# EXCESS MOLAR VOLUMES AND DEVIATIONS IN VISCOSITY OF THE BINARY MIXTURES OF 1-PENTANOL + AROMATIC HYDROCARBONS AT T = 298.15 K

#### ShamimaAktar

Department of Chemistry, Satkania Government college, Chittagong, Bangladesh

#### M. AbdurRahaman

Department of Chemistry, MawlanaBhashani Science and Technology University, Tangail, Bangladesh

## M. KamrulHossain

Department of Basic Science, World University of Bangladesh, Dhaka, Bangladesh

## M. ShahadatHossain

Department of Chemistry, Comilla University, Comilla, Bangladesh

## **ShamimAkhtar**

Department of Chemistry, University of Chittagong, Chittagong, Bangladesh

#### Abstract

The densities,  $\rho$  and viscosities,  $\eta$  of pure 1-Pentanol, toluene, ethylbenzene, propylbenzene, and those of their binary mixtures covering the whole composition range have been measured at 298.15 K under atmospheric pressure. Excess molar volumes,  $V_m^E$ , partial molar volumes,  $V_i$ , deviations in viscosity,  $\Delta \eta$  and excess free energies of activation,  $\Delta G^{\#E}$ , have also been calculated from the  $\rho$  and  $\eta$  data. To obtain correlation coefficients and standard deviations  $V_m^E$ ,  $\Delta \eta$  and  $\Delta G^{\#E}$  were fitted to Redlich–Kister type function in terms of mole fraction. In the whole range of composition,  $V_m^E$  were found to be positive for 1-pentanol + ethylbenzene and + propylbenzene, but 1-pentanol + toluene system showed negative  $V_m^E$ in the alcohol-rich region for. The  $\Delta \eta$  and  $\Delta G^{\#E}$  values were the negative for all the binary mixtures.

Keywords: Density, Viscosity, 1-pentanol, Aromatic hydrocarbon

#### **1. Introduction:**

In general, thermodynamic and transport properties are adequately employed in understanding the nature of molecular systems and physicochemical behavior of liquid-liquid mixtures. In recent years several studies for alcohol + hydrocarbon (P S Nikam et al., 2000; M A Chowdhury et al., 2001; G Ouyang et al., 2003; S L Oswal et al., 2005) have been made on these properties of binary liquid mixtures in addition to their volumetric properties. Due to the presence of both hydrophilic and hydrophobic groups, alcohols are interesting polar solvents, self-associated through hydrogen bonding creating multimers of different degrees. And so, the dipolar association of alcohols decreases when they are mixed with aromatic hydrocarbons, due to some sort of specific intermolecular interactions between alcohols and aromatic hydrocarbons (S C Bhatia, R Rani and R Bhatia, 2011). Literature survey further revealed that although many attempts have already been made to study the binary mixtures of alcohols and aromatic hydrocarbons, systematic studies focusing their dependence on composition and number position as well as length of substituent on aromatic hydrocarbons are still scarce. In this paper we have reported on densities, excess molar volumes, viscosities, deviations in viscosity, interaction parameter and excess free energy of activation of the binary mixtures of 1-pentanol + toluene, 1-pentanol + ethylbenzene and 1-pentanol + propylbenzene systems.

#### 2. Materials and Methods:

2. Materials and Methods: 1-Pentanol (PL): Aldrich, mole fraction purity > 0.99%, toluene (TN): Merck, mole fraction purity > 0.998, ethylbenzene (EB): Merck,mole fraction purity > 0.996 and propylbenzene (PB): Aldrich, mole fraction purity > 0.997, were kept over molecular sieves for 2-3 weeks and binary mixtures of various compositions were prepared by mass mixing pure components at different proportions with the help of an electronic balance (College B 204-S, METTLER TOLEDO) accurate up to  $\pm 0.0001$  g. Densities,  $\rho$  were measured by using a 10 cm<sup>3</sup> bi-capillary pycnometer and viscosity,  $\eta$  by an Ostwald viscometer (Technico, PSL BS/U, A-type) both being calibrated previously with twice distilled water. The flow time of liquids was recorded by an electronic stopwatch reading up to  $\pm 0.01$  s. For all measurements a thermostatic water bath (Thermo Haake) controlled up to  $\pm 0.01$  K was used. The mole fraction was estimated accurate up to  $10^{-4}$ , while the uncertainty in measured  $\rho$  and  $\eta$  were  $\pm 1.3 \times 10^{-4}$  g.cm<sup>-3</sup> and  $\pm 1.8 \times 10^{-4}$  mPa.s respectively. The purities were further checked by comparing measured  $\rho$  and  $\eta$  of pure liquids with the literature data as tabulated in Table 1.

iiquidswith literature values at 298.15 K.										
ure										
b										
$2^{d}$										
5 <sup>f</sup>										
5 <sup>h</sup>										

**Table 1.**Comparison of experimental densities ( $\rho$ /g.cm<sup>-3</sup>) and viscosities ( $\eta$ /mPa.s) of pure liquids with literature values at 298 15 K

<sup>a</sup>Ref-[6], <sup>b</sup>Ref-[7], <sup>c</sup>Ref-[8], <sup>d</sup>Ref-[9], <sup>e</sup>Ref-[10], <sup>f</sup>Ref-[5], <sup>g</sup>Ref-[11], <sup>h</sup>Extrapolated value of Ref-[12]

#### 3. Results and Discussion:

#### **3.1 Excess molar volumes**

Excess molar volumes,  $V_m^E$ , for a solution is expressed as (M Page, J Huot and C Jolicoeur, 1993):

$$V_m^E = V_m - x_1 V_1^* - x_2 V_2^* \tag{1}$$

where,  $V_m$  is the molar volume of the mixture,  $V_1^*$  and  $V_2^*$  are the molar volumes and  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are the mole fractions of the pure components 1 and 2, respectively.  $V_m^E$  of the binary mixtures were calculated from experimental densities of pure liquids and their mixtures by using the equation (M A Tejraj, 1999):

$$V_{m}^{E} = \left[\frac{x_{1}M_{1} + x_{2}M_{2}}{\rho}\right] - \left[\frac{x_{1}M_{1}}{\rho_{1}} + \frac{x_{2}M_{2}}{\rho_{2}}\right]$$
(2)

Here,  $\rho$  is the density of the mixture, M<sub>1</sub> and M<sub>2</sub> represent the molar masses and  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  are the densities of components 1 and 2, respectively.  $V_m^E$  values for the systems of PL + TN, PL + EB and PL + PB are listed in Table 2. Fig. 1represents the comparative diagram for  $V_m^E$  of the three systems against mole fraction of aromatic hydrocarbons,  $x_2$  at 298.15 K. Each set of results were fitted by the Redlich-Kister equation (O J. Redlich and A T. Kister, 1948):

$$Y^{E} = \left[ (1 - x_{2}) x_{2} \sum_{i=0}^{n} A_{i} (1 - 2x_{2})^{i} \right]$$
(3)

The standard deviation,  $\sigma$ , followed the equation:

$$\sigma = \left[\frac{\sum \left(Y_{\exp} - Y_{cal}\right)^2}{n - p - 2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(4)

where,  $Y^E \equiv V_m^E$  and  $A_i$  is the i-th polynomial fitting coefficient,  $Y_{exp}$  and  $Y_{cal}$  are the experimental and calculated of properties, *n* the total number of

compositions for a particular system and p is the number of coefficients. In the whole range of composition  $V_m^E$  are found to be positive for PL + EB and PL + PB, whereas, only small negative values are observed in the alcoholrich region for PL+TN system.

**Table 2.**Densities,  $\rho$  (g.cm<sup>-3</sup>) and excess molar volumes,  $V_m^E$  (cm<sup>3</sup>.mol<sup>-1</sup>) of the systems of PL ( $x_1$ )+ TN( $x_2$ ), PL ( $x_1$ )+ EB ( $x_2$ ) and PL ( $x_1$ )+ PB ( $x_2$ ) for different molar ratios at

$x_2$ $\rho$ $V_m^E$ $x_2$ $\rho$ $V_m^E$ $x_2$ $\rho$ $V_m^E$ PL $(x_1) + TN(x_2)$ PL $(x_1) + EB(x_2)$ PL $(x_1) + PB(x_2)$ 0.00000.81150.00000.81150.00000.81150.00000.10020.8169-0.05360.10040.81710.01700.10040.81720.01570.19950.8216-0.01790.20080.82250.04100.19770.82240.03410.30070.82640.01880.30020.82770.06590.30070.82760.05490.40020.83110.05890.40050.83280.09260.39990.83230.08120.49970.83580.10050.49910.83770.11710.50010.83680.10870.60040.84070.12580.60010.84260.14130.60000.84110.1304					298.15 K.				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$x_2$	ρ	$V_m^E$	$x_2$	ρ	$V_m^E$	<i>x</i> <sub>2</sub>	ρ	$V_m^E$
0.00000.81150.00000.00000.81150.00000.00000.81150.00000.10020.8169-0.05360.10040.81710.01700.10040.81720.01570.19950.8216-0.01790.20080.82250.04100.19770.82240.03410.30070.82640.01880.30020.82770.06590.30070.82760.05490.40020.83110.05890.40050.83280.09260.39990.83230.08120.49970.83580.10050.49910.83770.11710.50010.83680.10870.60040.84070.12580.60010.84260.14130.60000.84110.1304	PL $(x_1)$ + TN $(x_2)$			PL $(x_1)$ + EB $(x_2)$			$PL(x_1) + PB(x_2)$		
0.10020.8169-0.05360.10040.81710.01700.10040.81720.01570.19950.8216-0.01790.20080.82250.04100.19770.82240.03410.30070.82640.01880.30020.82770.06590.30070.82760.05490.40020.83110.05890.40050.83280.09260.39990.83230.08120.49970.83580.10050.49910.83770.11710.50010.83680.10870.60040.84070.12580.60010.84260.14130.60000.84110.1304	0.0000	0.8115	0.0000	0.0000	0.8115	0.0000	0.0000	0.8115	0.0000
0.19950.8216-0.01790.20080.82250.04100.19770.82240.03410.30070.82640.01880.30020.82770.06590.30070.82760.05490.40020.83110.05890.40050.83280.09260.39990.83230.08120.49970.83580.10050.49910.83770.11710.50010.83680.10870.60040.84070.12580.60010.84260.14130.60000.84110.1304	0.1002	0.8169	-0.0536	0.1004	0.8171	0.0170	0.1004	0.8172	0.0157
0.30070.82640.01880.30020.82770.06590.30070.82760.05490.40020.83110.05890.40050.83280.09260.39990.83230.08120.49970.83580.10050.49910.83770.11710.50010.83680.10870.60040.84070.12580.60010.84260.14130.60000.84110.1304	0.1995	0.8216	-0.0179	0.2008	0.8225	0.0410	0.1977	0.8224	0.0341
0.40020.83110.05890.40050.83280.09260.39990.83230.08120.49970.83580.10050.49910.83770.11710.50010.83680.10870.60040.84070.12580.60010.84260.14130.60000.84110.1304	0.3007	0.8264	0.0188	0.3002	0.8277	0.0659	0.3007	0.8276	0.0549
0.49970.83580.10050.49910.83770.11710.50010.83680.10870.60040.84070.12580.60010.84260.14130.60000.84110.1304	0.4002	0.8311	0.0589	0.4005	0.8328	0.0926	0.3999	0.8323	0.0812
0.6004 0.8407 0.1258 0.6001 0.8426 0.1413 0.6000 0.8411 0.1304	0.4997	0.8358	0.1005	0.4991	0.8377	0.1171	0.5001	0.8368	0.1087
	0.6004	0.8407	0.1258	0.6001	0.8426	0.1413	0.6000	0.8411	0.1304
0.6983 0.8457 0.1220 0.6998 0.8474 0.1535 0.6999 0.8453 0.1354	0.6983	0.8457	0.1220	0.6998	0.8474	0.1535	0.6999	0.8453	0.1354
0.7994 0.8511 0.0904 0.8000 0.8522 0.1527 0.8001 0.8493 0.1426	0.7994	0.8511	0.0904	0.8000	0.8522	0.1527	0.8001	0.8493	0.1426
0.9018 0.8567 0.0447 0.9008 0.8572 0.1111 0.8999 0.8534 0.1016	0.9018	0.8567	0.0447	0.9008	0.8572	0.1111	0.8999	0.8534	0.1016
1.0000 0.8621 0.0000 1.0000 0.8625 0.0000 1.0000 0.8577 0.0000	1.0000	0.8621	0.0000	1.0000	0.8625	0.0000	1.0000	0.8577	0.0000

The above results have been explained as follows. When small amount of PL is added to aromatic hydrocarbons (TN, EB and PB) separately, it is expected that it would disperse within aromatic hydrocarbons causing depolymerization of the network structures and disrupt their H-bonding and resulting into volume expansion. So that,  $V_m^E$  become positive for all the systems. Similar type of behavior in  $V_m^E$  values has also been observed for 1-decanol + ethylbenzene(S C Bhatia, R Rani and R Bhatia, 2011; R K Dewan and S K Mehta, 1987), 1-nonanol + ethylbenzene (R Rani and S C Bhatia, 2013), 1-pentanol + benzene (N G Tsierkezos, M MPalaiologou and I E Molinou, 2000). But small negative values in the alcohol region of PL + TN system leads to suggest that "partial interstitial accommodation" of the component molecules might have occurred favorably which suppressed the dispersive forces.

Djordjevic*et. al.*, 2009 [18] has established that, with the increased steric hindrance of an alkyl group in the aromatic hydrocarbons the positive  $V_m^E$  becomes more positive. But strength of hydrogen bond interaction becomes greater for PB compared to EB due to the presence of larges alkyl group and so, the  $V_m^E$  values of PL + PB system become less than that of PL + EB system.



 $x_2$ 

**Figure 1.**Comparison of excess molar volumes,  $V_m^E$  as a function of  $x_2$  for the systems of PL ( $x_1$ )+ TN( $x_2$ ) ( $\Box$ ), PL ( $x_1$ )+ EB ( $x_2$ ) ( $\Delta$ ) and PL ( $x_1$ )+ PB ( $x_2$ ) (o) at 298.15 K.

#### 3.2. Partial molar volumes

The partial molar volume of the ith component for a solution,  $V_i$ , is defined as:

$$V_{i} = \left(\frac{\partial V_{m}^{E}}{\partial n_{i}}\right)_{T,P,n_{j}}$$
(5)

Considering equation (1) in (5) equations obtained for the partial molar volumes  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  of components 1 and 2 respectively are:

$$V_{1} = V_{1}^{*} + V_{m}^{E} - x_{2} \left( \frac{\partial V_{m}^{E}}{\partial x_{2}} \right)_{T,P}$$

$$V_{2} = V_{2}^{*} + V_{m}^{E} + \left( 1 - x_{2} \left( \frac{\partial V_{m}^{E}}{\partial x_{2}} \right)_{T,P} \right)$$
(6)
(7)

Following the procedure (Mahamet al., 2002),  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  were obtained as:

$$V_1 = V_1^* + x_2^2 \sum_{i=0}^n A_i (1 - 2x_2)^i + 2x_2^2 (1 - x_2) \sum_{i=0}^n i A_i (1 - 2x_2)^{i-1}$$
(8)

$$V_{2} = V_{2}^{*} + (1 - x_{2})^{2} \sum_{i=0}^{n} A_{i} (1 - 2x_{2})^{i} - 2x_{2} (1 - x_{2})^{2} \sum_{i=0}^{n} i A_{i} (1 - 2x_{2})^{i-1}$$
(9)

The results are as listed in Table 3. The difference between partial molar volume,  $V_i$  and molar volume  $V_i^*$  of each of component was also estimated at 298.15 K and thus the values obtained for  $(V_i - V_i^*)$  are graphically represented by Figs. 2 & 3. For both the components, these differences are almost positive for all systems, indicating that there is volume expansion in the systems. This is exactly in support of positive  $V_m^E$  observed experimentally.



 $x_2$ 

**Figure 2.** Plot of  $(V_1 - V_1^*)$  of component 1 as a function of  $x_2$  in the systems of PL  $(x_1)$ + TN $(x_2)$   $(\Box)$ , PL  $(x_1)$ + EB  $(x_2)$   $(\Delta)$  and PL  $(x_1)$ + PB  $(x_2)$  (o) at 298.15 K.

**Table 3.**Partial molar volumes of component 1,  $V_1$  (cm<sup>3</sup>.mol<sup>-1</sup>) and of component 2,  $V_2$  (cm<sup>3</sup>.mol<sup>-1</sup>) in the systems of PL ( $x_1$ )+ TN( $x_2$ ), PL ( $x_1$ )+ EB ( $x_2$ ) and PL ( $x_1$ )+ PB ( $x_2$ ) for different molar ratios at 298.15 K.

<i>x</i> <sub>2</sub>	$V_1$	$V_2$	<i>x</i> <sub>2</sub>	$V_1$	$V_2$	<i>x</i> <sub>2</sub>	$V_1$	$V_2$
PL	$(x_1) + TN$ (	$(x_2)$	PL (	$(x_1) + EB$ (	$x_2$ )	PL (	$(x_1) + PB$ (	$x_2$ )
0.0000	108.63	105.70	0.0000	108.63	123.23	0.0000	108.63	140.36
0.1002	108.58	106.84	0.1004	108.62	123.30	0.1004	108.63	140.29
0.1995	108.52	107.17	0.2008	108.62	123.34	0.1977	108.62	140.33

<i>x</i> <sub>2</sub>	$V_1$	$V_2$	<i>x</i> <sub>2</sub>	$V_1$	$V_2$	<i>x</i> <sub>2</sub>	$V_1$	$V_2$
0.3007	108.51	107.22	0.3002	108.61	123.35	0.3007	108.61	140.37
0.4002	108.53	107.18	0.4005	108.61	123.34	0.3999	108.60	140.38
0.4997	108.58	107.13	0.4991	108.62	123.33	0.5001	108.62	140.37
0.6004	108.67	107.05	0.6001	108.65	123.31	0.6000	108.65	140.34
0.6983	108.83	106.96	0.6998	108.72	123.28	0.6999	108.72	140.30
0.7994	109.06	106.89	0.8000	108.92	123.21	0.8001	108.88	140.25
0.9018	109.18	106.86	0.9008	109.36	123.14	0.8999	109.28	140.18
1.0000	108.76	106.88	1.0000	110.26	123.10	1.0000	110.20	140.14



 $x_2$ 

**Figure 3.** Plot of  $(V_2 - V_2^*)$  of component 2 as a function of  $x_2$  in the systems of PL  $(x_1)$ + TN $(x_2)$   $(\Box)$ , PL  $(x_1)$ + EB  $(x_2)$   $(\Delta)$  and PL  $(x_1)$ + PB  $(x_2)$  (o) at 298.15 K.

#### 3.3. Viscosities and Deviations in Viscosity

Measured viscosities,  $\eta$ , of PL + TN, PL + EB and PL + PB in the range  $0 \le x_2 \le 1$  composition at 298.15 K are as summarized in Table 4.  $\eta$  of pure liquids varies in the order: PL > PB > EB > TN. From experimental  $\eta$ data, deviations in viscosity,  $\Delta \eta$  for the binary mixtures were calculated as follows (C Yang, P Ma and Q Zhou, 2004; G Li et al., 2013):  $\Delta \eta = \eta - \eta_1 x_1 - \eta_2 x_2$  (10) where,  $\eta$  is the viscosity of solution,  $\eta_1$  and  $\eta_2$  are viscosities of pure components 1 and 2, respectively. The estimated  $\Delta \eta$  were correlated well to equation (3) and the adjustable parameters and standard deviations are as given in Table 5. Fig. 4 represents the comparative diagram of  $\Delta \eta$  against  $x_2$  at 298.15 K. For all these systems,  $\Delta \eta$  are found to be negative in the whole range of composition forming a single lobe with minima at  $x_2 \sim 0.4$ and their order varied as: PL + PB > + EB > + TN. Negative values of  $\Delta \eta$ indicated that all the species get favorable conditions to flow. This is because addition of PL to TN/EB/PB causes mainly dispersion due to break up of weak forces. Also, aromatic hydrocarbons with longer chain lengths encounter less inconvenience to flow even through intermolecular H-bonded species may also be present in the solutions.

The Grunberg - Nissan interaction parameter ( $\varepsilon$ ) (L Grunberg and A H Nissan, 1949) estimated by following the equation

$$\ln \eta = x_1 \ln \eta_1 + x_2 \ln \eta_2 + x_1 x_2 \varepsilon \tag{11}$$

 $\varepsilon$  are as listed in Table 4. For all the systems,  $\varepsilon$  are observed to be negative. According to Fort and Moore (R J Fort and W R Moore, 1966),  $\varepsilon$  may be termed as an approximate index for estimating the strength of interaction between dissimilar components of a mixture in flow: If  $\Delta \eta < 0$  and  $\varepsilon < 0$  and magnitudes of both are large, specific interaction would be absent and only dispersion force may be dominant there. The negative values of  $\varepsilon$  indicates that any interaction between the alcohol and aromatic hydrocarbons is of non-specific type, which also supports their positive  $V_m^E$  behavior.



 $x_2$ 

**Figure 4.**Comparison of deviation in viscosity,  $\Delta \eta$  as a function of  $x_2$  for the systems of PL  $(x_1) + \text{TN}(x_2)$  ( $\Box$ ), PL  $(x_1) + \text{EB}(x_2)$  ( $\Delta$ ) and PL  $(x_1) + \text{PB}(x_2)$  (o) at 298.15 K.

**Table 4.**Viscosities,  $\eta$  (mPa.s) and interaction parameter ( $\mathcal{E}$ ) of the systems of PL ( $x_1$ )+ TN( $x_2$ ), PL ( $x_1$ )+ EB ( $x_2$ ) and PL ( $x_1$ )+ PB ( $x_2$ ) for different molar ratios at 298.15 K.

<i>x</i> <sub>2</sub>	η	ε	<i>x</i> <sub>2</sub>	η	ε	<i>x</i> <sub>2</sub>	η	Е	
PL	PL $(x_1)$ + TN $(x_2)$			$PL(x_1) + EB(x_2)$			$PL(x_1) + PB(x_2)$		
0.0000	3.2698		0.0000	3.2698		0.0000	3.2698		
0.1002	2.5954	-0.4615	0.1004	2.5423	-0.7600	0.1004	2.5880	-0.8091	
0.1995	2.0427	-0.4529	0.2008	1.9938	-0.6403	0.1977	2.1028	-0.6444	
0.3007	1.6205	-0.3791	0.3002	1.5917	-0.5153	0.3007	1.7015	-0.5193	
0.4002	1.3029	-0.3040	0.4005	1.2923	-0.3933	0.3999	1.4218	-0.3917	
0.4997	1.0676	-0.2239	0.4991	1.0736	-0.2853	0.5001	1.2001	-0.2872	
0.6004	0.8881	-0.1512	0.6001	0.9146	-0.1844	0.6000	1.0532	-0.1834	
0.6983	0.7495	-0.0957	0.6998	0.8020	-0.1040	0.6999	0.9453	-0.1030	
0.7994	0.6490	-0.0462	0.8000	0.7124	-0.0486	0.8001	0.8638	-0.0468	
0.9018	0.5800	-0.0123	0.9008	0.6491	-0.0132	0.8999	0.8147	-0.0115	
1.0000	0.5446		1.0000	0.6206	•••••	1.0000	0.7827		

for unrefert motal fattos at 278.15 K.										
Property	$A_0$	$A_1$	$A_2$	A <sub>3</sub>	$A_4$	$\sigma$				
$PL(x_1) + TN(x_2)$										
$V_m^E$ / cm <sup>3</sup> mol <sup>-1</sup>	0.3973	-0.6000	-0.2592	-0.0559	-0.6570	0.0060				
$\Delta\eta$ (mPa.s)	-1.0661	-0.6843	-0.4611	0.3268	0.5009	0.0028				
$^{/}$ kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> $\Delta G^{\#E}$	-2.2009	0.6992	-0.4134	0.5926	0.4331	0.0051				
PL $(x_1)$ + EB $(x_2)$										
$V_m^E / \mathrm{cm}^3 \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$	0.4710	-0.4848	0.3320	-0.2694	0.0812	0.0011				
$\Delta\eta$ (mPa.s)	-1.4045	-1.0404	-0.3476	0.0848	-0.2133	0.0018				
$\Delta G^{\#E}$ / kJ mol <sup>-1</sup>	-2.7791	0.2466	0.5455	0.5383	-0.9125	0.0047				
PL $(x_1) + PB(x_2)$										
$V_m^E / \mathrm{cm}^3 \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$	0.4299	-0.4724	0.1954	-0.2068	0.2680	0.0040				
$\Delta\eta$ (mPa.s)	-1.5825	-0.9462	-0.1712	-0.1669	-0.3774	0.0045				
$_{\Delta G^{\#E}}$ / kJ mol <sup>-1</sup>	-2.7245	0.3287	0.5735	-0.1108	-0.5355	0.0083				

**Table 5.** Fitting coefficients of polynomial equation (3) and standard deviations,  $\sigma$  in equation (4) for the systems of PL ( $x_1$ )+ TN( $x_2$ ), PL ( $x_1$ )+ EB ( $x_2$ ) and PL ( $x_1$ )+ PB ( $x_2$ ) for different molec ratios at 208 15 K

#### **3.4.Excess Free Energy**

Excess free energies of activation  $\Delta G^{\#E}$  for viscous flow have been calculated using the equation (S L Oswal et al., 2005; N V Sastry, S R Patel and S SSoni, 2013):

$$\Delta G^{\#E} = RT \Big[ \ln(\eta V_m) - \sum x_i \ln(\eta_i V_i^*) \Big]^{(12)}$$

where all the terms have their usual significance. Comparative diagram of  $\Delta G^{\#E}$  against  $x_2$  at 298.15 K (Fig. 5) shows that  $\Delta G^{\#E}$  are all negative in the whole range of composition. This leads to suggest that irrespective of volume expansion and contraction, all the flowing species surpass energy barriers smaller than expected from the additive rule.



 $x_2$ 

**Figure 5.**Comparison of excess free energies of activation for viscous flow,  $\Delta G^{\#E}$  as a function of  $x_2$  for the systems of PL ( $x_1$ )+ TN ( $x_2$ ) ( $\Box$ ), PL ( $x_1$ ) + EB ( $x_2$ ) ( $\Delta$ ) and PL ( $x_1$ ) + PB ( $x_2$ ) (o) at 298.15 K.

#### 4. Conclusion

Densities and viscosities for the binary mixtures of 1-pentanol + toluene, 1-pentanol + ethylbenzene and 1-pentanol + propylbenzene systems at 298.15 K have measured. From measured  $\rho$ ,  $V_m^E$  and  $V_i$  and from  $\eta$ ,  $\Delta \eta$ ,  $\varepsilon$  and were calculated. The  $V_m^E$  were found to be positive for 1- $\Delta G^{\#E}$  pentanol + ethylbenzene and 1-pentanol + propylbenzenein the whole range

pentanol + ethylbenzene and 1-pentanol + propylbenzenein the whole range of composition, whereas, for the 1-pentanol + toluene system small negative values are observed in the alcohol-rich region which may be suggested as due to 'partial' interstitial accommodation'.

#### **References:**

B D Djordjevi et al., Molecular interaction studies of the volumetric behaviour of binary liquid mixtures containing alcohols, J. Serb. Chem. Soc., 74 477–491, 2009.

C Yang, P Ma and Q Zhou, Excess Molar Volumes and Viscosities of Binary Mixtures of Sulfolane with Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, p-Xylene, o-Xylene, and m-Xylene at 303.15 and 323.15 K and Atmospheric Pressure, J. Chem. Eng. Data, 49 (2004) 881-885, 2004. G Li et al., Excess Molar Volume along with Viscosity and Refractive Index for Binary Systems of Tricyclo[5.2.1.02.6] decane with Five Cycloalkanes, J. Chem. Eng. Data, 58 3078–3086, 2013. G Ouyang et al.,Excess Molar Volumes and Surface Tensions of Xylene with 2-Propanol or 2-Methyl-2-propanol at 298.15 K, J. Chem. Eng. Data, 48195 197 2003

48195–197, 2003.

H Iloukhani, M Rezaei-Sameti and J Basiri-Parsa, Excess molar volumes and dynamic viscosities for binary mixtures of toluene + n -alkanes (C5– C10) at T = 298.15 K – Comparison with Prigogine–Flory–Patterson theory, J. Chem. Thermodyn., 38 975–982, 2006.

J A Al-Kandary, A S Al-Jimaz, and A M Abdul-Latif, Densities, Viscosities, and Refractive Indices of Binary Mixtures of Anisole with Benzene, Methylbenzene, Ethylbenzene, Propylbenzene, and Butylbenzene at (293.15 and 303.15) K, J. Chem. Eng. Data, 51 99-103, 2006.

L Grunberg and A H Nissan, Mixture Law for Viscosity, Nature, 164 (1949) 799, 1949.

M A Chowdhury, MA Majid and MA Saleh, Volumetric and viscometric behaviour of binary systems: (1-hexanol + hydrocarbons), J. Chem. Thermodyn., 33347–360, 2001.

M Hasan et al., Densities, viscosities, speed of sound, and IR spectroscopic studies of binary mixtures of tert-butyl acetate with benzene, methylbenzene, and ethylbenzene at T = (298.15 and 308.15) K, J. Chem. Thermodyn., 43 1389–1394, 2011.

Thermodynamic interactions in binary mixtures of M A Tejraj, ethenylbenzene with methanol, ethanol, butan-1-ol, pentan-1-ol, and hexan-1-ol in the temperature range 298.15–308.15 K, J. Chem. Eng. Data, 44 1291–1297, 1999.

M Page, J Huot and C Jolicoeur, A comprehensive thermodynamic investigation of water-ethanolamine mixtures at 10, 25, and 40 °C, Can. J. Chem., 71 1064-1072, 1993.

N G Tsierkezos, M MPalaiologou and I E Molinou, Densities and Viscosities of 1-Pentanol Binary Mixtures at 293.15 K, J. Chem. Eng. Data, 45 272-275, 2000.

N V Sastry, S R Patel and S SSoni, Excess molar volumes, excess isentropic compressibilities, excess viscosities, relative permittivity and molar polarization deviations for methyl acetate+, ethyl acetate+, butyl acetate+, isoamyl acetate+, methyl propionate+, ethyl propionate+, ethyl butyrate+,

methyl methacrylate+, ethyl methacrylate+, and butyl methacrylate + cyclohexane at T = 298.15 and 303.15 K, J. Mol. Liq., 183 102–112, 2013. O Hiroyuki, Excess volumes of (1-pentanol+cyclohexane or benzene) at temperatures between 283.15 K and 328.15 K, J. Chem. Thermodyn., 34 849-859, 2002.

O J. Redlich and A T. Kister, Algebraic Representation of Thermodynamic Properties and the Classification of Solutions, Ind. Eng. Chem., 40 345–348, 1948.

P S Nikam et al., Densities and Viscosities of Binary Mixtures of Toluene with Methanol, Ethanol, Propan-1-ol, Butan-1-ol, Pentan-1-ol, and 2-Methylpropan-2-ol at (303.15, 308.15, 313.15) K, J. Chem. Eng. Data, 45 559-563, 2000.

R J Fort and W R Moore, Viscosities of binary liquid mixtures, Trans Farady Soc., 62 1112 -1119, 1966.

R K Dewan and S K Mehta, Excess Volume of Ethylbenzene + an Alkanol, J. Chem. Thermodyn., 19 819 –822, 1987.

R Rani and S C Bhatia, Acoustic and thermodynamic properties of binary mixtures of 1-nonanol with o-xylene, m-xylene, p-xylene, ethylbenzene and mesitylene at T = (298.15 and 308.15) K, J. Chem. Thermodyn., 58 254– 262.2013.

S C Bhatia, R Rani and R Bhatia, Viscosities, densities, speeds of sound and refractive indices of binary mixtures of o-xylene, m-xylene, p-xylene, ethylbenzene and mesitylene with 1-decanol at 298.15 and 308.15 K, J. Mol. Liq., 159 132 -141, 2011.

S L Oswal et al., Viscosity of binary mixtures of 1-alkanol+cyclohexane, 2alkanol+cyclohexane and 1-alkanol+methylcyclohexane at 303.15 K, J. Mol. Liq., 116 73–82, 2005.

U Domanska and M Laskowska, Effect of Temperature and Composition on the Density and Viscosity of Binary Mixtures of Ionic Liquid with Alcohols, J. Sol. Chem., 38 779–799, 2009.

W Nung, Excess Volumes of Tetralin + Toluene, + Ethylbenzene, and + Propylbenzene at 298.15 and 308.15 K, J. Chem. Eng. Data, 40 598-600, 1995.

Y Maham et al., Volumetric properties of aqueous solutions of monoethanolamine, mono- and dimethylethanolamines at temperatures from 5 to 80 °C, ThermochimicaActa, 386 111–118, 2002.