

W&M ScholarWorks

VIMS Articles

Virginia Institute of Marine Science

2-23-2022

Aquaculture and eelgrass Zostera marina interactions in temperate ecosystems

L. M. Howarth

L. M. Lewis-McCrea

M. L. Kellogg Virginia Institute of Marine Science

E. T. Apostolaki

G. K. Reid

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.wm.edu/vimsarticles

Part of the Marine Biology Commons

Recommended Citation

Howarth, L. M.; Lewis-McCrea, L. M.; Kellogg, M. L.; Apostolaki, E. T.; and Reid, G. K., Aquaculture and eelgrass Zostera marina interactions in temperate ecosystems (2022). *Aquaculture Environment Interactions*, 14(15), 34. doi: 10.3354/aei00426

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Virginia Institute of Marine Science at W&M ScholarWorks. It has been accepted for inclusion in VIMS Articles by an authorized administrator of W&M ScholarWorks. For more information, please contact scholarworks@wm.edu.

Vol. 14: 15–34, 2022 https://doi.org/10.3354/aei00426





REVIEW

Aquaculture and eelgrass *Zostera marina* interactions in temperate ecosystems

L. M. Howarth^{1,*}, L. M. Lewis-McCrea¹, L. M. Kellogg², E. T. Apostolaki³, G. K. Reid¹

¹Centre for Marine Applied Research, Dartmouth, Nova Scotia B2Y 4T5, Canada ²Virginia Institute of Marine Science, Biological Sciences, Gloucester Point, Virginia 23062-1346, USA ³Institute of Oceanography, Hellenic Centre for Marine Research PO Box 2214, 71003 Heraklion, Crete, Greece

ABSTRACT: This paper reviews the impacts of shellfish and finfish aquaculture on eelgrass Zostera marina, the most widely distributed seagrass species in the northern hemisphere. Shellfish aquaculture can have positive, neutral, and negative effects on eelgrass. Positive interactions can be generated by the filtering activity of cultured bivalves, which may improve water quality and reduce epiphyte loads, and shellfish biodeposits may provide more nutrients to eelgrass and other vegetation. However, negative responses are more commonly reported and can be caused by shading and sedimentation. These negative effects tend to occur directly under and immediately surrounding shellfish farms and rapidly diminish with increasing distance. In contrast to shellfish aquaculture, only one field study has investigated the effects of finfish aquaculture on eelgrass in a temperate setting, and the results were inconclusive. However, many studies have investigated the effects of Mediterranean finfish farms on 2 other species of seagrass (Posidonia oceanica and Cymodocea nodosa). These studies reported clear negative interactions, which have been linked to increased nutrient concentrations, sulphides, sedimentation, epiphyte loads, and grazing pressure. It is unknown if these studies are relevant for finfish aquaculture in temperate regions due to differences in environmental conditions, and because the studies focused on different species of seagrass. Thus, further study in a temperate setting is warranted. We conclude by highlighting key research gaps that could help regulators establish unambiguous operational and siting quidelines that minimize the potential for negative interactions between aquaculture and eelgrass.

 $KEY \ WORDS: \ Seagrass \cdot Shellfish \cdot Finfish \cdot Sedimentation \cdot Shading \cdot Nitrogen \cdot Eutrophication$

1. INTRODUCTION

Global aquaculture production has doubled approximately every decade since 1950 (FAO 2020). Consequently, aquaculture now provides over half the fish and shellfish consumed around the world (FAO 2021). Despite growing interest in developing aquaculture further offshore (Holmer 2010, Buck & Langan 2017, Froehlich et al. 2017), most marine

*Corresponding author: leigh.howarth@dal.ca

and estuarine aquaculture occurs in coastal waters near to shore (Campbell & Pauly 2013, Gentry et al. 2017). Thus, as aquaculture continues to expand, there is increasing potential for the industry to overlap and interact with seagrass and other coastal habitats (reviewed by Larkum et al. 2006).

Research on aquaculture–environment interactions is highly multi-disciplinary and of substantial

Publisher: Inter-Research · www.int-res.com

[©] The authors 2022. Open Access under Creative Commons by Attribution Licence. Use, distribution and reproduction are unrestricted. Authors and original publication must be credited.

interest to scientists, aquaculturists, regulators, environmentalists, fishermen, and a wide range of other stakeholders. To help inform such a diverse audience, this paper reviews the potential effects of marine aquaculture on eelgrass *Zostera marina*, the most widely distributed seagrass species in the northern hemisphere (Green & Short 2003, den Hartog & Kuo 2006). We then highlight key research gaps and priorities for future research.

1.1. Eelgrass distribution

Eelgrass is the most common seagrass species in the USA and Canada (Moore & Short 2006). On the west coast, eelgrass ranges from the Gulf of California to Alaska, and on the east coast, from North Carolina to the Arctic coast of northern Quebec (Fig. 1). In Europe, eelgrass occurs throughout the Northeast Atlantic, the Baltic Sea, and much of the Mediterranean Sea (Borum & Greve 2004, Schubert et al. 2015). It is also present in some parts of East Asia, including Korea, Japan, northern China, and western Russia (Shin & Choi 1998).

1.2. Ecological significance of eelgrass

Seagrasses are often described as 'ecosystem engineers' for their ability to modify their physical,

chemical, and biological environment (Jones et al. 1997, Bos et al. 2007). Seagrass meadows slow the movement of water currents and waves, protecting shorelines from erosion and promoting the settlement of suspended sediments (Ondiviela et al. 2014). Their roots and rhizomes can also trap sediments, preventing their resuspension, which can improve water clarity and allow for more light to penetrate to deeper depths (Folkard 2005, Koch et al. 2006, Carr et al. 2010). In addition to trapping sediments, seagrass beds can also trap detritus and other organic matter (reviewed by Bedulli et al. 2020), which can boost sediment microbial activity (Gacia & Duarte 2001, Marbà et al. 2006a, Tarquinio et al. 2019) and influence the cycling of carbon, nitrogen, sulphur, phosphorus, and oxygen (Marbà et al. 2006a, Mateo et al. 2006, Romero et al. 2006, Liu et al. 2018).

In addition to their positive influence on sediment stability, organic content, and water clarity, eelgrass meadows are often associated with diverse communities of benthic invertebrates and macroalgae (Orth 1973, 1977, Joseph et al. 2012, Schmidt et al. 2012, Wong 2018, Wong & Kay 2019). These diverse communities can provide greater feeding opportunities for a wide range of other species, partially explaining why organisms inhabiting eelgrass beds often exhibit faster rates of growth (Tupper & Boutilier 1995, 1997, Heck et al. 2003, Renkawitz et al. 2011). Additionally, as eelgrass canopies can hinder the visual



Fig. 1. Global distribution of eelgrass (green shading). Data obtained from IUCN Red List of Threatened Species (2021)

and swimming capabilities of predators, they can provide protection from predation (e.g. Joseph et al. 2006, 2012, Heck et al. 2008, Gorman et al. 2009, Renkawitz et al. 2011, Schein et al. 2012, Peters et al. 2015, Park & Kwak 2018) and serve as nursery habitat for a diversity of fish, crustaceans, and molluscs (Joseph et al. 2006, 2012, Heck et al. 2008, Renkawitz et al. 2011, Schein et al. 2012, Peters et al. 2015, Park & Kwak 2018). Many of these species are of commercial importance (Heck et al. 2003, Laurel et al. 2003, Gillanders 2006, Fonseca & Uhrin 2009, Bertelli & Unsworth 2014, 2018, McCain et al. 2016) and often occur in higher densities within eelgrass beds compared to other vegetated and non-vegetated habitats (e.g. Hosack et al. 2006, Gorman et al. 2009, Kim et al. 2009, Park et al. 2020).

Eelgrass beds can also benefit terrestrial species, as their associated communities form an important dietary component for many migratory bird species around the world (Tubbs & Tubbs 1983, Nienhuis & Groenendijk 1986, Ganter 2000, Seymour et al. 2002, Balsby et al. 2017). Lastly, eelgrass detritus can wash up on the shore in large quantities, providing food and habitat to terrestrial invertebrates, birds, and mammals (reviewed by Mateo et al. 2006, Heck et al. 2008).

1.3. Protection and recognition in policy and legislation

In recognition of their ecological significance, eelgrass beds are protected by, or referenced within, many national and international policies, legislation, and regulations. In the USA, eelgrass is protected under the federal Clean Water Act (EPA 2021) and a range of federal and state-wide legislation including the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (reviewed by Neckles et al. 2005, Sherman & DeBruyckere 2018). In Canada, eelgrass is protected by the federal Fisheries Act (Revised Statutes of Canada 1985) through a prohibition on the harmful alteration, disruption, or destruction of fish habitat. In Europe, eelgrass is of conservation importance under the Convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment of the North-East Atlantic (OSPAR Convention; www.ospar.org) and is a protected 'Annex I Habitat' under the European Union (EU) Habitats Directive (Council Directive 92/43/ EEC). On a national level, eelgrass is listed as a 'priority marine feature' in Scotland (NatureScot 2020) and a 'priority habitat' under the UK Biodiversity Action Plan (JNCC 2007). In South Korea, eelgrass is

listed as a 'marine organism under protection' (reviewed by Lee et al. 2016).

1.4. Factors influencing eelgrass declines

Like all species of seagrass (Waycott et al. 2009, Unsworth et al. 2019), eelgrass has declined throughout much of its range over the last century for a variety of reasons (de Jonge et al. 1996, Tamaki et al. 2002, Orth et al. 2010, Costello & Kenworthy 2011, Jorgensen & Bekkby 2013, Boström et al. 2014, Eriander et al. 2016, Krause-Jensen et al. 2021). Eelgrass survival and habitat suitability are influenced by a wide range of chemical, biological, and physical parameters (Table 1). Thus, a multitude of interacting factors have been implicated in the global decline of eelgrass, including sedimentation, shading, eutrophication, disease, species invasions, and disturbance from boating and fishing activity (reviewed by Moore & Short 2006, Howarth et al. 2021). Of these impacts, those most likely to be exacerbated by aquaculture (i.e. shading, sedimentation, and eutrophication) are reviewed below.

1.4.1. Shading and sedimentation

Eelgrass, like all plants, requires light to photosynthesize sugars and other carbohydrates necessary for respiration and growth. Consequently, light availability is one of the most important factors controlling seagrass growth and survival (Dennison & Alberte 1985, Duarte et al. 2006, Thom et al. 2008, Schmidt et al. 2012). Many sources of natural and human disturbance can reduce light availability, including shading from coastal infrastructure, eutrophication (see Section 1.4.2), and sedimentation/sediment resuspension from storms, river discharge, and coastal construction (Unsworth et al. 2017, Glasby & West 2018).

Generally, lower light availability can reduce eelgrass biomass, growth, leaf size, shoot density, photosynthesis, and survival. Experimental field manipulations by Burke et al. (1996) showed that shading eelgrass for 3 wk led to reductions of 40-51% in tissue sugar concentration, 34% in leaf biomass, 27%in shoot density, and 23% in root and rhizome biomass. Similar field manipulations were conducted by Wong et al. (2020) and yielded comparable results. Such negative responses tend to get stronger with longer durations of light reduction (Ralph et al. 2006). For example, a laboratory study conducted by Ber-

Parameter	Thresholds	Source
Ammonium (NH ₄ ⁺)	Aquatic toxicity begins at 25 μM and mortality occurs at 125 μM	van Katwijk et al. (1997)
Current speeds	Can tolerate a range of 16–180 cm s ⁻¹	Fisheries and Oceans Canada (2009)
Dissolved oxygen (O ₂)	Minimum dissolved concentration of $2.02 \text{ mg O}_2 \text{ l}^{-1}$ Fisheries and Oceans Cana	
Hydrogen sulphide (H_2S)	Sediment toxicity begins at 100 μM and mortality occurs at 680 μM	Fisheries and Oceans Canada (2009), Dooley et al. (2013)
Light	Minimum light requirement: 11–34 $\%$ surface irradiance (SI) or 1.2–12.6 mol photons $m^{-2}~d^{-1}$	van Katwijk et al. (1997), Hauxwell et al. (2003), Eriander (2017), Bertelli & Unsworth (2018)
Nitrate (NO ₃ ⁻)	Aquatic toxicity effects begin at 35 μM and mortality occurs at ~250 μM	Burkholder et al. (1992)
Salinity	Optimal range: 20–26 ppt Tolerable range: 5–35 ppt	Fisheries and Oceans Canada (2009)
Sediment composition	Reported in sediments ranging in particle size, from mud to cobbles	Fisheries and Oceans Canada (2009)
Redox potential of sediment	Tolerable range for seagrasses in general: –175 to +300 mV	Marbà et al. (2006a)
Water temperature	Optimal range: 10–25°C Tolerable range: 0–35°C	Fisheries and Oceans Canada (2009)
Water depth	Euphotic zone. Maximum depth in Canada and USA is approximately 12 m, but often occurs between 1 and 7 m; can occur as deep as 15–30 m in very clear waters (e.g. in the Mediterranean Sea)	Borum & Greve (2004), Moore & Short (2006), Dahl et al. (2016), Murphy et al. (2021)

Table 1. Overview of the key environmental parameters that can affect eelgrass distribution

telli & Unsworth (2018) demonstrated that reducing light levels below 20 µmol photons $m^{-2} s^{-1}$ resulted in significant reductions in eelgrass growth and photosynthetic performance after 7 d, a 41 % reduction in leaf size after 29 d, and shoot mortality within 4–6 wk. Burial under sediments can also affect seagrass by reducing the area of the plant available for photosynthesis. A field manipulation study by Mills & Fonseca (2003) showed that burying eelgrass to 25 % of its height for 24–28 d resulted in a 75 % mortality rate, while burying it to 50–75 % of its height increased mortality to 100%, leading the authors to conclude that eelgrass has a low tolerance for burial under sediments.

1.4.2. Eutrophication and nitrogen toxicity

Effluents from point sources (e.g. aquaculture, pulp mills, wastewater treatment facilities) and nonpoint/diffuse sources (e.g. agriculture, urban run-off) can elevate nutrient loads in coastal waters and lead to eutrophication (Nixon 1995, Smith 2003, Howarth et al. 2019). The term 'eutrophication' describes a series of interlinked processes whereby elevated nutrient loads lead to an increase in plankton and aquatic plants, resulting in reductions in oxygen and light availability. Thus, in addition to shading, burial, and sedimentation (as described earlier), eutrophication can also reduce the amount of light available to eelgrass by stimulating the growth of: (1) phytoplankton, which can reduce water clarity; (2) benthic macroalgae, which can compete with eelgrass for light and space; and (3) epiphytic algae and other organisms growing on the blades of eelgrass that obstruct light (Williams & Ruckelshaus 1993, Short et al. 1995, Hauxwell et al. 2001, 2003, McGlathery 2001, Fertig et al. 2013). For example, a survey of 12 estuaries in eastern Canada indicated that those with elevated nutrient levels supported almost double the biomass of phytoplankton, 40 times more epiphytic algae, and 670 times more opportunistic green macroalgae (Schmidt et al. 2012). Due to lower light availability, the eelgrass growing in these nutrientenriched estuaries also exhibited significantly lower shoot density and above- and belowground biomass (Schmidt et al. 2017).

Higher biomasses of phytoplankton, epiphytic algae, and macroalgae can also result in larger quantities of detritus and organic matter settling on the seafloor. In oxygenated environments, bacteria decompose this organic matter through aerobic respiration. However, excessive quantities of organic matter can cause bacteria to partially (hypoxia) or fully (anoxia) deplete oxygen, prompting bacteria to switch to anaerobic respiration, which can lead to the build-up of hydrogen sulphide (H₂S) and other sulphide compounds (Schmidt et al. 2012, Benson et al. 2013). Eelgrass is relatively tolerant to anoxia compared to other seagrass species, but low oxygen levels can reduce its metabolism and growth (Pregnall et al. 1984, Smith et al. 1988). Sulphides are potentially a greater concern, as they are toxic to seagrass and significantly affect eelgrass photosynthesis, metabolism, leaf size, and shoot height, which can lead to mortality (Carlson et al. 1994, Goodman et al. 1995, Terrados et al. 1999, Pedersen et al. 2004). For example, Dooley et al. (2013) observed that eelgrass seedlings were consistently killed when exposed to water H₂S concentrations above 680 µM. The degree to which sulphides impact eelgrass is strongly linked to oxygen concentrations both within the water column and sediment, as eelgrass can resist sulphides from entering their tissues provided their roots and rhizomes are supplied with sufficient levels of oxygen (Pedersen et al. 2004).

Lastly, effluents highly enriched in nitrate (NO₃⁻) and ammonium (NH_4^+) can lead to nitrogen toxicity in seagrass. Burkholder et al. (1992) maintained eelgrass in elevated water NO3- concentrations of approximately 200-300 µM for 8 wk. This treatment caused eelgrass shoots to crumble, eventually resulting in their mortality (reviewed by Moore & Wetzel 2000). Likewise, van Katwijk et al. (1997) observed that water NH_4^+ concentrations of 25 μ M adversely affected eelgrass, and that concentrations of 125 μM led to mortality within 2-5 wk. Interestingly, seagrasses are more tolerant to high nitrogen concentrations within the sediment than in the water column. For instance, Peralta et al. (2003) demonstrated that eelgrass could tolerate sediment NH4⁺ concentrations up to 30 mM, which is 1200 times higher than what it can tolerate in the water (van Katwijk et al. 1997). Nitrogen toxicity also depends on sediment type, as eelgrass has been shown to be less sensitive to NH₄⁺ when growing in muddy sediments compared to sand (van Katwijk et al. 1997).

Overall, eutrophication is considered one of the most important drivers underlying the loss of seagrasses worldwide (Kenworthy et al. 2006, Walker et al. 2006). However, the effects of eutrophication on eelgrass are highly complex, as multiple mechanisms (e.g. light limitation, oxygen depletion, and nitrogen toxicity) can interact. These mechanisms can also be influenced by a range of other factors, including sediment composition, light availability, temperature, oxygen concentration, and sediment redox potential (McGlathery 2001, Walker et al. 2006).

1.4.3. Multiple stressors

Eelgrass beds exist across a wide spectrum of human stressors including nutrient enrichment, species invasions, fishing, aquaculture, and coastal construction (e.g. Murphy et al. 2019). A growing number of studies have shown that multiple stressors can interact and that the effects of one can cause seagrass to become more sensitive to another (Blake & Duffy 2012, Brown et al. 2014, Stockbridge et al. 2020, Vieira et al. 2020, Krumhansl et al. 2021). For example, Krumhansl et al. (2021) found evidence that eelgrass beds in warmer waters were less tolerant of low light conditions. Conversely, some stressors have been shown to have no interactive effects, while others can reduce the sensitivity of seagrass to other stressors (Blake & Duffy 2010, York et al. 2013, Mvungi & Pillay 2019). For example, York et al. (2013) observed clear effects of light irradiance and temperature on the growth and health of Zostera muelleri in a laboratory setting, yet found no evidence of interactions between the two. Consequently, it can be very difficult to isolate or predict the effects of a single stressor on seagrass populations in a field-based setting.

2. SHELLFISH AQUACULTURE AND EELGRASS INTERACTIONS

2.1. Gear and methods

Shellfish aquaculture is an incredibly diverse industry encompassing a wide variety of species and culture/harvest methods. The exact methods used by growers usually depend on the species being cultured, the size of the operation, the amount of financial and staff resources available to the business, and local environmental conditions.

2.1.1. Intertidal on-bottom culture

Intertidal aquaculture has a long tradition in many countries and tends to focus primarily on bivalves (e.g. clams, scallops, and oysters) and other shellfish species (e.g. abalone). Due to their intertidal location, cultured organisms are usually submerged and then exposed to the air with each tidal cycle. 'On-bottom culture' involves directly seeding sediments with juvenile shellfish (Fig. 2) which can be protected from predators by using nets, cages, or pipes (Dumbauld et al. 2009, McDonald et al. 2015, Hagan & Wilkerson 2018). Once the shellfish reach a marketable size, harvesting can take place manually (e.g. by hand or with hand tools like rakes and hacks) or mechanically (e.g. suction dredging, dragging, and sediment liquefaction; Ferriss et al. 2019).

2.1.2. Intertidal off-bottom culture

'Off-bottom culture' grows shellfish just above the sediment or higher up in the water column (reviewed by Lu 2015). For the purposes of this review, we distinguish between off-bottom methods located within the intertidal zone, which we categorize as 'intertidal off-bottom culture', and methods which deploy gear at greater depths, which we categorize as 'subtidal off-bottom culture'. Intertidal longlines are an example of intertidal off-bottom culture. These grow shellfish directly on horizontal lines suspended from posts anchored into the sediment, or within hanging bags or hanging baskets which can be equipped with floats to rotate shellfish during each tidal cycle (e.g. Bulmer et al. 2012). In contrast, rack-and-bag culture grows shellfish in bags, cages, or baskets which are secured on top of steel rails running horizontally above the sediment. Alternatively, stake culture grows shellfish directly attached to vertical posts or stakes anchored into the sediment (McKindsey et al. 2011). Lastly, tray culture grows shellfish in trays which rest directly on top of the sediment and may even be stacked on top of one another to conserve space.

2.1.3. Subtidal off-bottom culture

Subtidal off-bottom culture primarily consists of suspended gear (Fig. 3). However, all of the intertidal off-bottom gears described above can be deployed in deeper, subtidal waters. Suspended longline culture involves suspending lines horizontally in the water via a series of floatation buoys (Scarratt 2000, Clements & Comeau 2019). Shellfish are then hung vertically from the longlines inside bags, trays, nets, sleeves, or socks, or the shellfish may even be attached directly to vertical lines (e.g. scallop ear hanging) (reviewed by Grant et al. 2003). Alternatively, 'suspended bag' or 'suspended cage' cultures grow shellfish inside mesh bags or cages floating at, or just below, the surface of the water (reviewed by Howarth et al. 2021). Many suspended systems can be sunk to deeper depths, or onto the seafloor, to help avoid damage from storms and sea ice. Other forms of suspended shellfish culture include raft culture, where shellfish are hung from floating rafts (Williamson et al. 2015).

2.2. Effects of shellfish aquaculture on water and sediment biochemistry

Shellfish aquaculture impacts water quality and sediment biogeochemistry in a variety of ways. Mussels, oysters, scallops, clams, and other bivalves feed by pumping in water and filtering out food particles comprised of bacteria, phyto- and zooplankton, detritus, and other organic matter (Newell 2004). After capture, particles are either sorted, digested, and released as faeces, or rejected and ejected as undigested 'pseudofaeces'. Both faeces and pseudofaeces sink toward the seafloor following their release and are collectively referred to as 'biodeposits' (Shumway et al. 1985, Beninger et al. 1999). As biodeposits transfer nutrients from the water column to the seabed, they can increase the nutrient and organic content of sediments underlying shellfish farms (Crawford et al. 2003, Dumbauld et al. 2009). This can lead to enhanced bacterial activity and, in extreme cases, oxygen depletion and an increase in sulphides (Nizzoli et al. 2006, Richard et al. 2007, Hargrave et al. 2008, Vinther & Holmer 2008). Conversely, by removing organic particles from the water column, high bivalve densities can reduce turbidity, increasing the amount of light reaching the seafloor (Newell & Koch 2004, Ferreira & Bricker 2019, Petersen et al. 2019). Bivalves also excrete nitrogenous wastes (mostly as NH_4^+) directly into the water column that can influence coastal nitrogen cycling (Pietros & Rice 2003, Cranford et al. 2007, Ferreira & Bricker 2019). All of these effects have the potential to interact with eelgrass, as reviewed in the sections below.

2.3. Effects of shellfish aquaculture on eelgrass

2.3.1. Positive interactions

Several authors have suggested that shellfish aquaculture may have positive effects on seagrass. This is because the filter-feeding activity of cultured



Fig. 2. Examples of intertidal shellfish aquaculture. (A) On-bottom clam farm seeded with spat (photo: by Pangea Shellfish Company). (B,C) On-bottom geoduck *Panopea generosa* farm and subsequent harvesting using a high-pressured water jet powered by a support vessel (photos: Jeff Cornwell). (D) Hanging-bag oyster farm (photo: Penn Cove Shellfish). (E) Rack-and-bag oyster farm (photo: Pangea Shellfish Company). (F) Tray culture oyster farm (photo: Pangea Shellfish Company)



Fig. 3. Examples of sub-tidal off-bottom culture. (A) Suspended longline mussel farm (photo: Aaron Ramsay). (B) Suspended longline scallop farm (photo: Dana Morse). (C) Suspended oyster cage farm (photo: Oyster Gro). (D) Suspended raft oyster farm (photo: British Columbia Shellfish Growers Association)

bivalves can reduce turbidity, providing more light to seagrasses (Newell & Koch 2004, Ferreira & Bricker 2019, Petersen et al. 2019) and other submerged aquatic vegetation (Bulmer et al. 2012, Sandoval-Gil et al. 2016). In addition, their biodeposits can increase concentrations of nitrogen and phosphorus in sediments, which could provide more nutrients for seagrass growth (Peterson & Heck 2001a, Newell & Koch 2004, Dumbauld et al. 2009, Skinner et al. 2014, Qin et al. 2021).

Although several field studies have reported seagrass to exhibit a positive response to naturally occurring bivalves (e.g. Reusch et al. 1994, Peterson & Heck 2001a,b), such positive interactions have only been observed within an aquaculture setting a handful of times (Tallis et al. 2009). For example, a study in Mexico found evidence that suspended oyster farms increased water and sediment NH4+ concentrations, which correlated with an increase in eelgrass growth, shoot size, and photosynthesis (Sandoval-Gil et al. 2016). Similarly, the establishment of a suspended oyster farm in New Zealand correlated with an increase in seagrass (Zostera muelleri) cover beneath and adjacent to the farm (Bulmer et al. 2012). Interestingly, the authors of a study in Japan suggested that oyster farms may reduce eelgrass epiphyte loads by feeding on suspended diatoms that would otherwise settle upon eelgrass (Smith et al. 2018). Likewise, field observations of wild mussel beds in Florida, USA, reported reduced seagrass (Thalassia testudinum) epiphyte loads and increased sediment nutrient concentrations, which correlated with an increase in seagrass growth and leaf size (Peterson & Heck 2001a).

In summary, while there is some evidence that shellfish farming can benefit eelgrass, it is an area that would greatly benefit from further research. Such efforts should focus on assessing the influence of shellfish farming activity on turbidity, sediment nutrient concentrations, and epiphyte abundance, and how these measures correlate with eelgrass dynamics.

2.3.2. Neutral and negative interactions

Although shellfish aquaculture may benefit eelgrass, most studies report neutral or negative effects. Everett et al. (1995) assessed aquatic vegetation cover within a series of experimental oyster stake and rackand-bag oyster culture plots in Oregon, USA. They concluded that after 18 mo of farming activity, stake culture significantly reduced eelgrass cover by up to 75%, while rack-and-bag culture caused the near disappearance of eelgrass under farm infrastructure. The exact drivers underlying these negative interactions were not fully determined, but the authors suggested they may have been due to physical disturbance caused by the initial placement of the gear and/or increased sedimentation (5-10 cm buildup of fine sediments was observed within aquaculture plots). Wisehart et al. (2007) also reported lower densities of eelgrass in intertidal longline oyster plots in Washington State, USA, compared with nearby reference areas. Interestingly, they observed significantly lower eelgrass seed densities and seed production within hand-harvested, intertidal longline plots compared to on-bottom culture sites that were mechanically harvested, and therefore subject to greater degrees of physical disturbance. This paradoxical trend is discussed in further detail at the end of this section. In contrast, model simulations and analyses of existing field data by Dumbauld & McCoy (2015) concluded that oyster aquaculture had little impact on eelgrass cover in a bay in Washington State, and might even enhance eelgrass presence when considered at the wider estuarine landscape scale. Similarly, Ward et al. (2003) analysed >130 ha of satellite imagery taken over a 13 yr period in Baja California, Mexico, and concluded that rack-and-bag oyster culture had no detectable long-term effects on eelgrass coverage.

Several studies in eastern Canada have reported negative interactions between subtidal off-bottom shellfish farms and eelgrass, but these negative effects were highly localized. For example, Skinner et al. (2013) surveyed 15 suspended oyster bag farms in New Brunswick and found that eelgrass biomass (both above- and belowground) was between 5 and 79% lower within shellfish aquaculture farm leases compared to reference areas located 300 m away. These negative effects were largely limited to a 25 m radius from lease boundaries and quickly diminished with increasing distance. They also observed that eelgrass displayed a 38% reduction in photosynthetic efficiency and capacity within farm boundaries, suggesting that shading from aquaculture infrastructure could have been a major factor underlying these negative trends. A subsequent field manipulation study supported this notion, as experimental shading reduced eelgrass shoot density, above- and belowground biomass, canopy height, leaf size, and photosynthetic capacity (Skinner et al. 2014). These negative responses were detected within 67 d after exposure to 26% subsurface irradiance (i.e. less light) and exhibited no substantial recovery 253 d after shading treatments were removed.

Increased spacing of aquaculture gear is frequently reported to reduce the impact of shellfish aquaculture on eelgrass (e.g. Everett et al. 1995, Crawford et al. 2003, Wisehart et al. 2007, Skinner et al. 2014). For example, Rumrill & Poulton (2004) established a series of experimental intertidal oyster longlines in California, USA, with varying distance between gears. Overall, eelgrass cover and density were significantly lower within narrower gear plots (~0.46 and ~0.76 m apart) compared to wider gear plots (~1.5 and ~3 m apart). These experimental oyster plots also caused substantial sedimentation, particularly around the intertidal stakes which supported the longlines.

A recent and thorough meta-analysis by Ferriss et al. (2019) examined 125 studies worldwide and compared shellfish aquaculture and eelgrass interactions between gear types and harvest methods. Generally, shellfish aquaculture had negative effects on eelgrass density and biomass. However, the extent of these impacts was highly variable and depended on the production and harvest methods being used, as well as the geographic region. For instance, their analysis determined that longline culture (no distinction was made between intertidal and sub-tidal gears) negatively impacted eelgrass density, whereas suspended bag methods had a neutral effect. The authors suggested that suspended bag systems may have had less impact on eelgrass than other gear types as they can potentially cause less shading. For example, a study in South Australia observed that suspended bag aquaculture caused 68% less shading than other off-bottom methods (Madigan et al. 2000). This could explain why dense beds of seagrass

(mostly *Heterozostera tasmanica*) have been observed growing directly under suspended oyster bags and baskets in Tasmania (Crawford et al. 2003). Furthermore, in New Brunswick, Canada, oyster growers using suspended bags are required to leave slack in their lines to allow gear to move with the tides (Transport Canada 2007, Skinner et al. 2013). Not only does this reduce physical strain on the gear, but it also prevents any areas of the seabed from becoming permanently shaded, reducing the impacts on eelgrass (reviewed by Howarth et al. 2021).

The meta-analysis by Ferriss et al. (2019) also revealed that, while on-bottom aquaculture generally reduced the density and biomass of eelgrass, it was often associated with an increase in growth and reproduction. This paradoxical trend might be due to reductions in eelgrass density leading to reduced competition for light and space among any remaining eelgrass, potentially enhancing their growth and reproduction (Olesen & Sand-Jensen 1994). In addition, sexual and asexual reproduction in seagrasses is often reported to increase in response to disturbance and is thought to be a mechanism to help encourage recovery processes (reviewed by Cabaço & Santos 2012). Lastly, the meta-analysis indicated that mechanical harvesting methods had the largest initial impact on eelgrass and required the longest time for recovery. Conversely, hand harvesting methods had less impact on eelgrass, presumably because they can be more spatially targeted, resulting in less disruption to eelgrass roots and rhizomes, leading to faster recovery times (Cabaço et al. 2005, Wootton & Keough 2016).

2.3.3. Summary and directions for future research

As reviewed above, there are many ways shellfish aquaculture can interact with eelgrass. There is potential for an interplay of positive factors (e.g. reduced turbidity and provision of nutrients) and negative factors (e.g. shading and increased sedimentation). There is also potential for paradoxical trends such as reductions in eelgrass cover and density yet higher rates of reproduction and growth. Some studies suggest that any negative effects shellfish aquaculture may have on eelgrass tend to be confined to areas under and immediately surrounding shellfish farms, rapidly diminishing with increasing distance. There is also some evidence to suggest that greater distances between gear, and using suspended bags and hand harvesting, may have less impact on eelgrass than other methods. This information could

help regulators establish operational and siting guidelines that minimize the potential for negative interactions between shellfish aquaculture and eelgrass. However, at present, there is not enough evidence to confidently inform such an approach. Therefore, these areas of research would greatly benefit from larger field comparisons and modelling studies to further investigate eelgrass dynamics, health, and survival with proximity to shellfish farms, and which make comparisons between different gears, gear spacings, stocking densities and durations, and harvest methods. As impacts are likely to vary across regions, these studies should be conducted throughout the natural range of eelgrass with particular attention paid to eelgrass in areas subject to ongoing, broadscale environmental stressors (e.g. in water bodies subject to eutrophication and/or ocean warming). Such information could help regulators predict the extent to which different gears, gear spacings, and harvest methods may impact eelgrass, as well as the area of impact extending beyond farm boundaries.

3. FINFISH AQUACULTURE AND EELGRASS INTERACTIONS

3.1. Gear and methods

There is substantially less variation in gear design associated with marine finfish aquaculture than with shellfish aquaculture. This is because most finfish farms grow fish within 'open net-pens' (Fig. 4). These typically extend downward into the water column for at least several metres and are usually anchored to the seabed via a network of ropes, anchors, and moorings. The fish can be hand-fed, although most large facilities deliver feed pellets to the pens using automated feed barges, surface pipes, and blowers. Large, remote operations may also have on-site accommodation for staff, storage buildings, and oxygen aeration equipment. To accommodate this array of infrastructure, modern finfish farms are usually sited in greater depths (e.g. >10 m) than shellfish farms.

3.2. Effects of finfish aquaculture on water and sediment biochemistry

As open net-pens are designed to maximize water exchange, any resulting waste products are released into the surrounding water (Lawson 1995). Most of



Fig. 4. Examples of large, modern finfish farms. (A) Feed barge delivering feed to circular open net-pens via a series of pipes and blowers (photo: Cooke Aquaculture). (B) Farm with square net-pens using a similar feed system; however, there are also oxygen aeration units, and storage and accommodation facilities (photo: Grieg Seafoods)

the carbon released by fish farms occurs as 'particulate wastes' which derive from faeces and uneaten feed (Islam 2005, Wang et al. 2012, Reid et al. 2013). These particulate wastes quickly settle onto the seafloor and rarely disperse more than a few hundred metres (Brager et al. 2015, Price et al. 2015, Bannister et al. 2016, Filgueira et al. 2017). Consequently, these wastes can accumulate under net-pens, resulting in a nutrient-enriched layer of organic matter overlying the sediment. This organic enrichment can increase bacterial decomposition and may lead to oxygen depletion and a build-up of sulphides (Holmer et al. 2007, Pusceddu et al. 2007, Hargrave 2010, Price et al. 2015, Hamoutene et al. 2018). However, the guantity of particulate wastes produced by finfish aquaculture has been significantly reduced over the last 3 decades due to the development of more efficient feeds and feeding systems (Islam 2005, Sørensen 2012, Sprague et al. 2016).

In contrast, 'dissolved wastes' are excreted by fish directly into the water column and represent most

of the nitrogen released from finfish farms (á Norði et al. 2011, Wang et al. 2012). Up to 90% of all the nitrogen excreted by marine finfish is ammonia (NH_3) , which is rapidly converted to NH_4^+ due to the pH of seawater (reviewed by Leung et al. 1999). Correspondingly, several studies have reported elevated NH4⁺ concentrations near fish farms (Navarro et al. 2008, Sanderson et al. 2008, Jansen et al. 2018). However, a comprehensive review by Price et al. (2015) concluded that most studies have found no direct evidence of fish farms increasing dissolved nitrogen concentrations of surrounding waters. This is partly because dissolved nitrogenous wastes can quickly be diluted and dispersed by tides and currents, rapidly assimilated by marine organisms (e.g. bacteria, phytoplankton, macroalgae, and seagrass), and lost to the atmosphere through volatilization (Dalsgaard & Krause-Jensen 2006, Dailer et al. 2010). In addition, the release of nitrogen from fish farms can exhibit strong daily pulses and seasonal fluctuations (Karakassis et al. 2001). Thus, any

increase in dissolved nitrogen is likely to be small, localized, and short-lived (reviewed by Howarth et al. 2019).

3.3. Effects of finfish aquaculture on seagrass

3.3.1. Evidence from temperate ecosystems: Zostera marina

To date, only one field study has investigated the effects of finfish aquaculture on eelgrass in a temperate setting, and the results were inconclusive. This was conducted by Cullain et al. (2018) at a finfish farm in Port Mouton Bay, Nova Scotia, in eastern Canada. The finfish farm had been in operation for 20 yr prior to the study and was a relatively small operation, with annual production levels estimated at 760 t. Species farmed alternated between rainbow trout Oncorhynchus mykiss and Atlantic salmon Salmo salar. Although the finfish farm was situated at a depth of 12 m, the authors surveyed eelgrass patches in depths of 1.7-2.9 m at distances of 300 m, 700 m, and 3 km from the fish farm. These eelgrass patches were then compared to several reference areas in Nova Scotia. No eelgrass data were available prior to the creation of the finfish farm.

Their results indicated that eelgrass cover was statistically lower in Port Mouton Bay than in the reference areas, and that eelgrass cover exhibited a general declining trend with increasing proximity to the fish farm. Shoot density, and above- and belowground biomass also exhibited similar trends but were not statistically significant. Likewise, there was no difference in canopy height or tissue nitrogen content between eelgrass patches near the farm compared to reference areas. All other variables exhibited inconsistent trends. For example, epiphyte cover was substantially higher in eelgrass patches located 700 m away from the farm but was almost non-existent 300 m and 3 km away. A modelling study also suggested a link may exist between anecdotal reports of eelgrass deterioration within the bay and nitrogen effluents emanating from the finfish farm (McIver et al. 2018). However, a subsequent modelling study concluded that dissolved nitrogen concentrations within the bay during active years of the finfish farm were well below the nitrogen toxicity threshold for eelgrass (Filgueira et al. 2021). Overall, a single field study is insufficient to reach any definitive conclusions on finfish aquaculture and eelgrass interactions in temperate ecosystems. Therefore, further investigation is clearly warranted.

3.3.2. Evidence from the Mediterranean Sea: *Posidonia oceanica* and *Cymodocea nodosa*

Nearly all investigations into the effects of open net-pen finfish aquaculture on seagrass have been conducted in the Mediterranean Sea. All of these studies have examined the response of 2 species (P. oceanica and, to a lesser extent, C. nodosa) to finfish farms stocked with gilthead seabream Sparus aurata and European seabass Dicentrarchus labrax. In general, these Mediterranean studies reported decreases in seagrass cover with increasing proximity to finfish farms for distances up to 300 m, and the gradual regression of seagrass directly under cages followed by their disappearance (Table 2). These trends have been linked to increases in water and sediment nutrient concentrations, sulphide accumulation, sedimentation/burial, epiphyte loads, and increased grazing pressure from sea urchins and other herbivores (also see reviews by Holmer et al. 2003, 2008, Cullain et al. 2018).

Although this comprehensive body of research indicates that Mediterranean finfish farms can have clear negative impacts on seagrass, environmental conditions are likely very different in the Mediterranean compared to North America and the rest of Europe. Mediterranean waters are generally low in nutrients (oligotrophic) and have very low turbidity. In addition, many of the studies listed in Table 2 investigated finfish farms in in highly sheltered areas, in shallow depths (<20 m), and situated directly above seagrass beds. In addition, P. oceanica has a depth limit of around 40 m (Mayot et al. 2006, Zubak et al. 2020), which is much deeper than the 12 m maximum depth frequently reported for eelgrass (see references within Table 1). Consequently, (1) there may be less potential for finfish aquaculture to overlap and interact with eelgrass in temperate regions; and (2) it is unknown how much relevance Mediterranean studies have for finfish aquaculture in temperate regions due to the differences in environmental conditions and seagrass species present. In recent years, more stringent regulations have been introduced by several Mediterranean governments, meaning Mediterranean finfish farms are now situated at an average depth of 28 m at a distance of 870 m from shore (Papageorgiou et al. 2021).

3.3.3. Summary and directions for future research

Based on the extensive body of literature from the Mediterranean, and the single field study in Canada,

Category Parameter	Response	References
Seagrass tissues/physiology		
Carbohydrate content	Decrease	Delgado et al. (1997), Ruiz et al. (2001), Holmer et al. (2008), Pérez et al. (2008)
Nitrogen content	Increase	Pérez et al. (2008), Apostolaki et al. (2009b, 2012)
Phosphorus content	Increase	Apostolaki et al. (2007, 2009a,b), Holmer et al. (2008), Pérez et al. (2008)
Sulphide content	Increase	Frederiksen et al. (2007)
Growth-promoting metabolites	Decrease	de Kock et al. (2020) de Kock et al. (2020)
Photosynthesis	Decrease	Delgado et al. (2020) Delgado et al. (1997) Cancemi et al. (2003) Anostolaki et al. (2010)
	Decrease	
Meadow structure	Dograada	Delgade et al. (1000). Apogtalalti et al. (2000a)
Percentage cover	Decrease	Delgado et al. (1993), Apostolaxí et al. (2003a) Delgado et al. (1997), Ruiz et al. (2001), Holmer et al. (2008)
Shoot density	Decrease	Delgado et al. (1999), Ruiz et al. (2007), Homer et al. (2007) Delgado et al. (1999). Pergent et al. (1999), Ruiz et al. (2001), Díaz-
		Almela et al. (2008), Holmer et al. (2008), Apostolaki et al. (2009a),
		Rountos et al. (2012)
Shoot mortality	Increase	Díaz-Almela et al. (2008), Holmer et al. (2008)
Morphology		
Leaf growth	Decrease	Ruiz et al. (2001), Apostolaki et al. (2009a)
Leaf / shoot size and area	Decrease	Delgado et al. (1999), Dimech et al. (2002), Holmer et al. (2008), Apostolaki et al. (2009a), Rountos et al. (2012)
Rhizome growth	Decrease	Delgado et al. (1999), Marbà et al. (2006b), Holmer et al. (2008), Apostolaki et al. (2009a), de Kock et al. (2020)
Associated community		
Epiphyte load	Increase	Delgado et al. (1997, 1999), Pergent et al. (1999), Cancemi et al. (2003), Balata et al. (2010), Rountos et al. (2012)
Grazing pressure	Increase	Delgado et al. (1997, 1999), Ruiz et al. (2001), Holmer et al. (2008), Ruiz Fornandoz et al. (2009), Apostolaki et al. (2011b)
		remandez et al. (2003), Apostolaxi et al. (20115)
Sediment biochemistry	Incrosco	Frederikson et al. (2007). Helmer & Frederikson (2007). Helmer et al.
Sulplides	Increase	(2008)
Organic content	Increase	Dimech et al. (2002), Cancemi et al. (2003), Apostolaki et al. (2011a)
Nitrogen	Increase	Cancemi et al. (2003), Apostolaki et al. (2011a)
Oxygen	Decrease	Apostolaki et al. (2010)
Phosphorus	Increase	Cancemi et al. (2003), Holmer et al. (2008), Apostolaki et al. (2011a)
Water biochemistry/hydrography		
Nitrogen	Increase	Kocak & Aydin-Onen (2014)
Sedimentation/burial	Increase	Holmer et al. (2007, 2008), Díaz-Almela et al. (2008), Apostolaki et al. (2011a)

 Table 2. Overview of published responses of Posidonia oceanica and Cymodocea nodosa meadows to open net-pen finfish aquaculture in the Mediterranean Sea

it appears that finfish farms can have a diverse range of effects on seagrass. However, like shellfish aquaculture, where these effects occur, they quickly diminish with increasing distance from fish farms and may be negligible at around 300 m from farm boundaries. At present, the vast majority of studies on the interactions between finfish aquaculture and seagrass have been conducted in the Mediterranean Sea. These studies examined the response of different species of seagrass (not eelgrass) in very different environmental conditions from finfish farms in temperate regions. We therefore suggest that additional research is needed to investigate the effects of finfish aquaculture on eelgrass in temperate ecosystems.

One possible explanation as to why finfish aquaculture and eelgrass interactions are so understudied in temperate ecosystems is that they may rarely coincide with one another. Eelgrass is typically most common within the intertidal zone down to depths of around 7 m (Table 1), which is too shallow to accommodate large, modern finfish farms and their associated infrastructure. For example, Norway is the world's largest producer of farmed salmon (Iversen et al. 2020), but the majority of farms are situated in deep fjords (sometimes reaching hundreds of metres in depth), characterised by steep, vertical granite walls that are likely uninhabitable by eelgrass (BarentsWatch 2020).

Ideally, future studies would monitor eelgrass beds prior to the establishment of finfish farms and, where impacts occur, investigate recovery processes during fallow years. To help determine the drivers underlying responses in eelgrass, these studies should investigate eelgrass dynamics, health, and survival in relation to their proximity to finfish farms, and assess their relationship with light availability, sedimentation rates, organic enrichment, and sediment and water biochemistry. Investigating multiple field sites would enable comparisons between depths, exposure (i.e. speed and direction of waves, currents, and wind) and stocking densities. Such studies would be invaluable for informing aquaculture management, and in developing operational and siting guidelines that help minimize the potential for eelgrass impacts.

4. CONCLUSIONS

Due to a multitude of interacting factors, eelgrass is declining throughout much of its range. Shellfish and finfish aquaculture have the potential to exacerbate several of these contributing factors, including shading, sedimentation, and eutrophication. As eelgrass can have clear and positive influences on coastal ecosystems, and because it is protected by a wide range of national and international polices, regulators must consider the potential impacts aquaculture may have on eelgrass and implement management practices that minimize them. While the interactions between shellfish aquaculture and eelgrass have been well studied across the globe, the interactions between finfish aquaculture and eelgrass have been subjected to very little investigation. Nonetheless, in both cases, more field and modelling studies are needed to better assess eelgrass survival, growth, and recovery processes in response to different production and harvesting methods, gear spacings, stocking densities, and culture durations. This information could help regulators establish unambiguous operational and siting guidelines that minimize the potential for negative interactions between shellfish aquaculture and eelgrass.

LITERATURE CITED

- á Norði G, Glud RN, Gaard E, Simonsen K (2011) Environmental impacts of coastal fish farming: carbon and nitrogen budgets for trout farming in Kaldbaksfjørður (Faroe Islands). Mar Ecol Prog Ser 431:223–241
- Apostolaki ET, Tsagaraki T, Tsapakis M, Ioannis K (2007) Fish farming impact on sediments and macrofauna associated with seagrass meadows in the Mediterranean. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 75:408–416
- Apostolaki ET, Marba N, Holmer M, Karakassis I (2009a) Fish farming enhances biomass and nutrient loss in Posidonia oceanica (L.) Delile. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 81: 390–400
- Apostolaki ET, Marbà N, Holmer M, Karakassis I (2009b) Fish farming impact on decomposition of *Posidonia* oceanica litter. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 369:58–64
- Apostolaki ET, Holmer M, Marbà N, Karakassis I (2010) Degrading seagrass (*Posidonia oceanica*) ecosystems: a source of dissolved matter in the Mediterranean. Hydrobiologia 649:13–23
- Apostolaki ET, Holmer M, Marbà N, Karakassis I (2011a) Reduced carbon sequestration in a Mediterranean seagrass (*Posidonia oceanica*) ecosystem impacted by fish farming. Aquacult Environ Interact 2:49–59
- Apostolaki ET, Holmer M, Marbà N, Karakassis I (2011b) Epiphyte dynamics and carbon metabolism in a nutrient enriched Mediterranean seagrass (*Posidonia oceanica*) ecosystem. J Sea Res 66:135–142
- Apostolaki ET, Vizzini S, Karakassis I (2012) Leaf vs. epiphyte nitrogen uptake in a nutrient enriched Mediterranean seagrass (*Posidonia oceanica*) meadow. Aquat Bot 96:58–62
- Balata D, Piazzi L, Nesti U, Bulleri F, Bertocci I (2010) Effects of enhanced loads of nutrients on epiphytes on leaves and rhizomes of *Posidonia oceanica*. J Sea Res 63:173–179
- Balsby TJS, Clausen P, Krause-Jensen D, Carstensen J, Madsen J (2017) Long-term patterns of eelgrass (*Zostera marina*) occurrence and associated herbivorous waterbirds in a Danish coastal inlet. Front Mar Sci 3:285
- Bannister RJ, Johnsen IA, Hansen PK, Kutti T, Asplin L (2016) Near- and far-field dispersal modelling of organic waste from Atlantic salmon aquaculture in fjord systems. ICES J Mar Sci 73:2408–2419
- BarentsWatch (2020) Fish health. https://www.barents watch.no/fiskehelse/ (accessed 8 October 2021)
- Bedulli C, Lavery PS, Harvey M, Duarte CM, Serrano O (2020) Contribution of seagrass blue carbon toward carbon neutral policies in a touristic and environmentallyfriendly island. Front Mar Sci 7:1
- Beninger PG, Veniot A, Poussart Y (1999) Principles of pseudofeces rejection on the bivalve mantle: integration in particle processing. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 178:259–269
- Benson JL, Schlezinger D, Howes BL (2013) Relationship between nitrogen concentration, light, and Zostera marina habitat quality and survival in southeastern Massachusetts estuaries. J Environ Manage 131:129–137
- Bertelli CM, Unsworth RKF (2014) Protecting the hand that feeds us: Seagrass (*Zostera marina*) serves as commercial juvenile fish habitat. Mar Pollut Bull 83:425–429
- Bertelli CM, Unsworth RKF (2018) Light stress responses by the eelgrass, Zostera marina (L). Front Environ Sci 6:39
- Blake RE, Duffy JE (2010) Grazer diversity affects resistance to multiple stressors in an experimental seagrass ecosystem. Oikos 119:1625–1635

Acknowledgements. Thank you to Jeffrey Barrell, Joseph Labelle, Brett Dumbauld, and Marianne Holmer. We also thank several reviewers for their comments on earlier drafts of the manuscript.

- Blake RE, Duffy JE (2012) Changes in biodiversity and environmental stressors influence community structure of an experimental eelgrass Zostera marina system. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 470:41-54
 - Borum J, Greve TM (2004) The four European seagrass species. In: Borum J, Duarte CM, Karuse-Jensen D, Greve TM (eds) European seagrasses: an introduction to monitoring and management. The EU project Monitoring and Managing of European Seagrasses. EVK3-CT-2000-00044, p 1-7
- Bos AR, Bouma TJ, de Kort GLJ, van Katwijk MM (2007) Ecosystem engineering by annual intertidal seagrass beds: sediment accretion and modification. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 74:344-348
- Boström C, Baden S, Bockelmann AC, Dromph K and others (2014) Distribution, structure and function of Nordic eelgrass (Zostera marina) ecosystems: implications for coastal management and conservation. Aquat Conserv 24:410-434
- Brager LM, Cranford PJ, Grant J, Robinson SMC (2015) Spatial distribution of suspended particulate wastes at openwater Atlantic salmon and sablefish aquaculture farms in Canada. Aquacult Environ Interact 6:135-149
- 🗩 Brown CJ, Saunders MI, Possingham HP, Richardson AJ (2014) Interactions between global and local stressors of ecosystems determine management effectiveness in cumulative impact mapping. Divers Distrib 20:538–546
 - Buck BH, Langan R (2017) Aquaculture perspective of multi-use sites in the open ocean. The untapped poten-International Publishing, Cham
- Bulmer R, Kelly S, Jeffs AG (2012) Hanging basket oyster farming: assessing effects on seagrass using aerial photography. Aquacult Environ Interact 2:285-292
- Burke MK, Dennison WC, Moore KA (1996) Non-structural carbohydrate reserves of eelgrass Zostera marina. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 137:195-201
- 渊 Burkholder JM, Mason KM, Glasgow HB Jr (1992) Watercolumn nitrate enrichment promotes decline of eelgrass Zostera marina: evidence from seasonal mesocosm experiments. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 81:163-178
- Cabaço S, Santos R (2012) Seagrass reproductive effort as an ecological indicator of disturbance. Ecol Indic 23: 116 - 122
- 👗 Cabaço S, Alexandre A, Santos R (2005) Population-level effects of clam harvesting on the seagrass Zostera noltii. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 298:123-129
- Campbell B, Pauly D (2013) Mariculture: a global analysis of production trends since 1950. Mar Policy 39:94-100
- Cancemi G, De Falco G, Pergent G (2003) Effects of organic input from a fish farming facility on a Posidonia oceanica meadow. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 56:961-968
 - Carlson JPR, Yarbro LA, Barber TR (1994) Relationship of sediment sulfide to mortality of Thalassia testudinum in Florida Bay. Bull Mar Sci 54:733–746
- Carr J, D'Odorico P, McGlathery K, Wiberg P (2010) Stability and bistability of seagrass ecosystems in shallow coastal lagoons: role of feedbacks with sediment resuspension and light attenuation. J Geophys Res Biogeosci 115:G03011
- 👗 Clements J, Comeau L (2019) Nitrogen removal potential of shellfish aquaculture harvests in eastern Canada: a comparison of culture methods. Aquacult Rep 13:100183
- Costello C, Kenworthy W (2011) Twelve-year mapping and change analysis of eelgrass (Zostera marina) areal abun-

dance in Massachusetts (USA) identifies statewide declines. Estuaries Coasts 34:232-242

- Cranford PJ, Strain PM, Dowd M, Hargrave BT, Grant J, Archambault MC (2007) Influence of mussel aquaculture on nitrogen dynamics in a nutrient enriched coastal embayment. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 347:61-78
- Crawford CM, Macleod CKA, Mitchell IM (2003) Effects of shellfish farming on the benthic environment. Aquaculture 224:117-140
- Cullain N, McIver R, Schmidt AL, Milewski I, Lotze HK (2018) Potential impacts of finfish aquaculture on eelgrass (Zostera marina) beds and possible monitoring metrics for management: a case study in Atlantic Canada. PeerJ 6:e5630
- Toahl M, Deyanova D, Gütschow S, Asplund ME and others (2016) Sediment properties as important predictors of carbon storage in Zostera marina meadows: a comparison of four European areas. PLOS ONE 11:e0167493
- Dailer ML, Knox RS, Smith JE, Napier M, Smith CM (2010) Using $\delta^{15}N$ values in algal tissue to map locations and potential sources of anthropogenic nutrient inputs on the island of Maui, Hawai'i, USA. Mar Pollut Bull 60:655-671
- 👗 Dalsgaard T, Krause-Jensen D (2006) Monitoring nutrient release from fish farms with macroalgal and phytoplankton bioassays. Aquaculture 256:302–310
- 渊 de Jonge VN, de Jong DJ, van den Bergs J (1996) Reintroduction of eelgrass (Zostera marina) in the Dutch Wadden Sea; review of research and suggestions for management measures. J Coast Conserv 2:149-158
- tial for marine resources in the Anthropocene. Springer 🦼 de Kock W, Hasler-Sheetal H, Holmer M, Tsapakis M, Apostolaki ET (2020) Metabolomics and traditional indicators unveil stress of a seagrass (Cymodocea nodosa) meadow at intermediate distance from a fish farm. Ecol Indic 109: 105765
 - Delgado O, Grau A, Pou S, Riera F, Massuti C, Zabala M, Ballesteros E (1997) Seagrass regression caused by fish cultures in Fornells Bay (Menorca, Western Mediterranean). Oceanol Acta 20:557-563
 - Delgado O, Ruiz J, Pérez M, Romero J, Ballesteros E (1999) Effects of fish farming on seagrass (Posidonia oceanica) in a Mediterranean bay: seagrass decline after organic loading cessation. Oceanol Acta 22:109-117
 - den Hartog C, Kuo J (2006) Taxonomy and biogeography of seagrasses. In: Larkum AWD, Orth RJ, Duarte CM (eds) Seagrasses: biology, ecology and conservation. Springer, Dordrecht, p 1–23
 - Dennison WC, Alberte RS (1985) Role of daily light period in depth distribution of Zostera marina (eelgrass). Mar Ecol Prog Ser 25:51-61
 - 🔎 Díaz-Almela E, Marbà N, Álvarez E, Santiago R and others (2008) Benthic input rates predict seagrass (Posidonia oceanica) fish farm-induced decline. Mar Pollut Bull 56: 1332 - 1342
 - Dimech M, Borg JA, Schembri PJ (2002) Changes in the structure of a Posidonia oceanica meadow and in the diversity of associated decapod, mollusc and echinoderm assemblages, resulting from inputs of waste from a marine fish farm (Malta, Central Mediterranean). Bull Mar Sci 71:1309-1321
 - Dooley F, Wyllie-Echeverria S, Roth M, Ward P (2013) Tolerance and response of Zostera marina seedlings to hydrogen sulfide. Aquat Bot 105:7-10
 - Duarte CM, Fourqurean JW, Krause-Jensen D, Olesen B (2006) Dynamics of seagrass stability and change. In: Larkum AWD, Orth RJ, Duarte CM (eds) Seagrasses:

biology, ecology and conservation. Springer, Dordrecht, p $271{-}294$

- Dumbauld BR, McCoy LM (2015) Effect of oyster aquaculture on seagrass Zostera marina at the estuarine landscape scale in Willapa Bay, Washington (USA). Aquacult Environ Interact 7:29–47
- Dumbauld BR, Ruesink JL, Rumrill SS (2009) The ecological role of bivalve shellfish aquaculture in the estuarine environment: a review with application to oyster and clam culture in West Coast (USA) estuaries. Aquaculture 290:196–223
- EPA (United States Environmental Protection Agency) (2021) Summary of the Clean Water Act. https://www. epa.gov/laws-regulations/summary-clean-water-act
- Eriander L (2017) Light requirements for successful restoration of eelgrass (*Zostera marina* L.) in a high latitude environment – acclimatization, growth and carbohydrate storage. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 496:37–48
- Eriander L, Infantes E, Olofsson M, Olsen JL, Moksnes PO (2016) Assessing methods for restoration of eelgrass (*Zostera marina* L.) in a cold temperate region. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 479:76–88
- Everett RA, Ruiz GM, Carlton JT (1995) Effect of oyster mariculture on submerged aquatic vegetation: an experimental test in a Pacific Northwest estuary. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 125:205–217
 - FAO (2020) The state of world fisheries and aquaculture 2020. Sustainability in action. FAO, Rome
 - FAO (2021) Fishery and aquaculture statistics. Global production by production source 1950–2019 (FishstatJ). FAO, Rome
 - Ferreira JG, Bricker SB (2019) Assessment of nutrient trading services from bivalve farming. In: Smaal AC, Ferreira JG, Grant J, Petersen JK, Strand Ø (eds) Goods and services of marine bivalves. Springer International Publishing, New York, NY, p 551–584
- Ferriss BE, Conway-Cranos LL, Sanderson BL, Hoberecht L (2019) Bivalve aquaculture and eelgrass: a global metaanalysis. Aquaculture 498:254–262
- Fertig B, Kennish MJ, Sakowicz GP (2013) Changing eelgrass (*Zostera marina* L.) characteristics in a highly eutrophic temperate coastal lagoon. Aquat Bot 104:70–79
- Filgueira R, Guyondet T, Reid GK, Grant J, Cranford PJ (2017) Vertical particle fluxes dominate integrated multitrophic aquaculture (IMTA) sites: implications for shellfish-finfish synergy. Aquacult Environ Interact 9: 127–143
- Filgueira R, Guyondet T, Thupaki P, Reid GK, Howarth LM, Grant J (2021) Inferring the potential for nitrogen toxicity on seagrass in the vicinity of an aquaculture site using mathematical models. J Environ Manag 282:111921
 - Fisheries and Oceans Canada (2009) Does eelgrass (*Zostera marina*) meet the criteria as an ecologically significant species? Canadian Science Advisory Secretariat (CSAS) Science Advisory Report 2009/018. Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO), Gulf Region
- Folkard AM (2005) Hydrodynamics of model *Posidonia* oceanica patches in shallow water. Limnol Oceanogr 50: 1592–1600
 - Fonseca MS, Uhrin AV (2009) The status of eelgrass, *Zostera marina*, as bay scallop habitat: consequences for the fishery in the Western Atlantic. Mar Fish Rev 71:20–33
- Frederiksen MS, Holmer M, Díaz-Almela E, Marba N, Duarte CM (2007) Sulfide invasion in the seagrass Posidonia oceanica at Mediterranean fish farms: assessment

using stable sulfur isotopes. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 345: 93–104 $\,$

- Froehlich HE, Smith A, Gentry RR, Halpern BS (2017) Offshore aquaculture: I know it when I see it. Front Mar Sci 4:154
- Gacia E, Duarte CM (2001) Sediment retention by a Mediterranean *Posidonia oceanica* meadow: the balance between deposition and resuspension. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 52:505–514
- Ganter B (2000) Seagrass (Zostera spp.) as food for brent geese (Branta bernicla): an overview. Helgol Mar Res 54: 63–70
- Gentry RR, Froehlich HE, Grimm D, Kareiva P and others (2017) Mapping the global potential for marine aquaculture. Nat Ecol Evol 1:1317–1324
 - Gillanders BM (2006) Seagrasses, fish, and fisheries. In: Larkum AWD, Orth RJ, Duarte CM (eds) Seagrasses: biology, ecology and conservation. Springer, Dordrecht, p 503–505
- Glasby TM, West G (2018) Dragging the chain: quantifying continued losses of seagrasses from boat moorings. Aquat Conserv 28:383–394
- Goodman JL, Moore KA, Dennison WC (1995) Photosynthetic responses of eelgrass (*Zostera marina* L.) to light and sediment sulfide in a shallow barrier island lagoon. Aquat Bot 50:37–47
- Gorman AM, Gregory RS, Schneider DC (2009) Eelgrass patch size and proximity to the patch edge affect predation risk of recently settled age 0 cod (*Gadus*). J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 371:1–9
- Grant J, Emerson CW, Mallet A, Carver C (2003) Growth advantages of ear hanging compared to cage culture for sea scallops, *Placopecten magellanicus*. Aquaculture 217:301–323
- Green EP, Short FT (2003) World atlas of seagrasses, Vol. United Nations Environment Program (UNEP). University of California Press, Berkeley, CA
- Hagan JM, Wilkerson E (2018) How to install a soft-shell clam farm. Manomet Sustainable Economies Program, Brunswick, ME. www.manomet.org/wp-content/ uploads/2018/10/Manomet-Clam-Farm-Guidebook-2018 _electronic-version.pdf
- Hamoutene D, Cote D, Marshall K, Donnet S and others (2018) Spatial and temporal distribution of farmed Atlantic salmon after experimental release from sea cage sites in Newfoundland (Canada). Aquaculture 492: 147–156
- Hargrave BT (2010) Empirical relationships describing benthic impacts of salmon aquaculture. Aquacult Environ Interact 1:33–46
- Hargrave BT, Doucette LI, Cranford PJ, Law BA, Milligan TG (2008) Influence of mussel aquaculture on sediment organic enrichment in a nutrient-rich coastal embayment. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 365:137–149
- Hauxwell J, Cebrián J, Furlong C, Valiela I (2001) Macroalgal canopies contribute to eelgrass (*Zostera marina*) decline in temperate estuarine ecosystems. Ecology 82: 1007–1022
- Hauxwell J, Cebrián J, Valiela I (2003) Eelgrass Zostera marina loss in temperate estuaries: relationship to landderived nitrogen loads and effect of light limitation imposed by algae. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 247:59–73
- Heck KL Jr, Hays G, Orth RJ (2003) Critical evaluation of the nursery role hypothesis for seagrass meadows. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 253:123–136

- 👗 Heck KL Jr. Carruthers TJB. Duarte CM. Hughes AR. Kendrick G, Orth RJ, Williams SW (2008) Trophic transfers from seagrass meadows subsidize diverse marine and terrestrial consumers. Ecosystems 11:1198-1210
- Holmer M (2010) Environmental issues of fish farming in offshore waters: perspectives, concerns and research needs. Aquacult Environ Interact 1:57-70
- Tholmer M, Frederiksen MS (2007) Stimulation of sulfate reduction rates in Mediterranean fish farm sediments inhabited by the seagrass Posidonia oceanica. Biogeochemistry 85:169-184
- Holmer M, Pérez M, Duarte CM (2003) Benthic primary producers - a neglected environmental problem in Mediterranean maricultures? Mar Pollut Bull 46:1372-1376
- 👗 Holmer M, Marba N, Diaz-Almela E, Duarte CM, Tsapakis M, Danovaro R (2007) Sedimentation of organic matter from fish farms in oligotrophic Mediterranean assessed through bulk and stable isotope (δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N) analyses. Aquaculture 262:268–280
- Holmer M, Argyrou M, Dalsgaard T, Danovaro R and others (2008) Effects of fish farm waste on Posidonia oceanica meadows: synthesis and provision of monitoring and management tools. Mar Pollut Bull 56:1618-1629
- 🔎 Hosack GR, Dumbauld BR, Ruesink JL, Armstrong DA (2006) Habitat associations of estuarine species: comparisons of intertidal mudflat, seagrass (Zostera marina), and oyster (Crassostrea gigas) habitats. Estuaries Coasts 29:1150-1160
- Howarth LM, Filgueira R, Jiang D, Koepke H and others (2019) Using macroalgal bioindicators to map nutrient plumes from fish farms and other sources at a bay-wide scale. Aquacult Environ Interact 11:671-684
 - Howarth LM, Lewis-McCrea L, LaBelle J, Reid G (2021) Managing aquaculture and eelgrass interactions in Nova Scotia. Centre for Marine Applied Research (CMAR), Dartmouth
- Slam MS (2005) Nitrogen and phosphorus budget in coastal and marine cage aquaculture and impacts of effluent loading on ecosystem: review and analysis towards model development. Mar Pollut Bull 50:48-61
 - IUCN Red List of Threatened Species (2021) Seagrasses. International Union for Conservation of Nature, Cambridge
- 渊 Iversen A, Asche F, Hermansen Ø, Nystøyl R (2020) Production cost and competitiveness in major salmon farming countries 2003-2018. Aquaculture 522:735089
- Jansen HM, Broch OJ, Bannister R, Cranford P and others (2018) Spatio-temporal dynamics in the dissolved nutrient waste plume from Norwegian salmon cage aquaculture. Aquacult Environ Interact 10:385–399
- BAP priority habitats. https://jncc.gov.uk/our-work/ukbap-priority-habitats/
- Jones CG, Lawron JH, Shachak M (1997) Positive and negative effects of organisms as physical ecosystem engineers. Ecology 78:1946-1957
- Jorgensen NM, Bekkby T (2013) Historical and present distribution of Zostera marina in the high north (Troms County, northern Norway) - a decline over the last century. Bot Mar 56:425-430
- Joseph V, Locke A, Godin JGJ (2006) Spatial distribution of 👗 fishes and decapods in eelgrass (Zostera marina L.) and sandy habitats of a New Brunswick estuary, eastern Canada. Aquat Ecol 40:111-123

Joseph V, Schmidt AL, Gregory RS (2012) Use of eelgrass

habitats by fish in eastern Canada. Res Doc 2012/138. Canadian Science Advisory Secretariat, Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Gulf Region

- Karakassis I, Tsapakis M, Hatziyanni E, Pitta P (2001) Diel variation of nutrients and chlorophyll in sea bream and sea bass cages in the Mediterranean. Fresenius Environ Bull 10:278-283
- Kenworthy WJ, Wylie-Echevierra S, Coles RG, Pergent G, Pergent-Martini C (2006) Seagrass conservation biology: an interdisciplinary science for protection of the seagrass biome. In: Larkum AWD, Orth RJ, Duarte CM (eds) Seagrasses: biology, ecology and conservation. Springer, Dordrecht, p 595-623
- Kim JB, Ryu JH, Kim JK (2009) Comparative analysis of fish community structure between eelgrass (Zostera marina 1.) beds and an adjacent unvegetated area in Southern Korea. Fish Aquat Sci 12:60-69
- Kocak F, Aydin-Onen S (2014) Epiphytic bryozoan community of Posidonia oceanica (L.) Delile leaves in two different meadows at disturbed and control locations. Mediterr Mar Sci 15:390-397
 - Koch EW, Ackerman JD, Verduin J, van Keulen M (2006) Fluid dynamics in seagrass ecology-from molecules to ecosystems. In: Larkum AWD, Orth RJ, Duarte CM (eds) Seagrasses: biology, ecology and conservation. Springer, Dordrecht, p 193-225
- Ă Krause-Jensen D, Duarte CM, Sand-Jensen K, Carstensen J (2021) Century-long records reveal shifting challenges to seagrass recovery. Glob Change Biol 27:563-575
- Krumhansl KA, Dowd M, Wong MC (2021) Multiple metrics of temperature, light, and water motion drive gradients in eelgrass productivity and resilience. Front Mar Sci 8: 597707
 - Larkum AWD, Orth RJ, Duarte CM (eds) (2006) Seagrasses: biology, ecology and conservation. Springer, Dordrecht
- Laurel BJ, Gregory RS, Brown JA (2003) Settlement and distribution of Age-0 juvenile cod, Gadus morhua and G. ogac, following a large-scale habitat manipulation. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 262:241-252
- Lawson TB (1995) Fundamentals of aquacultural engineering. Springer, Boston, MA
- 🔎 Lee KS, Kim SH, Kim YK (2016) Current status of seagrass habitat in Korea. In: Finlayson CM, Milton GR, Prentice RC, Davidson NC (eds) The wetland book: II: distribution, description and conservation. Springer, Dordrecht
- Leung KMY, Chu JCW, Wu RSS (1999) Effects of body weight, water temperature and ration size on ammonia excretion by the areolated grouper (Epinephelus areolatus) and mangrove snapper (Lutjanus argentimaculatus). Aquaculture 170:215-227
- 🛪 JNCC (Joint Nature Conservation Committee) (2007) UK 🛛 X Liu S, Jiang Z, Deng Y, Wu Y and others (2018) Effects of nutrient loading on sediment bacterial and pathogen communities within seagrass meadows. Microbiology-Open 7:e00600
 - Lu C (2015) The different methods of growing oysters. https://www.pangeashellfish.com/blog/the-differentmethods-of-growing-oysters
 - Madigan SVS, Haskard K, Clarkse S (2000) Oyster Environmental Monitoring Program (OEMP): small-scale seagrass health study. South Australian Research and Development Institute (SARDI), Aquatic Sciences, Adelaide
 - Marbà N, Holmer M, Gacia E, Barrón C (2006a) Seagrass beds and coastal biogeochemistry. In: Larkum AWD, Orth RJ, Duarte CM (eds) Seagrasses: biology, ecology and conservation. Springer, Dordrecht, p 135-157

- Marbà N, Santiago R, Díaz-Almela E, Álvarez E, Duarte CM (2006b) Seagrass (*Posidonia oceanica*) vertical growth as an early indicator of fish farm-derived stress. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 67:475–483
 - Mateo MA, Cebrián J, Dunton K, Mutchler T (2006) Carbon flux in seagrass ecosystems. In: Larkum AWD, Orth RJ, Duarte CM (eds) Seagrasses: biology, ecology and conservation. Springer, Dordrecht, p 159–192
 - Mayot N, Boudouresque C, Charbonnel E (2006) Changes over time of shoot density of the Mediterranean seagrass *Posidonia oceanica* at its depth limit. Biol Mar Mediterr 13:250–254
- McCain JSP, Rangeley RW, Schneider DC, Lotze HK (2016) Historical abundance of juvenile commercial fish in coastal habitats: implications for fish habitat management in Canada. Mar Policy 73:235–243
- McDonald PS, Galloway AWE, McPeek KC, Vanblaricom GR (2015) Effects of geoduck (*Panopea generosa* Gould, 1850) aquaculture gear on resident and transient macrofauna communities of Puget Sound, Washington. J Shellfish Res 34:189–202
- McGlathery KJ (2001) Macroalgal blooms contribute to the decline of seagrass in nutrient enriched coastal waters. J Phycol 37:453–456
- McIver R, Milewski I, Loucks R, Smith R (2018) Estimating nitrogen loading and far-field dispersal potential from background sources and coastal finfish aquaculture: a simple framework and case study in Atlantic Canada. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 205:46–57
- McKindsey CW, Archambault P, Callier MD, Olivier F (2011) Influence of suspended and off-bottom mussel culture on the sea bottom and benthic habitats: a review. Can J Zool 89:622–646
- *Mills KE, Fonseca MS (2003) Mortality and productivity of eelgrass Zostera marina under conditions of experimental burial with two sediment types. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 255:127–134
 - Moore KA, Short FT (2006) *Zostera*: biology, ecology, and management. In: Larkum AWD, Orth RJ, Duarte CM (eds) Seagrasses: biology, ecology and conservation. Springer, Dordrecht, p 361–386
- Moore KA, Wetzel RL (2000) Seasonal variations in eelgrass (Zostera marina L.) responses to nutrient enrichment and reduced light availability in experimental ecosystems. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 244:1–28
- *Murphy GEP, Wong MC, Lotze HK (2019) A human impact metric for coastal ecosystems with application to seagrass beds in Atlantic Canada. Facets 4:210–237
- Murphy GEP, Dunic JC, Adamczyk EM, Bittick SJ and others (2021) From coast to coast to coast: ecology and management of seagrass ecosystems across Canada. Facets 6:139–179
- Mvungi EF, Pillay D (2019) Eutrophication overrides warming as a stressor for a temperate African seagrass (Zostera capensis). PLOS ONE 14:e0215129
- NatureScot (2020) Priority marine features in Scotland's seas. https://www.nature.scot/priority-marine-featuresscotlands-seas-habitats
- Navarro N, Leakey RJG, Black KD (2008) Effect of salmon cage aquaculture on the pelagic environment of temperate coastal waters: seasonal changes in nutrients and microbial community. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 361: 47–58
- Neckles HA, Short FT, Barker S, Kopp BS (2005) Disturbance of eelgrass Zostera marina by commercial mussel

Mytilus edulis harvesting in Maine: dragging impacts and habitat recovery. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 285:57–73

- Newell RI (2004) Ecosystem influences of natural and cultivated populations of suspension-feeding bivalve molluscs: a review. J Shellfish Res 23:51–61
- Newell RIE, Koch EW (2004) Modeling seagrass density and distribution in response to changes in turbidity stemming from bivalve filtration and seagrass sediment stabilization. Estuaries 27:793–806
- Nienhuis PH, Groenendijk AM (1986) Consumption of eelgrass (*Zostera marina*) by birds and invertebrates: an annual budget. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 29:29–35
- Nixon SW (1995) Coastal marine eutrophication: a definition, social causes, and future concerns. Ophelia 41: 199–219
- Nizzoli D, Welsh DT, Fano EA, Viaroli P (2006) Impact of clam and mussel farming on benthic metabolism and nitrogen cycling, with emphasis on nitrate reduction pathways. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 315:151–165
- Olesen B, Sand-Jensen K (1994) Patch dynamics of eelgrass Zostera marina. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 106:147–156
- Ondiviela B, Losada IJ, Lara JL, Maza M, Galván C, Bouma TJ, van Belzen J (2014) The role of seagrasses in coastal protection in a changing climate. Coast Eng 87:158–168
- Orth RJ (1973) Benthic infauna of eelgrass, Zostera marina, beds. Chesap Sci 14:258–269
 - Orth RJ (1977) The importance of sediment stability in seagrass communities. In: Coull BC (ed) Ecology of marine benthos. University of South Carolina Press, Columbia, SC, p 281–300
- Orth RJ, Marion SR, Moore KA, Wilcox DJ (2010) Eelgrass (Zostera marina L.) in the Chesapeake Bay region of mid-Atlantic coast of the USA: challenges in conservation and restoration. Estuaries Coasts 33:139–150
- Papageorgiou N, Dimitriou PD, Moraitis ML, Massa F, Fezzardi D, Karakassis I (2021) Changes of the Mediterranean fish farm sector towards a more sustainable approach: a closer look at temporal, spatial and technical shifts. Ocean Coast Manag 214:105903
- Park JM, Kwak SN (2018) Seagrass fish assemblages in the Namhae Island, Korea: the influences of seagrass vegetation and biomass. J Sea Res 139:41–49
- Park JM, Kwak SN, Riedel R (2020) Crustacean decapod assemblage associated with seagrass (*Zostera marina*) beds in southern waters of Korea. Diversity 12:89
- Pedersen O, Binzer T, Borum J (2004) Sulphide intrusion in eelgrass (*Zostera marina* L.). Plant Cell Environ 27: 595–602
- Peralta G, Bouma TJ, van Soelen J, Pérez-Lloréns JL, Hernández I (2003) On the use of sediment fertilization for seagrass restoration: a mesocosm study on Zostera marina L. Aquat Bot 75:95–110
- Pérez M, García T, Invers O, Ruiz JM (2008) Physiological responses of the seagrass *Posidonia oceanica* as indicators of fish farm impact. Mar Pollut Bull 56:869–879
- Pergent G, Mendez S, Pergent-Martini C, Pasqualini V (1999) Preliminary data on the impact of fish farming facilities on *Posidonia oceanica* meadows in the Mediterranean. Oceanol Acta 22:95–107
- Peters JR, McCloskey RM, Hinder SL, Unsworth RKF (2015) Motile fauna of sub-tidal Zostera marina meadows in England and Wales. Mar Biodivers 45:647–654
 - Petersen JK, Holmer M, Termansen M, Hasler B (2019) Nutrient extraction through bivalves. In: Smaal AC, Ferreira JG, Grant J, Petersen JK, Strand Ø (eds) Goods and

services of marine bivalves. Springer International Publishing, Cham, p 179–208

- Peterson BJ, Heck KL Jr (2001a) Positive interactions between suspension-feeding bivalves and seagrass – a facultative mutualism. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 213:143–155
- Peterson BJ, Heck KL Jr (2001b) An experimental test of the mechanism by which suspension feeding bivalves elevate seagrass productivity. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 218: 115–125
- Pietros JM, Rice MA (2003) The impacts of aquacultured oysters, Crassostrea virginica (Gmelin, 1791) on water column nitrogen and sedimentation: results of a mesocosm study. Aquaculture 220:407–422
- Pregnall AM, Smith RD, Kursar TA, Alberte RS (1984) Metabolic adaptation of *Zostera marina* (eelgrass) to diurnal periods of root anoxia. Mar Biol 83:141–147
- Price C, Black KD, Hargrave BT, Morris JA Jr (2015) Marine cage culture and the environment: effects on water quality and primary production. Aquacult Environ Interact 6: 151–174
- Pusceddu A, Fraschetti S, Mirto S, Holmer M, Danovaro R (2007) Effects of intensive mariculture on sediment biochemistry. Ecol Appl 17:1366–1378
- Qin LZ, Suonan Z, Kim SH, Lee KS (2021) Growth and reproductive responses of the seagrass Zostera marina to sediment nutrient enrichment. ICES J Mar Sci 78: 1160–1173
 - Ralph PJ, Tomasko D, Moore KA, Seddon S, Maciinnis-Ng CMO (2006) Human impacts on seagrasses: eutrophication, sedimentation and contamination. In: Larkum AWD, Orth RJ, Duarte CM (eds) Seagrasses: biology, ecology and conservation. Springer, Dordrecht, p 567–593
- Reid GK, Robinson SMC, Chopin T, MacDonald BA (2013) Dietary proportion of fish culture solids required by shellfish to reduce the net organic load in open-water integrated multi-trophic aquaculture: a scoping exercise with cocultured Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) and blue mussel (*Mytilus edulis*). J Shellfish Res 32:509–517
- Renkawitz MD, Gregory RS, Schneider DC (2011) Habitat dependant [sic] growth of three species of bottom settling fish in a coastal fjord. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 409:79–88
- Reusch TBH, Chapman ARO, Gröger JP (1994) Blue mussels Mytilus edulis do not interfere with eelgrass Zostera marina but fertilize shoot growth through biodeposition. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 108:265–282
- Richard M, Archambault P, Thouzeau G, McKindsey C, Desrosiers G (2007) Influence of suspended scallop cages and mussel lines on pelagic and benthic biogeochemical fluxes in Havre-aux-Maisons Lagoon, Iles-de-la-Madeleine (Quebec, Canada). Can J Fish Aquat Sci 64: 1491–1505
 - Romero J, Lee KS, Pérez M, Mateo MA, Alcoverro T (2006) Nutrient dynamics in seagrass ecosystems. In: Larkum AWD, Orth RJ, Duarte CM (eds) Seagrasses: biology, ecology and conservation. Springer, Dordrecht p 227–254
- Rountos KJ, Peterson BJ, Karakassis I (2012) Indirect effects of fish cage aquaculture on shallow *Posidonia oceanica* seagrass patches in coastal Greek waters. Aquacult Environ Interact 2:105–115
- Ruiz JM, Pérez M, Romero J (2001) Effects of fish farm loadings on seagrass (*Posidonia oceanica*) distribution, growth and photosynthesis. Mar Pollut Bull 42:749–760
 - Ruiz Fernandez JM, Pérez M, Romero J, Tomas F (2009) The importance of herbivory in the decline of a seagrass

(*Posidonia oceanica*) meadow near a fish farm: an experimental approach. Bot Mar 52:449–458

- Rumrill SS, Poulton VK (2004) Ecological role and potential impacts of molluscan shellfish culture in the estuarine environment of Humboldt Bay, CA. Oregon Department of State Lands, South Slough National Estuarine Research Reserve, Estuarine and Coastal Science Laboratory, Charleston, OR
- Sanderson JC, Cromey CJ, Dring MJ, Kelly MS (2008) Distribution of nutrients for seaweed cultivation around salmon cages at farm sites in north-west Scotland. Aquaculture 278:60–68
- Sandoval-Gil J, Alexandre A, Santos R, Camacho-Ibar VF (2016) Nitrogen uptake and internal recycling in Zostera marina exposed to oyster farming: eelgrass potential as a natural biofilter. Estuaries Coasts 39:1694–1708
- Scarratt DJ (2000) Development of the mussel industry in eastern Canada. Bull Aquacult Assoc Can 100:37–40
- Schein A, Courtenay SC, Crane CS, Teather KL, van den Heuvel MR (2012) The role of submerged aquatic vegetation in structuring the nearshore fish community within an estuary of the Southern Gulf of St. Lawrence. Estuaries Coasts 35:799–810
- Schmidt AL, Wysmyk JKC, Craig SE, Lotze HK (2012) Regional-scale effects of eutrophication on ecosystem structure and services of seagrass beds. Limnol Oceanogr 57:1389–1402
- Schmidt M, Gerlach G, Leo E, Kunz KL and others (2017) Impact of ocean warming and acidification on the behaviour of two co-occurring gadid species, *Boreogadus saida* and *Gadus morhua*, from Svalbard. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 571:183–191
- Schubert PR, Hukriede W, Karez R, Reusch TBH (2015) Mapping and modeling eelgrass Zostera marina distribution in the western Baltic Sea. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 522: 79–95
- Seymour NR, Miller AG, Garbary DJ (2002) Decline of Canada geese (*Branta canadensis*) and common goldeneye (*Bucephala clangula*) associated with a collapse of eelgrass (*Zostera marina*) in a Nova Scotia estuary. Helgol Mar Res 56:198–202
- Sherman K, DeBruyckere LA (2018) Eelgrass habitats on the US West Coast: state of the knowledge of eelgrass ecosystem services and eelgrass extent. Prepared by the Pacific Marine and Estuarine Fish Habitat Partnership for The Nature Conservancy. https://www.pacificfish habitat.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/09/EelGrass_ Report_Final_ForPrint_web.pdf
- Shin H, Choi HK (1998) Taxonomy and distribution of Zostera (Zosteraceae) in eastern Asia, with special reference to Korea. Aquat Bot 60:49–66
- Short FT, Burdick DM, Kaldy JE III (1995) Mesocosm experiments quantify the effects of eutrophication on eelgrass, Zostera marina. Limnol Oceanogr 40:740–749
- Shumway SE, Cucci TL, Newell RC, Yentsch CM (1985) Particle selection, ingestion, and absorption in filter-feeding bivalves. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 91:77–92
- Skinner MA, Courtenay SC, McKindsey CW (2013) Reductions in distribution, photosynthesis, and productivity of eelgrass Zostera marina associated with oyster Crassostrea virginica aquaculture. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 486: 105–119
- Skinner MA, Courtenay SC, McKindsey CW, Carver CE, Mallet al. (2014) Experimental determination of the effects of light limitation from suspended bag oyster

(Crassostrea virginica) aquaculture on the structure and photosynthesis of eelgrass (Zostera marina). J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 459:169–180

- Smith CS, Ito M, Namba M, Nakaoka M (2018) Oyster aquaculture impacts *Zostera marina* epibiont community composition in Akkeshi-ko estuary, Japan. PLOS ONE 13:e0197753
- Smith RD, Pregnall AM, Alberte RS (1988) Effects of anaerobiosis on root metabolism of Zostera marina (eelgrass): implications for survival in reducing sediments. Mar Biol 98:131–141
- Smith VH (2003) Eutrophication of freshwater and coastal marine ecosystems a global problem. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int 10:126–139
- Sørensen M (2012) A review of the effects of ingredient composition and processing conditions on the physical qualities of extruded high-energy fish feed as measured by prevailing methods. Aquacult Nutr 18:233–248
- Sprague M, Dick JR, Tocher DR (2016) Impact of sustainable feeds on omega-3 long-chain fatty acid levels in farmed Atlantic salmon, 2006–2015. Sci Rep 6:21892
- Stockbridge J, Jones AR, Gillanders BM (2020) A metaanalysis of multiple stressors on seagrasses in the context of marine spatial cumulative impacts assessment. Sci Rep 10:11934
- Tallis H, Ruesink J, Dumbauld B, Hacker S, Wisehart L (2009) Oysters and aquaculture practices affect eelgrass density and productivity in a Pacific Northwest estuary. J Shellfish Res 28:251–261
- Tamaki H, Tokuoka M, Nishijima W, Terawaki T, Okada M (2002) Deterioration of eelgrass, *Zostera marina* L., meadows by water pollution in Seto Inland Sea, Japan. Mar Pollut Bull 44:1253–1258
- Tarquinio F, Hyndes GA, Laverock B, Koenders A, Säwström C (2019) The seagrass holobiont: understanding seagrass-bacteria interactions and their role in seagrass ecosystem functioning. FEMS Microbiol Lett 366:fnz057
- Terrados J, Duarte CM, Kamp-Nielsen L, Agawin NSR and others (1999) Are seagrass growth and survival constrained by reducing conditions of the sediment? Aquat Bot 65:175–197
- Thom RM, Southard SL, Borde AB, Stoltz P (2008) Light requirements for growth and survival of eelgrass (*Zostera marina* L.) in Pacific Northwest (USA) estuaries. Estuaries Coasts 31:969–980
 - Transport Canada (2007) Replacement class screening for water column oyster aquaculture in New Brunswick. Report of the Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency. Transport Canada (TC), Moncton
- Tubbs CR, Tubbs JM (1983) The distribution of *Zostera* and its exploitation by wildfowl in the solent, Southern England. Aquat Bot 15:223–239
- Tupper M, Boutilier RG (1995) Effects of habitat on settlement, growth, and postsettlement survival of Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*). Can J Fish Aquat Sci 52: 1834–1841
- Tupper M, Boutilier RG (1997) Effects of habitat on settlement, growth, predation risk and survival of a temperate reef fish. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 151:225–236
- Unsworth RKF, Williams B, Jones BL, Cullen-Unsworth LC (2017) Rocking the boat: damage to eelgrass by swinging boat moorings. Front Plant Sci 8:1309
- Unsworth RKF, McKenzie LJ, Collier CJ, Cullen-Unsworth

Editorial responsibility: Megan La Peyre, Baton Rouge, Louisiana, USA Reviewed by: 2 anonymous referees LC and others (2019) Global challenges for seagrass conservation. Ambio 48:801–815

- van Katwijk MM, Vergeer LHT, Schmitz GHW, Roelofs JGM (1997) Ammonium toxicity in eelgrass Zostera marina. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 157:159–173
- Vieira R, Martin A, Engelen AH, Thomsen MS, Arenas F (2020) Interactive effects of co-occurring anthropogenic stressors on the seagrass, *Zostera noltei*. Ecol Indic 109: 105780
- Vinther HF, Holmer M (2008) Experimental test of biodeposition and ammonium excretion from blue mussels (*Mytilus edulis*) on eelgrass (*Zostera marina*) performance. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 364:72–79
 - Walker DI, Kendrick GA, McComb AJ (2006) Decline and recovery of seagrass ecosystems—the dynamics of change. In: Larkum AWD, Orth RJ, Duarte CM (eds) Seagrasses: biology, ecology and conservation. Springer, Dordrecht, p 551–565
- Wang X, Olsen LM, Reitan KI, Olsen Y (2012) Discharge of nutrient wastes from salmon farms: environmental effects, and potential for integrated multi-trophic aquaculture. Aquacult Environ Interact 2:267–283
- Ward DH, Morton A, Tibbitts TL, Douglas DC, Carrera-González E (2003) Long-term change in eelgrass distribution at Bahía San Quintín, Baja California, Mexico, using satellite imagery. Estuaries 26:1529–1539
- Waycott M, Duarte CM, Carruthers TJB, Orth RJ and others (2009) Accelerating loss of seagrasses across the globe threatens coastal ecosystems. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 106:12377–12381
- Williams SL, Ruckelshaus MH (1993) Effects of nitrogen availability and herbivory on eelgrass (*Zostera marina*) and epiphytes. Ecology 74:904–918
- Williamson TR, Tilley DR, Campbell E (2015) Emergy analysis to evaluate the sustainability of two oyster aquaculture systems in the Chesapeake Bay. Ecol Eng 85:103–120
- Wisehart LM, Dumbauld BR, Ruesink JL, Hacker SD (2007) Importance of eelgrass early life history stages in response to oyster aquaculture disturbance. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 344:71–80
- Wong MC (2018) Secondary production of macrobenthic communities in seagrass (*Zostera marina*, eelgrass) beds and bare soft sediments across differing environmental conditions in Atlantic Canada. Estuaries Coasts 41: 536–548
- Wong MC, Kay LM (2019) Partial congruence in habitat patterns for taxonomic and functional diversity of fish assemblages in seagrass ecosystems. Mar Biol 166:46
- Wong MC, Griffiths G, Vercaemer B (2020) Seasonal response and recovery of eelgrass (*Zostera marina*) to short-term reductions in light availability. Estuaries Coasts 43:120–134
- Wootton HF, Keough MJ (2016) Disturbance type and intensity combine to affect resilience of an intertidal community. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 560:121–133
- York PH, Gruber RK, Hill R, Ralph PJ, Booth DJ, Macreadie PI (2013) Physiological and morphological responses of the temperate seagrass *Zostera muelleri* to multiple stressors: investigating the interactive effects of light and temperature. PLOS ONE 8:e76377
- Zubak 1, Cizmek H, Mokos M (2020) Posidonia oceanica lower depth limits along a latitudinal gradient in the eastern Adriatic Sea. Bot Mar 63:209–214

Submitted: September 21, 2021

Accepted: November 29, 2021

Proofs received from author(s): February 14, 2022