sense that its Lie algebra \mathfrak{G} admits a direct sum decomposition

$$\mathfrak{G}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{G}_s$$
,

called *stratification*, such that $[\mathfrak{G}_i,\mathfrak{G}_j] = \mathfrak{G}_{i+j}$ for every $i,j \in \mathbb{N}^*$ with $i+j \leq s$ and $[\mathfrak{G}_i,\mathfrak{G}_j] = \{0\}$ if i+j>s. We recall that $[\mathfrak{G}_i,\mathfrak{G}_j]$ denotes the linear space spanned by $\{[X,Y] \in \mathfrak{G} \mid X \in \mathfrak{G}_i, Y \in \mathfrak{G}_j\}$. The subspace \mathfrak{G}_1 is called the *horizontal layer* and it is also denoted by \mathfrak{G}_H . We say that s is the step of \mathbb{G} if $\mathfrak{G}_s \neq \{0\}$. The group product of two elements $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{G}$ is denoted by $x_1 \cdot x_2$. Given $X \in \mathfrak{G}$ we write $ad_X : \mathfrak{G} \to \mathfrak{G}$ for the operator defined by $ad_X Y = [X, Y]$.

The Lie algebra \mathfrak{G} can be identified with the family of left-invariant vector fields on \mathbb{G} . The exponential is the application that maps a vector X of \mathfrak{G} into the end-point at time 1 of the integral curve of the vector field X starting from the identity of \mathbb{G} , denoted by $0_{\mathbb{G}}$. That is, if

$$\begin{cases} \gamma(0) = 0_{\mathbb{G}} \\ \dot{\gamma}(t) = X \circ \gamma(t) \end{cases}$$

then $\gamma(1) = \exp(X)$. We also denote by $e^{tX} : \mathbb{G} \to \mathbb{G}$ the flow of the left-invariant vector field X at time t. Notice that $e^{tX}(p) = p \cdot \exp(tX)$. Integral curves of left-invariant vector fields are said to be *straight curves*.

The Lie group \mathbb{G} is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^N with $N = \sum_{k=1}^s \dim(\mathfrak{G}_k)$. A usual way to identify \mathbb{G} and \mathbb{R}^N through a global system of coordinates is to pull-back by exp the group structure from \mathbb{G} to \mathfrak{G} , where it can be expressed by the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula. In this way exp becomes a mapping of $\mathfrak{G} = \mathbb{G}$ onto itself that is simply the identity.

For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ we introduce the dilation $\Delta_{\lambda} : \mathfrak{G} \to \mathfrak{G}$ uniquely characterized by

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \Delta_{\lambda}([X,Y]) = [\Delta_{\lambda}(X), \Delta_{\lambda}(Y)] & \text{for any } X,Y \in \mathfrak{G}, \\ \Delta_{\lambda}(X) = \lambda X & \text{for any } X \in \mathfrak{G}_{1}. \end{array} \right.$$

Using the decomposition $X = X_1 + \cdots + X_s$ with $X_k \in \mathfrak{G}_k$, it holds $\Delta_{\lambda}(X) = \sum_{k=1}^s \lambda^k X_k$. For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ we also define on \mathbb{G} the dilation $\delta_{\lambda} = \exp \circ \Delta_{\lambda} \circ \exp^{-1}$.

Given an absolutely continuous curve $\gamma:[a,b]\to\mathbb{G}$, the velocity $\dot{\gamma}(t)$, which exists from almost every $t\in[a,b]$, is identified with the element of \mathfrak{G} whose associated left-invariant vector field, evaluated at $\gamma(t)$, is equal to $\dot{\gamma}(t)$. An absolutely continuous curve γ is said to be horizontal if $\dot{\gamma}(t)\in\mathfrak{G}_H$ for almost every t. For any interval I of \mathbb{R} , we denote by $\mathcal{C}^1_H(I,\mathbb{G})$ the space of all curves $\phi\in\mathcal{C}^1(I,\mathbb{G})$ such that $\dot{\phi}(t)\in\mathfrak{G}_H$ for every $t\in I$.

Assume that the horizontal layer \mathfrak{G}_H of the algebra is endowed with a quadratic norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{G}_H}$. The Carnot-Carathéodory distance $d_{\mathbb{G}}(p,q)$ between two points $p,q\in\mathbb{G}$ is then defined as the minimal length of a horizontal curve connecting p and q, i.e.,

$$d_{\mathbb{G}}(p,q) = \inf \Big\{ \int_a^b \|\dot{\gamma}(t)\|_{\mathfrak{G}_H} dt \ |\gamma:[a,b] \to \mathbb{G} \ \text{horizontal},$$

$$\gamma(a) = p, \ \gamma(b) = q \Big\}.$$

Note that $d_{\mathbb{G}}$ is left-invariant. It is known that $d_{\mathbb{G}}$ provides the same topology as the usual one on \mathbb{G} . Moreover it is homogeneous, i.e., $d_{\mathbb{G}}(\delta_{\lambda}p, \delta_{\lambda}q) = |\lambda| d_{\mathbb{G}}(p, q)$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Observe that the Carnot–Carathéodory distance depends on the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{G}_H}$ considered on \mathfrak{G}_H . However all Carnot–Carathéodory distances are in fact metrically equivalent. They are even equivalent with any left-invariant homogeneous distance [13] in a very similar way as all norms on a finite-dimensional vector space are equivalent.

Notice that $d_{\mathbb{G}}(p,\cdot)$ can be seen as the value function of the optimal control problem

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\gamma} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} u_i X_i(\gamma), & (u_1, \dots, u_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m, \\ \gamma(a) = p, \\ \int_a^b \sqrt{u_1(t)^2 + \dots + u_m(t)^2} dt \to \min, \end{cases}$$

where X_1, \ldots, X_m is a $\|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{G}_H}$ -orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{G}_H .

Finally, the space $C_H^1([a, b], \mathbb{G})$ of horizontal curves of class C^1 can be endowed with a natural C^1 metric associated with $(d_{\mathbb{G}}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{G}_H})$ as follows: the distance between two curves γ_1 and γ_2 in $C_H^1([a, b], \mathbb{G})$ is

$$\max \left(\sup_{t \in [a,b]} d_{\mathbb{G}}(\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2(t)), \sup_{t \in [a,b]} \|\dot{\gamma}_2(t) - \dot{\gamma}_1(t)\|_{\mathfrak{G}_H} \right).$$

In the following, we will write $\|\dot{\gamma}_2 - \dot{\gamma}_1\|_{\infty,\mathfrak{G}_H}$ to denote the quantity $\sup_{t \in [a,b]} \|\dot{\gamma}_2(t) - \dot{\gamma}_1(t)\|_{\mathfrak{G}_H}$.

2.1. Whitney condition. A homogeneous homomorphism between two Carnot groups \mathbb{G}_1 and \mathbb{G}_2 is a group morphism $L: \mathbb{G}_1 \to \mathbb{G}_2$ with $L \circ \delta_{\lambda}^{\mathbb{G}_1} = \delta_{\lambda}^{\mathbb{G}_2} \circ L$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover L is a homogeneous homomorphism if and only if $\exp_{\mathbb{G}_2}^{-1} \circ L \circ \exp_{\mathbb{G}_1}$ is a homogeneous Lie algebra morphism. It is in particular a linear map on \mathbb{G}_1 identified with $\mathfrak{G}_{\mathbb{G}_1}$. The first layer

is mapped on the first layer so that a homogeneous homomorphism from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{G}_2 has the form $L(t) = \exp_{\mathbb{G}_2}(tX)$, where $X \in \mathfrak{G}_H^{\mathbb{G}_2}$.

Proposition 2.1 (Pansu–Rademacher Theorem). Let f be a locally Lipschitz map from an open subset U of \mathbb{G}_1 into \mathbb{G}_2 . Then for almost every $p \in U$, there exists a homogeneous homomorphism L_p such that

(1)
$$\mathbb{G}_1 \ni q \mapsto \delta_{1/r}^{\mathbb{G}_2} \left(f(p)^{-1} \cdot f(p \cdot \delta_r^{\mathbb{G}_1}(q)) \right)$$

tends to L_p uniformly on every compact set $K \subset \mathbb{G}_1$ as r goes to zero.

Note that in Proposition 2.1 the map L_p is uniquely determined. It is called the *Pansu derivative* of f at p and denoted by Df_p .

We denote by $\mathcal{C}_H^1(\mathbb{G}_1, \mathbb{G}_2)$ the space of functions f such that (1) holds at every point $p \in \mathbb{G}_1$ and $p \mapsto Df_p$ is continuous for the usual topology. For $\mathbb{G}_1 = \mathbb{R}$ this coincides with the definition of $\mathcal{C}_H^1(I, \mathbb{G}_2)$ given earlier. We have the following.

Proposition 2.2 (Taylor expansion). Let $f \in \mathcal{C}^1_H(\mathbb{G}_1, \mathbb{G}_2)$ where \mathbb{G}_1 and \mathbb{G}_2 are Carnot groups. Let $K \subset \mathbb{G}_1$ be compact. Then there exists a function ω from \mathbb{R}^+ to \mathbb{R}^+ with $\omega(t) = o(t)$ at 0^+ such that for any $p, q \in K$,

$$d_{\mathbb{G}_2}\left(f(q), f(p) \cdot Df_p(p^{-1} \cdot q)\right) \le \omega(d_{\mathbb{G}_1}(p, q)),$$

where Df_p is the Pansu derivative.

Proof. This is a direct consequence the "mean value inequality" by Magnani contained in [25, Theorem 1.2]. \Box

The above proposition hints at the suitable formulation of the C^1 -Whitney condition for Carnot groups. This generalization already appeared in the literature in the paper [34] by Vodop'yanov and Pupyshev.

Definition 2.3 (\mathcal{C}_H^1 -Whitney condition). • Let K be a compact subset of \mathbb{G}_1 and consider $f: K \to \mathbb{G}_2$ and a map L which associates with any $p \in K$ a homogeneous group homomorphism L(p). We say that the \mathcal{C}_H^1 -Whitney condition holds for (f, L) on K if L is continuous and there exists a function ω from \mathbb{R}^+ to \mathbb{R}^+ with $\omega(t) = o(t)$ at 0^+ such that for any $p, q \in K$,

(2)
$$d_{\mathbb{G}_2}\left(f(q), f(p) \cdot L(p)(p^{-1} \cdot q)\right) \le \omega(d_{\mathbb{G}_1}(p, q)).$$

• Let K_0 be a closed set of \mathbb{G}_1 , and $f: K_0 \to \mathbb{G}_2$, and L such that $K_0 \ni p \mapsto L(p)$ is continuous. We say that the \mathcal{C}^1_H -Whitney condition holds for (f, L) on K_0 if for any compact set $K \subset K_0$ it holds for the restriction of (f, L) to K.

Of course, according to Proposition 2.2, if $f \in \mathcal{C}^1_H(\mathbb{G}_1, \mathbb{G}_2)$, then the restriction of (f, Df) to any closed K_0 satisfies the \mathcal{C}^1_H -Whitney condition on K_0 .

In this paper we focus on the case $\mathbb{G}_1 = \mathbb{R}$. The condition on a compact set K reads $r_{K,\eta} \to 0$ as $\eta \to 0$, where

(3)
$$r_{K,\eta} = \sup_{\tau,t \in K, \ 0 < |\tau - t| < \eta} \frac{d_{\mathbb{G}_2}(f(t), f(\tau) \cdot \exp[(t - \tau)X(\tau)])}{|\tau - t|},$$

because for every $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ one has $[L(\tau)](h) = \exp(hX(\tau))$ for some $X(\tau) \in \mathfrak{G}_H^{\mathbb{G}_2}$ and every $h \in \mathbb{R}$. With a slight abuse of terminology, we say that the \mathcal{C}_H^1 -Whitney condition holds for (f, X) on K.

In the classical setting the Whitney condition is equivalent to the existence of a C^1 map $\bar{f}: \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \to \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$ such that \bar{f} and $D\bar{f}$ have respectively restrictions f and L on K. This property is usually known as the C^1 -Whitney extension theorem or simply Whitney extension theorem (as for instance in [10]), even though the original theorem by Whitney is more general and in particular includes higher order extensions [37, 38] and considers the extension $f \to \bar{f}$ as a linear operator. This theorem is of broad use in analysis and is still the subject of dedicated research. See for instance [8, 11, 12] and the references therein.

Definition 2.4. We say that the pair $(\mathbb{G}_1, \mathbb{G}_2)$ has the \mathcal{C}_H^1 extension property if for every (f, L) satisfying the \mathcal{C}_H^1 -Whitney condition on some closed set K_0 there exists $\bar{f} \in \mathcal{C}_H^1(\mathbb{G}_1, \mathbb{G}_2)$ which extends f on \mathbb{G}_1 and such that $D\bar{f}_p = L(p)$ for every $p \in K_0$.

We now state the C_H^1 -extension theorem that Franchi, Serapioni, and Serra Cassano proved in [15, Theorem 2.14]. It has been generalised by Vodop'yanov and Pupyshev in [34, 35] in a form closer to the original Whitney's result including higher order extensions and the linearity of the operator $f \mapsto \bar{f}$.

Theorem 2.5 (Franchi, Serapioni, Serra Cassano). For any Carnot group \mathbb{G}_1 and any $d \in \mathbb{N}$, the pair $(\mathbb{G}_1, \mathbb{R}^d)$ has the \mathcal{C}_H^1 extension property.

The proof proposed by Franchi, Serapioni, and Serra Cassano is established for Carnot groups of step two only, but is identical for general Carnot groups. It is inspired by the proof in [10], that corresponds to the special case $\mathbb{G}_1 = \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$ for $n_1 \geq 1$.

Let us mention an example from the literature of non-extension with $\mathbb{G}_1 \neq \mathbb{R}$. This remarkable fact was explained to us by A. Kozhevnikov.

Example 2.6. If \mathbb{G}_1 and \mathbb{G}_2 are the ultrarigid Carnot groups of dimension 17 and 16 respectively, presented in [21] and analysed in Lemma A.2.1 of [20], one can construct an example (f, L) satisfying the \mathcal{C}_H^1 -Whitney condition on some compact K without any possible extension $(\bar{f}, D\bar{f})$ on \mathbb{G}_1 . For this, one exploits the rarity of \mathcal{C}_H^1 maps of maximal rank in ultrarigid Carnot groups. The definition of ultrarigid from [21, Definition 3.1] is that all quasimorphisms are Carnot similitudes, i.e., a composition of dilations and left-translations. We do not use here directly the definition of ultrarigid groups but just the result stated in Lemma A.2.1 of [20] for \mathbb{G}_1 and \mathbb{G}_2 . Concretely, let us set

$$K = \{(p_1, \dots, p_{17}) \in \mathbb{G}_1 \mid p_2 = \dots = p_{16} = 0, p_1 \in [-1, 1], p_{17} = p_1\}.$$

Let the map f be constantly equal to 0 on K and L be the constant projection $\Lambda: \mathbb{G}_1 \ni (q_1,\ldots,q_{17}) \mapsto (q_1,\ldots,q_{16}) \in \mathbb{G}_2$. Lemma A.2.1 in [20] applied at the point $0_{\mathbb{G}_1}$ implies that the only possible extension of f is the projection $L(0) = \Lambda$. But this map vanishes only on $\{p \in \mathbb{G}_1 \mid p_1 = \cdots = p_{16} = 0\}$, which does not contain K. It remains us to prove that Whitney's condition holds. In fact for two points $p = (x, 0_{\mathbb{R}^{15}}, x)$ and $q = (y, 0_{\mathbb{R}^{15}}, y)$ in K, we look at the distance from $f(x) = 0_{\mathbb{G}_2}$ to

$$f(p) \cdot L(0)(p^{-1} \cdot q) = L(0)((x, 0_{\mathbb{R}^{15}}, x)^{-1} \cdot (y, 0_{\mathbb{R}^{15}}, y)) = (y - x, 0_{\mathbb{R}^{15}})$$

on the one side and from p to q on the other side. The first one is |y-x|, up to a multiplicative constant, and when |y-x| goes to zero the second one is $c|y-x|^{1/3}$ for some constant c>0. This proves the \mathcal{C}_H^1 -Whitney condition for (f,L) on K.

In the present paper we provide examples of ordered pairs $(\mathbb{G}_1, \mathbb{G}_2)$ with $\mathbb{G}_1 = \mathbb{R}$ such that the \mathcal{C}_H^1 extension property does or does not hold, depending on the geometry of \mathbb{G}_2 . We do not address the problem of Whitney extensions for orders larger than 1. A preliminary step for considering higher-order extensions would be to provide a suitable Taylor expansion for \mathcal{C}_H^m -functions from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{G}_2 , in the spirit of what recalled for m = 1 in Proposition 2.2.

Let us conclude the section by assuming that the \mathcal{C}_H^1 extension property holds for some ordered pair $(\mathbb{G}_1, \mathbb{G}_2)$ of Carnot groups and by showing how to deduce it for other pairs. We describe here below three such possible implications.

- (1) Let \mathbb{S}_1 be a homogeneous subgroup of \mathbb{G}_1 that admits a complementary group \mathbb{K} in the sense of [29, Section 4.1.2]: both \mathbb{S}_1 and \mathbb{K} are homogeneous Lie groups and the intersection is reduced to $\{0\}$. Assume moreover that \mathbb{S}_1 is a Carnot group and \mathbb{K} is normal, so that one can define canonically a projection $\pi: \mathbb{G}_1 \to \mathbb{S}_1$ that is a homogeneous homomorphism. Moreover π is Lipschitz continuous (see [29, Proposition 4.13]). For the rest of the section, we say that \mathbb{S}_1 is an appropriate Carnot subgroup of \mathbb{G}_1 . It can be easily proved that $(\mathbb{S}_1, \mathbb{G}_2)$ has the \mathcal{C}_H^1 extension property. In particular, according to [29, Example 4.6], for every $k \leq \dim(\mathfrak{G}_H^{\mathbb{G}_1})$ the vector space \mathbb{R}^k is an appropriate Carnot subgroup of \mathbb{G}_1 . Therefore $(\mathbb{R}^k, \mathbb{G}_2)$ has the \mathcal{C}_H^1 extension property.
- (2) Assume now that \mathbb{S}_2 is an appropriate Carnot subgroup of \mathbb{G}_2 . Using the Lipschitz continuity of the projection $\pi: \mathbb{G}_2 \to \mathbb{S}_2$, one easily deduces from the definition of \mathcal{C}_H^1 -Whitney condition that $(\mathbb{G}_1, \mathbb{S}_2)$ has the \mathcal{C}_H^1 extension property.
- (3) Finally assume that $(\mathbb{G}_1, \mathbb{G}'_2)$ has the \mathcal{C}^1_H extension property, where \mathbb{G}'_2 is a Carnot group. Then one checks without difficulty that the same is true for $(\mathbb{G}_1, \mathbb{G}_2 \times \mathbb{G}'_2)$.

As a consequence of Theorem 1.1, we can use these three implications to infer pliability statements. Namely, a Carnot group \mathbb{G} is pliable — i) if $(\mathbb{G}_0, \mathbb{G})$ has the \mathcal{C}_H^1 extension property for some Carnot group \mathbb{G}_0 of positive dimension, ii) if \mathbb{G} is the appropriate Carnot subgroup of a pliable Carnot group, iii) if \mathbb{G} is the product of two pliable Carnot groups.

3. Rigidity, Necessary condition for the \mathcal{C}_H^1 extension property

Let us first adapt to the case of horizontal curves on Carnot groups the notion of rigid curve introduced by Bryant and Hsu in [9]. We will show in the following that the existence of rigid

curves in a Carnot group \mathbb{G} can be used to identify obstructions to the validity of the \mathcal{C}_H^1 extension property for (\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{G}) .

Definition 3.1 (Bryant, Hsu). Let $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}_H^1([a,b],\mathbb{G})$. We say that γ is rigid if there exists a neighborhood \mathcal{V} of γ in the space $\mathcal{C}_H^1([a,b],\mathbb{G})$ such that if $\beta \in \mathcal{V}$ and $\gamma(a) = \beta(a)$, $\gamma(b) = \beta(b)$ then β is a reparametrization of γ .

A vector $X \in \mathfrak{G}_H$ is said to be rigid if the curve $[0,1] \ni t \mapsto \exp(tX)$ is rigid.

A celebrated existence result of rigid curves for general sub-Riemannian manifolds has been obtained by Bryant and Hsu in [9] and further improved in [23] and [2]. Examples of Carnot groups with rigid curves have been illustrated in [16] and extended in [18], where it is shown that, for any $N \geq 6$ there exists a Carnot group of topological dimension N having rigid curves. Nevertheless, such curves need not be straight. Actually, the construction proposed in [18] produces curves which are necessarily not straight.

Following [2] (see also [27]), and focusing on rigid straight curves in Carnot groups, we can formulate Theorem 3.2 below. In order to state it, let $\pi: T^*\mathbb{G} \to \mathbb{G}$ be the canonical projection and recall that a curve $p: I \to T^*\mathbb{G}$ is said to be an abnormal path if $\pi \circ p: I \to \mathbb{G}$ is a horizontal curve, $p(t) \neq 0$ and p(t)X = 0 for every $t \in I$ and $X \in \mathfrak{G}_H$, and, moreover, for every $Y \in \mathfrak{G}$ and almost every $t \in I$,

(4)
$$\frac{d}{dt}p(t)Y = p(t)[Z(t), Y],$$

where $Z(t) = \frac{d}{dt}\pi \circ p(t) \in \mathfrak{G}_H$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $X \in \mathfrak{G}_H$ and assume that $p : [0,1] \to T^*\mathbb{G}$ is an abnormal path with $\pi \circ p(t) = \exp(tX)$.

If $t \mapsto \exp(tX)$ is rigid, then p(t)[V,W] = 0 for every $V,W \in \mathfrak{G}_H$ and every $t \in [0,1]$. Moreover, denoting by $Q_{p(t)}$ the quadratic form $Q_{p(t)}(V) = p(t)[V,[X,V]]$ defined on $\{V \in \mathfrak{G}_H \mid V \perp X\}$, we have that $Q_{p(t)} \geq 0$ for every $t \in [0,1]$.

Conversely, if p(t)[V,W] = 0 for every $V,W \in \mathfrak{G}_H$ and every $t \in [0,1]$ and $Q_{p(t)} > 0$ for every $t \in [0,1]$ then $t \mapsto \exp(tX)$ is rigid.

Example 3.3. An example of Carnot structure having rigid straight curves is the standard Engel structure. In this case s = 3, dim $\mathfrak{G}_1 = 2$, dim $\mathfrak{G}_2 = \dim \mathfrak{G}_3 = 1$ and one can can pick two generators X, Y of the horizontal distribution whose only nontrivial bracket relations are $[X, Y] = W_1$ and $[Y, W_1] = W_2$, where W_1 and W_2 span \mathfrak{G}_2 and \mathfrak{G}_3 respectively.

Let us illustrate how the existence of rigid straight curves can be deduced from Theorem 3.2 (one could also prove rigidity by direct computations of the same type as those of Example 3.5 below).

One immediately checks that p with $p(t)X = p(t)Y = p(t)W_1 = 0$ and $p(t)W_2 = 1$ is an abnormal path such that $\pi \circ p(t) = \exp(tX)$. The rigidity of $t \mapsto \exp(tX)$ then follows from Theorem 3.2, thanks to the relation $Q_p(Y) = 1$.

An extension of the previous construction can be used to exhibit, for every $N \geq 4$, a Carnot group of topological dimension N and step N-1 having straight rigid curves. It suffices

to consider the N-dimensional Carnot group with Goursat distribution, that is, the group such that dim $\mathfrak{G}_1 = 2$, dim $\mathfrak{G}_i = 1$ for i = 2, ..., N-1, and there exist two generators X, Y of \mathfrak{G}_1 whose only nontrivial bracket relations are $[X, Y] = W_1$ and $[Y, W_i] = W_{i+1}$ for i = 1, ..., N-3, where $\mathfrak{G}_{i+1} = \operatorname{Span}(W_i)$ for i = 1, ..., N-2.

The following definition introduces the notion of *pliable* horizontal curve, in contrast to a rigid one.

Definition 3.4. We say that a curve $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}^1_H([a,b],\mathbb{G})$ is pliable if for every neighborhood \mathcal{V} of γ in $\mathcal{C}^1_H([a,b],\mathbb{G})$ the set

$$\{(\beta(b), \dot{\beta}(b)) \mid \beta \in \mathcal{V}, \ (\beta, \dot{\beta})(a) = (\gamma, \dot{\gamma})(a)\}$$

is a neighborhood of $(\gamma(b), \dot{\gamma}(b))$ in $\mathbb{G} \times \mathfrak{G}_H$.

A vector $X \in \mathfrak{G}_H$ is said to be pliable if the curve $[0,1] \ni t \mapsto \exp(tX)$ is pliable. We say that \mathbb{G} is pliable if every vector $X \in \mathfrak{G}_H$ is pliable.

By metric equivalence of all Carnot–Carathéodory distances, it follows that the pliability of a horizontal vector does not depend on the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{G}_H}$ considered on \mathfrak{G}_H .

Notice that, by definition of pliability, in every C_H^1 neighborhood of a pliable curve γ : $[a,b] \to \mathbb{G}$ there exists a curve β with $\beta(a) = \gamma(a)$, $(\beta,\dot{\beta})(b) = (\gamma(b),W)$, and $W \neq \dot{\gamma}(b)$. This shows that pliable curves are not rigid. It should be noticed, however, that the converse is not true in general, as will be discussed in Example 3.5. In this example we show that there exist horizontal straight curves that are neither rigid nor pliable.

Example 3.5. We consider the 6-dimensional Carnot algebra \mathfrak{G} of step 3 that is spaned by X, Y, Z, [X, Z], [Y, Z], [Y, Z]] where X, Y, Z is a basis of \mathfrak{G}_1 and except from permutations all brackets different from the ones above are zero.

According to [7, Chapter 4] there is a group structure on \mathbb{R}^6 with coordinates (x, y, z, z_1, z_2, z_3) isomorphic to the corresponding Carnot group \mathbb{G} such that the vectors of \mathfrak{G}_1 are the left-invariant vector fields

$$X = \partial_x, \quad Y = \partial_y, \quad Z = \partial_z + x\partial_{z_1} + y\partial_{z_2} + y^2\partial_{z_3}.$$

Consider the straight curve $[0,1] \ni t \mapsto \gamma(t) = \exp(tZ) \in \mathbb{G}$. First notice that γ is not pliable, since for all horizontal curves in a small enough \mathcal{C}^1 neighbourhood of γ the component of the derivative along Z is positive, which implies that the coordinate z_3 is nondecreasing. No endpoint of a horizontal curve starting from $0_{\mathbb{G}}$ and belonging to a small enough \mathcal{C}^1 neighbourhood of γ can have negative z_3 component.

Let us now show that γ is not rigid either. Consider the solution β of

$$\dot{\beta}(t) = Z(\beta(t)) + u(t)X(\beta(t)), \qquad \beta(0) = 0_{\mathbb{G}}.$$

Notice that the y component of β is identically equal to zero. As a consequence, the same is true for the components z_2 and z_3 , while the x, z and z_1 components of $\beta(t)$ are, respectively, $\int_0^t u(\tau)d\tau$, t, and $\int_0^t \int_0^\tau u(\theta)d\theta d\tau$. In order to disprove the rigidity, it is then sufficient to take a nontrivial continuous $u:[0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\int_0^1 u(\tau)d\tau = 0 = \int_0^1 \int_0^\tau u(\theta)d\theta d\tau$.

Let us list some useful manipulations which transform horizontal curves into horizontal curves. Let γ be a horizontal curve defined on [0,1] and such that $\gamma(0) = 0_{\mathbb{G}}$.

- (T1) For every $\lambda > 0$, the curve $t \in [0, \lambda] \mapsto \delta_{\lambda} \circ \gamma(\lambda^{-1}t)$ is horizontal and its velocity at time t is $\dot{\gamma}(\lambda^{-1}t)$.
- (T2) For every $\lambda < 0$, the curve $t \in [0, |\lambda|] \mapsto \delta_{\lambda} \circ \gamma(|\lambda|^{-1}t)$ is horizontal and its velocity at time t is $-\dot{\gamma}(|\lambda|^{-1}t)$.
- (T3) The curve $\bar{\gamma}$ defined by $\bar{\gamma}(t) = \gamma(1)^{-1} \cdot \gamma(1-t)$ is horizontal. It starts in $0_{\mathbb{G}}$ and finishes in $\gamma^{-1}(1)$. Its velocity at time t is $-\dot{\gamma}(1-t)$.
- (T4) If one composes the (commuting) transformations (T2) with $\lambda = -1$ and (T3), one obtains a curve with derivative $\dot{\gamma}(1-t)$ at time t.
- (T5) It is possible to define the concatenation of two curves $\gamma_1:[0,t_1]\to\mathbb{G}$ and $\gamma_2:[0,t_2]\to\mathbb{G}$ both starting from $0_{\mathbb{G}}$ as follows: the concatenated curve $\tilde{\gamma}:[0,t_1+t_2]\to\mathbb{G}$ satisfies $\tilde{\gamma}(0)=0_{\mathbb{G}}$, has the same velocity as γ_1 on $[0,t_1]$ and the velocity of $\gamma_2(\cdot-t_1)$ on $[t_1,t_1+t_2]$. We have $\tilde{\gamma}(t_1+t_2)=\gamma_1(t_1)\cdot\gamma(t_2)$ as a consequence of the invariance of the Lie algebra for the left-translation.

A consequence of (T1) and (T2) is that $X \in \mathfrak{G}_H \setminus \{0\}$ is rigid if and only if λX is rigid for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. Similarly, $X \in \mathfrak{G}_H$ is pliable if and only if λX is pliable for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$.

Proposition 3.7 below gives a characterization of pliable horizontal vectors in terms of a condition which is apriori easier to check than the one appearing in Definition 3.4. Before proving the proposition, let us give a technical lemma. From now on, we write $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{G}}(x,r)$ to denote the ball of center x and radius r in \mathbb{G} for the distance $d_{\mathbb{G}}$ and, similarly, $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{G}_H}(x,r)$ to denote the ball of center x and radius r in \mathfrak{G}_H for the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{G}_H}$.

Lemma 3.6. For any $x \in \mathbb{G}$ and 0 < r < R, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that if $y, z \in \mathbb{G}$ and $\rho \geq 0$ satisfy $d_{\mathbb{G}}(y, 0_{\mathbb{G}}), d_{\mathbb{G}}(z, 0_{\mathbb{G}}), \rho \leq \varepsilon$, then

$$\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{G}}(x,r) \subset y \cdot \delta_{1-\rho}(\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{G}}(x,R)) \cdot z.$$

Proof. Assume, by contradiction, that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist $x_n \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{G}}(x,r)$, $y_n, z_n \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{G}}(0_{\mathbb{G}}, 1/n)$ and $\rho_n \in [0, 1/n]$ such that

$$x_n \not\in y_n \cdot \delta_{1-\rho_n}(\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{G}}(x,R)) \cdot z_n.$$

Equivalently,

$$\delta_{(1-\rho_n)^{-1}}(y_n^{-1} \cdot x_n \cdot z_n^{-1}) \notin \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{G}}(x,R).$$

However, $\limsup_{n\to\infty} d_{\mathbb{G}}(x, \delta_{(1-\rho_n)^{-1}}(y_n^{-1} \cdot x_n \cdot z_n^{-1})) \leq r$, leading to a contradiction.

Proposition 3.7. A vector $V \in \mathfrak{G}_H$ is pliable if and only if for every neighborhood V of the curve $[0,1] \ni t \mapsto \exp(tV)$ in the space $\mathcal{C}^1_H([0,1],\mathbb{G})$, the set

$$\{\beta(1) \mid \beta \in \mathcal{V}, \ (\beta, \dot{\beta})(0) = (0_{\mathbb{G}}, V)\}$$

is a neighborhood of $\exp(V)$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{C}^1_H([0,1],\mathbb{G}) \ni \beta \mapsto (\beta,\dot{\beta})(1) \in \mathbb{G} \times \mathfrak{G}_H$ and denote by $\pi: \mathbb{G} \times \mathfrak{G}_H \to \mathbb{G}$ the canonical projection.

One direction of the equivalence being trivial, let us take $\varepsilon > 0$ and assume that $\pi \circ \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon})$ is a neighbourhood of $\exp(V)$ in \mathbb{G} , where

$$\mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon} = \{ \beta \in \mathcal{C}_{H}^{1}([0,1], \mathbb{G}) \mid (\beta, \dot{\beta})(0) = (0_{\mathbb{G}}, V), \ \|\dot{\beta} - V\|_{\infty, \mathfrak{G}_{H}} < \varepsilon \}.$$

We should prove that $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon})$ is a neighborhood of $(\exp(V), V)$ in $\mathbb{G} \times \mathfrak{G}_H$.

Step 1: As an intermediate step, we first prove that there exists $\eta > 0$ such that $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{G}}(\exp(V), \eta) \times \{V\}$ is contained in $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon})$.

Let ρ be a real parameter in (0,1). Using the transformations among horizontal curves described earlier in this section, let us define a map $T_{\rho}: \mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon} \to \mathcal{C}_{H}^{1}([0,1],\mathbb{G})$ associating with a curve $\gamma \in \mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon}$ the concatenation (transformation (T5)) of $\gamma_{1}: t \mapsto \delta_{\rho} \circ \gamma(\rho^{-1}t)$ on $[0,\rho]$ obtained by transformation (T1) and a curve γ_{2} defined as follows. Consider $\gamma_{2,1}: [0,1-\rho] \ni t \mapsto \delta_{1-\rho} \circ \gamma((1-\rho)^{-1}t)$ (again (T1)). The curve γ_{2} is defined from $\gamma_{2,1}$ by

$$\gamma_2(t) = \gamma_1(\rho) \cdot (\gamma_{2,1}(1-\rho)^{-1} \cdot \delta_{-1} \circ \gamma_{2,1}((1-\rho) - t))$$

(see transformation (T4)). The derivative of $T_{\rho}(\gamma)$ at time $t \in [0, \rho)$ is $\dot{\gamma}(\rho^{-1}t)$. Its derivative at time $\rho + t$ is $\dot{\gamma}(1 - (1 - \rho)^{-1}t)$ for $t \in (0, 1 - \rho]$. Hence $T_{\rho}(\gamma)$ is continuous and has derivative $\dot{\gamma}(1)$ at limit times ρ^- and ρ^+ , i.e., is a well-defined map from $\mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon}$ into $\mathcal{C}_H^1([0, 1], \mathbb{G})$. Moreover, $T_{\rho}(\gamma)$ has the same derivative $V = \dot{\gamma}(0)$ at times 0 and 1 and its derivative at any time in [0, 1] is in the set of the derivatives of γ . In particular, $T_{\rho}(\mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon}) \subset \mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon}$.

Notice now that, by construction, the endpoint $T_{\rho}(\gamma)(1)$ of the curve $T_{\rho}(\gamma)$ is a function of $\gamma(1)$ and ρ only. It is actually equal to

$$F_{\rho}(x) = \delta_{\rho}(x) \cdot \delta_{\rho-1}(x)^{-1},$$

where $x = \gamma(1)$ (see (T1) and (T4)). Let $x_0 = \exp(V)$ and $\gamma_0 : t \mapsto \exp(tV)$. We have $F_{\rho}(x_0) = x_0$ because $T_{\rho}(\gamma_0) = \gamma_0$, both curves having derivative constantly equal to V. We prove now that for ρ close enough to 1, the differential of F_{ρ} at x_0 is invertible. Let us use the coordinate identification of \mathbb{G} with \mathbb{R}^N . For every $y \in \mathbb{G}$, the limits of $\delta_{\rho}(y)$ and $\delta_{1-\rho}(y)$ as ρ tends to 1 are y and $0_{\mathbb{G}}$ respectively, while $D\delta_{\rho}(y)$ and $D\delta_{\rho-1}(y)$ converge to Id and 0 respectively. One can check (see, e.g., [7, Proposition 2.2.22]) that the inverse function has derivative $-\mathrm{Id}$ at $0_{\mathbb{G}}$. Finally the left and right translations are global diffeomorphisms. Collecting these informations and applying the chain rule, we get that $DF_{\rho}(x_0)$ tends to an invertible operator as ρ goes to 1. Hence for ρ great enough, $F_{\rho}(x_0)$ is a local diffeomorphism.

We know by assumption on V that, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, the endpoints of the curves of $\mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon}$ form a neighborhood of x_0 . We have shown that this is also the case if we replace $\mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon}$ by $T_{\rho}(\mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon})$, for ρ close to 1. The curves of $T_{\rho}(\mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon})$ are in $\mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon}$ and have, moreover, derivative V at time 1. He have thus proved that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\eta > 0$ such that $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{G}}(x_0, \eta) \times \{V\}$ is contained in $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon})$.

Step 2: Let us now prove that $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon})$ is a neighborhood of (x_0, V) in $\mathbb{G} \times \mathfrak{G}_H$.

Let β be a curve in $\mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon}$ with $\dot{\beta}(1) = V$ and consider for every $W \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{G}_H}(V, \varepsilon)$ and every $\rho \in (0,1)$ the curve $\alpha_{\rho,W}$ defined as follows: $\alpha_{\rho,W} = \delta_{1-\rho} \circ \beta((1-\rho)^{-1}t)$ on $[0,1-\rho]$ (transformation

(T1)) and $\dot{\alpha}_{\rho,W}$ is the linear interpolation between V and W on $[1-\rho,1]$. Notice that $\alpha_{\rho,W}$ is in $\mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon}$.

Let $u \in \mathbb{G}$ be the endpoint at time ρ of the curve in \mathbb{G} starting at $0_{\mathbb{G}}$ whose derivative is the linear interpolation between V and W on $[0,\rho]$. Then $(\alpha_{\rho,W},\dot{\alpha}_{\rho,W})(1) = (\delta_{1-\rho}(\beta(1)) \cdot u, W)$ and u depends only on V, ρ and W, and not on the curve β . Moreover, u tends to $0_{\mathbb{G}}$ as ρ goes to 1, uniformly with respect to $W \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{G}_H}(V,\varepsilon)$. Lemma 3.6 implies that for ρ sufficiently close to 1, for every $W \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{G}_H}(V,\varepsilon)$, it holds $\delta_{1-\rho}(\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{G}}(x_0,\eta)) \cdot u \supset \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{G}}(x_0,\eta/2)$. We proved that $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{G}}(x_0,\eta/2) \times \mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{G}_H}(V,\varepsilon) \subset \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon})$, concluding the proof of the proposition.

The main result of this section is the following theorem, which constitutes the necessity part of the characterization of \mathcal{C}_H^1 extendability stated in Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 3.8. Let \mathbb{G} be a Carnot group. If (\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{G}) has the \mathcal{C}_H^1 extension property, then \mathbb{G} is pliable.

Proof. Suppose, by contradiction, that there exists $V \in \mathfrak{G}_H$ which is not pliable. We are going to prove that (\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{G}) has not the \mathcal{C}_H^1 extension property.

Let $\gamma(t) = \exp(tV)$ for $t \in [0,1]$. Since V is not pliable, it follows from Proposition 3.7 that there exist a neighborhood \mathcal{V} of γ in the space $\mathcal{C}_H^1([0,1],\mathbb{G})$ and a sequence $(x_n)_{n\geq 1}$ converging to $0_{\mathbb{G}}$ such that for every $n \geq 1$ no curve β in \mathcal{V} satisfies $(\beta(0), \dot{\beta}(0)) = (0_{\mathbb{G}}, V)$ and $\beta(1) = \gamma(1) \cdot x_n$. In particular, there exists a neighborhood Ω of V in \mathfrak{G}_H such that for every $\beta \in \mathcal{C}_H^1([0,1],\mathbb{G})$ with $(\beta(0), \dot{\beta}(0)) = (0_{\mathbb{G}}, V)$ and

$$\dot{\beta}(t) \in \Omega, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1],$$

we have $(\beta(1), \dot{\beta}(1)) \neq (\gamma(1) \cdot x_n, V)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = 0_{\mathbb{G}}$, we can assume without loss of generality that, for every $n \geq 1$,

(5)
$$\max\{d(\delta_{\rho}(x_n) \cdot \exp(tV), \exp(tV)) \mid \rho \in [0, 1], \ t \in [-1, 1]\} \le 2^{-n}.$$

By homogeneity and left-invariance, we deduce that for every $y \in \mathbb{G}$ and every $\rho > 0$, for every $\beta \in \mathcal{C}^1([0,\rho],\mathbb{G})$ with $(\beta(0),\dot{\beta}(0)) = (y,V)$ and

$$\dot{\beta}(t) \in \Omega, \quad \forall t \in [0, \rho],$$

we have $(\beta(\rho), \dot{\beta}(\rho)) \neq (y \cdot \gamma(\rho) \cdot \delta_{\rho}(x_n), V)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Define $\rho_n = \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n+1} = \frac{1}{n(n+1)}$ and $\tilde{x}_n = \delta_{\rho_n}(x_n)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows from (5) that

(6)
$$\max\{d(\tilde{x}_n \cdot \exp(tV), \exp(tV)) \mid t \in [-1, 1]\} \le 2^{-n}, \quad \forall n \ge 1.$$

We introduce the sequence defined recursively by $y_0 = 0_{\mathbb{G}}$ and

(7)
$$y_{n+1} = y_n \cdot \gamma(\rho_n) \cdot \tilde{x}_n.$$

Notice that $(y_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is a Cauchy sequence and denote by y_∞ its limit as $n\to\infty$.

By construction, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $\beta \in \mathcal{C}^1_H([0, \rho_n], \mathbb{G})$ with $(\beta(0), \dot{\beta}(0)) = (y_n, V)$ and $\dot{\beta}(t) \in \Omega$ for all $t \in [0, \rho_n]$, we have $(\beta(\rho_n), \dot{\beta}(\rho_n)) \neq (y_{n+1}, V)$. The proof that the (\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{G})

has not the \mathcal{C}_H^1 extension property is then concluded if we show that the \mathcal{C}_H^1 -Whitney condition holds for (f, X) on K, where

$$K = \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{1 - \frac{1}{n}\right\}\right) \bigcup \{1\},\,$$

and $f: K \to \mathbb{G}$ and $X: K \to \mathfrak{G}_H$ are defined by

$$f(1-n^{-1}) = y_n, \quad X(1-n^{-1}) = V, \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}^* \cup \{\infty\}.$$

For $i, j \in \mathbb{N}^* \cup \{\infty\}$, let

$$D(i,j) = d_{\mathbb{G}}(f(1-i^{-1}), f(1-j^{-1}) \cdot \exp[(j^{-1}-i^{-1})X(1-j^{-1})])$$

= $d_{\mathbb{G}}(y_i, y_i \cdot \exp[(j^{-1}-i^{-1})V]).$

We have to prove that

$$D(i,j) = o(j^{-1} - i^{-1})$$

as $i, j \to \infty$, that is, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $i_{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $D(i, j) < \varepsilon |j^{-1} - i^{-1}|$ for $i, j \in \mathbb{N}^* \cup \{\infty\}$ with $i, j > i_{\varepsilon}$.

By triangular inequality we have

$$D(i,j) \le \sum_{k=\min(i,j)}^{\max(i,j)-1} d_{\mathbb{G}}(y_{k+1} \cdot \exp[((k+1)^{-1} - i^{-1})V], y_k \cdot \exp[(k^{-1} - i^{-1})V]).$$

Notice that

$$d_{\mathbb{G}}(y_{k+1} \cdot \exp[((k+1)^{-1} - i^{-1})V], y_k \cdot \exp[(k^{-1} - i^{-1})V])$$

$$= d_{\mathbb{G}}(y_{k+1} \cdot \exp[((k+1)^{-1} - i^{-1})V], [y_k \cdot \gamma(\rho_k)] \cdot \exp[((k+1)^{-1} - i^{-1})V])$$

$$= d_{\mathbb{G}}(\tilde{x}_k \cdot \exp[((k+1)^{-1} - i^{-1})V], \exp[((k+1)^{-1} - i^{-1})V]),$$

where the last equality follows from (7) and the invariance of $d_{\mathbb{G}}$ by left-multiplication. Thanks to (6), one then concludes that

$$d_{\mathbb{G}}(y_{k+1} \cdot \exp[((k+1)^{-1} - i^{-1})V], y_k \cdot \exp[(k^{-1} - i^{-1})V]) \le 2^{-k}.$$

Hence, $D(i,j) \leq \sum_{k=\min(i,j)}^{\max(i,j)-1} 2^{-k} = o(j^{-1}-i^{-1})$ and this concludes the proof of Theorem 3.8.

4. Sufficient condition for the \mathcal{C}_H^1 extension property

We have seen in the previous section that, differently from the classical case, for a general Carnot group \mathbb{G} the suitable Whitney condition for (f, X) on K is not sufficient for the existence of an extension (f, \dot{f}) of (f, X) on \mathbb{R} . More precisely, it follows from Theorem 3.8 that if \mathbb{G} has horizontal vectors which are not pliable, then there exist triples (K, f, X) such that the \mathcal{C}_H^1 -Whitney condition holds for (f, X) on K but there is not a \mathcal{C}_H^1 -extension of (f, X). In this next section we prove the converse to the result above, showing that the \mathcal{C}_H^1 extension property holds when all horizontal vectors are pliable, i.e., when \mathbb{G} is pliable.

We start by introducing the notion of locally uniformly pliable horizontal vector.

Definition 4.1. A horizontal vector X is called locally uniformly pliable if there exists a neighborhood \mathcal{U} of X in \mathfrak{G}_H such that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\eta > 0$ so that for every $W \in \mathcal{U}$

$$\{(\gamma, \dot{\gamma})(1) \mid \gamma \in \mathcal{C}_H^1([0, 1], \mathbb{G}), (\gamma, \dot{\gamma})(0) = (0_{\mathbb{G}}, W), \ \|\dot{\gamma} - W\|_{\infty, \mathfrak{G}_H} \leq \varepsilon\}$$
$$\supset \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{G}}(\exp(W), \eta) \times \mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{G}_H}(W, \eta).$$

Remark 4.2. As it happens for pliability, if X is locally uniformly pliable then, for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, λX is locally uniformly pliable.

We are going to see in the following (Remark 6.2) that pliability and local uniform pliability are not equivalent properties. The following proposition, however, establishes the equivalence between pliability and local uniform pliability of *all* horizontal vectors.

Proposition 4.3. If \mathbb{G} is pliable, then all horizontal vectors are locally uniformly pliable.

Proof. Assume that \mathbb{G} is pliable. For every $V \in \mathfrak{G}_H$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ denote by $\eta(V, \varepsilon)$ a positive constant such that

$$\{(\gamma, \dot{\gamma})(1) \mid \gamma \in \mathcal{C}^1_H([0, 1], \mathbb{G}), (\gamma, \dot{\gamma})(0) = (0_{\mathbb{G}}, V), \ \|\dot{\gamma} - V\|_{\infty, \mathfrak{G}_H} \leq \varepsilon\}$$
$$\supset \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{G}}(\exp(V), \eta(V, \varepsilon)) \times \mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{G}_H}(V, \eta(V, \varepsilon)).$$

We are going to show that there exists $\nu(V,\varepsilon) > 0$ such that for every $W \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{G}_H}(V,\nu(V,\varepsilon))$

$$\{(\gamma, \dot{\gamma})(1) \mid \gamma \in \mathcal{C}_{H}^{1}([0, 1], \mathbb{G}), \ (\gamma, \dot{\gamma})(0) = (0_{\mathbb{G}}, W), \ \|\dot{\gamma} - W\|_{\infty, \mathfrak{G}_{H}} \leq \varepsilon\}$$

$$\supset \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{G}}\left(\exp(W), \frac{\eta\left(V, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)}{4}\right) \times \mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{G}_{H}}\left(W, \frac{\eta\left(V, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)}{4}\right).$$
(8)

The proof of the local uniform pliability of any horizontal vector X is then concluded by simple compactness arguments (taking any compact neighborhood \mathcal{U} of X, using the notation of Definition 4.1).

First fix $\bar{\nu}(V,\varepsilon) > 0$ in such a way that

$$\exp(W) \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{G}}(\exp(V), \eta(V, \varepsilon/2)/4)$$

for every $W \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{G}_H}(V, \bar{\nu}(V, \varepsilon))$.

For every $W \in \mathfrak{G}_H$, every $\rho \in (0,1)$, and every curve $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}^1_H([0,1],\mathbb{G})$ such that $(\gamma,\dot{\gamma})(0) = (0_{\mathbb{G}},V)$, define $\gamma_{W,\rho} \in \mathcal{C}^1_H([0,1],\mathbb{G})$ as follows: $\gamma_{W,\rho}(0) = 0_{\mathbb{G}}, \dot{\gamma}_{W,\rho}(t) = (t/\rho)V + ((\rho-t)/\rho)W$ for $t \in [0,\rho], \dot{\gamma}_{W,\rho}(\rho+(1-\rho)t) = \dot{\gamma}(t)$ for $t \in [0,1]$. In particular

$$\gamma_{W,\rho}(1) = \gamma_{W,\rho}(\rho) \cdot \delta_{1-\rho}(\gamma(1)), \quad \dot{\gamma}_{W,\rho}(1) = \dot{\gamma}(1),$$

and

$$\|\dot{\gamma}_{W,\rho} - W\|_{\infty,\mathfrak{G}_H} \le \|\dot{\gamma} - V\|_{\infty,\mathfrak{G}_H} + \|W - V\|_{\mathfrak{G}_H}.$$

If $||V - W||_{\mathfrak{G}_H} \leq \varepsilon/2$, we then have

$$\|\dot{\gamma}_{W,\rho} - W\|_{\infty,\mathfrak{G}_H} \le \varepsilon \quad \forall \gamma \text{ such that } \|\dot{\gamma} - V\|_{\infty,\mathfrak{G}_H} \le \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Since $\gamma_{W,\rho}(\rho)$ depends on V,W, and ρ , but not on γ , we conclude that, for every $W \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{G}_H}(V,\varepsilon/2)$,

$$\{(\beta, \dot{\beta})(1) \mid \beta \in \mathcal{C}_{H}^{1}([0, 1], \mathbb{G}), \ (\beta, \dot{\beta})(0) = (0_{\mathbb{G}}, W), \ \|\dot{\beta} - W\|_{\infty, \mathfrak{G}_{H}} \leq \varepsilon\}$$

$$\supset \left(\gamma_{W, \rho}(\rho) \cdot \delta_{1-\rho} \left(\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{G}} \left(\exp(V), \eta\left(V, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)\right)\right)\right) \times \mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{G}_{H}} \left(V, \eta\left(V, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)\right).$$

Notice that $d_{\mathbb{G}}(0_{\mathbb{G}}, \gamma_{W,\rho}(\rho)) \leq \rho \max(\|V\|_{\mathfrak{G}_H}, \|W\|_{\mathfrak{G}_H})$. Thanks to Lemma 3.6, for ρ sufficiently small,

$$\gamma_{W,\rho}(\rho) \cdot \delta_{1-\rho}\left(\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{G}}\left(\exp(V), \eta\left(V, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)\right)\right) \supset \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{G}}\left(\exp(V), \frac{\eta\left(V, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)}{2}\right).$$

Now,

$$\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{G}}\left(\exp(V), \frac{\eta\left(V, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)}{2}\right) \supset \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{G}}\left(\exp(W), \frac{\eta\left(V, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)}{4}\right)$$

whenever $W \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{G}_H}(V, \bar{\nu}(V, \varepsilon))$.

Similarly,

$$\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{G}_{H}}\left(V,\eta\left(V,\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)\right)\supset\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{G}_{H}}\left(W,\frac{\eta\left(V,\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)}{4}\right),$$

provided that $||V - W||_{\mathfrak{G}_H} \leq 3\eta(V, \varepsilon/2)/4$. The proof of (8) is concluded by taking $\nu(V, \varepsilon) = \min(\bar{\nu}(V, \varepsilon), \varepsilon/2, 3\eta(V, \varepsilon/2)/4)$.

We are now ready to prove the converse of Theorem 3.8, concluding the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 4.4. Let \mathbb{G} be a pliable Carnot group. Then (\mathbb{R},\mathbb{G}) has the \mathcal{C}^1_H extension property.

Proof. By Proposition 4.3, we can assume that all vectors in \mathfrak{G}_H are locally uniformly pliable. Note moreover that it is enough to prove the extension for maps defined on compact sets K. The generalisation to closed sets K_0 is immediate because the source Carnot group is \mathbb{R} . Let (f,X) satisfy the \mathcal{C}_H^1 -Whitney condition on K where K is compact. We have to define \overline{f} on the complementary (open) set $\mathbb{R} \setminus K$, which is the countable and disjoint union of open intervals. For the unbounded components of $\mathbb{R} \setminus K$, we simply define \overline{f} as the curve with constant speed X(i) or X(j) where $i = \min(K)$ and $j = \max(K)$. For the finite components (a,b) we proceed as follows. We consider $y = \delta_{1/(b-a)}(f(a)^{-1} \cdot f(b))$. We let ε be the smallest number such that

$$\{(\gamma,\dot{\gamma})(1)\mid \gamma\in\mathcal{C}^1_H([0,1],\mathbb{G}),\; (\gamma,\dot{\gamma})(0)=(0_{\mathbb{G}},X(a)), \|\dot{\gamma}-X(a)\|_{\infty,\mathfrak{G}_H}\leq \varepsilon'\}$$

contains (y, X(b)) for every $\varepsilon' > \varepsilon$. We consider an extension $\bar{f} \in \mathcal{C}_H^1$ of f on [a, b] such that $\dot{f}(a) = X(a), \ \dot{f}(b) = X(b), \ \text{and} \ \|\dot{f} - X(a)\|_{\infty, \mathfrak{G}_H} \le 2\varepsilon$. By definition of the \mathcal{C}_H^1 -Whitney condition, there exists a function $\omega : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ tending to 0 at 0 such that R(a, b) =

 $d_{\mathbb{G}}(f(b), f(a) \cdot \exp[(b-a)X(a)])$ is smaller than $\omega(b-a)(b-a)$ and $||X(b)-X(a)||_{\mathfrak{G}_H} \leq \omega(b-a)$. Since R(a,b) is equal to $(b-a)d_{\mathbb{G}}(\exp(X(a)), y)$, we can conclude that

(9)
$$d_{\mathbb{G}}(\exp(X(a)), y) \le \omega(b - a).$$

Using the corresponding estimates for R(b, a), we deduce that

(10)
$$d_{\mathbb{G}}(\exp(-X(b)), y^{-1}) \le \omega(b-a).$$

By construction \bar{f} extends f and $\dot{f} = X$ on the interior of K. We prove now that \bar{f} is \mathcal{C}_H^1 and that $\dot{f} = X$ on the boundary ∂K of K. It is clear that \bar{f} is \mathcal{C}_H^1 on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \partial K$. In order to conclude the proof we are left to pick $x \in \partial K$, let x_n tend to x, and we must show that $\bar{f}(x_n)$ and $\dot{f}(x_n)$ tend to f(x) and X(x) respectively. As f and X are continuous on K, we can assume without loss of generality that each x_n is in $\mathbb{R} \setminus K$. Assume for now that $x_n < x$ for every n. The connected component (a_n, b_n) of $\mathbb{R} \setminus K$ containing x_n is either constant for n large (in this case $x = b_n$) or its length goes to zero as $n \to \infty$. In the first case we simply notice that $\bar{f}|_{[a_n,b_n]}$ is \mathcal{C}^1 by construction. In the second case we can assume that $a_n < x_n < b_n$ and $b_n - a_n$ goes to zero. As f and X are continuous, $f(a_n)$ and $X(a_n)$ converge to f(x) and X(x) respectively. Inequality (9) guarantees that $d_{\mathbb{G}}(\exp(X(a_n)), \delta_{1/(b_n-a_n)}[f(a_n)^{-1} \cdot f(b_n)]) \le \omega(b_n - a_n) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ and the local uniform pliability of X(x) implies that $\|\dot{\bar{f}}|_{[a_n,b_n]} - X(a_n)\|_{\infty,\mathfrak{G}_H}$ goes to zero as $n \to \infty$. It follows that $\|\dot{\bar{f}}(x_n) - X(a_n)\|_{\mathfrak{G}_H}$ and $d_{\mathbb{G}}(\bar{f}(x_n), f(a_n))$ go to zero, proving that $\bar{f}(x_n)$ and $\bar{f}(x_n)$ tend to f(x) and X(x) respectively. The situation where $x_n > x$ for infinitely many n can be handled similarly replacing (9) by (10).

5. Application to the Lusin approximation of an absolutely continuous curve

In a recent paper, E. Le Donne and G. Speight prove the following result ([22, Theorem 1.2]).

Proposition 5.1 (Le Donne–Speight). Let \mathbb{G} be a Carnot group of step 2 and consider a horizontal curve $\gamma: [a,b] \to \mathbb{G}$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $K \subset [a,b]$ and a \mathcal{C}^1_H -curve $\gamma_1: [a,b] \to \mathbb{G}$ such that $\mathcal{L}([a,b] \setminus K) < \varepsilon$ and $\gamma = \gamma_1$ on K.

In the case in which \mathbb{G} is equal to the *n*-th Heisenberg group \mathbb{H}_n , such result had already been proved in [30, Theorem 2.2] (see also [39, Corollary 3.8]). In [30] G. Speight also identifies a horizontal curve on the Engel group such that the statement of Proposition 5.1 is not satisfied ([30, Theorem 3.2]).

The name "Lusin approximation" for the property stated in Proposition 5.1 comes from the use of the classical theorem of Lusin [24] in the proof. Let us sketch a proof when \mathbb{G} is replaced by a vector space \mathbb{R}^n . The derivative $\dot{\gamma}$ of an absolutely continuous curve γ is an integrable function. Lusin's theorem states that $\dot{\gamma}$ coincides with a continuous vector-valued function $X:K\to\mathbb{R}^n$ on a set K of measure arbitrarily close to b-a. Thanks to the inner continuity of the Lebesgue measure, one can assume that K is compact. Moreover K can be

chosen so that the Whitney condition is satisfied by $(\gamma|_K, X)$ on K. This is a consequence of the mean value inequality

(11)
$$\|\gamma(x+h) - \gamma(x) - h\dot{\gamma}(x)\| \le o(h),$$

where o(h) depends on $x \in K$. By usual arguments of measure theory, inequality (11) can be made uniform with respect to x if one slightly reduces the measure of K. The (classical) Whitney extension theorem provides a \mathcal{C}^1 -curve γ_1 defined on [a,b] with $\gamma_1 = \gamma$ and $\dot{\gamma}_1 = X$ on K

The proof in [22] (and also in [30]) follows the same scheme as the one sketched above. We show here below how the same scheme can be adapted to any pliable Carnot group. The fact that all Carnot groups of step 2 are pliable and that not all pliable Carnot groups are of step 1 or 2 is proved in the next section (Theorem 6.5 and Proposition 6.6), so that our paper actually provides a nontrivial generalization of Proposition 5.1. The novelty of our approach with respect to those in [22, 30, 39] is to replace the classical Rademacher differentiability theorem for Lipschitz or absolutely continuous curves from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{R}^n by the more adapted Pansu–Rademacher theorem.

Proposition 5.2 (Lusin approximation of a horizontal curve). Let \mathbb{G} be a pliable Carnot group and $\gamma:[a,b]\to\mathbb{G}$ be a horizontal curve. Then for any $\varepsilon>0$ there exist $K\subset[a,b]$ with $\mathcal{L}([a,b]\setminus K)<\varepsilon$ and a curve $\gamma_1:[a,b]\to\mathbb{G}$ of class \mathcal{C}^1_H such that the curves γ and γ_1 coincide on K.

Proof. We are going to prove that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a compact set $K \subset [a, b]$ with $\mathcal{L}([a, b] \setminus K) < \varepsilon$ such the three following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) $\dot{\gamma}(t)$ exists and it is a horizontal vector at every $t \in K$;
- (2) $\dot{\gamma}|_{K}$ is uniformly continuous;
- (3) For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\eta > 0$ such that, for every $t \in K$ and $|h| \leq \eta$ with $t + h \in [a, b]$, it holds $d_{\mathbb{G}}(\gamma(t + h), \gamma(t) \cdot \exp(h\dot{\gamma}(t))) \leq \eta \varepsilon$.

With these conditions the \mathcal{C}_H^1 -Whitney condition holds for $(\gamma, \dot{\gamma}|_K)$ on K. Since \mathbb{G} is pliable, according to Theorem 4.4 the \mathcal{C}_H^1 extension property holds for (\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{G}) , yielding γ_1 as in the statement of Proposition 5.2.

Case 1: γ is Lipschitz continuous. Let γ be a Lipschitz curve from [a,b] to \mathbb{G} . The Pansu-Rademacher theorem (Proposition 2.1) states that there exists $A \subset [a,b]$ of full measure such that, for any $t \in A$, the curve γ admits a derivative at t and it holds

$$d_{\mathbb{G}}(\gamma(t+h), \gamma(t) \cdot \exp(h\dot{\gamma}(t))) = o(h),$$

as h goes to zero. Let ε be positive. By Lusin's theorem, one can restrict A to a compact set $K_1 \subset A$ such that $t \mapsto \dot{\gamma}(t)$ is uniformly continuous on K_1 and $\mathcal{L}(A \setminus K_1) < \varepsilon/2$. Moreover by classical arguments of measure theory, the functions $h \mapsto |h|^{-1} d_{\mathbb{G}}(\gamma(t+h), \gamma(t) \cdot \exp(h\dot{\gamma}(t_0)))$ can be bounded by a function that is o(1) as h goes to zero, uniformly in t on some compact set K_2 with $\mathcal{L}(A \setminus K_2) < \varepsilon/2$. In other words for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists η such that for $t \in K_2$ and $h \in [t - \eta, t + \eta]$ it holds

$$d_{\mathbb{G}}\left(\gamma(t+h), \gamma(t) \cdot \exp(h\dot{\gamma}(t))\right) < \varepsilon|h|.$$

With $K = K_1 \cap K_2$, the three conditions (1), (2), (3) listed above hold true.

Case 2: γ general horizontal curve. Let γ be absolutely continuous on [a,b]. It admits a pathlength parametrisation, i.e., there exists a Lipschitz continuous curve $\varphi:[0,T]\to\mathbb{G}$ and a function $F:[a,b]\to[0,T]$, absolutely continuous and non-decreasing, such that $\gamma=\varphi\circ F$. Moreover $\dot{\varphi}$ has norm 1 at almost every time. As F is absolutely continuous, for every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists η such that, for any measurable K, the inequality $\mathcal{L}([0,T]\setminus K)<\eta$ implies $\mathcal{L}([a,b]\setminus F^{-1}(K))<\varepsilon$.

Let ε be positive and let η be a number corresponding to $\varepsilon/2$ in the previous sentence. Applying to F the scheme of proof sketched after Proposition 5.1 for n=1, there exists a compact set $K_F \subset [a,b]$ with $\mathcal{L}([a,b] \setminus K_F) < \varepsilon/2$ such that F is differentiable with a continuous derivative on K_F and the bound in the mean value inequality is uniform on K_F . For the Lipschitz curve φ and for every $\eta > 0$, Case 1 provides a compact set $K_{\varphi} \subset [0,T]$ with the listed properties with $\varepsilon/2$ in place ε .

Let K be the compact $K_F \cap F^{-1}(K_{\omega})$ and note that $\mathcal{L}([a,b] \setminus K) < \varepsilon$. For $t \in K$ it holds

$$|F(t+h) - F(t) - hF'(t)| = o(h)$$

and

$$d_{\mathbb{G}}(\varphi(F(t)+H),\varphi(F(t))\cdot\exp(H\dot{\varphi}(F(t))))=o(H),$$

as h and H go to zero, uniformly with respect to $t \in K$. We also know that $t \mapsto F'(t)$ and $t \mapsto \dot{\varphi}(F(t)) \in \mathfrak{G}_H$ exist and are continuous on K. It is a simple exercise to compose the two Taylor expansions and obtain the wanted conditions for $\gamma = \varphi \circ F$. Note that the derivative of γ on K is $F'(t)\dot{\varphi}(F(t))$, which is continuous on K.

Remark 5.3. A set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is said 1-countably rectifiable if there exists a countable family of Lipschitz curves $f_k : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$\mathcal{H}^1\left(E\setminus\bigcup_k f_k(\mathbb{R})\right)=0.$$

The usual Lusin approximation of curves in \mathbb{R}^n permits one to replace Lipschitz by \mathcal{C}^1 in this classical definition of rectifiability. When \mathbb{R}^n is replaced by a pliable Carnot group the two definitions still make sense and, according to Proposition 5.2, are still equivalent. Rectifiability in metric spaces and Carnot groups is a very active research topic in geometric measure theory (see [22] for references).

6. Conditions ensuring pliability

The goal of this section is to identify conditions ensuring that \mathbb{G} is pliable. Let us first focus on the pliability of the zero vector.

Proposition 6.1. For every Carnot group \mathbb{G} , the vector $0 \in \mathfrak{G}$ is pliable.

Proof. According to Proposition 3.7, we should prove that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ the set

$$\{\beta(1) \in \mathbb{G} \mid \beta \in \mathcal{C}^1_H([0,1],\mathbb{G}), \ \|\dot{\beta}\|_{\infty,\mathfrak{G}_H} < \varepsilon, \ (\beta,\dot{\beta})(0) = (0_{\mathbb{G}},0)\}$$

is a neighborhood of $0_{\mathbb{G}}$ in \mathbb{G} .

Recall that there exist $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $V_1, \ldots, V_k \in \mathfrak{G}_H$ and $t_1, \ldots, t_k > 0$ such that the map

$$\phi: (\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_k) \mapsto e^{\tau_k V_k} \circ \cdots \circ e^{\tau_1 V_1} (0_{\mathbb{G}})$$

has rank equal to dim(\mathbb{G}) at $(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_k) = (t_1, \ldots, t_k)$ and satisfies $\phi(t_1, \ldots, t_k) = 0_{\mathbb{G}}$ (see [31]). Notice that for every $\nu > 0$, the function

$$\phi_{\nu}: (\tau_{1}, \dots, \tau_{k}) \mapsto e^{\nu \tau_{k} V_{k}} \circ \dots \circ e^{\nu \tau_{1} V_{1}} (0_{\mathbb{G}})$$

$$= e^{\frac{\nu^{2} \tau_{k}}{\nu} V_{k}} \circ \dots \circ e^{\nu^{2} \frac{\tau_{1}}{\nu} V_{1}} (0_{\mathbb{G}}) = \delta_{\nu^{2}} \left(\phi \left(\frac{\tau_{1}}{\nu}, \dots, \frac{\tau_{k}}{\nu} \right) \right)$$

has also rank equal to dim(G) at $(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_k) = (\nu t_1, \ldots, \nu t_k)$ and satisfies $\phi_{\nu}(\nu t_1, \ldots, \nu t_k) = 0_{\mathbb{G}}$. Hence, up to replacing t_j by νt_j and V_j by $\nu^2 V_j$ for $j = 1, \ldots, k$ and ν small enough, we can assume that $t_1 + \cdots + t_k < 1$ and $||V_j||_{\mathfrak{G}_H} < \varepsilon$ for $j = 1, \ldots, k$.

Let O be a neighborhood of (t_1, \ldots, t_k) such that for every $(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_k) \in O$ we have $\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_k > 0$ and $\tau_1 + \cdots + \tau_k < 1$. Notice that $\{\phi(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_k) \mid (\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_k) \in O\}$ is a neighborhood of $0_{\mathbb{G}}$ in \mathbb{G} .

We complete the proof of the proposition by constructing, for every $\tau = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k) \in O$ a curve $\beta_{\tau} \in \mathcal{C}^1_H([0, 1], \mathbb{G})$ such that

(12)
$$\|\dot{\beta}_{\tau}\|_{\infty,\mathfrak{G}_{H}} < \varepsilon, \quad (\beta_{\tau}, \dot{\beta}_{\tau})(0) = (0_{\mathbb{G}}, 0), \quad \beta_{\tau}(1) = \phi(\tau).$$

For every $X \in \mathfrak{G}_H$, $p \in \mathbb{G}$ and r > 0 let us exhibit a curve $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}^1_H([0,r],\mathbb{G})$ such that $\gamma(0) = \gamma(r) = p$, $\dot{\gamma}(0) = 0$, $\dot{\gamma}(r) = X$, and $\|\dot{\gamma}\|_{\infty,\mathfrak{G}_H} = \|X\|_{\mathfrak{G}_H}$. The curve γ can be constructed by imposing $\dot{\gamma}(r/2) = -X/2$ and by extending $\dot{\gamma}$ on [0,r/2] and [r/2,r] by convex interpolation. It is also possible to reverse such a curve by transformation (T4) and connect on any segment [0,r] the point-with-velocity (p,X) with the point-with-velocity (p,0) by a \mathcal{C}^1_H curve γ respecting moreover $\|\dot{\gamma}\|_{\infty,\mathfrak{G}_H} = \|X\|_{\mathfrak{G}_H}$. Finally just concatenating (transformation (T5)) curves of this type it is possible, for every r > 0, to connect (p,X) and (p,Y) on [0,r] with a curve $\gamma_{r,X,Y} \in \mathcal{C}^1_H([0,r],\mathbb{G})$ with $\|\dot{\gamma}_{r,X,Y}\|_{\infty,\mathfrak{G}_H} = \max(\|X\|_{\mathfrak{G}_H}, \|Y\|_{\mathfrak{G}_H})$. We then construct β_τ as follows: we fix $r = (1 - \sum_{j=1}^k \tau_j)/k$, we impose $\beta_\tau(0) = 0_{\mathbb{G}}$ and

We then construct β_{τ} as follows: we fix $r = (1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k} \tau_j)/k$, we impose $\beta_{\tau}(0) = 0_{\mathbb{G}}$ and we define $\dot{\beta}_{\tau}$ to be the concatenation of the following 2k continuous curves in \mathfrak{G}_{H} : first take $\dot{\gamma}_{r,0,V_1}$, then the constant equal to V_1 for a time τ_1 , then $\dot{\gamma}_{r,V_1,V_2}$, then the constant equal to V_2 for a time τ_2 , and so on up to $\dot{\gamma}_{r,V_{k-1},V_k}$ and finally the constant equal to V_k for a time τ_k . By construction, $\beta_{\tau} \in \mathcal{C}^1_H([0,1],\mathbb{G})$ and satisfies (12).

Remark 6.2. Let us show that, as a consequence of the previous proposition, pliability and local uniform pliability are not equivalent properties (albeit we know from Proposition 4.3 that pliability of all horizontal vectors is equivalent to local uniform pliability of all horizontal vectors).

Recall that local uniform pliability of a horizontal vector X implies pliability of all horizontal vectors in a neighborhood of X (cf. Definition 4.1). Therefore, if 0 is locally uniformly pliable for a Carnot group \mathbb{G} then every horizontal vector of \mathfrak{G} is pliable (Remark 4.2). Hence 0

cannot be locally uniformly pliable if G is not pliable. The remark is concluded by recalling that non-pliable Carnot groups exist (see Examples 3.3 and 3.5).

Let \mathbb{G} be a Carnot group and let X_1, \ldots, X_m be an orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{G}_H . Let us consider the control system in $\mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{R}^m$ given by

(13)
$$\begin{cases} \dot{\gamma} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} u_i X_i(\gamma), \\ \dot{u} = v, \end{cases}$$

where both $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_m)$ and the control $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_m)$ vary in \mathbb{R}^m . Let us rewrite $x = (\gamma, u)$,

$$F_0(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^m u_i X_i(\gamma) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad F_i(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ e_i \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, m,$$

where e_1, \ldots, e_m denotes the canonical basis of \mathbb{R}^m . System (13) can then be rewritten as

(14)
$$\dot{x} = F_0(x) + \sum_{i=1}^m v_i F_i(x).$$

For every $\bar{u} \in \mathbb{R}^m$, let $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{u}} : L^1([0,1],\mathbb{R}^m) \to \mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{R}^m$ be the endpoint map at time 1 for system (14) with initial condition $(0_{\mathbb{G}}, \bar{u})$. Notice that if $x(\cdot) = (\gamma(\cdot), u(\cdot))$ is a solution of (14) with initial condition $(0_{\mathbb{G}}, \bar{u})$ corresponding to a control $v \in L^1([0,1],\mathbb{R}^m)$, then $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}^1_H([0,1],\mathbb{G})$ and $\|\dot{\gamma} - \sum_{i=1}^m \bar{u}_i X_i\|_{\infty,\mathfrak{G}_H} \leq \|v\|_1$.

We can then state the following criterium for pliability.

Proposition 6.3. If the map $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{u}}: L^1([0,1],\mathbb{R}^m) \to \mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{R}^m$ is open at 0, then the horizontal vector $\sum_{i=1}^m \bar{u}_i X_i$ is pliable.

As a consequence, if the restriction of $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{u}}$ to $L^{\infty}([0,1],\mathbb{R}^m)$ is open at 0, when the L^{∞} topology is considered on $L^{\infty}([0,1],\mathbb{R}^m)$, then $\sum_{i=1}^m \bar{u}_i X_i$ is pliable. We deduce the following property: if a straight curve is not pliable, then it admits an abnormal lift in $T^*\mathbb{G}$. Indeed, if a horizontal vector $\sum_{i=1}^m \bar{u}_i X_i$ is not pliable, then the differential of $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{u}}|_{L^{\infty}([0,1],\mathbb{R}^m)}$ at 0 must be singular. Hence (see, for instance, [1, Section 20.3] or [33, Proposition 5.3.3]), there exist $p_{\gamma}: [0,1] \to T^*\mathbb{G}$ and $p_u: [0,1] \to (\mathbb{R}^m)^*$ with $(p_{\gamma}, p_u) \neq 0$ such that

(15)
$$\dot{p}_{\gamma}(t) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma} H(\gamma(t), \bar{u}, p_{\gamma}(t), p_{u}(t), 0),$$

(16)
$$\dot{p}_u(t) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial u} H(\gamma(t), \bar{u}, p_{\gamma}(t), p_u(t), 0),$$

(17)
$$0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial v} H(\gamma(t), \bar{u}, p_{\gamma}(t), p_{u}(t), 0),$$

for $t \in [0, 1]$, where $\gamma(t) = \exp(t \sum_{i=1}^{m} \bar{u}_i X_i)$ and

$$H(\gamma, u, p_{\gamma}, p_u, v) = p_{\gamma} \sum_{i=1}^{m} u_i X_i(\gamma) + p_u v.$$

From (17) it follows that $p_u(t) = 0$ for all $t \in [0,1]$. Equation (16) then implies that $p_{\gamma}(t)X_i(\gamma(t)) = 0$ for every $i = 1, \ldots, m$ and every $t \in [0,1]$. Moreover, p_{γ} must be different from zero. Comparing (4) and (15), it follows that p_{γ} is an abnormal path.

The control literature proposes several criteria for testing the openness at 0 of an endpoint map of the type $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{u}}|_{L^{\infty}([0,1],\mathbb{R}^m)}$. The test presented here below, taken from [6], generalizes previous criteria obtained in [17] and [32].

Theorem 6.4 (Bianchini and Stefani [6, Corollary 1.2]). Let M be a C^{∞} manifold and V_0, V_1, \ldots, V_m be C^{∞} vector fields on M. Assume that the family of vector fields $\mathcal{J} = \{\operatorname{ad}_{V_0}^k V_j \mid k \geq 0, j = 1, \ldots, m\}$ is Lie bracket generating. Denote by \mathcal{H} the iterated brackets of elements in \mathcal{J} and recall that the length of an element of \mathcal{H} is the sum of the number of times that each of the elements V_0, \ldots, V_m appears in its expression. Assume that every element of \mathcal{H} in whose expression each of the vector fields V_1, \ldots, V_m appears an even number of times is equal, at every $q \in M$, to the linear combination of elements of \mathcal{H} of smaller length, evaluated at q. Fix $q_0 \in M$ and a neighborhood Ω of 0 in \mathbb{R}^m . Let $\mathcal{U} \subset L^{\infty}([0,1],\Omega)$ be the set of those controls v such that the solution of $\dot{q} = V_0(q) + \sum_{i=1}^m v_i V_i(q)$, $q(0) = q_0$, is defined up to time 1 and denote by $\Phi(v)$ the endpoint q(1) of such a solution. Then $\Phi(\mathcal{U})$ is a neighborhood of $e^{V_0}(q_0)$.

The following two results show how to apply Theorem 6.4 to guarantee that a Carnot group \mathbb{G} is pliable and, hence, that (\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{G}) has the \mathcal{C}_H^1 extension property.

Theorem 6.5. Let \mathbb{G} be a Carnot group of step 2. Then \mathbb{G} is pliable and (\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{G}) has the \mathcal{C}_H^1 extension property.

Proof. We are going to apply Theorem 6.4 in order to prove that for every horizontal vector $\sum_{i=1}^{m} u_i X_i$ the endpoint map $\mathcal{F}_u : L^{\infty}([0,1],\mathbb{R}^m) \to \mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{R}^m$ is open at zero.

Notice that

$$[F_0, F_i](\gamma, w) = -\begin{pmatrix} X_i(\gamma) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad i = 1, \dots, m,$$

and

$$[F_0, [F_0, F_i]](\gamma, w) = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^m w_j [X_i, X_j](\gamma) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Moreover for every $i, j = 1, \dots, m$,

$$[[F_0, F_i], F_j] = 0, \ [[F_0, F_i], [F_0, F_j]](\gamma, w) = \begin{pmatrix} [X_i, X_j](\gamma) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and all other Lie bracket in and between elements of $\mathcal{J} = \{ \operatorname{ad}_{F_0}^k F_i \mid k \geq 0, \ i = 1, \dots, m \}$ is zero since \mathbb{G} is of step 2.

In particular all Lie brackets between elements of \mathcal{J} in which each of the vector fields F_1, \ldots, F_m appears an even number of times is zero.

According to Theorem 6.4, we are left to prove that \mathcal{J} is Lie bracket generating. This is clearly true, since

$$Span\{F_i(\gamma, w), [F_0, F_i](\gamma, w), [[F_0, F_i], [F_0, F_j]](\gamma, w) \mid i, j = 1, \dots, m\}$$

is equal to $T_{(\gamma,w)}(\mathbb{G}\times\mathbb{R}^m)$ for every $(\gamma,w)\in\mathbb{G}\times\mathbb{R}^m$.

We conclude the paper by showing how to construct pliable Carnot groups of arbitrarily large step.

Proposition 6.6. For every $s \ge 1$ there exists a pliable Carnot group of step s.

Proof. Fix $s \geq 1$ and consider the free nilpotent stratified Lie algebra \mathcal{A} of step s generated by s elements Z_1, \ldots, Z_s .

For every i = 1, ..., s, denote by I_i the ideal of \mathcal{A} generated by Z_i and by J_i the ideal $[I_i, I_i]$. Then $J = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s J_i$ is also an ideal of \mathcal{A} .

Then the factor algebra $\mathfrak{G} = \mathcal{A}/J$ is nilpotent and inherits the stratification of \mathcal{A} . Denote by \mathbb{G} the Carnot group generated by \mathfrak{G} . Let X_1, \ldots, X_s be the elements of \mathfrak{G}_H obtained by projecting Z_1, \ldots, Z_s . By construction, every bracket of X_1, \ldots, X_s in \mathfrak{G} in which at least one of the X_i 's appears more than once is zero. Moreover, \mathfrak{G} has step s, since $[X_1, [X_2, [\cdots, X_s], \cdots]$ is different from zero.

Let us now apply Theorem 6.4 in order to prove that for every $X \in \mathfrak{G}_H$ the endpoint map $\mathcal{F}_u : L^{\infty}([0,1],\mathbb{R}^s) \to \mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{R}^s$ is open at zero, where $u \in \mathbb{R}^s$ is such that $X = \sum_{i=1}^s u_i X_i$. Following the same computations as in the proof of Theorem 6.5,

$$\operatorname{ad}_{F_0}^{k+1} F_i(\gamma, u) = \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{ad}_X^k X_i(\gamma) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad k \ge 0, \ i = 1, \dots, s.$$

In particular the family $\mathcal{J} = \{ \operatorname{ad}_{F_0}^k F_i \mid k \geq 0, \ i = 1, \dots, s \}$ is Lie bracket generating.

Moreover, every Lie bracket of elements of $\widehat{\mathcal{J}} = \{ \operatorname{ad}_{F_0}^{k+1} F_i \mid k \geq 0, \ i = 1, \dots, s \}$ in which at least one of the elements F_1, \dots, F_s appears more than once is zero.

Consider now a Lie bracket W between $h \geq 2$ elements of \mathcal{J} . Let k_1, \ldots, k_s be the number of times in which each of the elements F_1, \ldots, F_s appears in W. Let us prove by induction on h that W is the linear combination of brackets between elements of $\widehat{\mathcal{J}}$ in which each F_i appears k_i times, $i = 1, \ldots, s$. Consider the case h = 2. Any bracket of the type $[\operatorname{ad}_{F_0}^k F_i, F_j]$, $k \geq 0, i, j = 1, \ldots, s$, is the linear combination of brackets between elements of $\widehat{\mathcal{J}}$ in which F_i and F_j appear once, as it can easily be proved by induction on k, thanks to the Jacobi identity. The induction step on h also follows directly from the Jacobi identity.

We can therefore conclude that every Lie bracket of elements of \mathcal{J} in which at least one of the elements F_1, \ldots, F_s appears more than once is zero. This implies in particular that the hypotheses of Theorem 6.4 are satisfied, concluding the proof that \mathbb{G} is pliable.

Acknowledgment. We warmly thank Frédéric Chapoton and Gwénaël Massuyeau for the suggestions leading us to Proposition 6.6. We are also grateful to Artem Kozhevnikov, Dario Prandi, Luca Rizzi and Andrei Agrachev for many stimulating discussions. This work has been initiated during the IHP trimester "Geometry, analysis and dynamics on sub-Riemannian manifolds" and we wish to thank the Institut Henri Poincaré and the Fondation Sciences Mathématiques de Paris for the welcoming working conditions.

The second author has been supported by the European Research Council, ERC StG 2009 "GeCoMethods", contract number 239748, by the Grant ANR-15-CE40-0018 of the ANR and by the FMJH Program Gaspard Monge in optimization and operation research.

References

- [1] A. A. Agrachev and Y. L. Sachkov. Control theory from the geometric viewpoint, volume 87 of Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. Control Theory and Optimization, II
- [2] A. A. Agrachev and A. V. Sarychev. Abnormal sub-Riemannian geodesics: Morse index and rigidity. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 13(6):635–690, 1996.
- [3] Z. M. Balogh and K. S. Fässler. Rectifiability and Lipschitz extensions into the Heisenberg group. *Math.* Z., 263(3):673–683, 2009.
- [4] Z. M. Balogh, U. Lang, and P. Pansu. Lipschitz extensions of maps between Heisenberg groups. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 66(4):1653–1665, 2016.
- [5] D. Barilari, U. Boscain, and M. Sigalotti, editors. Dynamics, geometry and analysis on sub-Riemannian manifolds, Volumes I-II. EMS Series of Lectures in Mathematics. European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2016.
- [6] R. M. Bianchini and G. Stefani. Graded approximations and controllability along a trajectory. SIAM J. Control Optim., 28(4):903–924, 1990.
- [7] A. Bonfiglioli, E. Lanconelli, and F. Uguzzoni. Stratified Lie groups and potential theory for their sub-Laplacians. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, Berlin, 2007.
- [8] Y. Brudnyi and P. Shvartsman. Generalizations of Whitney's extension theorem. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, 1994(3):129–139, 1994.
- [9] R. L. Bryant and L. Hsu. Rigidity of integral curves of rank 2 distributions. *Invent. Math.*, 114(2):435–461, 1993.
- [10] L. C. Evans and R. F. Gariepy. Measure theory and fine properties of functions. Textbooks in Mathematics. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, revised edition, 2015.
- [11] C. L. Fefferman. A sharp form of Whitney's extension theorem. Ann. of Math. (2), 161(1):509-577, 2005.
- [12] C. L. Fefferman, A. Israel, and G. K. Luli. Sobolev extension by linear operators. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 27(1):69–145, 2014.
- [13] G. B. Folland and E. M. Stein. *Hardy spaces on homogeneous groups*, volume 28 of *Mathematical Notes*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1982.
- [14] B. Franchi, R. Serapioni, and F. Serra Cassano. Rectifiability and perimeter in the Heisenberg group. *Math. Ann.*, 321(3):479–531, 2001.
- [15] B. Franchi, R. Serapioni, and F. Serra Cassano. On the structure of finite perimeter sets in step 2 Carnot groups. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 13(3):421–466, 2003.
- [16] C. Golé and R. Karidi. A note on Carnot geodesics in nilpotent Lie groups. J. Dynam. Control Systems, 1(4):535–549, 1995.
- [17] H. Hermes. Control systems which generate decomposable Lie algebras. *J. Differential Equations*, 44(2):166–187, 1982. Special issue dedicated to J. P. LaSalle.
- [18] T. Huang and X. Yang. Extremals in some classes of Carnot groups. Sci. China Math., 55(3):633–646, 2012.
- [19] B. Kirchheim and F. Serra Cassano. Rectifiability and parameterization of intrinsic regular surfaces in the Heisenberg group. Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5), 3(4):871–896, 2004.
- [20] A. Kozhevnikov. Metric properties of level sets of differentiable maps on Carnot groups. Doctoral thesis, Université Paris Sud Paris XI, May 2015.

- [21] E. Le Donne, A. Ottazzi, and B. Warhurst. Ultrarigid tangents of sub-Riemannian nilpotent groups. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 64(6):2265–2282, 2014.
- [22] E. Le Donne and G. Speight. Lusin approximation for horizontal curves in step 2 Carnot groups. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, 55(5):111, 2016.
- [23] W. Liu and H. J. Sussman. Shortest paths for sub-Riemannian metrics on rank-two distributions. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 118(564):x+104, 1995.
- [24] N. Lusin. Sur les propriétés des fonctions mesurables. C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris, 154:1688–1690, 1912.
- [25] V. Magnani. Towards differential calculus in stratified groups. J. Aust. Math. Soc., 95(1):76–128, 2013.
- [26] B. Malgrange. Ideals of differentiable functions. Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Studies in Mathematics, No. 3. Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay; Oxford University Press, London, 1967.
- [27] R. Montgomery. A survey of singular curves in sub-Riemannian geometry. J. Dynam. Control Systems, 1(1):49–90, 1995.
- [28] S. Rigot and S. Wenger. Lipschitz non-extension theorems into jet space Carnot groups. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (18):3633–3648, 2010.
- [29] F. Serra Cassano. Some topics of geometric measure theory in Carnot groups. In *Dynamics, geometry and analysis on sub-Riemannian manifolds, Volume I*, EMS Series of Lectures in Mathematics. European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2016.
- [30] G. Speight. Lusin approximation and horizontal curves in Carnot groups. To appear in Revista Matematica Iberoamericana.
- [31] H. J. Sussmann. Some properties of vector field systems that are not altered by small perturbations. *J. Differential Equations*, 20(2):292–315, 1976.
- [32] H. J. Sussmann. A general theorem on local controllability. SIAM J. Control Optim., 25(1):158–194, 1987.
- [33] E. Trélat. *Contrôle optimal*. Mathématiques Concrètes. [Concrete Mathematics]. Vuibert, Paris, 2005. Théorie & applications. [Theory and applications].
- [34] S. K. Vodop'yanov and I. M. Pupyshev. Whitney-type theorems on the extension of functions on Carnot groups. Sibirsk. Mat. Zh., 47(4):731–752, 2006.
- [35] S. K. Vodop'yanov and I. M. Pupyshev. Whitney-type theorems on the extension of functions on the Carnot group. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk*, 406(5):586–590, 2006.
- [36] S. Wenger and R. Young. Lipschitz extensions into jet space Carnot groups. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 17(6):1137–1149, 2010.
- [37] H. Whitney. Analytic extensions of differentiable functions defined in closed sets. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 36(1):63–89, 1934.
- [38] H. Whitney. Differentiable functions defined in closed sets. I. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 36(2):369–387, 1934.
- [39] S. Zimmerman. The Whitney extension theorem for C^1 , horizontal curves in \mathbb{H}^n . J. Geom. Anal., to appear.

Institut de Recherche Mathématique Avancée, UMR 7501, Université de Strasbourg et CNRS, 7 rue René Descartes, $67\,000$ Strasbourg, France

E-mail address: nicolas.juillet@math.unistra.fr

INRIA, TEAM GECO & CMAP, ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE, CNRS, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS-SACLAY, PALAISEAU, FRANCE

E-mail address: mario.sigalotti@inria.fr