

Early diagnosis of coeliac disease in the Preventive Youth Health Care Centres in the Netherlands: study protocol of a case finding study (GLUTENSCREEN)

Meijer, C.R.; Akker, M.E. van den; Bodegom, L. van; Escher, J.C.; Geloven, N. van; Overveld, F. van; ...; Mearin, M.L.

Citation

Meijer, C. R., Akker, M. E. van den, Bodegom, L. van, Escher, J. C., Geloven, N. van, Overveld, F. van, ... Mearin, M. L. (2021). Early diagnosis of coeliac disease in the Preventive Youth Health Care Centres in the Netherlands: study protocol of a case finding study (GLUTENSCREEN). *Bmj Paediatrics Open*, 5(1). doi:10.1136/bmjpo-2021-001152

Version: Publisher's Version

License: <u>Creative Commons CC BY-NC 4.0 license</u>

Downloaded from: https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3274078

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

BMJ Paediatrics Open

Early diagnosis of coeliac disease in the Preventive Youth Health Care Centres in the Netherlands: study protocol of a case finding study (GLUTENSCREEN)

Caroline Meijer-Boekel , ¹ M.Elske van den Akker, ² Leti van Bodegom, ² Johanna Escher, ³ Nan van Geloven, ² Floris van Overveld, ⁴ Edmond H H.M Rings, ¹ Lucy Smit, ⁵ Martine Charlotte de Vries, ⁶ M. Luisa Mearin

To cite: Meijer-Boekel C, van den Akker M.E, van Bodegom L, et al. Early diagnosis of coeliac disease in the Preventive Youth Health Care Centres in the Netherlands: study protocol of a case finding study (GLUTENSCREEN). BMJ Paediatrics Open 2021;5:e001152. doi:10.1136/bmjpo-2021-001152

► Additional supplemental material is published online only. To view, please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjpo-2021-001152).

Received 28 April 2021 Accepted 2 July 2021



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2021. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to

Dr Caroline Meijer-Boekel; c.r. meijer-boekel@lumc.nl

ABSTRACT

Introduction Coeliac disease (CD) occurs in 1% of the population, develops early in life and is severely underdiagnosed. Undiagnosed and untreated disease is associated with short-term and long-term complications. The current healthcare approach is unable to solve the underdiagnosis of CD and timely diagnosis and treatment is only achieved by active case finding. Aim: to perform a case finding project to detect CD children who visit the Youth Health Care Centres (YHCCs) in a well-described region in the Netherlands to evaluate whether it is feasible, cost-effective and well accepted by the population.

Methods/analysis Prospective intervention cohort study. Parents of all children aged 12 months and 4 years attending the YHCCs for a regular visit are asked whether their child has one or more CD-related symptoms from a standardised list. If so, they will be invited to participate in the case finding study. After informed consent, a point of care test (POCT) to assess CD-specific antibodies against tissue transglutaminase (TG2A) is performed onsite the YHCCs. If the POCT is positive, CD is highly suspected and the child will be referred to hospital for definitive diagnosis according to the Guideline Coeliac Disease of the European Society for Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition guideline.

Main outcomes

- Incidence rate of new CD diagnoses in the study region in comparison to the one in the same age diagnosed by standard of care in the rest of the Netherlands.
- Feasibility and cost-effectiveness of active CD case finding at the YHCCs. All costs of active case finding, diagnostics and treatment of CD and the potential shortterm and long-term consequences of the disease will be calculated for the setting with and without case finding.
- 1. Ethical acceptability: by questionnaires on parental and healthcare professionals' satisfaction.

A statistical analysis plan was prepared and is published on the GLUTENSCREEN website (Statistical-Analysis-Plan-11-5-2021_def.pdf (glutenscreen.nl) and added as annex 1).

Ethics and dissemination The Medical Ethics Committee Leiden approved this study. If we prove that case finding at the YHCC is feasible, cost-effective and well accepted by the population, implementation is recommended.

Trial registration number NL63291.058.17.

What is already known on this topic?

- ➤ Despite recommendation on 'who should be tested for coeliac disease (CD)' in guidelines, the diagnosis of CD remains severely underdiagnosed.
- Untreated CD has a considerable health burden for society.
- Studies have shown that an active case finding strategy in adults is an effective means to improve the frequency of CD diagnosis.

What this study hopes to add?

- ► Effectiveness and feasibility of active case finding as secondary prevention strategy in the diagnosis of childhood CD in the primary care setting in the Netherlands.
- ► This study will provide important information about the cost-effectiveness and acceptability of the general Dutch population concerning active case finding.

INTRODUCTION

Coeliac disease (CD) is an immune-mediated systemic disorder elicited by the ingestion of gluten-containing cereals from the normal diet (among others, wheat, rye and barley) in genetically susceptible individuals. CD is characterised by a variable combination of gluten-dependent clinical manifestations, CD-specific antibodies, HLA-DQ2 or HLA-DQ8 haplotypes and enteropathy. 12 CD has a frequency of at least 1% in the general population, that is, 168000 individuals and 33600 children in the Netherlands.³⁻⁶ It is the most common food intolerance in the Netherlands and, therefore, a significant public health problem. CD is frequently unrecognised, partially because of its variable clinical presentations and symptoms, ranging from malabsorption with chronic diarrhoea, poor growth in children and weight loss, to nonspecific



signs and symptoms like chronic fatigue, osteoporosis/reduced bone mineral density, iron-deficiency anaemia, anorexia, chronic abdominal pain, vomiting, flatulence, irritability, elevated liver enzymes or constipation. ¹⁷ CD has a considerable health burden for society. In addition to the signs and symptoms, untreated disease is associated with long-term complications such as delayed puberty, neuropsychiatric disturbances, associated autoimmune disease, miscarriages, small-for-date-births, osteoporosis and, rarely, malignancy. ¹⁸ CD increases the overall mortality risk, reduces the quality of life and yields extensive negative economic consequences, thereby presenting a resource challenge for current and future health systems. ⁹⁻¹¹

In 1999, our research group published that childhood CD in the Netherlands was severely underdiagnosed: for every child diagnosed with CD, there were seven who have unrecognised and, therefore, untreated disease. Data from the National Dutch Paediatric Surveillance Unit (DPSU) show 1107 new cases in 2010–2013 of clinically diagnosed CD in children 0–14 years. The percentage of children diagnosed with CD <2 years of age was 30%, and <4 years of age was 50%. Those were also the children with the most severe clinical presentations.

DPSU is a unique registry of the Dutch Society of Paediatrics, comprising of all Dutch paediatric practices. Under it, Dutch paediatricians are asked to report newly diagnosed cases of certain diseases (CD in our case). DPSU respondents have a 90% mean response rate. The incidence of 1.56/1000 live births in 2010–2013 does not correspond to the prevalence in the general population. ^{13 15} This illustrates that the current standard healthcare is not able to solve the problem. Once diagnosed, the patient's health status improves after treatment with a gluten-free diet (GFD), but prevention would be more beneficial to avoid disease development by primary prevention or delayed diagnosis (or no diagnosis) by secondary prevention. ^{7 16}

Results from recent prospective studies have shown that primary prevention of CD by improving the timing of gluten introduction and/or the duration or maintenance of breastfeeding is not possible. 17-21 For this reason, early diagnosis and treatment of CD represent the only way to (secondary) prevention. There are two approaches to achieve this: mass screening and case finding. The Medical Ethics Committee (METC-Leiden Den Haag Delft, METC-LDD) considered the current evidence insufficient to assess the balance of benefits and harms of screening for CD in asymptomatic children (mass screening). 22 23 Consequently, we propose an active case finding project in symptomatic children in a Youth Health Care Centre (YHCC) region in the Netherlands to achieve secondary prevention of the disease. Active case finding refers to liberal diagnostic testing of patients with CD-associated symptoms. In the general adult population, this approach has led to the early diagnosis of a large number of patients, resulting in significantly health improvement after treatment, good

compliance with the GFD and good CD-related quality of life. 24 25

In the Netherlands, more than 95% of all children 0 months and 4 years visit the YHCCs,. ²⁶ The goal of YHC is to promote and secure the health and safety of all children 0–18 years. ²⁷ YHC aims at primary and secondary prevention of diseases in order to promote healthy growth and development. Secondary prevention (early diagnosis and treatment) of CD, therefore, fits within the goals of YHC. The validated, rapid point of care test (POCT) to determine CD-specific antibodies represent a reliable, cheap and easy-to-use instrument for CD case finding in children,. ²⁸

Therefore, early detection of CD by case finding in the YHCCs offers a 'window of opportunity' to identify CD as soon as possible preventing more severe symptoms and complications of the disease.

Aims and hypothesis

The aim of the present study is to perform a novel case finding project to detect CD in 12 months to 4 years old children who visit the YHCCs in a well-described region in the Netherlands, to evaluate whether it is feasible, cost-effective and well accepted by the population. We hypothesise that GLUTENSCREEN is feasible, cost-effective and well acceptable by the general population. To achieve this, GLUTENSCREEN will compare the results of the case finding strategy to the outcome of current healthcare in the diagnosis of CD in children in the rest of the country.

METHODS AND ANALYSIS Study design

The study is a prospective intervention cohort study. The project started on 4 February 2019 and will end on 1 February 2023 (with interruption of 5 months due to the COVID-19 pandemic). All parents of children aged 12 months and 4 years attending scheduled visits to the YHCCs in the region Midden and Zuid Kennemerland, to be further called 'Kennemerland', will be informed. At the YHCC, a standardised questionnaire on CD-related symptoms will be checked (annex 2). Symptoms are reported by the parents. Weight and growth are controlled at the YHCC. If one or more CD-associated symptoms (including growth restrictions) are present, the child is eligible for the study. The CD-related symptoms (see annex 2) are based on the recommendations of CD testing (taking into account the absence of previous laboratory or other investigations and the age of the project population) in symptomatic children and adolescents in the Guideline Coeliac Disease of the European Society for Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition (ESPGHAN).

Patient and public involvement statement

Dutch Coeliac Patients Society is involved in the design, reporting and dissemination plans of this research,



including the management of the website of the project www.glutenscreen.nl.

Control population

A national control group is based on the data reported by DPSU. Dutch paediatricians are asked by the DPSU to report newly diagnosed cases of certain diseases (CD in our case) monthly during the time of this case finding project. The CD cases are clinically diagnosed by the paediatricians to the current standard of care. DPSU respondents have a 90% mean response rate. The cases of clinically diagnosed CD in the study region will be identified by the data of the YHCC.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria are (1) 12 months to 4 years of age, (2) following a gluten-containing diet, (3) one or more CD-associated symptoms (annex 2), (4) parents have a sufficient knowledge of Dutch language, (5) informed consent.

Exclusion criterium: (1) diagnosed with CD.

Recruitment and procedure

Eligible children will be identified by the YHCC administration. During 2.5 years, the parents/legal guardians (from this point on called 'parents') will receive an advance invitation from the YHCC Kennemerland with information about the study. During the regularly scheduled visit at the YHCC, the nurse or the doctor will check the symptom list (annex 2); if one or more CD-associated symptoms are present, the nurse/doctor will give the parents the information letter and informed consent form and, after informed consent is given, she/he will make a new appointment to perform the POCT. The POCT for TG2A will be performed. The symptom list and informed consent form will be stored in a separate file in the child's electronic record.

Intervention

After informed consent, a validated POCT to determine CD-specific antibodies (TG2A, Celiac Quick Test; BioHit Oyj, Finland), which is also suitable for IgA-deficient patients, will be performed. It requires one drop of fresh blood, obtained by finger prick. The result (positive/ negative) should be interpreted after 10 min. If the result is negative (no tissue transglutaminase (TG2A)), the child is considered not to have CD and the procedure is finished for this child. If the POCT is positive, the child will be referred to the paediatric gastroenterologist for further investigation for CD diagnosis at the Outpatient Clinic of the Department of Paediatric Gastroenterology of the Leiden University Medical Center (LUMC) in the following 3 weeks. In the LUMC, CD will be diagnosed according to the ESPGHAN guidelines. 12 A second visit (face-to-face or by telephone, depending on parental preference) will be scheduled 14 days later to discuss results. There are three possible outcomes:

1. CD ruled out: No further follow-up is needed.

- 2. CD likely, but unproven; diagnostic duodenal biopsies are advised.
- 3. CD is diagnosed. The patient/parents will be counselled on treatment and follow-up.

If an endoscopy to obtain duodenal biopsies under general anaesthesia is advised, the parents will receive written information on the procedure, as all other parents do in the outpatient clinic when this procedure is advised. Parents have to give oral informed consent for this procedure, and this will be noted in the patient's medical record. The procedure will be carried out per usual LUMC regulations. Biopsies will only be performed when medically indicated for the child and not just for purpose of scientific research.

Training and protocol adherence

To perform the POCT, the YHCC healthcare professionals followed a training provided by the employees of BioHit and according to the manufacturer's instructions. To prevent protocol drifting, they receive monthly supervision by a senior clinical physician. All POCT results are photographed and stored in the electronic patient's file. Monthly, the researchers and the senior clinical physician of the YHCC evaluate the organisation, procedure and results.

Outcome measures

The main study outcomes are:

- The incidence rate of new CD diagnoses in the study region of Kennemerland in comparison to the one in the same age category diagnosed according to the standard of care in the rest of the Netherlands as reported to the DPSU.
- 2. Cost-effectiveness of active case finding of CD in the YHCCs compared with standard care.
- 3. Ethical acceptability: by questionnaires on parental satisfaction and healthcare professionals.

Data collection

The result of the POCT will be noticed in the medical file as well as the diagnosis after further investigation. Diagnostic tools and consultations after a positive POCT will be noticed in a database and in the medical file of the child.

Parents of children who visit the YHCC and/or participate in GLUTENSCREEN will be asked to fill in standardised questionnaires on their opinion regarding the actual case finding and on mass screening for CD. We will ask the opinion of (1) parents of asymptomatic children (by definition excluded for participation in case finding), (2) parents who decline participation in the study, (3) parents participating in the case finding and (4) parents of children with suspected CD by the case finding procedure who will be referred to the hospital for definitive CD diagnosis.

Also the healthcare professionals in the YHCCs with various tasks within GLUTENSCREEN will also be asked to give their opinion about the case-finding.



Costs of active case finding, diagnostic and treatment of CD will be compared with the costs of diagnostics and treatment by standard of care. The costs of active case finding are the costs of discussing the symptom list, measurement of TG2A by POCT and the diagnostic costs after a positive test (repeated TG2A measurement, endomysium antibodies, human leucocyte antigen (HLA)typing, biopsy, paediatric consultation etc). These costs will be measured in the prospective intervention cohort study. Cost of measurement of TG2A levels includes time needed from YHC professionals and cost of test equipment and materials. Resource use after a positive test will be measured by means of a case record form. Information on diagnostic procedures of clinically diagnosed CD will be collected by the DPSU and the Dutch Coeliac Society (Nederlandse Coeliakie Vereniging (NCV)), supplemented with parent questionnaires on healthcare use outside the hospital. Healthcare use will be valued according to the Dutch guideline for costing research.²⁹

In addition, an estimate for the costs of long-term consequences of undiagnosed CD as delayed puberty, neuropsychiatric disturbances, dental enamel hypoplasia, associated autoimmune diseases, miscarriages, small for date-births, osteoporosis and (rarely) malignancy will be made based on the literature. The probability of long-term consequences in a situation with and without case finding will be based on the literature and expert opinion. Together, this will enable a comparison between lifetime cost in a situation with and without case finding.

Furthermore, by means of a questionnaire to recently diagnosed patients, the quality of life before and after the start of GFD will be assessed. Quality of life for long-term consequences of undiagnosed CD will be based on the literature. In a cost-effectiveness analysis, the life-time differences in quality of life in a situation with and without case finding will be compared with the difference in cost.

Withdrawal

Subjects can leave the study at any time for any reason if they wish to do so without any consequences. The investigator can decide to withdraw a subject from the study for urgent medical reasons. The parents of children who withdraw are asked to fill in the questionnaire on acceptability.

Sample size

We assume that in the Dutch population outside the case finding project, the incidence of children 1–4 years old with a diagnosis of CD equals 0.62/1000 children years. With 2.5 years inclusion period, we expected 5434 children taking the POCT would give high power (about 95%) to detect an at least two times higher incidence rate in the study region (alpha 5%). We expected 60% of the children to be symptomatic, and 60% participation of those symptomatic children in the POCT-ing, so 15100 children would need to be requested for participation, in order to obtain 5434 children available for case

finding using a rapid POCT. Since the population in the YHCCs in the Kennemerland region is approximately 12 000 children/year with additional 4000 added per year, and 2.5 years of study duration was considered sufficient to achieve sufficient sample size. When in March 2020, the study had to be interrupted for 5 months due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the sample size calculation was re-evaluated based on the results up to that moment, including the number of cases found in the study region in the first year of the study. Based on this evaluation, it was decided that the original inclusion period of 2.5 years could be retained.

Statistical analyses

For the primary analysis, the incidence rate in the case finding population will be calculated along with a 95% CI and will be compared with the incidence rate in the Netherlands, obtained from the DPSU, in the same period, assuming the latter has no sampling variability (so using the incidence rate in the rest of the Netherlands as a fixed reference value).

All costs of active case finding, diagnostics and treatment of CD and the potential short-term consequences of the disease will be calculated for the setting with and for the cost-effectiveness without active case finding. Health-care use will be valued according to the Dutch guideline for costing research. For the acceptability descriptive and univariate logistic regression, analyses will be performed comparing the answers from the different groups. Also, univariable logistic regression analysis of negative feelings and POCT-result in relation to acceptability will also be done.

Ethics approval

The study is approved by the METC of the LUMC. All study data will be handled confidentially and coded with a unique study number. Only the research team will have access to the data. A data management plan is available.

DISCUSSION

Several studies have shown that an active case finding strategy in the primary care setting is an effective means to improve the (early) diagnostic rate of CD and to achieve secondary prevention. $^{24\,25}$

National guidelines on the diagnosis and treatment of CD published in 2008 recommend testing for CD in patients with a wide spectrum of intestinal and extra intestinal manifestations, in asymptomatic family members of CD cases and in groups with related conditions.³⁰ This approach, together with the availability of reliable CD antibody tests, has led to a rise in the incidence of diagnosed CD in Dutch children from 1.21/1000 live births in 2000 to 1.56/1000 live births in 2010–2013. Nevertheless, the increased incidence rate does not closely correspond to its frequency in the general population. In the Generation-R project, a population-based prospective cohort study, the prevalence of CD at 6 years of age



was 1.5%. Due to the shift in CD presenting symptoms towards a milder form, the delay from first symptoms to CD diagnosis has been reported to be unacceptably long, at between 5 and 10 years for many persons, and so the need for earlier diagnosis has been advocated. Early diagnosis is expected to reduce serious clinical CD. Data from the DPSU show that 50% of the 1107 new cases of clinically diagnosed CD in children aged 0–14 years between January 2010 and December 2013 were <4 years. These young children had the most severe symptoms of CD, including chronic diarrhoea and weight loss (71.0%) or wasting/failure to thrive (65.9%). ^{13 14} Therefore, with active case finding, we aim to prevent the most serious manifestations of childhood CD.

Our study has several strengths: first, we propose an innovative strategy for secondary prevention by early detection of CD in the general population in the Netherlands. Since the majority of the children aged 1–4 years visit the YHCC, the study will provide insight into the incidence of childhood CD in symptomatic children in the Netherlands. Second, the actual health costs of the diagnosis of childhood CD and the cost-effectiveness of active case finding in the Netherlands have never been prospectively investigated. Third, this study will provide important information about the acceptability of the general Dutch population concerning active case finding and in addition about the willingness of parents of asymptomatic children to participate in a mass screening project on CD.

It would also have been interesting to explore the possibility of HLA determination at the YHCCs. Since more than 95% of patients with CD carry these HLA haplotypes, their presence is valuable in identifying the population that may develop CD. In the Netherlands, about 40% of the general population is HLA DQ2 or DQ8 positive, and the presence of these haplotypes is, thus, not discriminative for the disease. On the other hand, repeated CD testing will be unnecessary in HLA-DQ2/DQ8-negative individuals. However, HLA-DQ typing currently present important drawbacks for it to be used outside the hospital. HLA typing requires DNA preparation, which takes (some) time. Material for DNA extraction can be obtained from whole blood (minimum quantity 4–5 mL) or from other cells, such as cheek mucosa. Venepunctures are not feasible at YHCCs. Obtaining cheek cells by smoothly brushing the buccal mucosa is a possibility, but the necessary mechanisms to store and transport the material pose logistical and economic challenges. The costs of transport, DNA extraction, HLA typing and distribution of test results are likely to increase the costs of the active case-finding.

Author affiliations

¹Paediatric Gastroenterology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, Zuid Holland, The Netherlands

²Biomedical Data Sciences, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, Zuid-Holland, The Netherlands

³Paediatric Gastroenterology, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Rotterdam, Zuid-Holland, The Netherlands ⁴Dutch Coeliac Patients Society, Naarden, The Netherlands

⁵Youth Health Care Centre, Kennemerland, The Netherlands

⁶Department of Medical Ethics and Health Law, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, Zuid-Holland, The Netherlands

Contributors MLM designed and supervised the trial. MLM wrote the grant proposals and helped in designing the trial. CM-B drafted this paper, which was edited and modified by MLM. LS is responsible for supervision of the health care professionals at the YHCCs. The health care professionals were trained according to the manufacturer's protocol by employees of Biohit. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding This work is supported by The Netherlands Organisation for Health Research and Development (ZonMW), grant number 531002001 and Biohit Oyj Headquarters.

Competing interests No, there are no competing interests.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Ethics approval The study is approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of the Leiden University Medical Centre.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement Data are available upon reasonable request. A data management plan is available for this study.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

ORCID ID

Caroline Meijer-Boekel http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4109-2047

REFERENCES

- 1 Husby S, Koletzko S, Korponay-Szabó IR, et al. European Society for pediatric gastroenterology, hepatology, and nutrition guidelines for the diagnosis of coeliac disease. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2012;54:136–60.
- 2 Husby S, Koletzko S, Korponay-Szabó I, et al. European Society paediatric gastroenterology, hepatology and nutrition guidelines for diagnosing coeliac disease 2020. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2020;70:141–56.
- 3 Singh P, Arora A, Strand TA, et al. Global Prevalence of Celiac Disease: Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2018:16:823–36.
- 4 Steens RFR, Csizmadia CGDS, George EK, et al. A national prospective study on childhood celiac disease in the Netherlands 1993-2000: an increasing recognition and a changing clinical picture. J Pediatr 2005;147:239–43.
- 5 George EK, Mearin ML, van der Velde EA, et al. Low incidence of childhood celiac disease in the Netherlands. *Pediatr Res* 1995;37:213–8.
- 6 Jansen MAE, Kiefte-de Jong JC, Gaillard R, et al. Growth trajectories and bone mineral density in anti-tissue transglutaminase antibodypositive children: the generation R study. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2015;13:913–20.
- 7 Hogen Esch CE, Kiefte-de Jong J, Hopman E. Strategies for prevention of celiac disease. frontiers in celiac disease. *Pediatr Adolesc Med* 2008;12:188–97.
- 3 Kiefte-de Jong JC, Jaddoe VWV, Uitterlinden AG, et al. Levels of antibodies against tissue transglutaminase during pregnancy are associated with reduced fetal weight and birth weight. Gastroenterology 2013;144:726–35.



- 9 Biagi F, Corazza GR. Mortality in celiac disease. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2010;7:158–62.
- 10 van Doorn RK, Winkler LMF, Zwinderman KH, et al. CDDUX: a disease-specific health-related quality-of-life questionnaire for children with celiac disease. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2008;47:147–52.
- 11 Shamir R, Hernell O, Leshno M. Cost-Effectiveness analysis of screening for celiac disease in the adult population. *Med Decis Making* 2006;26:282–93.
- 12 Csizmadia CG, Mearin ML, von Blomberg BM, et al. An iceberg of childhood coeliac disease in the Netherlands. *Lancet* 1999;353:813–4.
- 13 Schweizer JJet al. The 3rd national survey on childhood celiac disease in the Netherlands: incidence and clinical presentation. JPGN 2013;56:PO-G-0030.
- 14 Meijer CR, Schweizer JJ, Peeters A, et al. Efficient implementation of the 'non-biopsy approach' for the diagnosis of childhood celiac disease in the Netherlands: a national prospective evaluation 2010-2013. Eur J Pediatr 2021. doi:10.1007/s00431-021-04068-1. [Epub ahead of print: 15 Apr 2021].
- 15 Jansen M, van Zelm M, Groeneweg M, et al. The identification of celiac disease in asymptomatic children: the generation R study. J Gastroenterol 2018;53:377–86.
- 16 Meijer C, Shamir R, Szajewska H, et al. Celiac disease prevention. Front Pediatr 2018;6:368.
- 17 Vriezinga SL, Auricchio R, Bravi E, et al. Randomized feeding intervention in infants at high risk for celiac disease. N Engl J Med 2014;371:1304–15.
- 18 Lionetti E, Castellaneta S, Francavilla R, et al. Introduction of gluten, HLA status, and the risk of celiac disease in children. N Engl J Med 2014;371:1295–303.
- 19 Størdal K, White RA, Eggesbø M. Early feeding and risk of celiac disease in a prospective birth cohort. *Pediatrics* 2013;132:e1202–9.

- 20 Aronsson CA, Lee H-S, Liu E, et al. Age at gluten introduction and risk of celiac disease. *Pediatrics* 2015;135:239–45.
- 21 Szajewska H, Shamir R, Chmielewska A, et al. Systematic review with meta-analysis: early infant feeding and coeliac disease--update 2015. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2015;41:1038–54.
- 22 Rosén A, Sandström O, Carlsson A, et al. Usefulness of symptoms to screen for celiac disease. *Pediatrics* 2014;133:211–8.
- 23 Chou R, Bougatsos C, Blazina I. Screening for celiac disease evidence report and systematic review for the US preventive services Task force. *JAMA* 2017;317:1252–7.
- 24 Virta LJ, Kaukinen K, Collin P. Incidence and prevalence of diagnosed coeliac disease in Finland: results of effective case finding in adults. Scand J Gastroenterol 2009;44:933–8.
- 25 Catassi C, Kryszak D, Louis-Jacques O, et al. Detection of celiac disease in primary care: a multicenter case-finding study in North America. Am J Gastroenterol 2007;102:1454–60.
- 26 Inspectie voor de Gezondheidszorg. De jeugdgezondheidszorg beter in positie. Utrecht, 2014.
- 27 Nederlands Centrum Jeugdgezondheid. Landelijk professioneel kader, uitvoering basispakket jeugdgezondheidszorg, 2015. Available: www.nci.nl
- 28 Korponay-Szabó IR, Szabados K, Pusztai J, et al. Population screening for coeliac disease in primary care by district nurses using a rapid antibody test: diagnostic accuracy and feasibility study. BMJ 2007;335;1244–7.
- 29 Hakkaart-van Roijen L, Linden N, Bouwmans C. Handleiding voor kostenonderzoek, methoden en standaard kostprijzen voor economische evaluaties in de gezondheidszorg. Geactualiseerde versie, 2010.
- 30 Richtlijn Coeliakie en Dermatitis Herpetiformis. Available: https://www.mdl.nl/files/richlijnen/richtlijn_Coeliakie_definitief.pdf