Assyriological Comments on Some Difficult Passages.

By

S. Langdon, M. A. (Oxford).

I

The Sumerian Original of the Aramaic Transcription of the name NIN-IB.

With Professor Ungnad, OLZ. 1917, 1, I begin with an apology for presenting a new conjecture concerning the Aramaic letters ארשת, which transcribes the Sumerian deity d NIN-IB1, in the names NIN-IB-uballit, N.-aba-usur, N.-iddin. A great many suggestions were prematurely made on the Sumerian or Babylonian pronunciation of this divine name, such as Enmastu for En-mar-tu (Clay), being based upon the supposition that the war-god NIN-IB was somehow identical with the god Amurru, Anwust, Enwust (Jensen) for an hypothetical namuštu < namurtu, namartu (Nimrod), En-nammašti (Halévy), In-namušti (Hrozný), Unaštu (Dhorme), En-ušāti (Radau), and the writer, reading אולשת and uraš for IB, suggested En-urašat. 2 All of these suggestions have been abandoned owing to the discovery of the reading NIN-ur-ta in the Yale Syllabary, Clay, Miscellaneous Inscriptions, No. 53, 288 = Poebel, PBS. V 104, Rev. IV 7 (UNGNAD, OLZ. 1917, 2). The duplicate, CT. 35, 8, 40 has (ur-ta) IB: ša ur-ta d NIN-IB, i. e., »Read ur-ta

¹ CLAY, BE. X No. 29; No. 87 and *ibid.*, p. 8. CLAY, Aramaic Endorsements, in Old Testament Studies in Memory of William Rainey Harper, Nos. 31; 25; 27; 14. LOUIS DELAPORTE, Épigraphes Araméens, Nos. 51; 52; 62; 75.

² Babylonian Liturgies, 147 n. 1. See DELAPORTE, ibid., p. 20—1. POGNON, JA. 1913, 411, wished to start with dMAŠ, an ideogram for dNIN-IB, which he then read an-ušat, and which Thureau-Dangin, RA. 11, 81 adopted provisionally.

But I should like to suggest that the new reading Ni-urta, which is extremely probable as one of the names of Nin-IB and whose original reading I believe to have been Nin-urašā, may have no connection with the Aramaic letters at all. In Professor Clay's Babylonian Records in the Library of J. Pierpont Morgan, IV No. 25, is published a fragment of a series which contained a kind of religious calender for the rituals and feasts of most of the days of each month. This is a duplicate of Reisner, SBH. p. 144. Line 39 = SBH. 144, 19 has this entry for the 15th of Arahsamnu; ša d Anaš-šat ša alu Dunni-saidi. The variant has simply An-aš-šat. At the end is the ideogram ann NIG-DIRIG-IM = alu Dun-nu-za-i-du, CT. 19, 18, Rev. b 19 (Correct GUR to ERI after the earlier edition, II R. 48 c-d 19). This city occurs as all Du-un-ne-za-i-di-(ki), CT. 4, 23 c 3, which refers to a temple of Nabu there, l. 16. In VS. 16, 64, 19, occurs the phrase, ištu Sippar(ki) ana Du-un-nuza-i-di-(ki). EBELING, KAR. 109, 11, the mother goddess in the temple Ehili is Ishtar ŠU- NU^1 Du-un-ni-sa-i-di ša eli UT-TI-UL tudammik (?). Dun-nu-sa-i-di-(ki), II Raw. 52 No. 2, 61,2 From these passages we learn that the place was not far from Sippar, and that the deities Nabu and Ishtar were worshipped there. H

¹ Text may not be correct. And we date a product the er made at Haidw

² Passages already collected by LANDSBERGER, OLZ. 1921, 314.

R. 60 a 17 enters the name after Maer and gives $B\hat{e}lit$ - $\hat{s}\hat{e}ri$, a form of Ishtar, as the deity of Du-ni-sa-i-di-(ki). Now Ishtar as $b\hat{e}lit$ $\hat{s}\hat{e}ri$, »Queen of the plain, i. e. lower-world», is probably not precisely identical with $Shala = A\hat{s}ratu = gubarra = b\hat{e}lit$ $\hat{s}\hat{e}ri$, »Queen of the plains», as the Western Ashtarte.

Bélit sêri, in the former sense, is ordinarily a title of the grain goddess Geštinanna (bêlit sêri dupšarrat [Aralli]), IV R. 27 B. 29: [Be-lit]-şêri dupšarrat irşitim, KB. VI 190, 47. See also Babyloniaca VII 26 f. On the other hand Bêlit şêri as queen of the western plains is Ašratum or the Western Ashtoreth and this is the probable meaning of the Bêlit-sêri of Duni-sāidi. Now a gubarra = "Ašrat is the consort of the western ba'al, Adad (Amurru), v. Bab. VII, 26; SBP, 162 and n. 14. gú-bar-ra is explained in the syllabaries by 1) sêru, plain, high land and 2) za-a-i-du 1, sāiru, enemy, hater. 2 zāidu is probably for sāidu by partial assimilation of sonants, and from the verb $s\hat{a}du$, to slay, destroy, Delitzsch, H. W., 488; Epicof Creation I 73. Dunni-sāidi or »Fortress of the Slaver» has, therefore, obtained its name from the Sumerian title of Ašratu or Belitsêri, i. e., d. Gubarra. sāidu, as participle masculine, cannot be directly applied to the goddess Ašratu or Bêlit-şêri, but rather to a male deity of the city.

To return to the text, CLAY, Morgan Library, IV 61 = SBH. 144 R. 1 has a-na kinuni ša d-Bêli d-NIN-IB ša d-alu Dunni-sāidi išatam inadi, »He shall place fire into the oven of Bêl-Ninurta of Dunni-sāidi». Clearly then d-NIN-IB is the god of this city referred to by d-An-aš-šat in line 39 and sāidu refers to him as the war-god. Cf. d-NIN-IB dāxik šadî, SBH. 49, 11, sāpin mat nakirê, King, AKA., 257, 7 etc. Dunni-sāidi, »Fortress of the Slayer», probably owes its name to the cult of Ishtar (Bêlit-şêri) as dGubarra, the slayer, and to the cult of NIN-IB, to whom the title sāidu was actually applied. The cults of this city, which was situated in the region between Sippar and Maer, had obviously

¹ KBo, I 39, 41, lú-gú-bar = za-a-i-du, with zinû, zininû, enraged. Cf. WEIDNER, Studien zur hethitischen Sprachwissenschaft, 55.

² CT. 12, 48, 23; Samsuituna, year date, 14.

Western connections, and the title of NIN-IB there would have been preferred by the Aramaic speaking peoples of the late period. If the reading Anaššat be the correct phonetic reproduction of this divine name at Dunni-sāidi, then it had more chance of being known in Aramaic than the title Ninurta. Granting that this argument is correct, and I put it forward only as a reasonable suggestion, then the Aramaic letters אמשת should stand for An-aš-šat. The letter is employed consistently in the Aramaic dockets for z m (in שׁושׁ = Šamaš, שׁושׁ = šum, read Šayaš, Šay?), and for the long vowel \bar{u} (בבו $Nab\hat{u}$, דויהוש Da-ri-ja-a-us etc.). This indicates a reading Anūššat, which may be a dialectic variant. In any case this suggestion overcomes the principal difficulties in the explanation of the letters. By this process we arrive at a reading almost identical with that suggested by Pognon, although the method of arriving at his conclusion does not appear to be sound. d. Maš = d. Ninurta, is read ma-aš, CT. 11, 29 a 1. Pognon reads the whole as Anu-šat. The sign when it has the Semitic value sa-a-at, Hebrew האס, Aramaic dockets סמת, Syriac, sā'tā, 1/30 of a gur, is read ba-an in Sumerian, Yale Vocabulary, 274, full form ba-an-da, V Raw. 42 d 12, and has no connection whatsoever with the sign (maš). The original sign for sātu is Thureau-Dangin, REC. 481 and for mas, v. ibid., No. 29. And in itself the transcription Anu-šat (sat) is most improbable, being a hybrid of Sumerian and Semitic. If the signs >> > > be read Anu-aš-šat, it yields a perfect explanation for the Aramaic letters. For a connection between Ninurta and Anu, see Babylonian Liturgies, p. 146. I do not mean to imply that Anūššat, Anaššat, should replace the obviously accepted Sumerian name Ninurta, Ninuraša. It is rather another title of this god, and perhaps only a local one at Dunni-sāidi.

H

 $\check{S}itim-\acute{t}d-da=s\~{\imath}ki$ ($s\~{a}kiru$), Canal-repairer.

A collation of the text, CT. 19, 41, k. 4560 + 13613, l. 8, reveal the interesting fact that the signs are to be read (šitim-id-da) = si-ki-ru. For the reading DIM (ši-di-im) =

[idinnu, itinnu], builder, v. Poebel, PBS. V 117, 15 and ameth DIM, variant of idinnu or itinnu in late contracts, Hrozný, Ninib und Sumer, Revue sémitique, 1908, p. 7; OLZ. 1912, 58. The reading itinnu is preferred from the abstract noun i-ti-nu-tim, Messerschmidt, KAH. I 2 II 10; i-ti-in-nu, BE. XV 32, 5. Cf. King, AKA. 98, 94, amet DIM-te, i. e., itinnûte. The sign AL has also the value šiti-im, Voc. Martin I 5 and line 6 is probably to be read AL-id-da = si-ki-ru, i. e., šitim-id-da. Meissner, SAI. 4086 and 6870 are to be corrected in accordance with these readings. For the collation of K. 4560 and 13613 I am obliged to Mr. C. J. Gadd.

III

ki-g'ur, to bend to the earth, to crush.

HAR with value ur < g'ur is rendered by $kad\bar{a}du$, to bow, bend. RA. 14, 80, 27; CT. 12, 21, 93040 Rev. I 25; g'ur = kiddatu, misery, Reisner, SBH. 84, 23 = SBP. 144, 31; RA. 13, 29, 19. gur-gur = kiddatu, SBP. 140, 23 = SBH. 83, 23 and 1. 25. Therefore g'ur-rati-la, probably balāt kiddati, life of misery, Urukagina Cones B—C, 12, 14, whe freed them from a life of misery. Note also [HAR =]kiddatum and kiddatum ûmu, 93040 Rev. II 35—6 and é-g'ur-ra = bit kiddati (?), Zimmern, Kultlieder, 201, 2-4. gur-ra ma-da-ni ba-an-dŭ-a, she freed his land from miserys, with Var. gur-ra mada-an-ni ab-kid-kid-da (AG-AG-da), and note that both Sumerian roots dug and kid mean pitû, to open, set free; Ammiditana, year date 21. Perhaps here, gur-mu dug', "Undo my misery", Gudea, St. BVII 29. The verb probably occurs in $g\dot{u}$ - $g\dot{u}r$, "that which allows the neck to bend, esennu, back-bone, CT. 12, 48 b 21. Therefore ki-g'ur, Gudea, Cyl. A, 5, 10 should mean sto bend to the earths. anšu nitag'..... ki-ma-g'ur-g'ur-e, An ass..... crouched (beside my king), as Thureau-Dangin, SAK. 94, suggested. For the construction ki-gur, cf. $gam = kad\bar{a}du$ and gu-gam, »to bend the neck» $= kad\bar{a}du$; $g\acute{a} ki$ - $s\acute{u} g\grave{a}$ - $g\grave{a}$, »to place the neck to the earth», $kad\bar{a}du$; CT. 12, 46 b 7. 12. With ki-g'ur (=kadādu?), cf. $g\acute{u}$ -ki-še gar, ibid., 11.