

Invited Perspective: How Relevant Are Mode-of-Action Considerations for the Assessment and Prediction of Mixture Effects?

Andreas Kortenkamp¹

¹Centre for Pollution Research and Policy, College of Health, Medicine and Life Sciences, Department of Life Sciences, Brunel University London, Uxbridge, UK

<https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP11051>

Refers to <https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP9888>

Distinguishing chemical mixtures in terms of the similarity vs. dissimilarity of their components' mechanisms or modes of action (MOAs) is perceived as a key issue in mixture risk assessment. However, the well-designed study by Van der Ven et al.¹ of a mixture of chemicals producing craniofacial malformations in zebra-fish embryos calls the relevance of such distinctions into question.

The theoretical importance of separating similar and dissimilar actions derives from the assumptions that underpin dose addition and independent action, the two concepts typically applied to predicting effects of a mixture. Dose addition, a model developed by Loewe and Muischneck², views chemicals that produce the same effects (i.e., similar action) as dilutions of each other. Independent action was conceived by Bliss³ to address irreversible events, such as mortality, where probabilistic principles apply. With simultaneous exposures to multiple chemicals, these principles are only valid when the constituent chemicals interact with different molecular targets by different mechanisms (i.e., dissimilar action).

These distinctions may seem overly theoretical, but they have important implications when it comes to deciding which chemicals to include in a mixture risk assessment. Under the U.S. Food Quality Protection Act of 1996⁴, cumulative risk assessment considers simultaneous exposures to multiple pesticides that act through a common mechanism of toxicity. Possible mixture risks from pesticides with dissimilar mechanisms are not considered. As a result, pesticide mixture risk assessment in the United States uses tightly defined “common mechanism groups.” Because of the strict criteria for similarity, these groupings are rather small (4–5 substances).

Separating similar from dissimilar action is not straightforward. First, the mechanistic information needed for such decisions is often not available. It is also unclear how the terms “mode of action” or “mechanism” should be applied to build common assessment groups. For example, as shown in rodents, phthalates⁵, as well as 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin (TCDD)⁶ are capable of reducing sperm numbers after exposure during gestation (similar action?), but through different pathways and mechanisms (dissimilar action?). Advocates of grouping compounds by common mechanism based on strict similarity of action would deny the possibility of mixture effects and therefore regard the grouping of phthalates and TCDD in common assessment groups as inappropriate. Yet there is clear evidence that mixture effects between phthalates and TCDD occur in rats.⁵ Is there a way to resolve such difficulties?

Van der Ven et al.¹ assessed the accuracy of dose addition with a mixture of eight chemicals that produce malformations by diverse mechanisms. By carefully distinguishing major MOAs and placing them in pathway networks, the authors identified informative gene markers and tested their expression. In this way, they were able to ascertain that the principles of similar action did not apply to their chosen combination of algicides, antioxidants, plant growth regulators, flavoring agents, and fungicides. Yet, they demonstrated convincingly that the observed combined effects were predicted well by dose addition and even showed that malformations occurred when all components were combined at low doses, around no observed adverse effect levels.

Van der Ven et al.¹ concluded that dose addition does not depend on the MOA of the components in the mixture. Work with chemical mixtures in fish led van Leeuwen⁷ to a comparable insight in 1995. He wrote that “chemicals with different modes of...action can often almost behave according to concentration–addition.” Dose addition has also performed well in approximating the effects of chemicals that disrupt male sexual development by different mechanisms⁸, whereas assuming independent action has often led to underestimations of effects (reviewed by Kortenkamp⁹). Thus, the applicability domain of dose addition is clearly larger than is suggested by ideas about strict similarity of action and narrowly defined assessment groups.

Are dissimilarity of action and independent action therefore theoretically relevant but of limited practical applicability? The available evidence suggests this is the case. With strictly dissimilar mixtures of around 15 chemicals in luminescent bacteria and algae, Backhaus et al.¹⁰ and Faust et al.¹¹ showed the superior performance of independent action. However, further reference cases for independent action are difficult to find. The only example of independent action applying to multicomponent mixtures in higher organisms is in fish exposed to different hormonally active chemicals.¹²

The lack of further reference cases for independent action suggests that the theoretical principles of strict dissimilarity are in reality often confounded by the convergence of multiple effector chains on common downstream pathways that are better described by dose addition. There are biological limits to the number of strictly dissimilar MOAs, and with rising numbers of mixture components, combined effects approaching similar action are increasingly likely.

The work by Van der Ven et al.¹ supports the idea of the default application of dose addition, even to mixtures viewed as acting dissimilarly, as advocated by the European Food Safety Authority.¹³ Regulators need broader criteria for building common assessment groups based on common adverse outcomes, regardless of perceived mechanisms. Application of such principles will help to develop badly needed international harmonized approaches to mixture risk assessment. Debates about similar or dissimilar action, isolated from adverse outcome pathway networks, will likely lead to a dead end.

References

1. Van der Ven LTM, Van Ommeren P, Zwart EP, Gremmer ER, Hodemaekers HM, Heusinkveld HJ, et al. 2022. Dose addition in the induction of craniofacial

Address correspondence to Andreas Kortenkamp, Brunel University London, Department of Life Sciences, Kingston Lane, Uxbridge, Middlesex UB9 3PH, UK. Email: andreas.kortenkamp@brunel.ac.uk

The author declares he has nothing to disclose.

Received 2 February 2022; Revised 1 March 2022; Accepted 2 March 2022; Published 8 April 2022.

Note to readers with disabilities: *EHP* strives to ensure that all journal content is accessible to all readers. However, some figures and Supplemental Material published in *EHP* articles may not conform to 508 standards due to the complexity of the information being presented. If you need assistance accessing journal content, please contact ehpsubmissions@niehs.nih.gov. Our staff will work with you to assess and meet your accessibility needs within 3 working days.

- malformations in zebrafish embryos exposed to a complex mixture of food-relevant chemicals with dissimilar modes of action. *Environ Health Perspect* 130(4):047003, <https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP9888>.
2. Loewe S, Muischnek H. 1926. Über kombinationswirkungen I. Mitteilung: hilfsmittel der fragestellung [in German]. *Naunyn Schmiedebergs Arch Pharmacol Exp Pathol* 114(5–6):313–326, <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01952257>.
 3. Bliss Cl. 1939. The toxicity of poisons applied jointly. *Ann Appl Biol* 26(3):585–615, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7348.1939.tb06990.x>.
 4. U.S Congress. 1996. Food Quality Protection Act of 1996. Pub L No. 104-170 (194th Congress, 3 August 1996). <https://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/PLAW-104publ170/pdf/PLAW-104publ170.pdf> [accessed 18 March 2022].
 5. Rider CV, Furr JR, Wilson VS, Gray LE Jr. 2010. Cumulative effects of in utero administration of mixtures of reproductive toxicants that disrupt common target tissues via diverse mechanisms of toxicity. *Int J Androl* 33(2):443–462, PMID: 20487044, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2605.2009.01049.x>.
 6. Gray LE Jr, Kelce WR, Monosson E, Ostby JS, Birnbaum LS. 1995. Exposure to TCDD during development permanently alters reproductive function in male Long Evans rats and hamsters: reduced ejaculated and epididymal sperm numbers and sex accessory gland weights in offspring with normal androgenic status. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 131(1):108–118, PMID: 7878665, <https://doi.org/10.1006/taap.1995.1052>.
 7. van Leeuwen CJ. 1995. Ecotoxicological effects. In: *Risk Assessment of Chemicals: An Introduction*. van Leeuwen CJ, Hermens JLM, eds. Dordrecht, Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 175–237.
 8. Christiansen S, Scholze M, Dalgaard M, Vinggaard AM, Axelstad M, Kortenkamp A, et al. 2009. Synergistic disruption of external male sex organ development by a mixture of four antiandrogens. *Environ Health Perspect* 117(12):1839–1846, PMID: 20049201, <https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.0900689>.
 9. Kortenkamp A. 2020. Which chemicals should be grouped together for mixture risk assessments of male reproductive disorders? *Mol Cell Endocrinol* 499:110581, PMID: 31525431, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mce.2019.110581>.
 10. Backhaus T, Altenburger R, Boedeker W, Faust M, Scholze M, Grimme LH. 2000. Predictability of the toxicity of a multiple mixture of dissimilarly acting chemicals to *Vibrio fischeri*. *Environ Toxicol Chem* 19(9):2348–2356, <https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.5620190927>.
 11. Faust M, Altenburger R, Backhaus T, Blanck H, Boedeker W, Gramatica P, et al. 2003. Joint algal toxicity of 16 dissimilarly acting chemicals is predictable by the concept of independent action. *Aquat Toxicol* 63(1):43–63, PMID: 12615420, [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0166-445x\(02\)00133-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0166-445x(02)00133-9).
 12. Thrupp TJ, Runnalls TJ, Scholze M, Kugathas S, Kortenkamp A, Sumpter JP, et al. 2018. The consequences of exposure to mixtures of chemicals: something from 'nothing' and 'a lot from a little' when fish are exposed to steroid hormones. *Sci Total Env* 619–620:1482–1492, PMID: 29734624, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.11.081>.
 13. European Food Safety Authority. 2013. Scientific opinion on the relevance of dissimilar mode of action and its appropriate application for cumulative risk assessment of pesticides residues in food. *EFSA J* 11(12):3472–3512, <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2013.3472>.