Pregnancy outcome according to body mass index in primigravidas: a prospective cohort study

Nahla W. Shady,¹ Hany F. Sallam,¹ Shymaa S. Ali,² Ahmed M. Abbas²

Keywords: Obesity, weight gain, primigravida, diabetes, hypertension

Abstract

Objective: The study aims to evaluate the association between Body Mass Index (BMI), gestational weight gain (GWG) and adverse obstetric outcomes among primigravidas.

Material and methods: This was a prospective cohort study conducted at a tertiary University Hospital between June 2015 and May 2017. The study included 480 primigravidas, with singleton pregnancies, who were divided into three groups: women with a healthy weight (BMI: 18.5–24.9 kg/m²) overweight (BMI: 25 – 29.9 ka/m^2) and obese (BMI $\ge 30 ka/m^2$). The primary outcome of the study was the rate of GWG in the participants. Secondary outcomes included the rate of gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM). hypertension, gestational pre-eclampsia, preterm labor (PTL), postdate pregnancy, fetal macrosomia and the rate of birth by cesarean (CB).

Results: There were no significant differences between groups regarding the sociodemographic criteria. The rate of GWG was significantly higher in obese women versus average weight women $(11.4\pm1.73 \text{ vs.}$ 10.49 ± 1.09 , p=0.0001). There was an increased incidence of GDM (p=0.008), gestational hypertension (p=0.001), pre-eclampsia (p=0.0001), PTL (p=0.002), postdate (p=0.0001)and macrosomia (p=0.0001) in women who were obese compared with women with a healthy weight. Additionally, there was an increased incidence of CB with increasing body mass (p=0.0001)

Conclusions: Higher BMI in primigravidas is associated with increased GWG and with adverse pregnancy outcomes such as GDM, gestational hypertension, pre-eclampsia, PTL, postdate, fetal macrosomia and cesarean birth.

¹Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Aswan University, Aswan, Egypt

²Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Assiut University, Assiut, Egypt

Introduction

Overweight and obesity are defined as abnormal or excessive percent fat accumulation that may impair health.¹ The WHO and the National Institute of Health define underweight as a body

Please cite this paper as: Shady NW, Sallam HF, Ali SS, Abbas AM. Pregnancy outcome according to body mass index in primigravidas: a prospective cohort study. . Proc Obstet Gynecol. 2018;8(2): Article 4 [8 p.]. Available from: <u>http://ir.uiowa.edu/pog/</u> Free full text article.

Corresponding author: Ahmed M. Abbas, MD, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine; Assiut University, Assiut, Egypt; Woman's Health Hospital, 71111, Assiut, Egypt, Cellular: +2010033851833; Tel: +20882414698; email: <u>bmr90@hotmail.com</u>

Financial Disclosure: The authors report no conflict of interest.

Copyright: © 2018 Shady et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

mass index (BMI) of ≤ 18.5 , normal weight as a BMI of 18.5-24.9, overweight as a BMI of 25-29.9, and obesity as a BMI of ≥ 30 . Obesity is further characterized by BMI into class I (30-34.9), class II (35-39.9), and class III (≥ 40).¹ The WHO estimates that in 2008 around 1.4 billion adults were overweight. Additionally, they stated that in 2015 nearly 2.3 billion adults were overweight and >700 million were obese.²

There is no accepted definition for obesity during pregnancy due to the expected weight gain variability during pregnancy and the short interval of time. Therefore, it is strongly recommended that pregnant women have their BMI calculated at the first antenatal visit, which is usually in the first trimester, with recommendations then made for healthy gestational weight gain. Some studies use other measures to define obesity including weight at delivery and the waist to hip ratio.³

Maternal obesity is known to be associated with maternal complications including gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM), gestational hypertension and preeclampsia^{.4-6} Large-for-gestationalage infants and cesarean delivery (CB) are also more common in women who are overweight or obese.⁷

The purpose of the current study was to evaluate the association between BMI, gestational weight gain (GWG) and obstetric outcomes in primigravid women delivering singleton babies.

Materials and methods

This study was a prospective cohort study conducted at Aswan University

Hospital, Egypt, between June 2015 and May 2017. The Institutional Ethical Review Board approved the study protocol (IRB009898). We included all singleton pregnancies greater than 20 weeks in gestational age (GA) from the Outpatient Antenatal Care Clinic.

We excluded women with a risk of preterm delivery (before 37 weeks of gestation), those with medical disorders during pregnancy (hypertension, diabetes, cardiac disease), those with a infertility history of treatment (no pregnancy for more than one year), women who received medications, and those who are participating in dietary control and exercises prior to and during pregnancy to control their body weight.

We obtained a written informed consent from all women before participation after discussing the nature of the study. First, the participating women were enrolled in the screening phase of the study. This phase included a history (personal, menstrual and obstetric) taking. including parity and gestational age. Gestational age was calculated based on a reliable first day of the last menstrual period or if unknown dating based on a first trimester ultrasound (US). We measured the weight and height of each woman and calculated the BMI in the clinic by a nurse. Additionally, a fasting blood glucose was done at inclusion to exclude Diabetes Mellitus (DM) and blood pressure measurement to exclude the presence of essential hypertension.

The eligible women were allocated to either Group I (healthy weight), Group II (over weight), and Group III (obese) according to BMI. All of the women were monitored throughout their routine antenatal pathway until birth for assessment of any antenatal adverse outcomes. Birthing was encouraged to be within the institution birthing unit to enable final evaluation of the study outcomes as some women in our locality could give birth at home due to transfer difficulties or illiteracy.

The primary outcome of the study was the rate of GWG in the three groups. Secondary outcomes included the rate of GDM, gestational hypertension, preeclampsia, preterm labor (PTL <37 weeks of gestation), premature rupture of membranes (PROM), postdate pregnancy (pregnancy > 40 weeks gestation), fetal macrosomia (>4.5 kg), excessive maternal weight gain (>11.5 kg), and the rate of birth by cesarean.

Data were entered and statistically analyzed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 22. Qualitative data were described as numbers and percentages. Chi-Square test was used for comparison between groups. Quantitative data were described as mean (SD) after testing for normality by Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. One-way ANOVA test was used for comparison between groups. Student's T-test was used to compare between each 2 groups. P-value ≤0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Variables #	Average weight (n = 180)	Overweight (n = 180)	Obese (n = 120)	p-value
Age (year)	25.24 ± 2.2	25.24 ± 2.6	25.78 ± 2.8	0.119
Height (cm)	163.82 ± 2.58	163.94 ± 28	163.49 ± 2.3	0.327
Weight (kg)	60.76 ± 2.23	74.12 ± 2.56	89.0 ± 3.18	0.0001*
BMI (kg/m2)	22.65 ± 1.1	27.57 ± 1.02	33.28 ± 0.82	0.0001*
Gestational age at inclusion (weeks)	22.55 ± 1.2	22.87 ± 1.6	23.05 ± 1.3	0.245
SBP	108.58 ± 9.16	108.25 ± 5.08	108.62 ± 1.01	0.901
DBP	67.25 ± 6.44	66.58 ± 7.76	67.08 ± 6.4	0.645
FBG	76.98 ± 7	77.09 ± 4.39	77.43 ± 4.68	0.779

Table 1: Characteristics of the study participants at the first antenatal visit.

BMI, Body Mass Index; SBP, Systolic Blood Pressure; DBP, Diastolic Blood Pressure; FBG, Fasting Blood Glucose.

All Variables are presented as mean ± standard deviation.

* Statistical Significant Difference between all groups tested by ANOVA test.

Results

Our study approached 700 eligible pregnant women to participate; 220 (31.4%) were excluded as they did not meet our inclusion criteria or did not complete antenatal care at the hospital. The remaining 480 women were divided into three groups according to their BMI. Group I included 180 (37.5%) women with a healthy weight (22.65 \pm 1.1), group II included 180 (37.5%) women who were overweight (27.57 \pm 1.02), and group III included 120 (25%) obese women (33.28 \pm 0.82). Their sociodemographic and baseline characteristics are shown in Table 1. gestational Maternal age, age at inclusion, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure and fasting blood sugar at first visit in our obstetric clinic showed no significant differences. No cases of passive smoking in all groups.

Gestational weight gain showed a

statistically significant difference between women with a healthy weight and those who were overweight and obese (p= 0.0001). There were increased incidence of GDM (p=0.008), gestational hypertension (p=0.001), PTL (p=0.0001), PROM (p=0.002), postdate (p=0.0001) and fetal macrosomia (p=0.0001) in women who were obese compared with women with a healthy weight.

Outcomes #	Average weight (n = 180)	Overweight (n = 180)	Obese (n = 120)	p-value
GDM	5 (2.8)	9 (5)	12 (10)	0.024 * 0.276 / 0.008 *** / 0.96
Gestational hypertension	5 (2.8)	12 (6.7)	15 (12.5)	0.004 * 0.082 / 0.001 *** / 0.84
Pre-eclampsia	1 (0.6)	8 (4.4)	11 (9.2)	0.001* 0.037** / 0.0001*** / 0. 1
PTL	4 (2.2)	12 (6.7)	13 (10.8)	0.008* 0.041** / 0.002*** / 0.201
PROM	3 (1.7)	9 (5)	14 (11.7)	0.001* 0.078 / 0.0001*** / 0.033****
Postdate	4 (2.2)	17 (9.4)	18 (15)	0.001* 0.003** / 0.0001*** / 0.142
Macrosomia	1 (0.6)	9 (5)	14 (11.7)	0.0001* 0.01** / 0.0001*** / 0.033****

Table 2: Adverse perinatal outcomes by body mass index groups

GDM, Gestational diabetes mellitus; PTL, Preterm Labor; PROM, Premature Rupture of Membranes. # All Variables are presented as number (percentage).

*Statistical significant difference between all groups tested by ANOVA test.

**Statistical significant difference between average weight and overweight group tested by Student's Ttest.

***Statistical significant difference between average weight and obese group tested by Student's T-test.

****Statistical significant difference between overweight and obese group tested by Student's T-test

There were increased incidence of preeclampsia (p=0.037), PTL (p=0.04), postdate (p=0.003) and macrosomia (p=0.01) in overweight women than average weight women. However, no significant difference in GDM, gestational hypertension and postdate (p=0.276, p=0.082, and p=0.078

respectively).

incidence of PROM The and macrosomia were increased in obese women compared with overweight (p=0.033).However women no significant difference in GDM (p=0.96), gestational hypertension (p=0.84),

preeclampsia (p=0.1), PTL (p=0.201) and postdate (p=0.142) (Table 2) between overweight and obese women was shown in analysis. incidence of birth by cesarean with increasing BMI (15.6 %) in women with healthy weight, (31.1%) in women who were overweight and (58.3%) obese (p=0.0001) (Table 3).

Finally, there was an increased

Table 3: Gestational weight gain, excessive weight gain and Mode of birth in the three groups.

Outcomes [#]	Average weight (n = 180)	Overweight $(n = 180)$	Obese (n = 120)	p-value
Gestation Weight gain	10.49 ± 1.09	10.86 ± 1.36	11.4 ± 1.73	0.0001* 0.0001** / 0.0001*** / 0.0001****
Excessive GWG outside the IOM recommendations	18 (10)	47 (26)	49 (40)	0.0001* 0.0001** / 0.0001*** / 0.007****
Mode of Birth				
- CB	28 (15.6)	56 (31.1)	70 (58.3)	
				0.0001*
- VD	152 (84.4)	124 (68.9)	50 (41.7)	0.0001** / 0.0001***/ 0.0001****

CB, Cesarean Delivery; VD, Vaginal Delivery.

All Variables are presented either mean and standard deviation or number (percentage).

*Statistical significant difference between all groups tested by ANOVA test.

**Statistical significant difference between average weight and overweight group tested by Student's Ttest.

***Statistical significant difference between average weight and obese group tested by Student's T-test.

****Statistical significant difference between overweight and obese group tested by Student's T-test

Discussion

The significant study found а association between increasing maternal BMI and the risk of developing GDM, preeclampsia, gestational hypertension, PTL, PROM, postdate, fetal macrosomia and birth by cesarean. This is consistent with other research though we present a unique focus on Egyptian mothers.

Several observational studies demonstrated an association between maternal obesity and gestational

hypertension, with a reported 2.5-3.2fold increased risk.^{7,8} A link has also been drawn between maternal obesity and preeclampsia.9 One systematic review found the risk of preeclampsia doubled with each increase of 5 to 7 kg/m² in BMI.¹⁰ In another prospective cohort study, increases in BMI between the first and second pregnancies were found to also increase pre-eclampsia risk.¹¹ Our results are keeping in the same track with all previous studies; however, it is the first one among Egyptian mothers. The incidence of gestational hypertension and preeclampsia was significantly higher among women who are obese than women with a healthy weight (12.5% vs 2.8% and 9.2% vs 0.6% respectively)

In our study, the incidence of GDM has been shown to be higher in obese and overweight women, with a rate of 10% and 5% respectively compared with 2.8% average weight women. in Previous studies have shown an association between maternal obesity and an increased risk of GDM.^{7,8} A meta-analysis found that the overall risk for GDM in obese patients was 3.76 times higher than in non-obese patients (OR=3.31-4.28), with the prevalence of GDM increasing by 0.82% for every increase of 1 kg/m² in BMI.¹²

Maternal obesity predisposes to insulin resistance, many women who are obese will have undiagnosed preexisting type 2 diabetes mellitus before pregnancy.13 Several studies have described the detrimental effect of increasing maternal obesity on perinatal outcomes in women with diabetes. Sugiyama et al., 2014, evaluated women in Japan with gestational diabetes finding increased rates of adverse pregnancy outcomes BMI.¹⁴ increasing Similarly. with Marshall et al., 2014, in the United States demonstrated that increasing BMI among diabetic gravidas increased rates of preeclampsia, macrosomia, and cesarean section.¹⁵

In our study, the incidence of PTL has been shown to be higher in obese and overweight women, with a rate of 13% and 12% respectively compared with 4% in average weight women. Overall, the literature is conflicting regarding the association between preterm delivery and obesity. Although some studies support an increased risk⁴ others do not¹⁶ particularly when controlling for confounding co-morbidities including hypertensive disorders, DM and smoking.^{17,18}

In our study, the incidence of postdate has been shown to be higher in obese and overweight women, with a rate of 15% and 9.4% respectively compared with 2.2% in average weight women. Obesity has been associated with prolonged and post term pregnancy, with several studies demonstrating an increased risk of prolonged pregnancy beyond 41 weeks' gestation as well as post term pregnancy at or beyond 42 weeks' gestation among obese women.^{16,19}

Fetal macrosomia was higher in obese and overweight women, with a rate of 11.7% and 5% respectively compared with 0.6% in average weight women. Obesity is a well-established risk factor for fetal macrosomia with a 2-3 fold increased risk.^{7,16} Morbid obesity and increasing BMI have been shown to be associated with higher rates of macrosomia.^{9,16}

In our study CB has been shown to be higher in obese and overweight women, with a rate of 58.3% and 31.1% respectively compared with 15.6% in average weight women. This is in agreement with studies that have been shown an increased risk of CB among obese women.^{4,8}

The current study had some limitations. The ideal time to record the baseline height and weight of a pregnant woman is prior to pregnancy or in the very early antenatal period. In Egypt this is seldom a routine practice. Systems in the hospital are not structured to provide adequate booking data. A strength is that we measured height and weight in early pregnancy before any real impact of GWG.

In conclusion, increased maternal BMI in primigravidas is associated with increased GWG and adverse pregnancy outcomes.

References

- 1. World Health Organization. Obesity: preventing and managing the global epidemic. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 2000. <u>http://www.who.int/nutrition/publications/</u> <u>obesity/WHO_TRS_894/en/</u>
- World Health Organization. Obesity and overweight. Fact sheets. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 2018. <u>http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factshee</u> <u>ts/fs311/en/</u>
- Farah N, Stuart B, Donnelly V, Kennelly MM, Turner MJ. The influence of maternal body composition on birth weight. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol. 2011 Jul;157(1):14-7. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejogrb.2010.12.</u> 047 Epub 2011 Feb 25. PubMed PMID: 21353373.
- Ovesen P, Rasmussen S, Kesmodel U. Effect of prepregnancy maternal overweight and obesity on pregnancy outcome. Obstet Gynecol. 2011 Aug;118(2 Pt 1):305-12. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/AOG.0b013e318</u> <u>2245d49</u> PubMed PMID: 21775846.
- Michlin R, Oettinger M, Odeh M, Khoury S, Ophir E, Barak M, Wolfson M, Strulov A. Maternal obesity and pregnancy outcome. Isr Med Assoc J. 2000 Jan;2(1):10-3. PubMed PMID: 10892363.

- Alanis MC, Goodnight WH, Hill EG, Robinson CJ, Villers MS, Johnson DD. Maternal super-obesity (body mass index > or = 50) and adverse pregnancy outcomes. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. 2010 Jul;89(7):924-30. <u>https://doi.org/10.3109/0001634100365</u> 7884 PubMed PMID: 20438391; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3316123.
- Weiss JL, Malone FD, Emig D, Ball RH, Nyberg DA, Comstock CH, Saade G, Eddleman K, Carter SM, Craigo SD, Carr SR, D'Alton ME; FASTER Research Consortium. Obesity, obstetric complications and cesarean delivery rate—a population-based screening study. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2004 Apr;190(4):1091-7. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2003.09.05</u> <u>8</u> PubMed PMID: 15118648.
- Athukorala C, Rumbold AR, Willson KJ, Crowther CA. The risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes in women who are overweight or obese. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2010 Sep 17;10:56. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2393-10-56</u> PubMed PMID: 20849609; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2949787.
- Mbah AK, Kornosky JL, Kristensen S, August EM, Alio AP, Marty PJ, Belogolovkin V, Bruder K, Salihu HM. Super-obesity and risk for early and late pre-eclampsia. BJOG. 2010 Jul;117(8):997-1004. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-</u>0528.2010.02593.x Epub 2010 May 19. PubMed PMID: 20482533.
- 10. O'Brien TE, Ray JG, Chan WS. Maternal body mass index and the risk of preeclampsia: a systematic overview. Epidemiology. 2003 May;14(3):368-74. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/01.EDE.0000059</u> <u>921.71494.D1</u> PubMed PMID: 12859040.

- 11. Getahun D, Ananth CV, Oyelese Y, Chavez MR, Kirby RS, Smulian JC. Primary preeclampsia in the second pregnancy: effects of changes in prepregnancy body mass index between pregnancies. Obstet Gynecol. 2007 Dec;110(6):1319-25. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/01.AOG.000029</u> <u>2090.40351.30</u> PubMed PMID: 18055727.
- Torloni MR, Betrán AP, Horta BL, Nakamura MU, Atallah AN, Moron AF, Valente O. Prepregnancy BMI and the risk of gestational diabetes: a systematic review of the literature with metaanalysis. Obes Rev. 2009 Mar;10(2):194-203. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-</u> <u>789X.2008.00541.x</u> Epub 2008 Nov 24. Review. PubMed PMID: 19055539.
- American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. ACOG Committee Opinion number 315, September 2005. Obesity in pregnancy. Obstet Gynecol. 2005 Sep;106(3):671-5. PubMed PMID: 16135613.
- 14. Sugiyama T, Nagao K, Metoki H, Nishigori H, Saito M, Tokunaga H, Nagase S, Sugawara J, Watanabe Y, Yaegashi N, Sagawa N, Sanaka M, Akazawa S, Anazawa S, Waguri M, Sameshima H, Hiramatsu Y, Toyoda N; Japan Diabetes and Pregnancy Study Group. Pregnancy outcomes of gestational diabetes mellitus according to pre-gestational BMI in a retrospective multi-institutional study in Japan. Endocr J. 2014;61(4):373-80. https://doi.org/10.1507/endocrj.EJ13-0541 Epub 2014 Jan 30. PubMed PMID: 24476982.
- Marshall NE, Guild C, Cheng YW, Caughey AB, Halloran DR. The effect of maternal body mass index on perinatal outcomes in women with diabetes. Am J Perinatol. 2014 Mar;31(3):249-56. <u>https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0033-1347363</u> Epub 2013 May 21. PubMed PMID: 23696430; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3852172.

- Baeten JM, Bukusi EA, Lambe M. Pregnancy complications and outcomes among overweight and obese nulliparous women. Am J Public Health. 2001 Mar;91(3):436-40. <u>https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.91.3.436</u> PubMed PMID: 11236410; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC1446581.
- Madan J, Chen M, Goodman E, Davis J, Allan W, Dammann O. Maternal obesity, gestational hypertension, and preterm delivery. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med. 2010 Jan;23(1):82-8. <u>https://doi.org/10.3109/1476705090325</u> <u>8738</u> PubMed PMID: 19903115.
- Aly H, Hammad T, Nada A, Mohamed M, Bathgate S, El-Mohandes A. Maternal obesity, associated complications and risk of prematurity. J Perinatol. 2010 Jul;30(7):447-51. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/jp.2009.117</u> Epub 2009 Aug 20. PubMed PMID: 19693021.
- Denison FC, Price J, Graham C, Wild S, Liston WA. Maternal obesity, length of gestation, risk of postdates pregnancy and spontaneous onset of labour at term. BJOG. 2008 May;115(6):720-5. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-</u>0528.2008.01694.x UBMed PMID: 18410655; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2344995.