

MTORC1 signaling as a biomarker in major depressive disorder and its pharmacological modulation by novel rapid-acting antidepressants Cholewinski, T.; Pereira, D.; Moerland, M.; Jacobs, G.E.

Citation

Cholewinski, T., Pereira, D., Moerland, M., & Jacobs, G. E. (2021). MTORC1 signaling as a biomarker in major depressive disorder and its pharmacological modulation by novel rapid-acting antidepressants. *Therapeutic Advances In Psychopharmacology*, *11*, 1-23. doi:10.1177/20451253211036814

Version:Publisher's VersionLicense:Creative Commons CC BY-NC 4.0 licenseDownloaded from:https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3276556

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

MTORC1 signaling as a biomarker in major depressive disorder and its pharmacological modulation by novel rapid-acting antidepressants

Tomasz Cholewinski^(D), Diana Pereira^(D), Matthijs Moerland and Gabriel E. Jacobs

Abstract: Major depressive disorder (MDD) is a multifactorial psychiatric disorder with obscure pathophysiology. A biomarker-based approach in combination with standardized interview-based instruments is needed to identify MDD subtypes and novel therapeutic targets. Recent findings support the impairment of the mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1) in MDD. No well-established biomarkers of mTORC1 disease- and treatment-modulated activity are currently available for use in early phase antidepressant drug (AD) development. This review aims to summarize biomarkers of mTORC1 activity in MDD and to suggest how these could be implemented in future early clinical trials on mTORC1 modulating ADs. Therefore, a PubMed-based narrative literature review of the mTORC1 involvement in MDD was performed. We have summarized recent pre-clinical and clinical findings linking the MDD to the impaired activity of several key biomarkers related to mTORC1. Also, cases of restoration of these impairments by classical ADs and novel fast-acting investigational ADs are summarized. The presented biomarkers may be used to monitor pharmacological effects by novel rapid-acting mTORC1-targeting ADs. Based on findings in the peripheral blood mononuclear cells, we argue that those may serve as an ex vivo model for evaluation of mTORC1 activity and propose the use of the summarized biomarkers for this purpose. This could both facilitate the selection of a pharmacodynamically active dose and quide future early clinical efficacy studies in MDD. In conclusion, this review provides a blueprint for the rational development of rapid-acting mTORC1-targeting ADs.

Keywords: rapid-acting antidepressants, pharmacological biomarkers, CNS drug development, biomarker-based drug development, major depressive disorder (MDD), mTORC1

Received: 6 January 2021; revised manuscript accepted: 16 July 2021.

Introduction

Major depressive disorder (MDD) is a multifactorial psychiatric disorder that is primarily characterized by a sustained and pathologically depressed mood and anhedonia and accompanied by disturbances in cognitive function, psychomotor activity, and vegetative symptoms. MDD is one of the top four causes of years lived with disability worldwide, and patients suffering from MDD are at risk of suicidal behavior or death by suicide.^{1,2} Besides, up to 30% of MDD patients fail to respond to currently registered antidepressant drugs (ADs), a majority of patients experience unacceptable side effects or residual symptoms despite antidepressant treatment, and therapeutic effects with conventional monoaminergic ADs only occur after several weeks.³ The future development of novel ADs for the treatment of MDD should therefore pursue rapid mood improvement that is associated with a more favorable efficacy and safety profiles compared with currently available drugs.

Currently, the classification of MDD is primarily based on diagnostic criteria of the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* (5th edition; Correspondence to: Gabriel E. Jacobs Centre for Human Drug Research, Zernikedreef 8, 2333 CL Leiden, The Netherlands GJacobsfüchdr.nl

Tomasz Cholewinski Diana Pereira Matthijs Moerland Centre for Human Drug Research, Leiden, The Netherlands

2021, Vol. 11: 1-23 DOI: 10.1177/ 20451253211036814

© The Author(s), 2021. Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journalspermissions

journals.sagepub.com/home/tpp



Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).

Ther Adv Psychopharmacol

DSM 5).⁴ Importantly, the most widely used method to assess the severity of depressive symptoms and the response to pharmacological interventions in virtually all clinical MDD studies is one or a combination of depression severity rating instruments. These include the clinician-rated Montgomery-Åsberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS) and the Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression (HAMD), and the self-reported Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology (IDS-SR).5-7 These instruments are composed of scoring items that are predominantly derived from the DSM diagnostic criteria, with the severity of each item being rated using a 4- to 6-point Likerttype scale, and ultimately resulting in a total score.⁵⁻⁷ Despite being useful in clinical practice to track treatment response over time, the validity of such phenomenology-based assessments depends on various factors such as rater experience, MDD-mediated recall bias, and unintended non-specific therapeutic effects as a result of interactions with raters or the research team during the study. Moreover, these instruments are not sufficient to distinguish between different biological subtypes or endophenotypes that may underlie the heterogeneous MDD syndrome. Also, as these have been validated using predominantly monoaminergic ADs, they might not be sufficiently sensitive to grasp rapid dynamic central nervous system (CNS) molecular changes induced by drugs with novel mechanisms of action such as N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor (NMDAR) antagonists a-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4or isoxazole propionic acid receptor (AMPAR) modulators. Clearly, there is a need for biomarkers that complement the current interview-based evaluations, as biomarkers are expected to objectify the effects of novel compounds in early phase psychiatric drug development and ultimately personalized MDD treatments.8

Although neuroscience has contributed greatly to a better understanding of the neurobiology of psychiatric diseases, the pathophysiology of MDD remains largely obscure. Drug development for MDD is currently still dominated by a phenomenological approach, which ultimately results in the selection of heterogeneous patient populations for inclusion in drug trials and may contribute to the dilution of treatment effects with novel compounds. A biomarker-based approach for MDD presents obvious advantages, such as the identification of patient subgroups that may benefit from drugs with a specific mechanism of action (MOA) and the drug development guided by pharmacological biomarkers.^{3,9} Several different biomarkers have been proposed, ranging from CNS-based cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) and neuroimaging profiles to peripheral changes involving the neuroendocrine system and inflammatory responses.⁹ However, so far, none of these biomarkers have been adequately validated for application in the complementary diagnosis and treatment of MDD, or in drug development programs of novel ADs.⁹

An increasing number of molecular findings suggests a relationship between stress-related synapdysfunction, one the tic of putative pathophysiological factors in MDD, and inhibition of the mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1).¹⁰ Therefore, mTORC1 has recently emerged as a novel surrogate target for emerging rapid-acting ADs, as well as a potential pharmacological and diagnostic biomarker in drug development.11-13 Although mTORC1 activity can readily be quantified in dissected brains of laboratory animals, and molecular and morphological changes can be linked to behavioral response to AD treatment, this approach is not feasible in living human subjects.^{14,15}

This literature review, therefore, aims to summarize the evidence for molecular mTORC1 biomarkers in MDD, and to suggest how these could be implemented as pharmacological biomarkers in future early clinical trials with rapid-acting ADs that target the reversal of stress-related synaptic dysfunction in MDD. Ultimately, development of new *ex vivo* models may demonstrate the mTORC1-related pharmacological activity of drugs by monitoring relevant biomarkers within the signaling pathway.

mTORC1 involvement in MDD

mTOR signaling governs cell growth and metabolism. mTOR complex is divided into two distinct multiprotein complexes named mTORC1 and mTORC2. The signaling of both complexes is intertwined as they share multiple proteins, that is, mLST8, the Tti1/Tel2 complex, and Deptor.^{16,17} mTORC1 additionally associates with the nonenzymatic scaffolding protein Raptor, the inhibitory PRAS40, which itself is inhibited by Akt, having in total six protein components.¹⁸ mTORC1 plays a central role in controlling cell growth, protein synthesis, lipogenesis, energy metabolism, and autophagy, whereas mTORC2 facilitates cell survival and cytoskeletal organization.¹⁹ Activity of both complexes is modulated



Figure 1. Graphical representation of neuronal mTORC1 signaling. Presented are the key elements that regulate mTORC1 signaling and play a role in depression pathophysiology mediated by impaired synaptogenesis. The crucial inputs regulating mTORC1 activity include amino acids, growth factors, stress, energy and oxygen status, as well as several neurotransmitters and their receptors. A few targets of AD are presented in a simplified form. The green pointed arrowheads indicate activation and the red flat indicate inhibition. mTORC1-related biomarkers, upstream of, close relative to (mTORC1-proximal), farther downstream of the mTORC1 (mTORC1-distal) are indicated in orange, green, and blue, respectively. For all abbreviations, see list of abbreviations.

Figure adapted from previous studies.^{10,13,14,19–24} The figure was created with Biorender.com.

by growth factors, and mTORC1 is additionally affected by cellular energy status, oxygen, and nutrients, such as glucose and amino acids.^{14,19–24} Figure 1 shows a simplified representation of major mTORC1 signaling pathways. Under the scope of this review, only the mTORC1 signaling is discussed in more detail.

mTORC1 is of crucial importance in various CNS-related physiological processes, where it is primarily responsible for the regulation of neuronal development, neurogenesis, and synaptic plasticity.^{10,25–27} Synaptic plasticity is an adaptive

process that regulates synaptic strength, numbers, and density by which neurons and circuits change their excitability and connectivity. Such processes help to adjust to developmental changes, such as aging and environmental stimuli, for example, learning and stress, which influence thoughts, mood, and behavior.²⁸ It is hypothesized that chronic stress-related mood disorders such as (certain subtypes of) MDD may be associated with loss of synaptic plasticity, which compromises neuronal function.^{26,29,30} The number of synapses and the cell body size have been found to be significantly decreased postmortem in the prefrontal cortex (PFC) of MDD patients.³¹ Such structural neuronal changes are believed to negatively influence modulatory effects and functional connectivity within and between neuro-circuits involved in the physiological regulation of emotion, such as the PFC and subcortical structures, hypothalamus, amygdala, and hippocampus (HIP).^{32,33} Alongside morphological changes, PFC of postmortem MDD patients also exhibits a significant reduction in expression of mTORC1dependent translation initiation factors; mTOR, p70S6K, and eIF4B, which may be involved in the regulation of brain function and pathophysiology of MDD.^{27,34}

Animal models of chronic stress that exhibit depressive-like behavior also show neuronal atrophy in the PFC and HIP as a result of reduced expression levels of synaptic proteins.14,22,35 Studies suggest that these changes are associated with stress-induced prolonged excessive extracellular glutamate, which causes excitotoxicity, reduction of spine density, and synaptic strength and overall dendritic atrophy within the PFC.36,37 Moreover, direct inhibition of mTORC1 through overexpression of REDD1 in rodents can induce depressive-like symptoms without exposure to stress.²² Similar observations are found in MDD patients. Changes in postmortem brain tissue morphology co-occur with decreased levels of phosphorylated (phospho) mTORC1-associated molecules, such as mTOR, p70S6K, and ribosomal protein S6.13,14,22,38,39

These findings indicate a strong connection between stress-related morphological changes in the brain, depressive-like behavior, and reduction of mTORC1 activity. Therefore, mTORC1 signaling may be a putative (indirect) target for monitoring ADs evaluated in a variety of mTORC1-related biomarkers.

The role of mTORC1 in the MOA of novel ADs

Recent pre-clinical discoveries, in the search for novel therapeutic targets, indicate that mTORC1 plays a crucial role in the rapid onset of antidepressant action. One of the emerging rapid-acting ADs, with a MOA relying on mTORC1, is the non-competitive NMDAR antagonist ketamine.⁴⁰ Through inhibition of both presynaptic and postsynaptic NMDARs, ketamine blocks the spontaneous activity of GABAergic interneurons, which leads to an acute glutamate release and its binding to pro-synaptogenic AMPARs. Especially, the GluN2B subunit of NMDARs on GABAergic interneurons is proposed to be essential for the rapid antidepressant actions of ketamine.⁴¹ As depicted in Figure 1, this mechanism leads to a subsequent downstream mTORC1 activation, triggering synaptogenesis which contributes to synaptic plasticity resulting in an antidepressant effect.^{10,26} mTORC1-dependent effect of several investigational ADs with a fast onset of action, including ketamine, has been reported in multiple pre-clinical studies. Table 1 summarizes mTORC1-related molecules altered by chronic stress exposure in pre-clinical studies and the reversed effects after pharmacological treatment. In all cases, the investigational ADs reversed the stress-induced depressive-like behavior.

In the PFC of animal models, acute ketamine treatment was able to reverse the chronic stressinduced deficits in phospho-mTOR, p70S6K, and 4E-BP1, as well as the expression of PSD95 and glutamate/AMPA receptor subunit, GluR1, responsible for synaptic plasticity.12-15,22,35,39,42 A similar effect on phospho-p70S6K was observed in the HIP alongside an increased dendritic spine density in the dentate gyrus.44 Ketamine could also lower the level of phospho-eEF2K, which inhibits translation elongation.49 When administered 1 week prior a corticosterone treatment, ketamine prevented corticosterone-induced deficits of PSD95 and GluR1 in the HIP.54 The mTORC1-dependent action of ketamine was also demonstrated after pretreatment with a selective mTORC1 inhibitor, rapamycin, which blocked any effect of ketamine on the levels of phosphomTOR, 4E-BP1, PSD95, and GluR1 as well as on animal behavior, compared with a non-pretreated group.35,55

Besides the rapid increase of phospho-mTOR and PSD95, a single dose of ketamine led to an increase of brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) in the PFC and the HIP.^{43,45–47,49,50} Interestingly, this effect was abolished by rapamycin.⁴³ A long-term ketamine treatment led to less methylation of the BDNF gene in the PFC, which increased its translation and BDNF protein levels.⁵¹

In contrast to ketamine, as a rapidly acting AD, conventional ADs such as sertraline require chronic administration to decrease depressive-like behavior that corresponds to increased BDNF in rodent PFC.^{56,57} Chronic antidepressant treatment activates a transcription factor CREB which regulates transcription of BDNF.⁵⁸

T Cholewinski, D Pereira et al.

Table 1. Potential mTORC1-related biomarkers in animal model of MDD after pharmacological treatment. Summarized are mTORC1-related biomarkers, which are close relative to mTORC1 (mTORC1-proximal), farther downstream to mTORC1 (mTORC1-distal), and upstream to mTORC1. Presented data are based on pre-clinical studies in animals subjected to chronic stress paradigms in which the pharmacological treatment deemed successful, unless stated otherwise.

References	Biomarker (time afte	r dosing)				
	mTORC1-proximal	mTORC1-distal	Upstream	AD	Rapid- acting	Drug class/ target
Kato and colleagues ¹³	mTOR (ph, 1h)	PSD95 (pr, 24 h)		Ketamine	Yes	NMDA receptor
	p70S6K (ph, 1h)	GluR1 (pr, 24 h)				antagonist
	4EBP1 (ph, 1h)					
Pazini and colleagues ⁴²	mTOR (ph, 1h)	PSD95 (pr, 30 min)		Ketamine	Yes	NMDA receptor
	p70S6K (ph, 1h)	BDNF (pr)				antagonist
Pazini and colleagues ⁴³		PSD95 (pr, 1h)		Ketamine	Yes	NMDA receptor
		BDNF (pr, 1h)				antagonist
Fraga and colleagues ⁴⁴	p70S6K (ph, 1h)			Ketamine	Yes	NMDA receptor antagonist
Li and colleagues ¹⁴	mTOR (ph, 30 min)	PSD95 (pr, 2h)	Akt (ph, 1h)	Ketamine	Yes	NMDA receptor
	p70S6K (ph, 30min)	GluR1 (pr, 2h)	ERK (ph, 1 h)			antagonist
	4EBP1 (ph, 30 min)					
Li and colleagues ³⁵		PSD95 (pr, 2 days)		Ketamine	Yes	NMDA receptor
		GluR1 (pr, 2days)				antagonist
Liu and colleagues ¹⁵	mTOR (ph, 1h)		Akt (ph, 1h)	Ketamine	Yes	NMDA receptor
	p70S6K (ph, 1h)		ERK (ph, 1h)			antagonist
			GSK-3β (ph, 1 h)			
Fukumoto and colleagues ¹²	mTOR (ph, 30 min)			Ketamine	Yes	NMDA receptor antagonist
Tang and colleagues ³⁹	p70S6K (ph, 2 days)	PSD95 (pr, 2 days)	Akt (ph, 2days)	Ketamine	Yes	NMDA receptor
	4EBP1 (ph, 2days)	GluR1 (pr, 2days)	ERK (ph, 2 days)			antagonist
Liu and colleagues ⁴⁵		PSD95 (pr, 2 days)		Ketamine	Yes	NMDA receptor
		BDNF (pr, 2days)				antagonist
		Bcl2/Bax (pr, 2 days)				
Zhou and colleagues ^{46*}	mTOR (ph, 30 min)	BDNF (pr, 30 min)		Ketamine	Yes	NMDA receptor antagonist
Yang and colleagues ⁴⁷	mTOR (ph, 30 min)	BDNF (pr, 30 min)		Ketamine	Yes	NMDA receptor antagonist
Beurel and colleagues ⁴⁸			GSK-3β (ph, 30 min)	Ketamine	Yes	NMDA receptor antagonist
Autry and colleagues ⁴⁹	eEF2K (ph, 30 min)	BDNF (pr, 30 min)		Ketamine	Yes	NMDA receptor antagonist

(Continued)

Therapeutic Advances in Psychopharmacology 11

Table 1. (Continued)

References	Biomarker (time after	r dosing)				
	mTORC1-proximal	mTORC1-distal	Upstream	AD	Rapid- acting	Drug class/ target
Silva Pereira and colleagues ⁵⁰		BDNF (mRNA, 2h)		Ketamine	Yes	NMDA receptor antagonist
Ju and colleagues⁵¹		BDNF (meth DNA, 23 days)		Ketamine	No	NMDA receptor antagonist
Pazini and colleagues ⁴² , Pazini and colleagues ⁴³	mTOR (ph, 1h)	PSD95 (pr, 1h)		Creatine	Yes	Ergogenic guanidine-like
	p70S6K (ph, 1h)	BDNF (pr, 1h)				compound
Kato and colleagues ¹³	mTOR (ph, 1h)	PSD95 (pr, 24 h)		NV-5138	Yes	Leucine analog
	p70S6K (ph, 1h)	GluR1 (pr, 24 h)				
	4EBP1 (ph, 1h)					
Li and colleagues ¹⁴	mTOR (ph, 1h)	PSD95 (pr, 6h)	Akt (ph, 1h)	Ro 25-6981	Yes	NMDA receptor
	p70S6K (ph, 1h)	GluR1 (pr, 6h)	ERK (ph, 1 h)			NR2B-subunit antagonist
	4EBP1 (ph, 1h)					
Fukumoto and colleagues ¹²	mTOR (ph, 30 min)	BDNF** (pr, 1h)	ERK** (ph, 1h)	(2R,6R)-HNK	Yes	Ketamine metabolite
Voleti and colleagues ⁵²	mTOR (ph, 1h)		Akt (ph, 1h)	Scopolamine	Yes	Muscarinic
	p70S6K (ph, 1h)					antagonists
Voleti and colleagues ⁵²	mTOR (ph, 1h)		Akt (ph, 1h)	Telenzepine	Yes	Muscarinic
	p70S6K (ph, 2h)		ERK (ph, 1 h)			antagonists
Fogaça and colleagues ¹¹	p70S6K (ph, 24h)	PSD95 (pr, 24 h)	ERK** (ph, 1h)	d-methadone	Yes	Opioid
		GluR1 (pr, 24 h)				
		BDNF** (pr, 1h)				
Autry and colleagues ⁴⁹	eEF2K (ph, 30 min)	PSD95 (pr, 30 min)		MK-801/ dizocilpine	Yes	NMDA receptor antagonist
		BDNF (pr, 30 min)				
Suzuki and colleagues ⁵³	mTOR ** (ph, 1h)	BDNF** (pr, 1h)	Akt** (ph, 1h)	TAK-137	Yes	AMPA receptor potentiator
	p70S6K ** (ph, 1h)		ERK** (ph, 1h)			

Pr, protein; ph, phosphorylated protein; meth DNA, gene methylation; min, minute; h, hour; d, day; w, week. For all other abbreviations, see list of abbreviations. *Animals not exposed to stress.

**Biomarker measured in cortical cell culture.

BDNF is essential for neuroplasticity and brain development. It is initially transcribed into two forms, proBDNF and mature BDNF, which activate different processes. proBDNF, expressed constitutively in low levels, binds to neurotrophin receptor P75 (p75NTR) and leads to disrupted plasticity, whereas mature BDNF undergoes neuronal activity dependent release and binds to TrkB. Therewith, mature BDNF positively regulates translation of i.a. synaptic proteins through activation of the PI3K-Akt pathway, followed by activation of mTORC1, as shown in Figure 1.10 Moreover, proBDNF contains a common singlenucleotide polymorphism (SNP), Val66Met, which hinders its processing to the mature form. A summary of the role of BDNF in the pathophysiology and treatment of MDD is provided in a recent review.27 As demonstrated by several studies, inhibition of BDNF by infusion of BDNF neutralizing antibodies or a knock-in of Val66Met blocks any antidepressant and molecular effect of investigational ADs.^{12,13,59} Taken together, a rapid elevation of mature BDNF upon fast-acting AD administration can be considered a potential biomarker signifying mTORC1 activity, given its dependence on mTORC1 and its co-occurrence with other mTORC1-related biomarkers.

In addition, an increase of apoptosis, indicated by i.a. a lower ratio between the pro-apoptotic B-cell lymphoma 2 (Bcl2) and anti-apoptotic Bcl-2associated X protein (Bax) in the HIP of rats subjected to chronic unpredictable stress (CUS), was alleviated 24 h after ketamine treatment.⁴⁵ Considering that energy stress regulates apoptosis through mTORC1 (Figure 1), and direct inhibition of mTORC1 leads to altered neuronal cell death in developing mice, Bcl2: Bax ratio may also be related to mTORC1-mediated action of ketamine.^{60,61}

Stress-induced deficits of several mTORC1related molecules were also reversed by different investigational drugs that exhibit rapid antidepressant-like effects in pre-clinical animal behavior studies. Similar to ketamine, a leucine analog (NV-5138) and an NMDAR NR2B-subunit antagonist (Ro 25-6981), both increased phospho-mTOR, p70S6K, and 4E-BP1 and expression of PSD95 and GluR1.^{13,14} Interestingly, the increase in PSD95 and GluR1 was observed with a 5-23h delay compared with mTOR, p70S6K, and 4E-BP1, confirming that PSD95 and GluR1 are modulated by mTORC1, as depicted in Figure 1.13,14 A similar result was observed after creatine, an ergogenic guanidine-like compound, which increased phospho-mTOR and p70S6K and PSD95 and BDNF protein levels in the HIP.42,43 In a different pre-clinical study, treatment with muscarinic receptor antagonists, either scopolamine or telenzepine also rapidly increased phospho-mTOR and p70S6K.52 Treatment with d-methadone, an opioid, increased p70S6K, PSD95, and GluR1 in PFC and BDNF levels in in vitro cortical cells.11 A non-competitive

NMDAR antagonist dizocilpine, also known as MK-801, decreased phospho-eEF2K and increased BDNF levels in the same fashion as ketamine in an animal model.⁴⁹ A ketamine metabolite, (2R,6R)-HNK, also increased phospho-mTOR in rat PFC and BDNF levels *in vitro*.¹² Moreover, an AMPAR potentiator (TAK-137) rapidly increased phospho-mTOR and p70S6K and BDNF levels *in vitro*.⁵³

Interestingly, the aforementioned investigational ADs targeting mTORC1 also lead to a rapid increase in phosphorylated molecules upstream of the mTORC1, Akt, and ERK in the PFC of animal models and in *in vitro* experiments.^{11,12,14,15,39,52,53} The activity of the mTORC1-inhibiting GSK-3 β was also found to be decreased after ketamine treatment.^{15,48} These observations suggest that the signaling pathways linked to mTORC1 are also worth investigating alongside the mTORC1 to better understand the MOA of the novel ADs.

Conclusively, a significant body of experimental evidence provides support for the involvement of mTORC1 in the MOA of investigational rapidacting ADs. Monitoring of mTORC1 activity through the evaluation of molecular biomarkers could, therefore, provide a helpful insight into novel ADs of which the MOA is not fully elucidated.

CNS-based mTOR-related MDD biomarkers

Postmortem human brain

Animal models have enabled us to thoroughly study the molecular effects of investigational CNS drugs at the cellular level directly in dissected brain tissue combined with behavioral experiments. Whereas evidence for the role of mTORC1 in the activity of ADs can be easily obtained from animals, the same kind of mechanistic data are much more difficult to obtain from humans, where we are limited to postmortem brains and the CSF. Therefore, to date, there are merely a handful of clinical studies focused specifically on mTORC1-related molecules in relation to MDD. Table 2 contains a summary of postmortem studies that indicate differences in levels of mTORC1-related molecules between MDD patients and controls.

One prominent postmortem study has reported a significant reduction of mTOR, p70S6K, eIF4B, and phospho-eIF4B in the PFC of MDD

Table 2. mTORC1-related biomarkers detected centrally in postmortem human brain. Summarized are the measured levels of mTORC1 components in postmortem brain tissue of depressive patients.

References	Biomarker	Tissue	Baseline biomarker	Sample si	ize (m/f)	AD	<i>p</i> *
			C/P	С	Р		
Jernigan and colleagues ³⁴	mTOR	PFC	$0.616 \pm 0.055 / 0.42 \pm 0.06^{a}$	10/2	10/2	Yes/ser, flu, par, amit	0.0017
Jernigan and colleagues ³⁴	P70S6K	PFC	$0.54 \pm 0.046 / 0.37 \pm 0.04^{a}$	10/2	10/2	Yes/ser, flu, par, amit	0.001
Jernigan and colleagues ³⁴	eIF4B	PFC	$0.78\pm 0.14/0.26\pm 0.10^{a}$	10/2	10/2	Yes/ser, flu, par, amit	0.0039
Jernigan and colleagues ³⁴	Phospho- eIF4B	PFC	$2.18 \pm 0.36 / 1.18 \pm 0.32^{a}$	10/2	10/2	Yes/ser, flu, par, amit	0.0004
Feyissa and colleagues ⁶²	PSD95	PFC	$0.91 \pm 0.15 / 0.54 \pm 0.1^{a}$	11/3	11/3	Yes/ser, flu, par, amit	< 0.05
Karege and colleagues ⁶³	GSK-3β	PFC	$7.6 \pm 2.3/11.6 \pm 2.5^{b}$	5/5	5/5	NR	0.002
Karege and colleagues ⁶³	Akt	PFC	$27.3 \pm 9.0 / 18.8 \pm 5.1^{\text{b}}$	5/5	5/5	NR	0.002
Martins-de-Souza and colleagues ⁶⁴	Akt	Brain	NR	8/4	13/11	NR	0.02
Ota and colleagues ²²	REDD1	PFC	~1/~1.7°	NR	NR	NR	0.012
Dwivedi and colleagues ⁶⁵	BDNF	PFC	$1.61 \pm 0.39/0.94 \pm 0.22^{a}$	17/4	11	NR	< 0.001
Dwivedi and colleagues ⁶⁵	BDNF	HIP	$1.71 \pm 0.44 / 1.04 \pm 0.20^{a}$	17/4	11	NR	< 0.001
Karege and colleagues ⁶⁶	BDNF	PFC	$17.5 \pm 3.0 / 13.8 \pm 2.6^{d}$	8	7	DF	< 0.002
Karege and colleagues ⁶⁶	BDNF	PFC	$17.5\pm 3.0/17.9\pm 2.9^{d}$	8	7	Yes/NR	0.36 NS
Karege and colleagues ⁶⁶	BDNF	HIP	$24.5 \pm 3.6/17.7 \pm 2.9^{d}$	8	7	DF	< 0.002
Karege and colleagues ⁶⁶	BDNF	HIP	$24.5 \pm 3.6/23.3 \pm 2.2^{d}$	8	7	Yes/NR	0.36 NS
Chen and colleagues ⁶⁷	BDNF	HIP	~20/~35°	153	15	Yes/NR	0.09 NS
Dwivedi and colleagues ⁶⁵	BDNF mRNA	PFC	$606.8 \pm 139.5/352.2 \pm 133.9^{f}$	17/4	11	NR	< 0.001
Dwivedi and colleagues ⁶⁵	BDNF mRNA	HIP	$2049.4 \pm 642.8 / 1075.1 \pm 200.6^{\rm f}$	17/4	11	NR	< 0.001

C, healthy control; P, patient; m, male; f, female; DF, drug free; NR, not reported; NS, not significant; amit, amitriptyline; ser, sertraline; par, paroxetine; flu, fluoxetine. For all other abbreviations, see list of abbreviations.

^aProtein levels normalized to actin. ^bKinase activity expressed in pmol/min/mg of brain tissue.

^cFold change relative to control.

^dAmount of protein expressed in ng/g brain tissue.

^eProtein immunoreactivity.

fmRNA level relative to neuron-specific enolase (NSE) mRNA.

*All presented *p* values are statistically significant unless indicated otherwise.

patients.³⁴ In the same group of deceased patients, also the level of synaptic protein PSD95 was lower compared with healthy controls.⁶² Moreover, BDNF was lowered in MDD as reported by three independent studies.^{65–67} BDNF protein level was lowered in the PFC and HIP of drug-free MDD patients relative to healthy control. However, there was no significant difference from patients who received (classic) antidepressant treatment.⁶⁶ A similar result was observed in a different study, where a lower BDNF was measured in the HIP of unmedicated MDD patients, compared with medicated ones.⁶⁷ BDNF protein, as well as mRNA expression, was also reduced in PFC and HIP of patients in a study, where, unfortunately, the drug type was not reported.⁶⁵ The activity of Akt was found to be lowered and the inhibitory GSK-3 β and REDD1 increased in depressive patients.^{22,63,64}

As can be seen in Table 2, the common problems with the presented studies are (1) the very narrow window between the biomarker levels measured in patients and controls, and (2) the relatively small sample sizes and not equal male: female ratios due to unavailability of donor tissue. Also, medication use within a study varies between patients, and some studies do not report such information. Therefore, it is not possible to differentiate between true disease-related and drugrelated effects.

CSF

Despite that the CSF provides the closest approximation of the CNS and can be obtained from living subjects, to date, no attempts have been made for a systematic evaluation of mTORC1related molecules in this matrix. The only biomarker related to mTORC1-signaling that has been researched is BDNF, however with inconsistent outcomes. Levels of CSF BDNF in MDD patients were found to be notably lower than in healthy controls. Citalopram treatment led to a reduction in MADRS and HAMD scores, coinciding with trends of increasing CSF BDNF. However, the presented control group was not matched to the patients and the observed changes were not statistically significant.68 Stronger evidence was presented in a different study, which reported lower BDNF levels in MDD patients and a significant correlation between BDNF levels and severity of depression.69 In two other studies, no significant differences were found in CSF nor plasma BDNF levels between depressed and control subjects, and no effect of ADs was observed.^{70,71} The ratio of the BDNF pro-peptide to the total protein level in CSF of male MDD patients was found to be significantly lower than in male controls; however, the mature BDNF levels alone were below the limit of detection. This difference was not found in female subjects, which may be explained by the difference in gene methylation between sexes.72-74

Overall, notwithstanding the limitations, these findings suggest that the activity of mTORC1 in

the CNS is impaired in MDD patients. Therefore, it is safe to assume that restoration of mTORC1 activity, upon an AD treatment, signified by relevant biomarkers, could serve as an indication of its molecular MOA.

Peripheral mTOR-related MDD biomarkers

Peripheral blood

Reminiscent to the CNS, a limited number of publications report peripheral alterations in the expression or activity of mTORC1-related molecules in MDD. Table 3 presents studies that report alterations in peripheral levels of mTORC1-related molecules after pharmacological treatment.

In a study involving a treatment-resistant depression patient, treatment with ketamine was found to cause an acute increase in phospho-mTOR in peripheral blood mononuclear cell (PBMCs). This change coincided with a decrease in MADRS and HAMD scores.75 However, this observation should be interpreted with caution as this study was conducted on only one patient, besides lacking a healthy control.75 Another study, performed in an adequate number of subjects, supports part of previous outcomes by showing increased phospho-GSK-3ß in platelet-rich plasma of MDD patients compared with controls. In the same study, the phospho-GSK-3ß decreased after monoaminergic AD treatment and correlated with lowered HAMD scores.81

Several authors showed evidence of accelerated apoptosis in PBMCs of patients with mood disorders, which may be triggered by an impaired activity of mTORC1. Indicators of apoptosis such as elevated expression levels of several cell death-regulating proteins such as Bax in T-lymphocytes and a higher release of reactive oxygen species have been reported in depressed controls.78,79 patients relative to healthy Therewith, the Bcl2: Bax ratio was significantly decreased in PBMCs and specifically CD14+ leukocytes of depressed patients.78,80 Combined with the findings in animal models of chronic stress, where the (mTORC1-activating) ketamine increased the Bcl2: Bax ratio, these observations imply that Bcl2: Bax ratio could serve as an indicator of mTORC1 activity.45

Despite the lack of well-grounded evidence, the available limited clinical findings suggest that alterations in mTORC1-related signaling can be

to mTORC1 [mTORC1-proximal],	ID in which the pharmacological	
related biomarkers in clinical studies. Summarized are mTORC1-related biomarkers, which are close relative to m	n the mTORC1 (mTORC1-distal), and upstream of mTORC1. Presented data are based on clinical studies in MDD in	successful and resulted in fast mood improvement, unless stated otherwise
Table 3. mTORC	farther downstre	treatment deem

tarther downs treatment dee	stream the m smed succes	nTORC1 In sful and r	nTORC1-dis esulted in fa	tall, and upstre ast mood impr	eam of m1U ovement, un	KCT. Prese Iless stated	nted data are based on otherwise	clinical studi	es in MDD in w	nich the p	harmacol	ogical	
References	Treatment	Classic	Biomarker (t	ime after dosing)		Matrix	Baseline Biomarker	Treatment re	sponse	Sample si	ze (m/f)	ď	
		AU	mTORC1- proximal	m TORC1- distal	Upstream		C/P	Biomarker	Mood	υ	4		
Denk and colleagues ⁷⁵	ket	° Z	mTOR (ph, 100 min)			PBMCs	NA/100%	500%↑	MADRS, 4↓	AN	1 (f)	NA	
									BDI, 3↓				
Haile and colleagues ⁷⁶	ket	o Z		BDNF (pr, 240 min)		Plasma	~2.5 ng/ml	~3.5 ng/ml↑	MADRS, ~12↓	Ч	15	0.03	
Allen and colleagues ⁷⁷	ket	o Z		BDNF (pr. 1 week)		Serum	~19/~10 ng/ml	~15 ng/ml↑	HAMD, ~10 ↓	10/10	8/8	<0.05ª	
												<0.001b.c	
												<0.03 ^d	
Szuster- Ciesielska and colleagues ⁷⁸	NA	NA		Apoptosis (Bcl-2/Bax pr)		PBMCs	$0.969 \pm 0.05/0.746 \pm 0.1$	NA	AN	15/15	14/15	<0.05 ^{b,*}	
Eilat and colleagues ⁷⁹	NA	NА		Apoptosis (apoptotic cells)		PBMCs	0.5%-24.85%/1%-27%	AN	٩N	6/1	6/1	<0.05 ^b	
Amidfar and colleagues ⁸⁰	NA	AA		Apoptosis (Bcl2/Bax mRNA)		PBMCs	$1/0.776 \pm 0.08; 0.36 \pm 0.04$	AN	AN	15/15	13/17; 7/236	<0.001€	
												<0.001 ^b	
PBMC, periphel scale score; NA mir, mirtazapin -Approximation Ubecrease com Difference fron Difference fron Correlation bet Correlation bet Difference fror	al blood monor , not applicable, e; clom, clomipr pared with prev ared with prev 1 baselinel bior 1 controls. 1 controls 1 controls 1 other patient s 2 other patient s	uclear cell; NR, not rep ramine; traz, ious state. narker. narker. ad/depressio ubgroup.	ph, phosphoryl: orted: ket, keta , trazodone: ago n score.	ated protein: pr. pr mine; mid, midazo , agomelatine; ber	otein; mRNA, g lam; escita, esc izo, benzodiaze izo, benzodiaze	ene expressior citalopram; citi pines. For all , p	1; m, male; f, female; C, contro a, citalopram; ser, sertraline; I other abbreviations, see list of	l group: P, patie aar, paroxetine; abbreviations.	nt group; V, measur /en, venlafaxine; de	ed biomarke sven, desven	rr value; Dep lafaxine; flu	, depression fluoxetine;	

Therapeutic Advances in Psychopharmacology 11

detected in the periphery and especially in the PBMCs.

BDNF

Being closely related to the regulation of brain function and morphology, BDNF expression has been extensively explored in MDD animal studies. Likewise, numerous attempts have been made to use peripheral BDNF either as a complementary diagnostic biomarker of MDD or to monitor the pharmacological effects of ADs in humans. Due to the positive association to mTORC1 signaling, as described in the context of pre-clinical studies, BDNF could be considered as a marker of mTORC1 activity. Supplementary Table S1 summarizes the evidence of peripheral detection of BDNF found in the literature.

Serum BDNF. The levels of serum BDNF in subjects suffering from MDD were repeatedly reported to be lower compared with healthy controls.^{29,73,77,82–94} However, several studies did not demonstrate any differences.^{85,95–98}

As shown in Table 4, in the studies in which the effect of ADs was tested, the serum BDNF increased alongside a decrease in HAMD or MADRS scores after treatment with several different classic ADs.^{29,85,87,89,90,99–101} Also, ketamine (see Table 3) caused an increase of serum BDNF and an amelioration of symptoms in MDD patients.⁷⁷ Following the pre-clinical observations, the elevation of serum BDNF required chronic dosing of several weeks with classic ADs, in contrast to ketamine after which BDNF was elevated in serum within 1 week after dosing.⁷⁷ As mentioned before, this is accounted by the difference between the relatively fast mTORC1-related BDNF elevation and the slower CREB-mediated elevation.⁵⁸

The inconsistencies in the BDNF-based discrimination between MDD patients and healthy controls are of concern. These can be attributed to the fact that BDNF is ubiquitously expressed and the circulating protein originates from several sources including neurons, as it can pass the blood-brain barrier, as well as platelets.^{106,107} BDNF in the blood is mainly stored at high levels in platelets that store and release continuously in plasma and after activation due to a traumatic injury or in serum during clotting.¹⁰⁷

Plasma BDNF. Overall, the literature on BDNF levels in plasma shows the same picture as serum

BDNF, with a decreased BDNF level in MDD patients compared with healthy controls.^{94,108–110} Plasma BDNF was also found increased after chronic AD treatment (Table 4), and as fast as 2h after a single ketamine administration (Table 3).^{76,102,103} Interestingly, responders to the treatment had a higher baseline plasma BDNF than treatment-resistant patients.

Contradicting results were reported by other studies, in which no differences in plasma BDNF levels were found between MDD patients and controls.^{71,111} Moreover, in a different study, ket-amine reduced the MADRS scores but did not affect plasma BDNF.¹¹²

BDNF in peripheral cells. In line with the observations based on plasma and serum, BDNF (mRNA) expression was found to be significantly decreased in PBMCs of MDD patients, when compared with healthy controls.^{90,105,113,114} The same was reported for adult as well as pediatric depressed patients.¹¹⁵ An 8- and 12-week escitalopram treatment normalized the decreased BDNF expression in leukocytes from MDD patients who responded to the treatment.^{90,105} In a different study, however, despite reduced neurotrophins, such as glial cell line–derived neurotrophic factor, no significant difference in the expression levels of BDNF mRNA was found between patients with MDD and healthy subjects.¹¹⁶

It is also worth noting that the epigenetic regulation of the BDNF gene may play an important role in depressive disorders. Two independent studies in MDD patients found a significantly enriched methylation of BDNF promoter, which represses gene transcription, along with impaired BDNF expression in PBMCs.^{114,117} The same correlations between BDNF methylation and prevalence of MDD, bipolar disorder, or schizophrenia were found in multiple other studies.72,74,117-121 Methylation of the BDNF gene was found to be sex-dependent with a higher degree in male subjects in schizophrenia and bipolar disorders.72,74 Moreover, an elevated BDNF methylation was observed in parallel in the PFC and peripheral muscle tissue of deceased BD patients, which correlated with the values measured in PBMCs of living patients.74

However, it has to be noted that just like the evaluation of depression severity in most studies, the levels of BDNF were measured at only one timepoint after weeks-long antidepressant therapy.

References	Treatment	Classic	BDNF (time	Matrix	Baseline biomarker	Treatment respons	e	Sample si	ze [m/f]	d
		n c			C/P	Biomarker	Mood	J	٩	
Pláteník and colleagues ⁸¹	Escita, ser, par, flu, mir, ven, traz, ago, benzo	Yes	BDNF (pr, 28 ± 15 days*)	Platelet-rich plasma	2.43/1.62 arbitrary unit	NR↓[1]	HAMD, 7.6 ± 9.0↓	30/66	14/51	<0.05ª.b.C
Ghosh and colleagues ¹⁰²	Flu, desven	Yes	BDNF (pr, 12weeks*)	Plasma	$775.32 \pm 30.38 \text{pg/ml}$	850.3 ± 24.92 pg/ ml↑	HAMD, 12.2±4.58; 9.24 ±3.98↓	AN	14/163	<0.05 ^{d,e}
Kurita and colleagues ¹⁰³	N R	N	BDNF (pr, 8-12weeks**)	Plasma	1827 ± 1340 pg/ml	2402 ± 1 610; 3158 ± 2033 pg/ ml↑	MADRS, 10.9 ±5.9; 5.0 ± 2.4↓	AN	19/19	<0.001d.e
Wolkowitz and colleagues ⁸⁷	Escita, ser	Yes	BDNF (pr, 8 weeks*)	Serum	$20.63 \pm 4.81/15.28 \pm 3.55$ ng/ml	$17.46\pm3.96\mathrm{ng/}$ ml \uparrow	HAMD, 14.5 ±11.2↓	15 (m)	15 (m)	<0.01 ^b
										<0.001 ^d
										<0.05e
Gonul and colleagues ²⁹	Cita, ser, par, ven, flu	Yes	BDNF (pr, 8weeks*)	Serum	26.8±9.3/20.8±6.7 ng/ml	33.3 ± 9.89 ng/ ml↑	HAMD, 8.85 ±3.15↓	6/12	7/21	<0.001 ^{d.e}
										0.015 ^b
Aydemir and colleagues ⁸⁹	Escita	Yes	BDNF (pr., 6 weeks*)	Serum	$41.16 \pm 15.14/27.68 \pm 13.74$ ng/ml	38.57 ± 15.30 ng/ ml↑	HAMD, 9.31 ±6.05↓	20 (f)	20 (f)	0.002 ^d
										<0.001e
										0.010 ^b
Gervasoni and colleagues ⁹⁹	Par, ven, clom	Yes	BDNF (pr, 13.5±6weeks*)	Serum	$26.48 \pm 3.6/22.68 \pm 3.6$ ng/ml	24.48±3.6 ng/ ml↑	NR	13/13	11/15	0.0002 ^b
Molendijk and colleagues ¹⁰⁴	SSRI	Yes	BDNF (pr, NR)	Serum	~9.5 ng/ml/NA	~10-~11 ng/ml↑	NA	149/233	279 (m + f)	0.001 ^f
Katsuki and colleagues ⁸²	Mir	Yes	BDNF [pr, 4weeks*]	Serum	~ 7 ng/ml	~12 ng/ml↑	NR	AN	28/56	<0.05 ^{d,e}
Cattaneo and colleagues ⁹⁰	Escita	Yes	BDNF (pr, 12weeks**)	Serum	$40.92 \pm 10.05/30.58 \pm 9.13$ ng/ml	41.38 ± 10.49 ng/ ml↑	MADRS, 7.23 ±5.15↓	7/16	4/17	<0.05 ^b
										0.777 d.e

Therapeutic Advances in Psychopharmacology 11

Table 4. (Conti	nued)									
References	Treatment	Classic	BDNF (time	Matrix	Baseline biomarker	Treatment respon	se	Sample si	ze (m/f)	р
		ł			C/P	Biomarker	Mood	C	Ъ	
Cattaneo and colleagues ⁹⁰	Escita	Yes	BDNF (mRNA, 12weeks**]	PBMCs/ leukocytes	$1.01 \pm 0.22/0.48 \pm 0.18$	$1.02\pm0.15^{\circ}$	MADRS, 7.23 ±5.15↓	7/16	4/17	<0.001
										p.040₫
Cattaneo and colleagues ¹⁰⁵	Escita	Yes	BDNF (mRNA, 12weeks*)	PBMCs/ leukocytes	$0.95 \pm 0.04/0.71 \pm 0.01$	1.10 ± 0.03	NS	15/19	31/43	<0.0001 ^{b.d}
										<0.0001 ^d
Kimata ⁶⁹	NA	NA	BDNF (pr)	CSF	~70/~15 pg/ml	NA	NA	8/12	8/12	<0.001 ^b
Pålhagen and colleagues ⁶⁸	Cita	Yes	BDNF (pr. 12weeks)	CSF	201 ± 55.1/66.5 ± 11.2 pg/ml	~100 pg/mL^	HAMD, 12.9 ± 6.4; MADRS, 13.2 ± 5.7↓	NR	7/5	<0.001e
										NRd
Martinez and colleagues ⁷⁰	Ven	Yes	BDNF (pr, 8 weeks)	CSF	$4.86 \pm 2.22/4.28 \pm 1.88 pg/ml$	NR	HAMD, 10.6±4.3↓	13/12	8/10	<0.001€
						NS				NS ^{b,d}
Pillai and colleagues ⁷¹	NA	NA	BDNF (pr)	CSF	$7.75\pm3.08/6.57\pm3.35pg/ml$	NA	NA	18	29	NS
Mizui and colleagues ⁷³	NA	NA	BDNF (pr)	CSF	Undetectable	NA	ИА	14/13	10/8	AN
pr, protein; mRN/ midazolam; escitt benzo, benzodiaz(^a Correlation betw ^b Difference from (^d Difference from (^e Difference from (^p Difference from (A, gene expression; n a, escitalopram; cita, espines. For all other een biomarker and n controls. "mer's disease patier baseline] biomarker. baseline] mood/depr harker between treal	n, male; f, ferr citalopram; s abbreviations nood. its with and w ession score. ted and untrea	iale: C, control grour er, sertraline: par, p see list of abbreviat ithout depression.	; P, patient grou aroxetine, ven, ve ions.	o; V, measured biomarker value; Dep, Itafaxine; desven, desvenlafaxine; flu	depression scale sco , fluoxetine; mir, mirt	re; NA, not applicable; Nf azapine; clom, clomipran	R, not report mine; traz, tr	ed; ket, ketal azodone; ag	nine; mid, o, agomelatine;

T Cholewinski, D Pereira et al.

~Approximation. ↓Decrease compared with previous state. ↑Increase compared with previous state. *Measurement performed once.

**Measurement performed two times.

(Continued) Because of this, it cannot be determined whether the used therapy has a slow or rapid effect on BDNF. Moreover, levels of BDNF protein in the periphery differ among sexes and are sensitive to circadian rhythm, which is not accounted in most of the studies, considering that the samples are never obtained longitudinally.71,111,122,123 Also, expression of BDNF is regulated by i.a. glucocorticoid receptors, and depends therewith on activity of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis (HPA-axis).124 The discrepancies between different studies may be also explained by an earlier treatment as most of the enrolled patients used ADs in the past. This makes it even more difficult to distinguish between the drug- and disease-related effects.

Importantly, BDNF blood alterations are not MDD-specific as those are also found in several other disorders such as bipolar disorders or schizophrenia.⁹⁰ Still, peripheral BDNF can be used to recognize a common BDNF and mTORC1-mediated pathophysiology shared by disorders in which synaptic plasticity and mTORC1-mediated pharmacological effect play an important role.

Summary and discussion

We aimed to identify and summarize potential molecular biomarkers of mTORC1 activity in MDD and to suggest how these could be implemented in future early clinical trials with rapidacting ADs that target the reversal of stress-related synaptic dysfunction in MDD.

The current interview-based MDD severity rating instruments are prone to bias and are not sufficiently sensitive to the downstream molecular effects of emerging rapid-acting ADs. Therefore, a complementary biomarker-based approach is needed to unravel neurobiological mechanisms underlying different MDD endophenotypes and to link these to the molecular actions of ADs. Preclinical and clinical data support the involvement of mTORC1 signaling in the pathophysiology of MDD, as well as being a molecular target of clinically effective monoaminergic ADs. mTORC1related molecules could, therefore, serve as potential biomarkers of an mTORC1-related endophenotype in MDD on one hand, and pharmacological biomarkers for antidepressant action of rapid-acting ADs specifically on the other hand. Here, we present and clarify the

relationship between mTORC1 and MDD and the effect of AD treatment based on the available evidence.

There is abundant evidence of mTORC1-mediated regulation of neuronal development and especially synaptic plasticity.^{10,25,26} Deficits in synaptic plasticity followed by a decreased number of synapses and cell body size in neuro-circuits involved in the physiological regulation of emotion have been linked to the pathophysiology of MDD.³¹ On top of that, postmortem studies in MDD patients show deficits in the expression of several mTORC1-related molecules (see Table 2).^{22,34,62-65,67,94} Pre-clinical studies on animals exposed to challenges such as CUS confirm those morphological and cell-based findings in humans.^{13,14,22,35,38,39} Moreover, a multitude of pre-clinical studies demonstrate a clear correlation between antidepressant effects and restoration of the impaired mTORC1 activity in animals after administration of investigational and conventional ADs (see Table 1).12-15,35,39,45-47,49 Together, these findings demonstrate mTORC1 involvement in both the pathophysiology of MDD and the therapeutic effects of not only investigational rapid-acting but also conventional ADs. In vivo quantification of the activity of mTORC1related molecules could therefore serve as pharmacological biomarkers in clinical AD development.

Based on postmortem studies, mTOR, p70S6K, eIF4B, phospho-eIF4B, PSD95, and BDNF, all lowered in the PFC of MDD patients, could be considered as potential mTORC1-related MDD biomarkers (see Table 2).^{34,62,65-67} Yet, to be applicable in a clinical trial setting, the biomarkers should be also available in matrixes proximal to the CNS that can be sampled in living subjects, such as CSF.

There is some human evidence indicating that decreased phospho-mTOR in PBMCs has a potential to recognize mTOR-related MDD endophenotypes.⁷⁵ Moreover, the occurrence of apoptosis in the PBMCs signified by a lowered Bcl2: Bax ratio could serve the same purpose.^{78,80} These biomarkers could be also used to monitor the effect of pharmacological treatment in general and rapid-acting antidepressants in particular, provided that the mTORC1 signaling is involved.^{75,90,105} Obviously, this stresses the need to identify patient subtypes

within *DSM* categories that might benefit from mTORC1 modulation. However, the existing literature does not report any studies focused specifically on mTORC1-related molecules in the CSF, emphasizing the need for such clinical studies.

To date, BDNF is the most prominently researched mTORC1-related molecule with a potential to be used as a peripheral biomarker. However, BDNF levels in peripheral plasma, serum, or PBMCs suffer from high intraindividual variability attributable to many factors such as circadian rhythm, HPA-axis activity, an unidentifiable source of the free circulating protein as well as the possible occurrence of the Val66Met SNP.^{27,71,90,105–107,111,113–115,117,122–124} Measuring BDNF directly in the periphery without taking into account the factors that contribute to its variability, such as the presence of platelets in serum, is of a low informative value. Therefore, an ex vivo approach is needed.

The findings in the PBMCs suggest that patientor healthy volunteer (HV)-derived material could be considered as a valuable *ex vivo* model to study the molecular effect of novel mTORC1targeting ADs.^{78,80,90,105,113–115,117} Application of an *ex vivo* model provides a simplified representation of a complex system, in which any external stimuli, such as HPA-axis activity or circadian rhythm, can be controlled or eliminated. Therefore, in theory, all of the presented biomarkers could be used to study mTORC1 activity *ex vivo* (see Tables 2 and 3).

We argue that PBMCs may serve as a peripheral model for evaluation of physiological pathways involved in mental pathologies, given the strong physiological resemblance in relevant pathways between circulating immune cells and brain cells.^{125,126} Also, in a recent drug development program, PBMCs served as a matrix for the evaluation of the pharmacological activity of mTORC1-targeting ADs.¹²⁷ Conceptually, the

presented mTORC1-related biomarkers (see Tables 2 and 3) can be used to establish an ex vivo challenge model based on HV-derived PBMCs. In such a model, the mechanistic profile of mTORC1 signaling responses to targeted mTORC1 impairment by known inhibitors, such as rapamycin, should be defined. Once established, it can be implemented in early clinical investigation of mTORC1-targeting ADs. Here, the mechanistic profile of mTORC1 signaling responses to such ADs could be defined, following exposure to (CSF-based) pharmacokinetic concentration relevant to in vivo safety studies on HV. Ultimately, ex vivo methodology could contribute to a more precise selection of pharmacologically active dose for early first-in-patient studies.

In conclusion, based on an extensive literature review, we identified several biomarker candidates for monitoring mTORC1 activity in humans. These can be already implemented to study the mechanistic profile of mTORC1 responses to investigational ADs in ex vivo settings. Once validated, these biomarkers may also facilitate both identification of altered mTORC1 signaling in MDD and dynamic pharmacological effects by rapid-acting, novel mTORC1-targeting ADs. From a drug development perspective, such biomarkers can aid selection of 'mTORC1 impaired' MDD (sub)populations, to characterize the pharmacodynamics of novel ADs that target mTORC1 on a molecular level, and to ultimately relate pharmacology to behavioral effects in the context of a pathophysiological cascade of events.¹²⁸ This approach could be of particular value for early clinical proof-of-pharmacology (safety) and proof-ofmechanism (pharmacodynamic) trials. Here the availability of such biomarkers would facilitate not only an efficient selection of a pharmacodynamically active dose or dosing regimen for proof-ofconcept studies but also provide guidance to future efficacy studies in psychiatric patient populations. Herewith, this review is a first step toward the rational development of rapid-acting mTORC1targeting ADs.

Abbreviation	Definition
4EBP1	Eukaryotic initiation factor 4E binding protein
5-HT	Serotonin
AD	Antidepressant drug
Akt	Protein kinase <i>B</i> (PKB)
AMPAR	lpha-amino-3-hydroxyl-5-methyl-4-isoxazole-propionate receptor
АМРК	AMP-activated protein kinase
Bax	Bcl-2-associated X protein
Bcl2	B-cell lymphoma 2
BDNF	Brain-derived neurotrophic factor
CNS	Central nervous system
CREB	Cyclic adenosine monophosphate response element-binding protein
CSF	Cerebrospinal fluid
CUS	Chronic unpredictable stress
Deptor	DEP-domain containing mTOR-interacting protein
DSM 5	Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 5th edition
eEF2K	Eukaryotic elongation factor-2 kinase
eIF4B	Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4B
eIF4E	Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E
ERK	Extracellular signal-regulated kinase
FKBP12	FK506-binding protein of 12 kDa
GABA	Gamma aminobutyric acid
GATOR	GAP activity toward Rags
GluN2B	Glutamate receptor subunit epsilon-2
GluR1	Glutamate receptor subunit 1
GSK-3β	Glycogen synthase kinase-3
HAMD	Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression
HIP	Hippocampus
HPA-axis	Hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis
IDS-SR	Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology
IGF	Insulin-like growth factor
IRS	Insulin receptor substrate-1
LKB1	Liver Kinase B1

List of abbreviations

(Continued)

List of abbreviations

Abbreviation	Definition
MADRS	Montgomery–Åsberg Depression Rating Scale
MDD	Major depressive disorder
mLST8	Mammalian lethal with Sec13 protein 8
MOA	Mechanism of action
mTOR	Mammalian target of rapamycin
mTORC1/2	Mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1/complex 2
NMDAR	N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor
p70S6K	Ribosomal protein S6 kinase
p75NTR	To neurotrophin receptor P75
PBMC	Peripheral blood mononuclear cell
PDCD4	Programmed cell death 4 protein
PFC	Prefrontal cortex
РІЗК	Phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase
PRAS40	Proline-rich Akt substrate of 40 kDa
PSD95	Postsynaptic density protein 95
Rag	Ras-related GTPases
Raptor	Regulatory-associated protein of TOR
REDD1	Regulated in development and DNA damage responses 1
RSK1	Ribosomal S6 kinase
S6	Ribosomal protein S6
SKAR	S6K1 aly/REF-like target
SNP	Single-nucleotide polymorphism
SNRI	Serotonin-norepinephrine re-uptake inhibitor
SSRI	Selective serotonin re-uptake inhibitor
TCA	Tricyclic antidepressant
TrkB	Tropomyosin-related kinase B receptor
TSC1/2	Tuberous sclerosis complexes 1 and 2
Tti1/Tel2	TEL02 Interacting Protein 1
VDCC	Voltage-gated calcium channel

Acknowledgements

Conflict of interest statement

We would like to thank Karen Broekhuizen, PhD, who provided medical writing assistance on behalf of the Centre for Human Drug Research, Leiden, The Netherlands.

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

ORCID iDs

Tomasz Cholewinski D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7473-9321

Diana Pereira Dittps://orcid.org/0000-0002-4258-648X

Supplemental material

Supplemental material for this article is available online.

References

- Otte C, Gold SM, Penninx BW, et al. Major depressive disorder. Nat Rev Dis Primers 2016; 2: 16065.
- GBD 2017 Disease and Injury Incidence and Prevalence Collaborators. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 354 diseases and injuries for 195 countries and territories, 1990-2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease study 2017. *Lancet* 2018; 392: 1789– 1858.
- Al Shweiki MR, Oeckl P, Steinacker P, et al. Major depressive disorder: insight into candidate cerebrospinal fluid protein biomarkers from proteomics studies. *Expert Rev Proteomics* 2017; 14: 499–514.
- Frances AJ and Widiger T. Psychiatric diagnosis: lessons from the DSM-IV past and cautions for the DSM-5 future. *Annu Rev Clin Psychol* 2012; 8: 109–130.
- Montgomery SA and Asberg M. A new depression scale designed to be sensitive to change. Br J Psychiatry 1979; 134: 382–389.
- Hamilton M. A rating scale for depression. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1960; 23: 56–62.
- Rush AJ, Carmody T and Reimitz PE. The Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology (IDS): clinician (IDS-C) and self-report (IDS-SR) ratings of depressive symptoms. *Int J Methods Psychiatr Res* 2000; 9: 45–59.
- Cohen AF, Burggraaf J, van Gerven JM, et al. The use of biomarkers in human pharmacology (Phase I) studies. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol* 2015; 55: 55–74.

- Kennis M, Gerritsen L, van Dalen M, et al. Prospective biomarkers of major depressive disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Mol Psychiatry* 2020; 25: 321–338.
- Ignácio ZM, Réus GZ, Arent CO, et al. New perspectives on the involvement of mTOR in depression as well as in the action of antidepressant drugs. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2016; 82: 1280–1290.
- Fogaça MV, Fukumoto K, Franklin T, et al. N-Methyl-D-aspartate receptor antagonist d-methadone produces rapid, mTORC1-dependent antidepressant effects. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2019; 44: 2230–2238.
- Fukumoto K, Fogaça MV, Liu R-J, et al. Activity-dependent brain-derived neurotrophic factor signaling is required for the antidepressant actions of (2R,6R)-hydroxynorketamine. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2019; 116: 297–302.
- Kato T, Pothula S, Liu RJ, et al. Sestrin modulator NV-5138 produces rapid antidepressant effects via direct mTORC1 activation. *J Clin Investig* 2019; 129: 2542–2554.
- Li N, Lee B, Liu R-J, et al. mTOR-dependent synapse formation underlies the rapid antidepressant effects of NMDA antagonists. *Science* 2010; 329: 959–964.
- 15. Liu RJ, Fuchikami M, Dwyer JM, *et al.* GSK-3 inhibition potentiates the synaptogenic and antidepressant-like effects of subthreshold doses of ketamine. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2013; 38: 2268–2277.
- Kaizuka T, Hara T, Oshiro N, *et al.* Tti1 and Tel2 are critical factors in mammalian target of Rapamycin complex assembly. *J Biol Chem* 2010; 285: 20109–20116.
- Kim D-H, Sarbassov DD, Ali SM, *et al.* GbetaL, a positive regulator of the rapamycinsensitive pathway required for the nutrientsensitive interaction between raptor and mTOR. *Mol Cell* 2003; 11: 895–904.
- Wullschleger S, Loewith R and Hall MN. TOR signaling in growth and metabolism. *Cell* 2006; 124: 471–484.
- Laplante M and Sabatini DM. MTOR signaling in growth control and disease. *Cell* 2012; 149: 274–293.
- Bar-Peled L and Sabatini DM. Regulation of mTORC1 by amino acids. *Trends Cell Biol* 2014; 24: 400–406.
- 21. Huang Y, Kang BN, Tian J, *et al.* The cationic amino acid transporters CAT1 and CAT3 mediate NMDA receptor activation-dependent

changes in elaboration of neuronal processes via the mammalian target of rapamycin mTOR pathway. \mathcal{J} Neurosci 2007; 27: 449–458.

- Ota KT, Liu R-J, Voleti B, et al. REDD1 is essential for stress-induced synaptic loss and depressive behavior. Nat Med 2014; 20: 531– 535.
- 23. Page G, Khidir FAL, Pain S, *et al.* Group I metabotropic glutamate receptors activate the p70S6 kinase via both mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) and extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK 1/2) signaling pathways in rat striatal and hippocampal synaptoneurosomes. *Neurochem Int* 2006; 49: 413–421.
- Sofer A, Lei K, Johannessen CM, et al. Regulation of mTOR and cell growth in response to energy stress by REDD1. Mol Cell Biol 2005; 25: 5834–5845.
- 25. Perluigi M, Di Domenico F and Butterfield DA. mTOR signaling in aging and neurodegeneration: at the crossroad between metabolism dysfunction and impairment of autophagy. *Neurobiol Dis* 2015; 84: 39–49.
- 26. Abdallah CG, Adams TG, Kelmendi B, *et al.* Ketamine's mechanism of action: a path to rapid-acting antidepressants. *Depress Anxiety* 2016; 33: 689–697.
- Duman RS, Deyama S and Fogaça MV. Role of BDNF in the pathophysiology and treatment of depression: activity-dependent effects distinguish rapid-acting antidepressants. *Eur J Neurosci* 2021; 53: 126–139.
- Citri A and Malenka RC. Synaptic plasticity: multiple forms, functions, and mechanisms. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2008; 33: 18–41.
- 29. Gonul AS, Akdeniz F, Taneli F, *et al.* Effect of treatment on serum brain-derived neurotrophic factor levels in depressed patients. *Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci* 2005; 255: 381–386.
- 30. Karolewicz B, Cetin M and Aricioglu F. Beyond the glutamate N-methyl D-aspartate receptor in major depressive disorder: the mTOR signaling pathway/majör depresif bozuklukta glutamat N-metil-D-aspartat reseptörlerinin ötesi: mTOR sinyal yolağı. *Klin Psikofarmakol Bülteni/Bull Clin Psychopharmacol* 2011; 21: 1–6.
- Kang HJ, Voleti B, Hajszan T, et al. Decreased expression of synapse-related genes and loss of synapses in major depressive disorder. *Nat Med* 2012; 18: 1413–1417.
- 32. Beer JS, Lombardo MV and Bhanji JP. Roles of medial prefrontal cortex and orbitofrontal cortex

in self-evaluation. *J Cogn Neurosci* 2010; 22: 2108–2119.

- 33. MacQueen G and Frodl T. The hippocampus in major depression: evidence for the convergence of the bench and bedside in psychiatric research? *Mol Psychiatry* 2011; 16: 252–264.
- 34. Jernigan CS, Goswami DB, Austin MC, et al. The mTOR signaling pathway in the prefrontal cortex is compromised in major depressive disorder. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry 2011; 35: 1774–1779.
- 35. Li N, Liu R-J, Dwyer JM, *et al.* Glutamate N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor antagonists rapidly reverse behavioral and synaptic deficits caused by chronic stress exposure. *Biol Psychiatry* 2011; 69: 754–761.
- Popoli M, Yan Z, McEwen BS, et al. The stressed synapse: the impact of stress and glucocorticoids on glutamate transmission. Nat Rev Neurosci 2011; 13: 22–37.
- Krystal JH, Sanacora G and Duman RS. Rapidacting glutamatergic antidepressants: the path to ketamine and beyond. *Biol Psychiatry* 2013; 73: 1133–1141.
- Chandran A, Iyo AH, Jernigan CS, et al. Reduced phosphorylation of the mTOR signaling pathway components in the amygdala of rats exposed to chronic stress. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry 2012; 40: 240–245.
- Tang J, Xue W, Xia B, et al. Involvement of normalized NMDA receptor and mTOR-related signaling in rapid antidepressant effects of Yueju and ketamine on chronically stressed mice. Sci Rep 2015; 5: 13573.
- Abdallah CG, Averill LA and Krystal JH. Ketamine as a promising prototype for a new generation of rapid-acting antidepressants. *Ann NY Acad Sci* 2015; 1344: 66–77.
- Gerhard DM, Pothula S, Liu R-J, et al. GABA interneurons are the cellular trigger for Ketamine's rapid antidepressant actions. *J Clin Investig* 2020; 130: 1336–1349.
- Pazini FL, Cunha MP, Rosa JM, et al. Creatine, similar to ketamine, counteracts depressive-like behavior induced by corticosterone via PI3K/ Akt/mTOR pathway. *Mol Neurobiol* 2016; 53: 6818–6834.
- 43. Pazini FL, Rosa JM, Camargo A, *et al.* MTORC1-dependent signaling pathway underlies the rapid effect of creatine and ketamine in the novelty-suppressed feeding test. *Chem Biol Interact* 2020; 332: 109281.

- 44. Fraga DB, Costa AP, Olescowicz G, *et al.* Ascorbic acid presents rapid behavioral and hippocampal synaptic plasticity effects. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry* 2020; 96: 109757.
- 45. Liu W, Wang J, Xie Z, *et al.* Regulation of glutamate transporter 1 via BDNF-TrkB signaling plays a role in the anti-apoptotic and antidepressant effects of ketamine in chronic unpredictable stress model of depression. *Psychopharmacology* 2016; 233: 405–415.
- 46. Zhou W, Wang N, Yang C, *et al.* Ketamine-induced antidepressant effects are associated with AMPA receptors-mediated upregulation of mTOR and BDNF in rat hippocampus and prefrontal cortex. *Eur Psychiatry* 2014; 29: 419–423.
- 47. Yang C, Hu YM, Zhou Z-Q, et al. Acute administration of ketamine in rats increases hippocampal BDNF and mTOR levels during forced swimming test. Ups J Med Sci 2013; 118: 3–8.
- Beurel E, Song L and Jope RS. Inhibition of glycogen synthase kinase-3 is necessary for the rapid antidepressant effect of ketamine in mice. *Mol Psychiatry* 2011; 16: 1068–1070.
- Autry AE, Adachi M, Nosyreva E, *et al.* NMDA receptor blockade at rest triggers rapid behavioural antidepressant responses. *Nature* 2011; 475: 91–95.
- 50. Silva Pereira V, Elfving B, Joca SRL, et al. Ketamine and aminoguanidine differentially affect Bdnf and Mtor gene expression in the prefrontal cortex of adult male rats. Eur J Pharmacol 2017; 815: 304–311.
- 51. Ju LS, Yang JJ, Lei L, et al. The combination of long-term ketamine and extinction training contributes to fear erasure by Bdnf methylation. *Front Cell Neurosci* 2017; 11: 100–113.
- 52. Voleti B, Navarria A, Liu RJ, *et al.* Scopolamine rapidly increases mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1 signaling, synaptogenesis, and antidepressant behavioral responses. *Biol Psychiatry* 2013; 74: 742–749.
- 53. Suzuki A, Murakami K, Tajima Y, et al. TAK-137, an AMPA receptor potentiator with little agonistic effect, produces antidepressant-like effect without causing psychotomimetic effects in rats. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* 2019; 183: 80–86.
- 54. Camargo A, Dalmagro AP, de Souza MM, et al. Ketamine, but not guanosine, as a prophylactic agent against corticosterone-induced depressivelike behavior: possible role of long-lasting pro-synaptogenic signaling pathway. *Exp Neurol* 2020; 334: 113459.

- 55. Abelaira HM, Réus GZ, Ignácio ZM, *et al.* Effects of ketamine administration on mTOR and reticulum stress signaling pathways in the brain after the infusion of rapamycin into prefrontal cortex. *J Psychiatr Res* 2017; 87: 81–87.
- 56. Altar CA, Whitehead RE, Chen R, et al. Effects of electroconvulsive seizures and antidepressant drugs on brain-derived neurotrophic factor protein in rat brain. *Biol Psychiatry* 2003; 54: 703–709.
- 57. Nibuya M, Morinobu S and Duman RS. Regulation of BDNF and trkB mRNA in rat brain by chronic electroconvulsive seizure and antidepressant drug treatments. *J Neurosci* 1995; 15: 7539–7547.
- 58. Gass P and Riva MA. CREB, neurogenesis and depression. *Bioessays* 2007; 29: 957–961.
- Lepack AE, Fuchikami M, Dwyer JM, et al. BDNF release is required for the behavioral actions of ketamine. Int J Neuropsychopharmacol 2014; 18: pyu033.
- Cloëtta D, Thomanetz V, Baranek C, et al. Inactivation of mTORC1 in the developing brain causes microcephaly and affects gliogenesis. J Neurosci 2013; 33: 7799–7810.
- 61. Shaw RJ, Kosmatka M, Bardeesy N, *et al.* The tumor suppressor LKB1 kinase directly activates AMP-activated kinase and regulates apoptosis in response to energy stress. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2004; 101: 3329–3335.
- 62. Feyissa AM, Zyga A, Stockmeier CA, *et al.* Reduced levels of NR2A and NR2B subunits of NMDA receptor and PSD-95 in the prefrontal cortex in major depression. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry* 2008; 33: 70–75.
- 63. Karege F, Perroud N, Burkhardt S, *et al.* Alteration in kinase activity but not in protein levels of protein kinase B and Glycogen Synthase kinase-3β in ventral prefrontal cortex of depressed suicide victims. *Biol Psychiatry* 2007; 61: 240–245.
- 64. Martins-de-Souza D, Guest PC, Harris LW, *et al.* Identification of proteomic signatures associated with depression and psychotic depression in post-mortem brains from major depression patients. *Transl Psychiatry* 2012; 2: e87.
- 65. Dwivedi Y, Rizavi HS, Conley RR, *et al.* Altered gene expression of brain-derived neurotrophic factor and receptor tyrosine kinase B in postmortem brain of suicide subjects. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2003; 60: 804–815.

- 66. Karege F, Vaudan G, Schwald M, et al. Neurotrophin levels in postmortem brains of suicide victims and the effects of antemortem diagnosis and psychotropic drugs. *Mol Brain Res* 2005; 136: 29–37.
- 67. Chen B, Dowlatshahi D, MacQueen GM, *et al.* Increased hippocampal BDNF immunoreactivity in subjects treated with antidepressant medication. *Biol Psychiatry* 2001; 50: 260–265.
- Pålhagen S, Qi H, Mårtensson B, et al. Monoamines, BDNF, IL-6 and corticosterone in CSF in patients with Parkinson's disease and major depression. J Neurol 2010; 257: 524–532.
- Kimata H. Differential modulation of cerebrospinal fluid neurotrophins in patients with atopic dermatitis who attempted suicide. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2005; 66: 1193–1194.
- Martinez JM, Garakani A, Yehuda R, et al. Proinflammatory and 'resiliency' proteins in the CSF of patients with major depression. *Depress Anxiety* 2012; 29: 32–38.
- Pillai A, Bruno D, Sarreal AS, *et al.* Plasma BDNF levels vary in relation to body weight in females. *PLoS ONE* 2012; 7: e39358.
- 72. Ikegame T, Bundo M, Sunaga F, *et al.* DNA methylation analysis of BDNF gene promoters in peripheral blood cells of schizophrenia patients. *Neurosci Res* 2013; 77: 208–214.
- Mizui T, Hattori K, Ishiwata S, *et al.* Cerebrospinal fluid BDNF pro-peptide levels in major depressive disorder and schizophrenia. *β Psychiatr Res* 2019; 113: 190–198.
- 74. Stenz L, Zewdie S, Laforge-Escarra T, et al. BDNF promoter I methylation correlates between post-mortem human peripheral and brain tissues. *Neurosci Res* 2015; 91: 1–7.
- 75. Denk MC, Rewerts C, Holsboer F, et al. Monitoring ketamine treatment response in a depressed patient via peripheral mammalian target of Rapamycin activation. Am J Psychiatr 2011; 168: 751–752.
- 76. Haile CN, Murrough JW, Iosifescu DV, et al. Plasma brain derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) and response to ketamine in treatmentresistant depression. Int J Neuropsychopharmacol 2014; 17: 331–336.
- 77. Allen AP, Naughton M, Dowling J, et al. Serum BDNF as a peripheral biomarker of treatmentresistant depression and the rapid antidepressant response: a comparison of ketamine and ECT. J Affect Disord 2015; 186: 306–311.
- 78. Szuster-Ciesielska A, Słotwińska M, Stachura A, *et al.* Accelerated apoptosis of blood leukocytes

and oxidative stress in blood of patients with major depression. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry* 2008; 32: 686–694.

- Eilat E, Mendlovic S, Doron A, *et al.* Increased apoptosis in patients with major depression: a preliminary study. *J Immunol* 1999; 163: 533–534.
- Amidfar M, Kim YK, Scaini G, et al. Evidence for additionally increased apoptosis in the peripheral blood mononuclear cells of major depressive patients with a high risk for suicide. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet 2018; 177: 388–396.
- Pláteník J, Fišar Z, Buchal R, et al. GSK3β, CREB, and BDNF in peripheral blood of patients with Alzheimer's disease and depression. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry 2014; 50: 83–93.
- Katsuki A, Yoshimura R, Kishi T, et al. Serum levels of brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), BDNF gene Val66Met polymorphism, or plasma catecholamine metabolites, and response to mirtazapine in Japanese patients with major depressive disorder (MDD). CNS Spectr 2012; 17: 155–163.
- 83. Naveen GH, Varambally S, Thirthalli J, et al. Serum cortisol and BDNF in patients with major depression-effect of yoga. Int Rev Psychiatry 2016; 28: 273–278.
- Nomoto H, Baba H, Satomura E, et al. Serum brain-derived neurotrophic factor levels and personality traits in patients with major depression. BMC Psychiatry 2015; 15: 33.
- Ristevska-Dimitrovska G, Shishkov R, Gerazova VP, et al. Different serum BDNF levels in depression: results from BDNF studies in FYR Macedonia and Bulgaria. *Psychiatr Danub* 2013; 25: 123–127.
- 86. Yoshida T, Ishikawa M, Niitsu T, et al. Decreased serum levels of mature brainderived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), but not its precursor proBDNF, in patients with major depressive disorder. PLoS ONE 2012; 7: e42676.
- Wolkowitz OM, Wolf J, Shelly W, et al. Serum BDNF levels before treatment predict SSRI response in depression. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry 2011; 35: 1623–1630.
- Aydemir Ö, Deveci A, Taskin OE, et al. Serum brain-derived neurotrophic factor level in dysthymia: a comparative study with major depressive disorder. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry 2007; 31: 1023–1026.

- Aydemir C, Yalcin ES, Aksaray S, et al. Brainderived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) changes in the serum of depressed women. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry 2006; 30: 1256–1260.
- 90. Cattaneo A, Bocchio-Chiavetto L, Zanardini R, et al. Reduced peripheral brain-derived neurotrophic factor mRNA levels are normalized by antidepressant treatment. Int J Neuropsychopharmacol 2010; 13: 103–108.
- 91. Chiou Y-J and Huang T-L. Serum brain-derived neurotrophic factors in Taiwanese patients with drug-naïve first-episode major depressive disorder: effects of antidepressants. Int J Neuropsychopharmacol 2017; 20: 213–218.
- 92. Huang X, Huang X, Zhou Y, *et al.* Association of serum BDNF levels with psychotic symptom in chronic patients with treatment-resistant depression in a Chinese Han population. *Psychiatry Res* 2017; 257: 279–283.
- Karege F, Perret G, Bondolfi G, et al. Decreased serum brain-derived neurotrophic factor levels in major depressed patients. *Psychiatry Res* 2002; 109: 143–148.
- 94. Karege F, Bondolfi G, Gervasoni N, *et al.* Low brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) levels in serum of depressed patients probably results from lowered platelet BDNF release unrelated to platelet reactivity. *Biol Psychiatry* 2005; 57: 1068–1072.
- 95. Gustafsson G, Lira CM, Johansson J, et al. The acute response of plasma brain-derived neurotrophic factor as a result of exercise in major depressive disorder. *Psychiatry Res* 2009; 169: 244–248.
- 96. Kotan Z, Sarandöl E, Kırhan E, et al. Serum brain-derived neurotrophic factor, vascular endothelial growth factor and leptin levels in patients with a diagnosis of severe major depressive disorder with melancholic features. *Ther Adv Psychopharmacol* 2012; 2: 65–74.
- Skibinska M, Kapelski P, Rajewska-Rager A, et al. Brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) serum level in women with firstepisode depression, correlation with clinical and metabolic parameters. Nord J Psychiatry 2018; 72: 191–196.
- 98. Wysokiński A. Serum levels of brainderived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) and neurotrophin-3 (NT-3) in depressed patients with schizophrenia. *Nord J Psychiatry* 2016; 70: 267–271.
- 99. Gervasoni N, Aubry J-M, Bondolfi G, et al. Partial normalization of serum brain-derived

neurotrophic factor in remitted patients after a major depressive episode. *Neuropsychobiology* 2005; 51: 234–238.

- 100. Huang T-L, Lee C-T and Liu Y-L. Serum brainderived neurotrophic factor levels in patients with major depression: effects of antidepressants. *J Psychiatr Res* 2008; 42: 521–525.
- 101. Bus BA, Molendijk ML, Penninx BJ, et al. Determinants of serum brain-derived neurotrophic factor. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 2011; 36: 228–239.
- 102. Ghosh R, Gupta R, Bhatia MS, et al. Comparison of efficacy, safety and brain derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) levels in patients of major depressive disorder, treated with fluoxetine and desvenlafaxine. Asian J Psychiatr 2015; 18: 37–41.
- 103. Kurita M, Nishino S, Kato M, *et al.* Plasma brain-derived neurotrophic factor levels predict the clinical outcome of depression treatment in a naturalistic study. *PLoS ONE* 2012; 7: e39212.
- 104. Molendijk ML, Bus BA, Spinhoven P, et al. Serum levels of brain-derived neurotrophic factor in major depressive disorder: state-trait issues, clinical features and pharmacological treatment. Mol Psychiatry 2011; 16: 1088–1095.
- 105. Cattaneo A, Gennarelli M, Uher R, et al. Candidate genes expression profile associated with antidepressants response in the GENDEP study: differentiating between baseline 'predictors' and longitudinal 'targets'. Neuropsychopharmacology 2013; 38: 377–385.
- 106. Pan W, Banks WA, Fasold MB, et al. Transport of brain-derived neurotrophic factor across the blood-brain barrier. *Neuropharmacol* 1998; 37: 1553–1561.
- 107. Fujimura H, Altar CA, Chen R, *et al.* Brainderived neurotrophic factor is stored in human platelets and released by agonist stimulation. *Thromb Haemost* 2002; 87: 728–734.
- 108. Chu C-L, Liang C-K, Chou M-Y, et al. Decreased plasma brain-derived neurotrophic factor levels in institutionalized elderly with depressive disorder. J Am Med Dir Assoc 2012; 13: 434–437.
- 109. Lee BH, Kim H, Park SH, *et al.* Decreased plasma BDNF level in depressive patients. \Im Affect Disord 2007; 101: 239–244.
- 110. Piccinni A, Veltri A, Costanzo D, et al. Decreased plasma levels of brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) during mixed episodes of bipolar disorder. J Affect Disord 2015; 171: 167–170.

- 111. Kenna HA, Reynolds-May M, Stepanenko A, et al. Blood levels of brain derived neurotrophic factor in women with bipolar disorder and healthy control women. J Affect Disord 2014; 156: 214–218.
- 112. Machado-Vieira R, Yuan P, Brutsche N, et al. Brain-derived neurotrophic factor and initial antidepressant response to an N-Methyl-Daspartate antagonist. J Clin Psychiatry 2009; 70: 1662–1666.
- 113. Lee BH and Kim YK. BDNF mRNA expression of peripheral blood mononuclear cells was decreased in depressive patients who had or had not recently attempted suicide. *J Affect Disord* 2010; 125: 369–373.
- 114. Roy B, Shelton RC and Dwivedi Y. DNA methylation and expression of stress related genes in PBMC of MDD patients with and without serious suicidal ideation. *J Psychiatr Res* 2017; 89: 115–124.
- 115. Pandey GN, Dwivedi Y, Rizavi HS, et al. Brain-derived neurotrophic factor gene and protein expression in pediatric and adult depressed subjects. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry 2010; 34: 645-651.
- Otsuki K, Uchida S, Watanuki T, et al. Altered expression of neurotrophic factors in patients with major depression. *J Psychiatr Res* 2008; 42: 1145–1153.
- 117. D'Addario C, Dell'Osso B, Galimberti D, et al. Epigenetic modulation of BDNF gene in patients with major depressive disorder. *Biol Psychiatry* 2013; 73: e6–e7.
- 118. Carlberg L, Scheibelreiter J, Hassler MR, et al. Brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF)epigenetic regulation in unipolar and bipolar affective disorder. J Affect Disord 2014; 168: 399–406.
- 119. D'Addario C, Dell'Osso B, Palazzo MC, *et al.* Selective DNA methylation of BDNF promoter

in bipolar disorder: differences among patients with BDI and BDII. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2012; 37: 1647–1655.

- 120. Dell'Osso B, D'Addario C, Carlotta Palazzo M, et al. Epigenetic modulation of BDNF gene: differences in DNA methylation between unipolar and bipolar patients. J Affect Disord 2014; 166: 330–333.
- 121. Kang HJ, Kim JM, Bae KY, et al. Longitudinal associations between BDNF promoter methylation and late-life depression. *Neurobiol Aging* 2015; 36: 1764. e1–1764.e7.
- 122. Piccinni A, Marazziti D, Del Debbio A, et al. Diurnal variation of plasma brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) in humans: an analysis of sex differences. *Chronobiol Int* 2008; 25: 819–826.
- 123. Cain SW, Chang AM, Vlasac I, et al. Circadian rhythms in plasma brain-derived neurotrophic factor differ in men and women. J Biol Rhythms 2017; 32: 75–82.
- 124. de Kloet ER, Joëls M and Holsboer F. Stress and the brain: from adaptation to disease. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2005; 6: 463–475.
- 125. Gladkevich A, Kauffman HF and Korf J. Lymphocytes as a neural probe: potential for studying psychiatric disorders. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry* 2004; 28: 559–576.
- 126. Iga J, Ueno S and Ohmori T. Molecular assessment of depression from mRNAs in the peripheral leukocytes. *Annals of medicine* 2008; 40: 336–342.
- 127. Lago SG, Tomasik J, van Rees GF, *et al.* Drug discovery for psychiatric disorders using high-content single-cell screening of signaling network responses ex vivo. *Sci Adv* 2019; 5: eaau9093.
- 128. van der Doef TF, Zaragoza Domingo S, Jacobs GE, et al. New approaches in psychiatric drug development. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol 2018; 28: 983–993.

Visit SAGE journals online journals.sagepub.com/ home/tpp

SAGE journals