

Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation in children with COVID-19: preliminary report from the collaborative EuroELSO prospective study.

Nardo, M. di; Hoskote, A.; Thiruchelvam, T.; Lillie, J.; Horan, M.; Hofheinz, S.B.; ...; EuroELSO Neonatal Pediat

## Citation

Nardo, M. di, Hoskote, A., Thiruchelvam, T., Lillie, J., Horan, M., Hofheinz, S. B., ... Belohlavek, J. (2020). Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation in children with COVID-19: preliminary report from the collaborative EuroELSO prospective study. *Asaio Journal*, 67(2), 121-124. doi:10.1097/MAT.000000000001309

Version: Publisher's Version

License: Creative Commons CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 license

Downloaded from: <a href="https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3278238">https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3278238</a>

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

## Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation in Children with Coronavirus Disease 2019: Preliminary Report from the Collaborative European Chapter of the Extracorporeal Life Support Organization Prospective Survey

MATTEO DI NARDO®,\* APARNA HOSKOTE,† TIM THIRUCHELVAM,† JON LILLIE®,‡ MARIE HORAN,§

SYLVIA BELDA HOFHEINZ®,¶ LAURENT DUPIC,∥ RICARDO GIMENO,# MARIA ELENA DE PIERO,\*\*†† VALERIA LO COCO,††

PETER ROELEVELD,‡‡ MARC DAVIDSON,§§ TIM JONES,¶¶ LARS MIKAEL BROMAN®,∥∥ ROBERTO LORUSSO,††## AND

JAN BELOHLAVEK\*\*\*, on behalf of the EuroELSO Neonatal & Pediatric Working Group & collaborators on COVID-19

Key Words: extracorporeal membrane oxygenation, pediatrics, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2, inflammation

Since the declaration of the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) pandemic,¹ a small percentage of children with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) infection have required intensive care unit (ICU) admission with an even smaller percentage needing extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) support.²,³ To provide contemporaneous data on ECMO utilization and activity during the COVID-19 pandemic, the European Chapter of the Extracorporeal Life Support Organization (EuroELSO) established a prospective survey among European neonatal and pediatric centers from the 15th of March to the end of June 2020. The survey was approved by the Maastricht University Medical Centre Ethics Committee. Centers reported anonymized data weekly

From the \*Pediatric Intensive Care Unit, Children's Hospital Bambino Gesù, "IRCCS", Rome, Italy; †Pediatric Cardiac Intensive Care Unit, Great Ormond Street Hospital, London, United Kingdom; ‡Pediatric Intensive Care Unit, Evelina London Children's Hospital, London, United Kingdom; §Pediatric Intensive Care Unit, Alder Hey Children's Hospital, Liverpool, United Kingdom; ¶Pediatric Cardiac Intensive Care Unit, Hospital 12 de Octubre, Madrid, Spain; ||Pediatric Intensive Care Unit, Necker Children's Hospital, Paris, France; #Intensive Care Medicine, Hospital Universitari i Politecnic la Fe, Valencia, Spain; \*\*Dipartimento di Anestesia e Rianimazione, Ospedale San Giovanni Bosco, Torino, Italy; ††Cardio-thoracic Surgery Department, Heart and Vascular Center, Maastricht University Medical Center, Maastricht, The Netherlands; ##Pediatric Cardiac Intensive Care Unit, Leiden University Medical Center, The Netherlands; §§Pediatric Cardiac Intensive Care Unit, Glasgow, Yorkhill Royal Hospital for Sick Children, Glasgow, United Kingdom; ¶¶Pediatric Cardiac Surgery Unit, Birmingham Women's and Children's, Birmingham, United Kingdom; || || Karolinska ECMO Center, Stockholm, Sweden; ##Cardiovascular Research Institute, Maastricht (CARIM), Maastricht, The Netherlands; and \*\*\*Internal Medicine and Cardiology Unit, Charles University of Prague, Prague, Czech Republic.

Submitted for consideration July 2020; accepted for publication in revised form August 2020.

Disclosure: The authors have no conflicts of interest to report.

EuroELSO Neonatal & Pediatric Working Group & collaborators on COVID-19 are listed in ACKNOWLEDGMENT section.

Correspondence: Matteo Di Nardo, Pediatric Critical Care Medicine, Children's Hospital Bambino Gesù, "IRCCS", Rome, Italy. Email: matteo.dinardo@opbg.net.

Copyright © ASAIO 2020

DOI: 10.1097/MAT.000000000001309

through the EuroELSO website (www.euroelso.org). We report the preliminary data from 52 neonatal and pediatric ECMO centers across Europe during the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic.

Seven children (<18 years of age) from four countries received ECMO support for SARS-CoV-2 infection. The demographics, clinical details, and pre-ECMO characteristics of these seven children supported on ECMO are shown in Table 1. The median age was 11.5 years (range 54 days to 16 years), three (43%) were male, and two (29%) had underlying comorbidities. These significant comorbidities included one infant with transposition of great arteries diagnosed at 5 weeks of age and another child who received hematopoietic stem cell transplant (HSCT) for primary immunodeficiency disease (STAT-3 mutation). The indications for ECMO were hypoxemia (n = 3, 43%), shock associated with Pediatric Multisystem Inflammatory Syndrome Temporally Associated With SARS-CoV-2 (PIMS-TS) $^{4,5}$  (n = 3, 43%), and septic shock (Staphylococcus aureus) (n = 1, 14%). The median time from the onset of symptoms to ECMO deployment was 5 days (range 2-32 days), while median time from intubation to ECMO was 34 hours (range 10-624 hours). All patients were ventilated in pressure-controlled mode in the 6 hours pre-ECMO deployment. Adjunctive respiratory therapies pre-ECMO such as prone positioning (n = 2, 28%), inhaled nitric oxide (n = 2, 28%), and high-frequency oscillatory ventilation (n = 1, 14%) were tried. In the three children supported for hypoxemia, the median oxygenation index was 29 (range 24-41) and the partial pressure of oxygen (PaO<sub>2</sub>)/fraction of inspired oxygen (FiO<sub>2</sub>) ratio was 65 (range 37–82). In the three patients with PIMS-TS and in the one with sepsis, supported for hemodynamic collapse, the median Vasoactive-Inotropic Score was 160 (range 142-220) and the median lactate was 7 mmol/L (range 4-9 mmol/L). All, except the patient number 6, had signs of hyperinflammatory state.<sup>4,6</sup> In particular, children with PIMS-TS reported higher levels of inflammatory proteins before ECMO: C-reactive protein 306 mg/L (range 300-463 mg/L), ferritin 2,185 ng/mL (range 845-4,815 ng/mL), and fibrinogen 5.8 g/L (range 5-9.5 g/L). Patient 2 with S. aureus septic shock reported lower level of inflammatory proteins (C-reactive protein 184 mg/L, fibrinogen 3.7 g/L, and ferritin 1,100 ng/mL).

The ECMO characteristics, treatment, and outcome of these children are described in Table 2. The initial ECMO mode was predominantly venoarterial (VA) ECMO in six (85%) and

Table 1. Demographics, Clinical Details, and Pre-ECMO Characteristics of Children Supported on ECMO for SARS-CoV-2 Infection

Antiviral Therapy (Yes/No)	Yes (lopinavir- ritonavir→ remdesivir)	ON	ON.	ON	ON.	Yes (remdesivir)	Yes (lopinavir- ritonavir→ remdesivir)
Immunomodulatory Therapy Before ECMO	N N	<u>0</u>	Yes IVIG and low dose of steroids	Yes IVIG	Yes IVIG, steroids, and high dose of aspirin	ON.	Yes Tocilizumab and anakinra
Inflammatory State	Yes CRP: 192.9 Fibrinogen: 7.9	Yes CRP: 184 Fibrinogen: 3.7	Yes CRP: 463 Fibrinogen: 9.5	Yes CRP: 300 Fibrinogen: 5.0	Yes CRP: 306 Fibrinogen: 5.8 Ferritin: 4.815	No CRP: 51.6 Fibrinogen 0.9 Ferritin: 55.8	Yes CRP: 1.2 Fibrinogen: 5.8 Ferritin: 10,000
Intubation to ECMO (Hours)	96	34	8	10	20	31	624
Onset of Symptoms to ECMO (Days)	Ξ	C/	_	ო	Ω	4.	35
Worst ABG Parameters (6 Hours Pre- Cannulation)	pH: 7.29 PaCO.: 55 PaO <sub>2</sub> :FiO <sub>2</sub> : 67	Lactara 1.3 pH: 7.33† PaCo.: 55 PaO.: FiO.: 100	Paco:: 38 Paco:: 38 Pao:: Fio:: 185	Paco.: 51 Paco.: 51 Pao.: Fio.: 186	Paco; 36 Paco; 36 Pao; Fio; 100	pH: 7.14 PaCO; 80.2 PaO <sub>2</sub> :FiO <sub>2</sub> : 37 Lactate: 2.4	pH 7.1 PaCO <sub>2</sub> : 83 PaO <sub>2</sub> :FiO <sub>2</sub> : 65 Lactate: 0.5
Worst Ventilation Parameters (6 Hours Pre- Cannulation)	PIP: 34 PEEP: 14 Dynamic driving pressure: 20	Ol. 41 PIP: 26 PEEP: 27 Dynamic driving pressure: 19	PIP: 30 PEEP: 10 Dynamic driving pressure: 20	PIP: 24 PEEP: 10 Dynamic driving pressure: 14	PIP: 20 PEEP: 5 Dynamic driving pressure: 15	PIP: 16 PEEP: 5 Dynamic driving pressure: 11 OI: 29	PIP: 40 PEEP: 8 Dynamic driving pressure: 32 OI: 24
Covid-19 Status: SARS- CoV-2 PCR	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Negative*	Positive	Positive
Diagnosis and Comorbidities	pARDS, obesity	Septic shock Staphylococcus aureus co-infection	PIMS-TS, none	PIMS-TS, none	PIMS-TS, none	pARDS in TGA, late presenta- tion of congenital heart disease	pARDS, hematopoietic stem cell transplantation
Age/Sex/BMI	16 years/ female/27.3	6 years/ female/14.9	14 years/ male/29.9	11 years/ female/17.8	12 years/ female/15	54 days/ male/NA	8 years/ male/21
Case/ Country	1/Spain	2/France	3/United Kingdom	4/United Kingdom	5/United Kingdom	6/United Kingdom	7/Spain

Units of measure: PaC, and PaCC, (mm Hg); PIP, PEEP, and dynamic driving pressure (cm H,O); C-reactive protein (mg/L [range: 0-5]); fibrinogen (g/L); lactate (mmo/L); and ferritin (ng/mL). Positive on serology for SARS-CoV-2.

Positive on serology in †Only venous gas.

ABG, arterial blood gas analysis; BMI, body mass index; Covid-19, coronavirus disease 2019; CRP, C-reactive protein; ECMO, extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; FIO, fraction of inspired oxygen; IVIG, intravenous immunoglobulin; NA, not applicable; OI, oxygenation index; PaCO, partial pressure of carbon dioxide; PaO, partial pressure of oxygen; pARDS, pediatric acute respiratory distress syndrome; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; PEEP, positive end-expiratory pressure; PIMS-TS, Pediatric Multisystem Inflammatory Syndrome Temporally Associated With SARS-CoV-2; PIP, peak inspiratory pressure; SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2; TGAs, transposition of great arteries.

Time of ECMO Outcome (Survival to Conversion **ECMO** and New **ECMO** Immunomodulatory Hospital Case/ Initial Cannulation **ECMO** Duration Therapy During Cardiac Injury/ Complications Discharge)/ Country Mode Site Mode (Days) **ECMO** Myocarditis on ECMO Cause of Death Fem-Jug VV NA 7 Yes: Tocilizumab NA 1/Spain None Survived Troponin: 337 48 hours 2/France VA RIJV-CA 9 Yes: IVIG, steroids Maior Died VA to VV intraventricular and Cerebral intraparenchymal hemorrhage hemorrhage 3/United NA Yes: Steroids Right MCA and VA Fem-CA 6 Yes Died Troponin: 675 Kingdom ACA ischemic Cerebral infarct infarction 4/United VA **RIJV-CA** NA Yes: IVIG, 11 Yes None Survived Troponin > 2,000, Kingdom steroids endomyocardial biopsy: lymphocytic infiltrate consistent with partially treated myocarditis 5/United VA **RIJV-CA** 87 hours Yes: IVIG, Yes Thrombus in Survived Troponin: 110 Kingdom VA to VV steroids. right atrium infliximab 6/United VA **RIJV-CA** NA 7 NA Survived No None Kingdom VA Fem-Fem 24 hours 30 7/Spain Yes: Anakinra, Yes **Pulmonary** Died VA to VV Troponin: 24.7 Pulmonary embolism and convalescent embolism plasma. cardiac arrest

Table 2. ECMO Characteristics, Treatment, and Outcome of Children Supported on ECMO for SARS-CoV-2 Infection

Units of measure: Troponin: ng/L (normal value <26 ng/L).

ACA, anterior cerebral artery; CA, carotid artery; ECMO, extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; Fem, femoral; IVIG, intravenous immunoglobulin; Jug, jugular; MCA, middle cerebral artery; NA, not applicable; RIJV, right internal jugular vein; SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2; VA, venoarterial; VV, venovenous.

mesenchymal stromal cells

venovenous (VV) ECMO in one patient (15%). Of the six children supported on VA ECMO, three were converted to VV ECMO after a median of 48 hours (range 24–87 hours) following: differential hypoxemia (Harlequin syndrome) (n=1) and improvement of cardiac function with lag in lung recovery (n=2). During ECMO, all children were managed with lung rest settings in pressure-controlled mode to maintain a median tidal volume of 5 ml/kg (range 4–6 ml/kg), a respiratory rate of 18 (range 15–20), a positive end-expiratory pressure of 7 cm  $\rm H_2O$  (range 5–10 cm  $\rm H_2O$ ), and a  $\rm FiO_2$  of 0.25 (range 0.21–0.40). One child (patient 7) received a tracheostomy while on ECMO.

The management of ECMO was standard with staff in full personal protective equipment, and enhanced vigilance for thrombotic complications was maintained by the treating centers. All children were anticoagulated with unfractionated heparin as per their institutional protocol. Two children (patients 3 and 5) developed thrombosis while on ECMO despite having activated partial thromboplastin time ratios of 1.6 and 2.7, respectively, before clot formation.

All with PIMS-TS presentation were treated with intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG) and steroids. Among these, one (patient 4) underwent a cardiac biopsy at the time of left atrial decompression procedure that showed an infiltration of lymphocytes suggestive of partially treated myocarditis. Two children required continuous renal replacement therapy, both died. Three patients (43%) received antiviral therapy: lopinavir/ritonavir pre-ECMO and then remdesivir on ECMO, two survived.

Immunomodulation with tocilizumab, anakinra, or infliximab (Table 2) was used in three patients (43%), two survived. Convalescent plasma and infusion of mesenchymal stromal cells were administered to the child post HSCT. Surfactant therapy, plasma exchange, or cytokine adsorption filters were not used.

Five children (71%) were successfully decannulated, but four (57%) survived to hospital discharge. The median ECMO duration was 7 days (range 7–11 days) with a median ICU stay of 16 days (range 7–20 days). Three children (43%) died—two died on ECMO and a third died post decannulation before pediatric intensive care unit discharge (Table 2).

The child post HSCT (patient 7) died for refractory cardiac arrest due to pulmonary embolism after 30 days of ECMO. Patient 3 reported an ischemic stroke involving both the anterior and middle cerebral artery on day 2 of ECMO, while patient 2 reported severe intraventricular and intraparenchymal hemorrhages after 7 days of ECMO. In these three patients, high D-dimers levels 13,500 mcg/L (range 2,200–14,994 mcg/L) were reported suggesting an abnormal activation of the hemostatic system.

In contrast to adult data,<sup>4</sup> we report that the use of ECMO in children with COVID-19 infection patients in Europe is both scarce and of diverse etiology. An age-specific immune-protective mechanism<sup>5</sup> to SARS-CoV-2 may explain this low occurrence of severe disease. Our survey has highlighted: 1) SARS-CoV-2 infection may be associated with comorbid conditions in children, 2) a temporal increase in the ECMO utilization may have been associated with the emergence of PIMS-TS,

124 DI NARDO ET AL.

3) the risk of thrombotic complications is high when on ECMO support, and 4) the role of adjunctive therapies (antiviral and immunomodulation therapy) remains unclear, however, IVIG and judicious use of steroids may benefit those presenting with PIMS-TS. Early referral before circulatory collapse and multiple organ dysfunction may be advocated in these children.

The EuroELSO has provided a collaborative platform for shared learning of the most severe forms of a novel infection with variable presentation and outcome.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

The authors thank all the centers who participated to this survey/ study and the EuroELSO Social Media Team for their support in spreading the survey/study. EuroELSO Neonatal & Pediatric Working Group & collaborators on COVID-19: Giacomo Cavallaro, MD (Mangiagalli Neonatal Respiratory ECMO Center, Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda, Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Milano, Italy); Rosanna Zanai, MD and Salvatore Agati, MD (Centro Cardiologico del Mediterraneo, Bambino Gesù Hospital, Taormina, Messina, Italy); Matteo Di Nardo<sup>1</sup>, MD and Antonio Amodeo, MD (Bambino Gesù Children's Hospital, Rome, Italy); Ferdinando Luca Lorini and Lorenzo Grazioli, MD (A.O.U. Anestesia e Rianimazione 2, Ospedale Papa Giovanni XXIII, Bergamo, Italy); Andrea Moscatelli, MD (U.O.S.D. Terapia Intensiva Neonatale e Pediatrica, Ospedale Gaslini, Genoa, Italy); Angela Amigoni, MD (Pediatric Intensive Care Unit, University Hospital Padova, Padova, Italy); Olivier Brissaud, MD and Nadir Tafer, MD (SAR Sud Hopital Haut Léveque, CHU Bordeaux, Bordeaux, France); Jerome Rambaud, MD, PhD and Pierre-Louis Leger, MD (Hopital Armand-Trosseau [Hospitaux Universitaire Est Parisienne], Service de Reanimation neonatal Pediatrique, Paris, France); Pierre Tissieres, MD, PhD (Hopitaux de Paris, Pediatric Intensive Care e Neonatal Medicine, Paris, France); Capucine Didier, MD (Hopital Femme Mere Enfant, Lyon, France); Angele Boet, MD (Hopital Marie Lannelongue, Paris, France); Stephane Lebel, MD (Hopital de la Timone, Marseille, France); Lionel Berthomieu, MD (Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Toulouse, Toulouse, France); Anne-Sophie Guilbert, MD (CHU Strasbourg, Strasbourg, France); Pierre Bourgoin, MD (Intensive Care Unit, Nantes Cedex, France); Enno D. Wildschut, MD (Intensive Care Unit, Erasmus MC Sophia Children's Hospital, Rotterdam, The Netherlands); Peter P. Roeleveld, (Intensive Care-Universitar Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands); Martin Kneyber, MD (Beatrix Children's Hospital—UMC, Groeningen, The Netherlands); Lars Mikael Broman MD, PhD (Pediatric Perioperative Medicine and Intensive Care-Astrid Lindgren Children's Hospital, Karolinska University Hospital, Solna, Sweden); Oliver Miera, MD (Kinderkardiologie-Universitatmedizin, Berlin, Germany); Matthias Kumpf, MD (Pediatric Intensive Care, Tübingen, Germany); Ralf Knies, MD (University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany); Thomas Schaible, MD (Klinik fur Neonatologie, Uniklinik Mannheim, Mannheim, Germany); Brigitte Stiller, MD (Herzzentrum Freiburg, Bad Krozingen, Germany); Vaclav Vobruba, MD, PhD (Pediatric Department, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic); Jesus Lopez-Herce, MD, PhD (Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Maranon, Madrid, Spain); Susana Segura Matute, MD (Servicio de Cuidados intensivos pediatricos, Hospital Saint joan de Deu, Barcelona, Spain); Joan Balcells, MD (University Hospital Vall d'Hebron, Barcelona, Spain); Vicent Modesto I Alapont, MD (UCI Pediatrica, Hospital Universitari I Politecnic La Fe, Valencia, Spain); Sylvia Belda and Elena Montanes, MD (ICC-Hospital 12 de Octubre, University of Zaragoza,

Madrid, Spain); Janos Schnur, MD and Veronika Maraczi, MD (Heim Pal National Pediatric Institute, Budapest, Hungary); Malaika Mendonca, MD (Pediatric Intensive Care, Bern, Switzerland); Judit Llevadias, MD (Department of Pediatric Cardiology, The Newcastle upon Tyne Hospital, NHS Foundation Trust, Newcastle upon Tyne, United Kingdom); Mark Davidson, MD (Paediatric Intensive Care, Royal Hospital for Children, Glasgow, United Kingdom); Claire Westrope, MD (University Hospital of Leicester Children Hospital, NHS Trust, Leicester, United Kingdom); Aparna Hoskote, MD and Tim Thiruchelvam, MD (Great Ormond Street Hospital (GOSH), London, United Kingdom); Ajay Desai, MD (Royal Brompton Hospital, London, United Kingdom); David Ellis, MD, Margaret Farley, RN, and Tim Jones, MD (Pediatric Intensive Care, Birmingham Children's Hospital, NHS Foundation Trust, Birmingham, United Kingdom); Adrian Humphrey, MD (Pediatric Intensive Care, Bristol Royal Hospital for children, Bristol, United Kingdom); Jonathan Lillie, MD (Evelina London Children's Hospital, London, United Kingdom); Marie Horan, MD (Pediatric Intensive Care Unit, Alder Hey Children's Hospital, Liverpool, United Kingdom); Laurent Dupic, MD (Necker Children's Hospital, Paris, France); Paula Rautiainen, MD (Pediatric Intensive Care Unit, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland); Francisco Abecasis, MD (Pediatric Intensive Care, Centro Hospetalar Universitario Lisboa Norte, Lisbon, Portugal); Dirk Vlasselaers, MD and Leen Vercaemst, Perfusionist (University Hospital Leuven, Leuven, Belgium); Jef Willems, MD (Department of Intensive Care, Universitair Ziekenhuis Ghent, Ghent, Belgium); Caroline Kelly, MD and Sunimol Joseph, MD (Our Lady Children's Hospital, Dublin, Ireland); Uri Pollak, MD (Hadassah University Medical Center, Jerusalem, and other centers [Petah, Ramat, Holon] Israel); Burkhard Simma, MD (Pediatric Intensive Care Academic Teaching Hospital, Feldkirch, Austria); Martin Schweiger, MD (University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland); Angeliki Gkouziouta, MD (Athens Onassis Heart Centre, Athens, Grecee); and Laurent Storme, MD (CHRU de Lille, Hôpital Jeanne de Flandre, Lille, France).

## References

- Di Nardo M, van Leeuwen G, Loreti A, et al: A literature review of 2019 novel coronavirus (SARS-CoV2) infection in neonates and children. Pediatr Res 2020 Jul 17. doi: 10.1038/s41390-020-1065-5. Online ahead of print.
- Shekerdemian LS, Mahmood NR, Wolfe KK, et al: Characteristics and outcomes of children with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) infection admitted to US and Canadian pediatric intensive care units. JAMA Pediatr 174: 1–6, 2020.
- 3. Lanyon N, du Pre P, Thiruchelvam T, Ray S, Johnson M, Peters MJ: Critical paediatric COVID-19: Varied presentations but good outcomes. *Arch Dis Child* 2020. doi: 10.1136/archdischild-2020-319602.
- 4. Davies P, Evans C, Kanthimathinathan HK, et al: Intensive care admissions of children with paediatric inflammatory multisystem syndrome temporally associated with SARS-CoV-2 (PIMS-TS) in the UK: A multicentre observational study. Lancet Child Adolesc Health 4: 669–677, 2020.
- Riphagen S, Gomez X, Gonzalez-Martinez C, Wilkinson N, Theocharis P: Hyperinflammatory shock in children during COVID-19 pandemic. *Lancet* 395: 1607–1608, 2020.
- Whittaker E, Bamford A, Kenny J, et al; PIMS-TS Study Group and EUCLIDS and PERFORM Consortia: Clinical characteristics of 58 children with a pediatric inflammatory multisystem syndrome temporally associated with SARS-CoV-2. JAMA 324: 259–269, 2020.