

W&M ScholarWorks

Arts & Sciences Articles

Arts and Sciences

1995

$Azidotetrakis (trimethylphosphine) nickel (II) \ Tetrafluoroborate$

Caryn C. Carson

Robert D. Pike

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.wm.edu/aspubs



Cll	0.2981 (3)	0.2041 (2)	0.8102(2)	0.056(1)
Cl2	0.0060(3)	0.2667(2)	0.8044 (2)	0.059(1)
C1	0.0171 (9)	0.1395 (8)	0.5228 (7)	0.042 (5)
C2	0.1346 (10)	0.1806(8)	0.5079(7)	0.047 (6)
C3	0.3567 (10)	0.2630(8)	0.5915 (7)	0.046 (6)
C4	0.4024 (12)	0.3295 (9)	0.6589 (7)	0.052 (7)
C5	0.4930 (12)	0.3954 (10)	0.6429 (10)	0.054(8)
C6	0.5324(11)	0.3972 (10)	0.5680 (10)	0.074 (8)
C7	0.4855 (11)	0.3326 (10)	0.5056 (8)	0.061 (7)
C8	0.3966 (12)	0.2681 (9)	0.5187 (7)	0.055 (5)
C9	0.2873 (9)	0.0495 (8)	0.6173 (7)	0.045 (6)
C10	0.2465 (12)	-0.0124(8)	0.6757 (8)	0.068 (7)
C11	0.2767 (13)	-0.1130(10)	0.6793 (9)	0.074 (8)
C12	0.3508 (12)	-0.1514 (9)	0.6295 (9)	0.066 (8)
C13	0.3875 (15)	-0.0909(11)	0.5708 (13)	0.102 (11)
C14	0.3533 (14)	0.0119 (10)	0.5658 (12)	0.102 (11)
C15	-0.0863(9)	0.3299 (8)	0.5661 (7)	0.043 (5)
C16	-0.1414(13)	0.3391 (8)	0.4836 (8)	0.057 (7)
C17	-0.1842(14)	0.4323 (11)	0.4532 (9)	0.083 (9)
C18	-0.1792(14)	0.5127 (10)	0.5040 (14)	0.093 (11)
C19	-0.1273(14)	0.5065 (9)	0.5857 (10)	0.070 (8)
C20	-0.0781 (13)	0.4137 (8)	0.6154 (8)	0.069 (7)
C21	-0.1506(9)	0.1410 (8)	0.6391 (8)	0.046 (6)
C22	-0.2615(11)	0.1867 (9)	0.6427 (9)	0.061 (7)
C23	-0.3503(12)	0.1332 (12)	0.6665 (11)	0.085 (9)
C24	-0.3341(12)	0.0319 (12)	0.6893 (12)	0.094 (10)
C25	-0.2286(15)	-0.0114(10)	0.6848 (10)	0.088 (9)
C26	-0.1375 (11)	0.0431 (9)	0.6589 (9)	0.065 (7)
	, ,			

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

C12—Pd—C11 95.8 (1) C15—P1—Pd 116.6 (3) P1—Pd—C12 87.6 (1) C15—P1—C1 107.5 (5) P1—Pd—C11 172.4 (1) C18—C19—C20 119 (1) C2—P2—Pd 106.5 (4) P1—C21—C22 123 (1) C9—P2—Pd 113.0 (4) C22—C21—C26 118 (1) P2—Pd—C12 175.5 (1) C22—C23—C24 119 (1) P2—Pd—C11 88.5 (1) C24—C25—C26 123 (1) P2—Pd—P1 88.3 (1) C9—P2—C3 107.0 (5) C3—P2—Pd 120.2 (4) C21—P1—Pd 114.9 (4) C2—P2—C3 105.1 (5) C21—P1—Pd 114.9 (4) C2—P2—C3 105.1 (5) C21—P1—C1 106.4 (5)
P1—Pd—C11 172.4 (1) C18—C19—C20 119 (1) C2—P2—Pd 106.5 (4) P1—C21—C22 123 (1) C9—P2—Pd 113.0 (4) C22—C21—C26 118 (1) P2—Pd—C12 175.5 (1) C22—C23—C24 119 (1) P2—Pd—C11 88.5 (1) C24—C25—C26 123 (1) P2—Pd—P1 88.3 (1) C9—P2—C3 107.0 (5) C3—P2—Pd 120.2 (4) C21—P1—Pd 114.9 (4)
C2—P2—Pd 106.5 (4) P1—C21—C22 123 (1) C9—P2—Pd 113.0 (4) C22—C21—C26 118 (1) P2—Pd—C12 175.5 (1) C22—C23—C24 119 (1) P2—Pd—C11 88.5 (1) C24—C25—C26 123 (1) P2—Pd—P1 88.3 (1) C9—P2—C3 107.0 (5) C3—P2—Pd 120.2 (4) C21—P1—Pd 114.9 (4)
C9—P2—Pd 113.0 (4) C22—C21—C26 118 (1) P2—Pd—Cl2 175.5 (1) C22—C23—C24 119 (1) P2—Pd—Cl1 88.5 (1) C24—C25—C26 123 (1) P2—Pd—P1 88.3 (1) C9—P2—C3 107.0 (5) C3—P2—Pd 120.2 (4) C21—P1—Pd 114.9 (4)
P2—Pd—Cl2 175.5 (1) C22—C23—C24 119 (1) P2—Pd—Cl1 88.5 (1) C24—C25—C26 123 (1) P2—Pd—Pl 88.3 (1) C9—P2—C3 107.0 (5) C3—P2—Pd 120.2 (4) C21—P1—Pd 114.9 (4)
P2—Pd—Cl1 88.5 (1) C24—C25—C26 123 (1) P2—Pd—P1 88.3 (1) C9—P2—C3 107.0 (5) C3—P2—Pd 120.2 (4) C21—P1—Pd 114.9 (4)
P2—Pd—P1 88.3 (1) C9—P2—C3 107.0 (5) C3—P2—Pd 120.2 (4) C21—P1—Pd 114.9 (4)
C3—P2—Pd 120.2 (4) C21—P1—Pd 114.9 (4)
$C_2 P_2 C_3 = 105.1(5) C_21P_1C_1 = 106.4(5)$
C2—F2—C3 105.1 (5) C21—F1—C1 100.4 (5)
C9—P2—C2 103.5 (5) C15—P1—C21 106.5 (5)
C1—P1—Pd 104.3 (3)

Data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization factors. The structure was solved by direct methods with *SHELXS*86 (Sheldrick, 1985). The structure was refined using *SHELX*76 (Sheldrick, 1976). H atoms were fixed geometrically. The perspective view of the title molecule was drawn using *ORTEPII* (Johnson, 1976) and geometrical analysis was performed using *PARST* (Nardelli, 1983).

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: MU1120). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

Bakir, M., Fanwick, P. E. & Walton, R. A. (1987). *Polyhedron*, 6, 907-913.

Cromer, D. T. & Mann, J. B. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 321-324. Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.

Lewis, D. J., Luck, R. L. & Silverton, J. V. (1993). Acta Cryst. C49, 1424–1426. Nardelli, M. (1983). Comput. Chem. 7, 95-98.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1976). SHELX76. Program for Crystal Structure Determination. Univ. of Cambridge, England.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1985). SHELXS86. Program for the Solution of Crystal Structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Germany.

Steffen, W. L. & Palenik, G. J. (1976). Inorg. Chem. 15, 2432-2438.

Wilkinson, G. (1951). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 73, 5502.

Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 595-598

Azidotetrakis(trimethylphosphine)nickel(II) Tetrafluoroborate

CARYN C. CARSON AND ROBERT D. PIKE*

Department of Chemistry, College of William and Mary, Williamsburg, Virginia 23187, USA

GENE B. CARPENTER

Department of Chemistry, Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island 02912, USA

(Received 20 June 1994; accepted 15 September 1994)

Abstract

The title complex, [Ni(N₃)(C₃H₉P)₄]BF₄, is a nearly perfect trigonal bipyramid with the azide group at an apical position. The metal–azide bond angle, Ni1—N1—N2, of 138.6 (5)° is the largest observed for a terminal azide ligand.

Comment

Nickel(0) and nickel(I) reagents have significant utility in aryl-coupling reactions (Zembayashi, Tamao, Yoshida & Kumada, 1977; Semmelhack *et al.*, 1981; Rollin, Troupel, Tuck & Perichon, 1986; Amatore & Jutand, 1988; Zhou & Yamamoto, 1991). A potential source of such low-valency metal complexes are metal azides. This results from the ability of the azide to undergo photo-induced reductive elimination. As part of a study of nickel-azide-phosphine complexes, the azidotetrakis(trimethylphosphine)nickel(II) cation was synthesized as its BF_4^- salt, (1), and examined by X-ray crystallography. To our knowledge, this species is the only known five-coordinate nickel-azide complex.

$$Me_{3}P \bigvee_{N_{1}^{+}-PMe_{3}}^{N} BF_{4}^{-}$$

$$Me_{3}P \bigvee_{PMe_{3}}^{N}$$

$$(1)$$

The title complex is a dark violet solid which is soluble in polar organic solvents, as well as in water. In solution the complex readily dissociates a phosphine ligand, as evidenced by changes in the electronic spectrum (391, 556 nm bands are replaced by one at 463 nm) and the IR spectrum (asymmetric azide stretch at 2062 cm⁻¹ is replaced by 2053 cm⁻¹). The solid may be stored in a sealed container below room temperature, but loses trimethylphosphine above 273 K over a period of several weeks.

Five-coordinate Ni complexes are not abundant, but most of those which are known exhibit approximate trigonal bipyramidal (tbp) geometry. Distortion from tbp geometry is very slight in the present case, as indicated by the N1-Ni1-P4 angle of 173.6(2)°. The metal atom lies 0.183 (1) Å from the equatorial plane defined by P1, P2 and P3, displaced toward P4. The point group symmetry of the cation is reduced from C_s to C_1 since N2 and N3 of the azide ligand are neither eclipsed nor perfectly staggered with respect to the three equatorial P atoms. The P3-Ni1-N1-N2 dihedral angle is -34.6° . Comparison of the overall geometry of [Ni(N₃)(PMe₃)₄]BF₄ with those of the related complexes [NiBr(PMe₃)₄]BF₄ (Dartiguenave et al., 1978) and [NiBr{P(OMe)₃}₄]BF₄ (Milbrath, Springer, Clardy & Verkade, 1975) revealed that the bromide ligand in the previously reported nickel phosphine and phosphite structures assumes an equatorial position, while in the present case the azide ligand is axial. Bromide and azide ligands occupy similar positions in the spectrochemical series as a result of their similarity in electronegativity, nucleophilic constant and dipole moment (Patai &




Fig. 1. View of the azidotetrakis(trimethylphosphine)nickel(II) cation. Displacement ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level. H atoms are shown with arbitrary radii.

Treinin, 1971). Theoretical calculations (Rossi & Hoffmann, 1975) suggest that π -donor ligands (such as Br and N₃) will prefer axial positions in d^8 tbp complexes. This is borne out only in the present case.

The azide group itself is nearly linear with N1— N2-N3 174.9 (8)°. The Ni1-N1 distance of 1.929 Å is somewhat short, but lies within the typical range of 1.93-2.12 Å (Dori & Ziolo, 1973). It should be noted that axial bond lengths in tbp nickel complexes are typically a little shortened. The N1-N2 and N2-N3 distances are also typical. However, the metalazide bond angle, Ni1-N1-N2, of 138.6 (5)° is quite unusual. The generally accepted range is 117-128° (Dori & Ziolo, 1973), although at least one significant outlier to this range is known: [Cp₂Ti(N₃)₂] (de Gil. de Burguera, Rivera & Maxfield, 1977), where the Ti-N-N angle is 137°. In fact, the metal-azide bond angle in the present structure is, to our knowledge, the largest yet observed. This large metal-azide bond angle may be understood by examination of the contributing resonance structures (I)–(III):

Since most metal—azide bond angles are around 120° , it is reasonable that form (II) (having sp^2 hybridization at the bound N atom) is usually dominant. On the other hand, the large metal—azide bond angle found in the title compound corresponds to a resonance hybrid between (II) and (III). Resonance form (III) results from nitrogento-nickel π donation. The conclusion that the azide behaves as a strong π donor in $[Ni(PMe_3)_4(N_3)]^+$ is reasonable given the acceptor character of the phosphine ligands. The Ni1—N1—N2 bond angle may also be influenced by crowding from the phosphine ligands. Each of the three P4—Ni1—PX (X = 1, 2, 3) angles is approximately 94– 95° .

Experimental

Nickel tetrafluoroborate was prepared from nickel carbonate and HBF₄. Ni(BF₄)₂ and NaN₃ (1 mmol of each) were dissolved in about 10 ml of H₂O. To this lime-green solution, 4 ml of 1 M PMe₃ in tetrahydrofuran was added. Dark violet crystals precipitated and were collected after cooling the solution to 258 K. Suitable single crystals were grown over several weeks at room temperature from a concentrated CH₂Cl₂ solution layered with hexanes in a 3 mm i.d. tube.

Crystal data

 $[Ni(N_3)(C_3H_9P)_4]BF_4$ $M_r = 491.84$ Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$

Orthorhombic Pbca a = 13.778 (2) Å b = 13.2816 (13) Å c = 26.188 (3) Å $V = 4792.1 (9) \text{ Å}^3$ Z = 8 $D_x = 1.363 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	Cell parameters from 39 reflections $\theta = 2.15-25.0^{\circ}$ $\mu = 1.109 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ $T = 298 (2) \text{ K}$ Prism $0.54 \times 0.38 \times 0.20 \text{ mm}$ Dark violet
Data collection Siemens P4 diffractometer Profile-fitted ω scans Absorption correction: empirical $T_{\min} = 0.524, T_{\max} = 0.609$ 5242 measured reflections 4220 independent reflections 2018 observed reflections $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	$R_{\text{int}} = 0.0426$ $\theta_{\text{max}} = 25.00^{\circ}$ $h = -1 \rightarrow 16$ $k = -1 \rightarrow 15$ $l = -31 \rightarrow 1$ 3 standard reflections frequency: 97 min intensity decay: none

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = -0.052$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.0577$	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} = -0.052$ $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.657 \text{ e Å}^{-3}$
$wR(F^2) = 0.1337$	$\Delta \rho_{\min} = -0.633 \text{ e Å}^{-3}$
S = 1.106	Extinction correction: none
4220 reflections	Atomic scattering factors
232 parameters	from International Tables
H atoms refined as riding	for Crystallography (1992,
$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0743P)^2]$	Vol. C, Tables 4.2.6.8 and
where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$	6.1.1.4)

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å²)

 $U_{\rm iso}$ for disordered BF₄; $U_{\rm eq} = (1/3)\sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_i^* a_i . a_j$ for remainder.

			, , , ,	
	x	y	z	$U_{\rm iso}/U_{\rm eq}$
Nil	0.0554(1)	0.1954(1)	0.1057(1)	0.045(1)
P1	-0.0521(1)	0.0847 (2)	0.1435(1)	0.064(1)
P2	0.0447(1)	0.2476(1)	0.0221(1)	0.054(1)
P3	0.2006(1)	0.2210(1)	0.1483(1)	0.053(1)
P4	-0.0137(1)	0.3283(1)	0.1353(1)	0.061(1)
NI	0.1045 (4)	0.0742 (4)	0.0744(2)	0.064(2)
N2	0.1775 (5)	0.0430(5)	0.0588(2)	0.066(2)
N3	0.2459 (5)	0.0070(6)	0.0414(3)	0.135(3)
CI	-0.1307(6)	0.1079(7)	0.1977(3)	0.104(3)
C2	0.0090(6)	-0.0275(6)	0.1652 (4)	0.117(3)
C3	-0.1370(5)	0.0334(7)	0.0972(3)	0.105(3)
C4	0.1597 (5)	0.2487 (7)	-0.0110(2)	0.104(3)
C5	-0.0031(7)	0.3651 (6)	-0.0028(3)	0.127 (4)
C6	-0.0250(6)	0.1607 (6)	-0.0140(3)	0.094(3)
C 7	0.2418 (5)	0.1089(5)	0.1797(3)	0.087(2)
C8	0.2271 (6)	0.3115 (5)	0.1980(3)	0.085(2)
C9	0.2988 (5)	0.2474 (7)	0.1035(3)	0.094(3)
C10	0.0457 (5)	0.4435 (5)	0.1184(3)	0.078(2)
C11	-0.1383(5)	0.3483 (7)	0.1138(3)	0.097(3)
C12	-0.0239(6)	0.3390(7)	0.2042 (3)	0.098(3)
B1 <i>A</i>	0.5877 (13)	0.1762 (14)	0.1749 (7)	0.091(3)
F1 <i>A</i>	0.6431 (9)	0.2511 (9)	0.1930(5)	0.125 (5)
F2A	0.6170 (9)	0.0885 (9)	0.1978 (5)	0.143 (4)
F3A	0.5931(11)	0.1587 (11)	0.1241 (4)	0.164 (5)
F4A	0.4943 (7)	0.1954 (8)	0.1857 (4)	0.122 (4)
B1 <i>B</i>	0.5961 (14)	0.1787 (14)	0.1687 (7)	0.091(3)
F1 <i>B</i>	0.6541 (8)	0.2595 (8)	0.1723 (4)	0.104 (4)
F2B	0.6433 (8)	0.1076 (9)	0.1402 (5)	0.134 (4)
F3B	0.5189 (9)	0.2053 (9)	0.1390 (5)	0.141 (4)
F4B	0.5706 (10)	0.1377 (11)	0.2148 (4)	0.161 (5)

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

Ni1N1	1.929 (6)	P2C5	1.815 (7)
Ni1—P4	2.152(2)	P3—C7	1.793 (7)
Ni1—P2	2.301(2)	P3—C8	1.809 (7)
Ni1—P1	2.309(2)	P3—C9	1.825 (6)
Ni1—P3	2.316(2)	P4—C10	1.791 (7)
P1—C2	1.804 (8)	P4—C12	1.815 (7)
PI—C1	1.812(7)	P4—C11	1.826 (7)
P1—C3	1.817 (7)	N1—N2	1.162 (7)
P2C6	1.773 (7)	N2—N3	1.150(8)
P2—C4	1.806 (7)		
N1Ni1P4	173.6 (2)	N1—Ni1—P3	91.4 (2)
N1—Ni1—P2	82.6 (2)	P4Ni1P3	95.03 (7)
P4—Ni1—P2	93.89 (7)	P2Ni1P3	118.02 (7)
N1—Ni1—P1	82.9 (2)	P1Ni1P3	116.15 (7)
P4—Ni1—P1	94.80(8)	N2N1Ni1	138.6 (5)
P2Ni1P1	123.95 (7)	N3N2N1	174.9 (8)

The structure was determined by Patterson methods and refined initially by use of programs in the SHELXTL/PC (Sheldrick, 1990) package, which was also used for the figure. The quality of the solution was compromised somewhat by disorder in the BF₄ ion. This disorder was modeled as two half-occupancy ions with individual isotropic displacement parameters, except for the parameters for the two B half-atoms which were constrained to be equal. All B—F distances were restrained to be similar with a standard deviation of 0.03 Å. as were all F...F distances within each ion. Only nine of the H atoms appeared in a difference map. Each H atom was introduced in an ideal position, riding on the atom to which it is bonded and refined with an isotropic temperature factor 20% greater than that of the parent atom. All other atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. The 12 methyl groups were allowed to rotate about their P—C bonds. Final refinement on F^2 was carried out using SHELXL93 (Sheldrick, 1993).

Data collection: XSCANS (Siemens, 1993). Cell refinement: XSCANS. Data reduction: XSCANS. Program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL/PC (Siemens, 1990). Program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL93 (Sheldrick, 1993). Molecular graphics: SHELXTL/PC. Software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL93.

Acknowledgement is made to the donors of the Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society (PRF No. 26521-GB1), and to the College of William and Mary for support of this research. The X-ray equipment was purchased with assistance from an instrument grant from the National Science Foundation (CHE-8206423) and a grant from the National Institutes of Health (RR-06462).

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters and H-atom coordinates have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: BK1077). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

Amatore, C. & Jutand, A. (1988). Organometallics, 7, 2203-2214.
Dartiguenave, M., Dartiguenave, Y., Gleizes, A., Saint-Joly, C., Galy, J., Meier, P. & Merbach, A. E. (1978). Inorg. Chem. 17, 3503-3512.
Dori, Z. & Ziolo, R. F. (1973). Chem. Rev. 73, 247-254.

Gil, E. R. de, de Burguera, M., Rivera, A. V. & Maxfield, P. (1977). Acta Cryst. B33, 578-579.

Milbrath, D. S., Springer, J. P., Clardy, J. C. & Verkade, J. G. (1975). Inorg. Chem. 14, 2665–2668.

Patai, A. & Treinin, A. (1971). In *The Chemistry of the Azido Group*. London: Interscience.

Rollin, Y., Troupel, M., Tuck, D. G. & Perichon, J. (1986). *J. Organomet. Chem.* **303**, 131–137.

Rossi, A. & Hoffmann, R. (1975). Inorg. Chem. 14, 365-374.

Semmelhack, M. F., Helquist, P., Jones, L. D., Keller, L., Mendelson, L., Ryono, L. S., Smith, J. G. & Stauffer, R. D. (1981). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 103, 6460-6471.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). SHELXTL/PC Users Manual. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Germany.

Siemens (1993). XSCANS. Version 2.00a. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Zembayashi, M., Tamao, K., Yoshida, J.-I. & Kumada, M. (1977). Tetrahedron Lett. pp. 4089–4092.

Zhou, Z.-H. & Yamamoto, T. (1991). J. Organomet. Chem. 414, 119-127.

Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 598-600

trans-Bis(O,O'-diethyl dithiophosphato- $\kappa^2 S$, S')bis(isoquinoline- κN)nickel(II)

XIAO-YING HUANG

State Key Laboratory of Structural Chemistry, Fujian Institute of Research on the Structure of Matter, Academia Sinica, Fuzhou 350002, People's Republic of China

REN-GEN XIONG AND JUN-XIU DONG

Department of Chemistry and the State Key Laboratory of Structural Chemistry, Logistic Engineering College, Chongquing, 630042 Shicun, People's Republic of China

XIAO-ZENG YOU

Coordination Chemistry Institute and the State Key Laboratory of Structural Chemistry, Nanjing University, Nanjing, 210008 Jiangsu, People's Republic of China

(Received 13 April 1994; accepted 4 October 1994)


Abstract

In (OC-6-12)-bis(O,O'-diethyl dithiophosphato- κ^2S , S')-bis $(isoquinoline-\kappa N)$ nickel(II), $[Ni(C_4H_{10}O_2PS_2)_2-(C_9H_7N)_2]$, a distorted N_2S_4 octahedron is formed around the central Ni atom. Two O,O'-diethyl dithiophosphate (dtp) ions act as bidentate ligands, each forming a four-membered chelate ring by coordination of its

two S atoms in the equatorial plane. Two axial isoquinoline ligands are coordinated to the metal atom through their N atoms. The Ni—S and Ni—N bond distances are 2.507 (2)–2.518 (1) Å and 2.118 (3) Å, respectively.

Comment

The structures of the triphenylphosphine and pyridine adducts of (diethyl dithiophosphato)nickel(II) have been reported (Liu, Lin, Yu, Zhu, Xu, Gou & You, 1991; Ooi & Fernando, 1967). In [Ni{(C₂H₅O)₂PS₂}₂{P(C₆H₅)₃}], the Ni atom displays square-pyramidal coordination (Liu et al., 1991), whereas octahedral metal coordination was found in [Ni{(C₂H₅O)₂PS₂}₂(C₅H₅N)₂] (Ooi & Fernando, 1967). Crystals of the title compound, (I), are composed of discrete molecules (Fig. 1) and each Ni atom lies on a crystallographic centre of symmetry. Two dtp ligands are bonded to nickel by their two S atoms, forming four-membered chelate rings in the equatorial plane; the octahedral coordination is completed by the N atoms of two isoquinoline ligands.



The Ni—S distances [2.507 (2) and 2.518 (1) Å] are slightly longer than those in $[Ni\{(C_2H_5O)_2PS_2\}_2-\{P(C_6H_5)_3\}]$ and $[Ni(dtp)_2]$ [2.43 (3) and 2.21 Å, re-




Fig. 1. Molecular structure (*ORTEPII*; Johnson, 1976) of the title complex showing 40% probability displacement ellipsoids. H atoms are omitted for clarity.